AICPA Professional Standards: U.S. Auditing Standards as of June 1, 2004

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/aicpa_prof

Part of the Accounting Commons, and the Taxation Commons

Recommended Citation
https://egrove.olemiss.edu/aicpa_prof/163

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Historical Collection at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in AICPA Professional Standards by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact egrove@olemiss.edu.
AICPA Professional Standards

Volume 1

U.S. Auditing Standards—AICPA
U.S. Auditing Standards—PCAOB
Attestation Standards

As of June 1, 2004
### Standards Recently Issued

#### STANDARDS RECENTLY ISSUED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-006</td>
<td>Establishment of Interim Professional Auditing Standards</td>
<td>April 18, 2003</td>
<td>PC 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-009</td>
<td>Compliance With Auditing and Related Professional Practice Standards—Advisory Groups</td>
<td>June 30, 2003</td>
<td>PC 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-26</td>
<td>Technical Amendments to Interim Standards Rules</td>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>Integrated into PC 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-025</td>
<td>Auditing Standard No. 1—References in Auditors’ Reports to the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</td>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>PC 130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to these recently issued Standards, other changes to this edition of volume 1 of AICPA Professional Standards, include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC Part I</td>
<td>Addition of Applicability and Integration of AICPA Professional Standards and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Part II</td>
<td>Addition of List of Selected Final PCAOB Auditing Rules and Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Part III</td>
<td>Addition of List of AICPA Conforming and Other Changes Made After April 16, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Part IV</td>
<td>Addition of Selected Final PCAOB Auditing Rules and Standards Approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 230A</td>
<td>Deletion of Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 1, section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work, as amended by SAS Nos. 41, Working Papers, and 82, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, as a result of SAS No. 99, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, becoming effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 316.86 and .87</td>
<td>Deletion of “Amendment to Section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work,” and “Amendment to Section 333, Management Representations, paragraph .06 and Appendix A [paragraph .16],” as a result of SAS No. 99 becoming effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 316.86</td>
<td>Reparagraphing of Exhibit, “Management Antifraud Programs and Controls: Guidance to Help Prevent, Deter, and Detect Fraud,” as a result of the deletion of “Amendment to Section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work,” and “Amendment to Section 333, Management Representations, paragraph .06 and Appendix A [paragraph .16]”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 316A</td>
<td>Deletion of SAS No. 82, as originally issued in February 1997, as a result of SAS No. 99 becoming effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 333A and 9333A</td>
<td>Deletion of SAS No. 85, <em>Management Representations</em>, as amended by SAS No. 89, <em>Audit Adjustments</em>, as well as related Auditing Interpretation No. 1, as a result of SAS No. 99 becoming effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 9508.89–.92</td>
<td>Addition of Auditing Interpretation No. 18 of SAS No. 58, titled “Reference to PCAOB Standards in an Audit Report on a Nonissuer”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 722A</td>
<td>Deletion of SAS No. 71, <em>Interim Financial Information</em>, as amended by SAS No. 90, <em>Audit Committee Communications</em>, as a result of SAS No. 100, <em>Interim Financial Information</em>, becoming effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU Appendix B</td>
<td>Revision of AU Appendix B, <em>Analysis of International Standards on Auditing</em>, as revised by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU Appendix F</td>
<td>Revision of AU Appendix F, <em>Other Auditing Publications</em>, as revised by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition:

- Conforming and editorial changes have been made throughout the literature to reflect the issuance of SAS No. 99, *Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services No. 10, Performance of Review Engagements*, and the revision to Ethics Interpretation No. 101-3, formerly titled “Performance of Other Services,” now titled “Performance of Nonattest Services;”
- Designations have been made within the literature to reflect generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003 (see Volume 1, Part III, *Applicability and Integration of AICPA Professional Standards and PCAOB Standards*).
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HOW TO USE VOLUME 1

Scope of Volume 1 ...

This volume, which is a reprint of volume 1 of the looseleaf edition of AICPA Professional Standards, includes the currently effective pronouncements on professional standards issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) as well as the full text of relevant Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Rules and Standards and a section detailing the applicability and integration of AICPA Professional Standards and PCAOB Standards.

How This Volume Is Arranged ...

The contents of this volume are arranged as follows:

Applicability and Integration of AICPA Professional Standards and PCAOB Standards

- Applicability and Integration of AICPA Professional Standards and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Standards
- List of Selected Final PCAOB Auditing Rules and Standards
- List of AICPA Conforming and Other Changes Made After April 16, 2003
- Selected Final PCAOB Auditing Rules and Standards Approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission

Statements on Auditing Standards and related Auditing Interpretations

- Introduction
- The General Standards
- The Standards of Field Work
- The First, Second, and Third Standards of Reporting
- The Fourth Standard of Reporting
- Other Types of Reports
- Special Topics
- Compliance Auditing
- Special Reports of the Committee on Auditing Procedure

Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Standards and Audits of Public Companies

- Establishment of Interim Professional Auditing Standards
- Compliance With Auditing and Related Professional Practice Standards—Advisory Groups
- Auditing Standard No. 1—References in Auditors’ Reports to the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board
How to Use This Volume

Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements and related Attest Engagements Interpretations

- Attest Engagements
- Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements
- Financial Forecasts and Projections
- Reporting on Pro Forma Financial Information
- Reporting on an Entity’s Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
- Compliance Attestation
- Management’s Discussion and Analysis

How to Use This Volume ...

The arrangement of material is indicated in the general table of contents at the front of the volume. There is a detailed table of contents covering the material within each major division.

APPLICABILITY AND INTEGRATION OF AICPA PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS AND PCAOB STANDARDS

There are four parts relating to the PCAOB Standards as follows:

Part I is a full-length narrative that provides guidance about Standards applicable to non-issuers and Standards applicable to issuers. Information about the PCAOB’s Interim Standards is included, as well as information about major differences between AICPA Professional Standards and PCAOB Standards.

Part II presents a list of selected final PCAOB Rules and Standards, and how they affect existing PCAOB Interim Standards.

Part III presents a list of AICPA conforming and other changes made to AICPA Professional Standards after April 16, 2003. The PCAOB adopted certain AICPA Professional Standards, including GAAS, in existence on April 16, 2003 as its Interim Standards.

Part IV contains the full text of selected final PCAOB Rules and Standards approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

CROSS-REFERENCES TO SAS

There are three parts relating to auditing standards as follows:

Part I is a list of Statements on Auditing Procedure Nos. 1–54, Statements on Auditing Standards, and Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements issued to date.

Part II provides a list of sources of sections in the current text.

Part III is a list of sections in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, Codification of Auditing Standards and Procedures.
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U.S. AUDITING—AICPA

The major divisions are divided into sections, each with its own section number. Each paragraph within a section is decimally numbered. For example, AU section 210.04 refers to the fourth paragraph of section 210, Training and Proficiency of the Independent Auditor.

Auditing Interpretations are numbered in the 9000 series with the last three digits indicating the section to which the Interpretation relates. Interpretations immediately follow their corresponding section. For example, Interpretations related to section 311 are numbered 9311 which directly follows section 311.

There are six appendixes relating to auditing standards as follows:

Appendix A provides the historical background for the present Statements on Auditing Standards.

Appendix B provides an analysis of International Standards on Auditing to AICPA Statements on Auditing Standards.

Appendix C indicates sections and paragraphs of the text cross-referenced to Auditing Interpretations.

Appendix D provides a list of AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides and Statements of Position.

Appendix E provides a schedule of changes in Statements on Auditing Standards since the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, Codification of Auditing Standards and Procedures, Nos. 33 through 54.

Appendix F provides a list of other auditing publications published by the AICPA as of January 1, 2004, that have been reviewed by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff and are therefore presumed to be appropriate as defined in section 150, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards.

A topical index is provided for the Auditing division, and is identified as AU Topical Index.

U.S. AUDITING—PCAOB

Final Auditing Standards issued by the PCAOB, and approved by the SEC, are presented, each in its own section number.

ATTERTATION ENGAGEMENTS

Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements and Attest Engagements Interpretations appear with the prefix AT in their section numbers. Attest Engagements Interpretations are numbered in the 9000 series with the last three digits indicating the section to which the Interpretation relates. Interpretations immediately follow their corresponding section. For example, Interpretations relating to section 101 are numbered 9101 which directly follows section 101.

A topical index is provided for this division and is identified as AT Topical Index.

Topical Index ...

The topical indexes use the key word method to facilitate reference to the pronouncements. The indexes are arranged alphabetically by topic and refer the reader to major divisions, sections, and paragraph numbers.

AICPA Professional Standards
Master Topical Index ...

The Master Topical Index, appearing on the following pages, includes the major headings from each one of the indexes in the AICPA Professional Standards. The letter citations refer the reader to the index in which the major headings are used.

Indexes are cited in these volumes as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td>Auditing (United States)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>Attestation Engagements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Accounting and Review Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Code of Professional Conduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Consulting Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>Tax Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFP</td>
<td>Personal Financial Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPE</td>
<td>Continuing Professional Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A search for information by subject heading may begin either (1) at the level of the Master Topical Index to identify the individual indexes which contain detailed entries on the subject or (2) at the level of the detailed topical index related to the subject.

Reference Abbreviations ...

References to AICPA Professional Standards use the same citations as listed in the Master Topical Index.

The abbreviation AC is used to indicate references to the Accounting Standards—Current Text published by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. The Current Text contains an abridged version of the currently effective financial and reporting standards as amended to date. Quotations of accounting standards in this volume are derived from the original pronouncements and may have been editorially changed in the Current Text.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCEPTABLE SUBJECTS</td>
<td>CPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTABILITY</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTANT</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTANT, INDEPENDENT</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING</td>
<td>AR; AU; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING AND REVIEW SERVICES COMMITTEE</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES—See Estimation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING GUIDES, INDUSTRY</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES BOARD OPINIONS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING RESEARCH BULLETINS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING SERVICES</td>
<td>AR; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTING STANDARDS EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTS PAYABLE—See Payables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE—See Receivables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCRUAL BASIS OF ACCOUNTING</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACQUISITION OF A BUSINESS—See Business Combinations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTS DISCREDITABLE</td>
<td>BL; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTUARIES</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESSEE OF AUDITOR’S REPORT</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL—See Internal Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS</td>
<td>TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMISSION TO ASSOCIATION—See International Associates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMISSION TO MEMBERSHIP—See Membership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVERSE OPINIONS</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVERTISING</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVICE TO CLIENTS</td>
<td>BL; TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVOCACY</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFFILIATED COMPANIES</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGGREGATION—See Summarization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES</td>
<td>AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGREEMENTS—See Contracts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLOCATION OF COST</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTERNATIVE ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTERNATIVE PRACTICE STRUCTURE</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMENDMENTS</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAs</td>
<td>AR; AU; BL; CPE; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNUAL MEETINGS—See Meetings of Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNUAL REPORTS—See Reports to Stockholders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS—See Questions on Returns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLICABILITY</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPRAISALS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPRAISERS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS—See Alternative Practice Structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB—See Auditing Standards Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSEMBLY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSERTIONS</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSETS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSOCIATED COMPANIES—See Affiliated Companies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSOCIATES—See International Associates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSOCIATION WITH FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSURANCE SERVICES EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTEST</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTEST DOCUMENTATION</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTEST ENGAGEMENTS</td>
<td>AT; AU; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTEST SERVICES</td>
<td>CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTESTATION</td>
<td>AT; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENTS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTESTATION RISK</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTESTATION STANDARDS</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTESTER</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTESTING</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTORNEYS—See Lawyers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT COMMITTEE</td>
<td>AU; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT DOCUMENTATION—Also see Working Papers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT ENGAGEMENT</td>
<td>AR; AU; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT FUNCTION</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT GUIDES, INDUSTRY</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT OF INSTITUTE</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilation Reports</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints Against Members</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Attestation</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Auditing</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Reports—See Special Reports</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Tests</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components of a Business</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Basis of Accounting</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Income</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensed Financial Information</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensed Financial Statements</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condominium Associations—See Common Interest Realty Association</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct, Code of Professional</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidential Client Information</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmations</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict of Interests</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Financial Statements</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSULTATION</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSULTING SERVICES</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTINGENCIES</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent Fees</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent Liabilities</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Auditor</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Independent Accountant</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Professional Education—Also see Training and Education</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Professional Education Board of Management</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Risk</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controllers</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controllership Services</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conviction of Crime—See Criminal Conviction</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperative Arrangements</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives—See Common Interest Realty Association</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Joint Ventures—See Jointly Owned Companies</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correction of Error</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-Benefit Relationships</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Method</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council of Institute</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Resolutions—See Resolutions of Council</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country of Origin</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Decisions</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered Members</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA Certificate</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA Examination—See Examination, Uniform CPA</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit, CPE</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Unions</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creditors</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Conviction</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria of Standard Report</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Liabilities</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current-Value Financial Statements</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutoff Dates</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Report</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decisions</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declarations</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defalcations—See Fraud</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Industry Questionnaire</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficiencies</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined Benefit Pension Plans</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitions—See Terminology</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departure from Standard Report</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departrures from Established Principles</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depository Institutions</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivatives—See Investments</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Page(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN SUITABILITY</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGNATION OF FIRM</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETECTION RISK</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DILIGENCE</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECTORS—See Board of Directors</td>
<td>AU; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS—See</td>
<td>AU; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCIPLINARY SUSPENSION—See</td>
<td>AU; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCLAIMER OF OPINION—See</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCLOSURE</td>
<td>AR; AU; CPE; ET; TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCOVERY</td>
<td>AR; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCREDITABLE ACTS—See Acts Discreditable</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCRIMINATION</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISPUTES WITH CLIENTS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVISION FOR CPA FIRMS (AICPA)</td>
<td>AU; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOCUMENTATION—Also see</td>
<td>AT; CPE; PFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUE PROFESSIONAL CARE</td>
<td>AT; AU; CS; ET; TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUES</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EARNED SURPLUS—See Retained Earnings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EARNINGS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECONOMIC ACTIVITY</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECONOMIC CONDITIONS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP—See Data Processing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP SERVICE CENTER—See Service Organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION AND TRAINING—See Training and Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATORS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFECTIVENESS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFICIENCY</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTED OFFICIALS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTIONS</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRONIC SITE—See Information Technology (IT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELEMENTS</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELEMENTS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</td>
<td>AR; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPHASIS OF A MATTER</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS AUDIT QUALITY CENTER EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYEES</td>
<td>AU; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYERS</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYMENT</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGAGEMENT</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGAGEMENT LETTERS</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGAGEMENT PERFORMANCE</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGINEERS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENTITY</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENTITY, ACCOUNTING</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUITY METHOD</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR CORRECTION—See Correction of Error</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERRORS—Also see Fraud</td>
<td>TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTATES</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTIMATION</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHICAL PRINCIPLES</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHICS DIVISION—See Professional Ethics Division</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVALUATION BASED IN PART ON REPORT OF OTHER PRACTITIONER</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENTS</td>
<td>AR; AU; TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIDENTIAL MATTER</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAMINATION</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAMINATION REPORTS—See Reports on Attest Engagements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAMINATION, UNIFORM CPA</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAMINERS—See Board of Examiners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAMPLES—See Illustrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXCEPTION FOR OPINION—See Qualified Opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXECUTION OF INSTRUMENTS</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXECUTIVE SEARCH SERVICES</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENSES</td>
<td>AU; BL; TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPERTS—See Specialists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPLANATORY LANGUAGE</td>
<td>AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPORT SALES</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTERNAL AUDITOR—See Auditor, Independent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTERNAL INFORMATION</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Master Topical Index

References are to indexes in the AICPA Professional Standards.

**F**

FACULTY MEMBERS .................................. ET
FAIR PRESENTATION ................................. AR; AU
FAIR VALUE—Also see Valuation ................. AU
FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS ............................. AU; ET
FEASIBILITY STUDIES ................................ ET
FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS
ADVISORY BOARD (FASAB) ........................ ET
FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE ACT .............. AU
FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
(FDIC)—See Federal Deposit Insurance Act
FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE—See
Compliance Auditing
FEDERAL INCOME TAXES—See Income Taxes
FEES .................................................. ET
FELONY—See Criminal Conviction
FIDUCIARY ............................................ ET
FIELD WORK—See Standards of Field Work,
Audit or Standards of Field Work, Attest
FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS
BOARD .................................................. AU; ET
FINANCIAL FORECASTS AND
PROJECTIONS ....................................... AT
FINANCIAL INFORMATION—See Financial
Statements
FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS ............................ ET
FINANCIAL INTEREST ................................ ET
FINANCIAL POSITION ............................... AR; AU
FINANCIAL REPORTING ............................. BL
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ............................ AR; AT; AU; ET
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF INSTITUTE .... BL
FINDINGS ............................................. AT
FINES—See Penalties
FIRM .................................................. AU; BL; ET
FIRM NAME .......................................... ET
FIRST-IN, FIRST-OUT ............................... AR
FISCAL PERIOD ....................................... AU
FIXED ASSETS—See Property
FOOTNOTES—See Notes to Financial Statements
FOREIGN CITIZENSHIP—See International
Associates
FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT
OF 1977 ...................... AT; AU
FOREIGN COUNTRY ................................. AU
FORGERY—See Fraud
FORM 10-K (SEC) .................................... AU
FORM 10-Q (SEC) .................................... AU
FORM 990 (INTERNAL REVENUE) ................. AU
FORM OF ORGANIZATION ......................... ET
FORM V. SUBSTANCE—See Substance v. Form
FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION .............. AT; AU
FRAUD ................................................ AR; AU
FRIVOLOUS POSITION ............................. TS
FUND-RAISING ORGANIZATIONS ................ ET
Funds Statement—See Statements of
Cash Flows

**G**

GAIN CONTINGENCIES ............................... AU
GENERAL STANDARDS .............................. ET
GENERAL STANDARDS, ATTEST ................. AT
GENERAL STANDARDS, AUDIT ................... AR; AU
GENERAL USE ....................................... AT
GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING
PRINCIPLES ........................................ AR; AU; ET
GENERALLY ACCEPTED AUDITING
STANDARDS ........................................ AR; AU
GENERALLY ACCEPTED FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS ........................................ AR; AU
GENERALLY ACCEPTED GOVERNMENTAL
AUDITING STANDARDS—See Governmental
Auditing Standards
GEOGRAPHIC AREAS ................................. AU
GEOLOGISTS ......................................... AU
GIFTS ................................................. AU
GOING CONCERN .................................... AR; AU
GOVERNMENT AUDIT QUALITY CENTER
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ......................... BL
GOVERNMENTAL ACCOUNTING
STANDARDS BOARD .............................. AU; ET
GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES ....................... AU; BL; ET
GOVERNMENTAL AUDITING STANDARDS .... AU
GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES ....................... AU
GOVERNMENTAL REPORTING ENTITY .......... ET
GRANTS ............................................... AU
GUARANTEES AND WARRANTIES ................ AU
GUIDELINES ........................................ AU
H

HARASSMENT ........................ ET
HARD DATA ............................. AT
HEALTH AND WELFARE PLANS .... ET
HEDGING—See Investments
HIERARCHY OF GAAP ............ AU
HISTORICAL COST ................. AU
HISTORICAL SUMMARIES  .... AU
HOLDING OUT ......................... ET
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATIONS—See
Common Interest Realty Association
HYPOTHETICAL ASSUMPTION .... AT

I

ILLEGAL ACTS ........................ AR; AU
ILLUSTRATIONS ........................ AR; AT; AU; PFP; TS
IMMEDIATE FAMILY .................. ET
IMPAIRMENT .......................... AU
IMPLEMENTATION ENGAGEMENT ... PFP
INCOME STATEMENTS—See Statements of Income
INCOME TAX RETURNS—See Tax Returns
INCOME TAXES ........................ AU
INCOMPETENCE ...................... BL
INCONSISTENT INFORMATION ...... AT
INDEPENDENCE ........................ AR; AT; AU; BL; ET
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR—See Auditor;
Independent
INDIVIDUAL IN A POSITION TO INFLUENCE
AN ATTEST ENGAGEMENT .......... ET
INDUSTRY ACCOUNTING GUIDES—See
Accounting Guides, Industry
INDUSTRY AUDIT GUIDES—See Audit Guides, Industry
INDUSTRY PRACTICES ........................ AR; AU
INFORMATION ........................ AR; AU
INFORMATION SYSTEMS .......... ET
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT) .... AU
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE
COMMITTEE .......................... BL
INHERENT RISK ........................ AT
INQUIRIES ............................ AR; AT; AU
INSTITUTE—See American Institute of CPAs
INSTRUMENTS—See Execution of Instruments
INSURANCE .............................. BL
INSURANCE COMPANIES .......... AU; ET
INTEGRITY .............................. ET
INTENTIONAL MISSTATED—See Fraud

INTERCOMPANY TRANSACTIONS ...... AU
INTERIM FINANCIAL INFORMATION . AT; AU
INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS . AT; AU
INTERNAL AUDIT—See Auditor, Internal
INTERNAL AUDIT SERVICES .......... ET
INTERNAL AUDITOR—See Auditor, Internal
INTERNAL CONTROL .................... AR; AT; AU
INTERNAL REVENUE CODE ....... TS
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE ....... AU; TS
INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATE ....... BL; ET
INTERNATIONAL AUDITING STANDARDS .... AU
INTERPRETATIONS, AUDITING—See Auditing Interpretations
INTERPRETATIONS OF RULES OF
CONDUCT .............................. ET
INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATIONS ....... AU; AR
INTERVIEWS ............................ ET
INVENTORIES ........................ ET
INVEESTES ............................ AR; AU; ET
INVESTMENT ADVISORY SERVICES .. ET
INVESTMENT CLUBS ................. ET
INVESTMENT COMPANIES .......... ET
INVESTMENTS ........................ AU; ET
INVESTORS ............................ AU; ET
IRREGULARITIES—See Fraud

JOINT CLOSLY HELD INVESTMENT .. ET
JOINT TRIAL BOARD .................. BL
JOINTLY OWNED COMPANIES .... AR
JUDGMENT ............................ AR; AU; CS; ET; TS
JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS ............. TS

KEY FACTORS ........................ AT
KEY POSITION ........................ ET
KINSHIP—See Family Relationships
KNOWLEDGE .......................... AR; AT; AU

LAST-IN, FIRST-OUT .................. AU
LAW—See Compliance
ATTTESTATION ....................... AU; ET
LAWSUITS—See Litigation
LAWYERS ............................ AR; AU; ET
LEASES ............................... AU; ET
LEGAL MATTERS ........................ AT; AU
LEGISLATORS ........................ ET
LETTERHEADS ........................ ET

HAR
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References are to indexes in the AICPA Professional Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letters for Underwriters</th>
<th>AU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Letters of Representation—See Representation Letters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities</td>
<td>AU; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liens</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations</td>
<td>AT; PFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Assurance</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Partnerships</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Review—See Review of Interim Financial Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Use</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line of Credit</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines of Business—See Segment Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidation</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature—See Publications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litigation</td>
<td>AR; AU; BL; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litigation Services—See Legal Matters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Agreements—See Borrowing Contract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Contingencies</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Reserves (Insurance)</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings, General Provisions</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings of Board of Directors</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings of Council</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings of Institute</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member or Member's Firm.</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members—Also see Membership</td>
<td>ET; TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members at Large of Council</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members Not in Public Practice</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>AU; BL; CPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mergers—See Business Combinations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Service</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes of Meetings</td>
<td>AU; BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misappropriation—See Fraud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misleading Financial Statements</td>
<td>AR; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misrepresentation</td>
<td>ET; TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission of the Institute</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misstatements</td>
<td>AT; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistakes—See Fraud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and Updating Engagements</td>
<td>PFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Components</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Locations</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipalities</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail Ballot</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU; BL; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Consulting Services—Also see Consulting Services</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Consulting Services Executive Committee</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Services</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management's Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuals—See Publications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable Securities—See Investments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching Principle</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Weaknesses—See Reportable Conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materiality</td>
<td>AR; AT; AU; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematical Relationships</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD&amp;A—See Management’s Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurability</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>AR; AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Review Board</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature, Timing, and Extent</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Assurance</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligence</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Income</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Realizable Value</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletters</td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominations</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonattest Services</td>
<td>AT; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonaudit Services</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonbusiness Organizations</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonclients</td>
<td>AU; ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncompliance</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncurrent Liabilities</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfinancial Data</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmonetary Transactions</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonparticipant Parties</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpayment of Financial Obligation</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS—See Nonbusiness Organizations
NONPUBLIC ENTERPRISES .................. AR; AU
NONSTATISTICAL SAMPLING .................. AU
NORMAL LENDING PROCEDURES, TERMS, AND REQUIREMENTS ............... ET
NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS ........ ET
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS .... AR; AU
NOTIFICATION .................. AR; AU; BL

O

OBJECTIVES OF AUDIT .................. AU
OBJECTIVES OF INSTITUTE ........ BL
OBJECTIVITY .................. AT; AU; BL; ET
OBLIGATIONS .................. AU
OBsolescence .................. AU
OFFICE .................. ET
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET (OMB) .................. AU
OFFICERS .................. ET
OFFICERS OF INSTITUTE ........ BL
OIL AND GAS RESERVES ........ AU
OMB—See Office of Management and Budget (OMB) AU
OMITTED AUDITING PROCEDURES ........ AU
OPINIONS, ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES BOARD .................. AU
OPINIONS, AUDITORS' .......... AR; AT; AU; ET
OPINIONS, MEMBER ........ TS
ORGANIZATION OF INSTITUTE ........ BL
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE ........ AU
ORGANIZATIONS, PROFESSIONAL ........ AU
OTHER AUDITORS' REPORTS—See Reports, Other Auditors'
OTHER COMPILATION AND REVIEW PUBLICATIONS ........ AR
OTHER INFORMATION ........ AT
OVER-THE-COUNTER STOCKS ........ AU
OWNERS—See Stockholders/Owners
OWNERS' EQUITY—See Stockholders' Equity
OWNERSHIP .................. AU

P

PARENT COMPANY ........ AU
PARTIAL PRESENTATION ........ AT
PARTNERS ........ ET
PARTNERS AND PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYEES ........ ET
PARTNERSHIPS ........ ET
PAYABLES .................. AU
PAYROLLS .................. ET
PCAOB .................. AU
PCAOB STANDARDS .................. AU
PEER REVIEW BOARD ........ BL
PEER REVIEW DIVISION ........ BL
PENALTIES .................. AU; TS
PENSION PLANS ........ AU
PERIOD OF THE PROFESSIONAL ENGAGEMENT ........ ET
PERMANENT COMMITTEES, BOARDS, AND DIVISIONS ........ BL
PERSONAL FINANCIAL PLANNING ........ ET; PFP
PERSONAL FINANCIAL PLANNING EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ........ BL
PERSONAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ........ AR
PERSONNEL—See Employees
PIECEMEAL OPINIONS ........ AU
PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTs—See Common Interest Realty Association
PLANNING .................. AR; AU; PFP
PLANNING AND SUPERVISION ........ AT; CS; ET
POLICIES, ACCOUNTING .................. AU
POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS ........ ET
POOLING OF INTERESTS ........ AU
POSITIVE ASSURANCE—See Letters for Underwriters
PRACTICE-MONITORING PROGRAMs .... BL; ET
PRACTICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTING ........ AT; BL; ET
PRACTITIONER .................. AT
PRE-AWARD SURVEYS ........ AT
PREDECESSOR AUDITOR ........ AU
PREDECESSOR INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT ........ AR
PREPARER'S DECLARATION—See Declarations
PRESCRIBED REPORT FORMS—See Special Reports
PRESENTATION GUIDELINES ........ AT
PRESIDENT OF INSTITUTE ........ BL
PRICE LEVEL CHANGES ........ AU
PRINCIPLES, ACCOUNTING—See Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS ........ AU
PRIOR PERIOD ITEMS ........ AU
PRIOR YEAR RETURNS ........ TS
PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ........ BL
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STANDARD REPORTS—See Auditors’ Reports

SUBJECT TO OPINION—See Qualified Opinion

STANDARDS, ATTESTATION—See
Attestation Standards

SUBORDINATION . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ET

STANDARDS, FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING . . . . . AU
STANDARDS, GENERAL—See General
Standards or General Standards, Attest
or General Standards, Audit
STANDARDS FOR ACCOUNTING AND
REVIEW SERVICES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AR
STANDARDS OF FIELD WORK, ATTEST. . . . . . AT
STANDARDS OF FIELD WORK, AUDIT . . . . . . . AU
STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE . . . . . . . . . . BL
STANDARDS OF REPORTING, ATTEST . . . . . . AT
STANDARDS OF REPORTING, AUDIT . . . . AR; AU
STANDARDS OF THE PUBLIC COMPANY
ACCOUNTING OVERSIGHT BOARD—See
PCAOB Standards
STANDARDS, TECHNICAL—See Technical
Standards

SUBSEQUENT DISCOVERY OF FACTS—See
Discovery
SUBSEQUENT EVENTS . . . . . . . . AR; AT; AU; TS
SUBSTANCE V. FORM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU
SUBSTANTIAL AUTHORITY STANDARD . . . . . . TS
SUBSTANTIVE TESTS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU
SUCCESSOR ACCOUNTANT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ET
SUCCESSOR AUDITOR . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU
SUCCESSOR INDEPENDENT
ACCOUNTANT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AR
SUFFICIENT RELEVANT DATA . . . . . . . . . . . . . CS
SUMMARIZATION . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU
SUPERVISION . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AR; AU; ET
SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL
INFORMATION . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AR; AU
SUSPENSION OF ASSOCIATION . . . . . . . . . . . BL

STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL
UNITS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

SUSPENSION OF MEMBERSHIP . . . . . . . . . . . BL

STATE BOARDS OF ACCOUNTANCY . . . . AU; CPE

SYSTEMATIC AND RATIONAL
ALLOCATION . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

STATE SOCIETIES, CPA . . . . . . . . . . AU; BL; CPE
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS . . . . . . . . AR; AU

SYSTEM OF QUALITY CONTROL . . . . AR; AT; AU

T

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE
INCOME . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AR

TAX BOOKLETS—See Publications

STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION . . . . . AU

TAX EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE . . . . . . . . . . . . . BL

STATEMENTS OF INCOME . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

TAX PLANNING . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . TS

STATEMENTS OF POSITION (AICPA) . . . . . . . . AU

TAX PRACTICE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . PFP

STATEMENTS OF RETAINED
EARNINGS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AR; AU

TAX RATES—See Rates (Income Taxes)

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS’
EQUITY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

TAX RETURNS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AR; AU; BL; TS

STATEMENTS ON QUALITY CONTROL
STANDARDS—Also see Quality Control . . . AR

TAXES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

TAX RETURN POSITIONS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . TS
TAX SERVICES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ET; TS

STATEMENTS ON STANDARDS FOR
ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENTS—See
Attestation Engagements

TAXPAYERS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

STATEMENTS ON STANDARDS FOR
CONSULTING SERVICES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . CS

TERMINATION OF AFFILIATION . . . . . . . . . . . . BL

STATISTICAL SAMPLING . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU
STATISTICAL SUMMARIES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

TECHNICAL STANDARDS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . BL
TERM OF OFFICE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . BL
TERMINATION OF ENGAGEMENT . . . . . . . . . . ET
TERMINATION OF MEMBERSHIP . . . . . . . . . . . BL

STATUTORY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS . . . AU

TERMINOLOGY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AR; AT; AU;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . CPE; CS; ET; PFP; TS

STEWARDSHIP—See Accountability

TESTS OF CONTROLS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

STOCK—See Inventories

THIRD PARTIES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . TS

STOCK EXCHANGES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

TIME PERIODS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

STOCK TRANSFER AGENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ET

TIMELINESS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU

STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AU
STOCKHOLDERS/OWNERS . . . . . . . . . . . AU; ET

TIMESHARE DEVELOPMENTS—See
Common Interest Realty Association

STUDENT FUNDS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ET

TITLES, PROFESSIONAL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ET

SUBCONTRACTORS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ET

TRADE ASSOCIATIONS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ET

SUBJECT MATTER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AT

TRAINING AND EDUCATION . . . . . . . . . . . AU; BL

AICPA Professional Standards

TRA


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TRAINING AND PROFICIENCY</strong></th>
<th>AT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRANSACTIONS</strong></td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRIAL BALANCE</strong></td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRIAL BOARD</strong></td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRUST FUNDs</strong></td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRUSTEES</strong></td>
<td>ET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRUSTS</strong></td>
<td>AU; ET</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**U**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>UNASSERTED CLAIMS</strong>—See Claims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNAUDITED INFORMATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNCERTAINTIES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNDERSTANDING WITH ENTITY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNDERWRITERS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNIFORM CPA EXAMINATION—See</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination, Uniform CPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNIFORMITY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNITED STATES</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>UNITING OF INTERESTS</strong>—See Pooling of Interests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNQUALIFIED OPINION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNUSUAL ITEMS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USEFULNESS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USERS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USES</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>UTILITIES, PUBLIC</strong></th>
<th>AU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**V**

| **VACANCIES**                                   | BL |
| **VALIDITY**—See Representation Faithfulness    |
| **VALUATION**—Also see Fair Value               | AU; ET |
| **VENTURES, JOINT**—See Jointly Owned Companies |
| **VICE CHAIRMAN OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS**         | BL |
| **VICE PRESIDENTS OF INSTITUTE (APPOINTED)**    | BL |
| **VIOLATIONS OF LAW**—See Illegal Acts          |
| **VOLUNTARY INFORMATION**                       | AT |
| **VOTING RIGHTS**                               | BL |

**W**

| **WAREHOUSES**—See Public Warehouses            |
| **WARRANTIES**—See Guarantees and Warranties    |
| **WITHDRAWAL FROM AUDIT ENGAGEMENT**—See Audit Engagement |
| **WITHDRAWAL FROM COMPILATION ENGAGEMENT**—See Compilation Engagement |
| **WITHDRAWAL FROM REVIEW ENGAGEMENT**—See Review Engagement |
| **WORKING CAPITAL**                             | AU |
| **WORKING PAPERS**—Also see Audit Documentation| AR; AU; ET |

**X**

| **XBRL INSTANCE DOCUMENTS**                    | AT |

*[The next page is 32-11.]*
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Part I

Applicability and Integration of AICPA 
Professional Standards and Public Company 
Accounting Oversight Board Standards 

Background 
As a result of the passage of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“Act”), auditing 
and related professional practice standards to be used in the performance of 
and reporting on audits of the financial statements of public companies are now 
established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“PCAOB”). 
The term “public companies,” as used above, actually encompasses more enti-
ties than just public companies. To state the authority of the PCAOB more 
precisely—the Act authorizes the PCAOB to establish auditing and related 
attestation, quality control, ethics, and independence standards to be used by 
registered public accounting firms in the preparation and issuance of audit 
reports for entities subject to the Act or the rules of the Securities and Exchange 
Commission (SEC). 

Accordingly, public accounting firms registered with the PCAOB are required 
to adhere to all PCAOB standards in the audits of “issuers,” as defined by the 
Act, and other entities when prescribed by the rules of the SEC (hereinafter 
collectively referred to as “issuers”). 

For audits of entities not subject to the Act or the rules of the SEC (hereinafter 
referred to as “non-issuers”), the preparation and issuance of audit reports must 
be conducted in accordance with the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct and 
the standards promulgated by the AICPA Auditing Standards Board (“ASB”). 
Audits of non-issuers remain governed by generally accepted auditing stand-
ards (“GAAS”) and Statements on Quality Control Standards as issued by the 
ASB. 

Who Is an Issuer? 
The term “issuer” means an issuer (as defined in section 3 of the Securities 
Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78c)), the securities of which are registered 
under section 12 of that Act (15 U.S.C. 78l), or that is required to file reports 
under section 15(d) (15 U.S.C. 78o(d)), or that files or has filed a registration 
statement that has not yet become effective under the Securities Act of 1933 
(15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.), and that it has not withdrawn. 

AICPA Standards and PCAOB Standards Included in 
This Publication 
As the AICPA and the PCAOB move forward in establishing professional 
standards this publication will present both AICPA and PCAOB standards. 
Moreover, as differences between AICPA and PCAOB standards emerge, this 
publication will provide an integration of both sets of standards in order to offer 
practitioners a seamless source of professional standards applicable to non-
issuers and those applicable to issuers.
Standards Applicable to the Audits of Non-Issuers

With the formation of the PCAOB, the ASB was reconstituted and its jurisdiction amended to recognize the ASB as a body with the authority to promulgate auditing, attestation and quality control standards relating to the preparation and issuance of audit reports for non-issuers.

Failure to follow ASB standards in the audit of a non-issuer would be considered a violation of Rule 201, General Standards [ET section 201.01], and/or Rule 202, Compliance With Standards [ET section 202.01], of the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct (“AICPA Code”).

As a caution to readers, pursuant to SAS No. 95, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards [AU section 150], interpretative publications are recommendations on the application of SASs in specific circumstances, including engagements for entities in specialized industries. Interpretative Publications, which include auditing interpretations, auditing guidance in Audit and Accounting Guides (“Guides”), and auditing guidance found in Statements of Position (“SOPs”), are issued under the authority of the ASB. The auditor should identify Interpretable Publications applicable to his or her audit. If the auditor does not apply the auditing guidance included in an applicable Interpretative Publication, the auditor should be prepared to explain how he or she complied with the SAS provisions addressed by such auditing guidance.

The ASB will continue to issue SASs and Interpretative Publications that relate to audits of non-issuers and auditors should be alert to those issuances.

Standards Applicable to the Audits of Issuers

Rule 3100 issued by the PCAOB (see PCAOB Release No. 2003-009 [PC section 120]) generally requires all registered public accounting firms to adhere to the PCAOB’s standards in connection with the preparation or issuance of any audit report on the financial statements of an issuer and in their auditing and related attestation practices. Rule 3100 requires registered public accounting firms and their associated persons to comply with all applicable standards. Accordingly, if the PCAOB’s standards do not apply to an engagement or other activity of the firm, Rule 3100, by its own terms, does not apply to that engagement or activity.

Selected final PCAOB’s standards and rules approved by the SEC are presented in the PC section of this publication.

Compliance With Standards Applicable to the Audits of Issuers

Any registered public accounting firm or person associated with such a firm that fails to adhere to applicable PCAOB standards in connection with an audit of the financial statements of an issuer may be the subject of a PCAOB disciplinary proceeding in accordance with Section 105 of the Act. In addition, the Act provides that any violation of the PCAOB’s rules is to be treated for all purposes in the same manner as a violation of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78a et seq., or the rules and regulations issued thereunder, and any person violating the PCAOB’s rules “shall be subject to the same penalties, and to the same extent, as for a violation of [the Exchange] Act or such rules or regulations.”

Rules 201 [ET section 201.01] and 202 [ET section 202.01] of the AICPA Code require a member who performs auditing and other professional services to comply with standards promulgated by bodies designated by AICPA Council.
AICPA Council has designated the PCAOB as a body with the authority to promulgate auditing and related attestation standards, quality control, ethics, independence and other standards relating to the preparation and issuance of audit reports for issuers.

The AICPA’s Professional Ethics Division is able to hold an AICPA member who performs audits of the financial statements of issuers accountable under Rules 201 [ET section 201.01] and 202 [ET section 202.01] of the AICPA Code for compliance with PCAOB’s auditing and related professional practice standards when performing such audits.

**PCAOB’s Adoption of Interim Standards**

The PCAOB is subject to SEC oversight. As such, rules and standards issued by the PCAOB must be approved by the SEC before they become effective.

The PCAOB has adopted interim standards through rules contained in PCAOB Release No. 2003-006 [PC section 110]. The SEC granted approval to these rules. Essentially, the interim standards that the PCAOB adopted were the generally accepted auditing standards, attestation standards, quality control standards issued by the ASB, certain former AICPA SEC Practice Section (SECPs) membership requirements, certain AICPA ethics and independence rules, and Independence Standards Board rules as they existed on April 16, 2003. These interim standards will remain in effect while the PCAOB conducts a review of standards applicable to registered public accounting firms. Based on this review, the PCAOB may modify, repeal, replace or adopt, in part or in whole, the interim standards. In addition to adopting these interim standards, the PCAOB requires compliance with the SEC’s independence standards and scope of services/independence rules established by the Act.

The PCAOB’s interim standards (known as the Interim Professional Auditing Standards) consist of five rules (Rules 3200T, 3300T, 3400T, 3500T, and 3600T), which are described below.

**Rule 3200T—Interim Auditing Standards, as Amended by PCAOB Release 2003-026**

Rule 3200T provides that, in connection with the preparation or issuance of any audit report on the financial statements of an issuer, a registered public accounting firm shall comply with generally accepted auditing standards as described in the ASB’s SAS No. 95, as in existence on April 16, 2003, to the extent not superceded or amended by the PCAOB.

**Rule 3300T—Interim Attestation Standards, as Amended by PCAOB Release 2003-026**

Rule 3300T governs the conduct of engagements that (i) are described in the ASB’s Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) No. 10, *Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification*, and (ii) relate to the preparation or issuance of audit reports for issuers. Registered public accounting firms involved in such engagements are required to comply with the ASB’s SSAEs, and related interpretations and AICPA Statements of Position, as in existence on April 16, 2003, to the extent not superceded or amended by the PCAOB.
Rule 3400T—Interim Quality Control Standards, as Amended by PCAOB Release 2003-026

Rule 3400T sets forth minimum quality control standards with which registered public accounting firms must comply, in order to ensure that registered public accounting firms, and their personnel, comply with applicable accounting and auditing (and other professional) standards. Through Rule 3400T, the PCAOB has provisionally designated the Statements on Quality Control Standards proposed and issued by the ASB and certain former AICPA SECPS membership requirements, as they existed, and as they applied to SECPS members, on April 16, 2003, to the extent not superseded or amended by the PCAOB, as the PCAOB’s Interim Quality Control Standards.

Because the PCAOB intends the Interim Quality Control Standards to preserve existing standards as they applied on April 16, 2003 consistent with Section 103(a)(3) of the Act, those Interim Quality Control Standards adapted from the former AICPA SECPS requirements apply only to those firms that were members of the AICPA’s SECPS on April 16, 2003.

Those requirements address the following matters:

- Continuing professional education of audit firm personnel
- Concurring partner review of the audit report and the financial statements of SEC registrants
- Written communication statement to all professional personnel of firm policies and procedures on the recommendation and approval of accounting principles, present and potential client relationships, and the types of services provided
- Notification to the SEC of resignations and dismissals from audit engagements for SEC registrants
- Audit firm obligations with respect to the policies and procedures of correspondent firms and of other members of international firms or international associations of firms
- Policies and procedures to comply with applicable independence requirements

Rule 3500T—Interim Ethics Standards, as Amended by PCAOB Release 2003-026

Rule 3500T sets forth ethical standards for registered public accounting firms and their personnel. Through Rule 3500T, the PCAOB has provisionally designated Rule 102, Integrity and Objectivity, and its Interpretations [ET section 102.01 and 191] of the AICPA Code, and interpretations and rulings thereunder, as they existed on April 16, 2003, to the extent not superseded or amended by the PCAOB, as the PCAOB’s Interim Ethics Standards.

Rule 3600T—Interim Independence Standards, as Amended by PCAOB Release 2003-026

Rule 3600T sets forth independence standards for registered public accounting firms and their personnel. Through Rule 3600T, the PCAOB has provisionally designated RULE 102, Integrity and Objectivity, and its Interpretations [ET section 102.01 and 191] of the AICPA Code, and interpretations and rulings thereunder, as they existed on April 16, 2003, to the extent not superseded or amended by the PCAOB, as the PCAOB’s Interim Independence Standards.

1 Effective January 1, 2004, the AICPA Center for Public Company Audit Firms restructures and replaces the SECPS.
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designated Rule 101, Independence, and its Interpretations [ET section 101.01 and 191] of the AICPA Code, and Interpretations and rulings thereunder, as they existed on April 16, 2003, to the extent not superceded or amended by the PCAOB, and Standards Nos. 1, 2, and 3, and Interpretations 99-1, 00-1, and 00-2 of the Independence Standards Board (“ISB”), to the extent not superceded or amended by the PCAOB, as the PCAOB’s Interim Independence Standards. In addition, the PCAOB requires compliance with the SEC’s independence rules. The PCAOB’s Interim Independence Standards are not to be interpreted to supercede the SEC’s independence requirements. Therefore, to the extent that a provision of the SEC’s rule or policy is more restrictive—or less restrictive—than the PCAOB’s Interim Independence Standards, a registered public accounting firm must comply with the more restrictive requirement.

Differences Between This Publication and AICPA Standards in Existence on April 16, 2003

As explained above, the PCAOB adopted statements on auditing standards, attestation standards, quality control standards issued by the ASB, and certain AICPA ethics and independence rules, in existence on April 16, 2003 as part of their interim standards. This publication reflects current statements on auditing standards, attestation standards, quality control standards, ethics and independence rules as set by the AICPA. It therefore reflects those standards, amendments, rules, and other changes issued and made by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. To help those users of this publication who want to identify statements on auditing standards, attestation standards, quality control standards, and ethics and independence rules as they existed on April 16, 2003, those sections of this publication that represent standards, amendments, rules, and other changes issued and made by the AICPA after April 16, 2003, are appropriately marked. Moreover, Part III of this section presents a list of AICPA changes made to Professional Standards after April 16, 2003. Remember that AICPA standards, amendments, rules, and other changes made after April 16, 2003 are not part of the PCAOB’s Interim Standards.

Major Existing Differences Between GAAS and PCAOB Standards

As this publication was being finalized, the major differences between generally accepted auditing standards and final PCAOB standards approved by the SEC are as follows:

- **Concurring Partner.** Rule 3400T requires the establishment of policies and procedures for a concurring review (generally the SECPS membership rule).

- **Communication of Firm Policy.** Rule 3400T requires registered firms to communicate through a written statement to all professional firm personnel the broad principles that influence the firm’s quality control and operating policies and procedures on, at a minimum, matters that relate to the recommendation and approval of accounting principles, present and potential client relationships, and the types of services provided, and inform professional firm personnel periodically that compliance with those principles is mandatory (generally the SECPS membership rule).

2 Firms that were not members of the AICPA’s SECPS as of April 16, 2003 do not have to comply with this requirement.
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- **Affiliated Firms.** Rule 3400T requires registered firms that are part of an international association to seek adoption of policies and procedures by the international organization or individual foreign associated firms consistent with PCAOB standards.

- **Partner Rotation.** Rule 3600T requires compliance with the SEC’s independence rules which include partner rotation.

- **Continuing Professional Education (CPE) Requirements.** Rule 3400T requires registered accounting firms to ensure that all of their professionals participate in at least 20 hours of qualifying CPE every year (generally the SECPs membership rule).

- **Independence Matters.** Rule 3600T requires compliance with the SEC’s independence rules and Standards Nos. 1, 2, and 3, and Interpretations 99-1, 00-1, and 00-2 of the Independence Standards Board.

**PCAOB Standards Pending**

As this publication was being finalized, certain PCAOB standards and rules have been issued as final pronouncements, but are awaiting SEC approval. (See the table in Part II for a listing of those items.) As such, these standards and rules are not yet effective. If approved by the SEC as currently written, these pending standards and rules would result in additional differences between generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the PCAOB. Readers should be alert to the final approval of these standards.

**Standards Applicable If a Non-Issuer’s Financial Statements Are Audited in Accordance With PCAOB Standards**

The Audit Issues Task Force (a task force of the ASB) has issued Auditing Interpretation No. 18, "Reference to PCAOB Standards in an Audit Report on a Nonissuer," of SAS No. 58, Reports on Audited Financial Statements [AU section 9508.89-.92], that addresses the question of which standards are applicable and how should the auditor report if an auditor is engaged to perform an audit of a non-issuer in accordance with PCAOB auditing standards. The Interpretation states that AU section 508 requires the auditor to indicate in the auditor’s report that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards. However, an auditor may indicate that the audit was also conducted in accordance with another set of auditing standards. If the auditor conducted the audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the auditing standards of the PCAOB, the auditor may indicate in the auditor’s report that the audit was conducted in accordance with both sets of standards. The Interpretation provides example report language.

**AICPA Standards and the Audits of Issuers**

If a registered public accounting firm performs an audit or review of an issuer in accordance with PCAOB standards, the auditor does not need to follow standards promulgated by the ASB. However, AICPA members are required to comply with the AICPA Code in addition to the ethics and independence rules and standards required by the SEC and PCAOB.
### Part II

**List of Selected Final PCAOB Auditing Rules and Standards**

This table presents those Rules and Standards of the PCAOB that have been issued as final and are relevant to the Standards contained in this publication. PCAOB Standards and Rules must be approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to be effective.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule / Standard</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>SEC Approval Date</th>
<th>PCAOB Release Number</th>
<th>AU, AT, ET Sections of PCAOB Standards</th>
<th>PCAOB Website Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

¹ These sections of the PCAOB’s Interim Standards are not amended or superseded by PCAOB Standard No. 2, but instead are amended or superseded by the PCAOB’s proposed Auditing Standard, *Conforming Amendments to PCAOB Interim Standards Resulting From the Adoption of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Connection With an Audit of Financial Statements* (PCAOB Release No. 2004-002). Readers should be alert to the issuance of any final Standard.

---

**AICPA Professional Standards**
### 32-22  
**Applicability & Integration of AICPA & PCAOB Standards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule / Standard</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>SEC Approval Date</th>
<th>PCAOB Release Number</th>
<th>AU, AT, ET Sections of PCAOB Standards Affected</th>
<th>PCAOB Website Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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## Part III

### List of AICPA Conforming and Other Changes Made After April 16, 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AU 230A</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 9311.36</td>
<td>Second sentence revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 312.16 and footnote 10</td>
<td>Penultimate sentence revised; Footnote 10 added; Subsequent footnotes renumbered in section.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 312.16, renumbered footnote 11</td>
<td>Revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 312.16, renumbered footnote 12</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 312.34, renumbered footnote 14</td>
<td>Second sentence revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 312A and 9312A</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
<td>SAS No. 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 313.06, footnote 2</td>
<td>Revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 316.86–.87</td>
<td>Deleted; Subsequent paragraph renumbered.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 316A</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 322.04, footnote 3</td>
<td>Revised.</td>
<td>Editorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 329A</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
<td>SAS No. 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 333A and 9333A</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 9333.02</td>
<td>Second sentence revised.</td>
<td>Editorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 9337.16, first paragraph</td>
<td>Penultimate sentence revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 339.15o</td>
<td>Revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 339.16, AU 339A, AU 9339A, AU 341A, and AU 9341A</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
<td>SAS No. 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 350.26,</td>
<td>First sentence revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>footnote 6,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and AU 380.10,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>footnote 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 508.42 and</td>
<td>First sentence of paragraph and</td>
<td>Editorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 9508.78</td>
<td>first sentence of second paragraph in the Independent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auditor’s Report revised.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 9508.85–.88</td>
<td>New Auditing Interpretation No. 17 of SAS No. 58.</td>
<td>Audit Issues Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 9508.89–.92</td>
<td>New Auditing Interpretation No. 18 of SAS No. 58.</td>
<td>Audit Issues Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 560.12e</td>
<td>First sentence revised.</td>
<td>Editorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 722A</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
<td>SAS No. 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU 801.23</td>
<td>Second sentence revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU Appendix F</td>
<td>Revised.</td>
<td>Audit and Attest Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT 9101.47–.55</td>
<td>New Attest Interpretation No. 5 of SSAE No. 10, chapter 1.</td>
<td>Audit and Attest Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT 501.44,</td>
<td>Revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>footnote 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT 601.33</td>
<td>Second sentence revised.</td>
<td>SAS No. 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET 101.02</td>
<td>First sentence in paragraph “C” revised.</td>
<td>Professional Ethics Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET 101.04</td>
<td>Ethics Interpretation No. 101-2 revised; Footnote 3 added;</td>
<td>Professional Ethics Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsequent footnotes renumbered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET 101.05</td>
<td>Ethics Interpretation No. 101-3 revised; Footnotes 4, 5, 9, 10, 11,</td>
<td>Professional Ethics Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and 12 added; Subsequent footnotes further renumbered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET 101.07</td>
<td>First two sentences under “Other Permitted Loans” in Ethics Interpretation No. 101-5 revised; Number 4 under “Other Permitted Loans” revised.</td>
<td>Professional Ethics Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET 101.[15]</td>
<td>Ethics Interpretation No. 101-13 deleted.</td>
<td>Professional Ethics Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET 191.[154–.155]</td>
<td>Ethics Ruling No. 77 deleted.</td>
<td>Professional Ethics Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Applicability & Integration of AICPA & PCAOB Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ET 191[.208–209]</td>
<td>Ethics Ruling No. 104 deleted.</td>
<td>Professional Ethics Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET 191[.210–211]</td>
<td>Ethics Ruling No. 105 deleted.</td>
<td>Professional Ethics Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, certain Tables of Contents, Cross-References to SASs parts, Topical Indexes, and AU Appendixes have been updated to reflect the changes in the table above.
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Part IV

**Selected Final PCAOB Auditing Rules and Standards Approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Release No. 2003-025: Auditing Standard No. 1—References in Auditors’ Reports to the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</td>
<td>2461</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Part I

**List of Statements on Auditing Procedure**

**Nos. 1-54, Statements on Auditing Standards, and Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements Issued to Date**

#### Statements on Auditing Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oct. 1939</td>
<td>Extensions of Auditing Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dec. 1939</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Opinion on the Basis of a Restricted Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Feb. 1940</td>
<td>Inventories and Receivables of Department Stores, Instalment Houses, Chain Stores, and Other Retailers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mar. 1941</td>
<td>Clients’ Written Representations Regarding Inventories, Liabilities, and Other Matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Feb. 1941</td>
<td>The Revised SEC Rule on “Accountants’ Certificates”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mar. 1941</td>
<td>The Revised SEC Rule on “Accountants’ Certificates”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mar. 1941</td>
<td>Contingent Liability Under Policies With Mutual Insurance Companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sept. 1941</td>
<td>Interim Financial Statements and the Auditor’s Report Thereon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dec. 1941</td>
<td>Accountants’ Reports on Examinations of Securities and Similar Investments Under the Investment Company Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>June 1942</td>
<td>Auditing Under Wartime Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sept. 1942</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Opinion on the Basis of a Restricted Examination (No. 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oct. 1942</td>
<td>Amendment to Extensions of Auditing Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Dec. 1942</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Opinion on the Basis of a Restricted Examination (No. 3) Face-Amount Certificate Companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dec. 1942</td>
<td>Confirmation of Public Utility Accounts Receivable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dec. 1942</td>
<td>Disclosure of the Effect of Wartime Uncertainties on Financial Statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dec. 1942</td>
<td>Case Studies on Inventories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Dec. 1942</td>
<td>Physical Inventories in Wartime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Jan. 1943</td>
<td>Confirmation of Receivables From the Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nov. 1943</td>
<td>Confirmation of Receivables (Positive and Negative Methods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Dec. 1943</td>
<td>Termination of Fixed Price Supply Contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>July 1944</td>
<td>Wartime Government Regulations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statements on Auditing Procedure—continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>May 1945</td>
<td>References to the Independent Accountant in Securities Registrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Dec. 1949</td>
<td>Clarification of Accountant’s Report When Opinion is Omitted (Revised)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Oct. 1948</td>
<td>Revision in Short-Form Accountant’s Report or Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Oct. 1954</td>
<td>Events Subsequent to the Date of Financial Statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>April 1956</td>
<td>Reporting on Use of “Other Procedures”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>July 1957</td>
<td>Long-Form Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Oct. 1957</td>
<td>Special Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Oct. 1958</td>
<td>Scope of the Independent Auditor’s Review of Internal Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Sept. 1960</td>
<td>Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor in the Examination of Financial Statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Oct. 1961</td>
<td>Consistency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Sept. 1962</td>
<td>Qualifications and Disclaimers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Dec. 1963</td>
<td>Auditing Standards and Procedures (a codification)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Sept. 1965</td>
<td>Long-Term Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Nov. 1965</td>
<td>Letters for Underwriters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Aug. 1966</td>
<td>Revision of “Extensions of Auditing Procedure” Relating to Inventories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Sept. 1966</td>
<td>Special Report: Public Warehouses Controls and Auditing Procedures for Goods Held</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Sept. 1967</td>
<td>Unaudited Financial Statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Sept. 1967</td>
<td>Working Papers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Oct. 1968</td>
<td>Reports Following a Pooling of Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Oct. 1969</td>
<td>Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Jan. 1970</td>
<td>Reporting When a Certified Public Accountant Is Not Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Sept. 1970</td>
<td>Confirmation of Receivables and Observation of Inventories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>April 1971</td>
<td>Reports Following a Pooling of Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>July 1971</td>
<td>Using the Work and Reports of Other Auditors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>July 1971</td>
<td>Piecemeal Opinions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Sept. 1971</td>
<td>Subsequent Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Oct. 1971</td>
<td>Letters for Underwriters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Nov. 1971</td>
<td>Reports on Internal Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Nov. 1971</td>
<td>Reporting on the Statement of Changes in Financial Position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>July 1972</td>
<td>Long-Term Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Oct. 1972</td>
<td>Reports on Internal Control Based on Criteria Established by Governmental Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Nov. 1972</td>
<td>Reporting on Consistency and Accounting Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Nov. 1972</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Study and Evaluation of Internal Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statements on Auditing Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nov. 1972</td>
<td>Codification of Auditing Standards and Procedures</td>
<td>See Part III¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oct. 1974</td>
<td>Reports on Audited Financial Statements [Superseded by SAS 58]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dec. 1974</td>
<td>The Effects of EDP on the Auditor’s Study and Evaluation of Internal Control [Superseded by SAS 48]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>July 1975</td>
<td>The Meaning of “Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles” in the Independent Auditor’s Report [Superseded by SAS 69]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>July 1975</td>
<td>Related Party Transactions [Superseded by SAS 45]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oct. 1975</td>
<td>Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors [Superseded by SAS 84]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dec. 1975</td>
<td>Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dec. 1975</td>
<td>The Effect of an Internal Audit Function on the Scope of the Independent Auditor’s Examination [Superseded by SAS 65]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dec. 1975</td>
<td>Using the Work of a Specialist [Superseded by SAS 73]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Jan. 1976</td>
<td>Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dec. 1976</td>
<td>Special Reports [Superseded by SAS 62]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dec. 1976</td>
<td>Reports on Comparative Financial Statements [Superseded by SAS 58]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Jan. 1977</td>
<td>The Independent Auditor’s Responsibility for the Detection of Errors or Irregularities [Superseded by SAS 53]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Pronouncements in effect are indicated in **boldface** type.

² Portions of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1 have been superseded by subsequent pronouncements.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Jan. 1977</td>
<td>Illegal Acts by Clients [Superseded by SAS 54]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>May 1977</td>
<td>Unaudited Replacement Cost Information [Withdrawn by the Auditing Standards Board]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>June 1977</td>
<td>Client Representations [Superseded by SAS 85]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Aug. 1977</td>
<td>Required Communication of Material Weaknesses in Internal Accounting Control [Superseded by SAS 60]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Dec. 1977</td>
<td>Segment Information [Rescinded by the Auditing Standards Board]²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Mar. 1978</td>
<td>Planning and Supervision</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Mar. 1979</td>
<td>Review of Interim Financial Information [Superseded by SAS 36]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Nov. 1979</td>
<td>The Relationship of Generally Accepted Auditing Standards to Quality Control Standards</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Nov. 1979</td>
<td>Association With Financial Statements</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Dec. 1979</td>
<td>Supplementary Information Required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board [Superseded by SAS 52]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>June 1980</td>
<td>Supplementary Information on the Effects of Changing Prices [Withdrawn by SAS 52]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>July 1980</td>
<td>Reporting on Information Accompanying the Basic Financial Statements in Auditor-Submitted Documents</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>July 1980</td>
<td>Reporting on Internal Accounting Control [Superseded by SSAE 2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Aug. 1980</td>
<td>Evidential Matter</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Oct. 1980</td>
<td>Supplementary Oil and Gas Reserve Information [Superseded by SAS 45]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² The Auditing Standards Board (ASB) has rescinded Statement on Auditing Standards No. 21, effective for audits of financial statements to which Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, has been applied. FASB Statement No. 131 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997, with earlier application encouraged. The Audit Issues Task Force of the ASB has issued an interpretation of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 31, Evidential Matter, entitled “Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements,” to provide guidance for audits of financial statements of entities that have implemented FASB Statement No. 131. The auditing interpretation appears in section 9026, Evidential Matter: Auditing Interpretations of Section 326, paragraphs .28 through .41.
### Cross-References to SASs

**Statements on Auditing Standards—continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Mar. 1981</td>
<td>The Auditor's Considerations When a Question Arises About an Entity's Continued Existence [Superseded by SAS 59]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>April 1981</td>
<td>Special Reports—Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement [Superseded by SAS 75]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>April 1981</td>
<td>Review of Interim Financial Information [Superseded by SAS 71]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>April 1981</td>
<td>Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>April 1981</td>
<td>Letters for Underwriters [Superseded by SAS 49]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>June 1981</td>
<td>Audit Sampling</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Feb. 1982</td>
<td>Supplementary Mineral Reserve Information [Superseded by SAS 52]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>April 1982</td>
<td>Working Papers [Superseded by SAS 96]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Sept. 1982</td>
<td>Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Dec. 1982</td>
<td>Special-Purpose Reports on Internal Accounting Control at Service Organizations [Superseded by SAS 70]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Sept. 1983</td>
<td>Consideration of Omitted Procedures After the Report Date</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Dec. 1983</td>
<td>Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^3]: Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43 has been integrated within sections 150.06 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 95), 320.50–56 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 55), 331.14, 350.47 (formerly paragraph .46, renumbered to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 53, as superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, as superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99), 420.18 (formerly paragraph .15, renumbered and deleted to reflect the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, Nos. 53–62, and No. 88, 901.01, 901.24, and 901.28).

[^4]: Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45 has created new sections 313, Substantive Tests Prior to the Balance Sheet Date; 334, Related Parties; and 557, Supplementary Oil and Gas Reserve Information (withdrawn by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 52).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>July 1984</td>
<td>The Effects of Computer Processing on the Audit of Financial Statements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Sept. 1984</td>
<td>Letters for Underwriters [Superseded by SAS 72]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>July 1986</td>
<td>Reports on the Application of Accounting Principles</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>July 1986</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared for Use in Other Countries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>Omnibus Statement on Auditing Standards—1987</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Responsibility to Detect and Report Errors and Irregularities [Superseded by SAS 82]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>Illegal Acts by Clients</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>Analytical Procedures</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>Auditing Accounting Estimates</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>Reports on Audited Financial Statements</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>April 1988</td>
<td>Communication With Audit Committees</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>April 1989</td>
<td>Special Reports</td>
<td>623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>April 1989</td>
<td>Compliance Auditing Applicable to Governmental Entities and Other Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance [Superseded by SAS 68]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48 has been integrated within sections 311.03, 311.09, 311.10, 318.07 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 56), 320.33 and 320.34 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 55), 320.37 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 55), 320.57 and 320.58 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 55), 320.65–68 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 55) and 326.12.

2 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 52 has been integrated within sections 411.05–08 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69) and 551.15 and has created a new section 558, Required Supplementary Information.
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### Statements on Auditing Standards—continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Dec. 1990</td>
<td>Omnibus Statement on Auditing Standards—1990(^7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>April 1991</td>
<td>The Auditor's Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements...</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>June 1991</td>
<td>Communication of Matters About Interim Financial Information Filed or to Be Filed With Specified Regulatory Agencies—An Amendment to SAS No. 36, Review of Interim Financial Information [Superseded by SAS 71]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Nov. 1991</td>
<td>The Confirmation Process .......</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Dec. 1991</td>
<td>Compliance Auditing Applicable to Governmental Entities and Other Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance [Superseded by SAS 74]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Jan. 1992</td>
<td>The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles(^8) .......</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>April 1992</td>
<td>Service Organizations(^9) ........................................</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>May 1992</td>
<td>Interim Financial Information [Superseded by SAS 100]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Feb. 1993</td>
<td>Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties ..........</td>
<td>634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>July 1994</td>
<td>Using the Work of a Specialist .....</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Feb. 1995</td>
<td>Compliance Auditing Considerations in Audits of Governmental Entities and Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance ..........</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Sept. 1995</td>
<td>Amendments to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 72, Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties(^10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^7\) Statement on Auditing Standards No. 64 has been integrated within sections 341.12, 508.74 (formerly paragraph .83, renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79), and 543.16.

\(^8\) Title of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69 has been amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.

\(^9\) Title of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 70 has been amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.

\(^10\) Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76 has been integrated within section 634.01, 634.09, 634.10, 634.64, and AT section 300.01 (superseded by Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Dec. 1995</td>
<td>Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit: An Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 55&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Dec. 1995</td>
<td>Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 58, <em>Reports on Audited Financial Statements</em>&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Dec. 1996</td>
<td>Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 31, <em>Evidential Matter</em>&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Dec. 1996</td>
<td>Auditing Investments [Superseded by SAS 92]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Feb. 1997</td>
<td>Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit [Superseded by SAS 99]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Oct. 1997</td>
<td>Establishing an Understanding With the Client&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Oct. 1997</td>
<td>Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Nov. 1997</td>
<td>Management Representations</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Mar. 1998</td>
<td>Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 72, <em>Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties</em>&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<sup>11</sup> Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77 has been integrated within sections 311.05, 341.13, 544.02, 544.04, 623.05, and 623.08.

<sup>12</sup> Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78 has been integrated within section 319.

<sup>13</sup> Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79 has been integrated within section 508.11, 508.19, 508.29–.32, 508.45–.49, 508.61, 508.62, and 508.74–.76.

<sup>14</sup> Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80 has been integrated within section 326.07, 326.12–.14, 326.16–.22, and 326.25.

<sup>15</sup> Statement on Auditing Standards No. 83 has been integrated within section 310.05–.07.

