

Community Development Practice

Volume 24
Issue 1 *Spring/Summer*

Article 4

9-4-2020

Community Development, Quality of Life, and Community Well-Being: Three Fields Ripe with Opportunities for Future Research and Practice

Craig A. Talmage
Hobart & William Smith Colleges, talmage@hws.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://egrove.olemiss.edu/cdpractice>



Part of the [Community-Based Research Commons](#), [Development Studies Commons](#), [Entrepreneurial and Small Business Operations Commons](#), [Urban Studies Commons](#), and the [Urban Studies and Planning Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Talmage, Craig A. (2020) "Community Development, Quality of Life, and Community Well-Being: Three Fields Ripe with Opportunities for Future Research and Practice," *Community Development Practice*: Vol. 24 : Iss. 1 , Article 4.

Available at: <https://egrove.olemiss.edu/cdpractice/vol24/iss1/4>

This Research Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Sociology and Anthropology at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in Community Development Practice by an authorized editor of eGrove. For more information, please contact egrove@olemiss.edu.

Community Development, Quality of Life, and Community Well-Being: Three Fields Ripe with Opportunities for Future Research and Practice

Cover Page Footnote

A Report from the CDS Fellows Initiative. Contributing authors: Daniel Kahl, PhD, and Kristina Hains, PhD, University of Kentucky. Special thanks go to Drs. Kristina Hains and Daniel Kahl for coordinating the Community Development Society (CDS) Fellows program and this special issue, which brought forth this article. Additional thanks go to the Drs. Rhonda Phillips and Kai Ludwig for their collaborations, and Chelsea Maupin for her help with previous presentations of the CDS Fellows' work. Additional thanks go to Jill Johnson, Manager of the International Society of Quality of Life Studies (ISQOLS), for ongoing assistance and support of this CDS Fellows project. Finally, Cindy Warren at Hobart & William Smith Colleges is thanked for her help editing this manuscript.

Community Development, Quality of Life, and Community Well-Being: Three Fields Ripe with Opportunities for Future Research and Practice

Craig A. Talmage
Hobart & William Smith Colleges

Background and Purpose

Community transcends place-based and physical characteristics to encompass abundant diverse psychological, social, and environmental attributes, cultures, and histories found among individuals and groups. The fields of quality of life (QoL) and community well-being (CWB) have much to offer community development (CD) scholarship and practice. QoL and Community Well-Being (CWB) scholars and practitioners also explore the aforementioned aspects of communities across the world. This article discusses intersections between CD and the CWB and QoL fields searching for current and future commonalities and opportunities.

QoL as a concept considers both objective and subjective indicators of the many facets of communities and community life (Talmage, Hagen, Pijawak, & Nassar, 2018; Talmage, Pijawka, & Hagen, 2019). QoL can be rooted in place-based assessments concerning the sustainability and livability of the community environment, but QoL can also look at how individuals perceive their community environment (Talmage et al., 2018; Talmage et al., 2019). In general, quality of life can be seen as an indicator of positive and negative impact that interventions (e.g., development interventions) have on individuals and communities (Talmage et al., 2019). From the QoL field and the CD field, CWB has emerged as a new concept and field of research and practice.

Champions have emerged in the literature calling for greater intersections between the three fields (e.g., Lee, Kim, & Phillips, 2015a; 2015b). Seung Jong Lee, Yunji Kim, and Rhonda Phillips recently published a short academic book aimed at defining CWB and connecting the CWB field with CD. In their first chapter, they defined CWB in terms of fulfilling community desires and needs and provided a number of indicators from both the individual and community levels to explore. They also saw CD as a tool to help communities reach and realize higher levels of CWB. Finally, they concluded that applied research and practice provide essential intersectional points for well-being and development fields (Lee et al., 2015).

In a case study of practitioners, Coburn and Gormally (2020) identified five themes related to CWB that they tied to CD practice. These five themes were (1) sense of community, (2) volunteering, (3) networks and partnerships, (4) language, and (5) relationships. CD practice can and should create or enhance these five aspects of well-being. Coburn and Gormally (2020) call for well-being to be understood at the grassroots level, echoing Lee, Kim, and Phillips's (2015b)

and Cloutier, Ehlenz, and Afinowich’s (2019) emphases on application when looking at where CD, QoL, and CWB intersect.

In his 2017 Community Development Society conference presidential address, Chris Marko (2018) highlighted that CWB is an integral concept to be considered in future CD research and practice. Following Marko’s address on the future of CD, the Community Development Society (CDS) established the CDS Fellows program to re-examine the roles of CD professionals (Kahl & Hains, 2018). One group involved in the Fellows program was dedicated to exploring the intersections of CD, QoL, and CWB and opportunities where collaboration can occur. This perspective article is a deliverable from that group’s work.

Where to Find the Three Fields?

The professional field of CD has two main societies: Community Development Society (CDS) and the International Association for Community Development (IACD). Both societies house and/or support one research- and one practice-focused publications (see Table 1). QoL and CWB work is mainly supported and/or endorsed by the International Society for Quality-of-Life Studies (ISQOLS). ISQOLS directly sponsors the *Applied Research in Quality-of-Life (ARQOL)* journal, but affiliates with six other journals focused on QoL and well-being research. These societies and their publications aim to move inquiries of QoL away from reductionist focuses on suffering and detriment towards more positive and holistic understandings of CWB.

Table 1. CD, QoL, and CWB publications

Field	Society	Publications or Journals
Community Development (CD)	Community Development Society (CDS)	<i>Community Development</i> * <i>Community Development Practice</i> *
	International Association for Community Development (IACD)	<i>Community Development Journal</i> * <i>Practice Insights</i> * [†]
Quality-of-Life (QoL)	International Society for Quality-of-Life Studies (ISQOLS)	<i>Applied Research in Quality-of-Life</i> * <i>Social Indicators Research</i> * <i>Journal of Happiness Studies</i> <i>Quality of Life Research</i> * <i>Psychology of Well-Being</i> <i>Health and Well-Being</i> <i>International Journal of Well-Being</i> <i>International Journal of Community Well-Being</i> *
Community Well-Being (CWB)		

*Denotes journals used for the keyword scan of the literature; not all issues contained the keywords searched.

[†]The second issue of the *Practice Insights* magazine was unavailable on the IACD website to analyze.

Only one journal affiliated with ISQOLS, the *International Journal of Community Well-Being (IJCW)*, explicitly conceptualizes CWB as a field distinctly different from, but still related, to the QoL field. This distinction and this article build on Lee and Kim’s (2015) work found in the second chapter of *Community Well-Being and Community Development*, which compares and contrasts CD, CWB, happiness, and QoL. While ISQOLS does not have a

practice-focused publication, all three aforementioned societies and publications hold missions that aim to bridge divides between research, policy, and practice.

