

University of Mississippi

eGrove

Faculty and Student Publications

Political Science

9-6-2022

An Ethnic Security Dilemma in Bosnia-Herzegovina: Civic Pride and Civics Education

Matthew Becker

University of Mississippi, mtbecker@olemiss.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/politicalscience_facpubs



Part of the [Political Science Commons](#)


Recommended Citation

Becker, M. (2022). An Ethnic Security Dilemma in Bosnia-Herzegovina: Civic Pride and Civics Education. *Nationalities Papers*, 1-15. doi:10.1017/nps.2022.51

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Political Science at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty and Student Publications by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact egrove@olemiss.edu.

ARTICLE

An Ethnic Security Dilemma in Bosnia-Herzegovina: Civic Pride and Civics Education

Matthew T. Becker 

University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS, USA
Email: mtbecker@olemiss.edu

Abstract

This article attempts to explore the link between education and democracy. Education is supposed to serve as a unifying factor and socialization agent among citizens of a state; teaching them who they are and what their country expects of them. The role of the educational system is important for the state in building a civic identity and patriotism among students. In Bosnia-Herzegovina (BiH), students complete a “Democracy and Human Rights” civics education course in primary and secondary schools; however, the current pedagogical implementation of instilling a civic identity is low, with little attention paid to civic identity promotion. This article examines the notions of civic pride and education among high school seniors in BiH via statistical analysis of original field data (n=5,749 surveys; 78 high schools in 53 towns). Identity politics and ethnic saliency are explored, with concluding views on the lack of (perceived) rights among the Croat student population. Cross-cutting cleavages and interpersonal trust are low, with the ethnic promoted over the civic.

Keywords: Bosnia and Herzegovina; Civics Education; Civic Pride; Ethnic Saliency; Identity; Security Dilemma

In order to understand ethnic saliency and civic pride in Bosnia and Herzegovina (BiH), an overview of the educational system must be put forth. Understanding the complex ethnically-based educational systems shows how the civic identity is disregarded in favor of the ethnic identity. The current educational system in BiH is highly decentralized and came about via the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina (better known as the 1995 Dayton Peace Accords)¹ and the 1994 Washington Agreement. Torsti (2009, 67) puts the blame squarely where it belongs, stating that: “[t]he Dayton Peace Agreement institutionalized the war-time educational division.” It failed in addressing primary and secondary education issues – that is, the educational system played a secondary role in the peace treaty (Pingel 2009, 258). The consequences of the 1995 Dayton Peace Accords and the decentralized educational systems is that educational policy is left in the hands of ethnonationalist parties who wish to cement the ethnic cleansing that took place during the 1992–1995 war; indeed, since authority for creating and implementing educational policy was given to the individual cantons under the Constitution of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina (Section III Article 4.b), the cantons have “... organized their individual school systems according to national dividing lines” (Bartulović 2006, 54), thus giving rise to what Swimelar (2012) terms a “societal security dilemma.”

In BiH, there are three truths and three official versions of history. In her analysis of how the 1992–1995 Bosnian War is represented in the primary and secondary school history textbooks

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Association for the Study of Nationalities. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the same Creative Commons licence is included and the original work is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use.

used in Republika Srpska,² Bartulović (2006, 64) finds that the message portrayed is that “... new conflicts will erupt sooner or later, since Serbs are separated from their fatherland and are being forced to sacrifice the unity of their nation” This underscores the concept of nationalism as a political theory of legitimacy, where political state borders should not cut across ethnic ones (Gellner 1983, 1) and that all people of a certain nation should live in the same nation-state. Anzulović (1999) notes that sacrifice through suffering has also been a historically important aspect for the Serbs; this harkens back to the sacrifice of Serbian Prince Lazar Hrebeljanović at the 1389 Battle of Kosovo Polje and the birth of the Kosovo Myth. In another analysis of history textbooks in BiH (Bosniak, Croat, and Serb), Baranović (2001, 24) found that these textbooks contribute “... to the creation of a closed, ethnocentric identity of children, rather than to an identity open to diversity” This ethnocentric focus was most predominant in the Croat history textbooks, followed by the Serbian and Bosniak books (Baranović 2001, 24).

The country has three ethnonational curricula,³ based on language: the Bosnian National Plan and Program (B-NPP), Croatian National Plan and Program (H-NPP), and the Serbian National Plan and Program (S-NPP). Within the Brčko District of Bosnia and Herzegovina, a separate, unified curriculum is used (students are segregated, however, in “mother tongue” language classes). BiH also has seven Catholic high schools and six madrassas. In this article, I refer to the B-NPP as the Bosniak curriculum for clarity purposes. Catholic schools are included in this study but madrassas are not.⁴

Literature Review

Having an identity is an important aspect of being human; Erikson (1968, 130) states that “... in the social jungle of human existence there is no feeling of being alive without a sense of identity.” According to Phinney (1996, 143), the study of ethnic identity emphasizes how individual group members understand and interpret their own identity. Ethnic saliency refers to how important their ethnic identity is to them (Roberts et al. 1999). According to identity formation theory, ethnic saliency is the outcome of ethnic identity search (Phinney 1993). From the perspective of social psychology, ethnic identity is a part of social identity, which Tajfel (1981, 255) defines as “... that *part* of an individual’s self-concept which derives from his knowledge of his membership of a social group (or groups) together with the value and emotional significance attached to that membership.” Related to the idea of identity being a self-concept, Marcia (1980, 159) argues that identity is a “self-structure,” defined as being “... an internal, self-constructed, dynamic organization of drives, abilities, beliefs, and individual history.”

The concept and process of identity formation does not begin or end during adolescence, but adolescence is a time period that is much more critical than other periods of life for identity formation (Marcia 1980, 160). Identity formation in adolescence may be influenced by a number of socialization agents, such as the mass media (Zaller 1996), families (Bringa 1995, 84; Erikson 1968), and schools (Ehman 1980; Gellner 1983, 34; Roper 2005; Torsti 2007). The process in which all of this occurs is known as “ethnic socialization,” which refers to the manner in which young people “... acquire the behaviors, perceptions, values, and attitudes of an ethnic group, and come to see themselves and others as members of such groups” (Rotheram and Phinney 1987, 11). Anderson (2006) refers to such a group, the nation, as an “imagined community.”

School education is supposed to serve as a unifying factor and socialization agent among citizens of a state, teaching them who they are (e.g., national identity; Bartulović 2006) and what their country expects of them (e.g., civic duties of the citizen; Baranović 2001; Oder 2005). The role of the educational system is important for the state in building an identity and patriotism among students.⁵ Schools also affect conceptualization of student identity because school education is a central form of political and ethnic socialization for young people (Roper 2005, 503; Torsti 2007, 92), and schools in postwar societies serve as a particularly strong socialization

agent (Ajduković and Biruški 2008). In the context of the Soviet nationalities policy, Gorenburg (2001, 74) states that “[b]y establishing separate systems of native language education for most of the minority ethnic groups that had their own ethno-territorial administrative units, the Soviet government in effect created an institution dedicated to instilling a common and separate identity among the students.” Wertsch (2000) and Worden (2014) argue the opposite of Gorenburg (2001), claiming that the role of schools is limited as a vehicle of socialization in the Soviet and post-Soviet contexts.