<sup>16</sup> Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86 has been integrated with section 634.20, 634.22, 634.29, 634.33, 634.34, 634.55, 634.57, and 634.64.
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### Cross-References to SASs

**Statements on Auditing Standards**—continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Sept. 1998</td>
<td>Restricting the Use of an Auditor's Report</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Dec. 1999</td>
<td>Service Organizations and Reporting on Consistency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Dec. 1999</td>
<td>Audit Adjustments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Dec. 1999</td>
<td>Audit Committee Communications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Apr. 2000</td>
<td>Federal GAAP Hierarchy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Sept. 2000</td>
<td>Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>May 2001</td>
<td>The Effect of Information Technology on the Auditor's Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Dec. 2001</td>
<td>Generally Accepted Auditing Standards</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Jan. 2002</td>
<td>Audit Documentation</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>June 2002</td>
<td>Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 50, Reports on the Application of Accounting Principles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Sept. 2002</td>
<td>Omnibus Statement on Auditing Standards—2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Oct. 2002</td>
<td>Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Nov. 2002</td>
<td>Interim Financial Information</td>
<td>722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Jan. 2003</td>
<td>Auditing Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

17 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88 has been integrated within sections 324 (title), 324.03, 324.06–10, and 429.07–11.
18 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89 has been integrated within sections 310.06, 333.06, 333.16, 380.09, and 380.10.
19 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 90 has been integrated within sections 380.03, 380.11, and 722.25–27 (superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 100).
20 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91 has been integrated within section 411.14, 411.15, and 411.18.
21 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93 has been integrated within sections 315.02, 315.12, 411 (title), 411.01, 508.08, and 622.
22 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94 has been integrated within section 319.
23 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97 has been integrated within section 625.01–07 and 625.09–11.
24 Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98 has been integrated within sections 150.05, 161 (headnote), 161.02, 161.03, 312.34–36, 312.38, 312.39, 312.41, 324.57–61, 324.65, 530.03–05, 551.07, 551.12, 551.15, 551.16, 558.02, 558.08–11, 560.01, and 561.01–03.
### Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mar. 1986</td>
<td>Attestation Standards [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT sections 101, 301, and 401]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dec. 1987</td>
<td>Attest Services Related to MAS Engagements [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT sections 101, 301, and 401]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oct. 1985</td>
<td>Financial Forecasts and Projections [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT sections 101, 301, and 401]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sept. 1988</td>
<td>Reporting on Pro Forma Financial Information [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT sections 101, 301, and 401]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>May 1993</td>
<td>Reporting on an Entity's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT section 501]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dec. 1993</td>
<td>Compliance Attestation [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT section 601]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sept. 1995</td>
<td>Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT section 201]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nov. 1995</td>
<td>Amendment to Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 1, <em>Attestation Standards</em> [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT section 101]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dec. 1995</td>
<td>Reporting on an Entity's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: An Amendment to Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 2 [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT section 501]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oct. 1997</td>
<td>Establishing an Understanding With the Client [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT section 101]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mar. 1998</td>
<td>Management's Discussion and Analysis [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT section 701]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jan. 1999</td>
<td>Amendments to Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements Nos. 1, 2, and 3 [Revised and recodified by SSAE 10; see AT sections 101, 501, and 601]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements—continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jan. 2001</td>
<td>Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification(^{25})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jan. 2002</td>
<td>Attest Documentation(^{26})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sept. 2002</td>
<td>Amendment to Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification(^{27})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^{25}\) Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10 has been integrated within AT sections 101, 201, 301, 401, 501, 601, and 701.

\(^{26}\) Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 11 has been integrated within AT sections 101.100–108, 201.27–30, 301.17, and 301.32.

\(^{27}\) Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 12 has been integrated within AT section 101.17 and 101.18.
## Part II

### Sources of Sections in Current Text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor</td>
<td>SAS 1⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Generally Accepted Auditing Standards</td>
<td>SAS 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>The Relationship of Generally Accepted Auditing Standards to Quality Control Standards</td>
<td>SAS 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>The General Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Nature of the General Standards</td>
<td>SAS 1⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Training and Proficiency of the Independent Auditor</td>
<td>SAS 1⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>SAS 1⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work</td>
<td>SAS 1⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>The Standards of Field Work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>Appointment of the Independent Auditor</td>
<td>SAS 1⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>Planning and Supervision</td>
<td>SAS 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit</td>
<td>SAS 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>Substantive Tests Prior to the Balance-Sheet Date</td>
<td>SAS 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors</td>
<td>SAS 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316</td>
<td>Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit</td>
<td>SAS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>Illegal Acts by Clients</td>
<td>SAS 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit</td>
<td>SAS 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements</td>
<td>SAS 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>Service Organizations</td>
<td>SAS 70¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit</td>
<td>SAS 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁹ Portions of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1 have been superseded by subsequent pronouncements. See Part III.
¹ Title of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 70 has been amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>Evidential Matter</td>
<td>SAS 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td>Auditing Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures</td>
<td>SAS 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329</td>
<td>Analytical Procedures</td>
<td>SAS 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>The Confirmation Process</td>
<td>SAS 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>SAS 1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td>Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities</td>
<td>SAS 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>Management Representations</td>
<td>SAS 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>Related Parties</td>
<td>SAS 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>Using the Work of a Specialist</td>
<td>SAS 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments</td>
<td>SAS 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339</td>
<td>Audit Documentation</td>
<td>SAS 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern</td>
<td>SAS 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>Auditing Accounting Estimates</td>
<td>SAS 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>Audit Sampling</td>
<td>SAS 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>Communications With Audit Committees</td>
<td>SAS 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>Consideration of Omitted Procedures After the Report Date</td>
<td>SAS 46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

400 **The First, Second, and Third Standards of Reporting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>Adherence to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
<td>SAS 1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
<td>SAS 69*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
<td>SAS 1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements</td>
<td>SAS 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td>Segment Information</td>
<td>SAS 21*3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Portions of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1 have been superseded by subsequent pronouncements. See Part III.

2 Title of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69 has been amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.

3 The Auditing Standards Board (ASB) has rescinded Statement on Auditing Standards No. 21, effective for audits of financial statements to which Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, has been applied. FASB Statement No. 131 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997, with earlier application encouraged. The Audit Issues Task Force of the ASB has issued an interpretation of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 31 entitled “Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements,” to provide guidance for audits of financial statements of entities that have implemented FASB Statement No. 131. The auditing interpretation appears in section 9326, Evidential Matter: Auditing Interpretations of Section 326, paragraphs 29 through 41.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>The Fourth Standard of Reporting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>Association With Financial Statements</td>
<td>SAS 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>Reports on Audited Financial Statements</td>
<td>SAS 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>Dating of the Independent Auditor's Report</td>
<td>SAS 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>Restricting the Use of an Auditor’s Report</td>
<td>SAS 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared for Use in Other Countries</td>
<td>SAS 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543</td>
<td>Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors</td>
<td>SAS 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>Lack of Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
<td>SAS 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements</td>
<td>SAS 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>Reporting on Information Accompanying the Basic Financial Statements in Auditor-Submitted Documents</td>
<td>SAS 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552</td>
<td>Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data</td>
<td>SAS 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>Required Supplementary Information</td>
<td>SAS 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>Subsequent Events</td>
<td>SAS 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor's Report</td>
<td>SAS 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>600</th>
<th>Other Types of Reports</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>622</td>
<td>Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement</td>
<td>SAS 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>Special Reports</td>
<td>SAS 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>Reports on the Application of Accounting Principles</td>
<td>SAS 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties</td>
<td>SAS 72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>700</th>
<th>Special Topics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>711</td>
<td>Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes</td>
<td>SAS 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>722</td>
<td>Interim Financial Information</td>
<td>SAS 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Portions of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1 have been superseded by subsequent pronouncements. See Part III.

* The ASB has withdrawn Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75 and its auditing interpretation, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after June 30, 2001, in order to consolidate the guidance applicable to agreed-upon procedures engagements in professional standards. For guidance relating to performing and reporting on agreed-upon procedures engagements, practitioners should refer to AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements.
## Cross-References to SASs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td><strong>Compliance Auditing</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>801</td>
<td>Compliance Auditing Considerations in Audits of</td>
<td>SAS 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governmental Entities and Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td><strong>Special Reports of the Committee on Auditing Procedure</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901</td>
<td>Public Warehouses—Controls and Auditing Procedures for Goods Held</td>
<td>SAS 1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Attest Engagements</td>
<td>SSAE 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements</td>
<td>SSAE 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>Financial Forecasts and Projections</td>
<td>SSAE 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401</td>
<td>Reporting on Pro Forma Financial Information</td>
<td>SSAE 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>Reporting on an Entity's Internal Control Over</td>
<td>SSAE 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Reporting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601</td>
<td>Compliance Attestation</td>
<td>SSAE 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701</td>
<td>Management’s Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td>SSAE 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Portions of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1 have been superseded by subsequent pronouncements. See Part III.
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### Part III

**List of Sections in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, Codification of Auditing Standards and Procedures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Generally Accepted Auditing Standards [Superseded by SAS 95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>The General Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Nature of the General Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Training and Proficiency of the Independent Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>The Standards of Field Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>Appointment of the Independent Auditor¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>The Auditor's Study and Evaluation of Internal Control [Superseded by SAS 55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320A</td>
<td>Appendix A—Relationship of Statistical Sampling to Generally Accepted Auditing Standards [Superseded by SAS 39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320B</td>
<td>Appendix B—Precision and Reliability for Statistical Sampling in Auditing [Superseded by SAS 39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>Evidential Matter [Superseded by SAS 31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>Inventories¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td>Evidential Matter for Long-Term Investments [Superseded by SAS 81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>Working Papers [Superseded by SAS 41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>The First, Second and Third Standards of Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>Adherence to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>Adequacy of Informative Disclosure [Superseded by SAS 32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>The Fourth Standard of Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510</td>
<td>Expression of Opinion in the Auditor's Report [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Pronouncements in effect are indicated in **boldface** type.

¹ Current section titles are listed. Section titles reflect amendments and conforming changes resulting from subsequent pronouncements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>Unqualified Opinion [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>Qualified Opinion [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>513</td>
<td>Adverse Opinion [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>514</td>
<td>Disclaimer of Opinion [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515</td>
<td>Piecemeal Opinion [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>Unaudited Financial Statements [Superseded by SAS 26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517</td>
<td>Reporting When a Certified Public Accountant Is Not Independent [Superseded by SAS 26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>Negative Assurance [Superseded by SAS 26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>Opinions on Prior Year’s Statements [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>Circumstances Which Require a Departure From the Standard Short-Form Report [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>Restrictions Imposed by the Client [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542</td>
<td>Other Conditions Which Preclude the Application of Necessary Auditing Procedures [Superseded by SAS 58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543</td>
<td>Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>Lack of Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>Inadequate Disclosure [Superseded by SAS 58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>Reporting on Inconsistency [Superseded by SAS 58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>Unusual Uncertainties as to the Effect of Future Developments on Certain Items [Superseded by SAS 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>Subsequent Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>Other Types of Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>Long-Form Reports [Superseded by SAS 29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>Special Reports [Superseded by SAS 14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>Letters for Underwriters [Superseded by SAS 38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>Reports on Internal Control [Superseded by SAS 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
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AU Section 100

STATEMENTS ON AUDITING STANDARDS — Introduction

Statements on Auditing Standards are issued by the Auditing Standards Board, the senior technical body of the Institute designated to issue pronouncements on auditing matters. Rule 202 of the Institute’s Code of Professional Conduct requires adherence to the applicable generally accepted auditing standards promulgated by the Institute. It recognizes Statements on Auditing Standards as interpretations of generally accepted auditing standards and requires that members be prepared to justify departures from such Statements.

Interpretations are issued by the Audit Issues Task Force of the Auditing Standards Board to provide timely guidance on the application of pronouncements of that Board. Interpretations are reviewed by the Auditing Standards Board. An interpretation is not as authoritative as a pronouncement of that Board, but members should be aware that they may have to justify a departure from an interpretation if the quality of their work is questioned.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor .01-.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distinction Between Responsibilities of Auditor and Management .02-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professional Qualifications .04-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Responsibility to the Profession .10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Generally Accepted Auditing Standards .01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auditing Standards .02-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interpretive Publications .05-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Auditing Publications .07-.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective Date .09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>The Relationship of Generally Accepted Auditing Standards to Quality Control Standards .01-.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[The next page is 61.]
AU Section 110

Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor

Source: SAS No. 1, section 110; SAS No. 78; SAS No. 82.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 The objective of the ordinary audit of financial statements by the independent auditor is the expression of an opinion on the fairness with which they present, in all material respects, financial position, results of operations, and its cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The auditor’s report is the medium through which he expresses his opinion or, if circumstances require, disclaims an opinion. In either case, he states whether his audit has been made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. These standards require him to state whether, in his opinion, the financial statements are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and to identify those circumstances in which such principles have not been consistently observed in the preparation of the financial statements of the current period in relation to those of the preceding period.

Distinction Between Responsibilities of Auditor and Management

.02 The auditor has a responsibility to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether caused by error or fraud.1 Because of the nature of audit evidence and the characteristics of fraud, the auditor is able to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that material misstatements are detected.2 The auditor has no responsibility to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance that misstatements, whether caused by errors or fraud, that are not material to the financial statements are detected. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.03 The financial statements are management’s responsibility. The auditor’s responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements. Management is responsible for adopting sound accounting policies and for establishing and

---

1 See section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, and section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit. The auditor’s consideration of illegal acts and responsibility for detecting misstatements resulting from illegal acts is defined in section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients. For those illegal acts that are defined in that section as having a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts, the auditor’s responsibility to detect misstatements resulting from such illegal acts is the same as that for error or fraud. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

2 See section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work, paragraphs .10 through .13. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]
maintaining internal control that will, among other things, initiate, record, process, and report transactions (as well as events and conditions) consistent with management’s assertions embodied in the financial statements. The entity’s transactions and the related assets, liabilities, and equity are within the direct knowledge and control of management. The auditor’s knowledge of these matters and internal control is limited to that acquired through the audit. Thus, the fair presentation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles is an implicit and integral part of management’s responsibility. The independent auditor may make suggestions about the form or content of the financial statements or draft them, in whole or in part, based on information from management during the performance of the audit. However, the auditor’s responsibility for the financial statements he or she has audited is confined to the expression of his or her opinion on them.

[Revised, April 1989, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78. Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997. Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

Professional Qualifications

.04 The professional qualifications required of the independent auditor are those of a person with the education and experience to practice as such. They do not include those of a person trained for or qualified to engage in another profession or occupation. For example, the independent auditor, in observing the taking of a physical inventory, does not purport to act as an appraiser, a valuer, or an expert in materials. Similarly, although the independent auditor is informed in a general manner about matters of commercial law, he does not purport to act in the capacity of a lawyer and may appropriately rely upon the advice of attorneys in all matters of law. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.05 In the observance of generally accepted auditing standards, the independent auditor must exercise his judgment in determining which auditing procedures are necessary in the circumstances to afford a reasonable basis for his opinion. His judgment is required to be the informed judgment of a qualified professional person. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

Detection of Fraud

[.06–.09] [Superseded January 1977 by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 16, as superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 53, as superseded by section 316. Paragraphs renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

3 The responsibilities and functions of the independent auditor are also applicable to financial statements presented in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles; references in this section to financial statements presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles also include those presentations. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78. Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]
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Responsibility to the Profession

10 The independent auditor also has a responsibility to his profession, the responsibility to comply with the standards accepted by his fellow practitioners. In recognition of the importance of such compliance, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants has adopted, as part of its Code of Professional Conduct, rules which support the standards and provide a basis for their enforcement. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]
AU Section 150

**Generally Accepted Auditing Standards**

(Supersedes SAS No. 1, section 150)

Source: SAS No. 95; SAS No. 98.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2001, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 An independent auditor plans, conducts, and reports the results of an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS). Auditing standards provide a measure of audit quality and the objectives to be achieved in an audit. Auditing procedures differ from auditing standards. Auditing procedures are acts that the auditor performs during the course of an audit to comply with auditing standards.

**Auditing Standards**

.02 The general, field work, and reporting standards (the 10 standards) approved and adopted by the membership of the AICPA, as amended by the AICPA Auditing Standards Board (ASB), are as follows:

**General Standards**

1. The audit is to be performed by a person or persons having adequate technical training and proficiency as an auditor.
2. In all matters relating to the assignment, an independence in mental attitude is to be maintained by the auditor or auditors.
3. Due professional care is to be exercised in the performance of the audit and the preparation of the report.

**Standards of Field Work**

1. The work is to be adequately planned and assistants, if any, are to be properly supervised.
2. A sufficient understanding of internal control is to be obtained to plan the audit and to determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed.
3. Sufficient competent evidential matter is to be obtained through inspection, observation, inquiries, and confirmations to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit.

**Standards of Reporting**

1. The report shall state whether the financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
2. The report shall identify those circumstances in which such principles have not been consistently observed in the current period in relation to the preceding period.
3. Informative disclosures in the financial statements are to be regarded as reasonably adequate unless otherwise stated in the report.

4. The report shall contain either an expression of opinion regarding the financial statements, taken as a whole, or an assertion to the effect that an opinion cannot be expressed. When an overall opinion cannot be expressed, the reasons therefor should be stated. In all cases where an auditor’s name is associated with financial statements, the report should contain a clear-cut indication of the character of the auditor’s work, if any, and the degree of responsibility the auditor is taking.

.03 Rule 202, Compliance With Standards, of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct [ET section 202.01], requires an AICPA member who performs an audit (the auditor) to comply with standards promulgated by the ASB. The ASB develops and issues standards in the form of Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs) through a due process that includes deliberation in meetings open to the public, public exposure of proposed SASs, and a formal vote. The SASs are codified within the framework of the 10 standards.

.04 The auditor should have sufficient knowledge of the SASs to identify those that are applicable to his or her audit. The nature of the 10 standards and the SASs requires the auditor to exercise professional judgment in applying them. Materiality and audit risk also underlie the application of the 10 standards and the SASs, particularly those related to field work and reporting. The auditor should be prepared to justify departures from the SASs.

Interpretive Publications

.05 Interpretive publications consist of auditing Interpretations of the SASs, appendixes to the SASs, auditing guidance included in AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides, and AICPA auditing Statements of Position. Interpretive publications are not auditing standards. Interpretive publications are recommendations on the application of the SASs in specific circumstances, including engagements for entities in specialized industries. An interpretive publication is issued under the authority of the ASB after all ASB members have been provided an opportunity to consider and comment on whether the proposed interpretive publication is consistent with the SASs. [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.06 The auditor should be aware of and consider interpretive publications applicable to his or her audit. If the auditor does not apply the auditing guidance included in an applicable interpretive publication, the auditor should be prepared to justify departures from the SASs addressed by such auditing guidance.

---

1 In certain engagements, the auditor also may be subject to other auditing requirements, such as Government Auditing Standards issued by the comptroller general of the United States, or rules and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

2 See section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit.

3 Appendixes to SASs referred to in paragraph .05 of this section do not include previously issued appendixes to original pronouncements that when adopted modified other SASs. [Footnote added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

4 Auditing Interpretations of the SASs are included in the codified version of the SASs and are cross-referenced from the related AU sections in Appendix C. AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides and auditing Statements of Position are listed in Appendix D. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]
Other Auditing Publications

.07 Other auditing publications include AICPA auditing publications not referred to above; auditing articles in the *Journal of Accountancy* and other professional journals; auditing articles in the AICPA *CPA Letter*; continuing professional education programs and other instruction materials, textbooks, guide books, audit programs, and checklists; and other auditing publications from state CPA societies, other organizations, and individuals.\(^5\) Other auditing publications have no authoritative status; however, they may help the auditor understand and apply the SASs.

.08 If an auditor applies the auditing guidance included in an other auditing publication, he or she should be satisfied that, in his or her judgment, it is both relevant to the circumstances of the audit, and appropriate. In determining whether an other auditing publication is appropriate, the auditor may wish to consider the degree to which the publication is recognized as being helpful in understanding and applying the SASs and the degree to which the issuer or author is recognized as an authority in auditing matters. Other auditing publications published by the AICPA that have been reviewed by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff are presumed to be appropriate.\(^6\)

Effective Date

.09 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2001.

\(^5\) The auditor is not expected to be aware of the full body of other auditing publications. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

\(^6\) Other auditing publications published by the AICPA that have been reviewed by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff are listed in AU Appendix F. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]
AU Section 161

The Relationship of Generally Accepted Auditing Standards to Quality Control Standards

(Supersedes SAS No. 4)[1]

Source: SAS No. 25; SAS No. 98.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1979.

.01 The independent auditor is responsible for compliance with generally accepted auditing standards in an audit engagement. Rule 202 [ET section 202.01] of the Rules of Conduct of the Code of Professional Conduct of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants requires members to comply with such standards when associated with financial statements.

.02 A firm of independent auditors has a responsibility to adopt a system of quality control in conducting an audit practice. Thus, a firm should establish quality control policies and procedures to provide it with reasonable assurance that its personnel comply with generally accepted auditing standards in its audit engagements. The nature and extent of a firm’s quality control policies and procedures depend on factors such as its size, the degree of operating autonomy allowed its personnel and its practice offices, the nature of its practice, its organization, and appropriate cost-benefit considerations. [Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.03 Generally accepted auditing standards relate to the conduct of individual audit engagements; quality control standards relate to the conduct of a firm’s audit practice as a whole. Thus, generally accepted auditing standards and quality control standards are related, and the quality control policies and procedures that a firm adopts may affect both the conduct of individual audit engagements and the conduct of a firm’s audit practice as a whole. However, deficiencies in or instances of noncompliance with a firm’s quality control policies and procedures do not, in and of themselves, indicate that a particular audit engagement was not performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

[The next page is 131.]

[1] [Footnote deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

2 The elements of quality control are identified in Statement on Quality Control Standards (SQCS) No. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm’s Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC section 20). A system of quality control is broadly defined as a process to provide the firm with reasonable assurance that its personnel comply with applicable professional standards and the firm’s standards of quality. [Footnote added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]
AU Section 200
THE GENERAL STANDARDS
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AU Section 201

Nature of the General Standards

Source: SAS No. 1, section 201.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 The general standards are personal in nature and are concerned with the qualifications of the auditor and the quality of his work as distinct from those standards which relate to the performance of his field work and to his reporting. These personal, or general, standards apply alike to the areas of field work and reporting.

[The next page is 151.]
The first general standard is:

The audit is to be performed by a person or persons having adequate technical training and proficiency as an auditor.

This standard recognizes that however capable a person may be in other fields, including business and finance, he cannot meet the requirements of the auditing standards without proper education and experience in the field of auditing.

In the performance of the audit which leads to an opinion, the independent auditor holds himself out as one who is proficient in accounting and auditing. The attainment of that proficiency begins with the auditor's formal education and extends into his subsequent experience. The independent auditor must undergo training adequate to meet the requirements of a professional. This training must be adequate in technical scope and should include a commensurate measure of general education. The junior assistant, just entering upon an auditing career, must obtain his professional experience with the proper supervision and review of his work by a more experienced superior. The nature and extent of supervision and review must necessarily reflect wide variances in practice. The auditor charged with final responsibility for the engagement must exercise a seasoned judgment in the varying degrees of his supervision and review of the work done and judgment exercised by his subordinates, who in turn must meet the responsibility attaching to the varying gradations and functions of their work.

The independent auditor's formal education and professional experience complement one another; each auditor exercising authority upon an engagement should weigh these attributes in determining the extent of his supervision of subordinates and review of their work. It should be recognized that the training of a professional man includes a continual awareness of developments taking place in business and in his profession. He must study, understand, and apply new pronouncements on accounting principles and auditing procedures as they are developed by authoritative bodies within the accounting profession.

In the course of his day-to-day practice, the independent auditor encounters a wide range of judgment on the part of management, varying from true objective judgment to the occasional extreme of deliberate misstatement. He is retained to audit and report upon the financial statements of a business because, through his training and experience, he has become skilled in accounting and auditing and has acquired the ability to consider objectively...
and to exercise independent judgment with respect to the information recorded in books of account or otherwise disclosed by his audit. [As amended July, 1975 by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 5.]
The second general standard is:

In all matters relating to the assignment, an independence in mental attitude is to be maintained by the auditor or auditors.

This standard requires that the auditor be independent; aside from being in public practice (as distinct from being in private practice), he must be without bias with respect to the client since otherwise he would lack that impartiality necessary for the dependability of his findings, however excellent his technical proficiency may be. However, independence does not imply the attitude of a prosecutor but rather a judicial impartiality that recognizes an obligation for fairness not only to management and owners of a business but also to creditors and those who may otherwise rely (in part, at least) upon the independent auditor’s report, as in the case of prospective owners or creditors.

It is of utmost importance to the profession that the general public maintain confidence in the independence of independent auditors. Public confidence would be impaired by evidence that independence was actually lacking, and it might also be impaired by the existence of circumstances which reasonable people might believe likely to influence independence. To be independent, the auditor must be intellectually honest; to be recognized as independent, he must be free from any obligation to or interest in the client, its management, or its owners. For example, an independent auditor auditing a company of which he was also a director might be intellectually honest, but it is unlikely that the public would accept him as independent since he would be in effect auditing decisions which he had a part in making. Likewise, an auditor with a substantial financial interest in a company might be unbiased in expressing his opinion on the financial statements of the company, but the public would be reluctant to believe that he was unbiased. Independent auditors should not only be independent in fact; they should avoid situations that may lead outsiders to doubt their independence.

The profession has established, through the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct, precepts to guard against the presumption of loss of independence. “Presumption” is stressed because the possession of intrinsic independence is a matter of personal quality rather than of rules that formulate certain objective tests. Insofar as these precepts have been incorporated in the profession’s code, they have the force of professional law for the independent auditor.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has also adopted requirements for independence of auditors who report on financial statements filed with it that differ from the AICPA requirements in certain respects.\(^1\)

\(^1\) [Footnote deleted, December 2001, to acknowledge the dissolution of the Independence Standard Board.]
The independent auditor should administer his practice within the spirit of these precepts and rules if he is to achieve a proper degree of independence in the conduct of his work.

To emphasize independence from management, many corporations follow the practice of having the independent auditor appointed by the board of directors or elected by the stockholders.
AU Section 230

Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work

Source: SAS No. 1, section 230; SAS No. 41; SAS No. 82; SAS No. 99.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 The third general standard is:

Due professional care is to be exercised in the planning and performance of the audit and the preparation of the report.1

[As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.02 This standard requires the independent auditor to plan and perform his or her work with due professional care. Due professional care imposes a responsibility upon each professional within an independent auditor's organization to observe the standards of field work and reporting. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.03 Cooley on Torts, a legal treatise, describes the obligation for due care as follows:

Every man who offers his services to another and is employed assumes the duty to exercise in the employment such skill as he possesses with reasonable care and diligence. In all these employments where peculiar skill is requisite, if one offers his services, he is understood as holding himself out to the public as possessing the degree of skill commonly possessed by others in the same employment, and if his pretentions are unfounded, he commits a species of fraud upon every man who employs him in reliance on his public profession. But no man, whether skilled or unskilled, undertakes that the task he assumes shall be performed successfully, and without fault or error; he undertakes for good faith and integrity, but not for infallibility, and he is liable to his employer for negligence, bad faith, or dishonesty, but not for losses consequent upon pure errors of judgment.2

[As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.04 The matter of due professional care concerns what the independent auditor does and how well he or she does it. The quotation from Cooley on Torts

---

1 This amendment revises the third general standard of the ten generally accepted auditing standards. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

provides a source from which an auditor's responsibility for conducting an audit with due professional care can be derived. The remainder of the section discusses the auditor's responsibility in the context of an audit. [As amended, April 1982, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 41. As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.05 An auditor should possess “the degree of skill commonly possessed” by other auditors and should exercise it with “reasonable care and diligence” (that is, with due professional care). [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.06 Auditors should be assigned to tasks and supervised commensurate with their level of knowledge, skill, and ability so that they can evaluate the audit evidence they are examining. The auditor with final responsibility for the engagement should know, at a minimum, the relevant professional accounting and auditing standards and should be knowledgeable about the client. The auditor with final responsibility is responsible for the assignment of tasks to, and supervision of, assistants. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

Professional Skepticism

.07 Due professional care requires the auditor to exercise professional skepticism. Professional skepticism is an attitude that includes a questioning mind and a critical assessment of audit evidence. The auditor uses the knowledge, skill, and ability called for by the profession of public accounting to diligently perform, in good faith and with integrity, the gathering and objective evaluation of evidence. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.08 Gathering and objectively evaluating audit evidence requires the auditor to consider the competency and sufficiency of the evidence. Since evidence is gathered and evaluated throughout the audit, professional skepticism should be exercised throughout the audit process. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.09 The auditor neither assumes that management is dishonest nor assumes unquestioned honesty. In exercising professional skepticism, the auditor should not be satisfied with less than persuasive evidence because of a belief that management is honest. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

Reasonable Assurance

.10 The exercise of due professional care allows the auditor to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free of material
misstatement, whether caused by error or fraud. Absolute assurance is not attainable because of the nature of audit evidence and the characteristics of fraud. Therefore, an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards may not detect a material misstatement. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.11 The independent auditor’s objective is to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to provide him or her with a reasonable basis for forming an opinion. The nature of most evidence derives, in part, from the concept of selective testing of the data being audited, which involves judgment regarding both the areas to be tested and the nature, timing, and extent of the tests to be performed. In addition, judgment is required in interpreting the results of audit testing and evaluating audit evidence. Even with good faith and integrity, mistakes and errors in judgment can be made. Furthermore, accounting presentations contain accounting estimates, the measurement of which is inherently uncertain and depends on the outcome of future events. The auditor exercises professional judgment in evaluating the reasonableness of accounting estimates based on information that could reasonably be expected to be available prior to the completion of field work.⁵ As a result of these factors, in the great majority of cases, the auditor has to rely on evidence that is persuasive rather than convincing.⁶ [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.12 Because of the characteristics of fraud, a properly planned and performed audit may not detect a material misstatement. Characteristics of fraud include (a) concealment through collusion among management, employees, or third parties; (b) withheld, misrepresented, or falsified documentation; and (c) the ability of management to override or instruct others to override what otherwise appears to be effective controls. For example, auditing procedures may be ineffective for detecting an intentional misstatement that is concealed through collusion among personnel within the entity and third parties or among management or employees of the entity. Collusion may cause the auditor who has properly performed the audit to conclude that evidence provided is persuasive when it is, in fact, false. In addition, an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards rarely involves authentication of documentation, nor are auditors trained as or expected to be experts in such authentication. Furthermore, an auditor may not discover the existence of a modification of documentation through a side agreement that management or a third party has not disclosed. Finally, management has the ability to directly or indirectly manipulate accounting records and present fraudulent financial information by overriding controls in unpredictable ways. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82. As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

.13 Since the auditor’s opinion on the financial statements is based on the concept of obtaining reasonable assurance, the auditor is not an insurer and

¹ See section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

² See section 326, Evidential Matter. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]
his or her report does not constitute a guarantee. Therefore, the subsequent discovery that a material misstatement, whether from error or fraud, exists in the financial statements does not, in and of itself, evidence (a) failure to obtain reasonable assurance, (b) inadequate planning, performance, or judgment, (c) the absence of due professional care, or (d) a failure to comply with generally accepted auditing standards. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]
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<td></td>
<td>Effective Date</td>
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<td></td>
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<td>9333</td>
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<td></td>
<td>1. Management Representations on Violations and Possible Violations of Laws and Regulations (3/79)</td>
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<td>.01-.12</td>
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### 334 Related Parties—continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Determining the Existence of Related Parties</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying Transactions With Related Parties</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examining Identified Related Party Transactions</td>
<td>.09-.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure</td>
<td>.11-.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9334 Related Parties: Auditing Interpretations of Section 334

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1.] Evaluating the Adequacy of Disclosure of Related Party Transactions (3/76) [Withdrawn August, 1983]</td>
<td>.01-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2.] Disclosure of Commonly Controlled Parties (3/76) [Withdrawn August, 1983]</td>
<td>.06-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3.] Definition of “Immediate Family” (3/76) [Withdrawn August, 1983]</td>
<td>.10-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Exchange of Information Between the Principal and Other Auditor on Related Parties (4/79)</td>
<td>.12-.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Examination of Identified Related Party Transactions With a Component (4/79)</td>
<td>.14-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Management’s and Auditor’s Responsibilities for Related Party Disclosures Prefaced by Terminology Such As “Management Believes That” (5/00)</td>
<td>.22-.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 336 Using the Work of a Specialist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction and Applicability</td>
<td>.01-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision to Use the Work of a Specialist</td>
<td>.06-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualifications and Work of a Specialist</td>
<td>.08-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship of the Specialist to the Client</td>
<td>.10-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using the Findings of the Specialist</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of the Specialist’s Work on the Auditor’s Report</td>
<td>.13-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference to the Specialist in the Auditor’s Report</td>
<td>.15-.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9336 Using the Work of a Specialist: Auditing Interpretations of Section 336

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (12/01)</td>
<td>.01-.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AU Section 310

Appointment of the Independent Auditor

Source: SAS No. 1, section 310; SAS No. 45; SAS No. 83; SAS No. 89.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 The first standard of field work is:
The work is to be adequately planned and assistants, if any, are to be properly supervised.

.02 Aspects of supervising assistants are discussed in section 210, Training and Proficiency of the Independent Auditor, and section 311, Planning and Supervision. Aspects of planning the field work and the timing of auditing procedures are discussed in section 311 and section 313, Substantive Tests Prior to the Balance-Sheet Date. [As amended August 1983, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45.] (See section 313.)

Appointment of the Independent Auditor

.03 Consideration of the first standard of field work recognizes that early appointment of the independent auditor has many advantages to both the auditor and his client. Early appointment enables the auditor to plan his work so that it may be done expeditiously and to determine the extent to which it can be done before the balance-sheet date. [As amended August, 1983, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45.] (See section 313.)

Appointment of Auditor Near or After the Year-End Date

.04 Although early appointment is preferable, an independent auditor may accept an engagement near or after the close of the fiscal year. In such instances, before accepting the engagement, he should ascertain whether circumstances are likely to permit an adequate audit and expression of an unqualified opinion and, if they will not, he should discuss with the client the possible necessity for a qualified opinion or disclaimer of opinion. Sometimes the audit limitations present in such circumstances can be remedied. For example, the taking of the physical inventory can be postponed or another physical inventory can be taken which the auditor can observe. (See section 331.09–13.)

Establishing an Understanding With the Client

.05 The auditor should establish an understanding with the client regarding the services to be performed for each engagement.1 Such an understanding

---

1 See Statement on Quality Control Standards No. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm’s Accounting and Auditing Practice, paragraph 16 [QC section 20.16]. [Footnote added, effective for engagements for periods ending on or after June 15, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 83.]
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reduces the risk that either the auditor or the client may misinterpret the needs or expectations of the other party. For example, it reduces the risk that the client may inappropriately rely on the auditor to protect the entity against certain risks or to perform certain functions that are the client’s responsibility. The understanding should include the objectives of the engagement, management’s responsibilities, the auditor’s responsibilities, and limitations of the engagement. The auditor should document the understanding in the working papers, preferably through a written communication with the client. If the auditor believes an understanding with the client has not been established, he or she should decline to accept or perform the engagement. [Paragraph added, effective for engagements for periods ending on or after June 15, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 83.]

.06 An understanding with the client regarding an audit of the financial statements generally includes the following matters.

- The objective of the audit is the expression of an opinion on the financial statements.
- Management is responsible for the entity’s financial statements.
- Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting.
- Management is responsible for identifying and ensuring that the entity complies with the laws and regulations applicable to its activities.
- Management is responsible for making all financial records and related information available to the auditor.
- At the conclusion of the engagement, management will provide the auditor with a letter that confirms certain representations made during the audit.
- The auditor is responsible for conducting the audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that the auditor obtain reasonable rather than absolute assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether caused by error or fraud. Accordingly, a material misstatement may remain undetected. Also, an audit is not designed to detect error or fraud that is immaterial to the financial statements. If, for any reason, the auditor is unable to complete the audit or is unable to form or has not formed an opinion, he or she may decline to express an opinion or decline to issue a report as a result of the engagement.
- An audit includes obtaining an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan the audit and to determine the nature, timing, and
Extent of audit procedures to be performed. An audit is not designed to provide assurance on internal control or to identify reportable conditions. However, the auditor is responsible for ensuring that the audit committee or others with equivalent authority or responsibility are aware of any reportable conditions which come to his or her attention.

- Management is responsible for adjusting the financial statements to correct material misstatements and for affirming to the auditor in the representation letter that the effects of any uncorrected misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

These matters may be communicated in the form of an engagement letter. [Paragraph added, effective for engagements for periods ending on or after June 15, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 83. As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.]

.07 An understanding with the client also may include other matters, such as the following:

- Arrangements regarding the conduct of the engagement (for example, timing, client assistance regarding the preparation of schedules, and the availability of documents)
- Arrangements concerning involvement of specialists or internal auditors, if applicable
- Arrangements involving a predecessor auditor
- Arrangements regarding fees and billing
- Any limitation of or other arrangements regarding the liability of the auditor or the client, such as indemnification to the auditor for liability arising from knowing misrepresentations to the auditor by management (Regulators, including the Securities and Exchange Commission, may restrict or prohibit such liability limitation arrangements.)
- Conditions under which access to the auditor’s working papers may be granted to others
- Additional services to be provided relating to regulatory requirements
- Arrangements regarding other services to be provided in connection with the engagement

[Paragraph added, effective for engagements for periods ending on or after June 15, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 83.]
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AU Section 311

Planning and Supervision

Source: SAS No. 22; SAS No. 47; SAS No. 48; SAS No. 77.

See section 9311 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for periods ending after September 30, 1978, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 The first standard of field work requires that “the work is to be adequately planned and assistants, if any, are to be properly supervised.” This section provides guidance to the independent auditor conducting an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards on the considerations and procedures applicable to planning and supervision, including preparing an audit program, obtaining knowledge of the entity’s business, and dealing with differences of opinion among firm personnel. Planning and supervision continue throughout the audit, and the related procedures frequently overlap.

.02 The auditor with final responsibility for the audit may delegate portions of the planning and supervision of the audit to other firm personnel. For purposes of this section, (a) firm personnel other than the auditor with final responsibility for the audit are referred to as assistants and (b) the term auditor refers to either the auditor with final responsibility for the audit or assistants.

Planning

.03 Audit planning involves developing an overall strategy for the expected conduct and scope of the audit. The nature, extent, and timing of planning vary with the size and complexity of the entity, experience with the entity, and knowledge of the entity’s business. In planning the audit, the auditor should consider, among other matters:

a. Matters relating to the entity’s business and the industry in which it operates (see paragraph .07).

b. The entity’s accounting policies and procedures.

c. The methods used by the entity to process significant accounting information (see paragraph .09), including the use of service organizations, such as outside service centers.

d. Planned assessed level of control risk. (See section 319.)

e. Preliminary judgment about materiality levels for audit purposes.

f. Financial statement items likely to require adjustment.

g. Conditions that may require extension or modification of audit tests, such as the risk of material error or fraud or the existence of related party transactions.

h. The nature of reports expected to be rendered (for example, a report on consolidated or consolidating financial statements, reports on financial statements filed with the SEC, or special reports such as those on compliance with contractual provisions).
Procedures that an auditor may consider in planning the audit usually involve review of his records relating to the entity and discussion with other firm personnel and personnel of the entity. Examples of those procedures include:

- Reviewing correspondence files, prior year's working papers, permanent files, financial statements, and auditor's reports.
- Discussing matters that may affect the audit with firm personnel responsible for non-audit services to the entity.
- Inquiring about current business developments affecting the entity.
- Reading the current year's interim financial statements.
- Discussing the type, scope, and timing of the audit with management of the entity, the board of directors, or its audit committee.
- Considering the effects of applicable accounting and auditing pronouncements, particularly new ones.
- Coordinating the assistance of entity personnel in data preparation.
- Determining the extent of involvement, if any, of consultants, specialists, and internal auditors.
- Establishing the timing of the audit work.
- Establishing and coordinating staffing requirements.

The auditor may wish to prepare a memorandum setting forth the preliminary audit plan, particularly for large and complex entities.

In planning the audit, the auditor should consider the nature, extent, and timing of work to be performed and should prepare a written audit program (or set of written audit programs) for every audit. The audit program should set forth in reasonable detail the audit procedures that the auditor believes are necessary to accomplish the objectives of the audit. The form of the audit program and the extent of its detail will vary with the circumstances. As the audit progresses, changed conditions may make it necessary to modify planned audit procedures. [As amended, effective for engagements beginning after December 15, 1995, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77.]

The auditor should obtain a level of knowledge of the entity's business that will enable him to plan and perform his audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. That level of knowledge should enable him to obtain an understanding of the events, transactions, and practices that, in his judgment, may have a significant effect on the financial statements. The level of knowledge customarily possessed by management relating to managing the entity's business is substantially greater than that which is obtained by the auditor in performing his audit. Knowledge of the entity's business helps the auditor in:

- Identifying areas that may need special consideration.
- Assessing conditions under which accounting data are produced, processed, reviewed, and accumulated within the organization.
- Evaluating the reasonableness of estimates, such as valuation of inventories, depreciation, allowances for doubtful accounts, and percentage of completion of long-term contracts.
d. Evaluating the reasonableness of management representations.

e. Making judgments about the appropriateness of the accounting principles applied and the adequacy of disclosures.\[1\]

.07 The auditor should obtain a knowledge of matters that relate to the nature of the entity’s business, its organization, and its operating characteristics. Such matters include, for example, the type of business, types of products and services, capital structure, related parties, locations, and production, distribution, and compensation methods. The auditor should also consider matters affecting the industry in which the entity operates, such as economic conditions, government regulations, and changes in technology, as they relate to his audit. Other matters, such as accounting practices common to the industry, competitive conditions, and, if available, financial trends and ratios should also be considered by the auditor.

.08 Knowledge of an entity’s business is ordinarily obtained through experience with the entity or its industry and inquiry of personnel of the entity. Working papers from prior years may contain useful information about the nature of the business, organizational structure, operating characteristics, and transactions that may require special consideration. Other sources an auditor may consult include AICPA accounting and audit guides, industry publications, financial statements of other entities in the industry, textbooks, periodicals, and individuals knowledgeable about the industry.

.09 The auditor should consider the methods the entity uses to process accounting information in planning the audit because such methods influence the design of the internal control. The extent to which computer processing is used in significant accounting applications, as well as the complexity of that processing, may also influence the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures. Accordingly, in evaluating the effect of an entity’s computer processing on an audit of financial statements, the auditor should consider matters such as—

a. The extent to which the computer is used in each significant accounting application.

b. The complexity of the entity’s computer operations, including the use of an outside service center.\[3\]

c. The organizational structure of the computer processing activities.

d. The availability of data. Documents that are used to enter information into the computer for processing, certain computer files, and other evidential matter that may be required by the auditor may exist only for a short period or only in computer-readable form. In some computer systems, input documents may not exist at all because information is directly entered into the system. An entity’s data retention policies may require the auditor to request retention of some information for his review or to perform audit procedures at

---

\[1\] Footnote deleted to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.

\[2\] Significant accounting applications are those that relate to accounting information that can materially affect the financial statements the auditor is auditing. [Footnote added by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48.]

\[3\] See section 324, Service Organizations, for guidance concerning the use of a service center for computer processing of significant accounting applications. [Footnote revised, June 1992, by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 70. Title amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.]
a time when the information is available. In addition, certain information generated by the computer for management’s internal purposes may be useful in performing substantive tests (particularly analytical procedures). ⁴

e. The use of computer-assisted audit techniques to increase the efficiency of performing audit procedures. ⁵ Using computer-assisted audit techniques may also provide the auditor with an opportunity to apply certain procedures to an entire population of accounts or transactions. In addition, in some accounting systems, it may be difficult or impossible for the auditor to analyze certain data or test specific control procedures without computer assistance.

[Paragraph added, effective for periods beginning after August 31, 1984, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48.]

.10 The auditor should consider whether specialized skills are needed to consider the effect of computer processing on the audit, to understand the controls, or to design and perform audit procedures. If specialized skills are needed, the auditor should seek the assistance of a professional possessing such skills, who may be either on the auditor’s staff or an outside professional. If the use of such a professional is planned, the auditor should have sufficient computer-related knowledge to communicate the objectives of the other professional’s work; to evaluate whether the specified procedures will meet the auditor’s objectives; and to evaluate the results of the procedures applied as they relate to the nature, timing, and extent of other planned audit procedures. The auditor’s responsibilities with respect to using such a professional are equivalent to those for other assistants. ⁶ [Paragraph added, effective for periods beginning after August 31, 1984, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48.]

Supervision

.11 Supervision involves directing the efforts of assistants who are involved in accomplishing the objectives of the audit and determining whether those objectives were accomplished. Elements of supervision include instructing assistants, keeping informed of significant problems encountered, reviewing the work performed, and dealing with differences of opinion among firm personnel. The extent of supervision appropriate in a given instance depends on many factors, including the complexity of the subject matter and the qualifications of persons performing the work. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984.]

.12 Assistants should be informed of their responsibilities and the objectives of the procedures that they are to perform. They should be informed of matters that may affect the nature, extent, and timing of procedures they are to perform, such as the nature of the entity’s business as it relates to their

⁴ Section 329, Analytical Procedures, provides guidance pertaining to such procedures. [Footnote added, effective for periods beginning after August 31, 1984, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48.]

⁵ [Footnote deleted.]

⁶ Since the use of a specialist who is effectively functioning as a member of the audit team is not covered by section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist, a computer audit specialist requires the same supervision and review as any assistant. [Footnote added, effective for periods beginning after August 31, 1984, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48.]
assignments and possible accounting and auditing problems. The auditor with final responsibility for the audit should direct assistants to bring to his attention significant accounting and auditing questions raised during the audit so that he may assess their significance. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984.]

.13 The work performed by each assistant should be reviewed to determine whether it was adequately performed and to evaluate whether the results are consistent with the conclusions to be presented in the auditor's report. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984.]

.14 The auditor with final responsibility for the audit and assistants should be aware of the procedures to be followed when differences of opinion concerning accounting and auditing issues exist among firm personnel involved in the audit. Such procedures should enable an assistant to document his disagreement with the conclusions reached if, after appropriate consultation, he believes it necessary to disassociate himself from the resolution of the matter. In this situation, the basis for the final resolution should also be documented. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984.]

Effective Date

.15 Statements on Auditing Standards generally are effective at the time of their issuance. However, since this section provides for practices that may differ in certain respects from practices heretofore considered acceptable, this section will be effective for audits made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards for periods ending after September 30, 1978. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984.]
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AU Section 9311

Planning and Supervision: Auditing Interpretations of Section 311

1. Communications Between the Auditor and Firm Personnel Responsible for Non-Audit Services

.01 Question—Section 311, Planning and Supervision, paragraph .04b, lists the following procedure that an auditor may consider in planning an audit: “Discussing matters that may affect the audit with firm personnel responsible for non-audit services to the entity.”

.02 What specific things should the auditor consider in performing this procedure?

.03 Interpretation—The auditor should consider the nature of non-audit services that have been performed. He should assess whether the services involve matters that might be expected to affect the entity’s financial statements or the performance of the audit, for example, tax planning or recommendations on a cost accounting system. If the auditor decides that the performance of the non-audit services or the information likely to have been gained from it may have implications for his audit, he should discuss the matter with personnel who rendered the services and consider how the expected conduct and scope of his audit may be affected. In some cases, the auditor may find it useful to review the pertinent portions of the work papers prepared for the non-audit engagement as an aid in determining the nature of the services rendered or the possible audit implications.

[Issue Date: February, 1980.]

[2.] Planning Considerations for an Audit of a Federally Assisted Program

[.04-.34] [Withdrawn March, 1989.]

3. Responsibility of Assistants for the Resolution of Accounting and Auditing Issues

.35 Question—Section 311, Planning and Supervision, paragraph .14, states, “The auditor with final responsibility for the audit and assistants should be aware of the procedures to be followed when differences of opinion concerning accounting and auditing issues exist among firm personnel involved in the audit.” What are the responsibilities of assistants when there are disagreements or concerns with respect to accounting and auditing issues of significance to the financial statements or auditor’s report?

.36 Response—Rule 201 of the Code of Professional Conduct [ET section 201.01] states that a member shall “Exercise due professional care in the performance of professional services.” The discussion of the third general standard [section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work, paragraph .02] states that “due professional care imposes a responsibility upon
each professional within an independent auditor’s organization to observe the standards of field work and reporting. The first general standard requires assistants to meet the responsibility attached to the work assigned to them.

.37 Accordingly, each assistant has a professional responsibility to bring to the attention of appropriate individuals in the firm, disagreements or concerns the assistant might have with respect to accounting and auditing issues that he believes are of significance to the financial statements or auditor’s report, however those disagreements or concerns may have arisen. In addition, each assistant should have a right to document his disagreement if he believes it is necessary to disassociate himself from the resolution of the matter.

[Issue Date: February, 1986; Revised: January, 2004.]

[4.] Audit Considerations for the Year 2000 Issue

[.38–.47] [Withdrawn July, 2000 by the Audit Issues Task Force.]
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AU Section 312

Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit

Source: SAS No. 47; SAS No. 82; SAS No. 96; SAS No. 98.

See section 9312 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning after June 30, 1984, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance on the auditor's consideration of audit risk and materiality when planning and performing an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Audit risk and materiality affect the application of generally accepted auditing standards, especially the standards of field work and reporting, and are reflected in the auditor's standard report. Audit risk and materiality, among other matters, need to be considered together in determining the nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures and in evaluating the results of those procedures.

.02 The existence of audit risk is recognized in the description of the responsibilities and functions of the independent auditor that states, "Because of the nature of audit evidence and the characteristics of fraud, the auditor is able to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that material misstatements are detected."1 Audit risk2 is the risk that the auditor may unknowingly fail to appropriately modify his or her opinion on financial statements that are materially misstated.3 [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

---

1 This section has been revised to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.
2 See section 110, Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor, and section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work, for a further discussion of reasonable assurance. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]
3 In addition to audit risk, the auditor is also exposed to loss or injury to his or her professional practice from litigation, adverse publicity, or other events arising in connection with financial statements audited and reported on. This exposure is present even though the auditor has performed the audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and has reported appropriately on those financial statements. Even if an auditor assesses this exposure as low, the auditor should not perform less extensive procedures than would otherwise be appropriate under generally accepted auditing standards.
4 This definition of audit risk does not include the risk that the auditor might erroneously conclude that the financial statements are materially misstated. In such a situation, the auditor would ordinarily reconsider or extend auditing procedures and request that the client perform specific tasks to re-evaluate the appropriateness of the financial statements. These steps would ordinarily lead the auditor to the correct conclusion. This definition also excludes the risk of an inappropriate reporting decision unrelated to the detection and evaluation of misstatements in the financial statements, such as an inappropriate decision regarding the form of the auditor's report because of a limitation on the scope of the audit. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]
The concept of materiality recognizes that some matters, either individually or in the aggregate, are important for fair presentation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, while other matters are not important. The representation in the auditor's standard report regarding fair presentation, in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles indicates the auditor's belief that the financial statements taken as a whole are not materially misstated. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82. Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Financial statements are materially misstated when they contain misstatements whose effect, individually or in the aggregate, is important enough to cause them not to be presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Misstatements can result from errors or fraud. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

In planning the audit, the auditor is concerned with matters that could be material to the financial statements. The auditor has no responsibility to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance that misstatements, whether caused by errors or fraud, that are not material to the financial statements are detected. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

The term errors refers to unintentional misstatements or omissions of amounts or disclosures in financial statements. Errors may involve—

- Mistakes in gathering or processing data from which financial statements are prepared.
- Unreasonable accounting estimates arising from oversight or misinterpretation of facts.
- Mistakes in the application of accounting principles relating to amount, classification, manner of presentation, or disclosure. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

Although fraud is a broad legal concept, the auditor's interest specifically relates to fraudulent acts that cause a misstatement of financial statements.

---

4 The concepts of audit risk and materiality also are applicable to financial statements presented in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles; references in this section to financial statements presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles also include those presentations.

5 The auditor's consideration of illegal acts and responsibility for detecting misstatements resulting from illegal acts is defined in section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients. For those illegal acts that are defined in that section as having a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts, the auditor's responsibility to detect misstatements resulting from such illegal acts is the same as that for errors or fraud. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

6 Errors do not include the effect of accounting processes employed for convenience, such as maintaining accounting records on the cash basis or the tax basis and periodically adjusting those records to prepare financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]
Two types of misstatements are relevant to the auditor’s consideration in a financial statement audit—misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting and misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets. These two types of misstatements are further described in section 316, *Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit*. The primary factor that distinguishes fraud from error is whether the underlying action that results in the misstatement in financial statements is intentional or unintentional. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.08 When considering the auditor’s responsibility to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, there is no important distinction between errors and fraud. There is a distinction, however, in the auditor’s response to detected misstatements. Generally, an isolated, immaterial error in processing accounting data or applying accounting principles is not significant to the audit. In contrast, when fraud is detected, the auditor should consider the implications for the integrity of management or employees and the possible effect on other aspects of the audit. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.09 When concluding as to whether the effect of misstatements, individually or in the aggregate, is material, an auditor ordinarily should consider their nature and amount in relation to the nature and amount of items in the financial statements under audit. For example, an amount that is material to the financial statements of one entity may not be material to the financial statements of another entity of a different size or nature. Also, what is material to the financial statements of a particular entity might change from one period to another. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.10 The auditor’s consideration of materiality is a matter of professional judgment and is influenced by his or her perception of the needs of a reasonable person who will rely on the financial statements. The perceived needs of a reasonable person are recognized in the discussion of materiality in Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 2, *Qualitative Characteristics of Accounting Information*, which defines materiality as “the magnitude of an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in the light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would have been changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement.” That discussion recognizes that materiality judgments are made in light of surrounding circumstances and necessarily involve both quantitative and qualitative considerations. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.11 As a result of the interaction of quantitative and qualitative considerations in materiality judgments, misstatements of relatively small amounts that come to the auditor’s attention could have a material effect on the financial statements. For example, an illegal payment of an otherwise immaterial amount could be material if there is a reasonable possibility that it could lead to a material contingent liability or a material loss of revenue. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]
Planning the Audit

.12 The auditor should consider audit risk and materiality both in (a) planning the audit and designing auditing procedures and (b) evaluating whether the financial statements taken as a whole are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The auditor should consider audit risk and materiality in the first circumstance to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter on which to properly evaluate the financial statements in the second circumstance. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

Considerations at the Financial Statements Level[8]

.13 The auditor should plan the audit so that audit risk will be limited to a low level that is, in his or her professional judgment, appropriate for expressing an opinion on the financial statements. Audit risk may be assessed in quantitative or nonquantitative terms. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.14 Section 311, Planning and Supervision, requires the auditor, in planning the audit, to take into consideration, among other matters, his or her preliminary judgment about materiality levels for audit purposes. That judgment may or may not be quantified. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.15 According to section 311, the nature, timing, and extent of planning and thus of the considerations of audit risk and materiality vary with the size and complexity of the entity, the auditor’s experience with the entity, and his or her knowledge of the entity’s business. Certain entity-related factors also affect the nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures with respect to specific account balances and classes of transactions and related assertions. (See paragraphs .24 through .33.) [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.16 An assessment of the risk of material misstatement (whether caused by error or fraud) should be made during planning. The auditor’s understand-
ing of internal control may heighten or mitigate the auditor’s concern about the risk of material misstatement.\textsuperscript{11} As part of that understanding, the auditor is required by section 316.43–45 to evaluate whether the entity’s programs and controls that address the identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud have been suitably designed and placed in operation and to assess these risks taking into account this evaluation.\textsuperscript{[12]}\textsuperscript{*} The auditor should consider the effect of these assessments on the overall audit strategy and the expected conduct and scope of the audit. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82. Revised, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

.17 Whenever the auditor has concluded that there is significant risk of material misstatement of the financial statements, the auditor should consider this conclusion in determining the nature, timing, or extent of procedures; assigning staff; or requiring appropriate levels of supervision. The knowledge, skill, and ability of personnel assigned significant engagement responsibilities should be commensurate with the auditor’s assessment of the level of risk for the engagement. Ordinarily, higher risk requires more experienced personnel or more extensive supervision by the auditor with final responsibility for the engagement during both the planning and the conduct of the engagement. Higher risk may cause the auditor to expand the extent of procedures applied, apply procedures closer to or as of year end, particularly in critical audit areas, or modify the nature of procedures to obtain more persuasive evidence. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.18 In an audit of an entity with operations in multiple locations or components, the auditor should consider the extent to which auditing procedures should be performed at selected locations or components. The factors an auditor should consider regarding the selection of a particular location or component include (a) the nature and amount of assets and transactions executed at the location or component, (b) the degree of centralization of records or information processing, (c) the effectiveness of the control environment, particularly with respect to management’s direct control over the exercise of authority delegated to others and its ability to effectively supervise activities at the location or component, (d) the frequency, timing, and scope of monitoring activities by the entity or others at the location or component, and (e) judgments about materiality of the location or component. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.19 In planning the audit, the auditor should use his or her judgment as to the appropriately low level of audit risk and his or her preliminary judgment

\textsuperscript{11} See section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82. Footnote renumbered, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

\textsuperscript{[12]} [Footnote renumbered and deleted, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

* This sentence reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this sentence is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

** This footnote reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this footnote is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
about materiality levels in a manner that can be expected to provide, within
the inherent limitations of the auditing process, sufficient evidential matter to
obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free
of material misstatement. Materiality levels include an overall level for each
statement; however, because the statements are interrelated, and for reasons
of efficiency, the auditor ordinarily considers materiality for planning purposes
in terms of the smallest aggregate level of misstatements that could be consid-
ered material to any one of the financial statements. For example, if the
auditor believes that misstatements aggregating approximately $100,000
would have a material effect on income but that such misstatements would
have to aggregate approximately $200,000 to materially affect financial posi-
tion, it would not be appropriate for him or her to design auditing procedures
that would be expected to detect misstatements only if they aggregate approxi-
mately $200,000. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on
Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.20 The auditor plans the audit to obtain reasonable assurance of detecting
misstatements that he or she believes could be large enough, individually or in the
aggregate, to be quantitatively material to the financial statements. Although the
auditor should be alert for misstatements that could be qualitatively material, it
ordinarily is not practical to design procedures to detect them. Section 326,
Evidential Matter, states that “an auditor typically works within economic limits;
his or her opinion, to be economically useful, must be formed within a reasonable
length of time and at reasonable cost.” [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of
Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.21 In some situations, the auditor considers materiality for planning
purposes before the financial statements to be audited are prepared. In other
situations, planning takes place after the financial statements under audit
have been prepared, but the auditor may be aware that they require signifi-
cant modification. In both types of situations, the auditor’s preliminary judg-
ment about materiality might be based on the entity’s annualized interim
financial statements or financial statements of one or more prior annual
periods, as long as recognition is given to the effects of major changes in the
entity’s circumstances (for example, a significant merger) and relevant
changes in the economy as a whole or the industry in which the entity
operates. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing
Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.22 Assuming, theoretically, that the auditor’s judgment about materi-
ality at the planning stage was based on the same information available at
the evaluation stage, materiality for planning and evaluation purposes
would be the same. However, it ordinarily is not feasible for the auditor, when
planning an audit, to anticipate all of the circumstances that may ulti-
mately influence judgments about materiality in evaluating the audit find-
ings at the completion of the audit. Thus, the auditor’s preliminary judgment
about materiality ordinarily will differ from the judgment about materiality
used in evaluating the audit findings. If significantly lower materiality levels
become appropriate in evaluating audit findings, the auditor should re-
evaluate the sufficiency of the auditing procedures he or she has performed.
[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards
No. 82, February 1997.]

.23 In planning auditing procedures, the auditor should also consider the
nature, cause (if known), and amount of misstatements that he or she is aware of
from the audit of the prior period’s financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered
by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]
Considerations at the Individual Account-Balance or Class-of-Transactions Level

.24 The auditor recognizes that there is an inverse relationship between audit risk and materiality considerations. For example, the risk that a particular account balance or class of transactions and related assertions could be misstated by an extremely large amount might be very low, but the risk that it could be misstated by an extremely small amount might be very high. Holding other planning considerations equal, either a decrease in the level of audit risk that the auditor judges to be appropriate in an account balance or a class of transactions or a decrease in the amount of misstatements in the balance or class that the auditor believes could be material would require the auditor to do one or more of the following: (a) select a more effective auditing procedure, (b) perform auditing procedures closer to year end, or (c) increase the extent of a particular auditing procedure. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.25 In determining the nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures to be applied to a specific account balance or class of transactions, the auditor should design procedures to obtain reasonable assurance of detecting misstatements that he or she believes, based on the preliminary judgment about materiality, could be material, when aggregated with misstatements in other balances or classes, to the financial statements taken as a whole. Auditors use various methods to design procedures to detect such misstatements. In some cases, auditors explicitly estimate, for planning purposes, the maximum amount of misstatements in the balance or class that, when combined with misstatements in other balances or classes, could exist without causing the financial statements to be materially misstated. In other cases, auditors relate their preliminary judgment about materiality to a specific account balance or class of transactions without explicitly estimating such misstatements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.26 The auditor needs to consider audit risk at the individual account-balance or class-of-transactions level because such consideration directly assists in determining the scope of auditing procedures for the balance or class and related assertions. The auditor should seek to restrict audit risk at the individual balance or class level in such a way that will enable him or her, at the completion of the audit, to express an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole at an appropriately low level of audit risk. Auditors use various approaches to accomplish that objective. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

.27 At the account-balance or class-of-transactions level, audit risk consists of (a) the risk (consisting of inherent risk and control risk) that the balance or class and related assertions contain misstatements (whether caused by error or fraud) that could be material to the financial statements when aggregated with misstatements in other balances or classes and (b) the risk (detection risk) that the auditor will not detect such misstatements. The discussion that follows describes audit risk in terms of three component risks. 13 The

13 The formula in the appendix [paragraph .48] to section 350, Audit Sampling, describes audit risk in terms of four component risks. Detection risk is presented in terms of two components: the risk that analytical procedures and other relevant substantive tests would fail to detect misstatements equal to tolerable misstatement, and the allowable risk of incorrect acceptance for the substantive test of details. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997. Footnote renumbered, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]
way the auditor considers these component risks and combines them involves professional judgment and depends on the audit approach.

a. **Inherent risk** is the susceptibility of an assertion to a material misstatement, assuming that there are no related controls. The risk of such misstatement is greater for some assertions and related balances or classes than for others. For example, complex calculations are more likely to be misstated than simple calculations. Cash is more susceptible to theft than an inventory of coal. Accounts consisting of amounts derived from accounting estimates pose greater risks than do accounts consisting of relatively routine, factual data. External factors also influence inherent risk. For example, technological developments might make a particular product obsolete, thereby causing inventory to be more susceptible to overstatement. In addition to those factors that are peculiar to a specific assertion for an account balance or a class of transactions, factors that relate to several or all of the balances or classes may influence the inherent risk related to an assertion for a specific balance or class. These latter factors include, for example, a lack of sufficient working capital to continue operations or a declining industry characterized by a large number of business failures.

b. **Control risk** is the risk that a material misstatement that could occur in an assertion will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by the entity’s internal control. That risk is a function of the effectiveness of the design and operation of internal control in achieving the entity’s objectives relevant to preparation of the entity’s financial statements. Some control risk will always exist because of the inherent limitations of internal control.

c. **Detection risk** is the risk that the auditor will not detect a material misstatement that exists in an assertion. Detection risk is a function of the effectiveness of an auditing procedure and of its application by the auditor. It arises partly from uncertainties that exist when the auditor does not examine 100 percent of an account balance or a class of transactions and partly because of other uncertainties that exist even if he or she were to examine 100 percent of the balance or class. Such other uncertainties arise because an auditor might select an inappropriate auditing procedure, misapply an appropriate procedure, or misinterpret the audit results. These other uncertainties can be reduced to a negligible level through adequate planning and supervision and conduct of a firm’s audit practice in accordance with appropriate quality control standards.

[Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

.28 Inherent risk and control risk differ from detection risk in that they exist independently of the audit of financial statements, whereas detection risk relates to the auditor’s procedures and can be changed at his or her discretion. Detection risk should bear an inverse relationship to inherent and control risk. The less the inherent and control risk the auditor believes exists, the greater the detection risk that can be accepted. Conversely, the greater the inherent and control risk the auditor believes exists, the less the detection risk that can be accepted. These components of audit risk may be assessed in quantitative terms such as percentages or in nonquantitative terms that range, for example, from a minimum to a maximum. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]
When the auditor assesses inherent risk for an assertion related to an account balance or a class of transactions, he or she evaluates numerous factors that involve professional judgment. In doing so, the auditor considers not only factors peculiar to the related assertion, but also, other factors pervasive to the financial statements taken as a whole that may also influence inherent risk related to the assertion. If an auditor concludes that the effort required to assess inherent risk for an assertion would exceed the potential reduction in the extent of auditing procedures derived from such an assessment, the auditor should assess inherent risk as being at the maximum when designing auditing procedures. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

The auditor also uses professional judgment in assessing control risk for an assertion related to the account balance or class of transactions. The auditor's assessment of control risk is based on the sufficiency of evidential matter obtained to support the effectiveness of internal control in preventing or detecting misstatements in financial statement assertions. If the auditor believes controls are unlikely to pertain to an assertion or are unlikely to be effective, or believes that evaluating their effectiveness would be inefficient, he or she would assess control risk for that assertion at the maximum. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

The auditor might make separate or combined assessments of inherent risk and control risk. If the auditor considers inherent risk or control risk, separately or in combination, to be less than the maximum, he or she should have an appropriate basis for these assessments. This basis may be obtained, for example, through the use of questionnaires, checklists, instructions, or similar generalized materials and, in the case of control risk, the understanding of internal control and the performance of suitable tests of controls. However, professional judgment is required in interpreting, adapting, or expanding such generalized material as appropriate in the circumstances. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

The detection risk that the auditor can accept in the design of auditing procedures is based on the level to which he or she seeks to restrict audit risk related to the account balance or class of transactions and on the assessment of inherent and control risks. As the auditor's assessment of inherent risk and control risk decreases, the detection risk that can be accepted increases. It is not appropriate, however, for an auditor to rely completely on assessments of inherent risk and control risk to the exclusion of performing substantive tests of account balances and classes of transactions where misstatements could exist that might be material when aggregated with misstatements in other balances or classes. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

An audit of financial statements is a cumulative process; as the auditor performs planned auditing procedures, the evidence obtained may cause him or her to modify the nature, timing, and extent of other planned procedures. As a result of performing auditing procedures or from other sources during the audit, information may come to the auditor's attention that differs significantly from the information on which the audit plan was based. For example, the extent of misstatements detected may alter the judgment about the levels of inherent and control risks, and other information obtained about the financial statements may alter the preliminary judgment about materiality. In such cases, the auditor may need to re-evaluate the auditing procedures he or she plans to apply, based on the revised consideration of audit
risk and materiality for all or certain of the account balances or classes of transactions and related assertions. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.]