These three organizations and journals were selected because the aforementioned CDS Fellows group focused on the intersections of CD, QoL, and CWB consisted of individuals affiliated with all three professional associations. There are other journals that concern CD, QoL, and CWB indicators, but only ISQOLS, CDS, and IACD journals are considered in this piece; these organizations are discussed at the end of this article as instrumental organizations for furthering intersections between the three fields. Moreover, this article utilizes the flagship journals of all three organizations and the two community development practice publications. *IJCW* and *Social Indicators Research (SIR)* are also considered as they purport QoL and CWB as unique and distinct research fields. *Quality of Life Research (QoLR)* was also searched because it considers quality of life; however, only one article directly concerned CD, as the journal is mostly focused on health-related QoL.

Search Methods and Findings

A keyword search of the articles found across the six publications (see Table 1) was undertaken to reveal commonalities across the fields. Google Scholar was used as the search engine to explore potential overlaps in the research publications. The practice publications were not indexed in Google Scholar, so a keyword search was undertaken within each issue of the practice publications. These practice publications were accessed via CDS and IACD websites. Within the CD publications, the keywords of “quality of life” and “community well-being” were searched. Both hyphenated and unhyphenated versions of these words were utilized. Within the QoL and CWB journals, the term “community development” was searched. In this high-level scan of the literature, only articles that explicitly addressed concepts of CD, QoL, and CWB are identified or discussed, thus excluding articles with cursory or casual mentions of the terms.

Three tables were constructed following this process (Tables 1, 2, and 3). These tables highlight themes (i.e., content/topics) found across the respective publications and fields where attention was given to CWB and QoL in CD and CD in CWB and QoL. In all three tables, citations are provided, so readers have a robust and target list of over 170 resources to explore. The number of citations indicates greater attention paid in the respective fields, which is discussed later using a graphic illustrating the different levels of attention across fields.

The CD research publications were searched first. Table 2 contains a summary of eighteen themes apparent in the CD literature regarding QoL and CWB. These eighteen themes are not an exhaustive list, but were reflected in three or more publications. Next, the CD practice publications were searched. Table 3 contains a summary of eight themes apparent in practice. A theme mentioned in at least one publication is noted in the table; this is done because the number of practice articles available to search was less than the number of research articles available.

The QoL and CWB research publications were searched next, specifically *ARQOL*, *QoLR*, and *IJCW*. CD shows early roots in the QoL literature (e.g.,

Barnard & Van Der Merwe, 1991; Blakely, Schutz, & Harvey, 1977). Notably, *IJCW*'s editors have consistently highlighted intersections of CD and CWB in their introductions to each issue (Phillips & Lee, 2018; 2019a; 2019b; 2019c). Table 4 contains a summary of nine themes apparent in the QoL and CWB literature regarding CD. These nine themes were reflected in three or more publications.

Table 2. QoL and CWB overlaps found within CD research publications

Themes	Citation
Social inequality, social quality, social cohesion, and social inclusion	Evanson et al. (2006); Hiranandani et al. (2014); MacTavish (2007); Phillips & Berman (2003); Takhar (2011)
Community capacity, social capital, and psychological social capital	Gruidl & Hustedde (2015) Knapp et al. (2012); Knotts (2005); Murray (2000); Perkins et al. (2002); Penn (1993)
Community attachment, community satisfaction, and sense of place	Theodori (2000; 2004); Thomas et al. (2008)
Community stress, resilience, and resiliency	Allen (1993); Parisi et al. (2008); Vaneeckhaute et al. (2017); Zautra et al. (2008); Wheeler (2016)
Community engagement, civic engagement, and public participation	Brennan et al. (2009); Jarvis et al. (2011)
Livability and sustainability	Cannanr (2000); Hosler (1998); Dale & Sparkes (2010); Hibbard & Chun Tang (2004)
Frameworks and approaches like the community capitals frameworks, collective wellness, collective impact, and sustainable livelihoods approaches	D'Abundo & Carden (2008); Flora & Gillespie (2009); Guterrez-Montes et al. (2009); Perez (2002); Walzer et al. (2016)
Research methods and indicators	Blanke & Walzer (2013); Pstross et al. (2014); Schafft & Greenwood (2003); Steele et al. (2001); Stoecker (2006)
International development (i.e., outside of the U.S.)	Brennan (2006); Brown (1999); Buccus et al. (2008); Chaplin (2010); Gilchrist (2003); Nartova et al. (2019); Patsiorkovski & O'Brien (1997); Takhar (2011); Tang (2016)
Community partnerships, college/university partnerships, community/block organizations, unions, nonprofits, and NGOs	Bratt & Rohe (2005); Cook & Nation (2016); Loughry (2002); Mizrahi et al. (2008); Nartova et al. (2019); Snavely & Beck (1993); Vyas (2009)
Economic development, entrepreneurship, entrepreneurial communities, and tourism	Arku (2015); Barkley et al. (1991); Besser & Hanson (2004); Christensen & Phillips (2016); Darger et al. (2017); Lichtenstein & Lyons (2012); Lichtenstein et al. (2004); Lukkarinen (2005); Pittman et al. (2009); Skipper (2016); Zhang & Warner (2017)
	Buccus et al. (2008); Onyx et al. (1992); Myers

Accessibility, responsiveness, and infrastructure of public services	& Ravesloot (2016); Steinnes (1990); Wellman (2012)
Community health	Besser & Hanson (2004); Becker (2006); Courtney-Pratt et al. (2018); Flora & Gillespie (2009); Majee et al. (2014); Tang (2016)
Place-based and local development	Bridger & Alter (2008); Harley et al. (2000); Kemp (2009); Shortall & Shucksmith (2001)
Agriculture systems, food systems, and food security	Lobao & Thomas (1988); Pine & Bennett (2014); Robinson et al. (2007); Wright Morton & Miller (2007)
Community power, community justice, social activism, social action, and social movements	Brennan & Israel (2008); Buzinde et al. (2018); Chaplin (2010); Nartova et al. (2019); Schlaepfer et al. (1994); Stevens et al. (2003)
Leadership development and community leadership	Apaliyah et al. (2012); Courtney-Pratt et al. (2018); Mannarini & Talò (2013)
Empowerment of underrepresented, overlooked, and/or marginalized persons or groups	Brennan et al. (2007); Brown (1999); Buzinde et al. (2018); Courtney-Pratt et al. (2018); Cushing (2015); Hicks (2011); Hiranandani et al. (2014); Takhar (2011); Zanbar (2018)