When schools become divided along ethnic lines, such as in BiH, children have limited opportunity to meet and have contact with others across the ethnic divide. In such circumstances, students are socialized to not interact with the “other;” this therefore prevents inter-cultural contact from occurring⁶ in such schools, as first put forth by Allport (1958). In postwar ethnically divided communities, such as in Vukovar, Croatia, the “... children grow up within a context loaded with social signs saying the community wants you to stay within your own ethnic group” (Ajduković and Biruški 2008, 340). Indeed, the central concept of socialization theory is that educational institutions transmit norms, values, and models of behavior which are considered appropriate in a given society. Niemi and Hepburn (1995, 6) argue that the high school years should hold great interest for political scientists in particular, because “... it is then that society makes the most explicit and concentrated effort to teach political knowledge and civic values.” Quaynor (2012, 33; 40–41) explores trends in post-conflict citizenship education, where four broad trends were found: “... avoidance of controversial issues, the unique role of ethnicity, a lack of trust in political parties, and authoritarianism.”

In newly democratizing states, the automatic transmission of democratic ideals, which is taken for granted in the United States, cannot be taken for granted in societies that are in transition; for as Niemi and Hepburn (1995, 9) state: “...it cannot be readily assumed that education institutions or families will teach the appropriate knowledge and values” necessary to support a democratic polity.⁷ In post-conflict societies, schools serve as “... a site in which the politics of accountability and acknowledgment are played out,” whereby they teach “... the history that led up to the conflict” (Sivac-Bryant 2008, 107, 115). In the case of the emerging democracies of postcommunist Eastern Europe and the Balkans, an understanding of the political socialization process can be done by examining the textbooks used in such classes as civics, history, and even geography – where the pedagogy used is part of the socialization process. Nationalist discourse may be found in the classrooms, where students are taught in an ethno-centric environment (Baranović 2001; Bartulović 2006; Korostelina 2010; Murgescu 2002, 92, 96–97). Understanding the impact of schools is especially important in BiH, since the country has three truths and three official versions of history, based on ethnicity.⁸

Outside of the formal classroom, McFarland and Thomas (2006, 412, 418, 421) find that four specific high school extra-curricular associations are politically salient in encouraging political participation as adults, even seven to twelve years after high school graduation: student government (SGA), community service organizations, performing arts (debate club, drama club, or music), and religious organizations. Youniss et al. (1997, 629) also find that American students who participated in high school government are put “... on a developmental path toward constructive citizenship.” Those who were SGA members were more likely to become members of civic organizations compared to those who were not involved in high school governance (Youniss et al. 1997, 620). This is because these students, along with those who participated in civic community service projects, observe that “... their individual and collective actions make a difference by producing effects that have an impact on the high school and wider local community” (Youniss et al. 1997, 624). Hanks and Eckland (1978) found that participation in high school government or some other extra-curricular activity in high school was the strongest predictor of adult membership in civic associations.

In his study of regional governments in Italy, Putnam (1993) found that 19th century civic traditions (if present), served as powerful predictors of 20th century effective, representative

government at the regional level. He found that in order for democracy to work, the establishment of social capital is necessary (Putnam 1993, 185); where individuals do not possess the virtues or character of the *vita civile*, republics cannot be sustained (Putnam 1993, 132). Alexis de Tocqueville made a similar observation in regards to what makes democracy work in America at its founding: civic associationalism. For de Tocqueville, it was the robustness of civil society in America that allowed for republican, representative democracy to succeed in the U.S., while failing in his native France and the rest of Europe at the time. It is through civil society that social capital is built and sustained. In the 1830s, he observed that:

Americans of all ages, all conditions, all minds constantly unite. Not only do they have commercial and industrial associations in which all take part, but they also have a thousand other kinds: religious, moral, grave, futile, very general and very particular, immense and very small ... (de Tocqueville 2000, 489).

The social and political implications of this civic-ness relate to the institutionalization of strong democratic governance and civil society, where the causal arrow points in both directions: civic culture and democracy support and build off of one another. Inglehart (1990, 22–25) finds similar support for the notion of civil society and democracy, drawing a relationship with the emergence of a “civic political culture” and interpersonal trust. The idea of the civic culture is thus: individuals in a society must be able to trust one another, which allows them to form civic organizations, which eventually leads to democracy (Almond and Verba 1989).

In regards to civil society in postcommunist states, organizational membership is consistently lower compared to other states due to a mistrust of communist organizations⁹ as well as general disappointment in the postcommunist systems (Howard 2002). In the context of BiH, Perry (2009, 40) argues that civil society has not been able to take root because “... voters make ballot choices not based on whether one party or another is making improvements in their lives but based solely on fear driven by nationalist party campaigning.” For Belloni (2001, 173) this is due to the fact that politics in BiH is constitutionally based on ethnicity; when this is the case, “... civil society tends to have little ability to influence events on the political level or to invoke a change toward multi-ethnicity and tolerance.” A weak postcommunist civil society does not, however, mean that democracy is in danger (Howard 2002). In an empirical study conducted in May 1999 on civics education and the Project Citizen (*Projekat građanin / Ja građanin*) program¹⁰ in BiH, Soule (2000, 19) found that students who participated in Project Citizen had greater political tolerance compared to students who did not participate in the program. Participation in Project Citizen also “... positively affects skills and knowledge, and, to a lesser extent, attitudes and values that are supportive of democracy” (Soule 2000, 19).

In another study conducted in September 2000 and April 2001 in four cantons (two majority Bosniak and two majority Croat), Soule (2002, 21) found participation in Project Citizen made students more interested in politics compared to those who did not participate in the program. These findings provide some hope for BiH, but according to the most recent data on interpersonal trust in BiH, derived from the “European Values Study / World Values Survey 2017–2021 Report” (EVS/WVS 2017–2021 Report), it was found that only 9.6% of BiH citizens believed “most people could be trusted” (EVS/WVS 2017–2021, 172). Prior to the Joint EVS/WVS 2017–2021 Survey, the second-most recent data came from the 1999–2004 World Values Survey (Wave 4); in Wave 4, it was found that only 15.6% of BiH citizens believed “most people can be trusted” (WVS 1999–2004 Survey, 22). A lack of interpersonal trust, low social capital, and politics rooted in ethnicity may lead one to conclude that civic pride would be low among high school students in BiH. The exception would be among Bosniaks and self-identifying Bosnians, since they view BiH as their “homeland” and do not have an external “parent state” or “external homeland” to turn to.