Evaluating Audit Findings

.34 In evaluating whether the financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should consider the effects, both individually and in the aggregate, of misstatements that are not corrected by the entity. In evaluating the effects of misstatements, the auditor should include both qualitative and quantitative considerations (see paragraphs .08–.11). The consideration and aggregation of misstatements should include the auditor’s best estimate of the total misstatements in the account balances or classes of transactions that he or she has examined (hereafter referred to as likely misstatements\(^{14}\)), not just the amount of misstatements specifically identified (hereafter referred to as known misstatements)\(^{15}\). Likely misstatements should be aggregated in a way that enables the auditor to consider whether, in relation to individual amounts, subtotals, or totals in the financial statements, they materially misstate the financial statements taken as a whole. Qualitative considerations also influence the auditor in reaching a conclusion as to whether misstatements are material. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.35 When the auditor tests an account balance or a class of transactions and related assertions by an analytical procedure, he or she ordinarily would not specifically identify misstatements but would only obtain an indication of whether misstatement might exist in the balance or class and possibly its approximate magnitude. If the analytical procedure indicates that a misstatement might exist, but not its approximate amount, the auditor ordinarily would have to employ other procedures to enable him or her to estimate the likely misstatement in the balance or class. When an auditor uses audit sampling to test an assertion for an account balance or a class of transactions, he or she projects the amount of known misstatements identified in the sample to the items in the balance or class from which the sample was selected. That projected misstatement, along with the results of other substantive tests, contributes to the auditor’s assessment of likely misstatement in the balance or

---

\(^{14}\) The term likely misstatements includes any known misstatements. See section 316.75–.77 for a further discussion of the auditor’s consideration of differences between the accounting records and the underlying facts and circumstances. Those paragraphs provide specific guidance on the auditor’s consideration of an audit adjustment that is, or may be, the result of fraud. [Footnote added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98. Footnote renumbered and revised, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

\(^{15}\) If the auditor were to examine all of the items in a balance or a class, the likely misstatement applicable to recorded transactions in the balance or class would be the amount of known misstatements specifically identified. [Footnote added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98. Footnote renumbered, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

\(^{16}\) This sentence reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this sentence is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
The risk of material misstatement of the financial statements is generally greater when account balances and classes of transactions include accounting estimates rather than essentially factual data because of the inherent subjectivity in estimating future events. Estimates, such as those for inventory obsolescence, uncollectible receivables, and warranty obligations, are subject not only to the unpredictability of future events but also to misstatements that may arise from using inadequate or inappropriate data or misapplying appropriate data. Since no one accounting estimate can be considered accurate with certainty, the auditor recognizes that a difference between an estimated amount best supported by the audit evidence and the estimated amount included in the financial statements may be reasonable, and such difference would not be considered to be a likely misstatement. However, if the auditor believes the estimated amount included in the financial statements is unreasonable, he or she should treat the difference between that estimate and the closest reasonable estimate as a likely misstatement. The auditor should also consider whether the difference between estimates best supported by the audit evidence and the estimates included in the financial statements, which are individually reasonable, indicate a possible bias on the part of the entity’s management. For example, if each accounting estimate included in the financial statements was individually reasonable, but the effect of the difference between each estimate and the estimate best supported by the audit evidence was to increase income, the auditor should reconsider the estimates taken as a whole. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

In prior periods, likely misstatements may not have been corrected by the entity because they did not cause the financial statements for those periods to be materially misstated. Those misstatements might also affect the current period’s financial statements. If the auditor believes that there is an unacceptably high risk that the current period’s financial statements may be materially misstated when those prior-period likely misstatements that affect the current period’s financial statements are considered along with likely misstatements arising in the current period, the auditor should include in aggregate likely misstatement the effect on the current period’s financial statements of those prior-period likely misstatements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997.]

If the auditor concludes, based on the accumulation of sufficient evidential matter, that the effects of likely misstatements, individually or in the aggregate, cause the financial statements to be materially misstated, the

[16] [Footnote renumbered and deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002. Footnote subsequently renumbered, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

[17] [Footnote renumbered and deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002. Footnote subsequently renumbered, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

[18] The measurement of the effect, if any, on the current period’s financial statements of misstatements uncorrected in prior periods involves accounting considerations and is therefore not addressed in this section. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002. Footnote subsequently renumbered, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]
The auditor should request management to eliminate the misstatement. If the material misstatement is not eliminated, the auditor should issue a qualified or an adverse opinion on the financial statements. Material misstatements may be eliminated by, for example, application of appropriate accounting principles, other adjustments in amounts, or the addition of appropriate disclosure of inadequately disclosed matters. Even though the effects of likely misstatements on the financial statements may be immaterial, the auditor should recognize that an accumulation of immaterial misstatements in the balance sheet could contribute to material misstatements of future financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.39 If the auditor concludes that the effects of likely misstatements, individually or in the aggregate, do not cause the financial statements to be materially misstated, he or she should recognize that they could still be materially misstated because of further misstatement remaining undetected. As the aggregate likely misstatements increase, the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated also increases. The auditor generally reduces this risk of material misstatement in planning the audit by restricting the extent of detection risk he or she is willing to accept for an assertion related to an account balance or a class of transactions. The auditor can reduce this risk of material misstatement by modifying the nature, timing, and extent of planned auditing procedures in performing the audit. (See paragraph .33.) Nevertheless, if the auditor believes that such risk is unacceptably high, he or she should perform additional auditing procedures or satisfy himself or herself that the entity has adjusted the financial statements to reduce the risk of material misstatement to an acceptable level. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.40 The auditor should document the nature and effect of aggregated misstatements. The auditor also should document his or her conclusion as to whether the aggregated misstatements cause the financial statements to be materially misstated. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after May 15, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96.]

.41 In aggregating likely misstatements that the entity has not corrected, pursuant to paragraphs .34 and .35, the auditor may designate an amount below which misstatements need not be accumulated. This amount should be set so that any such misstatements, either individually or when aggregated with other such misstatements, would not be material to the financial statements, after the possibility of further undetected misstatements is considered. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82. Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96, January 2002. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

Effective Date

.42 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning after June 30, 1984. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82, February 1997. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96, January 2002.]
AU Section 9312

Auditor Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit: Auditing Interpretations of Section 312

1. The Meaning of the Term Misstatement

.01 Question—Section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, paragraph .04, states that financial statements would be considered materially misstated if “they contain misstatements whose effect, individually or in the aggregate, is important enough to cause them not to be presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.” Section 312.04 also states that misstatements can result from errors or fraud. The term misstatement is used throughout generally accepted auditing standards; however, this term is not defined. What is the meaning of the term misstatement?

.02 Interpretation—In the absence of materiality considerations, a misstatement causes the financial statements not to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. A misstatement may consist of any of the following:

a. A difference between the amount, classification, or presentation of a reported financial statement element, account, or item and the amount, classification, or presentation that would have been reported under generally accepted accounting principles

b. The omission of a financial statement element, account, or item

c. A financial statement disclosure that is not presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles

d. The omission of information required to be disclosed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

.03 Misstatements may be of two types: known and likely. Section 312.35 refers to known misstatements as “the amount of misstatements specifically identified.” For example, the failure to accrue an unpaid invoice for goods received or services rendered prior to the end of the period presented would be a known misstatement. Section 312.35 refers to likely misstatements as “the auditor’s best estimate of the total misstatements in the account balances or classes of transactions....” Likely misstatements may be identified when an auditor performs analytical or sampling procedures. For example, if an auditor applies sampling procedures to a certain class of transactions that identify a known misstatement in the items sampled, the auditor will then determine the likely misstatement by projecting the known difference identified in the sample to the total population tested. With regard to analytical procedures, section 312.35 states, in part—

When the auditor tests an account balance or class of transactions and related assertions by an analytical procedure, he or she ordinarily would not specifically identify misstatements but would only obtain an indication of whether

1 Reference to generally accepted accounting principles includes, where applicable, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles as defined in section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .04.
misstatements might exist in the balance or class and possibly its approximate magnitude. If the analytical procedure indicates that a misstatement might exist, but not its approximate amount, the auditor ordinarily would have to employ other procedures to enable him or her to estimate the likely misstatement in the balance or class.

Likely misstatements also are associated with accounting estimates. Section 312.36 states, in part—

The risk of material misstatement of the financial statements is generally greater when account balances and classes of transactions include accounting estimates rather than essentially factual data because of the inherent subjectivity in estimating future events. Estimates, such as those for inventory obsolescence, uncollectible receivables, and warranty obligations, are subject not only to the unpredictability of future events but also to misstatements that may arise from using inadequate or inappropriate data or misapplying appropriate data. Since no one accounting estimate can be considered accurate with certainty, the auditor recognizes that a difference between an estimated amount best supported by the audit evidence and the estimated amount included in the financial statements may be reasonable, and such difference would not be considered to be a likely misstatement. However, if the auditor believes the estimated amount included in the financial statements is unreasonable, he or she should treat the difference between that estimate and the closest reasonable estimate as a likely misstatement and aggregate it with other likely misstatements.

2. Evaluating Differences in Estimates

Since no one accounting estimate can be considered accurate with certainty, the auditor recognizes that a difference between an estimated amount best supported by the audit evidence and the estimated amount included in the financial statements may be reasonable, and such difference would not be considered to be a likely misstatement. However, if the auditor believes the estimated amount included in the financial statements is unreasonable, he or she should treat the difference between that estimate and the closest reasonable estimate as a likely misstatement and aggregate it with other likely misstatements.

With respect to an estimate, what should the auditor consider in determining the amount of the likely misstatements to be aggregated?

The auditor considers the “closest reasonable estimate” which may be a range of acceptable amounts or a point estimate, if that is a better estimate than any other amount.

In some cases the auditor may use a method that produces a range of acceptable amounts to determine the reasonableness of amounts recorded. For example, the auditor’s analysis of specific problem accounts receivable and recent trends in bad-debt write-offs as a percent of sales may cause the auditor to conclude that the allowance for doubtful accounts should be between $130,000 and $160,000. If management’s recorded estimate falls within that range, the auditor ordinarily would conclude that the recorded amount is reasonable and no difference would be aggregated. If management’s recorded estimate falls outside the auditor’s range of acceptable amounts, the difference
between the recorded amount and the amount at the closest end of the auditor’s range would be aggregated as a misstatement. For example, if management has recorded $110,000 as the allowance, the amount by which the recorded estimate falls outside the range ($20,000) is aggregated as a misstatement.

.08 In other cases the auditor may determine that a point estimate is a better estimate than any other amount. In those situations, the auditor would use that amount to determine the reasonableness of the recorded amount. The auditor would compare the point estimate to the amount recorded by the client and include any difference in the aggregation of misstatements.2

.09 Section 312.36 indicates that the auditor should be alert to the possibility that management’s recorded estimates are clustered at either end of the auditor’s range of acceptable amounts, indicating a possible bias on the part of management. Section 312.36 states, in part—

The auditor should also consider whether the difference between estimates best supported by the audit evidence and the estimates included in the financial statements, which are individually reasonable, indicate a possible bias on the part of the entity’s management. For example, if each accounting estimate included in the financial statements was individually reasonable, but the effect of the difference between each estimate and the estimate best supported by the audit evidence was to increase income, the auditor should reconsider the estimates taken as a whole.

In these circumstances, the auditor should reconsider whether other recorded estimates reflect a similar bias and should perform additional audit procedures that address those estimates. In addition, the auditor should be alert to the possibility that management’s recorded estimates were clustered at one end of the range of acceptable amounts in the preceding year and clustered at the other end of the range of acceptable amounts in the current year, thus indicating the possibility that management is using swings in accounting estimates to offset higher or lower than expected earnings. If the auditor believes that such circumstances exist, the auditor should consider whether these matters should be communicated to the entity’s audit committee, as described in section 380, Communication With Audit Committees, paragraphs .08 and .11.

[Issue Date: December, 2000.]

3. Quantitative Measures of Materiality in Evaluating Audit Findings

.10 Question—Section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, provides guidance to the auditor on evaluating the effect of misstatements on the financial statements under audit. Section 312.10 states, in part—

The auditor’s consideration of materiality is a matter of professional judgment and is influenced by his or her perception of the needs of a reasonable person who will rely on the financial statements.

Section 312.34 further describes the auditor’s evaluation of the quantitative aspects of materiality. It states, in part—

In evaluating whether the financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should aggregate misstatements that the entity has not corrected in a way that enables him or her to consider whether, in relation to individual amounts, subtotals, or totals in the financial statements, they materially misstate the financial statements taken as a whole.

What factors should the auditor consider in assessing the \textit{quantitative} impact of identified misstatements?

\textbf{.11 Interpretation}—The quantitative evaluation of identified misstatements is a matter of professional judgment and should reflect a measure of materiality that is based on the element or elements of the financial statements that, in the auditor’s judgment, are expected to affect the judgment of a reasonable person who will rely on the financial statements, considering the nature of the reporting entity. For example, it is generally recognized that after-tax income from continuing operations is, in most circumstances, the measure of greatest significance to the financial statement users of entities whose debt or equity securities are publicly traded. Depending on the entity’s particular circumstances, other elements of the financial statements that may be useful in making a quantitative assessment of the materiality of identified misstatements include current assets, net working capital, total assets, total revenues, gross profit, total equity, and cash flows from operations. In all instances, the element or elements selected should reflect, in the auditor’s judgment, the measures most likely to be considered important by the financial statement users.

\textbf{.12 Question}—An entity’s after-tax income or loss from continuing operations may be nominal or may fluctuate widely from year to year due to the inclusion in the results of operations of significant, unusual, or infrequently occurring income or expense items. What other quantitative measures could be considered if after-tax income or loss from continuing operations is nominal or fluctuates widely from period to period?

\textbf{.13 Interpretation}—In certain circumstances, a quantitative measure of materiality based on after-tax income from continuing operations may not be appropriate. The auditor may identify another element or elements that are appropriate in the circumstances or may compute an amount of current-year after-tax income from continuing operations adjusted to exclude unusual or infrequently occurring items of income or expense.\textsuperscript{3}

\textbf{.14} The selection of an alternate element or elements for use in assessing a quantitative measure of materiality is a matter of the auditor’s professional judgment. In choosing an alternate element or elements, the auditor should evaluate the perceived needs of the financial statement users, the particular circumstances that caused the abnormal results for the current year, the likelihood of their recurrence, and any other matters that, in the auditor’s judgment, may be relevant to a quantitative assessment of materiality.

[Issue Date: December, 2000.]

4. Considering the Qualitative Characteristics of Misstatements

\textbf{.15 Question}—Section 312, \textit{Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit}, paragraph .34, states, in part—

Qualitative considerations also influence the auditor in reaching a conclusion as to whether misstatements are material.

What qualitative factors should the auditor consider in assessing whether misstatements are material?

\footnote{1 Paragraph 26 of APB Opinion No. 30, \textit{Reporting the Results of Operations—Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions}, discusses unusual or infrequently occurring items.}
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Interpretation—Section 312.10 states that the auditor’s consideration of materiality is a matter of professional judgment and is influenced by his or her perception of the needs of a reasonable person. Section 312.11 states—

As a result of the interaction of quantitative and qualitative considerations in materiality judgments, misstatements of relatively small amounts that come to the auditor’s attention could have a material effect on the financial statements. For example, an illegal payment of an otherwise immaterial amount could be material if there is a reasonable possibility that it could lead to a material contingent liability or a material loss of revenue.

Section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .36, states that the significance of an item to a particular entity (for example, inventories to a manufacturing company), the pervasiveness of the misstatement (such as whether it affects the amounts and presentation of numerous financial statement items), and the effect of the misstatement on the financial statements taken as a whole are all factors to be considered in making a judgment regarding materiality. Section 312.10 also makes reference to the discussion of materiality in Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 2, Qualitative Characteristics of Accounting Information. FASB Concepts Statement No. 2, paragraphs 123 through 132, includes a discussion about matters that might affect a materiality judgment.

The auditor considers relevant qualitative factors in his or her qualitative considerations. Qualitative factors the auditor may consider relevant to his or her consideration include the following:

a. The potential effect of the misstatement on trends, especially trends in profitability.

b. A misstatement that changes a loss into income or vice versa.

c. The effect of the misstatement on segment information, for example, the significance of the matter to a particular segment important to the future profitability of the entity, the pervasiveness of the matter on the segment information, and the impact of the matter on trends in segment information, all in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole. (See Interpretation No. 4 of section 326, Evidential Matter, “Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements” [section 9326.39]).

d. The potential effect of the misstatement on the entity’s compliance with loan covenants, other contractual agreements, and regulatory provisions.

e. The existence of statutory or regulatory reporting requirements that affect materiality thresholds.

f. A misstatement that has the effect of increasing management’s compensation, for example, by satisfying the requirements for the award of bonuses or other forms of incentive compensation.

g. The sensitivity of the circumstances surrounding the misstatement, for example, the implications of misstatements involving fraud and possible illegal acts, violations of contractual provisions, and conflicts of interest.

h. The significance of the financial statement element affected by the misstatement, for example, a misstatement affecting recurring earnings as contrasted to one involving a non-recurring charge or credit, such as an extraordinary item.
The effects of misclassifications, for example, misclassification between operating and non-operating income or recurring and non-recurring income items or a misclassification between fundraising costs and program activity costs in a not-for-profit organization.

The significance of the misstatement or disclosures relative to known user needs, for example—

- The significance of earnings and earnings per share to public-company investors and the significance of equity amounts to private-company creditors.
- The magnifying effects of a misstatement on the calculation of purchase price in a transfer of interests (buy/sell agreement).
- The effect of misstatements of earnings when contrasted with expectations.

Obtaining the views and expectations of the entity’s audit committee and management may be helpful in gaining or corroborating an understanding of user needs, such as those illustrated above.

The definitive character of the misstatement, for example, the precision of an error that is objectively determinable as contrasted with a misstatement that unavoidably involves a degree of subjectivity through estimation, allocation, or uncertainty.

The motivation of management with respect to the misstatement, for example, (i) an indication of a possible pattern of bias by management when developing and accumulating accounting estimates or (ii) a misstatement precipitated by management’s continued unwillingness to correct weaknesses in the financial reporting process.

The existence of offsetting effects of individually significant but different misstatements.

The likelihood that a misstatement that is currently immaterial may have a material effect in future periods because of a cumulative effect, for example, that builds over several periods.

The cost of making the correction—it may not be cost-beneficial for the client to develop a system to calculate a basis to record the effect of an immaterial misstatement. On the other hand, if management appears to have developed a system to calculate an amount that represents an immaterial misstatement, it may reflect a motivation of management as noted in paragraph .17(l) above.

The risk that possible additional undetected misstatements would affect the auditor’s evaluation.

[Issue Date: December, 2000.]
AU Section 313  

Substantive Tests Prior to the Balance-Sheet Date

(Supersedes Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 310.05–.09.)

Source: SAS No. 45.

Effective for periods ended after September 30, 1983, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance for audits of financial statements concerning—

a. Factors to be considered before applying principal substantive tests to the details of particular asset or liability accounts as of a date (interim date) that is prior to the balance-sheet date.

b. Auditing procedures to provide a reasonable basis for extending from an interim date to the balance-sheet date (remaining period) the audit conclusions from such principal substantive tests.

c. Coordinating the timing of auditing procedures.

Guidance concerning the timing of tests of controls is provided in section 319.99. [Revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.02 Audit testing at interim dates may permit early consideration of significant matters affecting the year-end financial statements (for example, related party transactions, changed conditions, recent accounting pronouncements, and financial statement items likely to require adjustment). In addition, much of the audit planning, including obtaining an understanding of internal control, assessing control risk and the application of substantive tests to transactions can be conducted prior to the balance-sheet date.¹

.03 Applying principal substantive tests to the details of an asset or liability account as of an interim date rather than as of the balance-sheet date potentially increases the risk that misstatements that may exist at the balance-sheet date will not be detected by the auditor. The potential for such increased audit risk tends to become greater as the remaining period is lengthened. This potential incremental audit risk can be controlled, however,

¹ Editor’s note deleted to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.

¹ Substantive tests such as the following can be applied to transactions through any selected date(s) prior to the balance-sheet date and completed as part of the year-end procedures: (1) tests of details of the additions to and reductions of accounts such as property, investments, and debt and equity capital; (2) tests of details of transactions affecting income and expense accounts; (3) tests of accounts that are not to be audited by testing the details of items composing the balance (for example, warranty reserves, clearing accounts, certain deferred charges); and (4) analytical procedures applied to income and expense accounts.
if the substantive tests to cover the remaining period can be designed in a way that will provide a reasonable basis for extending to the balance-sheet date the audit conclusions from the tests of details at the interim date.

Factors to Be Considered Before Applying Principal Substantive Tests to the Details of Balance-Sheet Accounts at Interim Dates

.04 Before applying principal substantive tests to the details of asset or liability accounts at an interim date, the auditor should assess the difficulty in controlling the incremental audit risk. Paragraphs .05 through .07 discuss considerations that affect that assessment. In addition, the auditor should consider the cost of the substantive tests that are necessary to cover the remaining period in a way that will provide the appropriate audit assurance at the balance-sheet date. Applying principal substantive tests to the details of asset and liability accounts at an interim date may not be cost-effective if substantive tests to cover the remaining period cannot be restricted due to the assessed level of control risk.

.05 Assessing control risk at below the maximum is not required in order to have a reasonable basis for extending audit conclusions from an interim date to the balance-sheet date; however, if the auditor assesses control risk at the maximum during the remaining period, he should consider whether the effectiveness of certain of the substantive tests to cover that period will be impaired. For example, effective controls may be lacking over the internal documents that provide indications of transactions that have been executed. Substantive tests that are based on such documents and relate to the completeness assertion for the remaining period may be ineffective because the documents may be incomplete. Likewise, substantive tests covering the remaining period that relate to the existence assertion at the balance-sheet date may be ineffective if effective controls over the custody and physical movement of assets are not present. In both of the above examples, if the auditor concludes that the effectiveness of such substantive tests would be impaired, additional assurance should be sought or the accounts should be examined as of the balance-sheet date.

.06 The auditor should consider whether there are rapidly changing business conditions or circumstances that might predispose management to misstate financial statements in the remaining period. If such conditions or circumstances are present, the auditor might conclude that the substantive tests to cover the remaining period would not be effective in controlling the incremental audit risk associated with them. In those situations, the asset and liability accounts affected should ordinarily be examined as of the balance-sheet date.

.07 The auditor should consider whether the year-end balances of the particular asset or liability accounts that might be selected for interim examination are reasonably predictable with respect to amount, relative significance, and composition. He should also consider whether the entity’s proposed procedures for analyzing and adjusting such accounts at interim dates and for establishing proper accounting cutoffs are appropriate. In addition, the auditor

---

2 See section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, paragraph .85 (Appendix). [Footnote revised, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

* This footnote reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this footnote is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
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should consider whether the accounting system will provide information concerning the balances at the balance-sheet date and the transactions in the remaining period that is sufficient to permit investigation of (a) significant unusual transactions or entries (including those at or near year-end); (b) other causes of significant fluctuations, or expected fluctuations that did not occur; and (c) changes in the composition of the account balances. If the auditor concludes that evidential matter related to the above would not be sufficient for purposes of controlling audit risk, the account should be examined as of the balance-sheet date.

**Extending Audit Conclusions to the Balance-Sheet Date**

.08 Substantive tests should be designed to cover the remaining period in such a way that the assurance from those tests and the substantive tests applied to the details of the balance as of an interim date, and any audit assurance provided from the assessed level of control risk, achieve the audit objectives at the balance-sheet date. Such tests ordinarily should include (a) comparison of information concerning the balance at the balance-sheet date with the comparable information at the interim date to identify amounts that appear unusual and investigation of any such amounts and (b) other analytical procedures or substantive tests of details, or a combination of both, to provide a reasonable basis for extending to the balance-sheet date the audit conclusions relative to the assertions tested directly or indirectly at the interim date.3

.09 If misstatements are detected in account balances at interim dates, the auditor may be required to modify the planned nature, timing, or extent of the substantive tests covering the remaining period that relate to such accounts or to reperform certain auditing procedures at the balance-sheet date. The assessment of possible misstatement as of the balance-sheet date should be based on the auditor’s judgment of the state of the particular account(s) as of that date, after considering (a) the possible implications of the nature and cause of the misstatements detected at the interim date, (b) the possible relationship to other phases of the audit, (c) the corrections subsequently recorded by the entity, and (d) the results of auditing procedures covering the remaining period (including those that are responsive to the particular possibilities for misstatement). For example, the auditor might conclude that the estimate of unrecorded credit memos at an interim date is representative of such misstatements at the balance-sheet date, based on substantive tests covering the remaining period. On the other hand, the assessment of the possible effects at the balance-sheet date of other types of cutoff misstatements at an interim date might be based on the results of reperforming substantive tests of the cutoff.

**Coordinating the Timing of Auditing Procedures**

.10 The timing of auditing procedures also involves consideration of whether related auditing procedures are properly coordinated. This includes, for example—

a. Coordinating the auditing procedures applied to related party transactions and balances.4

---

3 Factors to be considered in determining the relative mix of tests of details and analytical procedures include (1) the nature of the transactions and balances in relation to the assertions involved, (2) the availability of historical data or other criteria for use in analytical procedures, and (3) the availability of records required for effective tests of details and the nature of the tests to which they are susceptible.

4 See section 334, Related Parties.
b. Coordinating the testing of interrelated accounts and accounting cutoffs.

c. Maintaining temporary audit control over assets that are readily negotiable and simultaneously testing such assets and cash on hand and in banks, bank loans, and other related items.

Decisions about coordinating related auditing procedures should be made in the light of the assessed level of control risk and of the particular auditing procedures that could be applied, either for the remaining period or at year-end, or both.
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Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors

(Supersedes SAS No. 7)

Source: SAS No. 84; SAS No. 93.

Effective with respect to acceptance of an engagement after March 31, 1998, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction

.01 This section provides guidance on communications between predecessor and successor auditors when a change of auditors is in process or has taken place. It also provides communications guidance when possible misstatements are discovered in financial statements reported on by a predecessor auditor. This section applies whenever an independent auditor is considering accepting an engagement to audit or reaudit (see paragraph .14 of this section) financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and after such auditor has been appointed to perform such an engagement.

.02 For the purposes of this section, the term predecessor auditor refers to an auditor who (a) has reported on the most recent audited financial statements or was engaged to perform but did not complete an audit of the financial statements and (b) has resigned, declined to stand for reappointment, or been notified that his or her services have been, or may be, terminated. The term successor auditor refers to an auditor who is considering accepting an engagement to audit financial statements but has not communicated with the predecessor auditor as provided in paragraphs .07 through .10 and to an auditor who has accepted such an engagement. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Change of Auditors

.03 An auditor should not accept an engagement until the communications described in paragraphs .07 through .10 have been evaluated. However, an auditor may make a proposal for an audit engagement before communicating with the predecessor auditor. The auditor may wish to advise the prospective

---

1 The provisions of this section are not required if the most recent audited financial statements are more than two years prior to the beginning of the earliest period to be audited by the successor auditor.

2 There may be two predecessor auditors: the auditor who reported on the most recent audited financial statements and the auditor who was engaged to perform but did not complete an audit of any subsequent financial statements. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

3 When the most recent financial statements have been compiled or reviewed in accordance with the Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services, the accountant who reported on those financial statements is not a predecessor auditor. Although not required by this section, in these circumstances the successor auditor may find the matters described in paragraphs .08 and .09 useful in determining whether to accept the engagement.
client (for example, in a proposal) that acceptance cannot be final until the communications have been evaluated.

.04 Other communications between the successor and predecessor auditors, described in paragraph .11, are advisable to assist in the planning of the engagement. However, the timing of these other communications is more flexible. The successor auditor may initiate these other communications either prior to acceptance of the engagement or subsequent thereto.

.05 When more than one auditor is considering accepting an engagement, the predecessor auditor should not be expected to be available to respond to inquiries until a successor auditor has been selected by the prospective client and has accepted the engagement subject to the evaluation of the communications with the predecessor auditor as provided in paragraphs .07 through .10.

.06 The initiative for communicating rests with the successor auditor. The communication may be either written or oral. Both the predecessor and successor auditors should hold in confidence information obtained from each other. This obligation applies whether or not the successor auditor accepts the engagement.

Communications Before Successor Auditor Accepts Engagement

.07 Inquiry of the predecessor auditor is a necessary procedure because the predecessor auditor may be able to provide information that will assist the successor auditor in determining whether to accept the engagement. The successor auditor should bear in mind that, among other things, the predecessor auditor and the client may have disagreed about accounting principles, auditing procedures, or similarly significant matters.

.08 The successor auditor should request permission from the prospective client to make an inquiry of the predecessor auditor prior to final acceptance of the engagement. Except as permitted by the Rules of the Code of Professional Conduct, an auditor is precluded from disclosing confidential information obtained in the course of an engagement unless the client specifically consents. Thus, the successor auditor should ask the prospective client to authorize the predecessor auditor to respond fully to the successor auditor’s inquiries. If a prospective client refuses to permit the predecessor auditor to respond or limits the response, the successor auditor should inquire as to the reasons and consider the implications of that refusal in deciding whether to accept the engagement.

.09 The successor auditor should make specific and reasonable inquiries of the predecessor auditor regarding matters that will assist the successor auditor in determining whether to accept the engagement. Matters subject to inquiry should include—

- Information that might bear on the integrity of management.
- Disagreements with management as to accounting principles, auditing procedures, or other similarly significant matters.
- Communications to audit committees or others with equivalent authority and responsibility regarding fraud, illegal acts by clients, and internal-control-related matters.\(^4\)

\(^4\) For entities that do not have audit committees, the phrase “others with equivalent authority and responsibility” may include the board of directors, the board of trustees, or the owner in owner-managed entities.

\(^5\) See section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit; section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients; and section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit.
The predecessor auditor’s understanding as to the reasons for the change of auditors.

The successor auditor may wish to consider other reasonable inquiries.

.10 The predecessor auditor should respond promptly and fully, on the basis of known facts, to the successor auditor’s reasonable inquiries. However, should the predecessor auditor decide, due to unusual circumstances such as impending, threatened, or potential litigation; disciplinary proceedings; or other unusual circumstances, not to respond fully to the inquiries, the predecessor auditor should clearly state that the response is limited. If the successor auditor receives a limited response, its implications should be considered in deciding whether to accept the engagement.

Other Communications

.11 The successor auditor should request that the client authorize the predecessor auditor to allow a review of the predecessor auditor’s working papers. The predecessor auditor may wish to request a consent and acknowledgment letter from the client to document this authorization in an effort to reduce misunderstandings about the scope of the communications being authorized. It is customary in such circumstances for the predecessor auditor to make himself or herself available to the successor auditor and make available for review certain of the working papers. The predecessor auditor should determine which working papers are to be made available for review and which may be copied. The predecessor auditor should ordinarily permit the successor auditor to review working papers, including documentation of planning, internal control, audit results, and other matters of continuing accounting and auditing significance, such as the working paper analysis of balance sheet accounts, and those relating to contingencies. Also, the predecessor auditor should reach an understanding with the successor auditor as to the use of the working papers. The extent, if any, to which a predecessor auditor permits access to the working papers is a matter of judgment.

Successor Auditor’s Use of Communications

.12 The successor auditor must obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to afford a reasonable basis for expressing an opinion on the financial statements he or she has been engaged to audit, including evaluating the consistency of the application of accounting principles. The audit evidence used in analyzing the impact of the opening balances on the current-year financial statements and consistency of accounting principles is a matter of professional judgment. Such audit evidence may include the most recent audited financial statements, the predecessor auditor’s report thereon, the results of inquiry of the predecessor auditor, the results of the successor auditor’s review of the predecessor auditor’s working papers relating to the most recently completed audit, and audit procedures performed on the current period’s transactions that...
may provide evidence about the opening balances or consistency. For example, evidence gathered during the current year’s audit may provide information about the realizability and existence of receivables and inventory recorded at the beginning of the year. The successor auditor may also apply appropriate auditing procedures to account balances at the beginning of the period under audit and to transactions in prior periods. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.13 The successor auditor’s review of the predecessor auditor’s working papers may affect the nature, timing, and extent of the successor auditor’s procedures with respect to the opening balances and consistency of accounting principles. However, the nature, timing, and extent of audit work performed and the conclusions reached in both these areas are solely the responsibility of the successor auditor. In reporting on the audit, the successor auditor should not make reference to the report or work of the predecessor auditor as the basis, in part, for the successor auditor’s own opinion.

Audits of Financial Statements That Have Been Previously Audited

.14 If an auditor is asked to audit and report on financial statements that have been previously audited and reported on (henceforth referred to as a reaudit), the auditor considering acceptance of the reaudit engagement is also a successor auditor, and the auditor who previously reported is also a predecessor auditor. In addition to the communications described in paragraphs .07 through .10, the successor auditor should state that the purpose of the inquiries is to obtain information about whether to accept an engagement to perform a reaudit.

.15 If the successor auditor accepts the reaudit engagement, he or she may consider the information obtained from inquiries of the predecessor auditor and review of the predecessor auditor’s report and working papers in planning the reaudit. However, the information obtained from those inquiries and any review of the predecessor auditor’s report and working papers is not sufficient to afford a basis for expressing an opinion. The nature, timing, and extent of the audit work performed and the conclusions reached in the reaudit are solely the responsibility of the successor auditor performing the reaudit.

.16 The successor auditor should plan and perform the reaudit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. The successor auditor should not assume responsibility for the predecessor auditor’s work or issue a report that reflects divided responsibility as described in section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors. Furthermore, the predecessor auditor is not a specialist as defined in section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist, or an internal auditor as defined in section 322, The Auditor’s Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements.

.17 If the successor auditor has audited the current period, the results of that audit may be considered in planning and performing the reaudit of the preceding period or periods and may provide evidential matter that is useful in performing the reaudit.

.18 If, in a reaudit engagement, the successor auditor is unable to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to express an opinion on the financial statements, the successor auditor should qualify or disclaim an opinion because of the inability to perform procedures the successor auditor considers necessary in the circumstances.
Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors

The successor auditor should request working papers for the period or periods under reaudit and the period prior to the reaudit period. However, the extent, if any, to which the predecessor auditor permits access to the working papers is a matter of judgment. (See paragraph .11 of this section.)

In a reaudit, the successor auditor generally will be unable to observe inventory or make physical counts at the reaudit date or dates in the manner discussed in paragraphs .09 through .11 of section 331, Inventories. In such cases, the successor auditor may consider the knowledge obtained from his or her review of the predecessor auditor’s working papers and inquiries of the predecessor auditor to determine the nature, timing, and extent of procedures to be applied in the circumstances. The successor auditor performing the reaudit should, if material, observe or perform some physical counts of inventory at a date subsequent to the period of the reaudit, in connection with a current audit or otherwise, and apply appropriate tests of intervening transactions. Appropriate procedures may include tests of prior transactions, reviews of records of prior counts, and the application of analytical procedures, such as gross profit tests.

Discovery of Possible Misstatements in Financial Statements Reported on by a Predecessor Auditor

If during the audit or reaudit, the successor auditor becomes aware of information that leads him or her to believe that financial statements reported on by the predecessor auditor may require revision, the successor auditor should request that the client inform the predecessor auditor of the situation and arrange for the three parties to discuss this information and attempt to resolve the matter. The successor auditor should communicate to the predecessor auditor any information that the predecessor auditor may need to consider in accordance with section 561, Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report, which sets out the procedures that an auditor should follow when the auditor subsequently discovers facts that may have affected the audited financial statements previously reported on.9

If the client refuses to inform the predecessor auditor or if the successor auditor is not satisfied with the resolution of the matter, the successor auditor may wish to consult with his or her legal counsel in determining an appropriate course of further action.

Effective Date

This section will be effective with respect to acceptance of an engagement after March 31, 1998. Earlier application is permitted.

---

9 See section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .70 through .74, for reporting guidance.
Appendix A

Illustrative Client Consent and Acknowledgment Letter

1. Paragraph .11 of this section states, “The successor auditor should request that the client authorize the predecessor auditor to allow a review of the predecessor auditor’s working papers. The predecessor auditor may wish to request a consent and acknowledgment letter from the client to document this authorization in an effort to reduce misunderstandings about the scope of the communications being authorized.” The following letter is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not required by professional standards.

[Date]

ABC Enterprises
[Address]

You have given your consent to allow [name of successor CPA firm], as successor independent auditors for ABC Enterprises (ABC), access to our working papers for our audit of the December 31, 19X1, financial statements of ABC. You also have given your consent to us to respond fully to [name of successor CPA firm] inquiries. You understand and agree that the review of our working papers is undertaken solely for the purpose of obtaining an understanding about ABC and certain information about our audit to assist [name of successor CPA firm] in planning the audit of the December 31, 19X2, financial statements of ABC.

Please confirm your agreement with the foregoing by signing and dating a copy of this letter and returning it to us.

Attached is the form of the letter we will furnish [name of successor CPA firm] regarding the use of the working papers.

Very truly yours,

[Predecessor Auditor]

By: ________________________________

Accepted:

ABC Enterprises

By: ________________________________ Date: ____________________
Appendix B

Illustrative Successor Auditor Acknowledgment Letter

1. Paragraph .11, footnote 7, of this section states, “Before permitting access to the working papers, the predecessor auditor may wish to obtain a written communication from the successor auditor regarding the use of the working papers.” The following letter is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not required by professional standards.

[Date]

[Successor Auditor]
[Address]

We have previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the December 31, 20X1, financial statements of ABC Enterprises (ABC). We rendered a report on those financial statements and have not performed any audit procedures subsequent to the audit report date. In connection with your audit of ABC’s 20X2 financial statements, you have requested access to our working papers prepared in connection with that audit. ABC has authorized our firm to allow you to review those working papers.

Our audit, and the working papers prepared in connection therewith, of ABC’s financial statements were not planned or conducted in contemplation of your review. Therefore, items of possible interest to you may not have been specifically addressed. Our use of professional judgment and the assessment of audit risk and materiality for the purpose of our audit mean that matters may have existed that would have been assessed differently by you. We make no representation as to the sufficiency or appropriateness of the information in our working papers for your purposes.

We understand that the purpose of your review is to obtain information about ABC and our 19X1 audit results to assist you in planning your 19X2 audit of ABC. For that purpose only, we will provide you access to our working papers that relate to that objective.

Upon request, we will provide copies of those working papers that provide factual information about ABC. You agree to subject any such copies or information otherwise derived from our working papers to your normal policy for retention of working papers and protection of confidential client information. Furthermore, in the event of a third-party request for access to your working papers prepared in connection with your audits of ABC, you agree to obtain our permission before voluntarily allowing any such access to our working papers or information otherwise derived from our working papers, and to obtain on our behalf any releases that you obtain from such third party. You agree to advise us promptly and provide us a copy of any subpoena, summons, or other court order for access to your working papers that include copies of our working papers or information otherwise derived therefrom.

Please confirm your agreement with the foregoing by signing and dating a copy of this letter and returning it to us.
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Very truly yours,

[Predecessor Auditor]

By: ______________________

Accepted:

[Successor Auditor]

By: ______________________ Date: ______________________

Even with the client’s consent, access to the predecessor auditor’s working papers may still be limited. Experience has shown that the predecessor auditor may be willing to grant broader access if given additional assurance concerning the use of the working papers. Accordingly, the successor auditor might consider agreeing to the following limitations on the review of the predecessor auditor’s working papers in order to obtain broader access:

• The successor auditor will not comment, orally or in writing, as a result of the review as to whether the predecessor auditor’s engagement was performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards.

• The successor auditor will not provide expert testimony or litigation support services or otherwise accept an engagement to comment on issues relating to the quality of the predecessor auditor’s audit.

• The successor auditor will not use the audit procedures or results thereof documented in the predecessor auditor’s working papers as evidential matter in rendering an opinion on the 19X2 financial statements of ABC Enterprises, except as contemplated in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 84.

The following paragraph illustrates the above:

Because your review of our working papers is undertaken solely for the purpose described above and may not entail a review of all our working papers, you agree that (1) the information obtained from the review will not be used by you for any other purpose, (2) you will not comment, orally or in writing, to anyone as a result of that review as to whether our audit was performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, (3) you will not provide expert testimony or litigation support services or otherwise accept an engagement to comment on issues relating to the quality of our audit, and (4) you will not use the audit procedures or results thereof documented in our working papers as evidential matter in rendering your opinion on the 19X2 financial statements of ABC, except as contemplated in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 84.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]
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Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit

(Supersedes SAS No. 82)

Source: SAS No. 99.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2002.

Introduction and Overview

.01 Section 110, Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor, paragraph .02, states, “The auditor has a responsibility to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether caused by error or fraud. [footnote omitted]” This section establishes standards and provides guidance to auditors in fulfilling that responsibility, as it relates to fraud, in an audit of financial statements conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS).

.02 The following is an overview of the organization and content of this section:

- **Description and characteristics of fraud.** This section describes fraud and its characteristics. (See paragraphs .05 through .12.)

- **The importance of exercising professional skepticism.** This section discusses the need for auditors to exercise professional skepticism when considering the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could be present. (See paragraph .13.)

- **Discussion among engagement personnel regarding the risks of material misstatement due to fraud.** This section requires, as part of planning the audit, that there be a discussion among the audit team members to consider how and where the entity’s financial statements might be susceptible to material misstatement due to fraud and to reinforce the importance of adopting an appropriate mindset of professional skepticism. (See paragraphs .14 through .18.)

---

1 The auditor’s consideration of illegal acts and responsibility for detecting misstatements resulting from illegal acts is defined in section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients. For those illegal acts that are defined in that section as having a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts, the auditor’s responsibility to detect misstatements resulting from such illegal acts is the same as that for errors (see section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, or fraud).

2 Auditors are sometimes requested to perform other services related to fraud detection and prevention, for example, special investigations to determine the extent of a suspected or detected fraud. These other services usually include procedures that extend beyond or are different from the procedures ordinarily performed in an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS). AT section 101, Attest Engagements, and CS section 100, Consulting Services: Definitions and Standards, provide guidance to accountants relating to the performance of such services.
• Obtaining the information needed to identify risks of material misstatement due to fraud. This section requires the auditor to gather information necessary to identify risks of material misstatement due to fraud, by
  a. Inquiring of management and others within the entity about the risks of fraud. (See paragraphs .20 through .27.)
  b. Considering the results of the analytical procedures performed in planning the audit. (See paragraphs .28 through .30.)
  c. Considering fraud risk factors. (See paragraphs .31 through .33, and the Appendix, “Examples of Fraud Risk Factors” [paragraph .85].)
  d. Considering certain other information. (See paragraph .34.)
• Identifying risks that may result in a material misstatement due to fraud. This section requires the auditor to use the information gathered to identify risks that may result in a material misstatement due to fraud. (See paragraphs .35 through .42.)
• Assessing the identified risks after taking into account an evaluation of the entity’s programs and controls. This section requires the auditor to evaluate the entity’s programs and controls that address the identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud, and to assess the risks taking into account this evaluation. (See paragraphs .43 through .45.)
• Responding to the results of the assessment. This section emphasizes that the auditor’s response to the risks of material misstatement due to fraud involves the application of professional skepticism when gathering and evaluating audit evidence. (See paragraph .46 through .49.) The section requires the auditor to respond to the results of the risk assessment in three ways:
  a. A response that has an overall effect on how the audit is conducted, that is, a response involving more general considerations apart from the specific procedures otherwise planned. (See paragraph .50.)
  b. A response to identified risks that involves the nature, timing, and extent of the auditing procedures to be performed. (See paragraphs .51 through .56.)
  c. A response involving the performance of certain procedures to further address the risk of material misstatement due to fraud involving management override of controls. (See paragraphs .57 through .67.)
• Evaluating audit evidence. This section requires the auditor to assess the risks of material misstatement due to fraud throughout the audit and to evaluate at the completion of the audit whether the accumulated results of auditing procedures and other observations affect the assessment. (See paragraphs .68 through .74.) It also requires the auditor to consider whether identified misstatements may be indicative of fraud and, if so, directs the auditor to evaluate their implications. (See paragraphs .75 through .78.)
• Communicating about fraud to management, the audit committee, and others. This section provides guidance regarding the auditor’s communications about fraud to management, the audit committee, and others. (See paragraphs .79 through .82.)
• Documenting the auditor’s consideration of fraud. This section describes related documentation requirements. (See paragraph .83.)
The requirements and guidance set forth in this section are intended to be integrated into an overall audit process, in a logical manner that is consistent with the requirements and guidance provided in other sections, including section 311, Planning and Supervision; section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit; and section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit. Even though some requirements and guidance set forth in this section are presented in a manner that suggests a sequential audit process, auditing in fact involves a continuous process of gathering, updating, and analyzing information throughout the audit. Accordingly the sequence of the requirements and guidance in this section may be implemented differently among audit engagements.

Although this section focuses on the auditor’s consideration of fraud in an audit of financial statements, it is management’s responsibility to design and implement programs and controls to prevent, deter, and detect fraud. That responsibility is described in section 110.03, which states, “Management is responsible for adopting sound accounting policies and for establishing and maintaining internal control that will, among other things, initiate, record, process, and report transactions (as well as events and conditions) consistent with management’s assertions embodied in the financial statements.” Management, along with those who have responsibility for oversight of the financial reporting process (such as the audit committee, board of trustees, board of directors, or the owner in owner-managed entities), should set the proper tone; create and maintain a culture of honesty and high ethical standards; and establish appropriate controls to prevent, deter, and detect fraud. When management and those responsible for the oversight of the financial reporting process fulfill those responsibilities, the opportunities to commit fraud can be reduced significantly.

Description and Characteristics of Fraud

Fraud is a broad legal concept and auditors do not make legal determinations of whether fraud has occurred. Rather, the auditor’s interest specifically relates to acts that result in a material misstatement of the financial statements. The primary factor that distinguishes fraud from error is whether the underlying action that results in the misstatement of the financial statements is intentional or unintentional. For purposes of the section, fraud is an intentional act that results in a material misstatement in financial statements that are the subject of an audit.

Two types of misstatements are relevant to the auditor’s consideration of fraud—misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting and misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets.

- **Misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting** are intentional misstatements or omissions of amounts or disclosures in financial statements.

3. In its October 1987 report, the National Commission on Fraudulent Financial Reporting, also known as the Treadway Commission, noted, “The responsibility for reliable financial reporting resides first and foremost at the corporate level. Top management, starting with the chief executive officer, sets the tone and establishes the financial reporting environment. Therefore, reducing the risk of fraudulent financial reporting must start with the reporting company.”

4. Intent is often difficult to determine, particularly in matters involving accounting estimates and the application of accounting principles. For example, unreasonable accounting estimates may be unintentional or may be the result of an intentional attempt to misstate the financial statements. Although an audit is not designed to determine intent, the auditor has a responsibility to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether the misstatement is intentional or not.
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Fraudulent financial reporting may be accomplished by the following:

- Manipulation, falsification, or alteration of accounting records or supporting documents from which financial statements are prepared
- Misrepresentation in or intentional omission from the financial statements of events, transactions, or other significant information
- Intentional misapplication of accounting principles relating to amounts, classification, manner of presentation, or disclosure

Fraudulent financial reporting need not be the result of a grand plan or conspiracy. It may be that management representatives rationalize the appropriateness of a material misstatement, for example, as an aggressive rather than indefensible interpretation of complex accounting rules, or as a temporary misstatement of financial statements, including interim statements, expected to be corrected later when operational results improve.

- **Misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets** (sometimes referred to as theft or defalcation) involve the theft of an entity’s assets where the effect of the theft causes the financial statements not to be presented, in all material respects, in conformity with GAAP. Misappropriation of assets can be accomplished in various ways, including embezzling receipts, stealing assets, or causing an entity to pay for goods or services that have not been received. Misappropriation of assets may be accompanied by false or misleading records or documents, possibly created by circumventing controls. The scope of this section includes only those misappropriations of assets for which the effect of the misappropriation causes the financial statements not to be fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with GAAP.

.07 Three conditions generally are present when fraud occurs. First, management or other employees have an incentive or are under pressure, which provides a reason to commit fraud. Second, circumstances exist—for example, the absence of controls, ineffective controls, or the ability of management to override controls—that provide an opportunity for a fraud to be perpetrated. Third, those involved are able to rationalize committing a fraudulent act. Some individuals possess an attitude, character, or set of ethical values that allow them to knowingly and intentionally commit a dishonest act. However, even otherwise honest individuals can commit fraud in an environment that imposes sufficient pressure on them. The greater the incentive or pressure, the more likely an individual will be able to rationalize the acceptability of committing fraud.

.08 Management has a unique ability to perpetrate fraud because it frequently is in a position to directly or indirectly manipulate accounting records and present fraudulent financial information. Fraudulent financial reporting often involves management override of controls that otherwise may...
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appear to be operating effectively. Management can either direct employees to perpetrate fraud or solicit their help in carrying it out. In addition, management personnel at a component of the entity may be in a position to manipulate the accounting records of the component in a manner that causes a material misstatement in the consolidated financial statements of the entity. Management override of controls can occur in unpredictable ways.

.09 Typically, management and employees engaged in fraud will take steps to conceal the fraud from the auditors and others within and outside the organization. Fraud may be concealed by withholding evidence or misrepresenting information in response to inquiries or by falsifying documentation. For example, management that engages in fraudulent financial reporting might alter shipping documents. Employees or members of management who misappropriate cash might try to conceal their thefts by forging signatures or falsifying electronic approvals on disbursement authorizations. An audit conducted in accordance with GAAS rarely involves the authentication of such documentation, nor are auditors trained as or expected to be experts in such authentication. In addition, an auditor may not discover the existence of a modification of documentation through a side agreement that management or a third party has not disclosed.

.10 Fraud also may be concealed through collusion among management, employees, or third parties. Collusion may cause the auditor who has properly performed the audit to conclude that evidence provided is persuasive when it is, in fact, false. For example, through collusion, false evidence that controls have been operating effectively may be presented to the auditor, or consistent misleading explanations may be given to the auditor by more than one individual within the entity to explain an unexpected result of an analytical procedure. As another example, the auditor may receive a false confirmation from a third party that is in collusion with management.

.11 Although fraud usually is concealed and management’s intent is difficult to determine, the presence of certain conditions may suggest to the auditor the possibility that fraud may exist. For example, an important contract may be missing, a subsidiary ledger may not be satisfactorily reconciled to its control account, or the results of an analytical procedure performed during the audit may not be consistent with expectations. However, these conditions may be the result of circumstances other than fraud. Documents may legitimately have been lost or misfiled; the subsidiary ledger may be out of balance with its control account because of an unintentional accounting error; and unexpected analytical relationships may be the result of unanticipated changes in underlying economic factors. Even reports of alleged fraud may not always be reliable because an employee or outsider may be mistaken or may be motivated for unknown reasons to make a false allegation.

.12 As indicated in paragraph .01, the auditor has a responsibility to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. However, absolute assurance is not attainable and thus even

---

6 Frauds have been committed by management override of existing controls using such techniques as (a) recording fictitious journal entries, particularly those recorded close to the end of an accounting period to manipulate operating results, (b) intentionally biasing assumptions and judgments used to estimate account balances, and (c) altering records and terms related to significant and unusual transactions.

7 For a further discussion of the concept of reasonable assurance, see section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work, paragraphs .10 through .13.
a properly planned and performed audit may not detect a material misstate-
ment resulting from fraud. A material misstatement may not be detected
because of the nature of audit evidence or because the characteristics of fraud
as discussed above may cause the auditor to rely unknowingly on audit
evidence that appears to be valid, but is, in fact, false and fraudulent. Furthermore, audit procedures that are effective for detecting an error may be ineffect-
ve for detecting fraud.

The Importance of Exercising Professional Skepticism

Due professional care requires the auditor to exercise professional
skepticism. See section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work,
paragraphs .07 through .09. Because of the characteristics of fraud, the audi-
tor’s exercise of professional skepticism is important when considering the risk
of material misstatement due to fraud. Professional skepticism is an attitude
that includes a questioning mind and a critical assessment of audit evidence.
The auditor should conduct the engagement with a mindset that recognizes the
possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could be present, regard-
less of any past experience with the entity and regardless of the auditor’s belief
about management’s honesty and integrity. Furthermore, professional skepti-
cism requires an ongoing questioning of whether the information and evidence
obtained suggests that a material misstatement due to fraud has occurred. In
exercising professional skepticism in gathering and evaluating evidence, the
auditor should not be satisfied with less-than-persuasive evidence because of
a belief that management is honest.

Discussion Among Engagement Personnel Regarding
the Risks of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

Prior to or in conjunction with the information-gathering procedures
described in paragraphs .19 through .34 of this section, members of the audit
team should discuss the potential for material misstatement due to fraud. The
discussion should include:

- An exchange of ideas or “brainstorming” among the audit team mem-
bers, including the auditor with final responsibility for the audit, about
how and where they believe the entity’s financial statements might be
susceptible to material misstatement due to fraud, how management
could perpetrate and conceal fraudulent financial reporting, and how
assets of the entity could be misappropriated. (See paragraph .15.)

- An emphasis on the importance of maintaining the proper state of
mind throughout the audit regarding the potential for material mis-
statement due to fraud. (See paragraph .16.)

The discussion among the audit team members about the susceptibil-
ity of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatement due to fraud
should include a consideration of the known external and internal factors
affecting the entity that might (a) create incentives/pressures for manage-
ment and others to commit fraud, (b) provide the opportunity for fraud to be perpe-
trated, and (c) indicate a culture or environment that enables management to
rationalize committing fraud. The discussion should occur with an attitude
that includes a questioning mind as described in paragraph .16 and, for this
purpose, setting aside any prior beliefs the audit team members may have that
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management is honest and has integrity. In this regard, the discussion should include a consideration of the risk of management override of controls. Finally, the discussion should include how the auditor might respond to the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatement due to fraud.

.16 The discussion among the audit team members should emphasize the need to maintain a questioning mind and to exercise professional skepticism in gathering and evaluating evidence throughout the audit, as described in paragraph .13. This should lead the audit team members to continually be alert for information or other conditions (such as those presented in paragraph .68) that indicate a material misstatement due to fraud may have occurred. It should also lead audit team members to thoroughly probe the issues, acquire additional evidence as necessary, and consult with other team members and, if appropriate, experts in the firm, rather than rationalize or dismiss information or other conditions that indicate a material misstatement due to fraud may have occurred.

.17 Although professional judgment should be used in determining which audit team members should be included in the discussion, the discussion ordinarily should involve the key members of the audit team. A number of factors will influence the extent of the discussion and how it should occur. For example, if the audit involves more than one location, there could be multiple discussions with team members in differing locations. Another factor to consider in planning the discussions is whether to include specialists assigned to the audit team. For example, if the auditor has determined that a professional possessing information technology skills is needed on the audit team (see section 319.32), it may be useful to include that individual in the discussion.

.18 Communication among the audit team members about the risks of material misstatement due to fraud also should continue throughout the audit—for example, in evaluating the risks of material misstatement due to fraud at or near the completion of the field work. (See paragraph .74 and footnote 28.)

Obtaining the Information Needed to Identify the Risks of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

.19 Section 311.06–.08 provides guidance about how the auditor obtains knowledge about the entity’s business and the industry in which it operates. In performing that work, information may come to the auditor’s attention that should be considered in identifying risks of material misstatement due to fraud. As part of this work, the auditor should perform the following procedures to obtain information that is used (as described in paragraphs .35 through .42) to identify the risks of material misstatement due to fraud:

a. Make inquiries of management and others within the entity to obtain their views about the risks of fraud and how they are addressed. (See paragraphs .20 through .27.)

b. Consider any unusual or unexpected relationships that have been identified in performing analytical procedures in planning the audit. (See paragraphs .28 through .30.)

8 See footnote 6.
c. Consider whether one or more fraud risk factors exist. (See paragraphs .31 through .33, and the Appendix [paragraph .85].)

d. Consider other information that may be helpful in the identification of risks of material misstatement due to fraud. (See paragraph .34.)

Making Inquiries of Management and Others Within the Entity About the Risks of Fraud

.20 The auditor should inquire of management about:

• Whether management has knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity

• Whether management is aware of allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity, for example, received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others

• Management’s understanding about the risks of fraud in the entity, including any specific fraud risks the entity has identified or account balances or classes of transactions for which a risk of fraud may be likely to exist

• Programs and controls the entity has established to mitigate specific fraud risks the entity has identified, or that otherwise help to prevent, deter, and detect fraud, and how management monitors those programs and controls. For examples of programs and controls an entity may implement to prevent, deter, and detect fraud, see the exhibit titled “Management Antifraud Programs and Controls” [paragraph .86] at the end of this section.

• For an entity with multiple locations, (a) the nature and extent of monitoring of operating locations or business segments, and (b) whether there are particular operating locations or business segments for which a risk of fraud may be more likely to exist

• Whether and how management communicates to employees its views on business practices and ethical behavior

.21 The inquiries of management also should include whether management has reported to the audit committee or others with equivalent authority and responsibility (hereafter referred to as the audit committee) on how the entity’s internal control serves to prevent, deter, or detect material misstatements due to fraud.

.22 The auditor also should inquire directly of the audit committee (or at least its chair) regarding the audit committee’s views about the risks of fraud due to fraud.

9 In addition to these inquiries, section 333, Management Representations, requires the auditor to obtain selected written representations from management regarding fraud.

10 Section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, paragraphs .06 and .07, defines internal control and its five interrelated components (the control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring). Entity programs and controls intended to address the risks of fraud may be part of any of the five components discussed in section 319.

11 Examples of “others with equivalent authority and responsibility” may include the board of directors, the board of trustees, or the owner in an owner-managed entity, as appropriate.

12 See footnote 10.
and whether the audit committee has knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity. An entity’s audit committee sometimes assumes an active role in oversight of the entity’s assessment of the risks of fraud and the programs and controls the entity has established to mitigate these risks. The auditor should obtain an understanding of how the audit committee exercises oversight activities in that area.

.23 For entities that have an internal audit function, the auditor also should inquire of appropriate internal audit personnel about their views about the risks of fraud, whether they have performed any procedures to identify or detect fraud during the year, whether management has satisfactorily responded to any findings resulting from these procedures, and whether the internal auditors have knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud.

.24 In addition to the inquiries outlined in paragraphs .20 through .23, the auditor should inquire of others within the entity about the existence or suspicion of fraud. The auditor should use professional judgment to determine those others within the entity to whom inquiries should be directed and the extent of such inquiries. In making this determination, the auditor should consider whether others within the entity may be able to provide information that will be helpful to the auditor in identifying risks of material misstatement due to fraud—for example, others who may have additional knowledge about or be able to corroborate risks of fraud identified in the discussions with management (see paragraph .20) or the audit committee (see paragraph .22).

.25 Examples of others within the entity to whom the auditor may wish to direct these inquiries include:

- Employees with varying levels of authority within the entity, including, for example, entity personnel with whom the auditor comes into contact during the course of the audit in obtaining (a) an understanding of the entity’s systems and internal control, (b) in observing inventory or performing cutoff procedures, or (c) in obtaining explanations for fluctuations noted as a result of analytical procedures
- Operating personnel not directly involved in the financial reporting process
- Employees involved in initiating, recording, or processing complex or unusual transactions—for example, a sales transaction with multiple elements, or a significant related party transaction
- In-house legal counsel

.26 The auditor’s inquiries of management and others within the entity are important because fraud often is uncovered through information received in response to inquiries. One reason for this is that such inquiries may provide individuals with an opportunity to convey information to the auditor that otherwise might not be communicated. Making inquiries of others within the entity, in addition to management, may be useful in providing the auditor with a perspective that is different from that of individuals involved in the financial reporting process. The responses to these other inquiries might serve to corroborate responses received from management, or alternatively, might provide information regarding the possibility of management override of controls—for example, a response from an employee indicating an unusual change in the way transactions have been processed. In addition, the auditor may obtain information from these inquiries regarding how effectively management has communicated standards of ethical behavior to individuals throughout the organization.
The auditor should be aware when evaluating management’s responses to the inquiries discussed in paragraph .20 that management is often in the best position to perpetrate fraud. The auditor should use professional judgment in deciding when it is necessary to corroborate responses to inquiries with other information. However, when responses are inconsistent among inquiries, the auditor should obtain additional audit evidence to resolve the inconsistencies.

**Considering the Results of the Analytical Procedures Performed in Planning the Audit**

.28 Section 329, *Analytical Procedures*, paragraphs .04 and .06, requires that analytical procedures be performed in planning the audit with an objective of identifying the existence of unusual transactions or events, and amounts, ratios, and trends that might indicate matters that have financial statement and audit planning implications. In performing analytical procedures in planning the audit, the auditor develops expectations about plausible relationships that are reasonably expected to exist, based on the auditor’s understanding of the entity and its environment. When comparison of those expectations with recorded amounts or ratios developed from recorded amounts yields unusual or unexpected relationships, the auditor should consider those results in identifying the risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

.29 In planning the audit, the auditor also should perform analytical procedures relating to revenue with the objective of identifying unusual or unexpected relationships involving revenue accounts that may indicate a material misstatement due to fraudulent financial reporting. An example of such an analytical procedure that addresses this objective is a comparison of sales volume, as determined from recorded revenue amounts, with production capacity. An excess of sales volume over production capacity may be indicative of recording fictitious sales. As another example, a trend analysis of revenues by month and sales returns by month during and shortly after the reporting period may indicate the existence of undisclosed side agreements with customers to return goods that would preclude revenue recognition.131

.30 Analytical procedures performed during planning may be helpful in identifying the risks of material misstatement due to fraud. However, because such analytical procedures generally use data aggregated at a high level, the results of those analytical procedures provide only a broad initial indication about whether a material misstatement of the financial statements may exist. Accordingly, the results of analytical procedures performed during planning should be considered along with other information gathered by the auditor in identifying the risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

**Considering Fraud Risk Factors**

.31 Because fraud is usually concealed, material misstatements due to fraud are difficult to detect. Nevertheless, the auditor may identify events or conditions that indicate incentives/pressures to perpetrate fraud, opportunities to carry out the fraud, or attitudes/rationalizations to justify a fraudulent action. Such events or conditions are referred to as “fraud risk factors.” Fraud risk factors do not necessarily indicate the existence of fraud; however, they often are present in circumstances where fraud exists.

13 See paragraph .70 for a discussion of the need to update these analytical procedures during the overall review stage of the audit.
When obtaining information about the entity and its environment, the auditor should consider whether the information indicates that one or more fraud risk factors are present. The auditor should use professional judgment in determining whether a risk factor is present and should be considered in identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

Examples of fraud risk factors related to fraudulent financial reporting and misappropriation of assets are presented in the Appendix [paragraph .85]. These illustrative risk factors are classified based on the three conditions generally present when fraud exists: incentive/pressure to perpetrate fraud, an opportunity to carry out the fraud, and attitude/rationalization to justify the fraudulent action. Although the risk factors cover a broad range of situations, they are only examples and, accordingly, the auditor may wish to consider additional or different risk factors. Not all of these examples are relevant in all circumstances, and some may be of greater or lesser significance in entities of different size or with different ownership characteristics or circumstances. Also, the order of the examples of risk factors provided is not intended to reflect their relative importance or frequency of occurrence.

Considering Other Information That May Be Helpful in Identifying Risks of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

The auditor should consider other information that may be helpful in identifying risks of material misstatement due to fraud. Specifically, the discussion among the engagement team members (see paragraphs .14 through .18) may provide information helpful in identifying such risks. In addition, the auditor should consider whether information from the results of (a) procedures relating to the acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements and (b) reviews of interim financial statements may be relevant in the identification of such risks. Finally, as part of the consideration of audit risk at the individual account balance or class of transaction level (see section 312.24 through .33), the auditor should consider whether identified inherent risks would provide useful information in identifying the risks of material misstatement due to fraud (see paragraph .39).

Identifying Risks That May Result in a Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

Using the Information Gathered to Identify Risk of Material Misstatements Due to Fraud

In identifying risks of material misstatement due to fraud, it is helpful for the auditor to consider the information that has been gathered (see paragraphs .19 through .34) in the context of the three conditions present when a material misstatement due to fraud occurs—that is, incentives/pressures, opportunities, and attitudes/rationalizations (see paragraph .07). However, the auditor should not assume that all three conditions must be observed or evident before concluding that there are identified risks. Although the risk of material misstatement due to fraud may be greatest when all three fraud conditions are observed or evident, the auditor cannot assume that the inability

---

14 See Statement on Quality Control Standards (SQCS) No. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm’s Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC section 20.14–.16), as amended.
to observe one or two of these conditions means there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud. In fact, observing that individuals have the requisite attitude to commit fraud, or identifying factors that indicate a likelihood that management or other employees will rationalize committing a fraud, is difficult at best.

.36 In addition, the extent to which each of the three conditions referred to above are present when fraud occurs may vary. In some instances the significance of incentives/pressures may result in a risk of material misstatement due to fraud, apart from the significance of the other two conditions. For example, an incentive/pressure to achieve an earnings level to preclude a loan default, or to “trigger” incentive compensation plan awards, may alone result in a risk of material misstatement due to fraud. In other instances, an easy opportunity to commit the fraud because of a lack of controls may be the dominant condition precipitating the risk of fraud, or an individual’s attitude or ability to rationalize unethical actions may be sufficient to motivate that individual to engage in fraud, even in the absence of significant incentives/pressures or opportunities.

.37 The auditor's identification of fraud risks also may be influenced by characteristics such as the size, complexity, and ownership attributes of the entity. For example, in the case of a larger entity, the auditor ordinarily considers factors that generally constrain improper conduct by management, such as the effectiveness of the audit committee and the internal audit function, and the existence and enforcement of a formal code of conduct. In the case of a smaller entity, some or all of these considerations may be inapplicable or less important, and management may have developed a culture that emphasizes the importance of integrity and ethical behavior through oral communication and management by example. Also, the risks of material misstatement due to fraud may vary among operating locations or business segments of an entity, requiring an identification of the risks related to specific geographic areas or business segments, as well as for the entity as a whole. 151

.38 The auditor should evaluate whether identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud can be related to specific financial-statement account balances or classes of transactions and related assertions, or whether they relate more pervasively to the financial statements as a whole. Relating the risks of material misstatement due to fraud to the individual accounts, classes of transactions, and assertions will assist the auditor in subsequently designing appropriate auditing procedures.

.39 Certain accounts, classes of transactions, and assertions that have high inherent risk because they involve a high degree of management judgment and subjectivity also may present risks of material misstatement due to fraud because they are susceptible to manipulation by management. For example, liabilities resulting from a restructuring may be deemed to have high inherent risk because of the high degree of subjectivity and management judgment involved in their estimation. Similarly, revenues for software developers may be deemed to have high inherent risk because of the complex accounting principles applicable to the recognition and measurement of software revenue transactions. Assets resulting from investing activities may be deemed to have high inherent risk because of the subjectivity and management judgment involved in estimating fair values of those investments.