Table 3. QoL and CWB overlaps found within CD practice publications

Themes	Citation
Addressing and reducing poverty and inequality	Murdoch (2012; (2015)
Working with local authorities/officials	Christensen (2018); Mayo (2012); Mikelbank & Chase (2016); Murdoch (2012)
Facilitating capacity-building, network-building, self-reliance, resilience, and empowerment	Adams (2016); Besser et al. (2006); Diers & Rivers (2014); Karamarkos et al. (2014); Haliru & Samah (2018); Lachapelle (2011); MacGillivray (2019); Matthias (2012); Milne (2014); Murdoch (2015); Shevellar et al. (2014); Pace (2017)
Responding to disasters and emergencies	Pace (2017); Shevellar et al. (2014)
Building community wealth and leveraging social	Burkett (2015); Murdoch (2015)
Working towards the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals	Frankland-Hutchinson (2017)
Fostering sustainable economic development and local entrepreneurship	Besser et al. (2006); Bini (2018); Gregory (2017); Scorsone & Powers (2005?)

Working with rural communities and enhancing well-being through enhancing extension services	Abenir (2019); Dougherty & Eades (2017); Kamuzhanje (2017); Harman (2018); Loden & Svenson (2001)
--	---

Table 4. CD overlaps found within QoL and CWB publications

Themes	Citation
Understanding and addressing community needs and desires	Brinkerhoff & Frideres (1997); Cilliers & Cornelius (2019); Qiao et al. (2019)
Neighborhood engagement and community action	Barbieri et al. (2018); Holden (2018); Eby et al. (2012); Gill (1991); Zekeri (1996)
Local governance, leadership, and planning	Eby et al. (2012); Gill (1991); Lee & Kim (2018); Zekeri (1996)
Sustainability, livability, walkability, and satisfaction	Arku et al. (2008); Hodge (1997); Magee et al. (2012); Matarrita-Cascante (2010); Parkins et al. (2001); Rogers et al. (2011); Talmage et al. (2019); Sirgy et al. (2008)
Social interactions, social capital, and psychological social capital	Fernando & Cooley (2016); Headey et al. (1985); Hoskins & Mascherini (2009); Recker (2013); Rogers, Halstead et al. (2011); Prati et al. (2016); Ross & Searle (2019); Ross et al. (2019); Taló (2018); Taló et al. (2014); Wise & Driskell (2017)
Community economic development	Hodge (1997); Phillips & Stein (2013); Rahman et al. (2016); Veleva (2010)
Community health	Arku et al. (2008); Barbieri et al. (2018); Halvorsen et al. (2018); Fotso & Kuate-Defo (2005); Stone et al. (2010)
Local culture, cultural meaning, and cultural activities	Fotso & Kuate-Defo (2005); Grossi et al. (2011); Liu (2014; 2017); Lupoli & Morse (2015); Weeranakin & Promphakping (2018)
Addressing socioeconomic status and reducing poverty	Ferriss (2006); Hameed et al. (2017); Haq & Ali (2014)

Towards Interdisciplinary Research and Practice

The overlaps between the three fields showcase areas where the CD, QoL, and CWB are already heavily linked (Figure 1). These areas are likely easy starting points for researchers and practitioners in the three fields to increase collaboration. There are other areas where overlaps between the fields are less pronounced. These are opportunities require much more development.




Figure 1. Opportunities for collaboration between fields based on overlapping attention paid

The largest overlap between the three fields regarded social capital. Social capital theory has been widely researched in CD (Emery & Flora, 2006; Hustedde, 2009). CD can help supply QoL and CWB researchers with tested frameworks to develop social capital and other forms of community capital (Emery & Flora, 2006). Furthermore, psychological social capital, specifically sense of community, is also being considered more in CD research (Perkins, Hughey, & Speere, 2002; Talmage, Peterson, & Knopf, 2017). Thus, CD practice can aim to improve not only social networks and interactions, but also psychological well-being.

The three fields have devoted substantial attention to evaluating and improving the structural and functional aspects of communities. Such structures and their functions include sustainability, livability, governance, public services, and economic resources. The structures and functions of communities have long been a part of CD theory (Hustedde, 2009). All three fields would benefit from enhancing CD practice and evaluating how CD practice can improve the structures and functions of communities. Specifically, CD appears to consider

community resilience and disaster response, but QoL and CWB do not look at these aspects using a CD lens. In general, CD research and practice appear to provide more locally minded approaches, while CWB and QoL can offer global comparisons of the structures and functions of communities. Finally, CD has given substantial attention to food security and food systems, while QoL and CWB have not often used a CD lens to examine food-related phenomena. CD research and practice has much to offer in this regard.

Across the high-level scan of the literature, community health was a popular research topic; however, community health was not directly connected to QoL and CWB in the CD practice publications. CD practice can benefit from drawing greater links between community health and other QoL and CWB indicators. This noted disconnect between community health and other indicators may come from disconnects between research and practice. Thus, CD, QoL, and CWB research can and must together better inform CD practice regarding community health.

Theories of power, justice, and conflict are readily found across the CD field (Hustedde, 2009). CD research and practice can offer QoL and CWB greater understandings of community organizing, social action, and community empowerment, which all relate back to social capital. CD still needs indicators of success regarding acts of self-expression and elite-challenging action, which have been termed emancipative social capital (Talmage, Peterson, & Knopf, 2017; Welzel, Inglehart, & Deutsch, 2005). CD has much to offer QoL and CWB regarding addressing power and conflict in communities. QoL and CWB can also help CD researchers and practitioners develop and use indicators to assess power dynamics.

Low attention overlaps between the three fields fell in two interrelated areas: (1) community economic development and (2) poverty reduction and alleviation. Community economic development research and practice engages local community members in analyzing and addressing their needs (Phillips & Pittman, 2009). Perhaps the low overlapping attention to community economic development comes from disconnects and conflation between the community development and economic development fields, which Phillips and Pittman (2009) have noted. Dialogue between all three professional associations may be helpful here. The low overlapping attention to poverty is even more intriguing to this author. This low attention may come from disconnects between practices to reduce poverty and research on poverty. If this disconnect is real, then all three fields have much more work to do to fulfill the many recent calls for greater application across the three fields where CWB, QoL, and CD are posited to greatly intersect (Cloutier et al., 2019; Coburn & Gormally, 2020; Lee et al., 2015).