Data and Methodology

Data was gathered via field surveys of high school seniors in BiH during the 2012–2013 academic year, using the Revised Multigroup Ethnic Identity Measure (Roberts et al. 1999; see endnote 15). Students were given the survey and answered the questions in class. The surveys were written in the Bosnian, Croatian, and Serbian languages.¹¹ A total of 5,749 paper surveys were conducted at 78 high schools in 53 cities and towns across the country. The selection of cities and towns was based on a non-probability sampling approach. Within the Federation of BiH, a total of 4,288 surveys were gathered; in Republika Srpska, a total of 1,149 surveys were gathered; and in the Brčko District of BiH, a total of 312 surveys were gathered. In regards to the three national curricula, 36 surveyed schools operated on the Bosniak curriculum, 24 on the Croatian curriculum, and 13 on the Serbian curriculum. Three schools in Brčko and four Catholic high schools¹² were surveyed as well. In this study, females constituted 61.78% of the population and males constituted 38.21%. Females constituted the majority in both urban and rural schools (61.92% and 60.10%, respectively; fieldwork by Becker 2015).

Student responses to two questions are the focus of this article. The first question asked the student was “How proud are you to be a citizen of Bosnia and Herzegovina?” Students had the following response options: (1) not at all proud, (2) not very proud, (3) quite proud, or (4) very proud. The mean BiH civic pride score¹³ among students who self-identified as Bosniak (Sunni Muslim), Croat (Roman Catholic), Serb (Serbian Orthodox), or Bosnian (civic identity) is 2.67 ($n=5,305$). The second response question was student national self-identification, in which they were asked to provide the national self-identification of themselves and of their parents. Students were given the following response options: (1) Bosniak, (2) Croat, (3) Serb, (4) Bosnian, (5) Roma, or (6) Other. If respondents chose “Other,” they had the option to write in a national or ethnic group of their choice. For schools in the Brčko District of BiH, it was required to modify possible self-identification responses by the Brčko District of BiH Department of Education in order to carry out the survey in their schools.¹⁴ This article focuses on students who self-identified as being either Bosniak, Croat, Serb, or Bosnian.

H1: Increased ethnic saliency will decrease civic pride among Croat and Serb students.

H2: Increased ethnic saliency will increase civic pride among Bosniak and Bosnian students.

Stata 12.1 was used to conduct four Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regressions, with Bosnian-Herzegovinian civic pride (*BiH Pride*) as the dependent variable. The independent variable “ethnic saliency” is derived from the “affirmation, belonging, and commitment” subscale factor of the Revised Multigroup Ethnic Identity Measure (Roberts et al. 1999).¹⁵ The independent variables are ethnic saliency, whether or not the school is located in an urban or rural area (*Urban*), and student gender (*Male*). See Table 1 for the results of the regressions. Please see the Appendix for the survey items. My models are:

Model 1a (Bosniak): BiH Pride = Ethnic saliency + Male + Urban

Model 1b (Croat): BiH Pride = Ethnic saliency + Male + Urban

Model 1c (Serb): BiH Pride = Ethnic saliency + Male + Urban

Model 1d (Bosnian): BiH Pride = Ethnic saliency + Male + Urban

Results

In BiH, schools put forth an ethno-centric (Bosniak, Croat, or Serb) focus; since BiH is viewed as the Bosniak homeland, their education emphasizes the Bosnian state, whereas Croat and Serb education emphasizes either Croatia or Serbia as their respective homelands through the National Group of Subjects (Baranović, 2001, 24; Bartulović, 2006, 64). Due to this, a general negative outlook on BiH civic pride among Serbs was expected due to the anti-BiH sentiment within the Serb curriculum

Table 1. Civic Pride among High School Students in Bosnia and Herzegovina

	Bosniak	Croat	Serb	Bosnian
Ethnic Saliency	0.649*** (0.0285)	0.124** (0.0394)	0.114** (0.0374)	0.589*** (0.0513)
Urban	-0.0241 (0.0334)	-0.173** (0.0649)	-0.153** (0.0504)	0.00799 (0.0811)
Male	0.158*** (0.0345)	-0.333*** (0.0504)	-0.375*** (0.0519)	0.0935 (0.0831)
R-square	0.211	0.037	0.055	0.235
N	2,038	1,588	1,211	441

(Standard errors in parentheses)

+p<0.10 *p<0.05 **p<0.01 ***p<0.001

(Bartulović 2006) as well as the general political discourse within Republika Srpska.¹⁶ In the case of the Bosniak curriculum, a general positive outlook on civic pride was expected due to its pro-BiH sentiment. Although nationality and school curricula are highly correlated, the author found that Serbs who study on the Bosniak curriculum had statistically significant, lower ethnic saliency scores in comparison to their co-ethnics on the Serbian curriculum (that is, studying on a “non-appropriate” curriculum). Given those findings, the results of this study present a quite unexpected result: as Serb ethnic saliency increases, so does their BiH civic pride in a statistically significant manner ($p < 0.01$). The same holds true for Croats. Hypothesis 1 must be rejected. The Croat situation potentially could be explained by the fact that in the case of Croats from Bosnia-proper, they have historically been supporters of a unified Bosnian state – unlike the Croats of Herzegovina (Tanner 2001, 285). The statistical results among Bosniaks and Bosnians are not surprising: increased civic pride is statistically related to high ethnic saliency ($p < 0.001$). Hypothesis 2 may be accepted.

One self-identifying Croat student from Posušje (located in West Herzegovina Canton) explained in an unsolicited message (written in Croatian) why she was not proud to be a citizen of BiH:

Uopće nisam ponosna, al' niti malo. Jer je položaj Hrvata nebitan, nemamo nikakva prava. Ima nas samo 17%, te smo manjina. To bi se trebalo promijeniti da imamo neka prava i da donosimo bitne odluke u državi – HERCEGOVINA.

[I am not proud at all, not even a little. This is because the position of Croats (in this country) is irrelevant; we do not have any rights. At only 17% of the population, we are a minority. This needs to be changed so that we can have some rights and bring about essential decisions in the state – HERZEGOVINA.]

This view expresses a sentiment amongst the Croats of Herzegovina that they are outvoted by the numerically superior Bosniaks within the Federation of BiH. This perception is also a reality, as may be seen through the election and re-election of Željko Komšić.

The country has three presidents, one from each constituent people.¹⁷ The election of Željko Komšić to the Croat post of the tripartite presidency (2006–2010; 2010–2014; 2018–2022) was viewed as illegitimate in all three election cycles because he received most of his electoral votes from Bosniak-majority municipalities and/or cantons with little to no support from Croat-majority municipalities/cantons:¹⁸ for nationalist Croats, this was electoral fraud (Croat-majority cantons

are located in the region of Herzegovina, with the exception of Posavina Canton – which comprises only two municipalities in the northeast of BiH). This has led to increased calls for a third, Croat-dominated entity to “guarantee” the electoral rights of the Croatian people. Bakir Izetbegović (a Bosniak) stated in 2018 – while he was the Bosniak member of the tripartite presidency – that Croats “cannot get a third entity in Bosnia without a war” (as cited in Lakić 2018, 1). A Croat entity would be in the interest of the nationalist leadership in Republika Srpska (led by Milorad Dodik, the current Serb member of the tripartite presidency and former prime minister of Republika Srpska), whose secessionist rhetoric would increase, claiming the Bosnian state is not viable.¹⁹ Serb support for the nationalist Croat parties would drive a deeper wedge between the Croats and Bosniaks within the Federation of BiH as well.