15 Section 312.18 provides guidance on the auditor’s consideration of the extent to which auditing procedures should be performed at selected locations or components.
In summary, the identification of a risk of material misstatement due to fraud involves the application of professional judgment and includes the consideration of the attributes of the risk, including:

- The *type* of risk that may exist, that is, whether it involves fraudulent financial reporting or misappropriation of assets
- The *significance* of the risk, that is, whether it is of a magnitude that could lead to result in a possible material misstatement of the financial statements
- The *likelihood* of the risk, that is, the likelihood that it will result in a material misstatement in the financial statements
- The *pervasiveness* of the risk, that is, whether the potential risk is pervasive to the financial statements as a whole or specifically related to a particular assertion, account, or class of transactions.

**A Presumption That Improper Revenue Recognition Is a Fraud Risk**

Material misstatements due to fraudulent financial reporting often result from an overstatement of revenues (for example, through premature revenue recognition or recording fictitious revenues) or an understatement of revenues (for example, through improperly shifting revenues to a later period). Therefore, the auditor should ordinarily presume that there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition. (See paragraph .54 for examples of auditing procedures related to the risk of improper revenue recognition.)

**A Consideration of the Risk of Management Override of Controls**

Even if specific risks of material misstatement due to fraud are not identified by the auditor, there is a possibility that management override of controls could occur, and accordingly, the auditor should address that risk (see paragraph .57) apart from any conclusions regarding the existence of more specifically identifiable risks.

**Assessing the Identified Risks After Taking Into Account an Evaluation of the Entity’s Programs and Controls That Address the Risks**

Section 319 requires the auditor to obtain an understanding of each of the five components of internal control sufficient to plan the audit. It also notes that such knowledge should be used to identify types of potential misstatements, consider factors that affect the risk of material misstatement, design tests of controls when applicable, and design substantive tests. Additionally, section 319 notes that controls, whether manual or automated, can be circumvented by collusion of two or more people or inappropriate management override of internal control.

---

16 The occurrence of material misstatements of financial statements due to fraud is relatively infrequent in relation to the total population of published financial statements. However, the auditor should not use this as a basis to conclude that one or more risks of a material misstatement due to fraud are not present in a particular entity.

17 For a discussion of indicators of improper revenue recognition and common techniques for overstating revenue and illustrative audit procedures, see the AICPA Audit Guide *Auditing Revenue in Certain Industries*.
As part of the understanding of internal control sufficient to plan the audit, the auditor should evaluate whether entity programs and controls that address identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud have been suitably designed and placed in operation. These programs and controls may involve (a) specific controls designed to mitigate specific risks of fraud—for example, controls to address specific assets susceptible to misappropriation, and (b) broader programs designed to prevent, deter, and detect fraud—for example, programs to promote a culture of honesty and ethical behavior. The auditor should consider whether such programs and controls mitigate the identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud or whether specific control deficiencies may exacerbate the risks (see paragraph .80). The exhibit at the end of this section [paragraph .88] discusses examples of programs and controls an entity might implement to create a culture of honesty and ethical behavior, and that help to prevent, deter, and detect fraud.

After the auditor has evaluated whether the entity’s programs and controls that address identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud have been suitably designed and placed in operation, the auditor should assess these risks taking into account that evaluation. This assessment should be considered when developing the auditor’s response to the identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud (see paragraphs .46 through .67).

Responding to the Results of the Assessment

The auditor’s response to the assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud involves the application of professional skepticism in gathering and evaluating audit evidence. As noted in paragraph 13, professional skepticism is an attitude that includes a critical assessment of the competency and sufficiency of audit evidence. Examples of the application of professional skepticism in response to the risks of material misstatement due to fraud are (a) designing additional or different auditing procedures to obtain more reliable evidence in support of specified financial statement account balances, classes of transactions, and related assertions, and (b) obtaining additional corroboration of management’s explanations or representations concerning material matters, such as through third-party confirmation, the use of a specialist, analytical procedures, examination of documentation from independent sources, or inquiries of others within or outside the entity.

The auditor’s response to the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud is influenced by the nature and significance of the risks identified as being present (paragraphs .35 through .42) and the entity’s programs and controls that address these identified risks (paragraphs .43 through .45).

The auditor responds to risks of material misstatement due to fraud in the following three ways:

a. A response that has an overall effect on how the audit is conducted—that is, a response involving more general considerations apart from the specific procedures otherwise planned (see paragraph .50).

18 See footnote 10.
19 Notwithstanding that the auditor assesses identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud, the assessment need not encompass an overall judgment about whether risk for the entity is classified as high, medium, or low because such a judgment is too broad to be useful in developing the auditor’s response described in paragraphs .46 through .67.
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b. A response to identified risks involving the nature, timing, and extent of the auditing procedures to be performed (see paragraphs .51 through .56).

c. A response involving the performance of certain procedures to further address the risk of material misstatement due to fraud involving management override of controls, given the unpredictable ways in which such override could occur (see paragraphs .57 through .67).

The auditor may conclude that it would not be practicable to design auditing procedures that sufficiently address the risks of material misstatement due to fraud. In that case, withdrawal from the engagement with communication to the appropriate parties may be an appropriate course of action (see paragraph .78).

Overall Responses to the Risk of Material Misstatement

Judgments about the risk of material misstatement due to fraud have an overall effect on how the audit is conducted in the following ways:

- **Assignment of personnel and supervision.** The knowledge, skill, and ability of personnel assigned significant engagement responsibilities should be commensurate with the auditor’s assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud for the engagement (see section 210, Training and Proficiency of the Independent Auditor, paragraph .03). For example, the auditor may respond to an identified risk of material misstatement due to fraud by assigning additional persons with specialized skill and knowledge, such as forensic and information technology (IT) specialists, or by assigning more experienced personnel to the engagement. In addition, the extent of supervision should reflect the risks of material misstatement due to fraud (see section 311.11).

- **Accounting principles.** The auditor should consider management’s selection and application of significant accounting principles, particularly those related to subjective measurements and complex transactions. In this respect, the auditor may have a greater concern about whether the accounting principles selected and policies adopted are being applied in an inappropriate manner to create a material misstatement of the financial statements. In developing judgments about the quality of such principles (see section 380, Communication With Audit Committees, paragraph .11), the auditor should consider whether their collective application indicates a bias that may create such a material misstatement of the financial statements.

- **Predictability of auditing procedures.** The auditor should incorporate an element of unpredictability in the selection from year to year of auditing procedures to be performed—for example, performing substantive tests of selected account balances and assertions not otherwise tested due to their materiality or risk, adjusting the timing of testing from that otherwise expected, using differing sampling methods, and performing procedures at different locations or at locations on an unannounced basis.

Responses Involving the Nature, Timing, and Extent of Procedures to Be Performed to Address the Identified Risks

The auditing procedures performed in response to identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud will vary depending upon the types of risks
identified and the account balances, classes of transactions, and related assertions that may be affected. These procedures may involve both substantive tests and tests of the operating effectiveness of the entity’s programs and controls. However, because management may have the ability to override controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively (see paragraph .08), it is unlikely that audit risk can be reduced to an appropriately low level by performing only tests of controls.

.52 The auditor’s responses to address specifically identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud may include changing the nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures in the following ways:

- The nature of auditing procedures performed may need to be changed to obtain evidence that is more reliable or to obtain additional corroborative information. For example, more evidential matter may be needed from independent sources outside the entity, such as public record information about the existence and nature of key customers, vendors, or counterparties in a major transaction. Also, physical observation or inspection of certain assets may become more important (see section 326, Evidential Matter, paragraphs .15 through .21). Furthermore, the auditor may choose to employ computer-assisted audit techniques to gather more extensive evidence about data contained in significant accounts or electronic transaction files. Finally, inquiry of additional members of management or others may be helpful in identifying issues and corroborating other evidential matter (see paragraphs .24 through .26 and paragraph .53).

- The timing of substantive tests may need to be modified. The auditor might conclude that substantive testing should be performed at or near the end of the reporting period to best address an identified risk of material misstatement due to fraud (see section 313, Substantive Tests Prior to the Balance-Sheet Date). That is, the auditor might conclude that, given the risks of intentional misstatement or manipulation, tests to extend audit conclusions from an interim date to the period-end reporting date would not be effective.

In contrast, because an intentional misstatement—for example, a misstatement involving inappropriate revenue recognition—may have been initiated in an interim period, the auditor might elect to apply substantive tests to transactions occurring earlier in or throughout the reporting period.

- The extent of the procedures applied should reflect the assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud. For example, increasing sample sizes or performing analytical procedures at a more detailed level may be appropriate (see section 350, Audit Sampling, paragraph .23, and section 329). Also, computer-assisted audit techniques may enable more extensive testing of electronic transactions and account files. Such techniques can be used to select sample transactions from key electronic files, to sort transactions with specific characteristics, or to test an entire population instead of a sample.

.53 The following are examples of modification of the nature, timing, and extent of tests in response to identified risks of material misstatements due to fraud:

- Performing procedures at locations on a surprise or unannounced basis, for example, observing inventory on unexpected dates or at unexpected locations or counting cash on a surprise basis.
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- Requesting that inventories be counted at the end of the reporting period or on a date closer to period end to minimize the risk of manipulation of balances in the period between the date of completion of the count and the end of the reporting period.
- Making oral inquiries of major customers and suppliers in addition to sending written confirmations, or sending confirmation requests to a specific party within an organization.
- Performing substantive analytical procedures using disaggregated data, for example, comparing gross profit or operating margins by location, line of business, or month to auditor-developed expectations.\(^{20}\)
- Interviewing personnel involved in activities in areas where a risk of material misstatement due to fraud has been identified to obtain their insights about the risk and how controls address the risk (also see paragraph .24).
- If other independent auditors are auditing the financial statements of one or more subsidiaries, divisions, or branches, discussing with them the extent of work that needs to be performed to address the risk of material misstatement due to fraud resulting from transactions and activities among these components.

Additional Examples of Responses to Identified Risks of Misstatements Arising From Fraudulent Financial Reporting

.54 The following are additional examples of responses to identified risks of material misstatements relating to fraudulent financial reporting:

- Revenue recognition. Because revenue recognition is dependent on the particular facts and circumstances, as well as accounting principles and practices that can vary by industry, the auditor ordinarily will develop auditing procedures based on the auditor’s understanding of the entity and its environment, including the composition of revenues, specific attributes of the revenue transactions, and unique industry considerations. If there is an identified risk of material misstatement due to fraud that involves improper revenue recognition, the auditor also may want to consider:
  — Performing substantive analytical procedures relating to revenue using disaggregated data, for example, comparing revenue reported by month and by product line or business segment during the current reporting period with comparable prior periods. Computer-assisted audit techniques may be useful in identifying unusual or unexpected revenue relationships or transactions.
  — Confirming with customers certain relevant contract terms and the absence of side agreements, because the appropriate accounting often is influenced by such terms or agreements.\(^{21}\) For example, acceptance criteria, delivery and payment terms, the absence of future or continuing vendor obligations, the right to return the product, guaranteed resale amounts, and cancellation or refund provisions often are relevant in such circumstances.

\(^{20}\) Section 329, Analytical Procedures, provides guidance on performing analytical procedures as substantive tests.

\(^{21}\) Section 330, The Confirmation Process, provides guidance about the confirmation process in audits performed in accordance with GAAS.
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— Inquiring of the entity’s sales and marketing personnel or in-house legal counsel regarding sales or shipments near the end of the period and their knowledge of any unusual terms or conditions associated with these transactions.

— Being physically present at one or more locations at period end to observe goods being shipped or being readied for shipment (or returns awaiting processing) and performing other appropriate sales and inventory cutoff procedures.

— For those situations for which revenue transactions are electronically initiated, processed, and recorded, testing controls to determine whether they provide assurance that recorded revenue transactions occurred and are properly recorded.

• **Inventory quantities.** If there is an identified risk of material misstatement due to fraud that affects inventory quantities, examining the entity’s inventory records may help identify locations or items that require specific attention during or after the physical inventory count. Such a review may lead to a decision to observe inventory counts at certain locations on an unannounced basis (see paragraph .53) or to conduct inventory counts at all locations on the same date. In addition, it may be appropriate for inventory counts to be conducted at or near the end of the reporting period to minimize the risk of inappropriate manipulation during the period between the count and the end of the reporting period.

It also may be appropriate for the auditor to perform additional procedures during the observation of the count, for example, more rigorously examining the contents of boxed items, the manner in which the goods are stacked (for example, hollow squares) or labeled, and the quality (that is, purity, grade, or concentration) of liquid substances such as perfumes or specialty chemicals. Using the work of a specialist may be helpful in this regard. Furthermore, additional testing of count sheets, tags, or other records, or the retention of copies of these records, may be warranted to minimize the risk of subsequent alteration or inappropriate compilation.

Following the physical inventory count, the auditor may want to employ additional procedures directed at the quantities included in the priced out inventories to further test the reasonableness of the quantities counted—for example, comparison of quantities for the current period with prior periods by class or category of inventory, location or other criteria, or comparison of quantities counted with perpetual records. The auditor also may consider using computer-assisted audit techniques to further test the compilation of the physical inventory counts—for example, sorting by tag number to test tag controls or by item serial number to test the possibility of item omission or duplication.

• **Management estimates.** The auditor may identify a risk of material misstatement due to fraud involving the development of management estimates. This risk may affect a number of accounts and assertions, including asset valuation, estimates relating to specific transactions (such as acquisitions, restructurings, or disposals of a segment of the

---

22 Section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist, provides guidance to an auditor who uses the work of a specialist in performing an audit in accordance with GAAS.
business), and other significant accrued liabilities (such as pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, or environmental remediation liabilities). The risk may also relate to significant changes in assumptions relating to recurring estimates. As indicated in section 342, *Auditing Accounting Estimates*, estimates are based on subjective as well as objective factors and there is a potential for bias in the subjective factors, even when management’s estimation process involves competent personnel using relevant and reliable data.

In addressing an identified risk of material misstatement due to fraud involving accounting estimates, the auditor may want to supplement the audit evidence otherwise obtained (see section 342.09 through .14). In certain circumstances (for example, evaluating the reasonableness of management’s estimate of the fair value of a derivative), it may be appropriate to engage a specialist or develop an independent estimate for comparison to management’s estimate. Information gathered about the entity and its environment may help the auditor evaluate the reasonableness of such management estimates and underlying judgments and assumptions.

A retrospective review of similar management judgments and assumptions applied in prior periods (see paragraphs .63 through .65) may also provide insight about the reasonableness of judgments and assumptions supporting management estimates.

**Examples of Responses to Identified Risks of Misstatements Arising From Misappropriations of Assets**

.55 The auditor may have identified a risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to misappropriation of assets. For example, the auditor may conclude that the risk of asset misappropriation at a particular operating location is significant because a large amount of easily accessible cash is maintained at that location, or there are inventory items such as laptop computers at that location that can easily be moved and sold.

.56 The auditor’s response to a risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to misappropriation of assets usually will be directed toward certain account balances. Although some of the audit responses noted in paragraphs .52 through .54 may apply in such circumstances, such as the procedures directed at inventory quantities, the scope of the work should be linked to the specific information about the misappropriation risk that has been identified. For example, if a particular asset is highly susceptible to misappropriation and a potential misstatement would be material to the financial statements, obtaining an understanding of the controls related to the prevention and detection of such misappropriation and testing the operating effectiveness of such controls may be warranted. In certain circumstances, physical inspection of such assets (for example, counting cash or securities) at or near the end of the reporting period may be appropriate. In addition, the use of substantive analytical procedures, such as the development by the auditor of an expected dollar amount at a high level of precision, to be compared with a recorded amount, may be effective in certain circumstances.

**Responses to Further Address the Risk of Management Override of Controls**

.57 As noted in paragraph .08, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to directly or indirectly manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding
established controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. By its nature, management override of controls can occur in unpredictable ways. Accordingly, in addition to overall responses (paragraph .50) and responses that address specifically identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud (see paragraphs .51 through .56), the procedures described in paragraphs .58 through .67 should be performed to further address the risk of management override of controls.

.58 Examining journal entries and other adjustments for evidence of possible material misstatement due to fraud. Material misstatements of financial statements due to fraud often involve the manipulation of the financial reporting process by (a) recording inappropriate or unauthorized journal entries throughout the year or at period end, or (b) making adjustments to amounts reported in the financial statements that are not reflected in formal journal entries, such as through consolidating adjustments, report combinations, and reclassifications. Accordingly, the auditor should design procedures to test the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments (for example, entries posted directly to financial statement drafts) made in the preparation of the financial statements. More specifically, the auditor should:

a. Obtain an understanding of the entity’s financial reporting process and the controls over journal entries and other adjustments. (See paragraphs .59 and .60.)

b. Identify and select journal entries and other adjustments for testing. (See paragraph .61.)

c. Determine the timing of the testing. (See paragraph .62.)

d. Inquire of individuals involved in the financial reporting process about inappropriate or unusual activity relating to the processing of journal entries and other adjustments.

.59 The auditor’s understanding of the entity’s financial reporting process may help in identifying the type, number, and monetary value of journal entries and other adjustments that typically are made in preparing the financial statements. For example, the auditor’s understanding may include the sources of significant debits and credits to an account, who can initiate entries to the general ledger or transaction processing systems, what approvals are required for such entries, and how journal entries are recorded (for example, entries may be initiated and recorded online with no physical evidence, or may be created in paper form and entered in batch mode).

.60 An entity may have implemented specific controls over journal entries and other adjustments. For example, an entity may use journal entries that are preformatted with account numbers and specific user approval criteria, and may have automated controls to generate an exception report for any entries that were unsuccessfully proposed for recording or entries that were recorded and processed outside of established parameters. The auditor should obtain an understanding of the design of such controls over journal entries and other adjustments and determine whether they are suitably designed and have been placed in operation.

---

Section 319 requires the auditor to obtain an understanding of the automated and manual procedures an entity uses to prepare financial statements and related disclosures, and how misstatements may occur. This understanding includes (a) the procedures used to enter transaction totals into the general ledger; (b) the procedures used to initiate, record, and process journal entries in the general ledger; and (c) other procedures used to record recurring and nonrecurring adjustments to the financial statements.
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The auditor should use professional judgment in determining the nature, timing, and extent of the testing of journal entries and other adjustments. For purposes of identifying and selecting specific entries and other adjustments for testing, and determining the appropriate method of examining the underlying support for the items selected, the auditor should consider:

- The auditor’s assessment of the risk of material misstatement due to fraud. The presence of fraud risk factors or other conditions may help the auditor to identify specific classes of journal entries for testing and indicate the extent of testing necessary.

- The effectiveness of controls that have been implemented over journal entries and other adjustments. Effective controls over the preparation and posting of journal entries and adjustments may affect the extent of substantive testing necessary, provided that the auditor has tested the operating effectiveness of those controls. However, even though controls might be implemented and operating effectively, the auditor’s procedures for testing journal entries and other adjustments should include the identification and testing of specific items.

- The entity’s financial reporting process and the nature of the evidence that can be examined. The auditor’s procedures for testing journal entries and other adjustments will vary based on the nature of the financial reporting process. For many entities, routine processing of transactions involves a combination of manual and automated steps and procedures. Similarly, the processing of journal entries and other adjustments might involve both manual and automated procedures and controls. Regardless of the method, the auditor’s procedures should include selecting from the general ledger journal entries to be tested and examining support for those items. In addition, the auditor should be aware that journal entries and other adjustments might exist in either electronic or paper form. When information technology (IT) is used in the financial reporting process, journal entries and other adjustments might exist only in electronic form. Electronic evidence often requires extraction of the desired data by an auditor with IT knowledge and skills or the use of an IT specialist. In an IT environment, it may be necessary for the auditor to employ computer-assisted audit techniques (for example, report writers, software or data extraction tools, or other systems-based techniques) to identify the journal entries and other adjustments to be tested.

- The characteristics of fraudulent entries or adjustments. Inappropriate journal entries and other adjustments often have certain unique identifying characteristics. Such characteristics may include entries (a) made to unrelated, unusual, or seldom-used accounts, (b) made by individuals who typically do not make journal entries, (c) recorded at the end of the period or as post-closing entries that have little or no explanation or description, (d) made either before or during the preparation of the financial statements that do not have account numbers, or (e) containing round numbers or a consistent ending number.

- The nature and complexity of the accounts. Inappropriate journal entries or adjustments may be applied to accounts that (a) contain transactions that are complex or unusual in nature, (b) contain significant estimates and period-end adjustments, (c) have been prone to errors in the past, (d) have not been reconciled on a timely basis or contain unreconciled differences, (e) contain intercompany transactions,
or (f) are otherwise associated with an identified risk of material misstatement due to fraud. The auditor should recognize, however, that inappropriate journal entries and adjustments also might be made to other accounts. In audits of entities that have several locations or components, the auditor should consider the need to select journal entries from locations based on the factors set forth in section 312.18.

- Journal entries or other adjustments processed outside the normal course of business. Standard journal entries used on a recurring basis to record transactions such as monthly sales, purchases, and cash disbursements, or to record recurring periodic accounting estimates generally are subject to the entity’s internal controls. Nonstandard entries (for example, entries used to record nonrecurring transactions, such as a business combination, or entries used to record a nonrecurring estimate, such as an asset impairment) might not be subject to the same level of internal control. In addition, other adjustments such as consolidating adjustments, report combinations, and reclassifications generally are not reflected in formal journal entries and might not be subject to the entity’s internal controls. Accordingly, the auditor should consider placing additional emphasis on identifying and testing items processed outside of the normal course of business.

.62 Because fraudulent journal entries often are made at the end of a reporting period, the auditor’s testing ordinarily should focus on the journal entries and other adjustments made at that time. However, because material misstatements in financial statements due to fraud can occur throughout the period and may involve extensive efforts to conceal how it is accomplished, the auditor should consider whether there also is a need to test journal entries throughout the period under audit.

.63 Reviewing accounting estimates for biases that could result in material misstatement due to fraud. In preparing financial statements, management is responsible for making a number of judgments or assumptions that affect significant accounting estimates and for monitoring the reasonableness of such estimates on an ongoing basis. Fraudulent financial reporting often is accomplished through intentional misstatement of accounting estimates. As discussed in section 312.36, the auditor should consider whether differences between estimates best supported by the audit evidence and the estimates included in the financial statements, even if they are individually reasonable, indicate a possible bias on the part of the entity’s management, in which case the auditor should reconsider the estimates taken as a whole.

.64 The auditor also should perform a retrospective review of significant accounting estimates reflected in the financial statements of the prior year to determine whether management judgments and assumptions relating to the estimates indicate a possible bias on the part of management. The significant accounting estimates selected for testing should include those that are based on highly sensitive assumptions or are otherwise significantly affected by judgments made by management. With the benefit of hindsight, a retrospective review should provide the auditor with additional information about whether there may be a possible bias on the part of management in making the current-year estimates. This review, however, is not intended to call into question the auditor’s professional judgments made in the prior year that were based on information available at the time.

24 See section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates, paragraphs .02 and .16, for a definition of accounting estimates and a listing of examples.
If the auditor identifies a possible bias on the part of management in making accounting estimates, the auditor should evaluate whether circumstances producing such a bias represent a risk of a material misstatement due to fraud. For example, information coming to the auditor’s attention may indicate a risk that adjustments to the current-year estimates might be recorded at the instruction of management to arbitrarily achieve a specified earnings target.

Evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions. During the course of the audit, the auditor may become aware of significant transactions that are outside the normal course of business for the entity, or that otherwise appear to be unusual given the auditor’s understanding of the entity and its environment. The auditor should gain an understanding of the business rationale for such transactions and whether that rationale (or the lack thereof) suggests that the transactions may have been entered into to engage in fraudulent financial reporting or conceal misappropriation of assets.

In understanding the business rationale for the transactions, the auditor should consider:

- Whether the form of such transactions is overly complex (for example, involves multiple entities within a consolidated group or unrelated third parties).
- Whether management has discussed the nature of and accounting for such transactions with the audit committee or board of directors.
- Whether management is placing more emphasis on the need for a particular accounting treatment than on the underlying economics of the transaction.
- Whether transactions that involve unconsolidated related parties, including special purpose entities, have been properly reviewed and approved by the audit committee or board of directors.
- Whether the transactions involve previously unidentified related parties or parties that do not have the substance or the financial strength to support the transaction without assistance from the entity under audit.

Evaluating Audit Evidence

Assessing risks of material misstatement due to fraud throughout the audit. The auditor’s assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud should be ongoing throughout the audit. Conditions may be identified during fieldwork that change or support a judgment regarding the assessment of the risks, such as the following:

- Discrepancies in the accounting records, including:
  - Transactions that are not recorded in a complete or timely manner or are improperly recorded as to amount, accounting period, classification, or entity policy
  - Unsupported or unauthorized balances or transactions
  - Last-minute adjustments that significantly affect financial results

Section 334, Related Parties, provides guidance with respect to the identification of related-party relationships and transactions, including transactions that may be outside the ordinary course of business (see, in particular, section 334.06).
— Evidence of employees’ access to systems and records inconsistent with that necessary to perform their authorized duties
— Tips or complaints to the auditor about alleged fraud

• Conflicting or missing evidential matter, including:
  — Missing documents
  — Documents that appear to have been altered26
  — Unavailability of other than photocopied or electronically transmitted documents when documents in original form are expected to exist
  — Significant unexplained items on reconciliations
  — Inconsistent, vague, or implausible responses from management or employees arising from inquiries or analytical procedures (See paragraph .72.)
  — Unusual discrepancies between the entity’s records and confirmation replies
  — Missing inventory or physical assets of significant magnitude
  — Unavailable or missing electronic evidence, inconsistent with the entity’s record retention practices or policies
  — Inability to produce evidence of key systems development and program change testing and implementation activities for current-year system changes and deployments

• Problematic or unusual relationships between the auditor and management, including:
  — Denial of access to records, facilities, certain employees, customers, vendors, or others from whom audit evidence might be sought27
  — Undue time pressures imposed by management to resolve complex or contentious issues
  — Complaints by management about the conduct of the audit or management intimidation of audit team members, particularly in connection with the auditor’s critical assessment of audit evidence or in the resolution of potential disagreements with management
  — Unusual delays by the entity in providing requested information
  — Unwillingness to facilitate auditor access to key electronic files for testing through the use of computer-assisted audit techniques
  — Denial of access to key IT operations staff and facilities, including security, operations, and systems development personnel
  — An unwillingness to add or revise disclosures in the financial statements to make them more complete and transparent

.69 Evaluating whether analytical procedures performed as substantive tests or in the overall review stage of the audit indicate a previously unrecognized risk of material misstatement due to fraud. As discussed in paragraphs .28 through .30, the auditor should consider whether analytical procedures performed in planning the audit result in identifying any unusual or unexpected relationships that should be considered

26 As discussed in paragraph .09, auditors are not trained as or expected to be experts in the authentication of documents; however, if the auditor believes that documents may not be authentic, he or she should investigate further and consider using the work of a specialist to determine the authenticity.

27 Denial of access to information may constitute a limitation on the scope of the audit that may require the auditor to consider qualifying or disclaiming an opinion on the financial statements. (See section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .24.)
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in assessing the risks of material misstatement due to fraud. The auditor also should evaluate whether analytical procedures that were performed as substantive tests or in the overall review stage of the audit (see section 329) indicate a previously unrecognized risk of material misstatement due to fraud.

.70 If not already performed during the overall review stage of the audit, the auditor should perform analytical procedures relating to revenue, as discussed in paragraph .29, through the end of the reporting period.

.71 Determining which particular trends and relationships may indicate a risk of material misstatement due to fraud requires professional judgment. Unusual relationships involving year-end revenue and income often are particularly relevant. These might include, for example, (a) uncharacteristically large amounts of income being reported in the last week or two of the reporting period from unusual transactions, as well as (b) income that is inconsistent with trends in cash flow from operations.

.72 Some unusual or unexpected analytical relationships may have been identified and may indicate a risk of material misstatement due to fraud because management or employees generally are unable to manipulate certain information to create seemingly normal or expected relationships. Some examples are as follows:

- The relationship of net income to cash flows from operations may appear unusual because management recorded fictitious revenues and receivables but was unable to manipulate cash.

- Changes in inventory, accounts payable, sales, or cost of sales from the prior period to the current period may be inconsistent, indicating a possible employee theft of inventory, because the employee was unable to manipulate all of the related accounts.

- A comparison of the entity’s profitability to industry trends, which management cannot manipulate, may indicate trends or differences for further consideration when identifying risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

- A comparison of bad debt write-offs to comparable industry data, which employees cannot manipulate, may provide unexplained relationships that could indicate a possible theft of cash receipts.

- An unexpected or unexplained relationship between sales volume as determined from the accounting records and production statistics maintained by operations personnel—which may be more difficult for management to manipulate—may indicate a possible misstatement of sales.

.73 The auditor also should consider whether responses to inquiries throughout the audit about analytical relationships have been vague or implausible, or have produced evidence that is inconsistent with other evidential matter accumulated during the audit.

.74 Evaluating the risks of material misstatement due to fraud at or near the completion of fieldwork. At or near the completion of fieldwork, the auditor should evaluate whether the accumulated results of auditing procedures and other observations (for example, conditions and analytical relationships noted in paragraphs .69 through .73) affect the assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud made earlier in the audit. This evaluation primarily is a qualitative matter based on the auditor’s judgment.
Such an evaluation may provide further insight about the risks of material misstatement due to fraud and whether there is a need to perform additional or different audit procedures. As part of this evaluation, the auditor with final responsibility for the audit should ascertain that there has been appropriate communication with the other audit team members throughout the audit regarding information or conditions indicative of risks of material misstatement due to fraud.28

.75 Responding to misstatements that may be the result of fraud.
When audit test results identify misstatements in the financial statements, the auditor should consider whether such misstatements may be indicative of fraud.29 That determination affects the auditor’s evaluation of materiality and the related responses necessary as a result of that evaluation.30

.76 If the auditor believes that misstatements are or may be the result of fraud, but the effect of the misstatements is not material to the financial statements, the auditor nevertheless should evaluate the implications, especially those dealing with the organizational position of the person(s) involved. For example, fraud involving misappropriations of cash from a small petty cash fund normally would be of little significance to the auditor in assessing the risk of material misstatement due to fraud because both the manner of operating the fund and its size would tend to establish a limit on the amount of potential loss, and the custodianship of such funds normally is entrusted to a nonmanagement employee.31 Conversely, if the matter involves higher-level management, even though the amount itself is not material to the financial statements, it may be indicative of a more pervasive problem, for example, implications about the integrity of management.32 In such circumstances, the auditor should reevaluate the assessment of the risk of material misstatement due to fraud and its resulting impact on (a) the nature, timing, and extent of the tests of balances or transactions and (b) the assessment of the effectiveness of controls if control risk was assessed below the maximum.

.77 If the auditor believes that the misstatement is or may be the result of fraud, and either has determined that the effect could be material to the financial statements or has been unable to evaluate whether the effect is material, the auditor should:

a. Attempt to obtain additional evidential matter to determine whether material fraud has occurred or is likely to have occurred, and, if so, its effect on the financial statements and the auditor’s report thereon.33

---

28 To accomplish this communication, the auditor with final responsibility for the audit may want to arrange another discussion among audit team members about the risks of material misstatement due to fraud (see paragraphs .14 through .18).
29 See footnote 4.
30 Section 312.34 states in part, “Qualitative considerations also influence the auditor in reaching a conclusion as to whether misstatements are material.” Section 312.11 states, “As a result of the interaction of quantitative and qualitative considerations in materiality judgments, misstatements of relatively small amounts that come to the auditor’s attention could have a material effect on the financial statements.”
31 However, see paragraphs .79 through .82 of this section for a discussion of the auditor’s communication responsibilities.
32 Section 312.08 states that there is a distinction between the auditor’s response to detected misstatements due to error and those due to fraud. When fraud is detected, the auditor should consider the implications for the integrity of management or employees and the possible effect on other aspects of the audit.
33 See section 508 for guidance on auditors’ reports issued in connection with audits of financial statements.
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b. Consider the implications for other aspects of the audit (see paragraph .76).

c. Discuss the matter and the approach for further investigation with an appropriate level of management that is at least one level above those involved, and with senior management and the audit committee.\textsuperscript{34}

d. If appropriate, suggest that the client consult with legal counsel.

.78 The auditor’s consideration of the risks of material misstatement and the results of audit tests may indicate such a significant risk of material misstatement due to fraud that the auditor should consider withdrawing from the engagement and communicating the reasons for withdrawal to the audit committee or others with equivalent authority and responsibility.\textsuperscript{35} Whether the auditor concludes that withdrawal from the engagement is appropriate may depend on (a) the implications about the integrity of management and (b) the diligence and cooperation of management or the board of directors in investigating the circumstances and taking appropriate action. Because of the variety of circumstances that may arise, it is not possible to definitively describe when withdrawal is appropriate.\textsuperscript{36} The auditor may wish to consult with legal counsel when considering withdrawal from an engagement.

Communicating About Possible Fraud to Management, the Audit Committee, and Others\textsuperscript{37}

.79 Whenever the auditor has determined that there is evidence that fraud may exist, that matter should be brought to the attention of an appropriate level of management. This is appropriate even if the matter might be considered inconsequential, such as a minor defalcation by an employee at a low level in the entity’s organization. Fraud involving senior management and fraud (whether caused by senior management or other employees) that causes a material misstatement of the financial statements should be reported directly to the audit committee. In addition, the auditor should reach an understanding with the audit committee regarding the nature and extent of communications with the committee about misappropriations perpetrated by lower-level employees.

.80 If the auditor, as a result of the assessment of the risks of material misstatement, has identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud that have continuing control implications (whether or not transactions or adjustments that could be the result of fraud have been detected), the auditor should

\textsuperscript{34} If the auditor believes senior management may be involved, discussion of the matter directly with the audit committee may be appropriate.

\textsuperscript{35} See footnote 11.

\textsuperscript{36} If the auditor, subsequent to the date of the report on the audited financial statements, becomes aware that facts existed at that date that might have affected the report had the auditor been aware of such facts, the auditor should refer to section 561, Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report, for guidance. Furthermore, section 315, Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors, paragraphs .21 and .22, provide guidance regarding communication with a predecessor auditor.

\textsuperscript{37} The requirements to communicate noted in paragraphs .79 through .82 extend to any intentional misstatement of financial statements (see paragraph .03). However, the communication may use terms other than fraud—for example, irregularity, intentional misstatement, misappropriation, or defalcations—if there is possible confusion with a legal definition of fraud or other reason to prefer alternative terms.
consider whether these risks represent reportable conditions relating to the entity’s internal control that should be communicated to senior management and the audit committee. (See section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit, paragraph .04). The auditor also should consider whether the absence of or deficiencies in programs and controls to mitigate specific risks of fraud or to otherwise help prevent, deter, and detect fraud (see paragraph .44) represent reportable conditions that should be communicated to senior management and the audit committee.

.81 The auditor also may wish to communicate other risks of fraud identified as a result of the assessment of the risks of material misstatements due to fraud. Such a communication may be a part of an overall communication to the audit committee of business and financial statement risks affecting the entity and/or in conjunction with the auditor communication about the quality of the entity’s accounting principles (see section 380.11).

.82 The disclosure of possible fraud to parties other than the client’s senior management and its audit committee ordinarily is not part of the auditor’s responsibility and ordinarily would be precluded by the auditor’s ethical or legal obligations of confidentiality unless the matter is reflected in the auditor’s report. The auditor should recognize, however, that in the following circumstances a duty to disclose to parties outside the entity may exist:

a. To comply with certain legal and regulatory requirements
b. To a successor auditor when the successor makes inquiries in accordance with section 315, Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors

c. In response to a subpoena
d. To a funding agency or other specified agency in accordance with requirements for the audits of entities that receive governmental financial assistance

Because potential conflicts between the auditor’s ethical and legal obligations for confidentiality of client matters may be complex, the auditor may wish to consult with legal counsel before discussing matters covered by paragraphs .79 through .81 with parties outside the client.

Documenting the Auditor’s Consideration of Fraud

.83 The auditor should document the following:

- The discussion among engagement personnel in planning the audit regarding the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatement due to fraud, including how and when the discussion occurred, the audit team members who participated, and the subject matter discussed (See paragraphs .14 through .17.)

---

38 Alternatively, the auditor may decide to communicate solely with the audit committee.
39 These requirements include reports in connection with the termination of the engagement, such as when the entity reports an auditor change on Form 8-K and the fraud or related risk factors constitute a reportable event or is the source of a disagreement, as these terms are defined in Item 304 of Regulation S-K. These requirements also include reports that may be required, under certain circumstances, pursuant to Section 10A(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 relating to an illegal act that has a material effect on the financial statements.
40 Section 315 requires the specific permission of the client.
41 For example, Government Auditing Standards (the Yellow Book) require auditors to report fraud or illegal acts directly to parties outside the audited entity in certain circumstances.
• The procedures performed to obtain information necessary to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement due to fraud (See paragraphs .19 through .34.)

• Specific risks of material misstatement due to fraud that were identified (see paragraphs .35 through .45), and a description of the auditor’s response to those risks (See paragraphs .46 through .56.)

• If the auditor has not identified in a particular circumstance, improper revenue recognition as a risk of material misstatement due to fraud, the reasons supporting the auditor’s conclusion (See paragraph .41.)

• The results of the procedures performed to further address the risk of management override of controls (See paragraphs .58 through .67.)

• Other conditions and analytical relationships that caused the auditor to believe that additional auditing procedures or other responses were required and any further responses the auditor concluded were appropriate, to address such risks or other conditions (See paragraphs .68 through .73.)

• The nature of the communications about fraud made to management, the audit committee, and others (See paragraphs .79 through .82.)

Effective Date

.84 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2002. Early application of the provisions of this section is permissible.
Appendix

Examples of Fraud Risk Factors

A.1 This appendix contains examples of risk factors discussed in paragraphs .31 through .33 of the section. Separately presented are examples relating to the two types of fraud relevant to the auditor’s consideration—that is, fraudulent financial reporting and misappropriation of assets. For each of these types of fraud, the risk factors are further classified based on the three conditions generally present when material misstatements due to fraud occur: (a) incentives/pressures, (b) opportunities, and (c) attitudes/rationalizations. Although the risk factors cover a broad range of situations, they are only examples and, accordingly, the auditor may wish to consider additional or different risk factors. Not all of these examples are relevant in all circumstances, and some may be of greater or lesser significance in entities of different size or with different ownership characteristics or circumstances. Also, the order of the examples of risk factors provided is not intended to reflect their relative importance or frequency of occurrence.

Risk Factors Relating to Misstatements Arising From Fraudulent Financial Reporting

A.2 The following are examples of risk factors relating to misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting.

Incentives/Pressures

a. Financial stability or profitability is threatened by economic, industry, or entity operating conditions, such as (or as indicated by):
   — High degree of competition or market saturation, accompanied by declining margins
   — High vulnerability to rapid changes, such as changes in technology, product obsolescence, or interest rates
   — Significant declines in customer demand and increasing business failures in either the industry or overall economy
   — Operating losses making the threat of bankruptcy, foreclosure, or hostile takeover imminent
   — Recurring negative cash flows from operations or an inability to generate cash flows from operations while reporting earnings and earnings growth
   — Rapid growth or unusual profitability, especially compared to that of other companies in the same industry
   — New accounting, statutory, or regulatory requirements

b. Excessive pressure exists for management to meet the requirements or expectations of third parties due to the following:
   — Profitability or trend level expectations of investment analysts, institutional investors, significant creditors, or other external parties (particularly expectations that are unduly aggressive or unrealistic), including expectations created by management in, for example, overly optimistic press releases or annual report messages

AU §316.85 Copyright © 2002, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit

Need to obtain additional debt or equity financing to stay competitive—including financing of major research and development or capital expenditures

Marginal ability to meet exchange listing requirements or debt repayment or other debt covenant requirements

Perceived or real adverse effects of reporting poor financial results on significant pending transactions, such as business combinations or contract awards

c. Information available indicates that management or the board of directors’ personal financial situation is threatened by the entity’s financial performance arising from the following:

   — Significant financial interests in the entity
   — Significant portions of their compensation (for example, bonuses, stock options, and earn-out arrangements) being contingent upon achieving aggressive targets for stock price, operating results, financial position, or cash flow\(^1\)
   — Personal guarantees of debts of the entity

d. There is excessive pressure on management or operating personnel to meet financial targets set up by the board of directors or management, including sales or profitability incentive goals.

Opportunities

a. The nature of the industry or the entity’s operations provides opportunities to engage in fraudulent financial reporting that can arise from the following:

   — Significant related-party transactions not in the ordinary course of business or with related entities not audited or audited by another firm
   — A strong financial presence or ability to dominate a certain industry sector that allows the entity to dictate terms or conditions to suppliers or customers that may result in inappropriate or non-arm’s-length transactions
   — Assets, liabilities, revenues, or expenses based on significant estimates that involve subjective judgments or uncertainties that are difficult to corroborate
   — Significant, unusual, or highly complex transactions, especially those close to period end that pose difficult “substance over form” questions
   — Significant operations located or conducted across international borders in jurisdictions where differing business environments and cultures exist
   — Significant bank accounts or subsidiary or branch operations in tax-haven jurisdictions for which there appears to be no clear business justification

---

\(^1\) Management incentive plans may be contingent upon achieving targets relating only to certain accounts or selected activities of the entity, even though the related accounts or activities may not be material to the entity as a whole.
b. There is ineffective monitoring of management as a result of the following:
   — Domination of management by a single person or small group (in a nonowner-managed business) without compensating controls
   — Ineffective board of directors or audit committee oversight over the financial reporting process and internal control

c. There is a complex or unstable organizational structure, as evidenced by the following:
   — Difficulty in determining the organization or individuals that have controlling interest in the entity
   — Overly complex organizational structure involving unusual legal entities or managerial lines of authority
   — High turnover of senior management, counsel, or board members

d. Internal control components are deficient as a result of the following:
   — Inadequate monitoring of controls, including automated controls and controls over interim financial reporting (where external reporting is required)
   — High turnover rates or employment of ineffective accounting, internal audit, or information technology staff
   — Ineffective accounting and information systems, including situations involving reportable conditions

Attitudes/Rationalizations
Risk factors reflective of attitudes/rationalizations by board members, management, or employees, that allow them to engage in and/or justify fraudulent financial reporting, may not be susceptible to observation by the auditor. Nevertheless, the auditor who becomes aware of the existence of such information should consider it in identifying the risks of material misstatement arising from fraudulent financial reporting. For example, auditors may become aware of the following information that may indicate a risk factor:

- Ineffective communication, implementation, support, or enforcement of the entity’s values or ethical standards by management or the communication of inappropriate values or ethical standards
- Nonfinancial management’s excessive participation in or preoccupation with the selection of accounting principles or the determination of significant estimates
- Known history of violations of securities laws or other laws and regulations, or claims against the entity, its senior management, or board members alleging fraud or violations of laws and regulations
- Excessive interest by management in maintaining or increasing the entity’s stock price or earnings trend
- A practice by management of committing to analysts, creditors, and other third parties to achieve aggressive or unrealistic forecasts
- Management failing to correct known reportable conditions on a timely basis
- An interest by management in employing inappropriate means to minimize reported earnings for tax-motivated reasons
- Recurring attempts by management to justify marginal or inappropriate accounting on the basis of materiality
The relationship between management and the current or predecessor auditor is strained, as exhibited by the following:

- Frequent disputes with the current or predecessor auditor on accounting, auditing, or reporting matters
- Unreasonable demands on the auditor, such as unreasonable time constraints regarding the completion of the audit or the issuance of the auditor’s report
- Formal or informal restrictions on the auditor that inappropriately limit access to people or information or the ability to communicate effectively with the board of directors or audit committee
- Domineering management behavior in dealing with the auditor, especially involving attempts to influence the scope of the auditor’s work or the selection or continuance of personnel assigned to or consulted on the audit engagement

Risk Factors Relating to Misstatements Arising From Misappropriation of Assets

A.3 Risk factors that relate to misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets are also classified according to the three conditions generally present when fraud exists: incentives/pressures, opportunities, and attitudes/rationalizations. Some of the risk factors related to misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting also may be present when misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets occur. For example, ineffective monitoring of management and weaknesses in internal control may be present when misstatements due to either fraudulent financial reporting or misappropriation of assets exist. The following are examples of risk factors related to misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets.

Incentives/Pressures

a. Personal financial obligations may create pressure on management or employees with access to cash or other assets susceptible to theft to misappropriate those assets.

b. Adverse relationships between the entity and employees with access to cash or other assets susceptible to theft may motivate those employees to misappropriate those assets. For example, adverse relationships may be created by the following:
   - Known or anticipated future employee layoffs
   - Recent or anticipated changes to employee compensation or benefit plans
   - Promotions, compensation, or other rewards inconsistent with expectations

Opportunities

a. Certain characteristics or circumstances may increase the susceptibility of assets to misappropriation. For example, opportunities to misappropriate assets increase when there are the following:
   - Large amounts of cash on hand or processed
   - Inventory items that are small in size, of high value, or in high demand
Easily convertible assets, such as bearer bonds, diamonds, or computer chips

Fixed assets that are small in size, marketable, or lacking observable identification of ownership

\[b.\] Inadequate internal control over assets may increase the susceptibility of misappropriation of those assets. For example, misappropriation of assets may occur because there is the following:

- Inadequate segregation of duties or independent checks
- Inadequate management oversight of employees responsible for assets, for example, inadequate supervision or monitoring of remote locations
- Inadequate job applicant screening of employees with access to assets
- Inadequate recordkeeping with respect to assets
- Inadequate system of authorization and approval of transactions (for example, in purchasing)
- Inadequate physical safeguards over cash, investments, inventory, or fixed assets
- Lack of complete and timely reconciliations of assets
- Lack of timely and appropriate documentation of transactions, for example, credits for merchandise returns
- Lack of mandatory vacations for employees performing key control functions
- Inadequate management understanding of information technology, which enables information technology employees to perpetrate a misappropriation
- Inadequate access controls over automated records, including controls over and review of computer systems event logs.

**Attitudes/Rationalizations**

Risk factors reflective of employee attitudes/rationalizations that allow them to justify misappropriations of assets, are generally not susceptible to observation by the auditor. Nevertheless, the auditor who becomes aware of the existence of such information should consider it in identifying the risks of material misstatement arising from misappropriation of assets. For example, auditors may become aware of the following attitudes or behavior of employees who have access to assets susceptible to misappropriation:

- Disregard for the need for monitoring or reducing risks related to misappropriations of assets
- Disregard for internal control over misappropriation of assets by overriding existing controls or by failing to correct known internal control deficiencies
- Behavior indicating displeasure or dissatisfaction with the company or its treatment of the employee
- Changes in behavior or lifestyle that may indicate assets have been misappropriated
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Exhibit

Management Antifraud Programs and Controls

Guidance to Help Prevent, Deter, and Detect Fraud

(This exhibit is reprinted for the reader’s convenience but is not an integral part of the section.)
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This document was commissioned by the Fraud Task Force of the AICPA’s Auditing Standards Board. This document has not been adopted, approved, disapproved, or otherwise acted upon by a board, committee, governing body, or membership of the above issuing organizations.
Some organizations have significantly lower levels of misappropriation of assets and are less susceptible to fraudulent financial reporting than other organizations because these organizations take proactive steps to prevent or deter fraud. It is only those organizations that seriously consider fraud risks and take proactive steps to create the right kind of climate to reduce its occurrence that have success in preventing fraud. This document identifies the key participants in this antifraud effort, including the board of directors, management, internal and independent auditors, and certified fraud examiners.

Management may develop and implement some of these programs and controls in response to specific identified risks of material misstatement of financial statements due to fraud. In other cases, these programs and controls may be a part of the entity’s enterprise-wide risk management activities.

Management is responsible for designing and implementing systems and procedures for the prevention and detection of fraud and, along with the board of directors, for ensuring a culture and environment that promotes honesty and ethical behavior. However, because of the characteristics of fraud, a material misstatement of financial statements due to fraud may occur notwithstanding the presence of programs and controls such as those described in this document.
Introduction

Fraud can range from minor employee theft and unproductive behavior to misappropriation of assets and fraudulent financial reporting. Material financial statement fraud can have a significant adverse effect on an entity's market value, reputation, and ability to achieve its strategic objectives. A number of highly publicized cases have heightened the awareness of the effects of fraudulent financial reporting and have led many organizations to be more proactive in taking steps to prevent or deter its occurrence. Misappropriation of assets, though often not material to the financial statements, can nonetheless result in substantial losses to an entity if a dishonest employee has the incentive and opportunity to commit fraud.

The risk of fraud can be reduced through a combination of prevention, deterrence, and detection measures. However, fraud can be difficult to detect because it often involves concealment through falsification of documents or collusion among management, employees, or third parties. Therefore, it is important to place a strong emphasis on fraud prevention, which may reduce opportunities for fraud to take place, and fraud deterrence, which could persuade individuals that they should not commit fraud because of the likelihood of detection and punishment. Moreover, prevention and deterrence measures are much less costly than the time and expense required for fraud detection and investigation.

An entity’s management has both the responsibility and the means to implement measures to reduce the incidence of fraud. The measures an organization takes to prevent and deter fraud also can help create a positive workplace environment that can enhance the entity’s ability to recruit and retain high-quality employees.

Research suggests that the most effective way to implement measures to reduce wrongdoing is to base them on a set of core values that are embraced by the entity. These values provide an overarching message about the key principles guiding all employees’ actions. This provides a platform upon which a more detailed code of conduct can be constructed, giving more specific guidance about permitted and prohibited behavior, based on applicable laws and the organization’s values. Management needs to clearly articulate that all employees will be held accountable to act within the organization’s code of conduct.

This document identifies measures entities can implement to prevent, deter, and detect fraud. It discusses these measures in the context of three fundamental elements. Broadly stated, these fundamental elements are (1) create and maintain a culture of honesty and high ethics; (2) evaluate the risks of fraud and implement the processes, procedures, and controls needed to mitigate the risks and reduce the opportunities for fraud; and (3) develop an appropriate oversight process. Although the entire management team shares the responsibility for implementing and monitoring these activities, with oversight from the board of directors, the entity’s chief executive officer (CEO) should initiate and support such measures. Without the CEO’s active support, these measures are less likely to be effective.

The information presented in this document generally is applicable to entities of all sizes. However, the degree to which certain programs and controls are applied in smaller, less-complex entities and the formality of their application are likely to differ from larger organizations. For example, management of a smaller entity (or the owner of an owner-managed entity), along with those
charged with governance of the financial reporting process, are responsible for creating a culture of honesty and high ethics. Management also is responsible for implementing a system of internal controls commensurate with the nature and size of the organization, but smaller entities may find that certain types of control activities are not relevant because of the involvement of and controls applied by management. However, all entities must make it clear that unethical or dishonest behavior will not be tolerated.

Creating a Culture of Honesty and High Ethics

It is the organization's responsibility to create a culture of honesty and high ethics and to clearly communicate acceptable behavior and expectations of each employee. Such a culture is rooted in a strong set of core values (or value system) that provides the foundation for employees as to how the organization conducts its business. It also allows an entity to develop an ethical framework that covers (1) fraudulent financial reporting, (2) misappropriation of assets, and (3) corruption as well as other issues.

Creating a culture of honesty and high ethics should include the following.

Setting the Tone at the Top

Directors and officers of corporations set the “tone at the top” for ethical behavior within any organization. Research in moral development strongly suggests that honesty can best be reinforced when a proper example is set—sometimes referred to as the tone at the top. The management of an entity cannot act one way and expect others in the entity to behave differently. In many cases, particularly in larger organizations, it is necessary for management to both behave ethically and openly communicate its expectations for ethical behavior because most employees are not in a position to observe management's actions. Management must show employees through its words and actions that dishonest or unethical behavior will not be tolerated, even if the result of the action benefits the entity. Moreover, it should be evident that all employees will be treated equally, regardless of their position.

For example, statements by management regarding the absolute need to meet operating and financial targets can create undue pressures that may lead employees to commit fraud to achieve them. Setting unachievable goals for employees can give them two unattractive choices: fail or cheat. In contrast, a statement from management that says, “We are aggressive in pursuing our targets, while requiring truthful financial reporting at all times,” clearly indicates to employees that integrity is a requirement. This message also conveys that the entity has “zero tolerance” for unethical behavior, including fraudulent financial reporting.

The cornerstone of an effective antifraud environment is a culture with a strong value system founded on integrity. This value system often is reflected in a code of conduct. The code of conduct should reflect the core values of the entity and guide employees in making appropriate decisions during their workday. The code of conduct might include such topics as ethics, confidentiality,
Conflicts of interest, intellectual property, sexual harassment, and fraud. For a code of conduct to be effective, it should be communicated to all personnel in an understandable fashion. It also should be developed in a participatory and positive manner that will result in both management and employees taking ownership of its content. Finally, the code of conduct should be included in an employee handbook or policy manual, or in some other formal document or location (for example, the entity’s intranet) so it can be referred to when needed.

Senior financial officers hold an important and elevated role in corporate governance. While members of the management team, they are uniquely capable and empowered to ensure that all stakeholders’ interests are appropriately balanced, protected, and preserved. For examples of codes of conduct, see Attachment 1, “AICPA CPA’s Handbook of Fraud and Commercial Crime Prevention,” An Organizational Code of Conduct,” and Attachment 2, “Financial Executives International Code of Ethics Statement” provided by Financial Executives International. In addition, visit the Institute of Management Accountant’s Ethics Center at www.imanet.org for their members’ standards of ethical conduct.

Creating a Positive Workplace Environment

Research results indicate that wrongdoing occurs less frequently when employees have positive feelings about an entity than when they feel abused, threatened, or ignored. Without a positive workplace environment, there are more opportunities for poor employee morale, which can affect an employee’s attitude about committing fraud against an entity. Factors that detract from a positive work environment and may increase the risk of fraud include:

- Top management that does not seem to care about or reward appropriate behavior
- Negative feedback and lack of recognition for job performance
- Perceived inequities in the organization
- Autocratic rather than participative management
- Low organizational loyalty or feelings of ownership
- Unreasonable budget expectations or other financial targets
- Fear of delivering “bad news” to supervisors and/or management
- Less-than-competitive compensation
- Poor training and promotion opportunities
- Lack of clear organizational responsibilities
- Poor communication practices or methods within the organization

The entity’s human resources department often is instrumental in helping to build a corporate culture and a positive work environment. Human resource professionals are responsible for implementing specific programs and initiatives, consistent with management’s strategies, that can help to mitigate many
of the detractors mentioned above. Mitigating factors that help create a positive work environment and reduce the risk of fraud may include:

- Recognition and reward systems that are in tandem with goals and results
- Equal employment opportunities
- Team-oriented, collaborative decision-making policies
- Professionally administered compensation programs
- Professionally administered training programs and an organizational priority of career development

Employees should be empowered to help create a positive workplace environment and support the entity’s values and code of conduct. They should be given the opportunity to provide input to the development and updating of the entity’s code of conduct, to ensure that it is relevant, clear, and fair. Involving employees in this fashion also may effectively contribute to the oversight of the entity’s code of conduct and an environment of ethical behavior (see the section titled “Developing an Appropriate Oversight Process”).

Employees should be given the means to obtain advice internally before making decisions that appear to have significant legal or ethical implications. They should also be encouraged and given the means to communicate concerns, anonymously if preferred, about potential violations of the entity’s code of conduct, without fear of retribution. Many organizations have implemented a process for employees to report on a confidential basis any actual or suspected wrongdoing, or potential violations of the code of conduct or ethics policy. For example, some organizations use a telephone “hotline” that is directed to or monitored by an ethics officer, fraud officer, general counsel, internal audit director, or another trusted individual responsible for investigating and reporting incidents of fraud or illegal acts.

**Hiring and Promoting Appropriate Employees**

Each employee has a unique set of values and personal code of ethics. When faced with sufficient pressure and a perceived opportunity, some employees will behave dishonestly rather than face the negative consequences of honest behavior. The threshold at which dishonest behavior starts, however, will vary among individuals. If an entity is to be successful in preventing fraud, it must have effective policies that minimize the chance of hiring or promoting individuals with low levels of honesty, especially for positions of trust.

Proactive hiring and promotion procedures may include:

- Conducting background investigations on individuals being considered for employment or for promotion to a position of trust
- Thoroughly checking a candidate’s education, employment history, and personal references
- Periodic training of all employees about the entity’s values and code of conduct, (training is addressed in the following section)
- Incorporating into regular performance reviews an evaluation of how each individual has contributed to creating an appropriate workplace environment in line with the entity’s values and code of conduct

---

4 Some organizations also have considered follow-up investigations, particularly for employees in positions of trust, on a periodic basis (for example, every five years) or as circumstances dictate.
Continuous objective evaluation of compliance with the entity’s values and code of conduct, with violations being addressed immediately

**Training**

New employees should be trained at the time of hiring about the entity’s values and its code of conduct. This training should explicitly cover expectations of all employees regarding (1) their duty to communicate certain matters; (2) a list of the types of matters, including actual or suspected fraud, to be communicated along with specific examples; and (3) information on how to communicate those matters. There also should be an affirmation from senior management regarding employee expectations and communication responsibilities. Such training should include an element of “fraud awareness,” the tone of which should be positive but nonetheless stress that fraud can be costly (and detrimental in other ways) to the entity and its employees.

In addition to training at the time of hiring, employees should receive refresher training periodically thereafter. Some organizations may consider ongoing training for certain positions, such as purchasing agents or employees with financial reporting responsibilities. Training should be specific to an employee’s level within the organization, geographic location, and assigned responsibilities. For example, training for senior manager level personnel would normally be different from that of nonsupervisory employees, and training for purchasing agents would be different from that of sales representatives.

**Confirmation**

Management needs to clearly articulate that all employees will be held accountable to act within the entity’s code of conduct. All employees within senior management and the finance function, as well as other employees in areas that might be exposed to unethical behavior (for example, procurement, sales and marketing) should be required to sign a code of conduct statement annually, at a minimum.

Requiring periodic confirmation by employees of their responsibilities will not only reinforce the policy but may also deter individuals from committing fraud and other violations and might identify problems before they become significant. Such confirmation may include statements that the individual understands the entity’s expectations, has complied with the code of conduct, and is not aware of any violations of the code of conduct other than those the individual lists in his or her response. Although people with low integrity may not hesitate to sign a false confirmation, most people will want to avoid making a false statement in writing. Honest individuals are more likely to return their confirmations and to disclose what they know (including any conflicts of interest or other personal exceptions to the code of conduct). Thorough follow-up by internal auditors or others regarding nonreplies may uncover significant issues.

**Discipline**

The way an entity reacts to incidents of alleged or suspected fraud will send a strong deterrent message throughout the entity, helping to reduce the number of future occurrences. The following actions should be taken in response to an alleged incident of fraud:

---
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A thorough investigation of the incident should be conducted. Appropriate and consistent actions should be taken against violators. Relevant controls should be assessed and improved. Communication and training should occur to reinforce the entity's values, code of conduct, and expectations.

Expectations about the consequences of committing fraud must be clearly communicated throughout the entity. For example, a strong statement from management that dishonest actions will not be tolerated, and that violators may be terminated and referred to the appropriate authorities, clearly establishes consequences and can be a valuable deterrent to wrongdoing. If wrongdoing occurs and an employee is disciplined, it can be helpful to communicate that fact, on a no-name basis, in an employee newsletter or other regular communication to employees. Seeing that other people have been disciplined for wrongdoing can be an effective deterrent, increasing the perceived likelihood of violators being caught and punished. It also can demonstrate that the entity is committed to an environment of high ethical standards and integrity.

Evaluating Antifraud Processes and Controls

Neither fraudulent financial reporting nor misappropriation of assets can occur without a perceived opportunity to commit and conceal the act. Organizations should be proactive in reducing fraud opportunities by (1) identifying and measuring fraud risks, (2) taking steps to mitigate identified risks, and (3) implementing and monitoring appropriate preventive and detective internal controls and other deterrent measures.

Identifying and Measuring Fraud Risks

Management has primary responsibility for establishing and monitoring all aspects of the entity's fraud risk-assessment and prevention activities. Fraud risks often are considered as part of an enterprise-wide risk management program, though they may be addressed separately. The fraud risk-assessment process should consider the vulnerability of the entity to fraudulent activity (fraudulent financial reporting, misappropriation of assets, and corruption) and whether any of those exposures could result in a material misstatement of the financial statements or material loss to the organization. In identifying fraud risks, organizations should consider organizational, industry, and country-specific characteristics that influence the risk of fraud.

1 Many entities of sufficient size are employing antifraud professionals, such as certified fraud examiners, who are responsible for resolving allegations of fraud within the organization and who also assist in the detection and deterrence of fraud. These individuals typically report their findings internally to the corporate security, legal, or internal audit departments. In other instances, such individuals may be empowered directly by the board of directors or its audit committee.

2 Management may elect to have internal audit play an active role in the development, monitoring, and ongoing assessment of the entity's fraud risk-management program. This may include an active role in the development and communication of the entity's code of conduct or ethics policy, as well as in investigating actual or alleged instances of noncompliance.

3 Some organizations may perform a periodic self-assessment using questionnaires or other techniques to identify and measure risks. Self-assessment may be less reliable in identifying the risk of fraud due to a lack of experience with fraud (although many organizations experience some form of fraud and abuse, material financial statement fraud or misappropriation of assets is a rare event for most) and because management may be unwilling to acknowledge openly that they might commit fraud given sufficient pressure and opportunity.
The nature and extent of management’s risk assessment activities should be commensurate with the size of the entity and complexity of its operations. For example, the risk assessment process is likely to be less formal and less structured in smaller entities. However, management should recognize that fraud can occur in organizations of any size or type, and that almost any employee may be capable of committing fraud given the right set of circumstances. Accordingly, management should develop a heightened “fraud awareness” and an appropriate fraud risk-management program, with oversight from the board of directors or audit committee.

**Mitigating Fraud Risks**

It may be possible to reduce or eliminate certain fraud risks by making changes to the entity’s activities and processes. An entity may choose to sell certain segments of its operations, cease doing business in certain locations, or reorganize its business processes to eliminate unacceptable risks. For example, the risk of misappropriation of funds may be reduced by implementing a central lockbox at a bank to receive payments instead of receiving money at the entity’s various locations. The risk of corruption may be reduced by closely monitoring the entity’s procurement process. The risk of financial statement fraud may be reduced by implementing shared services centers to provide accounting services to multiple segments, affiliates, or geographic locations of an entity’s operations. A shared services center may be less vulnerable to influence by local operations managers and may be able to implement more extensive fraud detection measures cost-effectively.

**Implementing and Monitoring Appropriate Internal Controls**

Some risks are inherent in the environment of the entity, but most can be addressed with an appropriate system of internal control. Once fraud risk assessment has taken place, the entity can identify the processes, controls, and other procedures that are needed to mitigate the identified risks. Effective internal control will include a well-developed control environment, an effective and secure information system, and appropriate control and monitoring activities.\(^8\) Because of the importance of information technology in supporting operations and the processing of transactions, management also needs to implement and maintain appropriate controls, whether automated or manual, over computer-generated information.

In particular, management should evaluate whether appropriate internal controls have been implemented in any areas management has identified as posing a higher risk of fraudulent activity, as well as controls over the entity’s financial reporting process. Because fraudulent financial reporting may begin in an interim period, management also should evaluate the appropriateness of internal controls over interim financial reporting.

Fraudulent financial reporting by upper-level management typically involves override of internal controls within the financial reporting process. Because management has the ability to override controls, or to influence others to perpetrate or conceal fraud, the need for a strong value system and a culture of ethical financial reporting becomes increasingly important. This helps create an environment in which other employees will decline to participate in committing a

---

\(^8\) The report of the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission, *Internal Control—Integrated Framework*, provides reasonable criteria for management to use in evaluating the effectiveness of the entity’s system of internal control.
fraud and will use established communication procedures to report any requests to commit wrongdoing. The potential for management override also increases the need for appropriate oversight measures by the board of directors or audit committee, as discussed in the following section.

Fraudulent financial reporting by lower levels of management and employees may be deterred or detected by appropriate monitoring controls, such as having higher-level managers review and evaluate the financial results reported by individual operating units or subsidiaries. Unusual fluctuations in results of particular reporting units, or the lack of expected fluctuations, may indicate potential manipulation by departmental or operating unit managers or staff.

Developing an Appropriate Oversight Process

To effectively prevent or deter fraud, an entity should have an appropriate oversight function in place. Oversight can take many forms and can be performed by many within and outside the entity, under the overall oversight of the audit committee (or board of directors where no audit committee exists).

Audit Committee or Board of Directors

The audit committee (or the board of directors where no audit committee exists) should evaluate management’s identification of fraud risks, implementation of antifraud measures, and creation of the appropriate “tone at the top.” Active oversight by the audit committee can help to reinforce management’s commitment to creating a culture with “zero tolerance” for fraud. An entity’s audit committee also should ensure that senior management (in particular, the CEO) implements appropriate fraud deterrence and prevention measures to better protect investors, employees, and other stakeholders. The audit committee’s evaluation and oversight not only helps make sure that senior management fulfills its responsibility, but also can serve as a deterrent to senior management engaging in fraudulent activity (that is, by ensuring an environment is created whereby any attempt by senior management to involve employees in committing or concealing fraud would lead promptly to reports from such employees to appropriate persons, including the audit committee).

The audit committee also plays an important role in helping the board of directors fulfill its oversight responsibilities with respect to the entity’s financial reporting process and the system of internal control.9 In exercising this oversight responsibility, the audit committee should consider the potential for management override of controls or other inappropriate influence over the financial reporting process. For example, the audit committee may obtain from the internal auditors and independent auditors their views on management’s involvement in the financial reporting process and, in particular, the ability of management to override information processed by the entity’s financial reporting system (for example, the ability for management or others to initiate or record nonstandard journal entries). The audit committee also may consider reviewing the entity’s reported information for reasonableness compared with prior or forecasted results, as well as with peers or industry averages. In addition, information received in communications from the independent auditors10 can assist the audit committee in assessing the strength of the entity’s internal control and the potential for fraudulent financial reporting.

---


10 See section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit, and section 380, Communications With Audit Committees.
As part of its oversight responsibilities, the audit committee should encourage management to provide a mechanism for employees to report concerns about unethical behavior, actual or suspected fraud, or violations of the entity's code of conduct or ethics policy. The committee should then receive periodic reports describing the nature, status, and eventual disposition of any fraud or unethical conduct. A summary of the activity, follow-up and disposition also should be provided to the full board of directors.

If senior management is involved in fraud, the next layer of management may be the most likely to be aware of it. As a result, the audit committee (and other directors) should consider establishing an open line of communication with members of management one or two levels below senior management to assist in identifying fraud at the highest levels of the organization or investigating any fraudulent activity that might occur. The audit committee typically has the ability and authority to investigate any alleged or suspected wrongdoing brought to its attention. Most audit committee charters empower the committee to investigate any matters within the scope of its responsibilities, and to retain legal, accounting, and other professional advisers as needed to advise the committee and assist in its investigation.