Forging New Pathways Together

Collaborations and partnerships can be cultivated between CDS, IACD, and ISQOLS to further research and enhance practice across the three fields. The CDS Fellows program was a first step to integrate all three organizations and the three fields. At the 2018 ISQOLS conference in Hong Kong, the CDS Fellows project

identified overlaps between CDS and ISQOLS when comparing CDS's Principles of Good Practice¹ and ISQOLS's general objectives² (Phillips, Talmage, Maupin, Ludwigs, & Johnson, 2018). Both organizations work towards facilitating positive social change and community engagement. Both societies aim to enhance leaders and community networks in order to positively impact communities (Phillips et al., 2018). The CDS Fellows presentation highlighted commonalities found across CDS's and ISQOLS's principles; however, this article goes a step further by exploring different themes found across publications.

This article provides insights found across publications on QoL, CWB, and CD, but the voice of individual practitioners must be heard. As a starting point, extension professionals in the U.S. and abroad have signaled integrations of QoL and CWB in their CD work (Abenir, 2019; Kamuzhanje, 2017; Majee et al., 2014), which can be further highlighted. Specifically, readers should draw on Majee and colleagues' (2014) reflections on their extension work and calls for greater inter-organizational and inter-professional collaboration. Majee and colleagues (2014) showcase how their extension work strives to improve community health and well-being through the leadership development and health promotion programs in Missouri, USA. Their article serves as an exemplar that bridges research and practice regarding QoL, CWB, and CD.

CD, CWB, and QoL perspectives can and must be further broadened by bridging research and practice. Both rural and urban must be explored, and highly local case studies to cross-country comparisons should be made. For example, Brennan and colleagues (2009) compare youth civic engagement between Florida and Ireland yielding insights for CWB, QoL, and CD work. Additionally, Harmon's (2018) work in rural Andean communities brings forth indigenous understandings of well-being, challenging how well-being is defined in research and practice. All three fields benefit from challenging both research and practice.

Community Development Practice, as a journal, aims to enhance CD practice and highlight CDS's Principles of Good Practice. The CDS principle: "Be open to using the full range of action strategies to work toward the long-term sustainability and well being of the community" (CDS, 2019, Bullet 5) states clearly the important linkage of CD for CWB. This article shows that interdisciplinary research and practice collaborations between ISQOLS, CDS, and IACD are not only possible, but also necessary to enhance all three fields' realizations of CD, QoL, and CWB. The fields are ripe with opportunities to be better together.

References

Abenir, M. A. D. (2019). The evolution and practice of community development through extension services in Philippine higher education. *Practice Insights*, 1(12), 32-34. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Practice-Insights-12-a.pdf>.

¹ For more, visit: <https://www.comm-dev.org/about/principles-of-good-practice>.

² For more, visit: <https://isqols.org/about>.

- Adams, R. (2016). Am I willing to be change? *Practice Insights*, 1(5), 18-19. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Insights-5.pdf>.
- Allen, J. C. (1993). Development in a community under stress. *Community Development Journal*, 28(2), 154-166.
- Apaliyah, G. T., Martin, K. E., Gasteyer, S. P., Keating, K., & Pigg, K. (2012). Community leadership development education: Promoting civic engagement through human and social capital. *Community Development*, 43(1), 31-48.
- Arku, G. (2015). Economic development practices of cities in Ontario, Canada. *Community Development*, 46(5), 604-615.
- Arku, F. S., Filson, G. C., & Shute, J. (2008). An empirical approach to the study of well-being among rural men and women in Ghana. *Social Indicators Research*, 88(2), 365-387.
- Barbieri, N., Gallego, R., Morales, E., Rodríguez-Sanz, M., Palència, L., & Pasarín, M. I. (2018). Measuring and analysing community action for health: An indicator-based typology and its application to the case of Barcelona. *Social Indicators Research*, 139(1), 25-45.
- Barkley, D. L., Smith, S. M., & Coupal, R. H. (1991). High tech entrepreneurs in small towns. *Community Development*, 22(1), 38-55.
- Barnard, P., & Van Der Merwe, J. N. K. (1991). Measuring the quality of life in rural community development. *Social Indicators Research*, 24(1), 57-70.
- Becker, J. (2006). Improving community health through evaluations. *Community Development Journal*, 42(3), 348-364.
- Besser, T. L., & Hanson, M. M. (2004). Focus on rural economic development. *Community Development*, 35(2), 1-16.
- Besser, T. L., Miller, N. J., Korsching, P. F., & Welch, B. D. (2006). Creating business networks. *Community Development Practice*, 1(14), 1-12. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <https://www.comm-dev.org/images/pdf/CDPracticeIssue14.pdf>.
- Bini, I. K. (2018). Building the entrepreneurial spirit of the youth through education: Experiences from SCDE, University of Ghana, Legon. *Community Development Practice*, 1(22), 29-42. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from https://www.comm-dev.org/images/CDS_Practice/CDPIssue22Updated.pdf.
- Blakely, E. J., Schutz, H., & Harvey, P. (1977). Public marketing: A suggested policy planning paradigm for community development in the city. *Social Indicators Research*, 4(1), 163-184.
- Blanke, A. S., & Walzer, N. (2013). Measuring community development: What have we learned? *Community Development*, 44(5), 534-550.
- Bratt, R. G., & Rohe, W. M. (2005). Challenges and dilemmas facing community development corporations in the United States. *Community Development Journal*, 42(1), 63-78.
- Brennan, M. (2006). Community development in the west of Ireland: Twenty years on in the Killala area. *Community Development Journal*, 42(3), 330-347.

- Brennan, M. A., & Israel, G. D. (2008). The power of community. *Community Development*, 39(1), 82-98.
- Brennan, M. A., Barnett, R. V., & Lesmeister, M. K. (2007). Enhancing local capacity and youth involvement in the community development process. *Community Development*, 38(4), 13-27.
- Brennan, M. A., Barnett, R. V., & McGrath, B. (2009). The intersection of youth and community development in Ireland and Florida: Building stronger communities through youth civic engagement. *Community Development*, 40(4), 331-345.
- Bridger, J. C., & Alter, T. R. (2008). An interactional approach to place-based rural development. *Community Development*, 39(1), 99-111.
- Brinkerhoff, M. B., & Frideres, J. S. (1997). Basic minimum needs, quality of life and selected correlates: Explorations in villages in northern India. *Social Indicators Research*, 42(3), 245-281.
- Brown, M. J. (1999). The plight of rural elderly in South Africa: A case for a community development cadre? *Community Development Journal*, 34(2), 143-150.
- Buccus, I., Hemson, D., Hicks, J., & Piper, L. (2008). Community development and engagement with local governance in South Africa. *Community Development Journal*, 43(3), 297-311.
- Burkett, I. (2015). Day 2: Wealth. *Practice Insights*, 1(4), 8-10. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Issue-4.pdf>.
- Buzinde, C., Foroughi, B., & Godwyll, J. (2018). Youth leadership programs for community development and social action: a pedagogical approach. *Community Development Journal*. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bsy015>.
- Cannanr, C. (2000). The environmental crisis, greens and community development. *Community Development Journal*, 35(4), 365-376.
- Chaplin, A. (2010). Social movements in Bolivia: From strength to power. *Community Development Journal*, 45(3), 346-355.
- Christensen, B. (2018). Building community development capacity through education programs for local government officials. *Practice Insights*, 1(10), 37-38. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/PI-10-for-online.pdf>.
- Christensen, B., & Phillips, R. (2016). Local food systems and community economic development through the lens of theory. *Community Development*, 47(5), 638-651.
- Cilliers, E. J., & Cornelius, S. (2019). The creation of rural child-friendly spaces: A spatial planning perspective. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 14(4), 925-939.
- Cloutier, S., Ehlenz, M. M., & Afinowich, R. (2019). Cultivating community wellbeing: Guiding principles for research and practice. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 2(3-4), 277-299.