Ethnic saliency is an emotional attachment to one’s own ethnic group. The ethnic is promoted over the civic in the BiH educational system. There is no statistically significant difference in mean ethnic saliency scores²⁰ among Bosniaks, Croats, and Serbs. The paradox, then, is that although an increase in ethnic saliency statistically increases BiH civic pride among Serbs, their mean civic pride score is noticeably lower in comparison to the other two constituent peoples: 1.88 (Serb); 2.24 (Croat); 3.36 (Bosniak). Self-identifying Bosnians have a civic pride score of 3.13. Although civic pride “increases,” it does not have an emotional effect towards the state of BiH. That is, although higher ethnic saliency (an emotional attachment to one’s own ethnic group) correlates to a higher civic pride score, civic pride amongst the Serbs is abysmally low.

The effect of student gender was not a focus of this research, but it netted interesting results as a control variable. Student gender played statistically significant roles among Bosniaks, Croats, and Serbs, but had no effect among self-identifying Bosnians. Female Croats and female Serbs had a statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), higher civic pride score compared to their male co-ethnics. Among Bosniaks, the opposite occurred: male Bosniaks have statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), higher civic pride scores in comparison to their female co-ethnics. The role of gender²¹ and nationalism would be an interesting topic to explore in future research but is beyond the scope of this article. The variable *Urban* only had a statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) effect among Croats and Serbs, but in an unexpected manner: attending school in a small town / rural area increased civic pride compared to attending school in a large city / urban area. A possible explanation for this is that these areas tend to be more homogenous with less prominent ethno-political divides. See Table 1 for the full regression results.

Along with gender, the role of religious service attendance and religious education in schools on civic pride and nationalist sentiment would be interesting topics to explore in future research. In her qualitative work, Bringa (1995, 79) states that religion and ethnic identity are linked together in BiH; however, I found in previous quantitative research (Becker 2015) that a coupling and de-coupling of religion and ethnonational identity are currently taking place in the country: among Bosniaks and Croats they are coupled, whereas among Serbs and self-identifying Bosnians they are de-coupled.

Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

This article attempts to explore the link between education and democracy in a postwar society; it illustrates the complexity of a situation where ethnic identity can assume dominance. The institutionalization of the ethnic over the civic identity is the norm, with no changes in sight.²² The current pedagogical implementation of instilling a civic identity is lacking in the country, although the Project Citizen program offered through Civitas BiH has helped in fostering tolerance and support for democracy. Formal civics education in BiH must be expanded beyond teaching the general democratic process and current government structures in the country. The notion of a “Bosnian-Herzegovinian” civic identity must be taught as well if the country is to experience true democratic consolidation. This goes beyond revising the current civics course, however; the manner in which other subjects are taught must be changed as well. Instilling a civic identity through teaching a common history should be introduced as well. This would involve revising the current

National Group of Subjects (NPP), a matter that is closely tied to ethnic politics and ethnic identity – and constitutionally protected as a vital national interest, thus making it an “ethnic security dilemma.”²³ The reason education becomes an “ethnic security dilemma” in BiH is because school education *is* an agent of socialization.

The three national curricula could be sustainable in a positive manner, however, if reconciliation is included in the school learning environment. Indeed, the wider research dataset from which this article draws upon has shown that the divided schooling and ethno-centric lessons do not have the effects that previous qualitative research and “policy experts” from the international community in BiH have claimed; the majority of BiH students are actually willing to interact and be friends with those from a different ethnonational group.²⁴ This willingness amongst students must be built into interpersonal trust and a civic identity, however, in order for democratic consolidation to truly occur in BiH. Willingness for interaction and friendship is the first step, however. Inglehart (1990, 22–25) also draws a relationship with the emergence of a “civic political culture” and interpersonal trust. Identity is currently a zero-sum game for ethnic elites and is used for nationalist party campaigning (Mujkić and Hulseley 2010). Identity should not be a zero-sum game; indeed, it is possible for citizens to have multiple identities if promoted and taught in schools: civic, ethnic, regional, etc. An example of promoting civic-ness may be seen in the case of the *de facto* independent, but unrecognized (except by the Republic of Turkey) Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (TRNC), in which ethno-centric and ethnonationalist history textbooks and lessons were changed to promote civic-ness (a Cypriot “territorial” identity) along with co-existence and reconciliation (Vural and Özuyanık 2008). A similar undertaking in the Republic of Cyprus by the Greek-Cypriots has yet to occur, however (Vural and Özuyanık 2008, 150). The findings of Dembinska (2017) confirm those of Vural and Özuyanık (2008), in that the educational shift allowed for a civic-territorial identity to emerge and co-exist with an ethnic-Turkish identity.

What does the TRNC example mean for BiH? It shows that reconciliation and the promotion of a shared civic identity is possible in a post-conflict society when the proper political will is present. This political will, unfortunately, is not currently present in BiH. Smith (2003, 30) puts it bluntly: “... the most crucial question that citizenship education must address in a divided society is whether its citizens are committed to integrated development through shared institutions or more disposed towards a form of peaceful coexistence that involves separate development.” The phenomenon of “two schools under one roof”²⁵ lends itself towards peaceful coexistence rather than integrated development.

Almond and Verba (1989, 372) claim that in order for a new country to establish a civic culture, it “... needs both the unifying symbols and system affect that the Mexican Revolution has provided, as well as the cognitive skills that exist in Germany. There must be a symbolic event, or symbolic, charismatic leader, or some other means of creating commitment and unity at the symbolic level.” BiH currently lacks a cross-ethnic leader who is popular among the three constituent peoples, and its history is still very much political – viewed through an ethno-centric lens. Even the medieval Bosnian Kingdom cannot serve as a civic rallying point among the three groups at this point.

An expanded Project Citizen program (which was not explored in the original dataset) could help foster a deeper commitment to democratic consolidation and civic-ness, thus creating commitment and unity among the citizenry. Indeed, it has already been found that Project Citizen has positive effects on its participants in comparison to those who do not participate in the program (Soule 2000, 19). A unifying agent or symbol must be implemented. One potential symbol has since been turned into an ethnic one, unfortunately: the *fleur de lis*, claimed by Bosniaks. Ultimately, the people of BiH must take the initiative for any change they wish to see; the development of a robust civil society with cross-cutting cleavages will be the key to BiH’s democratic consolidation. Unfortunately, BiH must overcome not only the problems of post-communism (Howard 2002) but also post-conflict issues, which has been preventing the implementation of strong civics education (Niens and Chastenay 2008; Quaynor 2012). It is still a society deeply divided along ethnic lines, where identity is viewed as a zero-sum game. Project Citizen can be the foundation for this to occur.