All audit committee members should be financially literate, and each committee should have at least one financial expert. The financial expert should possess:

- An understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and audits of financial statements prepared under those principles. Such understanding may have been obtained either through education or experience. It is important for someone on the audit committee to have a working knowledge of those principles and standards.

- Experience in the preparation and/or the auditing of financial statements of an entity of similar size, scope and complexity as the entity on whose board the committee member serves. The experience would generally be as a chief financial officer, chief accounting officer, controller, or auditor of a similar entity. This background will provide a necessary understanding of the transactional and operational environment that produces the issuer's financial statements. It will also bring an understanding of what is involved in, for example, appropriate accounting estimates, accruals, and reserve provisions, and an appreciation of what is necessary to maintain a good internal control environment.

- Experience in internal governance and procedures of audit committees, obtained either as an audit committee member, a senior corporate manager responsible for answering to the audit committee, or an external auditor responsible for reporting on the execution and results of annual audits.

Management

Management is responsible for overseeing the activities carried out by employees, and typically does so by implementing and monitoring processes and controls such as those discussed previously. However, management also may initiate, participate in, or direct the commission and concealment of a fraudulent act. Accordingly, the audit committee (or the board of directors where no audit committee exists) has the responsibility to oversee the activities of senior management and to consider the risk of fraudulent financial reporting involving

---

the override of internal controls or collusion (see discussion on the audit committee and board of directors above).

Public companies should include a statement in the annual report acknowledging management’s responsibility for the preparation of the financial statements and for establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control. This will help improve the public’s understanding of the respective roles of management and the auditor. This statement has also been generally referred to as a “Management Report” or “Management Certificate.” Such a statement can provide a convenient vehicle for management to describe the nature and manner of preparation of the financial information and the adequacy of the internal accounting controls. Logically, the statement should be presented in close proximity to the formal financial statements. For example, it could appear near the independent auditor’s report, or in the financial review or management analysis section.

**Internal Auditors**

An effective internal audit team can be extremely helpful in performing aspects of the oversight function. Their knowledge about the entity may enable them to identify indicators that suggest fraud has been committed. The *Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing* (IIA Standards), issued by the Institute of Internal Auditors, state, “The internal auditor should have sufficient knowledge to identify the indicators of fraud but is not expected to have the expertise of a person whose primary responsibility is detecting and investigating fraud.” Internal auditors also have the opportunity to evaluate fraud risks and controls and to recommend action to mitigate risks and improve controls. Specifically, the IIA Standards require internal auditors to assess risks facing their organizations. This risk assessment is to serve as the basis from which audit plans are devised and against which internal controls are tested. The IIA Standards require the audit plan to be presented to and approved by the audit committee (or board of directors where no audit committee exists). The work completed as a result of the audit plan provides assurance on which management’s assertion about controls can be made.

Internal audits can be both a detection and a deterrence measure. Internal auditors can assist in the deterrence of fraud by examining and evaluating the adequacy and the effectiveness of the system of internal control, commensurate with the extent of the potential exposure or risk in the various segments of the organization’s operations. In carrying out this responsibility, internal auditors should, for example, determine whether:

- The organizational environment fosters control consciousness.
- Realistic organizational goals and objectives are set.
- Written policies (for example, a code of conduct) exist that describe prohibited activities and the action required whenever violations are discovered.
- Appropriate authorization policies for transactions are established and maintained.
- Policies, practices, procedures, reports, and other mechanisms are developed to monitor activities and safeguard assets, particularly in high-risk areas.
- Communication channels provide management with adequate and reliable information.
- Recommendations need to be made for the establishment or enhancement of cost-effective controls to help deter fraud.
Internal auditors may conduct proactive auditing to search for corruption, misappropriation of assets, and financial statement fraud. This may include the use of computer-assisted audit techniques to detect particular types of fraud. Internal auditors also can employ analytical and other procedures to isolate anomalies and perform detailed reviews of high-risk accounts and transactions to identify potential financial statement fraud. The internal auditors should have an independent reporting line directly to the audit committee, to enable them to express any concerns about management’s commitment to appropriate internal controls or to report suspicions or allegations of fraud involving senior management.

**Independent Auditors**

Independent auditors can assist management and the board of directors (or audit committee) by providing an assessment of the entity’s process for identifying, assessing, and responding to the risks of fraud. The board of directors (or audit committee) should have an open and candid dialogue with the independent auditors regarding management’s risk assessment process and the system of internal control. Such a dialogue should include a discussion of the susceptibility of the entity to fraudulent financial reporting and the entity’s exposure to misappropriation of assets.

**Certified Fraud Examiners**

Certified fraud examiners may assist the audit committee and board of directors with aspects of the oversight process either directly or as part of a team of internal auditors or independent auditors. Certified fraud examiners can provide extensive knowledge and experience about fraud that may not be available within a corporation. They can provide more objective input into management’s evaluation of the risk of fraud (especially fraud involving senior management, such as financial statement fraud) and the development of appropriate antifraud controls that are less vulnerable to management override. They can assist the audit committee and board of directors in evaluating the fraud risk assessment and fraud prevention measures implemented by management. Certified fraud examiners also conduct examinations to resolve allegations or suspicions of fraud, reporting either to an appropriate level of management or to the audit committee or board of directors, depending upon the nature of the issue and the level of personnel involved.

**Other Information**

To obtain more information on fraud and implementing antifraud programs and controls, please go to the following Web sites where additional materials, guidance, and tools can be found.

- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants www.aicpa.org
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners www.cfenet.com
- Financial Executives International www.fei.org
- Information Systems Audit and Control Association www.isaca.org
- The Institute of Internal Auditors www.theiia.org
- Institute of Management Accountants www.imanet.org
- National Association of Corporate Directors www.nacdonline.org
- Society for Human Resource Management www.shrm.org
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The following is an example of an organizational code of conduct, which includes definitions of what is considered unacceptable, and the consequences of any breaches thereof. The specific content and areas addressed in an entity’s code of conduct should be specific to that entity.

Organizational Code of Conduct

The Organization and its employees must, at all times, comply with all applicable laws and regulations. The Organization will not condone the activities of employees who achieve results through violation of the law or unethical business dealings. This includes any payments for illegal acts, indirect contributions, rebates, and bribery. The Organization does not permit any activity that fails to stand the closest possible public scrutiny. All business conduct should be well above the minimum standards required by law. Accordingly, employees must ensure that their actions cannot be interpreted as being, in any way, in contravention of the laws and regulations governing the Organization’s worldwide operations.

Employees uncertain about the application or interpretation of any legal requirements should refer the matter to their superior, who, if necessary, should seek the advice of the legal department.

General Employee Conduct

The Organization expects its employees to conduct themselves in a businesslike manner. Drinking, gambling, fighting, swearing, and similar unprofessional activities are strictly prohibited while on the job. Employees must not engage in sexual harassment, or conduct themselves in a way that could be construed as such, for example, by using inappropriate language, keeping or posting inappropriate materials in their work area, or accessing inappropriate materials on their computer.

Conflicts of Interest

The Organization expects that employees will perform their duties conscientiously, honestly, and in accordance with the best interests of the Organization. Employees must not use their position or the knowledge gained as a result of their position for private or personal advantage. Regardless of the circumstances, if employees sense that a course of action they have pursued, are presently pursuing, or are contemplating pursuing may involve them in a conflict of interest with their employer, they should immediately communicate all the facts to their superior.

Outside Activities, Employment, and Directorships

All employees share a serious responsibility for the Organization’s good public relations, especially at the community level. Their readiness to help with religious, charitable, educational, and civic activities brings credit to the Organization and is encouraged. Employees must, however, avoid acquiring any business interest or participating in any other activity outside the Organization that would, or would appear to:

- Create an excessive demand upon their time and attention, thus depriving the Organization of their best efforts on the job.
- Create a conflict of interest—an obligation, interest, or distraction—that may interfere with the independent exercise of judgment in the Organization’s best interest.
Relationships With Clients and Suppliers

Employees should avoid investing in or acquiring a financial interest for their own accounts in any business organization that has a contractual relationship with the Organization, or that provides goods or services, or both to the Organization, if such investment or interest could influence or create the impression of influencing their decisions in the performance of their duties on behalf of the Organization.

Gifts, Entertainment, and Favors

Employees must not accept entertainment, gifts, or personal favors that could, in any way, influence, or appear to influence, business decisions in favor of any person or organization with whom or with which the Organization has, or is likely to have, business dealings. Similarly, employees must not accept any other preferential treatment under these circumstances because their position with the Organization might be inclined to, or be perceived to, place them under obligation.

Kickbacks and Secret Commissions

Regarding the Organization’s business activities, employees may not receive payment or compensation of any kind, except as authorized under the Organization’s remuneration policies. In particular, the Organization strictly prohibits the acceptance of kickbacks and secret commissions from suppliers or others. Any breach of this rule will result in immediate termination and prosecution to the fullest extent of the law.

Organization Funds and Other Assets

Employees who have access to Organization funds in any form must follow the prescribed procedures for recording, handling, and protecting money as detailed in the Organization’s instructional manuals or other explanatory materials, or both. The Organization imposes strict standards to prevent fraud and dishonesty. If employees become aware of any evidence of fraud and dishonesty, they should immediately advise their superior or the Law Department so that the Organization can promptly investigate further.

When an employee’s position requires spending Organization funds or incurring any reimbursable personal expenses, that individual must use good judgment on the Organization’s behalf to ensure that good value is received for every expenditure.

Organization funds and all other assets of the Organization are for Organization purposes only and not for personal benefit. This includes the personal use of organizational assets, such as computers.

Organization Records and Communications

Accurate and reliable records of many kinds are necessary to meet the Organization’s legal and financial obligations and to manage the affairs of the Organization. The Organization’s books and records must reflect in an accurate and timely manner all business transactions. The employees responsible for accounting and recordkeeping must fully disclose and record all assets, liabilities, or both, and must exercise diligence in enforcing these requirements.

Employees must not make or engage in any false record or communication of any kind, whether internal or external, including but not limited to:

- False expense, attendance, production, financial, or similar reports and statements
- False advertising, deceptive marketing practices, or other misleading representations
Dealing With Outside People and Organizations

Employees must take care to separate their personal roles from their Organization positions when communicating on matters not involving Organization business. Employees must not use organization identification, stationery, supplies, and equipment for personal or political matters. When communicating publicly on matters that involve Organization business, employees must not presume to speak for the Organization on any topic, unless they are certain that the views they express are those of the Organization, and it is the Organization’s desire that such views be publicly disseminated.

When dealing with anyone outside the Organization, including public officials, employees must take care not to compromise the integrity or damage the reputation of either the Organization, or any outside individual, business, or government body.

Prompt Communications

In all matters relevant to customers, suppliers, government authorities, the public and others in the Organization, all employees must make every effort to achieve complete, accurate, and timely communications—responding promptly and courteously to all proper requests for information and to all complaints.

Privacy and Confidentiality

When handling financial and personal information about customers or others with whom the Organization has dealings, observe the following principles:

1. Collect, use, and retain only the personal information necessary for the Organization’s business. Whenever possible, obtain any relevant information directly from the person concerned. Use only reputable and reliable sources to supplement this information.

2. Retain information only for as long as necessary or as required by law. Protect the physical security of this information.

3. Limit internal access to personal information to those with a legitimate business reason for seeking that information. Use only personal information for the purposes for which it was originally obtained. Obtain the consent of the person concerned before externally disclosing any personal information, unless legal process or contractual obligation provides otherwise.

The mission of Financial Executives International (FEI) includes significant efforts to promote ethical conduct in the practice of financial management throughout the world. Senior financial officers hold an important and elevated role in corporate governance. While members of the management team, they are uniquely capable and empowered to ensure that all stakeholders' interests are appropriately balanced, protected, and preserved. This code provides principles that members are expected to adhere to and advocate. They embody rules regarding individual and peer responsibilities, as well as responsibilities to employers, the public, and other stakeholders.

All members of FEI will:

1. Act with honesty and integrity, avoiding actual or apparent conflicts of interest in personal and professional relationships.
2. Provide constituents with information that is accurate, complete, objective, relevant, timely, and understandable.
3. Comply with rules and regulations of federal, state, provincial, and local governments, and other appropriate private and public regulatory agencies.
4. Act in good faith; responsibly; and with due care, competence, and diligence, without misrepresenting material facts or allowing one's independent judgment to be subordinated.
5. Respect the confidentiality of information acquired in the course of one's work except when authorized or otherwise legally obligated to disclose. Confidential information acquired in the course of one's work will not be used for personal advantage.
6. Share knowledge and maintain skills important and relevant to constituents' needs.
7. Proactively promote ethical behavior as a responsible partner among peers, in the work environment, and in the community.
8. Achieve responsible use of and control over all assets and resources employed or entrusted.
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**Illegal Acts by Clients**

(Supersedes section 328)

Source: SAS No. 54.

See section 9317 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section prescribes the nature and extent of the consideration an independent auditor should give to the possibility of illegal acts by a client in an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. The section also provides guidance on the auditor’s responsibilities when a possible illegal act is detected.

**Definition of Illegal Acts**

.02 The term *illegal acts*, for purposes of this section, refers to violations of laws or governmental regulations. Illegal acts by clients are acts attributable to the entity whose financial statements are under audit or acts by management or employees acting on behalf of the entity. Illegal acts by clients do not include personal misconduct by the entity’s personnel unrelated to their business activities.

**Dependence on Legal Judgment**

.03 Whether an act is, in fact, illegal is a determination that is normally beyond the auditor’s professional competence. An auditor, in reporting on financial statements, presents himself as one who is proficient in accounting and auditing. The auditor’s training, experience, and understanding of the client and its industry may provide a basis for recognition that some client acts coming to his attention may be illegal. However, the determination as to whether a particular act is illegal would generally be based on the advice of an informed expert qualified to practice law or may have to await final determination by a court of law.

**Relation to Financial Statements**

.04 Illegal acts vary considerably in their relation to the financial statements. Generally, the further removed an illegal act is from the events and transactions ordinarily reflected in financial statements, the less likely the auditor is to become aware of the act or to recognize its possible illegality.

.05 The auditor considers laws and regulations that are generally recognized by auditors to have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. For example, tax laws affect accruals and the amount recognized as expense in the accounting period; applicable laws and regulations may affect the amount of revenue accrued under government contracts. However, the auditor considers such laws or regulations from the
perspective of their known relation to audit objectives derived from financial statements assertions rather than from the perspective of legality per se. The auditor’s responsibility to detect and report misstatements resulting from illegal acts having a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts is the same as that for misstatements caused by error or fraud as described in section 110, Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor.

.06 Entities may be affected by many other laws or regulations, including those related to securities trading, occupational safety and health, food and drug administration, environmental protection, equal employment, and price-fixing or other antitrust violations. Generally, these laws and regulations relate more to an entity’s operating aspects than to its financial and accounting aspects, and their financial statement effect is indirect. An auditor ordinarily does not have sufficient basis for recognizing possible violations of such laws and regulations. Their indirect effect is normally the result of the need to disclose a contingent liability because of the allegation or determination of illegality. For example, securities may be purchased or sold based on inside information. While the direct effects of the purchase or sale may be recorded appropriately, their indirect effect, the possible contingent liability for violating securities laws, may not be appropriately disclosed. Even when violations of such laws and regulations can have consequences material to the financial statements, the auditor may not become aware of the existence of the illegal act unless he is informed by the client, or there is evidence of a governmental agency investigation or enforcement proceeding in the records, documents, or other information normally inspected in an audit of financial statements.

The Auditor’s Consideration of the Possibility of Illegal Acts

.07 As explained in paragraph .05, certain illegal acts have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. Other illegal acts, such as those described in paragraph .06, may, in particular circumstances, be regarded as having material but indirect effects on financial statements. The auditor’s responsibility with respect to detecting, considering the financial statement effects of, and reporting these other illegal acts is described in this section. These other illegal acts are hereinafter referred to simply as illegal acts. The auditor should be aware of the possibility that such illegal acts may have occurred. If specific information comes to the auditor’s attention that provides evidence concerning the existence of possible illegal acts that could have a material indirect effect on the financial statements, the auditor should apply audit procedures specifically directed to ascertaining whether an illegal act has occurred. However, because of the characteristics of illegal acts explained above, an audit made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards provides no assurance that illegal acts will be detected or that any contingent liabilities that may result will be disclosed.

Audit Procedures in the Absence of Evidence Concerning Possible Illegal Acts

.08 Normally, an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards does not include audit procedures specifically designed to detect illegal acts. However, procedures applied for the purpose of forming an opinion
Illegal Acts by Clients

on the financial statements may bring possible illegal acts to the auditor's attention. For example, such procedures include reading minutes; inquiring of the client's management and legal counsel concerning litigation, claims, and assessments; performing substantive tests of details of transactions or balances. The auditor should make inquiries of management concerning the client's compliance with laws and regulations. Where applicable, the auditor should also inquire of management concerning—

- The client’s policies relative to the prevention of illegal acts.
- The use of directives issued by the client and periodic representations obtained by the client from management at appropriate levels of authority concerning compliance with laws and regulations.

The auditor also obtains written representations from management concerning the absence of violations or possible violations of laws or regulations whose effects should be considered for disclosure in the financial statements or as a basis for recording a loss contingency. (See section 333, Management Representations.) The auditor need perform no further procedures in this area absent specific information concerning possible illegal acts.

Specific Information Concerning Possible Illegal Acts

.09 In applying audit procedures and evaluating the results of those procedures, the auditor may encounter specific information that may raise a question concerning possible illegal acts, such as the following:

- Unauthorized transactions, improperly recorded transactions, or transactions not recorded in a complete or timely manner in order to maintain accountability for assets
- Investigation by a governmental agency, an enforcement proceeding, or payment of unusual fines or penalties
- Violations of laws or regulations cited in reports of examinations by regulatory agencies that have been made available to the auditor
- Large payments for unspecified services to consultants, affiliates, or employees
- Sales commissions or agents' fees that appear excessive in relation to those normally paid by the client or to the services actually received
- Unusually large payments in cash, purchases of bank cashiers' checks in large amounts payable to bearer, transfers to numbered bank accounts, or similar transactions
- Unexplained payments made to government officials or employees
- Failure to file tax returns or pay government duties or similar fees that are common to the entity's industry or the nature of its business

Audit Procedures in Response to Possible Illegal Acts

.10 When the auditor becomes aware of information concerning a possible illegal act, the auditor should obtain an understanding of the nature of the act, the circumstances in which it occurred, and sufficient other information to evaluate the effect on the financial statements. In doing so, the auditor should inquire of management at a level above those involved, if possible. If management
does not provide satisfactory information that there has been no illegal act, the auditor should—

a. Consult with the client’s legal counsel or other specialists about the application of relevant laws and regulations to the circumstances and the possible effects on the financial statements. Arrangements for such consultation with client’s legal counsel should be made by the client.

b. Apply additional procedures, if necessary, to obtain further understanding of the nature of the acts.

The additional audit procedures considered necessary, if any, might include procedures such as the following:

a. Examine supporting documents, such as invoices, canceled checks, and agreements and compare with accounting records.

b. Confirm significant information concerning the matter with the other party to the transaction or with intermediaries, such as banks or lawyers.

c. Determine whether the transaction has been properly authorized.

d. Consider whether other similar transactions or events may have occurred, and apply procedures to identify them.

The Auditor’s Response to Detected Illegal Acts

When the auditor concludes, based on information obtained and, if necessary, consultation with legal counsel, that an illegal act has or is likely to have occurred, the auditor should consider the effect on the financial statements as well as the implications for other aspects of the audit.

The Auditor’s Consideration of Financial Statement Effect

In evaluating the materiality of an illegal act that comes to his attention, the auditor should consider both the quantitative and qualitative materiality of the act. For example, section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, paragraph .11, states that “an illegal payment of an otherwise immaterial amount could be material if there is a reasonable possibility that it could lead to a material contingent liability or a material loss of revenue.”

The auditor should consider the effect of an illegal act on the amounts presented in financial statements including contingent monetary effects, such as fines, penalties and damages. Loss contingencies resulting from illegal acts that may be required to be disclosed should be evaluated in the same manner as other loss contingencies. Examples of loss contingencies that may arise from an illegal act are: threat of expropriation of assets, enforced discontinuance of operations in another country, and litigation.

The auditor should evaluate the adequacy of disclosure in the financial statements of the potential effects of an illegal act on the entity’s operations. If material revenue or earnings are derived from transactions involving illegal acts, or if illegal acts create significant unusual risks associated with material revenue or earnings, such as loss of a significant business relationship, that information should be considered for disclosure.
Implications for Audit

.16 The auditor should consider the implications of an illegal act in relation to other aspects of the audit, particularly the reliability of representations of management. The implications of particular illegal acts will depend on the relationship of the perpetration and concealment, if any, of the illegal act to specific control procedures and the level of management or employees involved.

Communication With the Audit Committee

.17 The auditor should assure himself that the audit committee, or others with equivalent authority and responsibility, is adequately informed with respect to illegal acts that come to the auditor’s attention.¹ The auditor need not communicate matters that are clearly inconsequential and may reach agreement in advance with the audit committee on the nature of such matters to be communicated. The communication should describe the act, the circumstances of its occurrence, and the effect on the financial statements. Senior management may wish to have its remedial actions communicated to the audit committee simultaneously. Possible remedial actions include disciplinary action against involved personnel, seeking restitution, adoption of preventive or corrective company policies, and modifications of specific control activities. If senior management is involved in an illegal act, the auditor should communicate directly with the audit committee. The communication may be oral or written. If the communication is oral, the auditor should document it.

Effect on the Auditor’s Report

.18 If the auditor concludes that an illegal act has a material effect on the financial statements, and the act has not been properly accounted for or disclosed, the auditor should express a qualified opinion or an adverse opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole, depending on the materiality of the effect on the financial statements.

.19 If the auditor is precluded by the client from obtaining sufficient competent evidential matter to evaluate whether an illegal act that could be material to the financial statements has, or is likely to have, occurred, the auditor generally should disclaim an opinion on the financial statements.

.20 If the client refuses to accept the auditor’s report as modified for the circumstances described in paragraphs .18 and .19, the auditor should withdraw from the engagement and indicate the reasons for withdrawal in writing to the audit committee or board of directors.

.21 The auditor may be unable to determine whether an act is illegal because of limitations imposed by the circumstances rather than by the client or because of uncertainty associated with interpretation of applicable laws or regulations or surrounding facts. In these circumstances, the auditor should consider the effect on his report.²

¹ For entities that do not have audit committees, the phrase “others with equivalent authority and responsibility” may include the board of directors, the board of trustees, or the owner in owner-managed entities.

² See section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements.
Other Considerations in an Audit in Accordance With Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

.22 In addition to the need to withdraw from the engagement, as described in paragraph .20, the auditor may conclude that withdrawal is necessary when the client does not take the remedial action that the auditor considers necessary in the circumstances even when the illegal act is not material to the financial statements. Factors that should affect the auditor's conclusion include the implications of the failure to take remedial action, which may affect the auditor's ability to rely on management representations, and the effects of continuing association with the client. In reaching a conclusion on such matters, the auditor may wish to consult with his own legal counsel.

.23 Disclosure of an illegal act to parties other than the client's senior management and its audit committee or board of directors is not ordinarily part of the auditor's responsibility, and such disclosure would be precluded by the auditor's ethical or legal obligation of confidentiality, unless the matter affects his opinion on the financial statements. The auditor should recognize, however, that in the following circumstances a duty to notify parties outside the client may exist:

a. When the entity reports an auditor change under the appropriate securities law on Form 8-K

b. To a successor auditor when the successor makes inquiries in accordance with section 315, Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors

c. In response to a subpoena

d. To a funding agency or other specified agency in accordance with requirements for the audits of entities that receive financial assistance from a government agency

Because potential conflicts with the auditor's ethical and legal obligations for confidentiality may be complex, the auditor may wish to consult with legal counsel before discussing illegal acts with parties outside the client.

Responsibilities in Other Circumstances

.24 An auditor may accept an engagement that entails a greater responsibility for detecting illegal acts than that specified in this section. For example, a governmental unit may engage an independent auditor to perform an audit in accordance with the Single Audit Act of 1984. In such an engagement,
the independent auditor is responsible for testing and reporting on the governmental unit’s compliance with certain laws and regulations applicable to Federal financial assistance programs. Also, an independent auditor may undertake a variety of other special engagements. For example, a corporation’s board of directors or its audit committee may engage an auditor to apply agreed-upon procedures and report on compliance with the corporation’s code of conduct under the attestation standards.

**Effective Date**

.25 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989. Early application of the provisions of this section is permissible.
AU Section 9317

Illegal Acts by Clients: Auditing Interpretations of Section 317

1. Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

.01 Question—The second standard of field work requires the auditor to obtain a sufficient understanding of internal control to plan the audit and to determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed. Is the auditor of an entity subject to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 required, because of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 and the provisions of section 317, to expand his consideration of internal control beyond that which is required by the second standard of field work?

.02 Interpretation—No. There is nothing in the Act or the related legislative history that purports to alter the auditor’s duty to his client or the purpose of his consideration of internal control. The Act creates express new duties only for companies subject to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, not for auditors.

2. Material Weaknesses in Internal Control and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

.03 Question—What course of action should be followed by the auditor of an entity subject to the internal accounting control provision of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 to comply with section 317 when a material weakness in internal control comes to his attention?

.04 Interpretation—The standards applied by an auditor in determining a material weakness in internal control may differ from the standards for determining a violation of the Act. Nevertheless, a specific material weakness may ultimately be determined to be a violation and, hence, an illegal act. Therefore, the auditor should inquire of the client’s management and consult with the client’s legal counsel as to whether the material weakness is a violation of the Act.

.05 In consultation with management and legal counsel, consideration should be given to corrective action taken or in process. If management has concluded that corrective action for a material weakness is not practicable, consideration should be given to the reasons underlying that conclusion, including management’s evaluation of the costs of correction in relation to the expected benefit to be derived.1 If it is determined that there has been a viola-

1 The legislative history of the Act indicates that cost-benefit considerations are appropriate in determining compliance with the accounting provisions of the Act. For example, the Senate committee report stated that “the size of the business, diversity of operations, degree of centralization of financial and operating management, amount of contact by top management with day-to-day operations, and numerous other circumstances are factors which management must consider in establishing and maintaining an internal accounting control system.”
tion of the Act and appropriate consideration is not given to the violation, the
auditor should consider withdrawing from the current engagement or disassoci-
ating himself from any future relationship with the client (see section 317.22).

.06 A violation of the internal accounting control provision of the Act
would not, in and of itself, have a direct effect on amounts presented in audited
financial statements. However, the contingent monetary effect on an entity
ultimately determined to have willfully violated the internal accounting con-
trol provision of the Act could be fines of up to $10,000 for the violation. The
auditor should consider the materiality of such contingent monetary effect in
relation to the audited financial statements taken as a whole. Other loss
contingencies, as defined by FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59], ordinarily
would not result from a weakness in internal control which gives rise to such
a violation of the Act.

[Issue Date: October, 1978.]
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Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit

Source: SAS No. 55; SAS No. 78; SAS No. 94

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1990, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction

.01 This section provides guidance on the independent auditor's consideration of an entity's internal control in an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. It defines internal control, describes the objectives and components of internal control, and explains how an auditor should consider internal control in planning and performing an audit. In particular, this section provides guidance about implementing the second standard of field work: "A sufficient understanding of internal control is to be obtained to plan the audit and to determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed."

.02 In all audits, the auditor should obtain an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan the audit by performing procedures to understand the design of controls relevant to an audit of financial statements and determining whether they have been placed in operation. In obtaining this understanding, the auditor considers how an entity's use of information technology (IT) and manual procedures may affect controls relevant to the audit. The auditor then assesses control risk for the assertions embodied in the account balance, transaction class, and disclosure components of the financial statements.

.03 The auditor may determine that assessing control risk below the maximum level for certain assertions would be effective and more efficient

---

1 Internal control also may be referred to as internal control structure.
2 Information technology (IT) encompasses automated means of originating, processing, storing, and communicating information, and includes recording devices, communication systems, computer systems (including hardware and software components and data), and other electronic devices. An entity's use of IT may be extensive; however, the auditor is primarily interested in the entity's use of IT to initiate, record, process, and report transactions or other financial data.
3 Control risk may be assessed in quantitative terms, such as percentages, or in nonquantitative terms that range, for example, from a maximum to a minimum. The term maximum level is used in this section to mean the greatest probability that a material misstatement that could occur in a financial statement assertion will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by an entity's internal control.
than performing only substantive tests. In addition, the auditor may determine that it is not practical or possible to restrict detection risk to an acceptable level by performing only substantive tests for one or more financial statement assertions. In such circumstances, the auditor should obtain evidential matter about the effectiveness of both the design and operation of controls to reduce the assessed level of control risk. Such evidential matter may be obtained from tests of controls planned and performed concurrent with or subsequent to obtaining the understanding.\(^4\) Such evidential matter also may be obtained from procedures that were not specifically planned as tests of controls but that nevertheless provide evidential matter about the effectiveness of the design and operation of the controls. For certain assertions, the auditor may desire to further reduce the assessed level of control risk. In such cases, the auditor considers whether evidential matter sufficient to support a further reduction is likely to be available and whether performing additional tests of controls to obtain such evidential matter would be efficient.

\(^{04}\) Alternatively, the auditor may assess control risk at the maximum level because he or she believes controls are unlikely to pertain to an assertion or are unlikely to be effective, or because evaluating the effectiveness of controls would be inefficient. However, the auditor needs to be satisfied that performing only substantive tests would be effective in restricting detection risk to an acceptable level. When evidence of an entity’s initiation, recording, or processing of financial data exists only in electronic form, the auditor’s ability to obtain the desired assurance only from substantive tests would significantly diminish.

\(^{05}\) The auditor uses the understanding of internal control and the assessed level of control risk in determining the nature, timing, and extent of substantive tests for financial statement assertions.

**Definition of Internal Control**

\(^{06}\) *Internal control* is a process—effected by an entity’s board of directors, management, and other personnel—designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following categories: (a) reliability of financial reporting, (b) effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and (c) compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

\(^{07}\) Internal control consists of five interrelated components:

a. *Control environment* sets the tone of an organization, influencing the control consciousness of its people. It is the foundation for all other components of internal control, providing discipline and structure.

b. *Risk assessment* is the entity’s identification and analysis of relevant risks to achievement of its objectives, forming a basis for determining how the risks should be managed.

c. *Control activities* are the policies and procedures that help ensure that management directives are carried out.

d. *Information and communication systems* support the identification, capture, and exchange of information in a form and time frame that enable people to carry out their responsibilities.

e. *Monitoring* is a process that assesses the quality of internal control performance over time.

\(^4\) If the auditor is unable to obtain such evidential matter, he or she should consider the guidance in section 326, *Evidential Matter*, paragraphs .14 and .25.
Relationship Between Objectives and Components

.08 There is a direct relationship between objectives, which are what an entity strives to achieve, and components, which represent what is needed to achieve the objectives. In addition, internal control is relevant to the entire entity, or to any of its operating units or business functions. This relationship is depicted as follows:

.09 Although an entity’s internal control addresses objectives in each of the categories referred to in paragraph .06, not all of these objectives and related controls are relevant to an audit of the entity’s financial statements. Also, although internal control is relevant to the entire entity or to any of its operating units or business functions, an understanding of internal control relevant to each of the entity’s operating units and business functions may not be necessary to plan and perform an effective audit.

Financial Reporting Objective

.10 Generally, controls that are relevant to an audit pertain to the entity’s objective of preparing financial statements for external purposes that are fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.5

Operations and Compliance Objectives

.11 The controls relating to operations and compliance6 objectives may be relevant to an audit if they pertain to data the auditor evaluates or uses in applying auditing procedures. For example, controls pertaining to nonfinancial

---

5 The term comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles is defined in section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .04. Hereafter, reference to generally accepted accounting principles in this section includes, where applicable, an other comprehensive basis of accounting.

6 An auditor may need to consider controls relevant to compliance objectives when performing an audit in accordance with section 801, Compliance Auditing Considerations in Audits of Governmental Entities and Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance.
data that the auditor uses in analytical procedures, such as production statistics, or pertaining to detecting noncompliance with laws and regulations that may have a direct and material effect on the financial statements, such as controls over compliance with income tax laws and regulations used to determine the income tax provision, may be relevant to an audit.

.12 An entity generally has controls relating to objectives that are not relevant to an audit and therefore need not be considered. For example, controls concerning compliance with health and safety regulations or concerning the effectiveness and efficiency of certain management decision-making processes (such as the appropriate price to charge for its products or whether to make expenditures for certain research and development or advertising activities), although important to the entity, ordinarily do not relate to a financial statement audit. Similarly, an entity may rely on a sophisticated system of automated controls to provide efficient and effective operations (such as a commercial airline’s system of automated controls to maintain flight schedules), but these controls ordinarily would not be relevant to the financial statement audit and therefore need not be considered.

Safeguarding of Assets

.13 Internal control over safeguarding of assets against unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition may include controls relating to financial reporting and operations objectives. This relationship is depicted as follows:

In obtaining an understanding of each of the components of internal control to plan the audit, the auditor’s consideration of safeguarding controls is generally limited to those relevant to the reliability of financial reporting. For example, use of a lockbox system for collecting cash or access controls, such as passwords, that limit access to the data and programs that process cash disbursements may be relevant to a financial statement audit. Conversely, controls to prevent the excess use of materials in production generally are not relevant to a financial statement audit.

Application of Components to a Financial Statement Audit

.14 The division of internal control into five components provides a useful framework for auditors to consider the impact of an entity’s internal control in
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an audit. However, it does not necessarily reflect how an entity considers and implements internal control. Also, the auditor's primary consideration is whether a specific control affects financial statement assertions rather than its classification into any particular component. Controls relevant to the audit are those that individually or in combination with others are likely to prevent or detect material misstatements in financial statement assertions. Such controls may exist in any of the five components.

.15 The five components of internal control are applicable to the audit of every entity. The components should be considered in the context of—

- The entity's size.
- The entity's organization and ownership characteristics.
- The nature of the entity's business.
- The diversity and complexity of the entity's operations.
- Applicable legal and regulatory requirements.
- The nature and complexity of the systems that are part of the entity's internal control, including the use of service organizations. ¹

Effect of Information Technology on Internal Control

.16 An entity's use of IT may affect any of the five components of internal control relevant to the achievement of the entity's financial reporting, operations, or compliance objectives, and its operating units or business functions. For example, an entity may use IT as part of discrete systems that support only particular business units, functions, or activities, such as a unique accounts receivable system for a particular business unit or a system that controls the operation of factory equipment. Alternatively, an entity may have complex, highly integrated systems that share data and that are used to support all aspects of the entity's financial reporting, operations, and compliance objectives.

.17 The use of IT also affects the fundamental manner in which transactions are initiated, recorded, processed, and reported. ² In a manual system, an entity uses manual procedures and records in paper format (for example, individuals may manually record sales orders on paper forms or journals, authorize credit, prepare shipping reports and invoices, and maintain accounts receivable records). Controls in such a system also are manual and may include such procedures as approvals and reviews of activities, and reconciliations and follow-up of reconciling items. Alternatively, an entity may have information systems that use automated procedures to initiate, record, process, and report transactions, in which case records in electronic format replace such paper documents as purchase orders, invoices, shipping documents, and related accounting records. Controls in systems that use IT consist of a combination of automated controls (for example, controls embedded in computer programs) and manual controls. Further, manual controls may be independent of IT, may use information produced by IT, or may be limited to monitoring the effective functioning of IT and of automated controls, and to handling exceptions. An entity's mix of manual and automated controls varies with the nature and complexity of the entity's use of IT.

¹ See section 324, Service Organizations, for guidance if an entity obtains services that are part of its information system from another organization.

² Paragraph 12 of the appendix [paragraph .110] defines initiation, recording, processing, and reporting as used throughout this section.
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IT provides potential benefits of effectiveness and efficiency for an entity’s internal control because it enables an entity to—

- Consistently apply predefined business rules and perform complex calculations in processing large volumes of transactions or data.
- Enhance the timeliness, availability, and accuracy of information.
- Facilitate the additional analysis of information.
- Enhance the ability to monitor the performance of the entity’s activities and its policies and procedures.
- Reduce the risk that controls will be circumvented.
- Enhance the ability to achieve effective segregation of duties by implementing security controls in applications, databases, and operating systems.

IT also poses specific risks to an entity’s internal control, including—

- Reliance on systems or programs that are inaccurately processing data, processing inaccurate data, or both.
- Unauthorized access to data that may result in destruction of data or improper changes to data, including the recording of unauthorized or nonexistent transactions or inaccurate recording of transactions.
- Unauthorized changes to data in master files.
- Unauthorized changes to systems or programs.
- Failure to make necessary changes to systems or programs.
- Inappropriate manual intervention.
- Potential loss of data.

The extent and nature of these risks to internal control vary depending on the nature and characteristics of the entity’s information system. For example, multiple users, either external or internal, may access a common database of information that affects financial reporting. In such circumstances, a lack of control at a single user entry point might compromise the security of the entire database, potentially resulting in improper changes to or destruction of data. When IT personnel or users are given, or can gain, access privileges beyond those necessary to perform their assigned duties, a breakdown in segregation of duties can occur. This could result in unauthorized transactions or changes to programs or data that affect the financial statements. Therefore, the nature and characteristics of an entity’s use of IT in its information system affect the entity’s internal control.

Limitations of an Entity’s Internal Control

Internal control, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving an entity’s control objectives. The likelihood of achievement is affected by limitations inherent to internal control. These include the realities that human judgment in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns in internal control can occur because of human failures such as simple errors or mistakes. For example, errors may occur in designing, maintaining, or monitoring automated controls. If an entity’s IT personnel do not completely understand how an order entry system...
processes sales transactions, they may erroneously design changes to the system to process sales for a new line of products. On the other hand, such changes may be correctly designed but misunderstood by individuals who translate the design into program code. Errors also may occur in the use of information produced by IT. For example, automated controls may be designed to report transactions over a specified dollar limit for management review, but individuals responsible for conducting the review may not understand the purpose of such reports and, accordingly, may fail to review them or investigate unusual items.

.22 Additionally, controls, whether manual or automated, can be circumvented by the collusion of two or more people or inappropriate management override of internal control. For example, management may enter into side agreements with customers that alter the terms and conditions of the entity’s standard sales contract in ways that would preclude revenue recognition. Also, edit routines in a software program that are designed to identify and report transactions that exceed specified credit limits may be overridden or disabled.

.23 Internal control is influenced by the quantitative and qualitative estimates and judgments made by management in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of an entity’s internal control. The cost of an entity’s internal control should not exceed the benefits that are expected to be derived. Although the cost-benefit relationship is a primary criterion that should be considered in designing internal control, the precise measurement of costs and benefits usually is not possible.

.24 Custom, culture, and the corporate governance system may inhibit fraud, but they are not absolute deterrents. An effective control environment, too, may help reduce the risk of fraud. For example, an effective board of directors, audit committee, and internal audit function may constrain improper conduct by management. Alternatively, the control environment may reduce the effectiveness of other components. For example, when the nature of management incentives increases the risk of material misstatement of financial statements, the effectiveness of control activities may be reduced.

Obtaining an Understanding of Internal Control

.25 In all audits, the auditor should obtain an understanding of each of the five components of internal control sufficient to plan the audit. A sufficient understanding is obtained by performing procedures to understand the design of controls relevant to an audit of financial statements and determining whether they have been placed in operation. In planning the audit, such knowledge should be used to—

- Identify types of potential misstatement.
- Consider factors that affect the risk of material misstatement.
- Design tests of controls, when applicable. Paragraphs .65 through .69 of this section discuss factors the auditor considers in determining whether to perform tests of controls.
- Design substantive tests.

.26 The nature, timing, and extent of procedures the auditor chooses to perform to obtain the understanding will vary depending on the size and complexity of the entity, previous experience with the entity, the nature of the
specific controls used by the entity including the entity's use of IT, the nature and extent of changes in systems and operations, and the nature of the entity's documentation of specific controls. For example, the understanding of risk assessment needed to plan an audit for an entity operating in a relatively stable environment may be limited. Also, the understanding of monitoring needed to plan an audit for a small, noncomplex entity may be limited. Similarly, the auditor may need only a limited understanding of control activities to plan an audit for a noncomplex entity that has significant owner-manager approval and review of transactions and accounting records. On the other hand, the auditor may need a greater understanding of control activities to plan an audit for an entity that has a large volume of revenue transactions and that relies on IT to measure and bill for services based on a complex, frequently changing rate structure.

.27 Whether a control has been placed in operation at a point in time is different from its operating effectiveness over a period of time. In obtaining knowledge about whether controls have been placed in operation, the auditor determines that the entity is using them. Operating effectiveness, on the other hand, is concerned with how the control (whether manual or automated) was applied, the consistency with which it was applied, and by whom it was applied. The auditor determines whether controls have been placed in operation as part of the understanding of internal control necessary to plan the audit. The auditor evaluates the operating effectiveness of controls as part of assessing control risk, as discussed in paragraphs .62 through .83 of this section. Although understanding internal control and assessing control risk are discussed separately in this section, they may be performed concurrently in an audit. Furthermore, some of the procedures performed to obtain the understanding may provide evidential matter about the operating effectiveness of controls relevant to certain assertions.

.28 The auditor's understanding of internal control may sometimes raise doubts about the auditability of an entity's financial statements. Concerns about the integrity of the entity's management may be so serious as to cause the auditor to conclude that the risk of management misrepresentation in the financial statements is such that an audit cannot be conducted. Concerns about the nature and extent of an entity's records may cause the auditor to conclude that it is unlikely that sufficient competent evidential matter will be available to support an opinion on the financial statements.

Understanding of Internal Control Necessary to Plan the Audit

.29 In making a judgment about the understanding of internal control necessary to plan the audit, the auditor considers the knowledge obtained from other sources about the types of misstatement that could occur, the risk that such misstatements may occur, and the factors that influence the design of tests of controls, when applicable, and substantive tests. Other sources of such knowledge include information from previous audits and the auditor's understanding of the industry and market in which the entity operates. The auditor also considers his or her assessment of inherent risk, judgments about materiality, and the complexity and sophistication of the entity's operations and systems, including the extent to which the entity relies on manual controls or on automated controls.

.30 In making a judgment about the understanding of internal control necessary to plan the audit, the auditor also considers IT risks that could result
in misstatements. For example, if an entity uses IT to perform complex calculations, the entity receives the benefit of having the calculations consistently performed. However, the use of IT also presents risks, such as the risk that improperly authorized, incorrectly defined, or improperly implemented changes to the system or programs performing the calculations, or to related program tables or master files, could result in consistently performing those calculations inaccurately. As an entity’s operations and systems become more complex and sophisticated, it becomes more likely that the auditor would need to increase his or her understanding of the internal control components to obtain the understanding necessary to design tests of controls, when applicable, and substantive tests.

.31 The auditor should consider whether specialized skills are needed for the auditor to determine the effect of IT on the audit, to understand the IT controls, or to design and perform tests of IT controls or substantive tests. A professional possessing IT skills may be either on the auditor’s staff or an outside professional. In determining whether such a professional is needed on the audit team, the auditor considers factors such as the following:

- The complexity of the entity’s systems and IT controls and the manner in which they are used in conducting the entity’s business
- The significance of changes made to existing systems, or the implementation of new systems
- The extent to which data is shared among systems
- The extent of the entity’s participation in electronic commerce
- The entity’s use of emerging technologies
- The significance of audit evidence that is available only in electronic form

.32 Procedures that the auditor may assign to a professional possessing IT skills include inquiring of an entity’s IT personnel how data and transactions are initiated, recorded, processed, and reported and how IT controls are designed; inspecting systems documentation; observing the operation of IT controls; and planning and performing tests of IT controls. If the use of a professional possessing IT skills is planned, the auditor should have sufficient IT-related knowledge to communicate the audit objectives to the professional, to evaluate whether the specified procedures will meet the auditor’s objectives, and to evaluate the results of the procedures as they relate to the nature, timing, and extent of other planned audit procedures.9

.33 Paragraphs .34 through .57 of this section provide an overview of the five internal control components and the auditor’s understanding of the components relating to a financial statement audit. A more detailed discussion of these components is provided in the appendix [paragraph .110].

Control Environment

.34 The control environment sets the tone of an organization, influencing the control consciousness of its people. It is the foundation for all other components of internal control, providing discipline and structure. Control environment factors include the following:

- a. Integrity and ethical values
- b. Commitment to competence

9 See section 311, Planning and Supervision, paragraph .10.
c. Board of directors or audit committee participation  
d. Management’s philosophy and operating style  
e. Organizational structure  
f. Assignment of authority and responsibility  
g. Human resource policies and practices

The auditor should obtain sufficient knowledge of the control environment to understand management’s and the board of directors’ attitude, awareness, and actions concerning the control environment, considering both the substance of controls and their collective effect. The auditor should concentrate on the substance of controls rather than their form, because controls may be established but not acted upon. For example, management may establish a formal code of conduct but act in a manner that condones violations of that code.

When obtaining an understanding of the control environment, the auditor considers the collective effect on the control environment of strengths and weaknesses in various control environment factors. Management’s strengths and weaknesses may have a pervasive effect on internal control. For example, owner-manager controls may mitigate a lack of segregation of duties in a small business, or an active and independent board of directors may influence the philosophy and operating style of senior management in larger entities. Alternatively, management’s failure to commit sufficient resources to address security risks presented by IT may adversely affect internal control by allowing improper changes to be made to computer programs or to data, or by allowing unauthorized transactions to be processed. Similarly, human resource policies and practices directed toward hiring competent financial, accounting, and IT personnel may not mitigate a strong bias by top management to overstate earnings.

Risk Assessment

An entity’s risk assessment for financial reporting purposes is its identification, analysis, and management of risks relevant to the preparation of financial statements that are fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. For example, risk assessment may address how the entity considers the possibility of unrecorded transactions or identifies and analyzes significant estimates recorded in the financial statements. Risks relevant to reliable financial reporting also relate to specific events or transactions.

Risks relevant to financial reporting include external and internal events and circumstances that may occur and adversely affect an entity’s ability to initiate, record, process, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. Risks can arise or change due to circumstances such as the following:

- Changes in operating environment
- New personnel
- New or revamped information systems
- Rapid growth
- New technology
- New business models, products, or activities

These assertions are discussed in section 326.
The auditor should obtain sufficient knowledge of the entity's risk assessment process to understand how management considers risks relevant to financial reporting objectives and decides about actions to address those risks. This knowledge might include understanding how management identifies risks, estimates the significance of the risks, assesses the likelihood of their occurrence, and relates them to financial reporting. The use of IT may be an important element in an entity's risk assessment process, including providing timely information to facilitate the identification and management of risks.

An entity's risk assessment differs from the auditor's consideration of audit risk in a financial statement audit. The purpose of an entity's risk assessment is to identify, analyze, and manage risks that affect entity objectives. In a financial statement audit, the auditor assesses inherent and control risks to evaluate the likelihood that material misstatements could occur in the financial statements.

Control Activities

Control activities are the policies and procedures that help ensure that management directives are carried out. They help ensure that necessary actions are taken to address risks to achievement of the entity's objectives. Control activities, whether automated or manual, have various objectives and are applied at various organizational and functional levels. Generally, control activities that may be relevant to an audit may be categorized as policies and procedures that pertain to the following:

- Performance reviews
- Information processing
- Physical controls
- Segregation of duties

The auditor should obtain an understanding of those control activities relevant to planning the audit. As the auditor obtains an understanding of the other components, he or she is also likely to obtain knowledge about some control activities. For example, in obtaining an understanding of the documents, records, and processing steps in the financial reporting information system that pertain to cash, the auditor is likely to become aware of whether bank accounts are reconciled. The auditor should consider the knowledge about the presence or absence of control activities obtained from the understanding of the other components in determining whether it is necessary to devote additional attention to obtaining an understanding of control activities to plan the audit. Ordinarily, audit planning does not require an understanding of the control activities related to each account balance, transaction class, and disclosure component in the financial statements or to every assertion relevant to them.

The auditor should obtain an understanding of how IT affects control activities that are relevant to planning the audit. Some entities and auditors may view the IT control activities in terms of application controls and general controls. Application controls apply to the processing of individual applications. Accordingly, application controls relate to the use of IT to initiate, record,
process, and report transactions or other financial data. These controls help ensure that transactions occurred, are authorized, and are completely and accurately recorded and processed. Examples include edit checks of input data, numerical sequence checks, and manual follow-up of exception reports.

.44 Application controls may be performed by IT (for example, automated reconciliation of subsystems) or by individuals. When application controls are performed by people interacting with IT, they may be referred to as user controls. The effectiveness of user controls, such as reviews of computer-produced exception reports or other information produced by IT, may depend on the accuracy of the information produced. For example, a user may review an exception report to identify credit sales over a customer's authorized credit limit without performing procedures to verify its accuracy. In such cases, the effectiveness of the user control (that is, the review of the exception report) depends on both the effectiveness of the user review and the accuracy of the information in the report produced by IT.

.45 General controls are policies and procedures that relate to many applications and support the effective functioning of application controls by helping to ensure the continued proper operation of information systems. General controls commonly include controls over data center and network operations; system software acquisition and maintenance; access security; and application system acquisition, development, and maintenance.

.46 The use of IT affects the way that control activities are implemented. For example, when IT is used in an information system, segregation of duties often is achieved by implementing security controls.

Information and Communication

.47 The information system relevant to financial reporting objectives, which includes the accounting system, consists of the procedures, whether automated or manual, and records established to initiate, record, process, and report entity transactions (as well as events and conditions) and to maintain accountability for the related assets, liabilities, and equity. The quality of system-generated information affects management's ability to make appropriate decisions in controlling the entity's activities and to prepare reliable financial reports.

.48 Communication involves providing an understanding of individual roles and responsibilities pertaining to internal control over financial reporting.

.49 The auditor should obtain sufficient knowledge of the information system relevant to financial reporting to understand—

- The classes of transactions in the entity's operations that are significant to the financial statements.
- The procedures, both automated and manual, by which transactions are initiated, recorded, processed, and reported from their occurrence to their inclusion in the financial statements.
- The related accounting records, whether electronic or manual, supporting information, and specific accounts in the financial statements involved in initiating, recording, processing, and reporting transactions.
- How the information system captures other events and conditions that are significant to the financial statements.
- The financial reporting process used to prepare the entity's financial statements, including significant accounting estimates and disclosures.
When IT is used to initiate, record, process, or report transactions or other financial data for inclusion in financial statements, the systems and programs may include controls related to the corresponding assertions for significant accounts or may be critical to the effective functioning of manual controls that depend on IT.

In obtaining an understanding of the financial reporting process, the auditor should understand the automated and manual procedures an entity uses to prepare financial statements and related disclosures, and how misstatements may occur. Such procedures include—

• The procedures used to enter transaction totals into the general ledger. In some information systems, IT may be used to automatically transfer such information from transaction processing systems to general ledger or financial reporting systems. The automated processes and controls in such systems may reduce the risk of inadvertent error but do not overcome the risk that individuals may inappropriately override such automated processes, for example, by changing the amounts being automatically passed to the general ledger or financial reporting system. Furthermore, in planning the audit, the auditor should be aware that when IT is used to automatically transfer information there may be little or no visible evidence of such intervention in the information systems.

• The procedures used to initiate, record, and process journal entries in the general ledger. An entity’s financial reporting process used to prepare the financial statements typically includes the use of standard journal entries that are required on a recurring basis to record transactions such as monthly sales, purchases, and cash disbursements, or to record accounting estimates that are periodically made by management such as changes in the estimate of uncollectible accounts receivable. An entity’s financial reporting process also includes the use of nonstandard journal entries to record nonrecurring or unusual transactions or adjustments such as a business combination or disposal, or a nonrecurring estimate such as an asset impairment. In manual, paper-based general ledger systems, such journal entries may be identified through inspection of ledgers, journals, and supporting documentation. However, when IT is used to maintain the general ledger and prepare financial statements, such entries may exist only in electronic form and may be more difficult to identify through physical inspection of printed documents.

• Other procedures used to record recurring and nonrecurring adjustments to the financial statements. These are procedures that are not reflected in formal journal entries, such as consolidating adjustments, report combinations, and reclassifications.

The auditor also should obtain sufficient knowledge of the means the entity uses to communicate financial reporting roles and responsibilities and significant matters relating to financial reporting.

Monitoring

An important management responsibility is to establish and maintain internal control. Management monitors controls to consider whether they are operating as intended and that they are modified as appropriate for changes in conditions.
Monitoring is a process that assesses the quality of internal control performance over time. It involves assessing the design and operation of controls on a timely basis and taking necessary corrective actions. This process is accomplished through ongoing activities, separate evaluations, or a combination of the two. In many entities, internal auditors or personnel performing similar functions contribute to the monitoring of an entity's activities. Monitoring activities may include using information from communications from external parties such as customer complaints and regulator comments that may indicate problems or highlight areas in need of improvement. In many entities, much of the information used in monitoring may be produced by the entity's information system. If management assumes that data used for monitoring are accurate without having a basis for that assumption, errors may exist in the information, potentially leading management to incorrect conclusions from its monitoring activities.

The auditor should obtain sufficient knowledge of the major types of activities the entity uses to monitor internal control over financial reporting, including the source of the information related to those activities, and how those activities are used to initiate corrective actions. When obtaining an understanding of the internal audit function, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 322, The Auditor's Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements, paragraphs .04 through .08.

Application to Small and Midsized Entities

The way in which the objectives of internal control are achieved will vary based on an entity's size and complexity, among other considerations. Specifically, small and midsized entities may use less formal means to ensure that internal control objectives are achieved. For example, smaller entities with active management involvement in the financial reporting process may not have extensive descriptions of accounting procedures, sophisticated information systems, or written policies. Smaller entities may not have a written code of conduct but, instead, develop a culture that emphasizes the importance of integrity and ethical behavior through oral communication and by management example. Similarly, smaller entities may not have an independent or outside member on their board of directors.

When small or midsized entities are involved in complex transactions or are subject to legal and regulatory requirements also found in larger entities, more formal means of ensuring that internal control objectives are achieved may be present. Also, small and midsized entities may use IT in various ways to achieve their objectives. For example, a small entity may use sophisticated applications of IT as part of its information system. The impact of IT on an entity's internal control is related more to the nature and complexity of the systems in use than to the entity's size.

Procedures to Obtain Understanding

In obtaining an understanding of controls that are relevant to audit planning, the auditor should perform procedures to obtain sufficient knowledge about the design of the relevant controls pertaining to each of the five internal control components and determine whether they have been placed in operation. This knowledge is ordinarily obtained through previous experience with the entity and procedures such as inquiries of appropriate management, supervisory, and staff personnel; inspection of entity documents and records; and observation of entity activities and operations. The nature and extent of
the procedures performed generally vary from entity to entity and are influenced by the size and complexity of the entity, the auditor's previous experience with the entity, the nature of the particular control, and the nature of the entity's documentation of specific controls.

.59 For example, the auditor's prior experience with the entity may provide an understanding of its classes of transactions. Inquiries of appropriate entity personnel and inspection of documents and records, such as source documents, journals, and ledgers, may provide an understanding of the accounting records. Similarly, in obtaining an understanding of the design of automated controls and determining whether they have been placed in operation, the auditor may make inquiries of appropriate entity personnel and inspect relevant systems documentation, reports (for example, exception reports or reports evidencing the processing of transactions or application of other controls), or other documents.

.60 The auditor's assessments of inherent risk and judgments about materiality for various account balances and transaction classes also affect the nature and extent of the procedures performed to obtain the understanding. For example, the auditor may conclude that planning the audit of the prepaid insurance account does not require specific procedures to be included in obtaining the understanding of internal control.

Documenting the Understanding

.61 The auditor should document the understanding of the entity's internal control components obtained to plan the audit. The form and extent of this documentation is influenced by the nature and complexity of the entity's controls. For example, documentation of the understanding of internal control of a complex information system in which a large volume of transactions are electronically initiated, recorded, processed, or reported may include flowcharts, questionnaires, or decision tables. For an information system making limited or no use of IT or for which few transactions are processed (for example, long-term debt), documentation in the form of a memorandum may be sufficient. Generally, the more complex the entity's internal control and the more extensive the procedures performed by the auditor, the more extensive the auditor's documentation should be.

Assessing Control Risk

.62 Section 326, Evidential Matter, states that most of the independent auditor's work in forming an opinion on financial statements consists of obtaining and evaluating evidential matter concerning the assertions in such financial statements. These assertions are embodied in the account balance, transaction class, and disclosure components of financial statements and are classified according to the following broad categories:

- Existence or occurrence
- Completeness
- Rights and obligations
- Valuation or allocation
- Presentation and disclosure
In planning and performing an audit, an auditor considers these assertions in the context of their relationship to a specific account balance or class of transactions.

The risk of material misstatement in financial statement assertions consists of inherent risk, control risk, and detection risk. Inherent risk is the susceptibility of an assertion to a material misstatement assuming there are no related controls. Control risk is the risk that a material misstatement that could occur in an assertion will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by the entity's internal control. Detection risk is the risk that the auditor will not detect a material misstatement that exists in an assertion.

Assessing control risk is the process of evaluating the effectiveness of an entity's internal control in preventing or detecting material misstatements in the financial statements. Control risk should be assessed in terms of financial statement assertions.

After obtaining the understanding of internal control, the auditor may assess control risk at the maximum level for some or all assertions because he or she believes controls are unlikely to pertain to an assertion or are unlikely to be effective, or because evaluating the effectiveness of controls would be inefficient. However, the auditor needs to be satisfied that performing only substantive tests would be effective in restricting detection risk to an acceptable level. For example, the auditor may determine that performing only substantive tests would be effective and more efficient than performing tests of controls for assertions related to fixed assets and to long-term debt in an entity where a limited number of transactions are related to those financial statement components, and when the auditor can readily obtain corroborating evidence in the form of documents and confirmations. In circumstances where the auditor is performing only substantive tests in restricting detection risk to an acceptable level and where the information used by the auditor to perform such substantive tests is produced by the entity's information system, the auditor should obtain evidence about the accuracy and completeness of the information.

In other circumstances, the auditor may determine that assessing control risk below the maximum level for certain assertions would be effective and more efficient than performing only substantive tests. In addition, the auditor may determine that it is not practical or possible to restrict detection risk to an acceptable level by performing only substantive tests for one or more financial statement assertions. In such circumstances, the auditor should obtain evidential matter about the effectiveness of both the design and operation of controls to reduce the assessed level of control risk.

In determining whether assessing control risk at the maximum level or at a lower level would be an effective approach for specific assertions, the auditor should consider—

- The nature of the assertion.
- The volume of transactions or data related to the assertion.

For purposes of this section, a material misstatement in a financial statement assertion is a misstatement whether caused by error or fraud as discussed in section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, that either individually or when aggregated with other misstatements in other assertions would be material to the financial statements taken as a whole.

See footnote 3.

See footnote 4.
The nature and complexity of the systems, including the use of IT, by which the entity processes and controls information supporting the assertion.

The nature of the available evidential matter, including audit evidence that is available only in electronic form.

In circumstances where a significant amount of information supporting one or more financial statement assertions is electronically initiated, recorded, processed, or reported, the auditor may determine that it is not possible to design effective substantive tests that by themselves would provide sufficient evidence that the assertions are not materially misstated. For such assertions, significant audit evidence may be available only in electronic form. In such cases, its competence and sufficiency as evidential matter usually depend on the effectiveness of controls over its accuracy and completeness. Furthermore, the potential for improper initiation or alteration of information to occur and not be detected may be greater if information is initiated, recorded, processed, or reported only in electronic form and appropriate controls are not operating effectively. In such circumstances, the auditor should perform tests of controls to gather evidential matter to use in assessing control risk.

Examples of situations where the auditor may find it impossible to design effective substantive tests that by themselves would provide sufficient evidence that certain assertions are not materially misstated include the following:

- An entity that conducts business using IT to initiate orders for goods based on predetermined decision rules and to pay the related payables based on system-generated information regarding receipt of goods. No other documentation of orders or goods received is produced or maintained.
- An entity that provides electronic services to customers (for example, an Internet service provider or a telephone company) and uses IT to log services provided to users, initiate bills for the services, process the billing transactions, and automatically record such amounts in electronic accounting records that are used to produce the financial statements.

Assessing Control Risk Below the Maximum Level

Assessing control risk below the maximum level involves

- Identifying specific controls relevant to specific assertions.
- Performing tests of controls.
- Concluding on the assessed level of control risk.

Identifying Specific Controls Relevant to Specific Assertions

The auditor’s understanding about internal control should be used to identify the types of potential misstatements that could occur and to consider factors that affect the risk of material misstatement. In assessing control risk,
the auditor should identify the controls that are likely to prevent or detect material misstatement in specific assertions. In identifying controls relevant to specific financial statement assertions, the auditor should consider that the controls can have either a pervasive effect on many assertions or a specific effect on an individual assertion, depending on the nature of the particular internal control component involved. For example, the conclusion that an entity’s control environment is highly effective may influence the auditor’s decision about the number of an entity’s locations at which auditing procedures are to be performed or whether to perform certain auditing procedures for some account balances or transaction classes at an interim date. Either decision affects the way in which auditing procedures are applied to specific assertions, even though the auditor may not have specifically considered each individual assertion that is affected by such decisions.

Conversely, some control activities may have a specific effect on an individual assertion embodied in a particular account balance or transaction class. For example, the control activities that an entity established to ensure that its personnel are properly counting and recording the annual physical inventory relate directly to the existence assertion for the inventory account balance.

Controls can be either directly or indirectly related to an assertion. The more indirect the relationship, the less effective that control may be in reducing control risk for that assertion. For example, a sales manager’s review of a summary of sales activity for specific stores by region ordinarily is indirectly related to the completeness assertion for sales revenue. Accordingly, it may be less effective in reducing control risk for that assertion than controls more directly related to that assertion, such as matching shipping documents with billing documents.

General controls relate to many applications and support the effective functioning of application controls by helping to ensure the continued proper operation of information systems. The auditor should consider the need to identify not only application controls directly related to one or more assertions, but also relevant general controls.

Performing Tests of Controls

Procedures directed toward evaluating the effectiveness of the design of a control are concerned with whether that control is suitably designed to prevent or detect material misstatements in specific financial statement assertions. Procedures to obtain such evidential matter ordinarily include inquiries of appropriate entity personnel; inspection of documents, reports, or electronic files; and observation of the application of specific controls. For entities with complex internal control, the auditor should consider the use of flowcharts, questionnaires, or decision tables to facilitate the application of procedures directed toward evaluating the effectiveness of the design of a control.

Procedures to obtain evidential matter about the effectiveness of the operation of a control are referred to as tests of controls (paragraphs .90 through .104 of this section discuss characteristics of evidential matter to consider when performing tests of controls). Tests of controls directed toward the operating effectiveness of a control are concerned with how the control (whether manual or automated) was applied, the consistency with which it was applied during the audit period, and by whom it was applied. These tests ordinarily include procedures such as inquiries of appropriate entity personnel; inspection of documents, reports, or electronic files, indicating performance
of the control; observation of the application of the control; and reperformance of the application of the control by the auditor. In some circumstances, a specific procedure may address the effectiveness of both design and operation. However, a combination of procedures may be necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of the design or operation of a control.

.77 In designing tests of automated controls, the auditor should consider the need to obtain evidence supporting the effective operation of controls directly related to the assertions as well as other indirect controls on which these controls depend. For example, the auditor may identify a “user review of an exception report of credit sales over a customer’s authorized credit limit” as a direct control related to an assertion. In such cases, the auditor should consider the effectiveness of the user review of the report and also the controls related to the accuracy of the information in the report (for example, the general controls).

.78 Because of the inherent consistency of IT processing, the auditor may be able to reduce the extent of testing of an automated control. For example, a programmed application control should function consistently unless the program (including the tables, files, or other permanent data used by the program) is changed. Once the auditor determines that an automated control is functioning as intended (which could be done at the time the control is initially implemented or at some other date), the auditor should consider performing tests to determine that the control continues to function effectively. Such tests might include determining that changes to the program are not made without being subject to the appropriate program change controls, that the authorized version of the program is used for processing transactions, and that other relevant general controls are effective. Such tests also might include determining that changes to the programs have not been made, as may be the case when the entity uses packaged software applications without modifying or maintaining them.

.79 To test automated controls, the auditor may need to use techniques that are different from those used to test manual controls. For example, computer-assisted audit techniques may be used to test automated controls or data related to assertions. Also, the auditor may use other automated tools or reports produced by IT to test the operating effectiveness of general controls, such as program change controls, access controls, and system software controls. The auditor should consider whether specialized skills are needed to design and perform such tests of controls.

**Concluding on the Assessed Level of Control Risk**

.80 The conclusion reached as a result of assessing control risk is referred to as the assessed level of control risk. In determining the evidential matter necessary to support an assessed level of control risk below the maximum level, the auditor should consider the characteristics of evidential matter about control risk discussed in paragraphs .90 through .104. Generally, however, the lower the assessed level of control risk, the greater the assurance the evidential matter must provide that the controls relevant to an assertion are designed and operating effectively.

.81 The auditor uses the assessed level of control risk (together with the assessed level of inherent risk) to determine the acceptable level of detection risk for financial statement assertions. The auditor uses the acceptable level of detection risk to determine the nature, timing, and extent of the auditing procedures.
to be applied to the account balance or class of transactions to detect material misstatements in the financial statement assertions. Auditing procedures designed to detect such misstatements are referred to in this section as substantive tests.

.82 As the acceptable level of detection risk decreases, the assurance provided from substantive tests should increase. Consequently, the auditor may do one or more of the following:

- Change the nature of substantive tests from a less effective to a more effective procedure, such as using tests directed toward independent parties outside the entity rather than tests directed toward parties or documentation within the entity.
- Change the timing of substantive tests, such as performing them at year end rather than at an interim date.
- Change the extent of substantive tests, such as using a larger sample size.

Documenting the Assessed Level of Control Risk

.83 In addition to the documentation of the understanding of internal control discussed in paragraph .61, the auditor should document his or her conclusions about the assessed level of control risk. Conclusions about the assessed level of control risk may differ as they relate to various account balances or classes of transactions. For those financial statement assertions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level, the auditor should document his or her conclusion that control risk is at the maximum level but need not document the basis for that conclusion. For those assertions where the assessed level of control risk is below the maximum level, the auditor should document the basis for his or her conclusion that the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls supports that assessed level. The nature and extent of the auditor’s documentation are influenced by the assessed level of control risk, the nature of the entity’s internal control, and the nature of the entity’s documentation of internal control.