- Coburn, A., & Gormally, S. (2020). Defining well-being in community development from the ground up: A case study of participant and practitioner perspectives. *Community Development Journal*, 55(2), 237-257.
- Community Development Society (CDS). (2019). Principles of Good Practice. Retrieved 18 September 2019 from <https://www.comm-dev.org/about/principles-of-good-practice>.
- Cook, J. R., & Nation, M. (2016). Community engagement: Universities' roles in building communities and strengthening democracy. *Community Development*, 47(5), 718-731.
- Courtney-Pratt, H., Mathison, K., & Doherty, K. (2018). Distilling authentic community-led strategies to support people with dementia to live well. *Community Development*, 49(4), 432-449.
- Cushing, D. F. (2015). Promoting youth participation in communities through youth master planning. *Community Development*, 46(1), 43-55.
- D'Abundo, M. L., & Carden, A. M. (2008). "Growing wellness": The possibility of promoting collective wellness through community garden education programs. *Community Development*, 39(4), 83-94.
- Dale, A., & Sparkes, J. (2010). The 'agency' of sustainable community development. *Community Development Journal*, 46(4), 476-492.
- Darger, M., Barefield, A., & Hales, B. D. (2017). Business retention and expansion (BRE) today—research, application, and evaluation: Introduction to the special issue. *Community Development*, 48(2), 160-169.
- Diers, J. & Rivers, M. J. (2014). Community resilience. *Practice Insights*, 1(3), 7-9. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Insights-3.pdf>.
- Dougherty, M. J. & Eades, D. (2017). The transformation of the West Virginia Recruitable Communities Program. *Community Development Practice*, 1(21), 5-12. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from https://www.comm-dev.org/images/pdf/Community_Development_Practice_21.pdf.
- Eby, J., Kitchen, P., & Williams, A. (2012). Perceptions of quality life in Hamilton's neighbourhood hubs: A qualitative analysis. *Social Indicators Research*, 108(2), 299-315.
- Emery, M., & Flora, C. (2006). Spiraling-up: Mapping community transformation with community capitals framework. *Community Development*, 37(1), 19-35.
- Evanson, T. A., Ustanko, L., & Tyree, E. (2006). Promoting inclusion of persons with disabilities in faith communities: The faith inclusion forum. *Community Development*, 37(3), 97-105.
- Fernando, F. N., & Cooley, D. R. (2016). An oil boom's effect on quality of life (QOL): Lessons from western North Dakota. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 11(4), 1083-1115.
- Ferriss, A. L. (2006). Approaches to reducing poverty. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 1(3-4), 217-226.

- Flora, C. B., & Gillespie, A. H. (2009). Making healthy choices to reduce childhood obesity: Community capitals and food and fitness. *Community Development, 40*(2), 114-122.
- Fotso, J. C., & Kuate-Defo, B. (2005). Measuring socioeconomic status in health research in developing countries: Should we be focusing on households, communities or both? *Social Indicators Research, 72*(2), 189-237.
- Frankland-Hutchinson, A. (2017). You, me and the SDGs? *Practice Insights, 1*(6), 10-11. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from http://ylk.cdr.mybluehost.me/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/iacd_practice_insights_6_special_sdg_issue.pdf.
- Gilchrist, A. (2003). Community development in the UK—possibilities and paradoxes. *Community Development Journal, 38*(1), 16-25.
- Gill, A. M. (1991). An evaluation of socially responsive planning in a new resource town. *Social Indicators Research, 24*(2), 177-204.
- Gregory, R. (2017). Neighbourhoods that work: Tackling coastal deprivation on the east coast of England. *Practice Insights, 1*(7), 24-26. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-PI-7-final.pdf>.
- Grossi, E., Sacco, P. L., Blessi, G. T., & Cerutti, R. (2011). The impact of culture on the individual subjective well-being of the Italian population: An exploratory study. *Applied Research in Quality of Life, 6*(4), 387-410.
- Gruidl, J., & Hustedde, R. (2015). Towards a robust democracy: The core competencies critical to community developers. *Community Development, 46*(3), 279-293.
- Gutierrez-Montes, I., Emery, M., & Fernandez-Baca, E. (2009). The sustainable livelihoods approach and the community capitals framework: The importance of system-level approaches to community change efforts. *Community Development, 40*(2), 106-113.
- Haliru, I. Y., & Samah, A. A. (2018). Business apprenticeship training centers: A capacity-building youth-empowerment program in Nigeria. *Practice Insights, 1*(11), 26-27. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Practice-Insights-11-Final-for-Web.pdf>.
- Halvorsen, J. F., Sund, A. M., Zeltzer, L., Ådnanes, M., Jensberg, H., Eikemo, T. A., ... & Reinjfjell, T. (2018). Health-related quality of life and psychological distress in young adult survivors of childhood cancer and their association with treatment, education, and demographic factors. *Quality of Life Research, 27*(2), 529-537.
- Hameed, G., Saboor, A., Khan, A. U., Ali, I., & Wazir, M. K. (2017). Impact of community development in poverty reduction: reflections of Azad Jammu and Kashmir community development program. *Social Indicators Research, 130*(3), 1073-1086.
- Harley, D. A., Stebnicki, M., & Rollins, C. W. (2000). Applying empowerment evaluation as a tool for self-improvement and community development with culturally diverse populations. *Community Development, 31*(2), 348-364.