Student involvement in high school governance (SGA) or other high school extra-curricular activities should also be promoted, as these are the strongest predictors of adult membership in civic associations (Hanks and Eckland 1978; McFarland and Thomas 2006; Youniss et al. 1997). Student Government Associations must also go beyond school-related issues and promote cross-community volunteer initiatives, such as joint projects with schools of opposite ethnic groups; in towns where “two schools under one roof” exist, this would be easier to organize since the town itself is not mono-ethnic. Outside of SGA-organized activities, civic groups must also possess cross-cutting cleavages since the majority of schools do not possess multi-ethnic student bodies; otherwise, they only serve the interest of the ethnic over the civic. The citizens of BiH must decide: do they want joint development or separate co-existence with the ever present shadow of three competing ethnic security dilemmas?

Financial Support. David L. Boren Fellowship.

Disclosures. None.

Notes

- 1 The 1995 Dayton Accords also established the Office of the High Representative in Bosnia and Herzegovina, led by the High Representative for Bosnia and Herzegovina. This position is charged with overseeing the peace agreement in BiH on behalf of the Peace Implementation Council. The High Representative has the power to remove elected officials from office via the 1997 Bonn Powers, among other rights. This position cannot be held by a BiH citizen.
- 2 Republika Srpska is one of two post-war Entities within BiH; the other is the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. The Brčko District of BiH comprises the municipality of Brčko and is held “in-condominium” between the two Entities. Republika Srpska is majority Serb, whereas the Federation of BiH is majority Bosniak and Croat. The Federation of BiH is further decentralized into 10 cantons, based on ethnicity (Bosniak or Croat). The Constitution of BiH states that the country is comprised of three constituent peoples: Bosniaks (Sunni Muslim), Croats (Roman Catholic), and Serbs (Serbian Orthodox). A civic “Bosnian” or “Bosnian-Herzegovinian” identity is not recognized in the constitution or the census.
- 3 The Constitutional Court of the Federation of BiH ruled in November 2014 that the specific policy of *dvije škole pod jednim krovom* (“two schools under one roof;” which exists in Central Bosnia, Herzegovina-Neretva, and Zenica-Doboj cantons) is discriminatory, and that “organizing school systems based on ethnic background and implementing curriculums on ethnic principles, which divide children” must end and that these schools must establish “common integrated multicultural educational facilities” (as cited in Džidić 2014, 1). However, the court lacks enforcement mechanisms at the cantonal level; in addition, Section IV (5) Article 17 (a) of the Federation of BiH Constitution stipulates for the protection of “vital national interests,” which includes education, religion, and language being part of the “identity of one constitutive people.” In October 2012, the Municipal Court of Travnik ruled that the policy of *dvije škole pod jednim krovom* does not violate any anti-discrimination laws and is not unconstitutional (Karabegović 2012, 1).
- 4 Madrassas were excluded from this study due to their strictly religious nature. Catholic schools, although religious, are open to students of any national group (the exception to this is the school in Travnik, which operates on the “two schools under one roof” system).
- 5 Banks (2008) argues that this “liberal assimilationist conception” should be reformed to reflect global migrations and incorporate the home cultures of immigrants. I disagree with Banks (2008).
- 6 The basic premise of the “contact hypothesis” is that reconciliation between different groups can occur when they have contact with one another (Allport 1958). In a meta-analysis of 515 studies,

- Pettigrew and Tropp (2006) found that contact tends to decrease prejudice towards the out-group. This contact creates positive out-group perceptions, but it also has the effect of re-enforcing our own identity (Schöpflin 2003). Through these encounters with “otherness,” our own identity becomes “... relational so that contact with otherness is both positive and negative ...” (Schöpflin 2003, 479–480).
- 7 According to Murgescu (2002, 96), there is an authoritarian pattern that is present in schools located in Southeastern Europe, which stresses the unity of the ethnic-nation and collective identity, rather than that of the democratic individual. The notion of stressing collective rights over that of the democratic individual is what Ramet (2006, 272) terms “nationalism-as-neurosis,” which is antagonistic toward the very idea of individual rights.
 - 8 Civics education (“Democracy and Human Rights”) is the only common course and book used on all three national curricula. Catholic schools do not have this “Democracy and Human Rights” class, but they do have a course called “Morals and Ethics.”
 - 9 Communist systems attempted to eliminate and supplant independent organizations with state-controlled organizations (Howard 2002).
 - 10 The Project Citizen program is conducted by the Bosnian-Herzegovinian NGO “Civitas BiH.”
 - 11 Students were given the survey in the curriculum (NPP) that their school uses. The exception to this were students who attend schools in the Brčko District of BiH (see [endnote 14](#)). Catholic high schools were given the Croatian-language version since Croatian is the language of instruction. The English-language version is provided in the Appendix.
 - 12 Banja Luka, Bihać, Tuzla, and Žepče.
 - 13 Bosniaks had the highest mean civic pride score: 3.36 (n=2,042); among Croats, it is 2.24 (n=1,591); among Serbs, it is 1.88 (n=1,215); among self-identifying Bosnians, it is 3.13 (n=442). The low civic pride score among Serbs was expected.
 - 14 Only the following options were allowed: (1) Bosniak, (2) Croat, (3) Serb, and (4) Other. The reason given for this is that possible responses had to be in accordance with the BiH Constitution, which states that the country is comprised of Bosniaks, Croats, and Serbs, as well as other citizens. For schools in the Brčko District of BiH, students were given the Bosnian-language version of the survey. The reason for this is because the Brčko District of BiH Department of Education asked for a copy of the survey “in one of the official languages used in Bosnia and Herzegovina” for them to review, and the Bosnian-language version was submitted.
 - 15 MEIM-R is based on a four-point Likert Scale, and has been used in multi-cultural settings such as the U.S. (e.g., Roberts et al. 1999; Umaña-Taylor et al. 2006) and Australia (e.g. Dandy et al. 2008). MEIM-R has been used within BiH as well (e.g. Hjort and Frisén 2006). The scale has two sub-factors: (1) ethnic identity search and (2) affirmation, belonging, and commitment. An “ethnic saliency score” is determined by obtaining the mean of the affirmation, belonging, and commitment subscale (items 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 12). Bosniaks have a mean ethnic saliency of 3.40; Croats have a mean saliency of 3.44; Serbs have a mean saliency of 3.36; self-identifying Bosnians have a mean saliency of 3.03.
 - 16 In 2015, the Republika Srpska Ministry of Education and Culture renamed the Bosnian language to the “Bosniak language” (Džidić 2015; Jukić 2015). This sparked protests by Bosniak parents who claimed their national identity was being erased. Secessionist rhetoric is also present (see [footnote 19](#)).
 - 17 The candidates do not campaign countrywide, but rather only in “their” Entities. The Serb candidates campaign in Republika Srpska whereas the Bosniak and Croat candidates campaign in the Federation of BiH.
 - 18 In the 2010 elections, he won a second term with 60.61% of the overall vote for the Croat seat (Potvrđeni rezultati općih izbora 2010 godine). He was elected for a third term in the 2018 general elections, with 52.64% of the overall vote for the Croat seat (Potvrđeni rezultati općih izbora 2018 godine). More Bosniaks voted for the Croat member than for their own ethnic groups’ winning candidate in both the 2010 and 2018 election cycles.