Relationship of Understanding to Assessing Control Risk

.84 Although understanding internal control and assessing control risk are discussed separately in this section, they may be performed concurrently in an audit. The objective of procedures performed to obtain an understanding of internal control (discussed in paragraphs .58 through .60) is to provide the auditor with knowledge necessary for audit planning. The objective of tests of controls (discussed in paragraphs .75 through .79) is to provide the auditor with evidential matter to use in assessing control risk. However, procedures performed to achieve one objective may also pertain to the other objective.

.85 Based on the assessed level of control risk the auditor expects to support and audit efficiency considerations, the auditor often plans to perform some tests of controls concurrently with obtaining the understanding of internal control. In addition, even though some of the procedures performed to obtain the understanding were not specifically planned as tests of controls, they may nevertheless provide evidential matter about the effectiveness of both the design and operation of the controls relevant to certain assertions. For
example, because of the inherent consistency of IT processing, performing procedures to determine whether an automated control has been placed in operation may serve as a test of that control's operating effectiveness, depending on such factors as whether the program has been changed or whether there is a significant risk of unauthorized change or other improper intervention. Also, in obtaining an understanding of the control environment, the auditor may have made inquiries about management's use of budgets, observed management's comparison of monthly budgeted and actual expenses, and inspected reports pertaining to the investigation of variances between budgeted and actual amounts. Although these procedures provide knowledge about the design of the entity's budgeting policies and whether they have been placed in operation, they may also provide evidential matter about the effectiveness of the operation of budgeting policies in preventing or detecting material misstatements in the classification of expenses. In some circumstances, that evidential matter may be sufficient to support an assessed level of control risk that is below the maximum level for the presentation and disclosure assertions pertaining to expenses in the income statement.

.86 When the auditor concludes that procedures performed to obtain the understanding of internal control also provide evidential matter for assessing control risk, he or she should consider the guidance in paragraphs .90 through .104 in judging the degree of assurance provided by that evidential matter. Although such evidential matter may not provide sufficient assurance to support an assessed level of control risk that is below the maximum level for certain assertions, it may do so for other assertions and thus provide a basis for modifying the nature, timing, or extent of the substantive tests that the auditor plans for those assertions. However, such procedures are not sufficient to support an assessed level of control risk below the maximum level if they do not provide sufficient evidential matter to evaluate the effectiveness of both the design and operation of a control relevant to an assertion.

Further Reduction in the Assessed Level of Control Risk

.87 After obtaining the understanding of internal control and assessing control risk, the auditor may desire to further reduce the assessed level of control risk for certain assertions. In such cases, the auditor considers whether additional evidential matter sufficient to support a further reduction is likely to be available, and whether it would be efficient to perform tests of controls to obtain that evidential matter. The results of the procedures performed to obtain the understanding of internal control, as well as pertinent information from other sources, help the auditor to evaluate those two factors.

.88 In considering efficiency, the auditor recognizes that additional evidential matter that supports a further reduction in the assessed level of control risk for an assertion would result in less audit effort for the substantive tests of that assertion. The auditor weighs the increase in audit effort associated with the additional tests of controls that is necessary to obtain such evidential matter against the resulting decrease in audit effort associated with the reduced substantive tests.

.89 For those assertions for which the auditor performs additional tests of controls, the auditor determines the assessed level of control risk that the results of those tests will support. This assessed level of control risk is used in determining the appropriate detection risk to accept for those assertions and, accordingly, in determining the nature, timing, and extent of substantive tests for such assertions.
Evidential Matter to Support the Assessed Level of Control Risk

.90 When the auditor assesses control risk below the maximum level, he or she should obtain sufficient evidential matter to support that assessed level. The evidential matter\(^{15}\) that is sufficient to support a specific assessed level of control risk is a matter of judgment. Evidential matter varies substantially in the assurance it provides to the auditor as he or she develops an assessed level of control risk. The type of evidential matter, its source, its timeliness, and the existence of other evidential matter related to the conclusion to which it leads all bear on the degree of assurance evidential matter provides.

.91 These characteristics influence the nature, timing, and extent of the tests of controls that the auditor applies to obtain evidential matter about control risk. The auditor selects such tests from a variety of techniques such as inquiry, observation, inspection, and reperformance of a control that pertains to an assertion. No one specific test of controls is always necessary, applicable, or equally effective in every circumstance.

Type of Evidential Matter

.92 The nature of the particular controls that pertain to an assertion influences the type of evidential matter that is available to evaluate the effectiveness of the design or operation of those controls. For some controls, documentation of design or operation may exist. In such circumstances, the auditor may decide to inspect the documentation to obtain evidential matter about the effectiveness of design or operation.

.93 For other controls, however, such documentation may not be available or relevant. For example, documentation of design or operation may not exist for some factors in the control environment, such as assignment of authority and responsibility, or for some types of control activities, such as undocumented monitoring controls or control activities performed by a computer. In such circumstances, evidential matter about the effectiveness of design or operation may be obtained through such methods as observation, inquiry, or the use of computer-assisted audit techniques.

Source of Evidential Matter

.94 Generally, evidential matter about the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls obtained directly by the auditor, such as through observation, provides more assurance than evidential matter obtained indirectly or by inference, such as through inquiry. For example, evidential matter that is obtained by the auditor's direct personal observation of the individual who applies a control generally provides more assurance than making inquiries about the application of the control. The auditor should consider, however, that the observed application of a control might not be performed in the same manner when the auditor is not present.

.95 Inquiry alone generally will not provide sufficient evidential matter to support a conclusion about the effectiveness of design or operation of a specific control. When the auditor determines that a specific control may have

\(^{15}\) See also section 326 for guidance on evidential matter.
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a significant effect in reducing control risk to a low level for a specific assertion, he or she ordinarily needs to perform additional tests to obtain sufficient evidential matter to support the conclusion about the effectiveness of the design or operation of that control.

**Timeliness of Evidential Matter**

.96 The timeliness of the evidential matter concerns when it was obtained and the portion of the audit period to which it applies. In evaluating the degree of assurance that is provided by evidential matter, the auditor should consider that the evidential matter obtained by some tests of controls, such as observation, pertains only to the point in time at which the auditing procedure was applied. Consequently, such evidential matter may be insufficient to evaluate the effectiveness of the design or operation of controls for periods not subjected to such tests. In such circumstances, the auditor may decide to supplement those tests with other tests of controls that are capable of providing evidential matter about the entire audit period. For example, for an application control performed by a computer program, the auditor may test the operation of the control at a particular point in time to obtain evidential matter about whether the control is operating effectively at that point in time. The auditor may then perform tests of controls directed toward obtaining evidential matter about whether the application control operated consistently during the audit period, such as tests of general controls pertaining to the modification and use of that computer program during the audit period.

.97 Evidential matter about the effective design or operation of controls that was obtained in prior audits may be considered by the auditor in assessing control risk in the current audit. To evaluate the use of such evidential matter for the current audit, the auditor should consider the significance of the assertion involved, the specific controls that were evaluated during the prior audits, the degree to which the effective design and operation of those controls were evaluated, the results of the tests of controls used to make those evaluations, and the evidential matter about design or operation that may result from substantive tests performed in the current audit. The auditor should also consider that the longer the time elapsed since tests of controls were performed to obtain evidential matter about control risk, the less assurance they may provide.

.98 When considering evidential matter obtained from prior audits, the auditor should obtain evidential matter in the current period about whether changes have occurred in internal control, including its policies, procedures, and personnel, subsequent to the prior audits, as well as the nature and extent of any such changes. For example, in performing the prior audit, the auditor may have determined that an automated control was functioning as intended. The auditor should obtain evidence to determine whether changes to the automated control have been made that would affect its continued effective functioning. Consideration of evidential matter about these changes, together with the considerations in the preceding paragraph, may support either increasing or decreasing the evidential matter about the effectiveness of design and operation to be obtained in the current period.

.99 When the auditor obtains evidential matter about the design or operation of controls during an interim period, he or she should determine what additional evidential matter should be obtained for the remaining period. In making that determination, the auditor should consider the significance of the assertion involved, the specific controls that were evaluated during the interim period, the degree to which the effective design and operation of those
controls were evaluated, the results of the tests of controls used to make that
evaluation, the length of the remaining period, and the evidential matter about
design or operation that may result from the substantive tests performed in
the remaining period. The auditor should obtain evidential matter about the
nature and extent of any significant changes in internal control, including its
policies, procedures, and personnel, that occur subsequent to the interim period.

Interrelationship of Evidential Matter

.100 The auditor should consider the combined effect of various types of
evidential matter relating to the same assertion in evaluating the degree of
assurance that evidential matter provides. In some circumstances, a single
type of evidential matter may not be sufficient to evaluate the effective design
or operation of a control. To obtain sufficient evidential matter in such circum-
stances, the auditor may perform other tests of controls pertaining to that
control. For example, an auditor may observe the procedures for opening the
mail and processing cash receipts to evaluate the operating effectiveness of
controls over cash receipts. Because an observation is pertinent only at the
point in time at which it is made, the auditor may supplement the observation
with inquiries of entity personnel and inspection of documentation about the
operation of such controls at other times during the audit period.

.101 In addition, when evaluating the degree of assurance provided by
evidential matter, the auditor should consider the interrelationship of an
entity’s control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information
and communication, and monitoring. Although an individual internal control
component may affect the nature, timing, or extent of substantive tests for a
specific financial statement assertion, the auditor should consider the eviden-
tial matter about an individual component in relation to the evidential matter
about the other components in assessing control risk for a specific assertion.

.102 Generally, when various types of evidential matter support the same
conclusion about the design or operation of a control, the degree of assurance
provided increases. Conversely, if various types of evidential matter lead to
different conclusions about the design or operation of a control, the assurance
provided decreases. For example, based on the evidential matter that the
control environment is effective, the auditor may have reduced the number of
locations at which auditing procedures will be performed. If, however, when
evaluating specific control activities, the auditor obtains evidential matter that
such activities are ineffective, he or she may re-evaluate his or her conclusion
about the control environment and, among other things, decide to perform
auditing procedures at additional locations.

.103 Similarly, evidential matter indicating that the control environment
is ineffective may adversely affect an otherwise effective control for a particu-
lar assertion. For example, a control environment that is likely to permit
unauthorized changes in a computer program may reduce the assurance
provided by evidential matter obtained from evaluating the effectiveness of the
program at a particular point in time. In such circumstances, the auditor may
decide to obtain additional evidential matter about the design and operation of
that program during the audit period. For example, the auditor might obtain
and control a copy of the program and use computer-assisted audit techniques
to compare that copy with the program that the entity uses to process data.

.104 An audit of financial statements is a cumulative process; as the
auditor assesses control risk, the information obtained may cause him or her
to modify the nature, timing, or extent of the other planned tests of controls for
assessing control risk. In addition, information may come to the auditor's attention as a result of performing substantive tests or from other sources during the audit that differs significantly from the information on which his or her planned tests of controls for assessing control risk were based. For example, the extent of misstatements that the auditor detects by performing substantive tests may alter his or her judgment about the assessed level of control risk. In such circumstances, the auditor may need to re-evaluate the planned substantive procedures, based on a revised consideration of the assessed level of control risk for all or some of the financial statement assertions.

Correlation of Control Risk With Detection Risk

.105 The ultimate purpose of assessing control risk is to contribute to the auditor's evaluation of the risk that material misstatements exist in the financial statements. The process of assessing control risk (together with assessing inherent risk) provides evidential matter about the risk that such misstatements may exist in the financial statements. The auditor uses this evidential matter as part of the reasonable basis for an opinion referred to in the third standard of field work, which follows:

Sufficient competent evidential matter is to be obtained through inspection, observation, inquiries, and confirmations to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit.

.106 After considering the level to which he or she seeks to restrict the risk of a material misstatement in the financial statements and the assessed levels of inherent risk and control risk, the auditor performs substantive tests to restrict detection risk to an acceptable level. As the assessed level of control risk decreases, the acceptable level of detection risk increases. Accordingly, the auditor may alter the nature, timing, and extent of the substantive tests performed.

.107 Although the inverse relationship between control risk and detection risk may permit the auditor to change the nature or the timing of substantive tests or limit their extent, ordinarily the assessed level of control risk cannot be sufficiently low to eliminate the need to perform any substantive tests to restrict detection risk for all of the assertions relevant to significant account balances or transaction classes. Consequently, regardless of the assessed level of control risk, the auditor should perform substantive tests for significant account balances and transaction classes.

.108 The substantive tests that the auditor performs consist of tests of details of transactions and balances, and analytical procedures. In assessing control risk, the auditor also may use tests of details of transactions as tests of controls. The objective of tests of details of transactions performed as substantive tests is to detect material misstatements in the financial statements. The objective of tests of details of transactions performed as tests of controls is to evaluate whether a control operated effectively. Although these objectives are different, both may be accomplished concurrently through performance of a test of details on the same transaction. The auditor should recognize, however, that careful consideration should be given to the design and evaluation of such tests to ensure that both objectives will be accomplished.

Effective Date

.109 This amendment is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 1, 2001. Earlier application is permissible.
Appendix

Internal Control Components

1. This appendix discusses the five internal control components set forth in paragraph .07 and further described in paragraphs .34 through .57 as they relate to a financial statement audit.

Control Environment

2. The control environment sets the tone of an organization, influencing the control consciousness of its people. It is the foundation for all other components of internal control, providing discipline and structure.

3. The control environment encompasses the following factors:
   a. Integrity and ethical values. The effectiveness of controls cannot rise above the integrity and ethical values of the people who create, administer, and monitor them. Integrity and ethical values are essential elements of the control environment, affecting the design, administration, and monitoring of other components. Integrity and ethical behavior are the product of the entity's ethical and behavioral standards, how they are communicated, and how they are reinforced in practice. They include management’s actions to remove or reduce incentives and temptations that might prompt personnel to engage in dishonest, illegal, or unethical acts. They also include the communication of entity values and behavioral standards to personnel through policy statements and codes of conduct and by example.
   b. Commitment to competence. Competence is the knowledge and skills necessary to accomplish tasks that define the individual’s job. Commitment to competence includes management’s consideration of the competence levels for particular jobs and how those levels translate into requisite skills and knowledge.
   c. Board of directors or audit committee participation. An entity's control consciousness is influenced significantly by the entity’s board of directors or audit committee. Attributes include the board or audit committee’s independence from management, the experience and stature of its members, the extent of its involvement and scrutiny of activities, the appropriateness of its actions, the degree to which difficult questions are raised and pursued with management, and its interaction with internal and external auditors.
   d. Management’s philosophy and operating style. Management’s philosophy and operating style encompass a broad range of characteristics. Such characteristics may include the following: management’s approach to taking and monitoring business risks; management’s attitudes and actions toward financial reporting (conservative or aggressive selection from available alternative accounting principles, and conscientiousness and conservatism with which accounting estimates are developed); and management’s attitudes toward information processing and accounting functions and personnel.
e. **Organizational structure.** An entity's organizational structure provides the framework within which its activities for achieving entity-wide objectives are planned, executed, controlled, and monitored. Establishing a relevant organizational structure includes considering key areas of authority and responsibility and appropriate lines of reporting. An entity develops an organizational structure suited to its needs. The appropriateness of an entity's organizational structure depends, in part, on its size and the nature of its activities.

f. **Assignment of authority and responsibility.** This factor includes how authority and responsibility for operating activities are assigned and how reporting relationships and authorization hierarchies are established. It also includes policies relating to appropriate business practices, knowledge and experience of key personnel, and resources provided for carrying out duties. In addition, it includes policies and communications directed at ensuring that all personnel understand the entity's objectives, know how their individual actions interrelate and contribute to those objectives, and recognize how and for what they will be held accountable.

g. **Human resource policies and practices.** Human resource policies and practices relate to hiring, orientation, training, evaluating, counseling, promoting, compensating, and remedial actions. For example, standards for hiring the most qualified individuals—with emphasis on educational background, prior work experience, past accomplishments, and evidence of integrity and ethical behavior—demonstrate an entity's commitment to competent and trustworthy people. Training policies that communicate prospective roles and responsibilities and include practices such as training schools and seminars illustrate expected levels of performance and behavior. Promotions driven by periodic performance appraisals demonstrate the entity's commitment to the advancement of qualified personnel to higher levels of responsibility.

**Application to Small and Midsized Entities**

4. Small and midsized entities may implement the control environment factors differently than larger entities. For example, smaller entities might not have a written code of conduct but, instead, develop a culture that emphasizes the importance of integrity and ethical behavior through oral communication and by management example. Similarly, smaller entities may not have an independent or outside member on their board of directors.

**Risk Assessment**

5. An entity’s risk assessment for financial reporting purposes is its identification, analysis, and management of risks relevant to the preparation of financial statements that are fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. For example, risk assessment may address how the entity considers the possibility of unrecorded transactions or identifies and analyzes significant estimates recorded in the financial statements. Risks relevant to reliable financial reporting also relate to specific events or transactions.
6. Risks relevant to financial reporting include external and internal events and circumstances that may occur and adversely affect an entity’s ability to initiate, record, process, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. Once risks are identified, management considers their significance, the likelihood of their occurrence, and how they should be managed. Management may initiate plans, programs, or actions to address specific risks or it may decide to accept a risk because of cost or other considerations. Risks can arise or change due to circumstances such as the following:

- **Changes in operating environment.** Changes in the regulatory or operating environment can result in changes in competitive pressures and significantly different risks.
- **New personnel.** New personnel may have a different focus on or understanding of internal control.
- **New or revamped information systems.** Significant and rapid changes in information systems can change the risk relating to internal control.
- **Rapid growth.** Significant and rapid expansion of operations can strain controls and increase the risk of a breakdown in controls.
- **New technology.** Incorporating new technologies into production processes or information systems may change the risk associated with internal control.
- **New business models, products, or activities.** Entering into business areas or transactions with which an entity has little experience may introduce new risks associated with internal control.
- **Corporate restructurings.** Restructurings may be accompanied by staff reductions and changes in supervision and segregation of duties that may change the risk associated with internal control.
- **Expanded foreign operations.** The expansion or acquisition of foreign operations carries new and often unique risks that may affect internal control, for example, additional or changed risks from foreign currency transactions.
- **New accounting pronouncements.** Adoption of new accounting principles or changing accounting principles may affect risks in preparing financial statements.

**Application to Small and Midsized Entities**

7. The basic concepts of the risk assessment process should be present in every entity, regardless of size, but the risk assessment process is likely to be less formal and less structured in small and midsized entities than in larger ones. All entities should have established financial reporting objectives, but they may be recognized implicitly rather than explicitly in smaller entities. Management may be able to learn about risks related to these objectives through direct personal involvement with employees and outside parties.
Control Activities

8. Control activities are the policies and procedures that help ensure that necessary actions are taken to address risks to achievement of the entity’s objectives. Control activities, whether automated or manual, have various objectives and are applied at various organizational and functional levels.

9. Generally, control activities that may be relevant to an audit may be categorized as policies and procedures that pertain to the following:

- **Performance reviews.** These control activities include reviews of actual performance versus budgets, forecasts, and prior period performance; relating different sets of data—operating or financial—to one another, together with analyses of the relationships and investigative and corrective actions; and review of functional or activity performance, such as a bank’s consumer loan manager’s review of reports by branch, region, and loan type for loan approvals and collections.

- **Information processing.** A variety of controls are performed to check accuracy, completeness, and authorization of transactions. The two broad groupings of information systems control activities are application controls and general controls. Application controls apply to the processing of individual applications. These controls help ensure that transactions occurred, are authorized, and are completely and accurately recorded and processed. General controls commonly include controls over data center and network operations; system software acquisition and maintenance; access security; and application system acquisition, development, and maintenance. These controls apply to mainframe, miniframe, and end-user environments. Examples of such general controls are program change controls, controls that restrict access to programs or data, controls over the implementation of new releases of packaged software applications, and controls over system software that restrict access to or monitor the use of system utilities that could change financial data or records without leaving an audit trail.

- **Physical controls.** These activities encompass the physical security of assets, including adequate safeguards such as secured facilities, over access to assets and records; authorization for access to computer programs and data files; and periodic counting and comparison with amounts shown on control records. The extent to which physical controls intended to prevent theft of assets are relevant to the reliability of financial statement preparation, and therefore the audit, depends on circumstances such as when assets are highly susceptible to misappropriation. For example, these controls would ordinarily not be relevant when any inventory losses would be detected pursuant to periodic physical inspection and recorded in the financial statements. However, if for financial reporting purposes management relies solely on perpetual inventory records, the physical security controls would be relevant to the audit.

- **Segregation of duties.** Assigning different people the responsibilities of authorizing transactions, recording transactions, and...
maintaining custody of assets is intended to reduce the opportunities to allow any person to be in a position to both perpetrate and conceal errors or fraud in the normal course of his or her duties.

**Application to Small and Midsized Entities**

10. The concepts underlying control activities in small or midsized organizations are likely to be similar to those in larger entities, but the formality with which they operate varies. Further, smaller entities may find that certain types of control activities are not relevant because of controls applied by management. For example, management’s retention of authority for approving credit sales, significant purchases, and draw-downs on lines of credit can provide strong control over those activities, lessening or removing the need for more detailed control activities. An appropriate segregation of duties often appears to present difficulties in smaller organizations. Even companies that have only a few employees, however, may be able to assign their responsibilities to achieve appropriate segregation or, if that is not possible, to use management oversight of the incompatible activities to achieve control objectives.

**Information and Communication**

11. An information system consists of infrastructure (physical and hardware components), software, people, procedures (manual and automated), and data. Infrastructure and software will be absent, or have less significance, in systems that are exclusively or primarily manual. Many information systems make extensive use of information technology.

12. The information system relevant to financial reporting objectives, which includes the accounting system, consists of the procedures, whether automated or manual, and records established to initiate, record, process, and report entity transactions (as well as events and conditions) and to maintain accountability for the related assets, liabilities, and equity. Transactions may be initiated manually or automatically by programmed procedures. Recording includes identifying and capturing the relevant information for transactions or events. Processing includes functions such as edit and validation, calculation, measurement, valuation, summarization, and reconciliation, whether performed by automated or manual procedures. Reporting relates to the preparation of financial reports as well as other information, in electronic or printed format, that the entity uses in monitoring and other functions. The quality of system-generated information affects management’s ability to make appropriate decisions in managing and controlling the entity’s activities and to prepare reliable financial reports.

13. Accordingly, an information system encompasses methods and records that—

- Identify and record all valid transactions.
- Describe on a timely basis the transactions in sufficient detail to permit proper classification of transactions for financial reporting.
• Measure the value of transactions in a manner that permits recording their proper monetary value in the financial statements.
• Determine the time period in which transactions occurred to permit recording of transactions in the proper accounting period.
• Present properly the transactions and related disclosures in the financial statements.

14. Communication involves providing an understanding of individual roles and responsibilities pertaining to internal control over financial reporting. It includes the extent to which personnel understand how their activities in the financial reporting information system relate to the work of others and the means of reporting exceptions to an appropriate higher level within the entity. Open communication channels help ensure that exceptions are reported and acted on.

15. Communication takes such forms as policy manuals, accounting and financial reporting manuals, and memoranda. Communication also can be made electronically, orally, and through the actions of management.

Application to Small and Midsized Entities

16. Information systems in small or midsized organizations are likely to be less formal than in larger organizations, but their role is just as significant. Smaller entities with active management involvement may not need extensive descriptions of accounting procedures, sophisticated accounting records, or written policies. Communication may be less formal and easier to achieve in a small or midsized company than in a larger enterprise due to the smaller organization’s size and fewer levels as well as management’s greater visibility and availability.

Monitoring

17. Monitoring is a process that assesses the quality of internal control performance over time. It involves assessing the design and operation of controls on a timely basis and taking necessary corrective actions. This process is accomplished through ongoing monitoring activities, separate evaluations, or a combination of the two.

18. Ongoing monitoring activities are built into the normal recurring activities of an entity and include regular management and supervisory activities. Managers of sales, purchasing, and production at divisional and corporate levels are in touch with operations and may question reports that differ significantly from their knowledge of operations.

19. In many entities, internal auditors or personnel performing similar functions contribute to the monitoring of an entity’s activities through separate evaluations. They regularly provide information about the functioning of internal control, focusing considerable attention on evaluating the design and operation of internal control. They communicate information about strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for improving internal control.
20. Monitoring activities may include using information from communications from external parties. Customers implicitly corroborate billing data by paying their invoices or complaining about their charges. In addition, regulators may communicate with the entity concerning matters that affect the functioning of internal control, for example, communications concerning examinations by bank regulatory agencies. Also, management may consider communications relating to internal control from external auditors in performing monitoring activities.

Application to Small and Midsized Entities

21. Ongoing monitoring activities of small and midsized entities are more likely to be informal and are typically performed as a part of the overall management of the entity’s operations. Management’s close involvement in operations often will identify significant variances from expectations and inaccuracies in financial data.
AU Section 322

The Auditor’s Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements

(Supersedes SAS No. 9)

Source: SAS No. 65.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending after December 15, 1991, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 The auditor considers many factors in determining the nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures to be performed in an audit of an entity’s financial statements. One of the factors is the existence of an internal audit function. This section provides the auditor with guidance on considering the work of internal auditors and on using internal auditors to provide direct assistance to the auditor in an audit performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards.

Roles of the Auditor and the Internal Auditors

.02 One of the auditor’s responsibilities in an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards is to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to provide a reasonable basis for the opinion on the entity’s financial statements. In fulfilling this responsibility, the auditor maintains independence from the entity.

.03 Internal auditors are responsible for providing analyses, evaluations, assurances, recommendations, and other information to the entity’s management and board of directors or to others with equivalent authority and responsibility. To fulfill this responsibility, internal auditors maintain objectivity with respect to the activity being audited.

Obtaining an Understanding of the Internal Audit Function

.04 An important responsibility of the internal audit function is to monitor the performance of an entity’s controls. When obtaining an understanding

---

1 An internal audit function may consist of one or more individuals who perform internal auditing activities within an entity. This section is not applicable to personnel who have the title internal auditor but who do not perform internal auditing activities as described herein.

2 Although internal auditors are not independent from the entity, The Institute of Internal Auditors’ Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing defines internal auditing as an independent appraisal function and requires internal auditors to be independent of the activities they audit. This concept of independence is different from the independence the auditor maintains under the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct.
of internal control, the auditor should obtain an understanding of the internal audit function sufficient to identify those internal audit activities that are relevant to planning the audit. The extent of the procedures necessary to obtain this understanding will vary, depending on the nature of those activities.

.05 The auditor ordinarily should make inquiries of appropriate management and internal audit personnel about the internal auditors—

a. Organizational status within the entity.

b. Application of professional standards (see paragraph .11).

c. Audit plan, including the nature, timing, and extent of audit work.

d. Access to records and whether there are limitations on the scope of their activities.

In addition, the auditor might inquire about the internal audit function’s charter, mission statement, or similar directive from management or the board of directors. This inquiry will normally provide information about the goals and objectives established for the internal audit function.

.06 Certain internal audit activities may not be relevant to an audit of the entity’s financial statements. For example, the internal auditors’ procedures to evaluate the efficiency of certain management decision-making processes are ordinarily not relevant to a financial statement audit.

.07 Relevant activities are those that provide evidence about the design and effectiveness of controls that pertain to the entity’s ability to initiate, record, process, and report financial data consistent with the assertions embodied in the financial statements or that provide direct evidence about potential misstatements of such data. The auditor may find the results of the following procedures helpful in assessing the relevancy of internal audit activities:

a. Considering knowledge from prior-year audits

b. Reviewing how the internal auditors allocate their audit resources to financial or operating areas in response to their risk-assessment process

c. Reading internal audit reports to obtain detailed information about the scope of internal audit activities

[Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.08 If, after obtaining an understanding of the internal audit function, the auditor concludes that the internal auditors’ activities are not relevant to the financial statement audit, the auditor does not have to give further consideration to the internal audit function unless the auditor requests direct assistance from the internal auditors as described in paragraph .27. Even if some of the internal auditors’ activities are relevant to the audit, the auditor may conclude that it would not be efficient to consider further the work of the internal auditors. If the auditor decides that it would be efficient to consider how the internal auditors’ work might affect the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures, the auditor should assess the competence and objectivity of the internal audit function in light of the intended effect of the internal auditors’ work on the audit.

---

3 Section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, describes the procedures the auditor follows to obtain an understanding of internal control and indicates that the internal audit function is part of the entity’s monitoring component.

7 This footnote reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this footnote is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
Assessing the Competence and Objectivity of the Internal Auditors

Competence of the Internal Auditors

.09 When assessing the internal auditors’ competence, the auditor should obtain or update information from prior years about such factors as—

- Educational level and professional experience of internal auditors.
- Professional certification and continuing education.
- Audit policies, programs, and procedures.
- Practices regarding assignment of internal auditors.
- Supervision and review of internal auditors’ activities.
- Quality of working-paper documentation, reports, and recommendations.
- Evaluation of internal auditors’ performance.

Objectivity of the Internal Auditors

.10 When assessing the internal auditors’ objectivity, the auditor should obtain or update information from prior years about such factors as—

- The organizational status of the internal auditor responsible for the internal audit function, including—
  — Whether the internal auditor reports to an officer of sufficient status to ensure broad audit coverage and adequate consideration of, and action on, the findings and recommendations of the internal auditors.
  — Whether the internal auditor has direct access and reports regularly to the board of directors, the audit committee, or the owner-manager.
  — Whether the board of directors, the audit committee, or the owner-manager oversees employment decisions related to the internal auditor.
- Policies to maintain internal auditors’ objectivity about the areas audited, including—
  — Policies prohibiting internal auditors from auditing areas where relatives are employed in important or audit-sensitive positions.
  — Policies prohibiting internal auditors from auditing areas where they were recently assigned or are scheduled to be assigned on completion of responsibilities in the internal audit function.

Assessing Competence and Objectivity

.11 In assessing competence and objectivity, the auditor usually considers information obtained from previous experience with the internal audit function, from discussions with management personnel, and from a recent external quality review, if performed, of the internal audit function’s activities. The auditor may also use professional internal auditing standards as criteria in making the assessment. The auditor also considers the need to test the effec-

---

4 Standards have been developed for the professional practice of internal auditing by The Institute of Internal Auditors and the General Accounting Office. These standards are meant to (a) impart an understanding of the role and responsibilities of internal auditing to all levels of management, boards of directors, public bodies, external auditors, and related professional organizations; (b) permit measurement of internal auditing performance; and (c) improve the practice of internal auditing.
The extent of such testing will vary in light of the intended effect of the internal auditors’ work on the audit. If the auditor determines that the internal auditors are sufficiently competent and objective, the auditor should then consider how the internal auditors’ work may affect the audit.

**Effect of the Internal Auditors’ Work on the Audit**

.12 The internal auditors’ work may affect the nature, timing, and extent of the audit, including—

- Procedures the auditor performs when obtaining an understanding of the entity’s internal control (paragraph .13).
- Procedures the auditor performs when assessing risk (paragraphs .14 through .16).
- Substantive procedures the auditor performs (paragraph .17).

When the work of the internal auditors is expected to affect the audit, the guidance in paragraphs .18 through .26 should be followed for considering the extent of the effect, coordinating audit work with internal auditors, and evaluating and testing the effectiveness of internal auditors’ work.

**Understanding of Internal Control**

.13 The auditor obtains a sufficient understanding of the design of controls relevant to the audit of financial statements to plan the audit and to determine whether they have been placed in operation. Since a primary objective of many internal audit functions is to review, assess, and monitor controls, the procedures performed by the internal auditors in this area may provide useful information to the auditor. For example, internal auditors may develop a flowchart of a new computerized sales and receivables system. The auditor may review the flowchart to obtain information about the design of the related controls. In addition, the auditor may consider the results of procedures performed by the internal auditors on related controls to obtain information about whether the controls have been placed in operation. [Revised, February 1997, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78.]

**Risk Assessment**

.14 The auditor assesses the risk of material misstatement at both the financial-statement level and the account-balance or class-of-transaction level.

**Financial-Statement Level**

.15 At the financial-statement level, the auditor makes an overall assessment of the risk of material misstatement. When making this assessment, the auditor should recognize that certain controls may have a pervasive effect on many financial statement assertions. The control environment and accounting system often have a pervasive effect on a number of account balances and transaction classes and therefore can affect many assertions. The auditor’s assessment of risk at the financial-statement level often affects the overall audit strategy. The entity’s internal audit function may influence this overall assessment of risk as well as the auditor’s resulting decisions concerning the nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures to be performed. For example, if the internal auditors’ plan includes relevant audit work at various locations, the auditor may coordinate work with the internal auditors (see paragraph .23) and reduce the number of the entity’s locations at which the auditor would otherwise need to perform auditing procedures.


Account-Balance or Class-of-Transaction Level

.16 At the account-balance or class-of-transaction level, the auditor performs procedures to obtain and evaluate evidential matter concerning management’s assertions. The auditor assesses control risk for each of the significant assertions and performs tests of controls to support assessments below the maximum. When planning and performing tests of controls, the auditor may consider the results of procedures planned or performed by the internal auditors. For example, the internal auditors’ scope may include tests of controls for the completeness of accounts payable. The results of internal auditors’ tests may provide appropriate information about the effectiveness of controls and change the nature, timing, and extent of testing the auditor would otherwise need to perform.

Substantive Procedures

.17 Some procedures performed by the internal auditors may provide direct evidence about material misstatements in assertions about specific account balances or classes of transactions. For example, the internal auditors, as part of their work, may confirm certain accounts receivable and observe certain physical inventories. The results of these procedures can provide evidence the auditor may consider in restricting detection risk for the related assertions. Consequently, the auditor may be able to change the timing of the confirmation procedures, the number of accounts receivable to be confirmed, or the number of locations of physical inventories to be observed.

Extent of the Effect of the Internal Auditors’ Work

.18 Even though the internal auditors’ work may affect the auditor’s procedures, the auditor should perform procedures to obtain sufficient, competent, evidential matter to support the auditor’s report. Evidence obtained through the auditor’s direct personal knowledge, including physical examination, observation, computation, and inspection, is generally more persuasive than information obtained indirectly.5

.19 The responsibility to report on the financial statements rests solely with the auditor. Unlike the situation in which the auditor uses the work of other independent auditors,6 this responsibility cannot be shared with the internal auditors. Because the auditor has the ultimate responsibility to express an opinion on the financial statements, judgments about assessments of inherent and control risks, the materiality of misstatements, the sufficiency of tests performed, the evaluation of significant accounting estimates, and other matters affecting the auditor’s report should always be those of the auditor.

.20 In making judgments about the extent of the effect of the internal auditors’ work on the auditor’s procedures, the auditor considers—

a. The materiality of financial statement amounts—that is, account balances or classes of transactions.

b. The risk (consisting of inherent risk and control risk) of material misstatement of the assertions related to these financial statement amounts.

5 See section 326, Evidential Matter, paragraph .19c.
6 See section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors.
c. The degree of subjectivity involved in the evaluation of the audit evidence gathered in support of the assertions. As the materiality of the financial statement amounts increases and either the risk of material misstatement or the degree of subjectivity increases, the need for the auditor to perform his or her own tests of the assertions increases. As these factors decrease, the need for the auditor to perform his or her own tests of the assertions decreases.

.21 For assertions related to material financial statement amounts where the risk of material misstatement or the degree of subjectivity involved in the evaluation of the audit evidence is high, the auditor should perform sufficient procedures to fulfill the responsibilities described in paragraphs .18 and .19. In determining these procedures, the auditor gives consideration to the results of work (either tests of controls or substantive tests) performed by internal auditors on those particular assertions. However, for such assertions, the consideration of internal auditors' work cannot alone reduce audit risk to an acceptable level to eliminate the necessity to perform tests of those assertions directly by the auditor. Assertions about the valuation of assets and liabilities involving significant accounting estimates, and about the existence and disclosure of related-party transactions, contingencies, uncertainties, and subsequent events, are examples of assertions that might have a high risk of material misstatement or involve a high degree of subjectivity in the evaluation of audit evidence.

.22 On the other hand, for certain assertions related to less material financial statement amounts where the risk of material misstatement or the degree of subjectivity involved in the evaluation of the audit evidence is low, the auditor may decide, after considering the circumstances and the results of work (either tests of controls or substantive tests) performed by internal auditors on those particular assertions, that audit risk has been reduced to an acceptable level and that testing of the assertions directly by the auditor may not be necessary. Assertions about the existence of cash, prepaid assets, and fixed-asset additions are examples of assertions that might have a low risk of material misstatement or involve a low degree of subjectivity in the evaluation of audit evidence.

Coordination of the Audit Work With Internal Auditors

.23 If the work of the internal auditors is expected to have an effect on the auditor's procedures, it may be efficient for the auditor and the internal auditors to coordinate their work by—

- Holding periodic meetings.
- Scheduling audit work.
- Providing access to internal auditors' working papers.
- Reviewing audit reports.
- Discussing possible accounting and auditing issues.

Evaluating and Testing the Effectiveness of Internal Auditors' Work

.24 The auditor should perform procedures to evaluate the quality and effectiveness of the internal auditors' work, as described in paragraphs .12

---

7 For some assertions, such as existence and occurrence, the evaluation of audit evidence is generally objective. More subjective evaluation of the audit evidence is often required for other assertions, such as the valuation and disclosure assertions.
through .17, that significantly affects the nature, timing, and extent of the auditor’s procedures. The nature and extent of the procedures the auditor should perform when making this evaluation are a matter of judgment depending on the extent of the effect of the internal auditors’ work on the auditor’s procedures for significant account balances or classes of transactions.

.25 In developing the evaluation procedures, the auditor should consider such factors as whether the internal auditors’—

- Scope of work is appropriate to meet the objectives.
- Audit programs are adequate.
- Working papers adequately document work performed, including evidence of supervision and review.
- Conclusions are appropriate in the circumstances.
- Reports are consistent with the results of the work performed.

.26 In making the evaluation, the auditor should test some of the internal auditors’ work related to the significant financial statement assertions. These tests may be accomplished by either (a) examining some of the controls, transactions, or balances that the internal auditors examined or (b) examining similar controls, transactions, or balances not actually examined by the internal auditors. In reaching conclusions about the internal auditors’ work, the auditor should compare the results of his or her tests with the results of the internal auditors’ work. The extent of this testing will depend on the circumstances and should be sufficient to enable the auditor to make an evaluation of the overall quality and effectiveness of the internal audit work being considered by the auditor.

Using Internal Auditors to Provide Direct Assistance to the Auditor

.27 In performing the audit, the auditor may request direct assistance from the internal auditors. This direct assistance relates to work the auditor specifically requests the internal auditors to perform to complete some aspect of the auditor’s work. For example, internal auditors may assist the auditor in obtaining an understanding of internal control or in performing tests of controls or substantive tests, consistent with the guidance about the auditor’s responsibility in paragraphs .18 through .22. When direct assistance is provided, the auditor should assess the internal auditors’ competence and objectivity (see paragraphs .09 through .11) and supervise, review, evaluate, and test the work performed by internal auditors to the extent appropriate in the circumstances. The auditor should inform the internal auditors of their responsibilities, the objectives of the procedures they are to perform, and matters that may affect the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures, such as possible accounting and auditing issues. The auditor should also inform the internal auditors that all significant accounting and auditing issues identified during the audit should be brought to the auditor’s attention.

Effective Date

.28 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending after December 15, 1991. Early application of the provisions of this section is permissible.

---

8 See section 311, Planning and Supervision, paragraphs .11 through .14, for the type of supervisory procedures to apply.
Appendix
The Auditor’s Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements

Obtain an understanding of the internal audit function (paras. .04–.08)
- Gather information about its activities (para. .05)
- Consider relevance of internal audit activities to the audit of financial statements (paras. .06–.08)

Are internal audit activities relevant to the audit?

Is it efficient to consider the work of internal auditors?

Assess the competence and objectivity of the internal auditors (paras. .09–.11)

Are internal auditors competent and objective?

Consider the effect of the internal auditors’ work on the audit (paras. .12–.17)
- Understanding of internal control (para. .13)
- Risk assessment (paras. .14–.16)
- Substantive procedures (para. .17)

Consider the extent of the effect of the internal auditors’ work (paras. .18–.22)

Coordinate audit work with internal auditors (para. .23)

Evaluate and test the effectiveness of internal auditors’ work (paras. .24–.26)

Does the auditor plan to request direct assistance from internal auditors?

Apply the procedures outlined in “Using Internal Auditors to Provide Direct Assistance to the Auditor” (para. .27)

End
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AU Section 324

Service Organizations

(Supersedes SAS No. 44)

Sources: SAS No. 70; SAS No. 78; SAS No. 88; SAS No. 98.

See section 9324 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for service auditors’ reports dated after March 31, 1993, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction and Applicability

.01 This section provides guidance on the factors an independent auditor should consider when auditing the financial statements of an entity that uses a service organization to process certain transactions. This section also provides guidance for independent auditors who issue reports on the processing of transactions by a service organization for use by other auditors.

.02 For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

• User organization—The entity that has engaged a service organization and whose financial statements are being audited
• User auditor—The auditor who reports on the financial statements of the user organization
• Service organization—The entity (or segment of an entity) that provides services to a user organization that are part of the user organization’s information system
• Service auditor—The auditor who reports on controls of a service organization that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements
• Report on controls placed in operation—a service auditor’s report on a service organization’s description of its controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements, on whether such controls were suitably designed to achieve specified control objectives, and on whether they had been placed in operation as of a specific date
• Report on controls placed in operation and tests of operating effectiveness—a service auditor’s report on a service organization’s description of its controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements, on whether such controls were suitably designed to achieve specified control objectives, and on whether they had been placed in operation as of a specific date

* Title amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.
1 In this section, a service organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements will be referred to as a service organization’s controls.
date, and on whether the controls that were tested were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the related control objectives were achieved during the period specified.

[Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.03 The guidance in this section is applicable to the audit of the financial statements of an entity that obtains services from another organization that are part of its information system. A service organization’s services are part of an entity’s information system if they affect any of the following:

- The classes of transactions in the entity’s operations that are significant to the entity’s financial statements
- The procedures, both automated and manual, by which the entity’s transactions are initiated, recorded, processed, and reported from their occurrence to their inclusion in the financial statements
- The related accounting records, whether electronic or manual, supporting information, and specific accounts in the entity’s financial statements involved in initiating, recording, processing and reporting the entity’s transactions
- How the entity’s information system captures other events and conditions that are significant to the financial statements
- The financial reporting process used to prepare the entity’s financial statements, including significant accounting estimates and disclosures

Service organizations that provide such services include, for example, bank trust departments that invest and service assets for employee benefit plans or for others, mortgage bankers that service mortgages for others, and application service providers that provide packaged software applications and a technology environment that enables customers to process financial and operational transactions. The guidance in this section may also be relevant to situations in which an organization develops, provides, and maintains the software used by client organizations. The provisions of this section are not intended to apply to situations in which the services provided are limited to executing client organization transactions that are specifically authorized by the client, such as the processing of checking account transactions by a bank or the execution of securities transactions by a broker. This section also is not intended to apply to the audit of transactions arising from financial interests in partnerships, corporations, and joint ventures, such as working interests in oil and gas ventures, when proprietary interests are accounted for and reported to interest holders. [As amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88. Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.04 This section is organized into the following sections:

a. The user auditor’s consideration of the effect of the service organization on the user organization’s internal control and the availability of evidence to—

- Obtain the necessary understanding of the user organization’s internal control to plan the audit
The User Auditor’s Consideration of the Effect of the Service Organization on the User Organization’s Internal Control and the Availability of Audit Evidence

.05 The user auditor should consider the discussion in paragraphs .06 through .21 when planning and performing the audit of an entity that uses a service organization to process its transactions.

The Effect of Use of a Service Organization on a User Organization’s Internal Control

.06 When a user organization uses a service organization, transactions that affect the user organization’s financial statements are subjected to controls that are, at least in part, physically and operationally separate from the user organization. The significance of the controls of the service organization to those of the user organization depends on the nature of the services provided by the service organization, primarily the nature and materiality of the transactions it processes for the user organization and the degree of interaction between its activities and those of the user organization. To illustrate how the degree of interaction affects user organization controls, when the user organization initiates transactions and the service organization executes and does the accounting processing of those transactions, there is a high degree of interaction between the activities at the user organization and those at the service organization. In these circumstances, it may be practicable for the user organization to implement effective controls for those transactions. However, when the service organization initiates, executes, and does the accounting processing of the user organization’s transactions, there is a lower degree of interaction and it may not be practicable for the user organization to implement effective controls for those transactions. [As amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.]

Planning the Audit

.07 Section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, states that an auditor should obtain an understanding of each of the five components of the entity’s internal control sufficient to plan the audit. This understanding may encompass controls placed in operation by the entity and by service organizations whose services are part of the entity’s information system. In planning the audit, such knowledge should be used to—

- Identify types of potential misstatements.
- Consider factors that affect the risk of material misstatement.
- Design tests of controls, when applicable. Paragraphs 65 through 69 of SAS No. 55 discuss factors the auditor considers in determining whether to perform tests of controls
- Design substantive tests.
As amended, effective for service auditor’s reports covering descriptions as of or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78. As amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88. Revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.

.08 [Paragraph deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

.09 Information about the nature of the services provided by a service organization that are part of the user organization’s information system and the service organization’s controls over those services may be available from a wide variety of sources, such as user manuals, system overviews, technical manuals, the contract between the user organization and the service organization, and reports by service auditors, internal auditors, or regulatory authorities on the service organization’s controls. If the services and the service organization’s controls over those services are highly standardized, information obtained through the user auditor’s prior experience with the service organization may be helpful in planning the audit. [As amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.]

.10 After considering the available information, the user auditor may conclude that he or she has the means to obtain a sufficient understanding of internal control to plan the audit. If the user auditor concludes that information is not available to obtain a sufficient understanding to plan the audit, he or she may consider contacting the service organization, through the user organization, to obtain specific information or request that a service auditor be engaged to perform procedures that will supply the necessary information, or the user auditor may visit the service organization and perform such procedures. If the user auditor is unable to obtain sufficient evidence to achieve his or her audit objectives, the user auditor should qualify his or her opinion or disclaim an opinion on the financial statements because of a scope limitation. [As amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.]

Assessing Control Risk at the User Organization

.11 The user auditor uses his or her understanding of the internal control to assess control risk for the assertions embodied in the account balances and classes of transactions, including those that are affected by the activities of the service organization. In doing so, the user auditor may identify certain user organization controls that, if effective, would permit the user auditor to assess control risk below the maximum for particular assertions. Such controls may be applied at either the user organization or the service organization. The user auditor may conclude that it would be efficient to obtain evidential matter about the operating effectiveness of controls to provide a basis for assessing control risk below the maximum. [Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.12 A service auditor’s report on controls placed in operation at the service organization should be helpful in providing a sufficient understanding to plan the audit of the user organization. Such a report, however, is not intended to provide any evidence of the operating effectiveness of the relevant controls that would allow the user auditor to reduce the assessed level of control risk below the maximum. Such evidential matter should be derived from one or more of the following:
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a. Tests of the user organization’s controls over the activities of the service organization (for example, the user auditor may test the user organization’s independent reperformance of selected items processed by a service organization or test the user organization’s reconciliation of output reports with source documents).

b. A service auditor’s report on controls placed in operation and tests of operating effectiveness, or a report on the application of agreed-upon procedures that describes relevant tests of controls.

c. Appropriate tests of controls performed by the user auditor at the service organization.

13 The user organization may establish effective controls over the service organization’s activities that may be tested and that may enable the user auditor to reduce the assessed level of control risk below the maximum for some or all of the related assertions. If a user organization, for example, uses a service organization to process its payroll transactions, the user organization may establish controls over the submission and receipt of payroll information that could prevent or detect material misstatements. The user organization might reperform the service organization’s payroll calculations on a test basis. In this situation, the user auditor may perform tests of the user organization’s controls over payroll processing that would provide a basis for assessing control risk below the maximum for the assertions related to payroll transactions. Alternatively, the user auditor may decide to assess control risk at the maximum level because he or she believes controls are unlikely to pertain to an assertion, are unlikely to be effective, or because he or she believes obtaining evidence about the operating effectiveness of the service organization’s controls, such as those over changes in payroll programs, would not be efficient. [Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

14 The user auditor may find that controls relevant to assessing control risk below the maximum for particular assertions are applied only at the service organization. If the user auditor plans to assess control risk below the maximum for those assertions, he or she should evaluate the operating effectiveness of those controls by obtaining a service auditor’s report that describes the results of the service auditor’s tests of those controls (that is, a report on controls placed in operation and tests of operating effectiveness, or an agreed-upon procedures report) or by performing tests of controls at the service organization. If the user auditor decides to use a service auditor’s report, the user auditor should consider the extent of the evidence provided by the report about the effectiveness of controls intended to prevent or detect material misstatements in the particular assertions. The user auditor remains responsible for evaluating the evidence presented by the service auditor and for determining its effect on the assessment of control risk at the user organization.

15 The user auditor’s assessments of control risk regarding assertions about account balances or classes of transactions are based on the combined evidence provided by the service auditor’s report and the user auditor’s own procedures. In making these assessments, the user auditor should consider the nature, source, and interrelationships among the evidence, as well as the

---

2 See AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements, for guidance on performing and reporting on agreed-upon procedures engagements. [Footnote added, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
period covered by the tests of controls. The user auditor uses the assessed levels of control risk, as well as his or her understanding of internal control, in determining the nature, timing, and extent of substantive tests for particular assertions.

.16 The guidance in section 319.90 through .99, regarding the auditor’s consideration of the sufficiency of evidential matter to support a specific assessed level of control risk is applicable to user auditors considering evidential matter provided by a service auditor’s report on controls placed in operation and tests of operating effectiveness. Because the report may be intended to satisfy the needs of several different user auditors, a user auditor should determine whether the specific tests of controls and results in the service auditor’s report are relevant to assertions that are significant in the user organization’s financial statements. For those tests of controls and results that are relevant, a user auditor should consider whether the nature, timing, and extent of such tests of controls and results provide appropriate evidence about the effectiveness of the controls to support the user auditor’s assessed level of control risk. In evaluating these factors, user auditors should also keep in mind that, for certain assumptions, the shorter the period covered by a specific test and the longer the time elapsed since the performance of the test, the less support for control risk reduction the test may provide. [Revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

Audit Evidence From Substantive Audit Procedures Performed by Service Auditors

.17 Service auditors may be engaged to perform procedures that are substantive in nature for the benefit of user auditors. Such engagements may involve the performance, by the service auditor, of procedures agreed upon by the user organization and its auditor and by the service organization and its auditor. In addition, there may be requirements imposed by governmental authorities or through contractual arrangements whereby service auditors perform designated procedures that are substantive in nature. The results of the application of the required procedures to balances and transactions processed by the service organization may be used by user auditors as part of the evidence necessary to support their opinions.

Considerations in Using a Service Auditor’s Report

.18 In considering whether the service auditor’s report is satisfactory for his or her purposes, the user auditor should make inquiries concerning the service auditor’s professional reputation. Appropriate sources of information concerning the professional reputation of the service auditor are discussed in section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors, paragraph .10a.

.19 In considering whether the service auditor’s report is sufficient to meet his or her objectives, the user auditor may supplement his or her understanding of the service auditor’s procedures and conclusions by discussing with the service auditor the scope and results of the service auditor’s work. Also, if the user auditor believes it is necessary, he or she may contact the service organization, through the user organization, to request that the service auditor perform agreed-upon procedures at the service organization, or the user auditor may perform such procedures.
When assessing a service organization’s controls and how they interact with a user organization’s controls, the user auditor may become aware of the existence of reportable conditions. In such circumstances, the user auditor should consider the guidance provided in section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit.

The user auditor should not make reference to the report of the service auditor as a basis, in part, for his or her own opinion on the user organization’s financial statements. The service auditor’s report is used in the audit, but the service auditor is not responsible for examining any portion of the financial statements as of any specific date or for any specified period. Thus, there cannot be a division of responsibility for the audit of the financial statements.

Responsibilities of Service Auditors

The service auditor is responsible for the representations in his or her report and for exercising due care in the application of procedures that support those representations. Although a service auditor’s engagement differs from an audit of financial statements conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, it should be performed in accordance with the general standards and with the relevant fieldwork and reporting standards. Although the service auditor should be independent from the service organization, it is not necessary for the service auditor to be independent from each user organization.

As a result of procedures performed at the service organization, the service auditor may become aware of illegal acts, fraud, or uncorrected errors attributable to the service organization’s management or employees that may affect one or more user organizations. The terms errors, fraud, and illegal acts are discussed in section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, and section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients; the discussions therein are relevant to this section. When the service auditor becomes aware of such matters, he or she should determine from the appropriate level of management of the service organization whether this information has been communicated appropriately to affected user organizations, unless those matters are clearly inconsequential. If the management of the service organization has not communicated the information to affected user organizations and is unwilling to do so, the service auditor should inform the service organization’s audit committee or others with equivalent authority or responsibility. If the audit committee does not respond appropriately to the service auditor’s communication, the service auditor should consider whether to resign from the engagement. The service auditor may wish to consult with his or her attorney in making this decision.

The type of engagement to be performed and the related report to be prepared should be established by the service organization. However, when circumstances permit, discussions between the service organization and the user organizations are advisable to determine the type of report that will be most suitable for the user organizations’ needs. This section provides guidance on the two types of reports that may be issued:

a. Reports on controls placed in operation—A service auditor’s report on a service organization’s description of the controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements, on whether such controls were suitably designed to achieve specified control objectives, and on whether they had been placed in operation as of a specific date. Such reports may...
be useful in providing a user auditor with an understanding of the controls necessary to plan the audit and to design effective tests of controls and substantive tests at the user organization, but they are not intended to provide the user auditor with a basis for reducing his or her assessments of control risk below the maximum.

b. Reports on controls placed in operation and tests of operating effectiveness—A service auditor’s report on a service organization’s description of the controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements, on whether such controls were suitably designed to achieve specified control objectives, on whether they had been placed in operation as of a specific date, and on whether the controls that were tested were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the related control objectives were achieved during the period specified. Such reports may be useful in providing the user auditor with an understanding of the controls necessary to plan the audit and may also provide the user auditor with a basis for reducing his or her assessments of control risk below the maximum.

Reports on Controls Placed in Operation

.25 The information necessary for a report on controls placed in operation ordinarily is obtained through discussions with appropriate service organization personnel and through reference to various forms of documentation, such as system flowcharts and narratives.

.26 After obtaining a description of the relevant controls, the service auditor should determine whether the description provides sufficient information for user auditors to obtain an understanding of those aspects of the service organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control. The description should contain a discussion of the features of the service organization’s controls that would have an effect on a user organization’s internal control. Such features are relevant when they directly affect the service provided to the user organization. They may include controls within the control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring components of internal control. The control environment may include hiring practices and key areas of authority and responsibility. Risk assessment may include the identification of risks associated with processing specific transactions. Control activities may include policies and procedures over the modification of computer programs and are ordinarily designed to meet specific control objectives. The specific control objectives of the service organization should be set forth in the service organization’s description of controls. Information and communication may include ways in which user transactions are initiated and processed. Monitoring may include the involvement of internal auditors. [As amended, effective for service auditor’s reports covering descriptions as of or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78.]

.27 Evidence of whether controls have been placed in operation is ordinarily obtained through previous experience with the service organization and through procedures such as inquiry of appropriate management, supervisory, and staff personnel; inspection of service organization documents and records; and observation of service organization activities and operations. For the type of report described in paragraph .24a, these procedures need not be supplemented by tests of the operating effectiveness of the service organization’s controls.
Although a service auditor’s report on controls placed in operation is as of a specified date, the service auditor should inquire about changes in the service organization’s controls that may have occurred before the beginning of fieldwork. If the service auditor believes that the changes would be considered significant by user organizations and their auditors, those changes should be included in the description of the service organization’s controls. If the service auditor concludes that the changes would be considered significant by user organization’s and their auditors and the changes are not included in the description of the service organization’s controls, the service auditor should describe the changes in his or her report. Such changes might include—

- Procedural changes made to accommodate provisions of a new FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards.
- Major changes in an application to permit on-line processing.
- Procedural changes to eliminate previously identified deficiencies.

Changes that occurred more than twelve months before the date being reported on normally would not be considered significant, because they generally would not affect user auditors’ considerations.

A service auditor’s report expressing an opinion on a description of controls placed in operation at a service organization should contain—

a. A specific reference to the applications, services, products, or other aspects of the service organization covered.

b. A description of the scope and nature of the service auditor’s procedures.

c. Identification of the party specifying the control objectives.

d. An indication that the purpose of the service auditor’s engagement was to obtain reasonable assurance about whether (1) the service organization’s description presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of the service organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements, (2) the controls were suitably designed to achieve specified control objectives, and (3) such controls had been placed in operation as of a specific date.

e. A disclaimer of opinion on the operating effectiveness of the controls.

f. The service auditor’s opinion on whether the description presents fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of the service organization’s controls that had been placed in operation as of a specific date and whether, in the service auditor’s opinion, the controls were suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the specified control objectives would be achieved if those controls were complied with satisfactorily.

g. A statement of the inherent limitations of the potential effectiveness of controls at the service organization and of the risk of projecting to future periods any evaluation of the description.

h. Identification of the parties for whom the report is intended.

If the service auditor believes that the description is inaccurate or insufficiently complete for user auditors, the service auditor’s report should so state and should contain sufficient detail to provide user auditors with an appropriate understanding.
.31 It may become evident to the service auditor, when considering the service organization’s description of controls placed in operation, that the system was designed with the assumption that certain controls would be implemented by the user organization. If the service auditor is aware of the need for such complementary user organization controls, these should be delineated in the description of controls. If the application of controls by user organizations is necessary to achieve the stated control objectives, the service auditor’s report should be modified to include the phrase “and user organizations applied the controls contemplated in the design of the Service Organization’s controls” following the words “complied with satisfactorily” in the scope and opinion paragraphs.

.32 The service auditor should consider conditions that come to his or her attention that, in the service auditor’s judgment, represent significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the service organization’s controls that preclude the service auditor from obtaining reasonable assurance that specified control objectives would be achieved. The service auditor should also consider whether any other information, irrespective of specified control objectives, has come to his or her attention that causes him or her to conclude (a) that design deficiencies exist that could adversely affect the ability to initiate, record, process, or report financial data to user organizations without error, and (b) that user organizations would not generally be expected to have controls in place to mitigate such design deficiencies. [Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.33 The description of controls and control objectives required for these reports may be prepared by the service organization. If the service auditor prepares the description of controls and control objectives, the representations in the description remain the responsibility of the service organization.

.34 For the service auditor to express an opinion on whether the controls were suitably designed to achieve the specified control objectives, it is necessary that—

a. The service organization identify and appropriately describe such control objectives and the relevant controls.
b. The service auditor consider the linkage of the controls to the stated control objectives.
c. The service auditor obtain sufficient evidence to reach an opinion.

.35 The control objectives may be designated by the service organization or by outside parties such as regulatory authorities, a user group, or others. When the control objectives are not established by outside parties, the service auditor should be satisfied that the control objectives, as set forth by the service organization, are reasonable in the circumstances and consistent with the service organization’s contractual obligations.

.36 The service auditor’s report should state whether the controls were suitably designed to achieve the specified control objectives. The report should not state whether they were suitably designed to achieve objectives beyond the specifically identified control objectives.

.37 The service auditor’s opinion on whether the controls were suitably designed to achieve the specified control objectives is not intended to provide evidence of operating effectiveness or to provide the user auditor with a basis for concluding that control risk may be assessed below the maximum.
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The following is a sample report on controls placed in operation at a service organization. The report should have, as an attachment, a description of the service organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements. This report is illustrative only and should be modified as appropriate to suit the circumstances of individual engagements.

To XYZ Service Organization:

We have examined the accompanying description of controls related to the application of XYZ Service Organization. Our examination included procedures to obtain reasonable assurance about whether (1) the accompanying description presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of XYZ Service Organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements, (2) the controls included in the description were suitably designed to achieve the control objectives specified in the description, if those controls were complied with satisfactorily, and (3) such controls had been placed in operation as of . The control objectives were specified by . Our examination was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and included those procedures we considered necessary in the circumstances to obtain a reasonable basis for rendering our opinion.

We did not perform procedures to determine the operating effectiveness of controls for any period. Accordingly, we express no opinion on the operating effectiveness of any aspects of XYZ Service Organization’s controls, individually or in the aggregate.

In our opinion, the accompanying description of the aforementioned application presents fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of XYZ Service Organization’s controls that had been placed in operation as of . Also, in our opinion, the controls, as described, are suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the specified control objectives would be achieved if the described controls were complied with satisfactorily.

The description of controls at XYZ Service Organization is as of and any projection of such information to the future is subject to the risk that, because of change, the description may no longer portray the controls in existence. The potential effectiveness of specific controls at the Service Organization is subject to inherent limitations and, accordingly, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Furthermore, the projection of any conclusions, based on our findings, to future periods is subject to the risk that changes may alter the validity of such conclusions.

This report is intended solely for use by the management of XYZ Service Organization, its customers, and the independent auditors of its customers.

If the service auditor concludes that the description is inaccurate or insufficiently complete for user auditors, the service auditor should so state in an explanatory paragraph preceding the opinion paragraph. An example of such an explanatory paragraph follows:

If the application of controls by user organizations is necessary to achieve the stated control objectives, the service auditor’s report should be modified to include the phrase “and user organizations applied the controls contemplated in the design of XYZ Service Organization’s controls” following the words “complied with satisfactorily” in the scope and opinion paragraphs. [Footnote renumbered, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
The accompanying description states that XYZ Service Organization uses operator identification numbers and passwords to prevent unauthorized access to the system. Based on inquiries of staff personnel and inspections of activities, we determined that such procedures are employed in Applications A and B but are not required to access the system in Applications C and D.

In addition, the first sentence of the opinion paragraph would be modified to read as follows:

In our opinion, except for the matter referred to in the preceding paragraph, the accompanying description of the aforementioned application presents fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of XYZ Service Organization’s controls that had been placed in operation as of ___.

.40 If, after applying the criteria in paragraph .32, the service auditor concludes that there are significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the service organization’s controls, the service auditor should report those conditions in an explanatory paragraph preceding the opinion paragraph. An example of an explanatory paragraph describing a significant deficiency in the design or operation of the service organization’s controls follows:

As discussed in the accompanying description, from time to time the Service Organization makes changes in application programs to correct deficiencies or to enhance capabilities. The procedures followed in determining whether to make changes, in designing the changes, and in implementing them do not include review and approval by authorized individuals who are independent from those involved in making the changes. There are also no specified requirements to test such changes or provide test results to an authorized reviewer prior to implementing the changes.

In addition, the second sentence of the opinion paragraph would be modified to read as follows:

Also in our opinion, except for the deficiency referred to in the preceding paragraph, the controls, as described, are suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the specified control objectives would be achieved if the described controls were complied with satisfactorily.

Reports on Controls Placed in Operation and Tests of Operating Effectiveness

Paragraphs .41 through .56 repeat some of the information contained in paragraphs .25 through .40 to provide readers with a comprehensive, stand-alone presentation of the relevant considerations for each type of report.

.41 The information necessary for a report on controls placed in operation and tests of operating effectiveness ordinarily is obtained through discussions with appropriate service organization personnel, through reference to various forms of documentation, such as system flowcharts and narratives, and through the performance of tests of controls. Evidence of whether controls have been placed in operation is ordinarily obtained through previous experience with the service organization and through procedures such as inquiry of appropriate management, supervisory, and staff personnel; inspection of service organization documents and records; and observation of service organization activities and operations. The service auditor applies tests of controls to determine whether specific controls are operating with sufficient effectiveness to achieve specified control objectives. Section 350, Audit Sampling, provides guidance on the application and evaluation of audit sampling in performing tests of controls.
After obtaining a description of the relevant controls, the service auditor should determine whether the description provides sufficient information for user auditors to obtain an understanding of those aspects of the service organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control. The description should contain a discussion of the features of the service organization’s controls that would have an effect on a user organization’s internal control. Such features are relevant when they directly affect the service provided to the user organization. They may include controls within the control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring components of internal control. The control environment may include hiring practices and key areas of authority and responsibility. Risk assessment may include the identification of risks associated with processing specific transactions. Control activities may include policies and procedures over the modification of computer programs and are ordinarily designed to meet specific control objectives. The specific control objectives of the service organization should be set forth in the service organization’s description of controls. Information and communication may include ways in which user transactions are initiated and processed. Monitoring may include the involvement of internal auditors. [As amended, effective for service auditor’s reports covering descriptions as of or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78.]

The service auditor should inquire about changes in the service organization’s controls that may have occurred before the beginning of fieldwork. If the service auditor believes the changes would be considered significant by user organizations and their auditors, those changes should be included in the description of the service organization’s controls. If the service auditor concludes that the changes would be considered significant by user organizations and their auditors and the changes are not included in the description of the service organization’s controls, the service auditor should describe the changes in his or her report. Such changes might include—

- Procedural changes made to accommodate provisions of a new FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards.
- Major changes in an application to permit on-line processing.
- Procedural changes to eliminate previously identified deficiencies.

Changes that occurred more than twelve months before the date being reported on normally would not be considered significant, because they generally would not affect user auditors’ considerations.

A service auditor’s report expressing an opinion on a description of controls placed in operation at a service organization and tests of operating effectiveness should contain—

a. A specific reference to the applications, services, products, or other aspects of the service organization covered.

b. A description of the scope and nature of the service auditor’s procedures.

c. Identification of the party specifying the control objectives.

d. An indication that the purpose of the service auditor’s engagement was to obtain reasonable assurance about whether (1) the service organization’s description presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of the service organization’s controls that may be relevant...
to a user organization's internal control as it relates to an audit of
financial statements, (2) the controls were suitably designed to
achieve specified control objectives, and (3) such controls had been
placed in operation as of a specific date.

e. The service auditor's opinion on whether the description presents
fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of the service
organization's controls that had been placed in operation as of a
specific date and whether, in the service auditor's opinion, the con-
trols were suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that
the specified control objectives would be achieved if those controls
were complied with satisfactorily.

f. A reference to a description of tests of specific service organization
controls designed to obtain evidence about the operating effective-
ness of those controls in achieving specified control objectives. The
description should include the controls that were tested, the control
objectives the controls were intended to achieve, the tests applied,
and the results of the tests. The description should include an
indication of the nature, timing, and extent of the tests, as well as
sufficient detail to enable user auditors to determine the effect of
such tests on user auditors' assessments of control risk. To the extent
that the service auditor identified causative factors for exceptions,
determined the current status of corrective actions, or obtained other
relevant qualitative information about exceptions noted, such infor-
mation should be provided.

g. A statement of the period covered by the service auditor's report on
the operating effectiveness of the specific controls tested.

h. The service auditor's opinion on whether the controls that were
tested were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reason-
able, but not absolute, assurance that the related control objectives
were achieved during the period specified.

i. When all of the control objectives listed in the description of controls
placed in operation are not covered by tests of operating effective-
ness, a statement that the service auditor does not express an opinion
on control objectives not listed in the description of tests performed
at the service organization.

j. A statement that the relative effectiveness and significance of specific
service organization controls and their effect on assessments of control
risk at user organizations are dependent on their interaction with the
controls and other factors present at individual user organizations.

k. A statement that the service auditor has performed no procedures to
evaluate the effectiveness of controls at individual user organizations.

l. A statement of the inherent limitations of the potential effectiveness
of controls at the service organization and of the risk of projecting to
the future any evaluation of the description or any conclusions about
the effectiveness of controls in achieving control objectives.

m. Identification of the parties for whom the report is intended.