- Harman, U. (2018). The principle of well-being as a development alternative for Andean rural communities. *Practice Insights*, 1(10), 24-25. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/PI-10-for-online.pdf>.
- Haq, R., & Ali, A. (2014). Development disparities and peculiarities: An empirical investigation. *Social Indicators Research*, 115(2), 715-729.
- Headey, B., Holmstrom, E., & Wearing, A. (1985). Models of well-being and ill-being. *Social Indicators Research*, 17(3), 211-234.
- Hibbard, M., & Chun Tang, C. (2004). Sustainable community development: A social approach from Vietnam. *Community Development*, 35(2), 87-104.
- Hicks, J. (2011). Bringing women into local governance: a review of enabling mechanisms in South Africa. *Community Development Journal*, 46(3), 351-364.
- Hiranandani, V., Kumar, A., & Sonpal, D. (2014). Making community inclusion work for persons with disabilities: Drawing lessons from the field. *Community Development*, 45(2), 151-165.
- Hodge, T. (1997). Toward a conceptual framework for assessing progress toward sustainability. *Social Indicators Research*, 40(1-2), 5-98.
- Holden, M. (2018). Community well-being in neighbourhoods: Achieving community and open-minded space through engagement in neighbourhoods. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 1(1), 45-61.
- Hosler, W. R. (1998). Building liveable communities. *Community Development*, 29(2), 298-305.
- Hoskins, B. L., & Mascherini, M. (2009). Measuring active citizenship through the development of a composite indicator. *Social Indicators Research*, 90(3), 459-488.
- Hustedde, R. J. (2009). Seven theories for seven community developers. *An introduction to community development* (pp. 20-37). New York: Routledge.
- Jarvis, D., Berkeley, N., & Broughton, K. (2011). Evidencing the impact of community engagement in neighbourhood regeneration: The case of Canley, Coventry. *Community Development Journal*, 47(2), 232-247.
- Kahl, D. & Hains, K. (2018). Exploring our role as community development professionals. *Practice Insights*, 1(10), 16-17. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/PI-10-for-online.pdf>.
- Kamuzhanje, J. (2017). Strengthening community-based veterinary extension systems in smallholder farming areas of Zimbabwe. *Community Development Practice*, 1(21), 5-12. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from https://www.comm-dev.org/images/pdf/Community_Development_Practice_21.pdf.
- Karamarkos, K., Eppich, R., Kulmer, A., & Espada, J. C. (2014). Community resilience, cultural identity and heritage: Nympho, Greece and the HISTCAPE project. *Practice Insights*, 1(3), 18-19. Retrieved 12

- September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Insights-3.pdf>.
- Kemp, D. (2009). Mining and community development: problems and possibilities of local-level practice. *Community Development Journal*, 45(2), 198-218.
- Knapp, M., Bauer, A., Perkins, M., & Snell, T. (2012). Building community capital in social care: Is there an economic case? *Community Development Journal*, 48(2), 313-331.
- Knotts, H. G. (2005). Sticks, bricks, and social capital: The challenge of community development corporations in the American Deep South. *Community Development Journal*, 41(1), 37-49.
- Lachapelle, P. (2011). The use of social networking in community development. *Community Development Practice*, 1(17), 1-8. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from https://www.comm-dev.org/images/pdf/070_CD%20Practice%202011n1%20Revised%20A.pdf.
- Lee, S. J., & Kim, Y. (2015). Searching for the meaning of community well-being. In *Community well-being and community development* (pp. 9-23). Springer, Cham.
- Lee, S. J., & Kim, Y. (2018). Economy doesn't buy community wellbeing: A study of factors shaping community wellbeing in South Korea. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 1(1), 33-44.
- Lee, S. J., Kim, Y., & Phillips, R. (Eds.) (2015a). *Community well-being and community development: Conceptions and applications*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Lee, S. J., Kim, Y., & Phillips, R. (2015b). Exploring the intersection of community well-being and community development. In S. J. Lee, Y. Kim, & R. Phillips (Eds.), *Community well-being and community development: Conceptions and applications* (pp. 1-9). Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Lichtenstein, G., & Lyons, T. S. (2012). Lessons from the field: mapping Saskatchewan's Pipeline of Entrepreneurs and Enterprises in order to build a provincial operating system for entrepreneurship. *Community Development*, 43(1), 113-129.
- Lichtenstein, G. A., Lyons, T. S., & Kutzhanova, N. (2004). Building entrepreneurial communities: The appropriate role of enterprise development activities. *Community Development*, 35(1), 5-24.
- Liu, Y. D. (2014). Socio-cultural impacts of major event: Evidence from the 2008 European Capital of Culture, Liverpool. *Social Indicators Research*, 115(3), 983-998.
- Liu, Y. D. (2017). Quality of life as event legacy: An evaluation of Liverpool as the 2008 European Capital of Culture. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 12(3), 653-670.
- Lobao, L. M., & Thomas, D. W. (1988). Farm structure and infant mortality: An analysis of nonmetropolitan counties. *Community Development*, 19(2), 1-29.

- Loden, C. C. & Svenson, A. (2001). Capturing the character of rural communities: Through tourism development. *Community Development Practice*, 1(8), 1-8. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <https://www.comm-dev.org/images/pdf/CDPractice-Issue8.pdf>.
- Loughry, R. (2002). Partnering the state at the local level: the experiences of one community worker. *Community Development Journal*, 37(1), 60-68.
- Lukkarinen, M. (2005). Community development, local economic development and the social economy. *Community Development Journal*, 40(4), 419-424.
- Lupoli, C. A., & Morse, W. C. (2015). Assessing the local impacts of volunteer tourism: Comparing two unique approaches to indicator development. *Social Indicators Research*, 120(2), 577-600.
- MacGillivray, C. (2019). Power, rights, and resilience. *Practice Insights*, 1(13), 48-49. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Practice-Insights-13-low-resolution.pdf>.
- MacTavish, K. A. (2007). The wrong side of the tracks: Social inequality and mobile home park residence. *Community Development*, 38(1), 74-91.
- Magee, L., Scerri, A., & James, P. (2012). Measuring social sustainability: A community-centred approach. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 7(3), 239-261.
- Majee, W., Maltsberger, B. A., Johnson, L. K., & Adams, J. R. (2014). Collaboration: Finding the place for Cooperative Extension in the intersection of community development and health promotion. *Community Development*, 45(1), 90-102.
- Mannarini, T., & Talò, C. (2013). Evaluating public participation: Instruments and implications for citizen involvement. *Community Development*, 44(2), 239-256.
- Marko, C. (2018). The future of community development. *Community Development Practice*, 1(22), 56-59. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from https://www.comm-dev.org/images/CDS_Practice/CDPIssue22Updated.pdf.
- Matarrita-Cascante, D. (2010). Changing communities, community satisfaction, and quality of life: A view of multiple perceived indicators. *Social Indicators Research*, 98(1), 105-127.
- Matthias, N. (2012). Passage from India: Re-imagining self-interest and common good through Self-Reliant Groups (SRGs) in Scotland. *Practice Insights*, 1(1), 6-7. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Issue-1.pdf>.
- Mayo, M. (2012). Editorial. *Practice Insights*, 1(1), 2. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Issue-1.pdf>.
- Mikelbank, B. & Chase, J. (2011). Uncovering hidden rental properties. *Community Development Practice*, 1(20), 14-35. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <https://www.comm-dev.org/images/pdf/CommunityDevelopmentPracticeIssue20.pdf>.