- 19 On July 23, 2021, Milorad Dodik stated “Serbs must not accept this decision. This is a nail in the coffin of BiH. After this, Bosnia and Herzegovina cannot function.... [Republika Srpska] should start the process of dissolution, there is no dialogue in BiH” (as cited in Zvijerac 2021b, 1). This comment was made in response to the newly imposed crimes of genocide denial, war crimes denial, and denial of crimes against humanity to the BiH Criminal Code. This was imposed by Valentin Inzko, the outgoing High Representative for Bosnia and Herzegovina (see footnote 1). On July 26, 2021, Bosnian-Serb political parties announced a boycott of state-level institutions, including the tripartite presidency, BiH parliament, and the Council of Ministers (Veselinović and Kešmer 2021, 1). The new High Representative, Christian Schmidt, stated in his first report to the UN Security Council that the “prospects for further division and conflict are very real” and that the actions of Dodik and others “is tantamount to secession without proclaiming it” (as cited in Reuters 2021, 1). On December 10, 2021, the Republika Srpska National Assembly (parliament) passed a declaration that declares any law imposed by the High Representative to be unconstitutional; they also voted 49–3 to start a procedure of withdrawing from the Bosnian Army, tax system, and other state-level institutions (Zvijerac 2021a, 1).
- 20 Serbs: 3.36; Croats: 3.44; Bosniaks: 3.40.
- 21 When it comes to the issue of how gender affects ethnic identity, some empirical evidence has found that women are less involved (or indifferent) compared to men, while other research suggests that ethnic identity is more important for women than men (Hjort and Friséen 2006, 147).
- 22 In this study, 8.35% of students (n=441) self-identified as being “Bosnian” by nationality.
- 23 Constitution of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Section IV (5) Article 17 (a) stipulates for the protection of “vital national interests,” which includes education, religion, and language being part of the “identity of one constitutive people.” The National Group of Subjects (NPP) is thus a “vital national interest,” since religion and language are included in primary and secondary education. Education is thus an “ethnic security dilemma.”
- 24 Students were asked to respond to the following statement, based on a four-point Likert Scale: “I do not try to become friends with people from other national groups.” 13.91% of Bosniaks, 14.96 % of Croats, 11.64 % of Serbs, and 9.46% of Bosnians responded that they either agreed or strongly agreed with the previous statement. This means that, contrary to popular perception of qualitative researchers and “policy experts” from the international community in BiH, a substantial majority of students are willing to be friends with somebody from a different ethno-national group. This item is derived from the Other-Group Orientation Scale (Roberts et al. 1999), which is not included in the statistical analysis within this article.
- 25 The official policy of *dvije škole pod jednim krovom* (“two schools under one roof”) began in the Herzegovinian town of Stolac (Herzegovina-Neretva Canton) in 2000, and currently exists in three of the 10 cantons of the Federation of BiH. The concept is similar to the American system of “separate but equal.” In this case, however, it truly is “equal” since the same school building and classrooms are shared by Bosniaks and Croats. Students are segregated (Bosniak or Croat) and either attend school in morning/afternoon shifts (such as in Stolac) or on separate floors/wings (such as in Bugojno or Gornji Vakuf-Uskoplje). If a student does not fall into the category of Bosniak or Croat for segregation purposes (e.g., a Serb), the student can attend either school.

References

- Ajduković, Dean and Dinka Ćorkalo-Biruški. 2008. “Caught Between the Ethnic Sides: Children Growing up in a Divided Postwar Community.” *International Journal of Behavioral Development* 32 (4): 337–347.
- Allport, Gordon W. 1958. *The Nature of Prejudice*. Cambridge, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Almond, Gabriel A., and Sidney Verba. 1989. *The Civic Culture: Political Attitudes and Democracy in Five Nations*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications Inc.
- Anderson, Benedict. *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*. New York: Verso, 2006.
- Anzulović, Branimir. 1999. *Heavenly Serbia: From Myth to Genocide*. New York City: New York University Press.