45 If the service auditor believes that the description is inaccurate or
insufficiently complete for user auditors, the service auditor's report should so
state and should contain sufficient detail to provide user auditors with an
appropriate understanding.
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.46 It may become evident to the service auditor, when considering the service organization's description of controls placed in operation, that the system was designed with the assumption that certain controls would be implemented by the user organization. If the service auditor is aware of the need for such complementary user organization controls, these should be delineated in the description of controls. If the application of controls by user organizations is necessary to achieve the stated control objectives, the service auditor's report should be modified to include the phrase “and user organizations applied the controls contemplated in the design of the Service Organization's controls” following the words “complied with satisfactorily” in the scope and opinion paragraphs. Similarly, if the operating effectiveness of controls at the service organization is dependent on the application of controls at user organizations, this should be delineated in the description of tests performed.

.47 The service auditor should consider conditions that come to his or her attention that, in the service auditor's judgment, represent significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the service organization's controls that preclude the service auditor from obtaining reasonable assurance that specified control objectives would be achieved. The service auditor should also consider whether any other information, irrespective of specified control objectives, has come to his or her attention that causes him or her to conclude (a) that design deficiencies exist that could adversely affect the ability to initiate, record, process, or report financial data to user organizations without error, and (b) that user organizations would not generally be expected to have controls in place to mitigate such design deficiencies. [Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.48 The description of controls and control objectives required for these reports may be prepared by the service organization. If the service auditor prepares the description of controls and control objectives, the representations in the description remain the responsibility of the service organization.

.49 For the service auditor to express an opinion on whether the controls were suitably designed to achieve the specified control objectives, it is necessary that—

a. The service organization identify and appropriately describe such control objectives and the relevant controls.

b. The service auditor consider the linkage of the controls to the stated control objectives.

c. The service auditor obtain sufficient evidence to reach an opinion.

.50 The control objectives may be designated by the service organization or by outside parties such as regulatory authorities, a user group, or others. When the control objectives are not established by outside parties, the service auditor should be satisfied that the control objectives, as set forth by the service organization, are reasonable in the circumstances and consistent with the service organization’s contractual obligations.

.51 The service auditor's report should state whether the controls were suitably designed to achieve the specified control objectives. The report should not state whether they were suitably designed to achieve objectives beyond the specifically identified control objectives.

.52 The service auditor's opinion on whether the controls were suitably designed to achieve the specified control objectives is not intended to provide
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evidence of operating effectiveness or to provide the user auditor with a basis for concluding that control risk may be assessed below the maximum. Evidence that may enable the user auditor to conclude that control risk may be assessed below the maximum may be obtained from the results of specific tests of operating effectiveness.

.53 The management of the service organization specifies whether all or selected applications and control objectives will be covered by the tests of operating effectiveness. The service auditor determines which controls are, in his or her judgment, necessary to achieve the control objectives specified by management. The service auditor then determines the nature, timing, and extent of the tests of controls needed to evaluate operating effectiveness. Testing should be applied to controls in effect throughout the period covered by the report. To be useful to user auditors, the report should ordinarily cover a minimum reporting period of six months.

.54 The following is a sample report on controls placed in operation at a service organization and tests of operating effectiveness. It should be assumed that the report has two attachments: (a) a description of the service organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements and (b) a description of controls for which tests of operating effectiveness were performed, the control objectives the controls were intended to achieve, the tests applied, and the results of those tests. This report is illustrative only and should be modified as appropriate to suit the circumstances of individual engagements.

To XYZ Service Organization:

We have examined the accompanying description of controls related to the application of XYZ Service Organization. Our examination included procedures to obtain reasonable assurance about whether (1) the accompanying description presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of XYZ Service Organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements, (2) the controls included in the description were suitably designed to achieve the control objectives specified in the description, if those controls were complied with satisfactorily, 4 and (3) such controls had been placed in operation as of . The control objectives were specified by . Our examination was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and included those procedures we considered necessary in the circumstances to obtain a reasonable basis for rendering our opinion.

In our opinion, the accompanying description of the aforementioned application presents fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of XYZ Service Organization’s controls that had been placed in operation as of . Also, in our opinion, the controls, as described, are suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the specified control objectives would be achieved if the described controls were complied with satisfactorily.

In addition to the procedures we considered necessary to render our opinion as expressed in the previous paragraph, we applied tests to specific controls, listed in Schedule X, to obtain evidence about their effectiveness in meeting the

---

4 If the application of controls by user organizations is necessary to achieve the stated control objectives, the service auditor’s report should be modified to include the phrase “and user organizations applied the controls contemplated in the design of XYZ Service Organization’s controls” following the words “complied with satisfactorily” in the scope and opinion paragraphs. [Footnote renumbered, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
control objectives, described in Schedule X, during the period from ____ to ____. The specific controls and the nature, timing, extent, and results of the tests are listed in Schedule X. This information has been provided to user organizations of XYZ Service Organization and to their auditors to be taken into consideration, along with information about the internal control at user organizations, when making assessments of control risk for user organizations. In our opinion the controls that were tested, as described in Schedule X, were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the control objectives specified in Schedule X were achieved during the period from ____ to ____. [However, the scope of our engagement did not include tests to determine whether control objectives not listed in Schedule X were achieved; accordingly, we express no opinion on the achievement of control objectives not included in Schedule X.] 5

The relative effectiveness and significance of specific controls at XYZ Service Organization and their effect on assessments of control risk at user organizations are dependent on their interaction with the controls and other factors present at individual user organizations. We have performed no procedures to evaluate the effectiveness of controls at individual user organizations.

The description of controls at XYZ Service Organization is as of ____, and information about tests of the operating effectiveness of specific controls covers the period from ____ to ____. Any projection of such information to the future is subject to the risk that, because of change, the description may no longer portray the controls in existence. The potential effectiveness of specific controls at the Service Organization is subject to inherent limitations and, accordingly, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Furthermore, the projection of any conclusions, based on our findings, to future periods is subject to the risk that changes may alter the validity of such conclusions.

This report is intended solely for use by the management of XYZ Service Organization, its customers, and the independent auditors of its customers.

.55 If the service auditor concludes that the description is inaccurate or insufficiently complete for user auditors, the service auditor should so state in an explanatory paragraph preceding the opinion paragraph. An example of such an explanatory paragraph follows:

The accompanying description states that XYZ Service Organization uses operator identification numbers and passwords to prevent unauthorized access to the system. Based on inquiries of staff personnel and inspection of activities, we determined that such procedures are employed in Applications A and B but are not required to access the system in Applications C and D.

In addition, the first sentence of the opinion paragraph would be modified to read as follows:

In our opinion, except for the matter referred to in the preceding paragraph, the accompanying description of the aforementioned application presents fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of XYZ Service Organization’s controls that had been placed in operation as of ____.

---

5 This sentence should be added when all of the control objectives listed in the description of controls placed in operation are not covered by the tests of operating effectiveness. This sentence would be omitted when all of the control objectives listed in the description of controls placed in operation are included in the tests of operating effectiveness. [Footnote renumbered, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
If, after applying the criteria in paragraph .47, the service auditor concludes that there are significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the service organization’s controls, the service auditor should report those conditions in an explanatory paragraph preceding the opinion paragraph. An example of an explanatory paragraph describing a significant deficiency in the design or operation of the service organization’s controls follows:

As discussed in the accompanying description, from time to time the Service Organization makes changes in application programs to correct deficiencies or to enhance capabilities. The procedures followed in determining whether to make changes, in designing the changes, and in implementing them do not include review and approval by authorized individuals who are independent from those involved in making the changes. There are also no specified requirements to test such changes or provide test results to an authorized reviewer prior to implementing the changes.

In addition, the second sentence of the opinion paragraph would be modified to read as follows:

Also in our opinion, except for the deficiency referred to in the preceding paragraph, the controls, as described, are suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the related control objectives would be achieved if the described controls were complied with satisfactorily.

Responsibilities of Service Organizations and Service Auditors With Respect to Subsequent Events

Changes in a service organization’s controls that could affect user organizations’ information systems may occur subsequent to the period covered by the service auditor’s report but before the date of the service auditor’s report. These occurrences are referred to as subsequent events. A service auditor should consider information about two types of subsequent events that come to his or her attention. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued on or after January 1, 2003, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

The first type consists of events that provide additional information about conditions that existed during the period covered by the service auditor’s report. This information should be used by the service auditor in determining whether controls at the service organization that could affect user organizations’ information systems were placed in operation, suitably designed, and, if applicable, operating effectively during the period covered by the engagement. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued on or after January 1, 2003, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

The second type consists of those events that provide information about conditions that arose subsequent to the period covered by the service auditor’s report that are of such a nature and significance that their disclosure is necessary to prevent users from being misled. This type of information ordinarily will not affect the service auditor’s report if the information is adequately disclosed by management in a section of the report containing “Other Information Provided by the Service Organization.” If this information is not disclosed by the service organization, the service auditor should disclose it in a section of the report containing “Other Information Provided by the Service Auditor” and/or in the service auditor’s report. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued on or after January 1, 2003, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]
Although a service auditor has no responsibility to detect subsequent events, the service auditor should inquire of management as to whether it is aware of any subsequent events through the date of the service auditor’s report that would have a significant effect on user organizations. In addition, a service auditor should obtain a representation from management regarding subsequent events. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued on or after January 1, 2003, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

Written Representations of the Service Organization’s Management

Regardless of the type of report issued, the service auditor should obtain written representations from the service organization’s management that—

- Acknowledge management’s responsibility for establishing and maintaining appropriate controls relating to the processing of transactions for user organizations.
- Acknowledge the appropriateness of the specified control objectives.
- State that the description of controls presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of the service organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control.
- State that the controls, as described, had been placed in operation as of a specific date.
- State that management believes its controls were suitably designed to achieve the specified control objectives.
- State that management has disclosed to the service auditor any significant changes in controls that have occurred since the service organization’s last examination.
- State that management has disclosed to the service auditor any illegal acts, fraud, or uncorrected errors attributable to the service organization’s management or employees that may affect one or more user organizations.
- State that management has disclosed to the service auditor all design deficiencies in controls of which it is aware, including those for which management believes the cost of corrective action may exceed the benefits.
- State that management has disclosed to the service auditor any subsequent events that would have a significant effect on user organizations.

If the scope of the work includes tests of operating effectiveness, the service auditor should obtain a written representation from the service organization’s management stating that management has disclosed to the service auditor all instances, of which it is aware, when controls have not operated with sufficient effectiveness to achieve the specified control objectives. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued on or after January 1, 2003, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

Reporting on Substantive Procedures

The service auditor may be requested to apply substantive procedures to user transactions or assets at the service organization. In such circumstances, the service auditor may make specific reference in his or her report to
having carried out the designated procedures or may provide a separate report in accordance with AT section 201, *Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements*. Either form of reporting should include a description of the nature, timing, extent, and results of the procedures in sufficient detail to be useful to user auditors in deciding whether to use the results as evidence to support their opinions. [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10. Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

**Effective Date**

.63 This section is effective for service auditors’ reports dated after March 31, 1993. Earlier application of this section is encouraged. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]
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Service Organizations: Auditing Interpretations of Section 324

1. Describing Tests of Operating Effectiveness and the Results of Such Tests

.01 Question—Paragraph .44f of section 324, Service Organizations, specifies the elements that should be included in a description of tests of operating effectiveness, which is part of a report on controls placed in operation and tests of operating effectiveness. Section 324.44f states:

"...The description should include the controls that were tested, the control objectives the controls were intended to achieve, the tests applied and the results of the tests. The description should include an indication of the nature, timing, and extent of the tests, as well as sufficient detail to enable user auditors to determine the effect of such tests on user auditors' assessments of control risk. To the extent that the service auditor identified causative factors for exceptions, determined the current status of corrective actions, or obtained other relevant qualitative information about exceptions noted, such information should be provided."

When a service auditor performs an engagement that includes tests of operating effectiveness, what information and how much detail should be included in the description of the “tests applied” and the “results of the tests”?

.02 Interpretation—In all cases, for each control objective tested, the description of tests of operating effectiveness should include all of the elements listed in section 324.44f, whether or not the service auditor concludes that the control objective has been achieved. The description should provide sufficient information to enable user auditors to assess control risk for financial statement assertions affected by the service organization. The description need not be a duplication of the service auditor's detailed audit program, which in some cases would make the report too voluminous for user auditors and would provide more than the required level of detail.

.03 In describing the nature, timing, and extent of the tests applied, the service auditor also should indicate whether the items tested represent a sample or all of the items in the population, but need not indicate the size of the population. In describing the results of the tests, the service auditor should include exceptions and other information that in the service auditor's judgment could be relevant to user auditors. Such exceptions and other information should be included for each control objective, whether or not the service auditor concludes that the control objective has been achieved. When exceptions that could be relevant to user auditors are noted, the description also should include the following information:

- The size of the sample, when sampling has been used
- The number of exceptions noted
- The nature of the exceptions
If no exceptions or other information that could be relevant to user auditors are identified by the tests, the service auditor should indicate that finding (for example, “No relevant exceptions noted”).

[Issue Date: April, 1995.]

2. Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations)

.04 Question—A service organization may use the services of another service organization, such as a bank trust department that uses an independent computer processing service organization to perform its data processing. In this situation, the bank trust department is a service organization and the computer processing service organization is considered a subservice organization. How are a user auditor’s and a service auditor’s procedures affected when a service organization uses a subservice organization?

.05 Interpretation—When a service organization uses a subservice organization, the user auditor should determine whether the processing performed by the subservice organization affects assertions in the user organization’s financial statements and whether those assertions are significant to the user organization’s financial statements. To plan the audit and assess control risk, a user auditor may need to consider the controls at both the service organization and the subservice organization. Paragraphs .06 through .17 of section 324, Service Organizations, provide guidance to user auditors on considering the effect of a service organization on a user organization’s internal control. Although section 324.06-.17 do not specifically refer to subservice organizations, when a subservice organization provides services to a service organization, the guidance in these paragraphs should be interpreted to include the subservice organization. For example, in situations where subservice organizations are used, the interaction between the user organization and the service organization described in section 324.06 would be expanded to include the interaction between the user organization, the service organization and the subservice organization.

.06 Similarly, a service auditor engaged to examine the controls of a service organization and issue a service auditor’s report may need to consider functions performed by the subservice organization and the effect of the subservice organization’s controls on the service organization.

.07 The degree of interaction and the nature and materiality of the transactions processed by the service organization and the subservice organization are the most important factors to consider in determining the significance of the subservice organization’s controls to the user organization’s internal control. Section 324.11–.16 describe how a user auditor’s assessment of control risk is affected when a user organization uses a service organization. When a subservice organization is involved, the user auditor may need to consider activities at both the service organization and the subservice organization in applying the guidance in these paragraphs.

.08 Question—How does a user auditor obtain information about controls at a subservice organization?

.09 Interpretation—If a user auditor concludes that he or she needs information about the subservice organization to plan the audit or to assess control risk, the user auditor (a) may contact the service organization through
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the user organization and may contact the subservice organization either through the user organization or the service organization to obtain specific information or (b) may request that a service auditor be engaged to perform procedures that will supply the necessary information. Alternatively, the user auditor may visit the service organization or subservice organization and perform such procedures.

.10 Question—When a service organization uses a subservice organization, what information about the subservice organization should be included in the service organization’s description of controls?

.11 Interpretation—A service organization’s description of controls should include a description of the functions and nature of the processing performed by the subservice organization in sufficient detail for user auditors to understand the significance of the subservice organization’s functions to the processing of the user organizations’ transactions. Ordinarily, disclosure of the identity of the subservice organization is not required. However, if the service organization determines that the identity of the subservice organization would be relevant to user organizations, the name of the subservice organization may be included in the description. The purpose of the description of the functions and nature of the processing performed by the subservice organization is to alert user organizations and their auditors to the fact that another entity (that is, the subservice organization) is involved in the processing of the user organizations’ transactions and to summarize the functions the subservice organization performs.

.12 When a subservice organization performs services for a service organization, there are two alternative methods of presenting the description of controls. The service organization determines which method will be used.

a. The Carve-Out Method—The subservice organization’s relevant control objectives and controls are excluded from the description and from the scope of the service auditor’s engagement. The service organization states in the description that the subservice organization’s control objectives and related controls are omitted from the description and that the control objectives in the report include only the objectives the service organization’s controls are intended to achieve.

b. The Inclusive Method—The subservice organization’s relevant controls are included in the description and in the scope of the engagement. The description should clearly differentiate between controls of the service organization and controls of the subservice organization. The set of control objectives includes all of the objectives a user auditor would expect both the service organization and the subservice organization to achieve. To accomplish this, the service organization should coordinate the preparation and presentation of the description of controls with the subservice organization.

In either method, the service organization includes in its description of controls a description of the functions and nature of the processing performed by the subservice organization, as set forth in paragraph .11.

.13 If the functions and processing performed by the subservice organization are significant to the processing of user organization transactions, and the service organization does not disclose the existence of the subservice organization and the functions it performs, the service auditor may need to issue a qualified or adverse opinion as to the fairness of the presentation of the description of controls.
.14 Question—How is the service auditor’s report affected by the method of presentation selected?

.15 Interpretation—If the service organization has adopted the carve-out method, the service auditor should modify the scope paragraph of the service auditor’s report to briefly summarize the functions and nature of the processing performed by the subservice organization. This summary ordinarily would be briefer than the information provided by the service organization in its description of the functions and nature of the processing performed by the subservice organization. The service auditor should include a statement in the scope paragraph of the service auditor’s report indicating that the description of controls includes only the control objectives and related controls of the service organization; accordingly, the service auditor’s examination does not extend to controls at the subservice organization.

.16 An example of the scope paragraph of a service auditor’s report using the carve-out method is presented below. Additional or modified report language is shown in boldface italics.

Sample Scope Paragraph of a Service Auditor’s Report Using the Carve-Out Method

Independent Service Auditor’s Report

To the Board of Directors of Example Trust Company:

We have examined the accompanying description of the controls of Example Trust Company applicable to the processing of transactions for users of the Institutional Trust Division. Our examination included procedures to obtain reasonable assurance about whether (1) the accompanying description presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of Example Trust Company’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements; (2) the controls included in the description were suitably designed to achieve the control objectives specified in the description, if those controls were complied with satisfactorily, and user organizations applied the controls contemplated in the design of Example Trust Company’s controls; and (3) such controls had been placed in operation as of June 30, 20XX. Example Trust Company uses a computer processing service organization for all of its computerized application processing. The accompanying description includes only those control objectives and related controls of Example Trust Company and does not include control objectives and related controls of the computer processing service organization. Our examination did not extend to controls of the computer processing service organization. The control objectives were specified by the management of Example Trust Company. Our examination was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and included those procedures we considered necessary in the circumstances to obtain a reasonable basis for rendering our opinion.

[The remainder of the report is the same as the standard service auditor’s report illustrated in section 324.38 and .54.]

.17 If the service organization has used the inclusive method, the service auditor should perform procedures comparable to those described in section 324.12. Such procedures may include performing tests of the service organization’s controls over the activities of the subservice organization or performing
procedures at the subservice organization. If the service auditor will be performing procedures at the subservice organization, the service organization should arrange for such procedures. The service auditor should recognize that the subservice organization generally is not the client for the engagement. Accordingly, in these circumstances the service auditor should determine whether it will be possible to obtain the required evidence to support the portion of the opinion covering the subservice organization and whether it will be possible to obtain an appropriate letter of representations regarding the subservice organization’s controls.

.18 An example of a service auditor’s report using the inclusive method is presented below. Additional or modified report language is shown in *boldface italics*.

**Sample Service Auditor’s Report Using the Inclusive Method**

Independent Service Auditor’s Report

To the Board of Directors of Example Trust Company:

We have examined the accompanying description of the controls of Example Trust Company and Computer Processing Service Organization, an independent service organization that provides computer processing services to Example Trust Company, applicable to the processing of transactions for users of the Institutional Trust Division. Our examination included procedures to obtain reasonable assurance about whether (1) the accompanying description presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of Example Trust Company’s and Computer Processing Service Organization’s controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control as it relates to an audit of financial statements; (2) the controls included in the description were suitably designed to achieve the control objectives specified in the description, if those controls were complied with satisfactorily, and user organizations applied the controls contemplated in the design of Example Trust Company’s controls; and (3) the controls had been placed in operation as of June 30, 20XX.

The control objectives were specified by the management of Example Trust Company. Our examination was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and included those procedures we considered necessary in the circumstances to obtain a reasonable basis for rendering our opinion.

In our opinion, the accompanying description of the aforementioned controls presents fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of Example Trust Company’s and Computer Processing Service Organization’s controls that had been placed in operation as of June 30, 20XX. Also, in our opinion, the controls, as described, are suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the specified control objectives would be achieved if the described controls were complied with satisfactorily and user organizations applied the controls contemplated in the design of Example Trust Company’s controls.

In addition to the procedures we considered necessary to render our opinion as expressed in the previous paragraph, we applied tests to specific controls, listed in Schedule X to obtain evidence about their effectiveness in meeting the control objectives, described in Schedule X, during the period from January 1, 20XX, to June 30, 20XX. The specific controls and the nature, timing, extent, and results of the tests are listed in Schedule X. This information has been provided to user organizations of Example Trust Company and to their auditors to be taken into consideration, along with information about internal control at user organizations, when making assessments of control risk for user organizations.
In our opinion the controls that were tested, as described in Schedule X, were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the control objectives specified in Schedule X were achieved during the period from January 1, 20XX, to June 30, 20XX.

The relative effectiveness and significance of specific controls at Example Trust Company and Computer Processing Service Organization, and their effect on assessments of control risk at user organizations are dependent on their interaction with the controls and other factors present at individual user organizations. We have performed no procedures to evaluate the effectiveness of controls at individual user organizations.

The description of controls at Example Trust Company and Computer Processing Service Organization is as of June 30, 20XX, and information about tests of the operating effectiveness of specific controls covers the period from January 1, 20XX, to June 30, 20XX. Any projection of such information to the future is subject to the risk that, because of change, the description may no longer portray the controls in existence. The potential effectiveness of specific controls at the Service Organization and Computer Processing Service Organization is subject to inherent limitations and, accordingly, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Furthermore, the projection of any conclusions, based on our findings, to future periods is subject to the risk that changes may alter the validity of such conclusions.\(^1\)

This report is intended solely for use by the management of Example Trust Company, its users, and the independent auditors of its users.

July 10, 20XX

[Issue Date: April, 1995; Revised: February, 1997; Revised: April, 2002.]

[3.] Responsibilities of Service Organizations and Service Auditors With Respect to Information About the Year 2000 Issue in a Service Organization’s Description of Controls

[.19–.34] [Withdrawn July, 2000 by the Audit Issues Task Force.]

4. Responsibilities of Service Organizations and Service Auditors With Respect to Forward-Looking Information in a Service Organization’s Description of Controls

Question—Section 324.32 requires a service auditor to consider “whether any other information, irrespective of specified control objectives, has come to his or her attention that causes him or her to conclude (a) that design deficiencies exist that could adversely affect the ability to initiate, record, process, or report financial data to user organizations without error, and (b) that user organizations would not generally be expected to have controls in place to mitigate such design deficiencies.” A service auditor performing a service auditor’s engagement may become aware that a service organization, whose system is correctly processing data during the period covered by the service auditor’s examination, has not performed contingency planning or made adequate provision for disaster recovery, and may not be able to retrieve or process data in future periods. Does section 324.32 require a service

\(^1\) This sentence has been expanded to describe the risks of projecting any evaluation of the controls to future periods because of the failure to make needed changes to a system or controls, as provided for in Interpretation No. 5, “Statements About the Risk of Projecting Evaluations of the Effectiveness of Controls to Future Periods” (paragraphs .38–.40).
Service Organizations

Auditor to identify, in his or her report, design deficiencies that do not affect processing during the period covered by the service auditor’s examination but may represent potential problems in future periods?

.Interpretation.—No. Section 324.32 addresses design deficiencies that could adversely affect processing during the period covered by the service auditor’s examination. Section 324.32 does not apply to design deficiencies that potentially could affect processing in future periods. If the computer programs are correctly processing data during the period covered by the service auditor’s examination, and such design deficiencies currently do not affect user organizations’ abilities to initiate, record, process, or report financial data, the service auditor would not be required to report such design deficiencies in his or her report, based on the requirements in section 324.32. However, if a service auditor becomes aware of design deficiencies at the service organization that could potentially affect the processing of user organizations’ transactions in future periods, the service auditor, in his or her judgment, may choose to communicate this information to the service organization’s management and advise management to disclose this information and its plans for correcting the design deficiencies in a section of the service auditor’s document titled “Other Information Provided by the Service Organization.”

.37 If the service organization includes information about the design deficiencies in the section of the document titled “Other Information Provided by the Service Organization,” the service auditor should read the information and consider applying by analogy the guidance in section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements. In addition, the service auditor should include a paragraph in his or her report disclaiming an opinion on the information provided by the service organization. The following is an example of such a paragraph.

The information in section 4 describing XYZ Service Organization’s plans to modify its disaster recovery plan is presented by the Service Organization to provide additional information and is not a part of the Service Organization’s description of controls that may be relevant to a user organization’s internal control. Such information has not been subjected to the procedures applied in the examination of the description of the controls applicable to the processing of transactions for user organizations and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

A service auditor also may consider communicating information about the design deficiencies in the section of the service auditor’s document titled “Other Information Provided by the Service Auditor.”

5. Statements About the Risk of Projecting Evaluations of the Effectiveness of Controls to Future Periods

.Question—Section 324.29g and .44l state that a service auditor’s report should contain a statement of the inherent limitations of the potential effectiveness of controls at the service organization and of the risk of projecting
to future periods any evaluation of the description. Section 324.44I goes on to state that the report also should refer to the risk of projecting to the future “any conclusions about the effectiveness of controls in achieving control objectives.” The sample service auditor’s reports in section 324.38 and .54 include illustrative paragraphs that illustrate this caveat. The following excerpt is from section 324.54:

The description of controls at XYZ Service Organization is as of ___________, and information about tests of the operating effectiveness of specific controls covers the period from ____________ to ____________. Any projection of such information to the future is subject to the risk that, because of change, the description may no longer portray the controls in existence. The potential effectiveness of specific controls at the Service Organization is subject to inherent limitations and, accordingly, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Furthermore, the projection of any conclusions, based on our findings, to future periods is subject to the risk that changes may alter the validity of such conclusions.

The validity of projections to the future about the effectiveness of controls may be affected by changes made to the system and the controls, and also by the failure to make needed changes, for example, changes to accommodate new processing requirements. May a service auditor’s report be expanded to describe the risk of projecting to the future conclusions about the effectiveness of controls?

.39 Interpretation—The sample reports in section 324.38 and .54 may be expanded to describe this risk. The first and second sentences of the illustrative paragraph above address the potential effect of change on the description of controls as of a specified date; accordingly, they do not require modification because new processing requirements would not affect the description as of the specified date. However, the last sentence in the sample report paragraph above could be expanded to describe the risk of projecting an evaluation of the controls to future periods because of changes to the system or controls, or the failure to make needed changes to the system or controls.

.40 Suggested additions to the paragraph in the illustrative service auditor’s reports in section 324.38 and .54 are the following (new language is shown in italics):

The description of controls at XYZ Service Organization is as of ___________, and information about tests of the operating effectiveness of specific controls covers the period from ____________ to ____________. Any projection of such information to the future is subject to the risk that, because of change, the description may no longer portray the controls in existence. The potential effectiveness of specific controls at the Service Organization is subject to inherent limitations and, accordingly, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Furthermore, the projection of any conclusions, based on our findings, to future periods is subject to the risk that changes made to the system or controls, or the failure to make needed changes to the system or controls, may alter the validity of such conclusions.

[Issue Date: February, 2002.]

[6.] Responsibilities of Service Organizations and Service Auditors With Respect to Subsequent Events in a Service Auditor’s Engagement

[.41–42] [Rescinded September, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]
AU Section 325

Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit

(Supersedes AU sections 323 and 642.47—.53)

Source: SAS No. 60; SAS No. 78; SAS No. 87.

See section 9325 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance in identifying and reporting conditions that relate to an entity’s internal control observed during an audit of financial statements. It is contemplated that the communication would generally be to the audit committee or to individuals with a level of authority and responsibility equivalent to an audit committee in organizations that do not have one, such as the board of directors, the board of trustees, an owner in an owner-managed enterprise, or others who may have engaged the auditor. For the purpose of this section, the term audit committee is used to refer to the appropriate recipient of the communication. This section also provides guidance on establishing, between the auditor and client, agreed-upon criteria for identifying and reporting additional matters beyond those required by this section.

Reportable Conditions

.02 During the course of an audit, the auditor may become aware of matters relating to internal control that may be of interest to the audit committee. The matters that this section requires for reporting to the audit committee are referred to as reportable conditions. Specifically, these are matters coming to the auditor’s attention that, in his judgment, should be communicated to the audit committee because they represent significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control, which could adversely affect the organization’s ability to initiate, record, process, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. Such deficiencies may involve aspects of the five internal control components of (a) the control environment, (b) risk assessment, (c) control
activities, (d) information and communication, and (e) monitoring. (See paragraph .21 for examples of reportable conditions.) [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78. Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.03 The auditor may also identify matters that, in his judgment, are not reportable conditions as defined in paragraph .02; however, the auditor may choose to communicate such matters for the benefit of management (and other recipients, as appropriate).

Identifying Reportable Conditions

.04 The auditor’s objective in an audit of financial statements is to form an opinion on the entity’s financial statements taken as a whole. The auditor is not obligated to search for reportable conditions. However, the auditor may become aware of possible reportable conditions through consideration of the components of internal control, application of audit procedures to balances and transactions, or otherwise during the course of the audit. The auditor’s awareness of reportable conditions varies with each audit and is influenced by the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures and numerous other factors, such as an entity’s size, its complexity, and the nature and diversity of its business activities. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78.]

.05 In making the judgment as to which matters are reportable conditions, the auditor should take into consideration various factors relating to the entity, such as its size, complexity and diversity of activities, organizational structure, and ownership characteristics.

.06 The existence of reportable conditions related to internal control design or operation may already be known and, in fact, may represent a conscious decision by management—a decision of which the audit committee is aware—to accept that degree of risk because of cost or other considerations. It is the responsibility of management to make the decisions concerning costs to be incurred and related benefits. Provided the audit committee has acknowledged its understanding and consideration of such deficiencies and the associated risks, the auditor may decide the matter does not need to be reported. Periodically, the auditor should consider whether, because of changes in management, the audit committee, or simply because of the passage of time, it is appropriate and timely to report such matters.

Agreed-Upon Criteria

.07 The auditor and client may discuss internal control and concerns regarding its functioning when making arrangements for the audit. Clients may request the auditor to be alert to matters and to report conditions that go beyond those contemplated by this section. The auditor is not precluded from reporting matters that he views to be of value to management in the absence of any specific request to do so.

.08 Agreed-upon arrangements between the auditor and the client to report conditions noted may include, for example, the reporting of matters of less significance than provided for by this section, the existence of conditions
specified by the client, or the results of further investigation of matters noted to identify underlying causes. Under these arrangements, it is possible that the auditor may be requested to visit specific locations, assess specific controls, or undertake specific procedures not otherwise planned.

**Reporting—Form and Content**

.09 Conditions noted by the auditor that are considered reportable under this section or that are the result of agreement with the client should be reported, preferably in writing. If information is communicated orally, the auditor should document the communication by appropriate memoranda or notations in the working papers.

.10 The report should state that the communication is intended solely for the information and the use of the audit committee, management, and others within the organization and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. When there are requirements established by governmental authorities to furnish such reports, specific reference to such regulatory authorities may be made.

.11 Any report issued on reportable conditions should—

- Indicate that the purpose of the audit was to report on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control.
- Include the definition of reportable conditions.
- Include the restriction on use as discussed in paragraph .10.

.12 The following is an illustration of the sections of a report encompassing the above requirements.

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the ABC Corporation for the year ended December 31, 19XX, we considered its internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the organization’s ability to initiate, record, process, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

[Include paragraphs to describe the reportable conditions noted.]

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee (board of directors, board of trustees, or owners in owner-managed enterprises), management, and others within the organization (or specified regulatory agency) and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

[As amended, effective for reports issued after December 31, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 87. Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]
In some circumstances, the auditor may wish to include additional statements in the report regarding the inherent limitations of internal control in general, the specific extent and nature of his consideration of internal control during the audit, or other matters regarding the basis for the comments made.

In a communication that contains both observations deemed by the auditor to be reportable conditions, as defined, and other comments, it may be appropriate to indicate which comments are in each category.

A reportable condition may be of such magnitude as to be considered a material weakness. A material weakness in internal control is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Although this section does not require that the auditor separately identify and communicate material weaknesses, the auditor may choose or the client may request the auditor to separately identify and communicate as material weaknesses those reportable conditions that, in the auditor’s judgment, are considered to be material weaknesses. [As modified, June 1990, by the Audit Issues Task Force.]

The following is an illustration of the sections of a report that may be used when the auditor wishes, or has been requested, to advise the audit committee in writing that one or more reportable conditions have been identified, but none is deemed to be a material weakness.

[Include the first paragraph in the report illustrated in paragraph .12.]

[Include paragraphs to describe the reportable conditions noted.]

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Our consideration of internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, none of the reportable conditions described above is believed to be a material weakness.

[Include the final paragraph in the report illustrated in paragraph .12.]

Because of the potential for misinterpretation of the limited degree of assurance associated with the auditor issuing a written report representing that no reportable conditions were noted during an audit, the auditor should not issue such representations.

Because timely communication may be important, the auditor may choose to communicate significant matters during the course of the audit rather than after the audit is concluded. The decision on whether an interim communication should be issued would be influenced by the relative significance of the matters noted and the urgency of corrective follow-up action.

AU §325.13 Copyright © 2002, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
The provisions in this section should not be viewed as precluding an auditor from communicating to a client a variety of observations and suggestions regarding its activities that go beyond internal control related matters. Such matters may deal with operational or administrative efficiencies, business strategies, and other items of perceived benefit to the client.

Effective Date

This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989, with early application permissible.
Appendix

Examples of Possible Reportable Conditions

1. As indicated in paragraph .02 of this section reportable conditions involve matters coming to the auditor’s attention that relate to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control that, in the auditor’s judgment, could adversely affect the organization’s ability to initiate, record, process, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

2. The following are examples of matters that may be reportable conditions. They are grouped by categories of conditions and within categories by specific examples of conditions. Certain of these matters may also require communications under the provisions of other statements on auditing standards.

Deficiencies in internal control design

- Inadequate overall internal control design
- Absence of appropriate segregation of duties consistent with appropriate control objectives
- Absence of appropriate reviews and approvals of transactions, accounting entries, or systems output
- Inadequate procedures for appropriately assessing and applying accounting principles
- Inadequate provisions for the safeguarding of assets
- Absence of other controls considered appropriate for the type and level of transaction activity
- Evidence that a system fails to provide complete and accurate output that is consistent with objectives and current needs because of design flaws

Failures in the operation of internal control

- Evidence of failure of identified controls in preventing or detecting misstatements of accounting information
- Evidence that a system fails to provide complete and accurate output consistent with the entity’s control objectives because of the misapplication of controls
- Evidence of failure to safeguard assets from loss, damage or misappropriation
- Evidence of intentional override of internal control by those in authority to the detriment of the overall objectives of the system
- Evidence of failure to perform tasks that are part of internal control, such as reconciliations not prepared or not timely prepared
- Evidence of willful wrongdoing by employees or management
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• Evidence of manipulation, falsification, or alteration of accounting records or supporting documents
• Evidence of intentional misapplication of accounting principles
• Evidence of misrepresentation by client personnel to the auditor
• Evidence that employees or management lack the qualifications and training to fulfill their assigned functions

Others
• Absence of a sufficient level of control consciousness within the organization
• Failure to follow up and correct previously identified internal control deficiencies
• Evidence of significant or extensive undisclosed related party transactions
• Evidence of undue bias or lack of objectivity by those responsible for accounting decisions

[Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]
AU Section 9325

Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit: Auditing Interpretations of Section 325

1. Reporting on the Existence of Material Weaknesses

.01 Question—Section 325 requires the auditor to report to the audit committee or to individuals with equivalent authority and responsibility reportable conditions noted during an audit of financial statements. It permits the issuance of reports that include a statement about whether any of the reportable conditions identified are material weaknesses. In connection with an audit, may the auditor issue a written report on material weaknesses separate from the report on reportable conditions?

.02 Interpretation—Yes. Section 325 does not preclude the auditor from issuing a separate report stating whether he or she noted any material weaknesses during the audit. Reports on material weaknesses should—

- Indicate that the purpose of the audit was to report on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control.
- Include the definition of a material weakness.
- State that the communication is intended solely for the information and the use of the audit committee, management, and others within the organization and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. When there are requirements established by governmental agencies to furnish such reports, specific reference to such regulatory authorities may be made.

.03 Section 325 prohibits the auditor from issuing a written report representing that no reportable conditions were noted during the audit. Therefore, in issuing a report stating that no material weaknesses were noted, the auditor should not imply that no reportable conditions were noted.

.04 The following is an illustration of a report encompassing the above requirements:

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of ABC Corporation for the year ended December 31, 19XX, we considered its internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control. Our consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. However, we noted no matters involving the internal control and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee (board of directors, board of trustees, or owners in owner-managed enterprises), management, and others within the organization (or specified regulatory agency) and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

If conditions believed to be material weaknesses are disclosed, the report should describe the weaknesses that have come to the auditor’s attention. The last sentence of the first paragraph of the report illustrated in paragraph .04 should be modified as follows and paragraphs describing the material weaknesses should follow the first paragraph:

However, we noted the following matters involving internal control and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.

In some cases reports on material weaknesses may include comments on specific aspects of internal control or on additional matters. For example, a regulatory agency may require comments on the accounting system and controls (but not on the control environment) or on compliance with certain provisions in contracts or regulations. In such cases the language in paragraph .04 should be modified to:

a. identify clearly the specific aspects of internal controls or the additional matters covered by the report

b. distinguish any additional matters from internal control

c. describe in reasonable detail the scope of the review and tests concerning the additional matters

d. express conclusions in language comparable to that in paragraph .04 or .05, as appropriate

The identification of the specific aspects of internal control or additional matters covered in the report should be as specific as the auditor considers necessary to prevent misunderstanding in this respect. Such identification can be made in some cases by reference to specific portions of other documents such as contracts or regulations.

[Issue Date: February, 1989; Revised: February, 1999.]

[2.] Audit Considerations for the Year 2000 Issue

[.08–.17] [Withdrawn July, 2000 by the Audit Issues Task Force.]

[The next page is 445.]
AU Section 326

**Evidential Matter**

(Supersedes section 330, “Evidential Matter”)

**Source:** SAS No. 31; SAS No. 48; SAS No. 80.

**See section 9326 for interpretations of this section.**

**Issue date, unless otherwise indicated:** August, 1980

.01 The third standard of field work is:

Sufficient competent evidential matter is to be obtained through inspection, observation, inquiries, and confirmations to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit.

.02 Most of the independent auditor's work in forming his or her opinion on financial statements consists of obtaining and evaluating evidential matter concerning the assertions in such financial statements. The measure of the validity of such evidence for audit purposes lies in the judgment of the auditor; in this respect audit evidence differs from legal evidence, which is circumscribed by rigid rules. Evidential matter varies substantially in its influence on the auditor as he or she develops an opinion with respect to financial statements under audit. The pertinence of the evidence, its objectivity, its timeliness, and the existence of other evidential matter corroborating the conclusions to which it leads all bear on its competence.

**Nature of Assertions**

.03 Assertions are representations by management that are embodied in financial statement components. They can be either explicit or implicit and can be classified according to the following broad categories:

- Existence or occurrence
- Completeness
- Rights and obligations
- Valuation or allocation
- Presentation and disclosure

.04 Assertions about existence or occurrence address whether assets or liabilities of the entity exist at a given date and whether recorded transactions have occurred during a given period. For example, management asserts that finished goods inventories in the balance sheet are available for sale. Similarly, management asserts that sales in the income statement represent the exchange of goods or services with customers for cash or other consideration.

---

1 See section 319, *Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit*, paragraphs .90 through .104, for further guidance on evidential matter. [Footnote added, May 1994, to cross-reference guidance on evidential matter to section 319. Footnote revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]
Assertions about completeness address whether all transactions and accounts that should be presented in the financial statements are so included. For example, management asserts that all purchases of goods and services are recorded and are included in the financial statements. Similarly, management asserts that notes payable in the balance sheet include all such obligations of the entity.

Assertions about rights and obligations address whether assets are the rights of the entity and liabilities are the obligations of the entity at a given date. For example, management asserts that amounts capitalized for leases in the balance sheet represent the cost of the entity’s rights to leased property and that the corresponding lease liability represents an obligation of the entity.

Assertions about valuation or allocation address whether asset, liability, equity, revenue, and expense components have been included in the financial statements at appropriate amounts. For example, management asserts that property is recorded at historical cost and that such cost is systematically allocated to appropriate accounting periods. Similarly, management asserts that trade accounts receivable included in the balance sheet are stated at net realizable value. [As amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

Assertions about presentation and disclosure address whether particular components of the financial statements are properly classified, described, and disclosed. For example, management asserts that obligations classified as long-term liabilities in the balance sheet will not mature within one year. Similarly, management asserts that amounts presented as extraordinary items in the income statement are properly classified and described.

**Use of Assertions in Developing Audit Objectives and Designing Substantive Tests**

In obtaining evidential matter in support of financial statement assertions, the auditor develops specific audit objectives in the light of those assertions. In developing the audit objectives of a particular engagement, the auditor should consider the specific circumstances of the entity, including the nature of its economic activity and the accounting practices unique to its industry. For example, one audit objective related to the assertion about completeness that an auditor might develop for inventory balances is that inventory quantities include all products, materials, and supplies on hand.

There is not necessarily a one-to-one relationship between audit objectives and procedures. Some auditing procedures may relate to more than one objective. On the other hand, a combination of auditing procedures may be needed to achieve a single objective. Paragraph .26 provides illustrative audit objectives for inventories of a manufacturing company for each of the broad categories of assertions listed in paragraph .03 and examples of substantive tests that may achieve those audit objectives.

In selecting particular substantive tests to achieve the audit objectives he or she has developed, an auditor considers, among other things, the risk of material misstatement of the financial statements, including the assessed levels of control risk, and the expected effectiveness and efficiency of such tests. These considerations include the nature and materiality of the items being tested, the kinds and competence of available evidential matter, and the nature of the audit objective to be achieved. For example, in designing
substantive tests to achieve an objective related to the assertion of existence or occurrence, the auditor selects from items contained in a financial statement amount and searches for relevant evidential matter. On the other hand, in designing procedures to achieve an objective related to the assertion of completeness, the auditor selects from evidential matter indicating that an item should be included in the relevant financial statement amount and investigates whether that item is so included.

.12 The auditor’s specific audit objectives do not change whether information is processed manually or electronically. However, the methods of applying audit procedures to gather evidence may be influenced by the method of processing. The auditor may use either manual auditing procedures, information technology-assisted audit techniques, or a combination of both to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter. Because of the growth in the use of computers and other information technology, many entities process significant information electronically. Accordingly, it may be difficult or impossible for the auditor to access certain information for inspection, inquiry, or confirmation without using information technology. [Paragraph added, effective for periods beginning after August 31, 1984, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48. As amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

.13 The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures to be applied on a particular engagement are a matter of professional judgment to be determined by the auditor, based on the specific circumstances. However, the procedures adopted should be adequate to achieve the auditor’s specific objectives and reduce detection risk to a level acceptable to the auditor. The evidential matter obtained should be sufficient for the auditor to form conclusions concerning the validity of the individual assertions embodied in the components of financial statements. The evidential matter provided by the combination of the auditor’s assessment of inherent risk and control risk and on substantive tests should provide a reasonable basis for his or her opinion (see section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, paragraphs .105 through .108). [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. As amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80. Revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.14 In entities where significant information is transmitted, processed, maintained, or accessed electronically, the auditor may determine that it is not practical or possible to reduce detection risk to an acceptable level by performing only substantive tests for one or more financial statement assertions. For example, the potential for improper initiation or alteration of information to occur and not be detected may be greater if information is produced, maintained, or accessed only in electronic form. In such circumstances, the auditor should perform tests of controls to gather evidential matter to use in assessing control risk, or consider the effect on his or her report (see paragraph .25 of this section). [Paragraph added, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

---

2 Section 319.107 states that ordinarily the assessed level of control risk cannot be sufficiently low to eliminate the need to perform any substantive tests for significant account balances and transaction classes and, consequently, the auditor should perform substantive tests for such balances and classes regardless of the assessed level of control risk. [Footnote added, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80. Footnote revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]
Nature of Evidential Matter

.15 Evidential matter supporting the financial statements consists of the underlying accounting data and all corroborating information available to the auditor. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80, December 1996.]

.16 The books of original entry, the general and subsidiary ledgers, related accounting manuals, and records such as work sheets and spreadsheets supporting cost allocations, computations, and reconciliations all constitute evidence in support of the financial statements. These accounting data are often in electronic form. Accounting data alone cannot be considered sufficient support for financial statements; on the other hand, without adequate attention to the propriety and accuracy of the underlying accounting data, an opinion on financial statements would not be warranted. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

.17 Corroborating evidential matter includes both written and electronic information such as checks; records of electronic fund transfers; invoices; contracts; minutes of meetings; confirmations and other written representations by knowledgeable people; information obtained by the auditor from inquiry, observation, inspection, and physical examination; and other information developed by, or available to, the auditor which permits him or her to reach conclusions through valid reasoning. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

.18 In certain entities, some of the accounting data and corroborating evidential matter are available only in electronic form. Source documents such as purchase orders, bills of lading, invoices, and checks are replaced with electronic messages. For example, entities may use Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) or image processing systems. In EDI, the entity and its customers or suppliers use communication links to transact business electronically. Purchase, shipping, billing, cash receipt, and cash disbursement transactions are often consummated entirely by the exchange of electronic messages between the parties. In image processing systems, documents are scanned and converted into electronic images to facilitate storage and reference, and the source documents may not be retained after conversion. Certain electronic evidence may exist at a certain point in time. However, such evidence may not be retrievable after a specified period of time if files are changed and if backup files do not exist. Therefore, the auditor should consider the time during which information exists or is available in determining the nature, timing, and extent of his or her substantive tests, and, if applicable, tests of controls. [Paragraph added, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

.19 The auditor tests underlying accounting data by (a) analysis and review, (b) retracing the procedural steps followed in the accounting process and in developing the allocations involved, (c) recalculation, and (d) reconciling related types and applications of the same information. Through the performance of such procedures, the auditor may determine that the accounting
records are internally consistent. Such internal consistency ordinarily provides evidence about the fairness of presentation of the financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

.20 The pertinent accounting data and corroborating evidential matter to support entries in the accounts and assertions in the financial statements ordinarily are available from the entity's files and accessible to the auditor for examination at certain points or periods in time. Both within the entity's organization and outside it are knowledgeable people to whom the auditor can direct inquiries. Assets having physical existence are available to the auditor for his or her inspection. Activities of the entity's personnel can be observed. Based on observations of these or other conditions or circumstances, the auditor may reach conclusions about the validity of various assertions in the financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

Competence of Evidential Matter

.21 To be competent, evidence, regardless of its form, must be both valid and relevant. The validity of evidential matter is so dependent on the circumstances under which it is obtained that generalizations about the reliability of various kinds of evidence are subject to important exceptions. If the possibility of important exceptions is recognized, however, the following presumptions, which are not mutually exclusive, about the validity of evidential matter in auditing have some usefulness:

a. When evidential matter can be obtained from independent sources outside an entity, it provides greater assurance of reliability for the purposes of an independent audit than that secured solely within the entity.

b. The more effective the internal control, the more assurance it provides about the reliability of the accounting data and financial statements.

c. The independent auditor's direct personal knowledge, obtained through physical examination, observation, computation, and inspection, is more persuasive than information obtained indirectly.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

Sufficiency of Evidential Matter

.22 The independent auditor's objective is to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to provide him or her with a reasonable basis for forming an opinion. The amount and kinds of evidential matter required to support an informed opinion are matters for the auditor to determine in the exercise of his or her professional judgment after a careful study of the circumstances in the particular case. However, in the great majority of cases, the auditor has to rely
on evidence that is persuasive rather than convincing. Both the individual assertions in financial statements and the overall proposition that the financial statements as a whole are fairly presented are of such a nature that even an experienced auditor is seldom convinced beyond all doubt with respect to all aspects of the statements being audited. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

.23 An auditor typically works within economic limits; the auditor’s opinion, to be economically useful, must be formed within a reasonable length of time and at reasonable cost. The auditor must decide, again exercising professional judgment, whether the evidential matter available to him or her within the limits of time and cost is sufficient to justify expression of an opinion. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80, December 1996.]

.24 As a guiding rule, there should be a rational relationship between the cost of obtaining evidence and the usefulness of the information obtained. The matter of difficulty and expense involved in testing a particular item is not in itself a valid basis for omitting the test. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80, December 1996.]

Evaluation of Evidential Matter

.25 In evaluating evidential matter, the auditor considers whether specific audit objectives have been achieved. The independent auditor should be thorough in his or her search for evidential matter and unbiased in its evaluation. In designing audit procedures to obtain competent evidential matter, he or she should recognize the possibility that the financial statements may not be fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. In developing his or her opinion, the auditor should consider relevant evidential matter regardless of whether it appears to corroborate or to contradict the assertions in the financial statements. To the extent the auditor remains in substantial doubt about any assertion of material significance, he or she must refrain from forming an opinion until he or she has obtained sufficient competent evidential matter to remove such substantial doubt, or the auditor must express a qualified opinion or a disclaimer of opinion. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

1 The term comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles is defined in section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .04. [Footnote added, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]

2 See section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .20 through .34 and .61 through .63, for further guidance on expression of a qualified opinion or a disclaimer of opinion. [Footnote added, effective for engagements beginning on or after January 1, 1997, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.]
Appendix

Financial Statement Assertions, Illustrative Audit Objectives, and Examples of Substantive Tests

Illustrations for Inventories of a Manufacturing Company

This appendix illustrates the use of assertions in developing audit objectives and designing substantive tests. The following examples of substantive tests are not intended to be all-inclusive nor is it expected that all of the procedures would be applied in an audit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illustrative Audit Objectives</th>
<th>Examples of Substantive Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existence or Occurrence</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories included in the balance sheet physically exist</td>
<td>• Observing physical inventory counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Obtaining confirmation of inventories at locations outside the entity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Testing of inventory transactions between a preliminary physical inventory date and the balance sheet date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories represent items held for sale or use in the normal course of business.</td>
<td>• Reviewing perpetual inventory records, production records, and purchasing records for indications of current activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Comparing inventories with a current sales catalog and subsequent sales and delivery reports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Using the work of specialists to corroborate the nature of specialized products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completeness</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory quantities include all products, materials, and supplies on hand</td>
<td>• Observing physical inventory counts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Analytically comparing the relationship of inventory balances to recent purchasing, production, and sales activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Testing shipping and receiving cutoff procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory quantities include all products, materials, and supplies owned by the company that are in transit or stored at outside locations.</td>
<td>• Obtaining confirmation of inventories at locations outside the entity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Analytically comparing the relationship of inventory balances to recent purchasing, production, and sales activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| | • Testing shipping and receiving cutoff procedures.
### Illustrative Audit Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Examples of Substantive Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inventory listings are accurately compiled and the totals are properly included in the inventory accounts.</td>
<td>• Tracing test counts recorded during the physical inventory observation to the inventory listing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Accounting for all inventory tags and count sheets used in recording the physical inventory counts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Testing the clerical accuracy of inventory listings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reconciling physical counts to perpetual records and general ledger balances and investigating significant fluctuations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rights and Obligations

| The entity has legal title or similar rights of ownership to the inventories. | • Observing physical inventory counts. |
| | • Obtaining confirmation of inventories at locations outside the entity. |
| | • Examining paid vendors’ invoices, consignment agreements, and contracts. |
| Inventories exclude items billed to customers or owned by others. | • Examining paid vendors’ invoices, consignment agreements, and contracts. |
| | • Testing shipping and receiving cutoff procedures. |

### Valuation or Allocation

| Inventories are properly stated at cost (except when market is lower). | • Examining paid vendors’ invoices. |
| | • Reviewing direct labor rates. |
| | • Testing the computation of standard overhead rates. |
| | • Examining analyses of purchasing and manufacturing standard cost variances. |
| Slow-moving, excess, defective, and obsolete items included in inventories are properly identified. | • Examining an analysis of inventory turnover. |
| | • Reviewing industry experience and trends. |
| | • Analytically comparing the relationship of inventory balances to anticipated sales volume. |
| | • Touring the plant. |
| | • Inquiring of production and sales personnel concerning possible excess or obsolete inventory items. |
| Inventories are reduced, when appropriate, to replacement cost or net realizable value. | • Obtaining current market value quotations. |
| | • Reviewing current production costs. |
Illustrative Audit Objectives  

Examples of Substantive Tests

- Examining sales after year-end and open purchase order commitments.

Presentation and Disclosure

Inventories are properly classified in the balance sheet as current assets. The major categories of inventories and their bases of valuation are adequately disclosed in the financial statements.

- Reviewing drafts of the financial statements.

The pledge or assignment of any inventories is appropriately disclosed.

- Obtaining confirmation of inventories pledged under loan agreements.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80, December 1996.]
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AU Section 9326

**Evidential Matter: Auditing Interpretations of Section 326**

1. Evidential Matter for an Audit of Interim Financial Statements

   .01 Question—APB Opinion No. 28 [AC section 173] concluded that certain accounting principles and practices followed for annual reporting purposes may require modification at interim report dates. Paragraph 10 of Opinion No. 28 [AC section 173.103] states that the modifications are needed “so that the reported results for the interim period may better relate to the results of operations for the annual period.” The modifications introduce a need for estimates to a greater extent than is necessary for annual financial information. Does this imply a relaxation of the third standard of field work, which requires that sufficient competent evidential matter be obtained to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit?

   .02 Interpretation—No. The third standard of field work applies to all engagements leading to an expression of opinion on financial statements or financial information.

   .03 The objective of the independent auditor’s engagement is to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to provide him with a reasonable basis for forming an opinion. The auditor develops specific audit objectives in light of assertions by management that are embodied in financial statement components. Section 326.11 states, “In selecting particular substantive tests to achieve the audit objectives he has developed, an auditor considers, among other things, the risk of material misstatement of the financial statements, including the assessed level of control risk, and the expected effectiveness and efficiency of such tests. His considerations include the nature and materiality of the items being tested, the kinds and competence of available evidential matter, and the nature of the audit objective to be achieved.”

   .04 Evidential matter obtained for an audit of annual financial statements may also be useful in an audit of interim financial statements, and evidential matter obtained for an audit of interim financial statements may also be useful in an audit of annual financial statements. Section 313.02 indicates that “Audit testing at interim dates may permit early consideration of significant matters affecting the year-end financial statements (for example, related party transactions, changed conditions, recent accounting pronouncements, and financial statement items likely to require adjustment)” and that “much of the audit planning, including obtaining an understanding of internal control and assessing control risk, and the application of substantive tests to transactions can be conducted prior to the balance-sheet date.”¹ [As amended, August 1983, by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45.] (See section 313.)

---

¹ See section 313, Substantive Tests Prior to the Balance-Sheet Date for guidance on the auditor’s considerations before applying substantive tests to the details of asset or liability accounts at interim dates, including the relationship between the assessed level of control risk and such tests, and on extending the audit conclusions from such tests to the balance-sheet date. [Footnote added, August 1983, by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45.]
The introduction by Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73] of a need for additional estimates in measuring certain items for interim financial information may lead to a need for evidence in examining those items that differs from the evidence required in an audit of annual financial information. For example, computing the provision for federal income taxes in interim information involves estimating the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year, and the auditor should examine evidence as to the basis for estimating that rate. Since the effective tax rate for the full year ordinarily is known at year-end, similar evidence is not usually required in examining annual information.

2. The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals

   Question—The Internal Revenue Service’s audit manual instructs its examiners on how to secure from corporate officials “tax accrual workpapers” or the “tax liability contingency analysis,” including, “a memorandum discussing items reflected in the financial statements as income or expense where the ultimate tax treatment is unclear.” The audit manual states that the examiner may question or summons a corporate officer or manager concerning the “knowledge of the items that make up the corporation’s contingent reserve accounts.” It also states that “in unusual circumstances, access may be had to the audit or tax workpapers” of an independent accountant or an accounting firm after attempting to obtain the information from the taxpayer. IRS policy also includes specific procedures to be followed in circumstances involving “Listed Transactions,” to help address what the IRS considers to be abusive tax avoidance transactions (Internal Revenue Manual, section 4024.2-.5, 5/14/81, and Internal Revenue Service Announcement 2002-63, 6/17/02).

   Concern over IRS access to tax accrual working papers might cause some clients to not prepare or maintain appropriate documentation of the calculation or contents of the accrual for income taxes included in the financial statements, or to deny the independent auditor access to such information.

   What effect does this situation have on the auditor’s opinion on the financial statements?

   Interpretation—The client is responsible for its tax accrual, the underlying support for the accrual, and the related disclosures. Limitations on the auditor’s access to information considered necessary to audit the tax accrual will affect the auditor’s ability to issue an unqualified opinion on the financial statements. Thus, if the client does not have appropriate documentation of the calculation or contents of the accrual for income taxes and denies the auditor access to client personnel responsible for making the judgments and estimates relating to the accrual, the auditor should assess the importance of that inadequacy in the accounting records and the client imposed limitation on his or her ability to form an opinion on the financial statements. Also, if the client has appropriate documentation but denies the auditor access to it and to client personnel who possess the information, the auditor should assess the importance of the client-imposed scope limitation on his or her ability to form an opinion.

   The third standard of field work requires the auditor to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter through, among other things, inspection and inquiries to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion on the financial statements. Section 326, Evidential Matter, paragraph .25, requires the auditor to
obtain sufficient competent evidential matter about assertions in the financial statements of material significance or else to qualify or disclaim his or her opinion on the statements. Section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .24, states that, “When restrictions that significantly limit the scope of the audit are imposed by the client, ordinarily the auditor should disclaim an opinion on the financial statements.” Also, section 333 on Management Representations requires the auditor to obtain written representations from management. Section 333.06 states that specific representations should relate to the following matters, “availability of all financial records and related data,” and section 333.08 states that a materiality limit does not apply to that representation. Section 333.13 states that “management’s refusal to furnish a written representation” constitutes a limitation on the scope of the audit sufficient to preclude an unqualified opinion.

.11 Question—A client may allow the auditor to inspect its tax accrual workpapers, but request that copies not be retained for audit documentation, particularly copies of the tax liability contingency analysis. The client also may suggest that the auditor not prepare and maintain similar documentation of his or her own. What should the auditor consider in deciding a response to such a request?

.12 Interpretation—Section 339, Audit Documentation, states that audit documentation is the principal record of auditing procedures applied, evidence obtained, and conclusions reached by the auditor in the engagement. Audit documentation should include sufficient competent evidential matter to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion. In addition, audit documentation should be sufficient to enable members of the engagement team with supervision and review responsibilities to understand the nature, timing, extent, and results of auditing procedures performed, and the evidence obtained. Section 326, Evidential Matter, paragraph .17, states that corroborating information includes information obtained by the auditor from inquiry, observation, inspection, and physical examination. The quantity, type, and content of audit documentation are matters of the auditor’s professional judgment (see section 339.)

.13 The auditor’s documentation of the results of auditing procedures directed at the tax accounts and related disclosures also should include sufficient competent evidential matter about the significant elements of the client’s tax liability contingency analysis. This documentation should include copies of the client’s documents, schedules, or analyses (or auditor-prepared summaries thereof) to enable the auditor to support his or her conclusions regarding the appropriateness of the client’s accounting and disclosure of significant tax-related contingency matters. The audit documentation should reflect the procedures performed and conclusions reached by the auditor and, for significant matters, include the client’s documentary support for its financial statement amounts and disclosures.

.14 The audit documentation should include the significant elements of the client’s analysis of tax contingencies or reserves, including roll-forward of material changes to such reserves. In addition, the documentation should provide the client’s position and support for income tax related disclosures, such as its effective tax rate reconciliation, and support for its intra-period allocation of income tax expense or benefit to continuing operations and to items other than continuing operations. Where applicable, the documentation also should include the client’s basis for assessing deferred tax assets and related valuation allowances and its support for applying the “indefinite reversal criteria” in APB Opinion No. 23, Accounting for Income Taxes—Special Areas, including its specific plans for reinvestment of undistributed foreign earnings.
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.15 Question—In some situations, a client may furnish its outside legal counsel or in-house legal or tax counsel with information concerning the tax contingencies covered by the accrual for income taxes included in the financial statements and ask counsel to provide the auditor an opinion on the adequacy of the accrual for those contingencies.

.16 In such circumstances, rather than inspecting and obtaining documentary evidence of the client’s tax liability contingency analysis and making inquiries of the client, may the auditor consider the counsel as a specialist within the meaning of section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist, and rely solely on counsel’s opinion as an appropriate procedure for obtaining evidential matter to support his or her opinion on the financial statements?

.17 Interpretation—No. The opinion of legal counsel in this situation would not provide sufficient competent evidential matter to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion on the financial statements.

.18 Section 336.01 defines a specialist as “a person (or firm) possessing special skill or knowledge in a particular field other than accounting or auditing.” It is intended to apply to situations requiring special knowledge of matters about which the auditor does not have adequate technical training and proficiency. The auditor’s education, training, and experience, on the other hand, do enable him or her to be knowledgeable concerning income tax matters and competent to assess their presentation in the financial statements.

.19 The opinion of legal counsel on specific tax issues that he or she is asked to address and to which he or she has devoted substantive attention, as contemplated by section 337, Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, can be useful to the auditor in forming his or her own opinion. However, the audit of income tax accounts requires a combination of tax expertise and knowledge about the client’s business that is accumulated during all aspects of an audit. Therefore, as stated above, it is not appropriate for the auditor to rely solely on such legal opinion.

.20 Question—A client may have obtained the advice or opinion of an outside tax adviser related to the tax accrual or matters affecting it, including tax contingencies, and further may attempt to limit the auditor’s access to such advice or opinion, or limit the auditor’s documentation of such advice or opinion. This limitation on the auditor’s access may be proposed on the basis that such information is privileged. Can the auditor rely solely on the conclusions of third party tax advisers? What evidential matter should the auditor obtain and include in the audit documentation?

.21 Interpretation—As discussed in paragraphs .17 through .19 above, the auditor cannot accept a client’s or a third party’s analysis or opinion with respect to tax matters without careful consideration and application of the auditor’s tax expertise and knowledge about the client’s business. As a result of applying such knowledge to the facts, the auditor may encounter situations in which the auditor either disagrees with the position taken by the client, or its advisers, or does not have sufficient competent evidential matter to support his or her opinion.

.22 If the client’s support for the tax accrual or matters affecting it, including tax contingencies, is based upon an opinion issued by an outside adviser with respect to a potentially material matter, the auditor should obtain access to the opinion, notwithstanding potential concerns regarding attorney-client or other forms of privilege. The audit documentation should include either the actual advice or opinions rendered by an outside adviser, or other sufficient documentation or abstracts supporting both the transactions or facts
addressed as well as the analysis and conclusions reached by the client and adviser. Alternatives such as redacted or modified opinions may be considered, but must nonetheless include sufficient content to articulate and document the client’s position so that the auditor can formulate his or her conclusion. Similarly, it may be possible to accept a client’s analysis summarizing an outside adviser’s opinion, but the client’s analysis must provide sufficient competent evidential matter for the auditor to formulate his or her conclusion. In addition, client representations may be obtained stating that the client has not received any advice or opinions that are contradictory to the client’s support for the tax accrual.

.23 If the auditor is unable to accumulate sufficient competent evidence about whether there is a supported and reasonable basis for the client’s position, the auditor should consider the effect of this scope limitation on his or her report.

[Issue Date: March, 1981; Amended: April 9, 2003.]