- Milne, A. (2014). Resilience, inequality, and resistance. *Practice Insights*, 1(3), 14-15. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Insights-3.pdf>.
- Mizrahi, T., Bayne-Smith, M., & Garcia, M. L. (2008). Comparative perspectives on interdisciplinary community collaboration between academics and community practitioners. *Community Development*, 39(3), 1-15.
- Murdoch, S. (2012). Tackling poverty in Scotland: A local view. *Practice Insights*, 1(1), 10-11. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Issue-1.pdf>.
- Murdoch, S. (2015). Day 1: Health. *Practice Insights*, 1(4), 8-10. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Issue-4.pdf>.
- Murray, M. (2000). Social capital formation and healthy communities: Insights from the Colorado Healthy Communities Initiative. *Community Development Journal*, 35(2), 99-108.
- Myers, A., & Ravesloot, C. (2016). Navigating time and space: How Americans with disabilities use time and transportation. *Community Development*, 47(1), 75-90.
- Nartova, N., Krupets, Y., & Shilova, A. (2019). HIV activism in modern Russia: From NGOs to community development. *Community Development Journal*. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bsy065>.
- Onyx, J., Benton, P., & Bradfield, J. (1992). Community development and government response. *Community Development Journal*, 27(2), 166-174.
- Pace, B. (2017). Increasing community resilience using climate change modeling within hazard mapping. *Practice Insights*, 1(7), 14-15. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-PI-7-final.pdf>.
- Parisi, D., Harris, D. A., Grice, S. M., & Pressgrove, J. (2008). Community resiliency to BRAC recommendations: A case study of two Mississippi communities. *Community Development*, 39(4), 95-107.
- Parkins, J. R., Stedman, R. C., & Varghese, J. (2001). Moving towards local-level indicators of sustainability in forest-based communities: A mixed-method approach. *Social Indicators Research*, 56(1), 43-72.
- Patsiorkovski, V. V., & O'Brien, D. J. (1997). Material changes, subjective quality of life, and symptoms of stress in three Russian villages. *Community Development*, 28(2), 170-185.
- Penn, R. (1993). Building community capacity: The role of community government. *Community Development Journal*, 28(4), 316-320.
- Perez, N. (2002). Achieving sustainable livelihoods - A case study of a Mexican rural community. *Community Development Journal*, 37(2), 178-187.
- Perkins, D. D., Hughey, J., & Speer, P. W. (2002). Community psychology perspectives on social capital theory and community development practice. *Community Development*, 33(1), 33-52.

- Phillips, D., & Berman, Y. (2003). Social quality and ethnos communities: Concepts and indicators. *Community Development Journal*, 38(4), 344-357.
- Phillips, R., & Lee, S. J. (2018). Introduction to the inaugural volume of International Journal of Community Well-Being. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 1(1), 1-2.
- Phillips, R., & Lee, S. J. (2019a). Introduction to the inaugural volume of International Journal of Community Well-Being. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 1(2), 91-92.
- Phillips, R., & Lee, S. J. (2019b). Introduction Vol. 2 Issue 1 International Journal of Community Well-Being. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 2(1), 1-2.
- Phillips, R., & Lee, S. J. (2019c). Introduction Vol. 2 Issue 2 International Journal of Community Well-Being. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 2(2), 79-80.
- Phillips, R. & Pittman, R. H. (2009). A framework for community and economic development. In R. Phillips & R. H. Pittman (Eds.), *An introduction to community development* (pp. 1-19). New York: Routledge.
- Phillips, R. G., & Stein, J. M. (2013). An indicator framework for linking historic preservation and community economic development. *Social Indicators Research*, 113(1), 1-15.
- Phillips, R., Talmage, C. A., Maupin, C., Ludwigs, K., & Johnson, J. (2018). Community well-being and community development: Connecting across organizations and interests. *Conference Presentation at the 2018 International Society of Quality of Life Studies*. Hong Kong, HK.
- Pine, A., & Bennett, J. (2014). Food access and food deserts: The diverse methods that residents of a neighborhood in Duluth, Minnesota use to provision themselves. *Community Development*, 45(4), 317-336.
- Pittman, R., Pittman, E., Phillips, R., & Cangelosi, J. (2009). The community and economic development chain: Validating the links between processes and outcomes. *Community Development*, 40(1), 80-93.
- Prati, G., Albanesi, C., & Pietrantonio, L. (2016). The reciprocal relationship between sense of community and social well-being: A cross-lagged panel analysis. *Social Indicators Research*, 127(3), 1321-1332.
- Pstross, M., Talmage, C. A., & Knopf, R. C. (2014). A story about storytelling: Enhancement of community participation through catalytic storytelling. *Community Development*, 45(5), 525-538.
- Qiao, M., Wong, C., & Zheng, W. (2019). Sustainable urbanisation and community well-being in suburban neighbourhoods in Beijing, China. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 2(1), 15-39.
- Rahman, S. A., Amran, A., Ahmad, N. H., & Taghizadeh, S. K. (2016). Enhancing the wellbeing of base of the pyramid entrepreneurs through business success: The role of private organizations. *Social Indicators Research*, 127(1), 195-216.
- Recker, N. (2013). Bonds, bridges and quality of life in small towns. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 8(1), 63-75.