- Banks, James A. 2008. "Diversity, Group Identity, and Citizenship Education in a Global Age." *Educational Researcher* 37 (3): 129–139.
- Baranović, Branislava. 2001. "History Textbooks in Postwar Bosnia and Herzegovina." *Intercultural Education* 12 (1): 13–26.
- Bartulović, Alenka. 2006. "Nationalism in the Classroom: Narrative of the War in Bosnia-Herzegovina (1992–1995) in the History Textbooks of the Republic of Srpska." *Studies in Ethnicity and Nationalism* 6 (3): 51–72.
- Becker, Matthew T. 2015. *Divided Schools and Divided Societies: Ethnic Saliency, Socialization, and Attitudes among High School Seniors in Bosnia and Herzegovina*. PhD Diss., University of Mississippi.
- Belloni, Roberto. 2001. "Civil Society and Peacebuilding in Bosnia and Herzegovina." *Journal of Peace Research* 38 (2): 163–180.
- Bringa, Tone. 1995. *Being Muslim the Bosnian Way: Identity and Community in a Central Bosnian Village*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Dandy, Justine, Kevin Durkin, Peter McEvoy, Bonnie L. Barber, and Stephen Houghton. 2008. "Psychometric Properties of Multigroup Ethnic Identity Measure (MEIM) Scores with Australian Adolescents from Diverse Ethnocultural Groups." *Journal of Adolescence* 31 (3): 323–335.
- Dembinska, Magdalena. 2017. "The Imagined 'Other' and its Shifts: Politics and Identifications in Turkish Cyprus." *National Identities* 19 (4): 395–413.
- Džidić, Denis. 2015. "Renaming of Bosnian Language Sparks School Boycott." *Balkan Insight*, August 28. <http://www.balkaninsight.com/en/article/renaming-of-bosnian-language-sparks-school-boycott-08-27-2015>. (Accessed February 8, 2021.)
- Džidić, Denis. 2014. "Bosnia Federation Rules Against Ethnically-Divided Schools." *Balkan Insight*, November 4. www.balkaninsight.com/en/article/bosnian-federation-court-rules-against-school-discrimination. (Accessed February 8, 2021.)
- Ehman, Lee H. 1980. "The American School in the Political Socialization Process." *Review of Educational Research* 50 (1): 99–119.
- Erikson, Erik H. 1968. *Identity, Youth, and Crisis*. New York: Norton.
- European Values Study / World Values Survey 2017–2021 Report*. 2020. European Values Study and World Values Survey: Joint EVS/WVS 2017–2021 Dataset. JD Systems Institute & WWSA. Dataset Version 1.0.0. (Accessed January 15, 2021.)
- Gellner, Ernest. 1983. *Nations and Nationalism*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Gorenburg, Dmitry. 2001. "Nationalism for the Masses: Popular Support for Nationalism in Russia's Ethnic Republics." *Europe-Asia Studies* 53 (1): 73–104.
- Hanks, Michael, and Bruce K. Eckland. 1978. "Adult Voluntary Associations and Adolescent Socialization." *The Sociological Quarterly* 19 (3): 481–490.
- Hjort, Hanna, and Ann Frisé. 2006. "Ethnic Identity and Reconciliation: Two Main Tasks for the Young in Bosnia-Herzegovina." *Adolescence* 41 (161): 141–163.
- Howard, Marc M. 2002. "The Weakness of Postcommunist Civil Society." *Journal of Democracy* 13 (1): 157–169.
- Inglehart, Robert. 1990. *Culture Shift in Advanced Industrial Society*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Jukić, Elvira M. 2015. "Bosnian Schools Boycott Over Language Row Spreads." *Balkan Insight*, September 8. <http://www.balkaninsight.com/en/article/bosniaks-in-republika-srpska-broaden-boycott-over-language-row-09-08-2015>. (Accessed February 7, 2021.)
- Karabegović, Dženana. 2012. "Federacija BiH: Sud podržao etničku segregaciju u školama." *Radio Slobodna Evropa*, October 25. <http://www.slobodnaevropa.org/content/federacija-bih-sud-podrzao-podjele-u-skolama/24751064.html>. (Accessed January 15, 2021.)
- Korostelina, Karina. 2010. "War of Textbooks: History Education in Russia and Ukraine." *Communist and Postcommunist Studies* 43 (2): 129–137.
- Lakić, Mladen. 2018. "Izetbegovic: Bosnian Croat Entity 'Impossible Without War.'" *Balkan Insight*, February 5. <https://balkaninsight.com/2018/02/05/third-entity-in-bosnia-not-possible-without-war-02-05-2018/>. (Accessed February 7, 2021.)
- Marcia, James E. 1980. "Identity in Adolescence." In *Handbook of Adolescent Psychology*, edited by Joseph Adelson, 159–187. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- McFarland, Daniel A., and Reuben J. Thomas. 2006. "Bowling Young: How Youth Voluntary Associations Influence Adult Political Participation." *American Sociological Review* 71 (3): 401–425.
- Mujkić, Asim, and John Hulsey. 2010. "Explaining the Success of Nationalist Parties in Bosnia and Herzegovina." *Politička misao: Croatian Political Science Review* 47 (2): 143–158.
- Murgescu, Mirela-Luminița. 2002. "Rewriting School Textbooks as a Tool of Understanding and Stability." *Journal of Southeast European and Black Sea Studies* 2 (1): 90–104.
- Niemi, Richard G. and Mary A. Hepburn. 1995. "The Rebirth of Political Socialization." *Perspectives on Political Science* 24 (1): 1–18.
- Niens, Ulrike, and Marie-Hélène Chastenay. 2008. "Educating for Peace? Citizenship Education in Quebec and Northern Ireland." *Comparative Education Review* 52 (4): 519–540.
- Oder, Elizabeth. 2005. "The Social Cohesion Role of Educational Organizations: Primary and Secondary Schools." *Peabody Journal of Education* 80 (4): 78–88.
- Perry, Valery. 2009. "At Cross Purposes? Democratization and Peace Implementation Strategies in Bosnia and Herzegovina's Frozen Conflict." *Human Rights Review* 10 (1): 35–54.

- Pettigrew, Thomas F., and Linda R. Tropp. 2006. "A Meta-Analytic Test of Intergroup Contact Theory." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 90 (5): 751–783.
- Phinney, Jean S. 1993. "A Three-Stage Model of Ethnic Identity Development." In *Ethnic Identity: Formation and Transmission among Hispanic and Other Minorities*, edited by M. Bernal and G. Knight, 61–79. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Phinney, Jean S. 1996. "Understanding Ethnic Diversity: The Role of Ethnic Identity." *American Behavioral Scientist* 40: 143–152.
- Pingel, Falk. 2009. "From Ownership to Intervention – or Vice Versa? Textbook Revision in Bosnia and Herzegovina." In *Transition and the Politics of History Education in Southeast Europe*, edited by Augusta Dimou, 251–305. Göttingen: V & R Unipress.
- Potvrđeni rezultati općih izbora 2018 godine [Official results of the 2018 elections]. 2018. Central Election Commission of Bosnia and Herzegovina. https://www.izbori.ba/rezultati_izbora?resId=25&langId=1#/1/1/0/0/702. (Accessed January 15, 2021.)
- Potvrđeni rezultati općih izbora 2010 godine [Official results of the 2010 elections]. 2010. Central Election Commission of Bosnia and Herzegovina. <http://www.izbori.ba/Finalni2010/Finalni/PredsjednistvoBiH/Nivo701702.aspx>. (Accessed January 15, 2021.)
- Putnam, Robert D. 1993. *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Quaynor, Laura J. 2012. "Citizenship Education in post-Conflict Contexts: A Review of the Literature." *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice* 7 (1): 33–57.
- Ramet, Sabrina P. 2006. *The Three Yugoslavias: State-Building and Legitimation, 1918–2005*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Reuters. 2021. "Bosnia's Peace Deal at Risk of Unraveling – Envoys Warns the U.N." November 2. <https://www.reuters.com/world/bosnias-peace-deal-risk-unravelling-envoy-warns-un-2021-11-02/>. (Accessed November 2, 2021.)
- Roberts, Robert E., Jean S. Phinney, Louise C. Masse, Y. Richard Chen, Catherine R. Roberts, and Andrea Romero. 1999. "The Structure of Ethnic Identity in Young Adolescents from Diverse Ethnocultural Groups." *Journal of Early Adolescence* 19: 301–322.
- Roper, Steven D. 2005. "The Politicalization of Education: Identity Formation in Moldova and Transnistria." *Communist and Postcommunist Studies* 38: 501–514.
- Rotheram, Mary Jane, and Jean S. Phinney. 1987. "Introduction: Definitions and Perspectives in the Study of Children's Ethnic Socialization." In *Children's Ethnic Socialization: Pluralism and Development*, edited by Jean S. Phinney and Mary Jane Rotheram, 10–28. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Schöpflin, George. 2003. "Identities, Politics and Post-communism in Central Europe." *Nations and Nationalism* 9 (4): 477–490.
- Sivac-Bryant, Sebina. 2008. "Kozarac School: A Window on Transitional Justice for Returnees." *The International Journal of Transitional Justice* 2 (1): 106–115.
- Smith, Alan. 2003. "Citizenship Education in Northern Ireland: Beyond National Identity?" *Cambridge Journal of Education* 33 (1): 15–31.
- Soule, Suzanne. 2002. "Creating a Cohort Committed to Democracy? Civic Education in Bosnia and Herzegovina." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, August 29–September 1, 2002. Boston, MA. <http://64.197.218.204/research/pdfs/CreatingCohort.pdf>. (Accessed January 12, 2021.)
- Soule, Suzanne. 2000. *Beyond Communism and War: The Effect of Civic Education on the Democratic Attitudes and Behavior of Bosnian and Herzegovinian Youth*. Center for Civic Education. <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED447046.pdf>. (Accessed January 12, 2021.)
- Swimelar, Safia. 2012. "Education in Postwar Bosnia: The Nexus of Societal Security, Identity and Nationalism." *Ethnopolitics* 11 (2): 1–22.
- Tajfel, Henri. 1981. *Human Groups and Social Categories: Studies in Social Psychology*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Tanner, Marcus. 2001. *Croatia: A Nation Forged in War*, 2nd edition. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Tocqueville, Alexis. 2000. *Democracy in America*, trans. H.C. Mansfield and D. Winthrop. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Torsti, Pilvi. 2009. "Segregated Education and Texts: A Challenge to Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina." *International Journal on World Peace* 26 (2): 65–82.
- Torsti, Pilvi. 2007. "How to Deal with a Difficult Past? History Textbooks Supporting Enemy Images in Postwar Bosnia and Herzegovina." *Journal of Curriculum Studies* 39 (1): 77–96.
- Umaña-Taylor, Adriana J., Ruchi Bhanot, and Nana Shin. 2006. "Ethnic Identity Formation during Adolescence: The Critical Role of Families." *Journal of Family Issues* 27: 390–414.
- United States Department of State. 1995. *Dayton Peace Accords*. <http://www.state.gov/www/regions/eur/bosnia/bosagree.html>. (Accessed January 15, 2021.)

- “Ustav Federacije Bosne i Hercegovine [Constitution of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina].” 1994. <http://www.ustavisudfbih.ba/bs/dokumenti/ustav.pdf>. (Accessed January 15, 2021.)
- Veselinović, Gojko, and Meliha Kešmer. 2021. “Stranke iz RS-a bojkotuju institucije BiH zbog zabrane negiranja genocida.” *Radio Slobodna Evropa*, July 26. <https://www.slobodnaevropa.org/a/inzko-zakon-negiranje-genocida-bih-dodik/31377554.html>. (Accessed July 26, 2021.)
- Vural, Yücel, and Evrim Özuyanık. 2008. “Redefining Identity in the Turkish-Cypriot School History Textbooks: A Step Towards a United Federal Cyprus.” *South European Society & Politics* 13 (2): 133–154.
- Washington Agreement. 1994. http://www.usip.org/files/file/resources/collections/peace_agreements/washagree_03011994.pdf. (Accessed January 15, 2021.)
- Wertsch, James V. 2000. “Narratives as Cultural Tools in Sociocultural Analysis: Official History in Soviet and post-Soviet Russia.” *Ethos: Journal of the Society for Psychological Anthropology* 28 (4): 511–533.
- Worden, Elizabeth Anderson. 2014. *National Identity and Educational Reform: Contested Classrooms*. New York: Routledge.
- World Values Survey (Wave 4) 1999–2004. *World Values Survey Association, Aggregate File Producer: Asep/JDS*, Madrid, Spain. <https://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/WVSONline.jsp>. (Accessed January 15, 2021.)
- Youniss, James, Jeffery A. McLellan, and Miranda Yates. 1997. “What We Know about Engendering Civic Identity.” *The American Behavioral Scientist* 40 (5): 620–631.
- Zaller, John. 1996. “The Myth of Massive Media Impact Revisited: New Support for a Discredited Idea.” In *Political Persuasion and Attitude Change*, edited by Diana Mutz, Paul Sniderman, and Richard Brody, 17–78. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Zvijerac, Predrag. 2021a. “Bosnian Serb Parliament Votes to Advance Secessionist Bid.” *Radio Free Europe – Radio Liberty*, December 10. <https://www.rferl.org/a/bosnian-serb-secessionist-vote/31603616.html>. (Accessed December 10, 2021.)
- Zvijerac, Predrag. 2021b. “Top International Official in Bosnia Criminalizes Genocide Denial.” *Radio Free Europe – Radio Liberty*, July 23. <https://www.rferl.org/a/russia-bosnia-high-representative/31373163.html>. (Accessed July 23, 2021.)

Appendix: Survey Instrument Items (English)

People relate to their own national group in different ways, and the following statements describe how you may experience your own nationality. Please circle the numbers below to indicate how much you agree or disagree with each statement.

(1) Strongly disagree (2) Disagree (3) Agree (4) Strongly Agree

Statement	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I have spent time trying to find out more about my national group, such as its history, traditions, and customs.	1	2	3	4
2. I am active in organizations or social groups that include mostly members of my own national group.	1	2	3	4
3. I have a clear sense of my national background and what it means for me.	1	2	3	4
4. I think a lot about how my life will be affected by my national group membership.	1	2	3	4
5. I am happy that I am a member of the group I belong to.	1	2	3	4
6. I have a strong sense of belonging to my own national group.	1	2	3	4
7. I understand pretty well what my national group membership means to me.	1	2	3	4
8. In order to learn more about my nation, I have often talked to other people about my national group.	1	2	3	4
9. I have a lot of pride in my national group.	1	2	3	4

Statement	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
10. I participate in cultural practices of my own group, such as special food, music, or customs.	1	2	3	4
11. I feel a strong attachment towards my own national group.	1	2	3	4
12. I feel good about my national group and cultural background.	1	2	3	4
13. My nationality is: (1) Bosniak (2) Croat (3) Serb (4) Bosnian (5) Roma (6) Other (write in): _____				
14. My father's nationality is: (1) Bosniak (2) Croat (3) Serb (4) Bosnian (5) Roma (6) Other (write in): _____.				
15. My mother's nationality is: (1) Bosniak (2) Croat (3) Serb (4) Bosnian (5) Roma (6) Other (write in): _____.				
16. Gender: (1) Male. (2) Female.				
17. How proud are you to be a citizen of Bosnia and Herzegovina? (1) Not at all proud. (2) Not very proud. (3) Quite proud. (4) Very proud.				

Cite this article: Becker, M. T. 2022. An Ethnic Security Dilemma in Bosnia-Herzegovina: Civic Pride and Civics Education. *Nationalities Papers*: 1–15, doi:10.1017/nps.2022.51