- Robinson, K. L., Robinson, K. K., Carpio, C., & Hughes, D. (2007). Linking sustainable agriculture and community development: The lowcountry food bank's use of locally grown foods. *Community Development*, 38(3), 77-89.
- Rogers, S. H., Halstead, J. M., Gardner, K. H., & Carlson, C. H. (2011). Examining walkability and social capital as indicators of quality of life at the municipal and neighborhood scales. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 6(2), 201-213.
- Ross, A., & Searle, M. (2019). Age related differences in neighborhood sense of community: Impacts of the neighborhood environment and leisure time physical activity. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 2(1), 41-59.
- Ross, A., Talmage, C. A., & Searle, M. (2019). Toward a flourishing neighborhood: The association of happiness and sense of community. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 14(5), 1333-1352.
- Schafft, K. A., & Greenwood, D. J. (2003). Promises and dilemmas of participation: Action research, search conference methodology, and community development. *Community Development*, 34(1), 18-35.
- Schlaepfer, C. F., Viana, G. R., & Moretti, S. A. (1994). Community development and social movements: an experience of solidarity and citizenship in Brazil. *Community Development Journal*, 29(4), 329-336.
- Scorsone, E. A., & Powers, K. (2005). Entrepreneurial facilitation: Approaches for boosting entrepreneurship in local economic development. *Community Development Practice*, 1(11), 1-19. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <https://www.comm-dev.org/images/pdf/cd-practice-issue-11.pdf>.
- Shevellar, L., Connor, M., & Westoby, P. (2014). The role of community development in building resilience in response to disasters: The Queensland experience. *Practice Insights*, 1(3), 4-6. Retrieved 12 September 2019 from <http://www.iacdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/IACD-Practice-Insights-3.pdf>.
- Shortall, S., & Shucksmith, M. (2001). Rural development in practice: issues arising in Scotland and Northern Ireland. *Community Development Journal*, 36(2), 122-133.
- Sirgy, M. J., Gao, T., & Young, R. F. (2008). How does residents' satisfaction with community services influence quality of life (QOL) outcomes? *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 3(2), 81-106.
- Skipper, J. (2016). Community development through reconciliation tourism: The behind the Big House Program in Holly Springs, Mississippi. *Community Development*, 47(4), 514-529.
- Snaveley, K., & Beck, R. (1993). Defining the role of nonprofit corporations in community economic development. *Community Development*, 24(2), 213-228.
- Steele, J., Bourke, L., Luloff, A. E., Liao, P. S., Theodori, G. L., & Krannich, R. S. (2001). The drop-off/pick-up method for household survey research. *Community Development*, 32(2), 238-250.
- Steinnes, D. N. (1990). An analysis of infrastructure provision and local economic development policy. *Community Development*, 21(1), 33-53.

- Stevens, A., Bur, A. M., & Young, L. (2003). People, jobs, rights and power: The roles of participation in combating social exclusion in Europe. *Community Development Journal*, 38(2), 84-95.
- Stoecker, R. (2006). Neighborhood data systems: A best practice analysis. *Community Development*, 37(4), 109-122.
- Stone, S. A., Frost, L. E., Van Norman, J. R., & Casey, K. A. (2010). Creating a mentally healthy community through the use of behavioral health indicators. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 5(4), 273-285.
- Talmage, C., Hagen, B., Pijawka, D., & Nassar, C. (2018). Measuring neighborhood quality of life: Placed-based sustainability indicators in Freiburg, Germany. *Urban Science*, 2(4), 106.
- Talmage, C. A., Peterson, C. B., & Knopf, R. C. (2017). Punk rock wisdom: An emancipative psychological social capital approach to community well-being. In R. Phillips & C. Wong (Eds.), *Handbook of community well-being research* (pp. 11-38). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Talmage, C. A., Pijawka, D., & Hagen, B. (2019). Re-examination of quality of life indicators in US-Mexico border cities: A critical review. *International Journal of Community Well-Being* 2(2), 135-154.
- Talò, C. (2018). Community-based determinants of community engagement: A meta-analysis research. *Social Indicators Research*, 140(2), 571-596.
- Talò, C., Mannarini, T., & Rochira, A. (2014). Sense of community and community participation: A meta-analytic review. *Social Indicators Research*, 117(1), 1-28.
- Tang, L. (2016). Barriers to recovery for Chinese mental health service-users in the UK: A case for community development. *Community Development Journal*, 53(2), 358-374.
- Theodori, G. L. (2000). Levels of analysis and conceptual clarification in community attachment and satisfaction research: Connections to community development. *Community Development*, 31(1), 35-58.
- Theodori, G. L. (2004). Community attachment, satisfaction, and action. *Community Development*, 35(2), 73-86.
- Thomas, D. F., Gaede, D., Jurin, R. R., & Connolly, L. S. (2008). Understanding the link between business organizations and construction of community sense of place: The place based network model. *Community Development*, 39(3), 33-45.
- Vaneekhaute, L. E., Vanwing, T., Jacquet, W., Abelshausen, B., & Meurs, P. (2017). Community resilience 2.0: Toward a comprehensive conception of community-level resilience. *Community Development*, 48(5), 735-751.
- Veleva, V. (2010). Toward developing a framework for measuring the business value of corporate community involvement. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 5(4), 309-324.
- Vyas, M. (2009). Unionization as a strategy in community organization in the context of privatization: The case of conservancy workers in Mumbai. *Community Development Journal*, 44(3), 320-335.
- Walzer, N., Weaver, L., & McGuire, C. (2016). Collective impact approaches and community development issues. *Community Development*, 47(2), 156-166.

- Weeranakin, P., & Promphakping, B. (2018). Local meanings of wellbeing and the construction of wellbeing indicators. *Social Indicators Research, 138*(2), 689-703.
- Wellman, G. C. (2012). Community empowerment and public transportation agencies: A case study analysis of transit agencies' community development initiatives. *Community Development, 43*(4), 512-526.
- Welzel, C., Inglehart, R., & Deutsch, F. (2005). Social capital, voluntary associations and collective action: Which aspects of social capital have the greatest 'civic' payoff? *Journal of Civil Society, 1*(2), 121-146.
- Wheeler, C. A. (2016). Barriers to community development in distressed cities: A case study of Camden, New Jersey. *Community Development, 47*(4), 496-513.
- Wise, J., & Driskell, R. (2017). Tolerance within community: Does social capital affect tolerance? *Social Indicators Research, 134*(2), 607-629.
- Wright Morton, L., & Miller, L. (2007). Connecting sustainable agriculture to rural development: The case of pasture-based dairy grazing. *Community Development, 38*(3), 23-38.
- Zanbar, L. (2018). The impact of community activity on the personal resources of low-SES residents: Differences between activists and non-activists. *Community Development, 49*(4), 415-431.
- Zautra, A., Hall, J., & Murray, K. (2008). Community development and community resilience: An integrative approach. *Community Development, 39*(3), 130-147.
- Zekeri, A. A. (1996). Community action in Alabama's Black Belt timber-dependent communities. *Social Indicators Research, 39*(2), 203-228.
- Zhang, X., & Warner, M. E. (2017). Business retention and expansion and business clusters—A comprehensive approach to community development. *Community Development, 48*(2), 170-186.