3. The Auditor’s Consideration of the Completeness Assertion

.24 Question—Section 326, Evidential Matter, paragraph .03, identifies five categories of assertions that are embodied in financial statement components. In obtaining audit evidence about four of these categories—existence or occurrence, rights and obligations, valuation or allocation, and presentation and disclosure—the auditor considers transactions and accounts that are included in the financial statements. In contrast, in obtaining audit evidence about the completeness assertion, the auditor considers whether transactions and accounts have been improperly excluded from the financial statements. May management’s written representations and the auditor’s assessment of control risk constitute sufficient audit evidence about the completeness assertion? [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

.25 Interpretation—Written representations from management are a part of the evidential matter the auditor obtains in an audit performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Management’s representations about the completeness assertion, whether considered alone or in combination with the auditor’s assessment of control risk, do not constitute sufficient audit evidence to support that assertion. Obtaining such representations complements but does not replace other auditing procedures that the auditor should perform. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

.26 In planning audit procedures to obtain evidence about the completeness assertion, the auditor should consider the inherent risk that transactions and accounts have been improperly omitted from the financial statements. When the auditor assesses the inherent risk of omission for a particular account balance or class of transactions to be such that he believes omissions could exist that might be material when aggregated with errors in other balances or classes, he should restrict the audit risk of omission by performing substantive tests designed to obtain evidence about the completeness assertion. Substantive tests designed primarily to obtain evidence about the completeness assertion include analytical procedures and tests of details of related populations.2 [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

2 For purposes of this interpretation, a related population is a population other than the recorded account balance or class of transactions being audited that would be expected to contain evidence of whether all accounts or transactions that should be presented in that balance or class are so included.
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The extent of substantive tests of completeness may properly vary in relation to the assessed level of control risk. Because of the unique nature of the completeness assertion, an assessed level of control risk below the maximum may be an effective means for the auditor to obtain evidence about that assertion. Although an assessed level of control risk below the maximum is not required to satisfy the auditor’s objectives with respect to the completeness assertion, the auditor should consider that for some transactions (e.g., revenues that are received primarily in cash, such as those of a casino or of some charitable organizations) it may be difficult to limit audit risk for those assertions to an acceptable level without an assessed level of control risk below the maximum. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

4. Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements

Introduction—Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information [AC section S30], establishes standards for the way that public business enterprises disclose information about segments in annual financial statements and in condensed financial statements of interim periods issued to shareholders. FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30] does not apply to nonpublic entities or to not-for-profit organizations, although those entities are encouraged to provide the disclosures described therein. FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30] is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30] requires that public business enterprises report financial and descriptive information about their reportable operating segments including factors used to identify reportable segments; a measure of profit or loss, certain revenue and expense items, and assets of reportable operating segments and the basis of measurement of these items; and reconciliations of these measures and any other significant operating segment items to enterprise totals. FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30] requires that the management approach be used to identify operating segments and to measure the financial information disclosed about operating segments. The management approach focuses on the financial information that an entity’s chief operating decision maker (chief executive officer, chief operating officer or other individual or group exercising similar decision-making authority) uses internally to evaluate the performance of, and to allocate resources to, segments. FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30] also requires that public business enterprises report certain information about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers regardless of whether that information is used by management in assessing segment performance. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

1 FASB Statement No. 131, paragraph 9 [AC section S30.108], states: “Public business enterprises are those business enterprises that have issued debt or equity securities that are traded in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local or regional markets), that are required to file financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or that provide financial statements for the purpose of issuing any class of securities in a public market.”
**Question—**What is the auditor’s objective when applying auditing procedures to segment disclosures in an entity’s financial statements? [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

**Interpretation—**The auditor performing an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards considers segment disclosures, as other informative disclosures, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, the auditor is not required to apply procedures as extensive as would be necessary to express an opinion on the segment information taken by itself. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

**Question—**What should the auditor consider with respect to segment disclosures in planning the audit? [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

**Interpretation—**The auditor should obtain an understanding of who performs the function of the chief operating decision maker (CODM), and how management organizes the entity into operating segments for internal reporting purposes. The auditor also should consider the nature and extent of differences, if any, between the information systems used to generate data that the CODM uses to allocate resources to, and evaluate results of, the operating segments and the information systems that generate data for external reporting purposes. When a different system is used to generate the data underlying segment disclosures, the auditor needs to obtain only a general understanding of that system. Consistent with the management approach to accounting for segments, auditing procedures primarily are directed at obtaining sufficient competent evidential matter to support conclusions that the segment information disclosed is the same information that is used by the CODM; that the basis on which the information was prepared is the basis disclosed and the disclosures are adequate; that aggregation criteria have been appropriately applied, if applicable; and that all significant segment items are reconciled to consolidated totals in the financial statements. The types of procedures needed to obtain such evidence are described in paragraphs .35 and .37 of this Interpretation. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

**Question—**What procedures should the auditor consider performing to evaluate whether the entity appropriately identified its reportable operating segments in accordance with FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30]? [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

**Interpretation—**Procedures that the auditor should consider performing to evaluate whether the entity appropriately identified its reportable operating segments in accordance with FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30] include the following:

a. Inquire of management concerning its methods of identifying operating segments, and consider the reasonableness of those methods in light of the characteristics of operating segments described in FASB Statement No. 131, paragraph 10 [AC section S30.109].

b. Review corroborating evidence, such as information that the CODM uses to assess performance and allocate resources, material presented to the board of directors, minutes from the meetings of the board of directors, and information that management provides in management’s discussion and analysis (MD&A), to financial analysts, and in the chairman’s letter to shareholders, for consistency with financial statement disclosures.
c. If the CODM uses more than one set of segment information for analyzing results of operations, consider whether management's identification of operating segments is in accordance with FASB Statement No. 131, paragraphs 13 through 15 [AC section S30.112–114].

d. Assess whether the entity has applied aggregation criteria, if applicable, and quantitative thresholds described in FASB Statement No. 131, paragraphs 17 through 24 [AC section S30.116–123], appropriately to determine its reportable operating segments.

e. Obtain management's written representation that operating segments are appropriately identified and disclosed in accordance with FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30].

Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, June 2003.

.36 Question—What procedures should the auditor consider performing to evaluate the adequacy and completeness of management's disclosures about reportable operating segments and about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers? [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

.37 Interpretation—The tests of underlying accounting records normally applied in an audit of financial statements may provide evidence about management's disclosures of information about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers, as well as how management allocates the entity's revenue, expenses, and assets among operating segments. The auditor should consider applying the following procedures to obtain additional evidence about segment disclosures:

a. Perform analytical procedures on the information about segments to identify and provide a basis for inquiry about relationships and individual items that appear to be unusual and may indicate misstatements. Analytical procedures, for purposes of this Interpretation, consist of comparison of the segment information with comparable information for the immediately preceding year and comparison of the segment information with any available related budgeted information for the current year. In applying these procedures, the auditor should consider the types of matters that in the preceding year have required accounting adjustments of segment information.

b. Evaluate the adequacy of disclosures with regard to (i) general information; (ii) information about reported segment profit or loss, segment assets, and the basis of measurement; and (iii) reconciliations of the totals of segment revenues, reported profit or loss, assets and other significant items to corresponding enterprise amounts, as required in FASB Statement No. 131, paragraphs 26 through 32 [AC section S30.125–131].

c. Review the reconciliations (including supporting schedules) of the totals of segment revenues, reported profit or loss, assets, and other significant items to consolidated totals to assess whether significant items are properly disclosed.

d. If the composition of an entity’s reportable segments changes as a result of an entity's reorganization of its internal structure, assess
whether segment information for prior periods has been restated, if practicable, in accordance with FASB Statement No. 131, paragraph 34 [AC section S30.133]. If restatement is not practicable, assess whether the segment information for the current period is stated under both the old basis and the new basis of segmentation in the year in which the change occurs, if practicable, in accordance with FASB Statement No. 131, paragraph 35 [AC section S30.134].

[Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

.Q38 Question—What are the implications related to segment information for the auditor’s report on the financial statements? [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

.Q39 Interpretation—The auditor’s standard report on financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles implicitly applies to segment information included in those statements in the same manner that it applies to other informative disclosures in the financial statements. The auditor’s standard report would not refer to segment information unless the audit revealed a misstatement or omission relating to the segment information that is material in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole or the auditor was unable to apply the auditing procedures that he or she considered necessary in the circumstances. The auditor should consider qualitative as well as quantitative factors in evaluating whether such a matter is material to the financial statements taken as a whole. The significance of a matter to a particular entity (for example, a misstatement of the revenue and operating profit of a relatively small segment that is represented by management to be important to the future profitability of the entity), the pervasiveness of a matter (for example, whether it affects the amounts and presentation of numerous items in the segment information), and the impact of a matter (for example, whether it distorts the trends reflected in the segment information) should all be considered in judging whether a matter relating to segment information is material to the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, situations may arise in practice where the auditor will conclude that a matter relating to segment information is qualitatively material even though, in his or her judgment, it is quantitatively immaterial to the financial statements taken as a whole. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

.Q40 If the auditor concludes that an omission or misstatement of segment information is material to the financial statements taken as a whole, he or she should consider the reporting guidance in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .35 through .42, relating to departures from generally accepted accounting principles. If the auditor has been unable to perform auditing procedures on segment information that he or she considers necessary, the auditor should consider the reporting guidance in section 508.22 through .26 relating to scope limitations. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

.Q41 Auditors are not required to refer in their audit reports (a) to changes required by the implementation of FASB Statement No. 131 [AC section S30] or (b) to subsequent changes in operating segments, provided that the financial statements clearly disclose that the information presented in segment disclosures for earlier periods has been restated, where applicable. Such disclosure would be similar to that for reclassification of prior-year financial information.
made for comparative purposes. In financial statements where segment information for earlier periods has not been restated, auditors are not required to refer in their audit reports to the variance in disclosure between the comparative periods, provided the financial statements clearly disclose why the earlier periods have not been restated. [Paragraph renumbered by the amendment to Interpretation No. 2, April 2003.]

[Issue Date: August, 1998; Revised: April, 2003.]
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AU Section 328

Auditing Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

Source: SAS No. 101.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 15, 2003, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction

.01 The purpose of this section is to establish standards and provide guidance on auditing fair value measurements and disclosures contained in financial statements. In particular, this section addresses audit considerations relating to the measurement and disclosure of assets, liabilities, and specific components of equity presented or disclosed at fair value in financial statements. Fair value measurements of assets, liabilities, and components of equity may arise from both the initial recording of transactions and later changes in value. Changes in fair value measurements that occur over time may be treated in different ways under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). For example, GAAP may require that some fair value changes be reflected in net income and that other fair value changes be reflected in other comprehensive income and equity.

.02 While this section provides guidance on auditing fair value measurements and disclosures, evidence obtained from other audit procedures also may provide evidence relevant to the measurement and disclosure of fair values. For example, inspection procedures to verify existence of an asset measured at fair value also may provide relevant evidence about its valuation, such as the physical condition of the asset.

.03 The auditor should obtain sufficient competent audit evidence to provide reasonable assurance that fair value measurements and disclosures are in conformity with GAAP. GAAP requires that certain items be measured at fair value. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 7, Using Cash Flow Information and Present Value in Accounting Measurements, defines the fair value of an asset (liability) as “the amount at which that asset (or liability) could be bought (or incurred) or sold (or settled) in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.”1 Although GAAP may not

---

1 Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) contain various definitions of fair value. However, all of the definitions reflect the concepts in the definition that appears in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 7, Using Cash Flow Information and Present Value in Accounting Measurements. For example, Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement of Governmental Accounting Standards No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools, defines fair value as “the amount at which an investment could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.”
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prescribe the method for measuring the fair value of an item, it expresses a preference for the use of observable market prices to make that determination. In the absence of observable market prices, GAAP requires fair value to be based on the best information available in the circumstances.

.04 Management is responsible for making the fair value measurements and disclosures included in the financial statements. As part of fulfilling its responsibility, management needs to establish an accounting and financial reporting process for determining the fair value measurements and disclosures, select appropriate valuation methods, identify and adequately support any significant assumptions used, prepare the valuation, and ensure that the presentation and disclosure of the fair value measurements are in accordance with GAAP.

.05 Fair value measurements for which observable market prices are not available are inherently imprecise. That is because, among other things, those fair value measurements may be based on assumptions about future conditions, transactions, or events whose outcome is uncertain and will therefore be subject to change over time. The auditor’s consideration of such assumptions is based on information available to the auditor at the time of the audit. The auditor is not responsible for predicting future conditions, transactions, or events that, had they been known at the time of the audit, may have had a significant effect on management’s actions or management’s assumptions underlying the fair value measurements and disclosures.2

.06 Assumptions used in fair value measurements are similar in nature to those required when developing other accounting estimates. However, if observable market prices are not available, GAAP requires that valuation methods incorporate assumptions that marketplace participants would use in their estimates of fair value whenever that information is available without undue cost and effort. If information about market assumptions is not available, an entity may use its own assumptions as long as there are no contrary data indicating that marketplace participants would use different assumptions. These concepts generally are not relevant for accounting estimates made under measurement bases other than fair value. Section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates, provides guidance on auditing accounting estimates in general. This section addresses considerations similar to those in section 342 as well as others in the specific context of fair value measurements and disclosures in accordance with GAAP.

.07 GAAP requires or permits a variety of fair value measurements and disclosures in financial statements. GAAP also varies in the level of guidance that it provides on measuring fair values and disclosures. While this section provides guidance on auditing fair value measurements and disclosures, it does not address specific types of assets, liabilities, components of equity, transactions, or industry-specific practices.3

.08 The measurement of fair value may be relatively simple for certain assets or liabilities, for example, investments that are bought and sold in active markets that provide readily available and reliable information on the prices at which actual exchanges occur. For those items, the existence of published

---

For purposes of this section, management’s assumptions include assumptions developed by management under the guidance of the board of directors and assumptions developed by a specialist engaged or employed by management.

See, for example, section 332, Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities.
price quotations in an active market is the best evidence of fair value. The measurement of fair value for other assets or liabilities may be more complex. A specific asset may not have an observable market price or may possess such characteristics that it becomes necessary for management to estimate its fair value based on the best information available in the circumstances (for example, a complex derivative financial instrument). The estimation of fair value may be achieved through the use of a valuation method (for example, a model premised on discounting of estimated future cash flows).

Understanding the Entity’s Process for Determining Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures and the Relevant Controls, and Assessing Risk

.09 The auditor should obtain an understanding of the entity’s process for determining fair value measurements and disclosures and of the relevant controls sufficient to develop an effective audit approach.

.10 Management is responsible for establishing an accounting and financial reporting process for determining fair value measurements. In some cases, the measurement of fair value and therefore the process set up by management to determine fair value may be simple and reliable. For example, management may be able to refer to published price quotations in an active market to determine fair value for marketable securities held by the entity. Some fair value measurements, however, are inherently more complex than others and involve uncertainty about the occurrence of future events or their outcome, and therefore assumptions that may involve the use of judgment need to be made as part of the measurement process.

.11 Section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, as amended, requires the auditor to obtain an understanding of each of the five components of internal control sufficient to plan the audit. In the specific context of this section, the auditor obtains such an understanding related to the determination of the entity’s fair value measurements and disclosures in order to plan the nature, timing, and extent of the audit procedures.

.12 When obtaining an understanding of the entity’s process for determining fair value measurements and disclosures, the auditor considers, for example:

- Controls over the process used to determine fair value measurements, including, for example, controls over data and the segregation of duties between those committing the entity to the underlying transactions and those responsible for undertaking the valuations.
- The expertise and experience of those persons determining the fair value measurements.
- The role that information technology has in the process.
- The types of accounts or transactions requiring fair value measurements or disclosures (for example, whether the accounts arise from the recording of routine and recurring transactions or whether they arise from nonroutine or unusual transactions).
- The extent to which the entity’s process relies on a service organization to provide fair value measurements or the data that supports the measurement. When an entity uses a service organization, the auditor considers the requirements of section 324, Service Organizations, as amended.
The auditor uses his or her understanding of the entity’s process, including its complexity, and of the controls when assessing the risk of material misstatement. Based on that risk assessment, the auditor determines the nature, timing, and extent of the audit procedures. The risk of material misstatement may increase as the accounting and financial reporting requirements for fair value measurements become more complex.

Section 319 discusses the inherent limitations of internal control. As fair value determinations often involve subjective judgments by management, this may affect the nature of controls that are capable of being implemented, including the possibility of management override of controls (see section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit). The auditor considers the inherent limitations of internal control in such circumstances in assessing control risk.

Evaluating Conformity of Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures With GAAP

The auditor should evaluate whether the fair value measurements and disclosures in the financial statements are in conformity with GAAP. The auditor’s understanding of the requirements of GAAP and knowledge of the business and industry, together with the results of other audit procedures, are used to evaluate the accounting for assets or liabilities requiring fair value measurements, and the disclosures about the basis for the fair value measurements and significant uncertainties related thereto.

The evaluation of the entity’s fair value measurements and of the audit evidence depends, in part, on the auditor’s knowledge of the nature of the business. This is particularly true where the asset or liability or the valuation method is highly complex. For example, derivative financial instruments may be highly complex, with a risk that differing assumptions used in determining fair values will result in different conclusions. The measurement of the fair value of some items, for example “in process research and development” or intangible assets acquired in a business combination, may involve special considerations that are affected by the nature of the entity and its operations. Also, the auditor’s knowledge of the business, together with the results of other audit procedures, may help identify assets for which management should assess the need to recognize an impairment loss under applicable GAAP.
.17 The auditor should evaluate management’s intent to carry out specific courses of action where intent is relevant to the use of fair value measurements, the related requirements involving presentation and disclosures, and how changes in fair values are reported in financial statements. The auditor also should evaluate management’s ability to carry out those courses of action. Management often documents plans and intentions relevant to specific assets or liabilities and GAAP may require it to do so. While the extent of evidence to be obtained about management’s intent and ability is a matter of professional judgment, the auditor’s procedures ordinarily include inquiries of management, with appropriate corroboration of responses, for example, by:

- Considering management’s past history of carrying out its stated intentions with respect to assets or liabilities.
- Reviewing written plans and other documentation, including, where applicable, budgets, minutes, and other such items.
- Considering management’s stated reasons for choosing a particular course of action.
- Considering management’s ability to carry out a particular course of action given the entity’s economic circumstances, including the implications of its contractual commitments.

.18 When there are no observable market prices and the entity estimates fair value using a valuation method, the auditor should evaluate whether the entity’s method of measurement is appropriate in the circumstances. That evaluation requires the use of professional judgment. It also involves obtaining an understanding of management’s rationale for selecting a particular method by discussing with management its reasons for selecting the valuation method. The auditor considers whether:

- a. Management has sufficiently evaluated and appropriately applied the criteria, if any, provided by GAAP to support the selected method.
- b. The valuation method is appropriate in the circumstances given the nature of the item being valued.
- c. The valuation method is appropriate in relation to the business, industry, and environment in which the entity operates.

Management may have determined that different valuation methods result in a range of significantly different fair value measurements. In such cases, the auditor evaluates how the entity has investigated the reasons for these differences in establishing its fair value measurements.

.19 The auditor should evaluate whether the entity’s method for determining fair value measurements is applied consistently and if so, whether the consistency is appropriate considering possible changes in the environment or circumstances affecting the entity, or changes in accounting principles. If management has changed the method for determining fair value, the auditor considers whether management can adequately demonstrate that the method to which it has changed provides a more appropriate basis of measurement or whether the change is supported by a change in the GAAP requirements or a change in circumstances. For example, the introduction of an active market for an equity security may indicate that the use of the discounted cash flows method to estimate the fair value of the security is no longer appropriate.

\[\text{Paragraph 16 of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, states that the presumption that an entity should not change an accounting principle may be overcome only if the entity justifies the use of an alternative acceptable accounting principle on the basis that it is preferable.}\]
Engaging a Specialist

.20 The auditor should consider whether to engage a specialist and use the work of that specialist as evidential matter in performing substantive tests to evaluate material financial statement assertions. The auditor may have the necessary skill and knowledge to plan and perform audit procedures related to fair values or may decide to use the work of a specialist. If the use of such a specialist is planned, the auditor should consider the guidance in section 336, *Using the Work of a Specialist*.

.21 When planning to use the work of a specialist in auditing fair value measurements, the auditor considers whether the specialist’s understanding of the definition of fair value and the method that the specialist will use to determine fair value are consistent with those of management and with GAAP. For example, the method used by a specialist for estimating the fair value of real estate or a complex derivative may not be consistent with the measurement principles specified in GAAP. Accordingly, the auditor considers such matters, often through discussions with the specialist or by reading the report of the specialist.

.22 Section 336 provides that, while the reasonableness of assumptions and the appropriateness of the methods used and their application are the responsibility of the specialist, the auditor obtains an understanding of the assumptions and methods used. However, if the auditor believes the findings are unreasonable, he or she applies additional procedures as required in section 336.

Testing the Entity’s Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

.23 Based on the auditor’s assessment of the risk of material misstatement, the auditor should test the entity’s fair value measurements and disclosures. Because of the wide range of possible fair value measurements, from relatively simple to complex, and the varying levels of risk of material misstatement associated with the process for determining fair values, the auditor’s planned audit procedures can vary significantly in nature, timing, and extent. For example, substantive tests of the fair value measurements may involve (a) testing management’s significant assumptions, the valuation model, and the underlying data (see paragraphs .26 through .39), (b) developing independent fair value estimates for corroborative purposes (see paragraph .40), or (c) reviewing subsequent events and transactions (see paragraphs .41 and .42).

.24 Some fair value measurements are inherently more complex than others. This complexity arises either because of the nature of the item being measured at fair value or because of the valuation method used to determine fair value. For example, in the absence of quoted prices in an active market, an estimate of a security's fair value may be based on valuation methods such as the discounted cash flow method or the transactions method. Complex fair value measurements normally are characterized by greater uncertainty regarding the reliability of the measurement process. This greater uncertainty may be a result of:

- The length of the forecast period
- The number of significant and complex assumptions associated with the process
The auditor uses both the understanding of management’s process for determining fair value measurements and his or her assessment of the risk of material misstatement to determine the nature, timing, and extent of the audit procedures. The following are examples of considerations in the development of audit procedures:

- The fair value measurement (for example, a valuation by an independent appraiser) may be made at a date that does not coincide with the date at which the entity is required to measure and report that information in its financial statements. In such cases, the auditor obtains evidence that management has taken into account the effect of events, transactions, and changes in circumstances occurring between the date of the fair value measurement and the reporting date.

- Collateral often is assigned for certain types of investments in debt instruments that either are required to be measured at fair value or are evaluated for possible impairment. If the collateral is an important factor in measuring the fair value of the investment or evaluating its carrying amount, the auditor obtains sufficient competent audit evidence regarding the existence, value, rights, and access to or transferability of such collateral, including consideration of whether all appropriate liens have been filed, and considers whether appropriate disclosures about the collateral have been made.

- In some situations, additional procedures, such as the inspection of an asset by the auditor, may be necessary to obtain sufficient competent audit evidence about the appropriateness of a fair value measurement. For example, inspection of the asset may be necessary to obtain information about the current physical condition of the asset relevant to its fair value, or inspection of a security may reveal a restriction on its marketability that may affect its value.

### Testing Management’s Significant Assumptions, the Valuation Model, and the Underlying Data

The auditor’s understanding of the reliability of the process used by management to determine fair value is an important element in support of the resulting amounts and therefore affects the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures. When testing the entity’s fair value measurements and disclosures, the auditor evaluates whether:

- Management’s assumptions are reasonable and reflect, or are not inconsistent with, market information (see paragraph .06).
- The fair value measurement was determined using an appropriate model, if applicable.
- Management used relevant information that was reasonably available at the time.
.27 Estimation methods and assumptions, and the auditor’s consideration and comparison of fair value measurements determined in prior periods, if any, to results obtained in the current period, may provide evidence of the reliability of management’s processes. However, the auditor also considers whether variances from the prior-period fair value measurements result from changes in market or economic circumstances.

.28 Where applicable, the auditor should evaluate whether the significant assumptions used by management in measuring fair value, taken individually and as a whole, provide a reasonable basis for the fair value measurements and disclosures in the entity’s financial statements.

.29 Assumptions are integral components of more complex valuation methods, for example, valuation methods that employ a combination of estimates of expected future cash flows together with estimates of the values of assets or liabilities in the future, discounted to the present. Auditors pay particular attention to the significant assumptions underlying a valuation method and evaluate whether such assumptions are reasonable and reflect, or are not inconsistent with, market information (see paragraph .06).

.30 Specific assumptions will vary with the characteristics of the item being valued and the valuation approach used (for example, cost, market, or income). For example, where the discounted cash flows method (a method under the income approach) is used, there will be assumptions about the level of cash flows, the period of time used in the analysis, and the discount rate.

.31 Assumptions ordinarily are supported by differing types of evidence from internal and external sources that provide objective support for the assumptions used. The auditor evaluates the source and reliability of evidence supporting management’s assumptions, including consideration of the assumptions in light of historical and market information.

.32 Audit procedures dealing with management’s assumptions are performed in the context of the audit of the entity’s financial statements. The objective of the audit procedures is therefore not intended to obtain sufficient competent audit evidence to provide an opinion on the assumptions themselves. Rather, the auditor performs procedures to evaluate whether the assumptions provide a reasonable basis for measuring fair values in the context of an audit of the financial statements taken as a whole.

.33 Identifying those assumptions that appear to be significant to the fair value measurement requires the exercise of judgment by management. The auditor focuses attention on the significant assumptions that management has identified. Generally, significant assumptions cover matters that materially affect the fair value measurement and may include those that are:

a. Sensitive to variation or uncertainty in amount or nature. For example, assumptions about short-term interest rates may be less susceptible to significant variation compared to assumptions about long-term interest rates.

b. Susceptible to misapplication or bias.

.34 The auditor considers the sensitivity of the valuation to changes in significant assumptions, including market conditions that may affect the value. Where applicable, the auditor encourages management to use techniques such as sensitivity analysis to help identify particularly sensitive assumptions. If management has not identified particularly sensitive assumptions, the auditor considers whether to employ techniques to identify those assumptions.
The evaluation of whether the assumptions provide a reasonable basis for the fair value measurements relates to the whole set of assumptions as well as to each assumption individually. Assumptions are frequently interdependent and therefore need to be internally consistent. A particular assumption that may appear reasonable when taken in isolation may not be reasonable when used in conjunction with other assumptions. The auditor considers whether management has identified the significant assumptions and factors influencing the measurement of fair value.

To be reasonable, the assumptions on which the fair value measurements are based (for example, the discount rate used in calculating the present value of future cash flows), individually and taken as a whole, need to be realistic and consistent with:

a. The general economic environment, the economic environment of the specific industry, and the entity's economic circumstances;

b. Existing market information;

c. The plans of the entity, including what management expects will be the outcome of specific objectives and strategies;

d. Assumptions made in prior periods, if appropriate;

e. Past experience of, or previous conditions experienced by, the entity to the extent currently applicable;

f. Other matters relating to the financial statements, for example, assumptions used by management in accounting estimates for financial statement accounts other than those relating to fair value measurements and disclosures; and

g. The risk associated with cash flows, if applicable, including the potential variability in the amount and timing of the cash flows and the related effect on the discount rate.

Where assumptions are reflective of management’s intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action, the auditor considers whether they are consistent with the entity’s plans and past experience.

If management relies on historical financial information in the development of assumptions, the auditor considers the extent to which such reliance is justified. However, historical information might not be representative of future conditions or events, for example, if management intends to engage in new activities or circumstances change.

For items valued by the entity using a valuation model, the auditor does not function as an appraiser and is not expected to substitute his or her judgment for that of the entity’s management. Rather, the auditor reviews the model and evaluates whether the assumptions used are reasonable and the model is appropriate considering the entity’s circumstances. For example, it may be inappropriate to use discounted cash flows for valuing an equity investment in a start-up enterprise if there are no current revenues on which to base the forecast of future earnings or cash flows.

The auditor should test the data used to develop the fair value measurements and disclosures and evaluate whether the fair value measurements have been properly determined from such data and management’s assumptions. Specifically, the auditor evaluates whether the data on which the

The auditor also should consider requirements of GAAP that may influence the selection of assumptions (see FASB Concepts Statement No. 7).
fair value measurements are based, including the data used in the work of a specialist, is accurate, complete, and relevant; and whether fair value measurements have been properly determined using such data and management's assumptions. The auditor's tests also may include, for example, procedures such as verifying the source of the data, mathematical recomputation of inputs, and reviewing of information for internal consistency, including whether such information is consistent with management's intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action discussed in paragraph .17.

Developing Independent Fair Value Estimates for Corroborative Purposes

.40 The auditor may make an independent estimate of fair value (for example, by using an auditor-developed model) to corroborate the entity's fair value measurement. When developing an independent estimate using management's assumptions, the auditor evaluates those assumptions as discussed in paragraphs .28 to .37. Instead of using management's assumptions, the auditor may develop his or her own assumptions to make a comparison with management's fair value measurements. In that situation, the auditor nevertheless understands management's assumptions. The auditor uses that understanding to ensure that his or her independent estimate takes into consideration all significant variables and to evaluate any significant difference from management's estimate. The auditor also should test the data used to develop the fair value measurements and disclosures as discussed in paragraph .39.

Reviewing Subsequent Events and Transactions

.41 Events and transactions that occur after the balance-sheet date but before completion of fieldwork (for example, a sale of an investment shortly after the balance-sheet date), may provide audit evidence regarding management's fair value measurements as of the balance-sheet date. In such circumstances, the audit procedures described in paragraphs .26 through .40 may be minimized or unnecessary because the subsequent event or transaction can be used to substantiate the fair value measurement.

.42 Some subsequent events or transactions may reflect changes in circumstances occurring after the balance-sheet date and thus do not constitute competent evidence of the fair value measurement at the balance-sheet date (for example, the prices of actively traded marketable securities that change after the balance-sheet date). When using a subsequent event or transaction to substantiate a fair value measurement, the auditor considers only those events or transactions that reflect circumstances existing at the balance-sheet date.

Disclosures About Fair Values

.43 The auditor should evaluate whether the disclosures about fair values made by the entity are in conformity with GAAP. Disclosure of fair value information is an important aspect of financial statements. Often, fair value disclosure is required because of the relevance to users in the evaluation of an

---

6 See section 329, Analytical Procedures.
7 The auditor's consideration of a subsequent event or transaction, as contemplated in this paragraph, is a substantive test and thus differs from the review of subsequent events performed pursuant to section 560, Subsequent Events.
8 See also section 431, Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements.
entity’s performance and financial position. In addition to the fair value information required under GAAP, some entities disclose voluntary additional fair value information in the notes to the financial statements.

.44 When auditing fair value measurements and related disclosures included in the notes to the financial statements, whether required by GAAP or disclosed voluntarily, the auditor ordinarily performs essentially the same types of audit procedures as those employed in auditing a fair value measurement recognized in the financial statements. The auditor obtains sufficient competent audit evidence that the valuation principles are appropriate under GAAP and are being consistently applied, and that the method of estimation and significant assumptions used are adequately disclosed in accordance with GAAP.

.45 The auditor evaluates whether the entity has made adequate disclosures about fair value information. If an item contains a high degree of measurement uncertainty, the auditor assesses whether the disclosures are sufficient to inform users of such uncertainty. 9

.46 When disclosure of fair value information under GAAP is omitted because it is not practicable to determine fair value with sufficient reliability, the auditor evaluates the adequacy of disclosures required in these circumstances. If the entity has not appropriately disclosed fair value information required by GAAP, the auditor evaluates whether the financial statements are materially misstated.

Evaluating the Results of Audit Procedures

.47 The auditor should evaluate the sufficiency and competence of the audit evidence obtained from auditing fair value measurements and disclosures as well as the consistency of that evidence with other audit evidence obtained and evaluated during the audit. The auditor's evaluation of whether the fair value measurements and disclosures in the financial statements are in conformity with GAAP is performed in the context of the financial statements taken as a whole (see section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, paragraphs .36 through .41).

Management Representations

.48 Section 333, Management Representations, requires that the independent auditor obtain written representations from management as a part of an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and provides guidance concerning the representations to be obtained. The auditor ordinarily should obtain written representations from management regarding the reasonableness of significant assumptions, including whether they appropriately reflect management’s intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action on behalf of the entity where relevant to the use of fair value measurements or disclosures.

.49 Depending on the nature, materiality, and complexity of fair values, management representations about fair value measurements and disclosures contained in the financial statements also may include representations about:

- The appropriateness of the measurement methods, including related assumptions, used by management in determining fair value and the consistency in application of the methods.

The completeness and adequacy of disclosures related to fair values.

Whether subsequent events require adjustment to the fair value measurements and disclosures included in the financial statements.

Communication With Audit Committees

Section 380, Communication With Audit Committees, requires auditors to determine that certain matters related to the conduct of an audit are communicated to audit committees. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ markedly from management’s current judgments. The auditor should determine that the audit committee is informed about the process used by management in formulating particularly sensitive accounting estimates, including fair value estimates, and about the basis for the auditor’s conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates. For example, the auditor considers communicating the nature of significant assumptions used in fair value measurements, the degree of subjectivity involved in the development of the assumptions, and the relative materiality of the items being measured at fair value to the financial statements as a whole. The auditor considers the guidance contained in section 380 when determining the nature and form of communication.

Effective Date

This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 15, 2003. Earlier application of the provisions of this section is permitted.
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.01 This section provides guidance on the use of analytical procedures and requires the use of analytical procedures in the planning and overall review stages of all audits.

.02 Analytical procedures are an important part of the audit process and consist of evaluations of financial information made by a study of plausible relationships among both financial and nonfinancial data. Analytical procedures range from simple comparisons to the use of complex models involving many relationships and elements of data. A basic premise underlying the application of analytical procedures is that plausible relationships among data may reasonably be expected to exist and continue in the absence of known conditions to the contrary. Particular conditions that can cause variations in these relationships include, for example, specific unusual transactions or events, accounting changes, business changes, random fluctuations, or mis-statements.

.03 Understanding financial relationships is essential in planning and evaluating the results of analytical procedures, and generally requires knowledge of the client and the industry or industries in which the client operates. An understanding of the purposes of analytical procedures and the limitations of those procedures is also important. Accordingly, the identification of the relationships and types of data used, as well as conclusions reached when recorded amounts are compared to expectations, requires judgment by the auditor.

.04 Analytical procedures are used for the following purposes:

a. To assist the auditor in planning the nature, timing, and extent of other auditing procedures

b. As a substantive test to obtain evidential matter about particular assertions related to account balances or classes of transactions

c. As an overall review of the financial information in the final review stage of the audit

Analytical procedures should be applied to some extent for the purposes referred to in (a) and (c) above for all audits of financial statements made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. In addition, in some cases, analytical procedures can be more effective or efficient than tests of details for achieving particular substantive testing objectives.

.05 Analytical procedures involve comparisons of recorded amounts, or ratios developed from recorded amounts, to expectations developed by the auditor. The auditor develops such expectations by identifying and using plausible
relationships that are reasonably expected to exist based on the auditor's understanding of the client and of the industry in which the client operates. Following are examples of sources of information for developing expectations:

a. Financial information for comparable prior period(s) giving consideration to known changes
b. Anticipated results—for example, budgets, or forecasts including extrapolations from interim or annual data
c. Relationships among elements of financial information within the period
d. Information regarding the industry in which the client operates—for example, gross margin information
e. Relationships of financial information with relevant nonfinancial information

Analytical Procedures in Planning the Audit

.06 The purpose of applying analytical procedures in planning the audit is to assist in planning the nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures that will be used to obtain evidential matter for specific account balances or classes of transactions. To accomplish this, the analytical procedures used in planning the audit should focus on (a) enhancing the auditor’s understanding of the client’s business and the transactions and events that have occurred since the last audit date, and (b) identifying areas that may represent specific risks relevant to the audit. Thus, the objective of the procedures is to identify such things as the existence of unusual transactions and events, and amounts, ratios and trends that might indicate matters that have financial statement and audit planning ramifications.

.07 Analytical procedures used in planning the audit generally use data aggregated at a high level. Furthermore, the sophistication, extent and timing of the procedures, which are based on the auditor's judgment, may vary widely depending on the size and complexity of the client. For some entities, the procedures may consist of reviewing changes in account balances from the prior to the current year using the general ledger or the auditor's preliminary or unadjusted working trial balance. In contrast, for other entities, the procedures might involve an extensive analysis of quarterly financial statements. In both cases, the analytical procedures, combined with the auditor's knowledge of the business, serve as a basis for additional inquiries and effective planning.

.08 Although analytical procedures used in planning the audit often use only financial data, sometimes relevant nonfinancial information is considered as well. For example, number of employees, square footage of selling space, volume of goods produced, and similar information may contribute to accomplishing the purpose of the procedures.

Analytical Procedures Used as Substantive Tests

.09 The auditor’s reliance on substantive tests to achieve an audit objective related to a particular assertion may be derived from tests of details, from

1 Assertions are representations by management that are embodied in financial statement components. See section 326, Evidential Matter.
analytical procedures, or from a combination of both. The decision about which procedure or procedures to use to achieve a particular audit objective is based on the auditor’s judgment on the expected effectiveness and efficiency of the available procedures.

.10 The auditor considers the level of assurance, if any, he wants from substantive testing for a particular audit objective and decides, among other things, which procedure, or combination of procedures, can provide that level of assurance. For some assertions, analytical procedures are effective in providing the appropriate level of assurance. For other assertions, however, analytical procedures may not be as effective or efficient as tests of details in providing the desired level of assurance.

.11 The expected effectiveness and efficiency of an analytical procedure in identifying potential misstatements depends on, among other things, (a) the nature of the assertion, (b) the plausibility and predictability of the relationship, (c) the availability and reliability of the data used to develop the expectation, and (d) the precision of the expectation.

Nature of Assertion

.12 Analytical procedures may be effective and efficient tests for assertions in which potential misstatements would not be apparent from an examination of the detailed evidence or in which detailed evidence is not readily available. For example, comparisons of aggregate salaries paid with the number of personnel may indicate unauthorized payments that may not be apparent from testing individual transactions. Differences from expected relationships may also indicate potential omissions when independent evidence that an individual transaction should have been recorded may not be readily available.

Plausibility and Predictability of the Relationship

.13 It is important for the auditor to understand the reasons that make relationships plausible because data sometimes appear to be related when they are not, which could lead the auditor to erroneous conclusions. In addition, the presence of an unexpected relationship can provide important evidence when appropriately scrutinized.

.14 As higher levels of assurance are desired from analytical procedures, more predictable relationships are required to develop the expectation. Relationships in a stable environment are usually more predictable than relationships in a dynamic or unstable environment. Relationships involving income statement accounts tend to be more predictable than relationships involving only balance sheet accounts since income statement accounts represent transactions over a period of time, whereas balance sheet accounts represent amounts as of a point in time. Relationships involving transactions subject to management discretion are sometimes less predictable. For example, management may elect to incur maintenance expense rather than replace plant and equipment, or they may delay advertising expenditures.

Availability and Reliability of Data

.15 Data may or may not be readily available to develop expectations for some assertions. For example, to test the completeness assertion, expected sales for some entities might be developed from production statistics or square feet of selling space. For other entities, data relevant to the assertion of completeness of sales may not be readily available, and it may be more effective or efficient to use the details of shipping records to test that assertion.
The auditor obtains assurance from analytical procedures based upon the consistency of the recorded amounts with expectations developed from data derived from other sources. The reliability of the data used to develop the expectations should be appropriate for the desired level of assurance from the analytical procedure. The auditor should assess the reliability of the data by considering the source of the data and the conditions under which it was gathered, as well as other knowledge the auditor may have about the data. The following factors influence the auditor's consideration of the reliability of data for purposes of achieving audit objectives:

- Whether the data was obtained from independent sources outside the entity or from sources within the entity
- Whether sources within the entity were independent of those who are responsible for the amount being audited
- Whether the data was developed under a reliable system with adequate controls
- Whether the data was subjected to audit testing in the current or prior year
- Whether the expectations were developed using data from a variety of sources

**Precision of the Expectation**

The expectation should be precise enough to provide the desired level of assurance that differences that may be potential material misstatements, individually or when aggregated with other misstatements, would be identified for the auditor to investigate (see paragraph .20). As expectations become more precise, the range of expected differences becomes narrower and, accordingly, the likelihood increases that significant differences from the expectations are due to misstatements. The precision of the expectation depends on, among other things, the auditor's identification and consideration of factors that significantly affect the amount being audited and the level of detail of data used to develop the expectation.

Many factors can influence financial relationships. For example, sales are affected by prices, volume and product mix. Each of these, in turn, may be affected by a number of factors, and offsetting factors can obscure misstatements. More effective identification of factors that significantly affect the relationship is generally needed as the desired level of assurance from analytical procedures increases.

Expectations developed at a detailed level generally have a greater chance of detecting misstatement of a given amount than do broad comparisons. Monthly amounts will generally be more effective than annual amounts and comparisons by location or line of business usually will be more effective than company-wide comparisons. The level of detail that is appropriate will be influenced by the nature of the client, its size and its complexity. Generally, the risk that material misstatement could be obscured by offsetting factors increases as a client's operations become more complex and more diversified. Disaggregation helps reduce this risk.

**Investigation and Evaluation of Significant Differences**

In planning the analytical procedures as a substantive test, the auditor should consider the amount of difference from the expectation that can be accepted without further investigation. This consideration is influenced...
primarily by materiality and should be consistent with the level of assurance desired from the procedures. Determination of this amount involves considering the possibility that a combination of misstatements in the specific account balances, or class of transactions, or other balances or classes could aggregate to an unacceptable amount.\textsuperscript{2}

\textsuperscript{.21} The auditor should evaluate significant unexpected differences. Reconsidering the methods and factors used in developing the expectation and inquiry of management may assist the auditor in this regard. Management responses, however, should ordinarily be corroborated with other evidential matter. In those cases when an explanation for the difference cannot be obtained, the auditor should obtain sufficient evidence about the assertion by performing other audit procedures to satisfy himself as to whether the difference is a likely misstatement.\textsuperscript{3} In designing such other procedures, the auditor should consider that unexplained differences may indicate an increased risk of material misstatement. (See section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit.)

Documentation of Substantive Analytical Procedures

\textsuperscript{.22} When an analytical procedure is used as the principal substantive test of a significant financial statement assertion, the auditor should document all of the following:

\begin{itemize}
  \item[a.] The expectation, where that expectation is not otherwise readily determinable from the documentation of the work performed, and factors considered in its development
  \item[b.] Results of the comparison of the expectation to the recorded amounts or ratios developed from recorded amounts
  \item[c.] Any additional auditing procedures performed in response to significant unexpected differences arising from the analytical procedure and the results of such additional procedures
\end{itemize}

[Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after May 15, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96.]

Analytical Procedures Used in the Overall Review

\textsuperscript{.23} The objective of analytical procedures used in the overall review stage of the audit is to assist the auditor in assessing the conclusions reached and in the evaluation of the overall financial statement presentation. A wide variety of analytical procedures may be useful for this purpose. The overall review would generally include reading the financial statements and notes and considering (a) the adequacy of evidence gathered in response to unusual or unexpected balances identified in planning the audit or in the course of the audit and (b) unusual or unexpected balances or relationships that were not previously identified. Results of an overall review may indicate that additional evidence may be needed. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96, January 2002.]

\textsuperscript{2} See section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, paragraphs .24 through .26.
\textsuperscript{3} See section 312.35.
Effective Date

.24 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989. Early application of the provisions of this section is permissible. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96, January 2002.]
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Introduction and Applicability

.01 This section provides guidance about the confirmation process in audits performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. This section—

• Defines the confirmation process (see paragraph .04).

• Discusses the relationship of confirmation procedures to the auditor’s assessment of audit risk (see paragraphs .05 through .10).

• Describes certain factors that affect the reliability of confirmations (see paragraphs .16 through .27).

• Provides guidance on performing alternative procedures when responses to confirmation requests are not received (see paragraphs .31 and .32).

• Provides guidance on evaluating the results of confirmation procedures (see paragraph .33).

• Specifically addresses the confirmation of accounts receivable and supersedes section 331, Inventories, paragraphs .03–.08 and the portion of section 331.01 that addresses the confirmation of receivables (see paragraphs .34 and .35). This section does not supersede the portion of section 331.01 that addresses the observation of inventories.

.02 This section does not address the extent or timing of confirmation procedures. Guidance on the extent of audit procedures (that is, considerations involved in determining the number of items to confirm) is found in section 350, Audit Sampling, and section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit. Guidance on the timing of audit procedures is included in section 313, Substantive Tests Prior to the Balance-Sheet Date.

.03 In addition, this section does not address matters described in section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist, or in section 337, Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments.

Definition of the Confirmation Process

.04 Confirmation is the process of obtaining and evaluating a direct communication from a third party in response to a request for information about a particular item affecting financial statement assertions. The process includes—

• Selecting items for which confirmations are to be requested.
• Designing the confirmation request.
• Communicating the confirmation request to the appropriate third party.
• Obtaining the response from the third party.
• Evaluating the information, or lack thereof, provided by the third party about the audit objectives, including the reliability of that information.

Relationship of Confirmation Procedures to the Auditor’s Assessment of Audit Risk

.05 Section 312 discusses the audit risk model. It describes the concept of assessing inherent and control risks, determining the acceptable level of detection risk, and designing an audit program to achieve an appropriately low level of audit risk. The auditor uses the audit risk assessment in determining the audit procedures to be applied, including whether they should include confirmation.

.06 Confirmation is undertaken to obtain evidence from third parties about financial statement assertions made by management. Section 326, Evidential Matter, states that, in general, it is presumed that “When evidential matter can be obtained from independent sources outside an entity, it provides greater assurance of reliability for the purposes of an independent audit than that secured solely within the entity.”

.07 The greater the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk, the greater the assurance that the auditor needs from substantive tests related to a financial statement assertion. Consequently, as the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk increases, the auditor designs substantive tests to obtain more or different evidence about a financial statement assertion. In these situations, the auditor might use confirmation procedures rather than or in conjunction with tests directed toward documents or parties within the entity.

.08 Unusual or complex transactions may be associated with high levels of inherent risk and control risk. If the entity has entered into an unusual or complex transaction and the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk is high, the auditor should consider confirming the terms of the transaction with the other parties in addition to examining documentation held by the entity. For example, if the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk over the occurrence of revenue related to an unusual, year-end sale is high, the auditor should consider confirming the terms of that sale.

.09 The auditor should assess whether the evidence provided by confirmations reduces audit risk for the related assertions to an acceptably low level. In making that assessment, the auditor should consider the materiality of the account balance and his or her inherent and control risk assessments. When the auditor concludes that evidence provided by confirmations alone is not sufficient, additional procedures should be performed. For example, to achieve an appropriately low level of audit risk related to the completeness and existence assertions for accounts receivable, an auditor may perform sales cutoff tests in addition to confirming accounts receivable.
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The lower the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk, the less assurance the auditor needs from substantive tests to form a conclusion about a financial statement assertion. Consequently, as the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk decreases for a particular assertion, the auditor may modify substantive tests by changing their nature from more effective (but costly) tests to less effective (and less costly) tests. For example, if the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk over the existence of cash is low, the auditor might limit substantive procedures to inspecting client-provided bank statements rather than confirming cash balances.

**Assertions Addressed by Confirmations**

.11 For the evidence obtained to be competent, it must be reliable and relevant. Factors affecting the reliability of confirmations are discussed in paragraphs .16 through .27. The relevance of evidence depends on its relationship to the financial statement assertion being addressed. Section 326 classifies financial statement assertions into five categories:

- a. Existence or occurrence
- b. Completeness
- c. Rights and obligations
- d. Valuation or allocation
- e. Presentation and disclosure

.12 Confirmation requests, if properly designed by the auditor, may address any one or more of those assertions. However, confirmations do not address all assertions equally well. Confirmation of goods held on consignment with the consignee would likely be more effective for the existence and the rights-and-obligations assertions than for the valuation assertion. Accounts receivable confirmations are likely to be more effective for the existence assertion than for the completeness and valuation assertions. Thus, when obtaining evidence for assertions not adequately addressed by confirmations, auditors should consider other audit procedures to complement confirmation procedures or to be used instead of confirmation procedures.

.13 Confirmation requests can be designed to elicit evidence that addresses the completeness assertion: that is, if properly designed, confirmations may provide evidence to aid in assessing whether all transactions and accounts that should be included in the financial statements are included. Their effectiveness in addressing the completeness assertion depends, in part, on whether the auditor selects from an appropriate population for testing. For example, when using confirmations to provide evidence about the completeness assertion for accounts payable, the appropriate population might be a list of vendors rather than the amounts recorded in the accounts payable subsidiary ledger.

.14 Some confirmation requests are not designed to elicit evidence regarding the completeness assertion. For example, the AICPA Standard Form to Confirm Account Balance Information With Financial Institutions is designed to substantiate information that is stated on the confirmation request; the form is not designed to provide assurance that information about accounts not listed on the form will be reported.

**The Confirmation Process**

.15 The auditor should exercise an appropriate level of professional skepticism throughout the confirmation process (see section 230, *Due Professional...*)
Professional skepticism is important in designing the confirmation request, performing the confirmation procedures, and evaluating the results of the confirmation procedures.

**Designing the Confirmation Request**

1. Confirmation requests should be tailored to the specific audit objectives. Thus, when designing the confirmation requests, the auditor should consider the assertion(s) being addressed and the factors that are likely to affect the reliability of the confirmations. Factors such as the form of the confirmation request, prior experience on the audit or similar engagements, the nature of the information being confirmed, and the intended respondent should affect the design of the requests because these factors have a direct effect on the reliability of the evidence obtained through confirmation procedures.

**Form of Confirmation Request**

2. There are two types of confirmation requests: the positive form and the negative form. Some positive forms request the respondent to indicate whether he or she agrees with the information stated on the request. Other positive forms, referred to as blank forms, do not state the amount (or other information) on the confirmation request, but request the recipient to fill in the balance or furnish other information.

3. Positive forms provide audit evidence only when responses are received from the recipients; nonresponses do not provide audit evidence about the financial statement assertions being addressed.

4. Since there is a risk that recipients of a positive form of confirmation request with the information to be confirmed contained on it may sign and return the confirmation without verifying that the information is correct, blank forms may be used as one way to mitigate this risk. Thus, the use of blank confirmation requests may provide a greater degree of assurance about the information confirmed. However, blank forms might result in lower response rates because additional effort may be required of the recipients; consequently, the auditor may have to perform more alternative procedures.

5. The negative form requests the recipient to respond only if he or she disagrees with the information stated on the request. Negative confirmation requests may be used to reduce audit risk to an acceptable level when (a) the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk is low, (b) a large number of small balances is involved, and (c) the auditor has no reason to believe that the recipients of the requests are unlikely to give them consideration. For example, in the examination of demand deposit accounts in a financial institution, it may be appropriate for an auditor to include negative confirmation requests with the customers’ regular statements when the combined assessed level of inherent and control risk is low and the auditor has no reason to believe that the recipients will not consider the requests. The auditor should consider performing other substantive procedures to supplement the use of negative confirmations.

6. Negative confirmation requests may generate responses indicating misstatements, and are more likely to do so if the auditor sends a large number of negative confirmation requests and such misstatements are widespread. The auditor should investigate relevant information provided on negative confirmations that have been returned to the auditor to determine the effect such information may have on the audit. If the auditor’s investigation of re-
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Responses to negative confirmation requests indicates a pattern of misstatements, the auditor should reconsider his or her combined assessed level of inherent and control risk and consider the effect on planned audit procedures.

.22 Although returned negative confirmations may provide evidence about the financial statement assertions, unreturned negative confirmation requests rarely provide significant evidence concerning financial statement assertions other than certain aspects of the existence assertion. For example, negative confirmations may provide some evidence of the existence of third parties if they are not returned with an indication that the addressees are unknown. However, unreturned negative confirmations do not provide explicit evidence that the intended third parties received the confirmation requests and verified that the information contained on them is correct.

Prior Experience

.23 In determining the effectiveness and efficiency of employing confirmation procedures, the auditor may consider information from prior years’ audits or audits of similar entities. This information includes response rates, knowledge of misstatements identified during prior years’ audits, and any knowledge of inaccurate information on returned confirmations. For example, if the auditor has experienced poor response rates to properly designed confirmation requests in prior audits, the auditor may instead consider obtaining audit evidence from other sources.

Nature of Information Being Confirmed

.24 When designing confirmation requests, the auditor should consider the types of information respondents will be readily able to confirm, since the nature of the information being confirmed may directly affect the competence of the evidence obtained as well as the response rate. For example, certain respondents’ accounting systems may facilitate the confirmation of single transactions rather than of entire account balances. In addition, respondents may not be able to confirm the balances of their installment loans, but they may be able to confirm whether their payments are up-to-date, the amount of the payment, and the key terms of their loans.

.25 The auditor’s understanding of the client’s arrangements and transactions with third parties is key to determining the information to be confirmed. The auditor should obtain an understanding of the substance of such arrangements and transactions to determine the appropriate information to include on the confirmation request. The auditor should consider requesting confirmation of the terms of unusual agreements or transactions, such as bill and hold sales,\(^1\) in addition to the amounts. The auditor also should consider whether there may be oral modifications to agreements, such as unusual payment terms or liberal rights of return. When the auditor believes there is a moderate or high degree of risk that there may be significant oral modifications, he or she should inquire about the existence and details of any such modifications to written agreements. One method of doing so is to confirm both the terms of the agreements and whether any oral modifications exist.

Respondent

.26 The auditor should direct the confirmation request to a third party who the auditor believes is knowledgeable about the information to be con-

---

1 Bill and hold sales are sales of merchandise that are billed to customers before delivery and are held by the entity for the customers.
firmed. For example, to confirm a client’s oral and written guarantees with a financial institution, the auditor should direct the request to a financial institution official who is responsible for the financial institution’s relationship with the client or is knowledgeable about the transactions or arrangements.

.27 If information about the respondent’s competence, knowledge, motivation, ability, or willingness to respond, or about the respondent’s objectivity and freedom from bias with respect to the audited entity comes to the auditor’s attention, the auditor should consider the effects of such information on designing the confirmation request and evaluating the results, including determining whether other procedures are necessary. In addition, there may be circumstances (such as for significant, unusual year-end transactions that have a material effect on the financial statements or where the respondent is the custodian of a material amount of the audited entity’s assets) in which the auditor should exercise a heightened degree of professional skepticism relative to these factors about the respondent. In these circumstances, the auditor should consider whether there is sufficient basis for concluding that the confirmation request is being sent to a respondent from whom the auditor can expect the response will provide meaningful and competent evidence.

Performing Confirmation Procedures

.28 During the performance of confirmation procedures, the auditor should maintain control over the confirmation requests and responses. Maintaining control means establishing direct communication between the intended recipient and the auditor to minimize the possibility that the results will be biased because of interception and alteration of the confirmation requests or responses.

.29 There may be situations in which the respondent, because of timeliness or other considerations, responds to a confirmation request other than in a written communication mailed to the auditor. When such responses are received, additional evidence may be required to support their validity. For example, facsimile responses involve risks because of the difficulty of ascertaining the sources of the responses. To restrict the risks associated with facsimile responses and treat the confirmations as valid audit evidence, the auditor should consider taking certain precautions, such as verifying the source and contents of a facsimile response in a telephone call to the purported sender. In addition, the auditor should consider requesting the purported sender to mail the original confirmation directly to the auditor. Oral confirmations should be documented in the workpapers. If the information in the oral confirmations is significant, the auditor should request the parties involved to submit written confirmation of the specific information directly to the auditor.

.30 When using confirmation requests other than the negative form, the auditor should generally follow up with a second and sometimes a third request to those parties from whom replies have not been received.

3 Section 334, Related Parties, paragraphs .09 and .10, provide guidance on examining related-party transactions that have been identified by the auditor.

The need to maintain control does not preclude the use of internal auditors in the confirmation process. Section 322, The Auditor’s Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements, provides guidance on considering the work of internal auditors and on using internal auditors to provide direct assistance to the auditor.
Alternative Procedures

.31 When the auditor has not received replies to positive confirmation requests, he or she should apply alternative procedures to the nonresponses to obtain the evidence necessary to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level. However, the omission of alternative procedures may be acceptable (a) when the auditor has not identified unusual qualitative factors or systematic characteristics related to the nonresponses, such as that all nonresponses pertain to year-end transactions, and (b) when testing for overstatement of amounts, the nonresponses in the aggregate, when projected as 100 percent misstatements to the population and added to the sum of all other unadjusted differences, would not affect the auditor’s decision about whether the financial statements are materially misstated.

.32 The nature of alternative procedures varies according to the account and assertion in question. In the examination of accounts receivable, for example, alternative procedures may include examination of subsequent cash receipts (including matching such receipts with the actual items being paid), shipping documents, or other client documentation to provide evidence for the existence assertion. In the examination of accounts payable, for example, alternative procedures may include examination of subsequent cash disbursements, correspondence from third parties, or other records to provide evidence for the completeness assertion.

Evaluating the Results of Confirmation Procedures

.33 After performing any alternative procedures, the auditor should evaluate the combined evidence provided by the confirmations and the alternative procedures to determine whether sufficient evidence has been obtained about all the applicable financial statement assertions. In performing that evaluation, the auditor should consider (a) the reliability of the confirmations and alternative procedures; (b) the nature of any exceptions, including the implications, both quantitative and qualitative, of those exceptions; (c) the evidence provided by other procedures; and (d) whether additional evidence is needed. If the combined evidence provided by the confirmations, alternative procedures, and other procedures is not sufficient, the auditor should request additional confirmations or extend other tests, such as tests of details or analytical procedures.

Confirmation of Accounts Receivable

.34 For the purpose of this section, accounts receivable means—

a. The entity’s claims against customers that have arisen from the sale of goods or services in the normal course of business, and

b. A financial institution’s loans.

Confirmation of accounts receivable is a generally accepted auditing procedure. As discussed in paragraph .06, it is generally presumed that evidence obtained from third parties will provide the auditor with higher-quality audit evidence than is typically available from within the entity. Thus, there is a presumption that the auditor will request the confirmation of accounts receivable during an audit unless one of the following is true:

- Accounts receivable are immaterial to the financial statements.
The use of confirmations would be ineffective. The auditor’s combined assessed level of inherent and control risk is low, and the assessed level, in conjunction with the evidence expected to be provided by analytical procedures or other substantive tests of details, is sufficient to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level for the applicable financial statement assertions. In many situations, both confirmation of accounts receivable and other substantive tests of details are necessary to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level for the applicable financial statement assertions.

An auditor who has not requested confirmations in the examination of accounts receivable should document how he or she overcame this presumption.

Effective Date

This section is effective for audits of fiscal periods ending after June 15, 1992. Early application of this section is permissible.

482 The Standards of Field Work

- The use of confirmations would be ineffective.4
- The auditor’s combined assessed level of inherent and control risk is low, and the assessed level, in conjunction with the evidence expected to be provided by analytical procedures or other substantive tests of details, is sufficient to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level for the applicable financial statement assertions. In many situations, both confirmation of accounts receivable and other substantive tests of details are necessary to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level for the applicable financial statement assertions.

An auditor who has not requested confirmations in the examination of accounts receivable should document how he or she overcame this presumption.

Effective Date

This section is effective for audits of fiscal periods ending after June 15, 1992. Early application of this section is permissible.

[The next page is 485.]

4 For example, if, based on prior years’ audit experience or on experience with similar engagements, the auditor concludes that response rates to properly designed confirmation requests will be inadequate, or if responses are known or expected to be unreliable, the auditor may determine that the use of confirmations would be ineffective.
AU Section 331

Inventories

Source: SAS No. 1, section 331; SAS No. 43; SAS No. 67.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 Observation of inventories is a generally accepted auditing procedure. The independent auditor who issues an opinion when he has not employed them must bear in mind that he has the burden of justifying the opinion expressed. [As amended, effective for fiscal periods ending after June 15, 1992, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 67.]

.02 The purpose of this section is to provide guidelines for the independent auditor in observing inventories. This section relates only to observation of inventories and does not deal with other important auditing procedures which generally are required for the independent auditor to satisfy himself as to these assets. [Revised, December 1991, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 67.]

Receivables

.03–.08 [Superseded November 1991 by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 67.]

Inventories

.09 When inventory quantities are determined solely by means of a physical count, and all counts are made as of the balance-sheet date or as of a single date within a reasonable time before or after the balance-sheet date, it is ordinarily necessary for the independent auditor to be present at the time of count and, by suitable observation, tests, and inquiries, satisfy himself respecting the effectiveness of the methods of inventory-taking and the measure of reliance which may be placed upon the client’s representations about the quantities and physical condition of the inventories.

.10 When the well-kept perpetual inventory records are checked by the client periodically by comparisons with physical counts, the auditor’s observation procedures usually can be performed either during or after the end of the period under audit.

.11 In recent years, some companies have developed inventory controls or methods of determining inventories, including statistical sampling, which are highly effective in determining inventory quantities and which are sufficiently reliable to make unnecessary an annual physical count of each item of inventory. In such circumstances, the independent auditor must satisfy himself that
the client’s procedures or methods are sufficiently reliable to produce results substantially the same as those which would be obtained by a count of all items each year. The auditor must be present to observe such counts as he deems necessary and must satisfy himself as to the effectiveness of the counting procedures used. If statistical sampling methods are used by the client in the taking of the physical inventory, the auditor must be satisfied that the sampling plan is reasonable and statistically valid, that it has been properly applied, and that the results are reasonable in the circumstances. [Revised, June 1981, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 39.]

.12 When the independent auditor has not satisfied himself as to inventories in the possession of the client through the procedures described in paragraphs .09 through .11, tests of the accounting records alone will not be sufficient for him to become satisfied as to quantities; it will always be necessary for the auditor to make, or observe, some physical counts of the inventory and apply appropriate tests of intervening transactions. This should be coupled with inspection of the records of any client’s counts and procedures relating to the physical inventory on which the balance-sheet inventory is based.

.13 The independent auditor may be asked to audit financial statements covering the current period and one or more periods for which he had not observed or made some physical counts of prior inventories. He may, nevertheless, be able to become satisfied as to such prior inventories through appropriate procedures, such as tests of prior transactions, reviews of the records of prior counts, and the application of gross profit tests, provided that he has been able to become satisfied as to the current inventory.

Inventories Held in Public Warehouses

.14 If inventories are in the hands of public warehouses or other outside custodians, the auditor ordinarily would obtain direct confirmation in writing from the custodian. If such inventories represent a significant proportion of current or total assets, to obtain reasonable assurance with respect to their existence, the auditor should apply one or more of the following procedures as he considers necessary in the circumstances.

a. Test the owner’s procedures for investigating the warehouseman and evaluating the warehouseman’s performance.

b. Obtain an independent accountant’s report on the warehouseman’s control procedures relevant to custody of goods and, if applicable, pledging of receipts, or apply alternative procedures at the warehouse to gain reasonable assurance that information received from the warehouseman is reliable.

c. Observe physical counts of the goods, if practicable and reasonable.

d. If warehouse receipts have been pledged as collateral, confirm with lenders pertinent details of the pledged receipts (on a test basis, if appropriate).

[As amended, effective after August 31, 1982, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43.]

\[^1\] See section 901 for Special Report of Committee on Auditing Procedure.
For a discussion of the circumstances relating to receivables and inventories affecting the independent auditor's report, see sections 508.24 and 508.67. [As amended, effective for periods ending on or after December 31, 1974, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 2. Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, effective after August 1982.]
AU Section 332

Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities

(Supersedes SAS No. 81)

Source: SAS No. 92.

Effective for audits of financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after June 30, 2001. Early application is permitted.

Applicability

.01 This section provides guidance to auditors in planning and performing auditing procedures for assertions about derivative instruments, hedging activities, and investments in securities that are made in an entity’s financial statements. Those assertions are classified according to five broad categories that are discussed in section 326, Evidential Matter, paragraphs .03 through .08, and address the following:

a. Existence or occurrence
b. Completeness
c. Rights and obligations
d. Valuation or allocation
e. Presentation and disclosure

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities Included in the Scope of This Section

.02 The guidance in this section applies to derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives), of all entities. This section uses the definition of derivative that is in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement...
of Financial Accounting Standards (Statement) No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended [AC section D50] (hereinafter referred to as FASB Statement No. 133). FASB Statement No. 133 addresses the accounting for derivatives that are either freestanding or embedded in contracts or agreements. For purposes of applying the guidance in this section, a derivative is a financial instrument or other contract with all three of the characteristics listed in FASB Statement No. 133, which are the following.

a. It has (1) one or more underlyings and (2) one or more notional amounts or payment provisions or both. Those terms determine the amount of the settlement or settlements, and, in some cases, whether or not settlement is required.

b. It requires no initial net investment or an initial net investment that is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts that would be expected to have a similar response to changes in market factors.

c. Its terms require or permit net settlement, it can readily be settled net by a means outside the contract, or it provides for delivery of an asset that puts the recipient in a position not substantially different from net settlement.

.03 An entity may enter into a derivative for investment purposes or to designate it as a hedge of exposure to changes in fair value (referred to as a fair value hedge), exposure to variability in cash flows (referred to as a cash flow hedge), or foreign currency exposure. The guidance in this section applies to hedging activities in which the entity designates a derivative or a nonderivative financial instrument as a hedge of exposure for which FASB Statement No. 133 permits hedge accounting.

Securities Included in the Scope of This Section

.04 The guidance in this section applies to all securities. There are two types of securities—debt securities and equity securities. This section uses the definitions of debt security and equity security that are in FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities [AC section I80]. This section applies to debt and equity securities without regard to whether they are subject to the accounting requirements of FASB Statement No. 115. For example, it applies to assertions about securities accounted for under the equity method following the requirements of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock [AC section I82].

The Need for Special Skill or Knowledge to Plan and Perform Auditing Procedures

.05 The auditor may need special skill or knowledge to plan and perform auditing procedures for certain assertions about derivatives and securities. Examples of such auditing procedures and the special skill or knowledge required include—

---

5 To simplify the use of terminology, the remainder of this section often uses the term derivative to refer to both the derivative and the purpose for which the entity uses it.
• Obtaining an understanding of an entity’s information system for derivatives and securities, including services provided by a service organization, which may require that the auditor have special skill or knowledge with respect to computer applications when significant information about derivatives and securities is transmitted, processed, maintained, or accessed electronically.

• Identifying controls placed in operation by a service organization that provides services to an entity that are part of the entity’s information system for derivatives and securities, which may require that the auditor have an understanding of the operating characteristics of entities in a certain industry.

• Understanding the application of generally accepted accounting principles for assertions about derivatives, which might require that the auditor have special knowledge because of the complexity of those principles. In addition, a derivative may have complex features that require the auditor to have special knowledge to evaluate the measurement and disclosure of the derivative in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. For example, features embedded in contracts or agreements may require separate accounting as a derivative, and complex pricing structures may increase the complexity of the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of a derivative.

• Understanding the determination of the fair values of derivatives and securities, including the appropriateness of various types of valuation models and the reasonableness of key factors and assumptions, which may require knowledge of valuation concepts.

• Assessing inherent risk and control risk for assertions about derivatives used in hedging activities, which may require an understanding of general risk management concepts and typical asset/liability management strategies.

.06 The auditor may plan to seek the assistance of employees of the auditor’s firm, or others outside the firm, with the necessary skill or knowledge. Section 311, Planning and Supervision, provides guidance on the use of individuals who serve as members of the audit team and assist the auditor in planning and performing auditing procedures. The auditor also may plan to use the work of a specialist. Section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist, provides guidance on the use of the work of specialists as evidential matter.

Audit Risk and Materiality

.07 Section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, provides guidance on the auditor’s consideration of audit risk and materiality when planning and performing an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. It requires the auditor to design procedures to obtain reasonable assurance of detecting misstatements of assertions about derivatives and securities that, when aggregated with misstatements of other assertions, could cause the financial statements taken as a whole to be materially misstated. When designing such procedures, the auditor should consider the inherent risk and control risk for these assertions. The auditor may also consider the work performed by the entity’s internal auditors in designing procedures. Guidance on considering the work performed by internal auditors is found in section 322, The Auditor’s Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements.
Inherent Risk Assessment

The inherent risk for an assertion about a derivative or security is its susceptibility to a material misstatement, assuming there are no related controls. Examples of considerations that might affect the auditor’s assessment of inherent risk for assertions about a derivative or security include the following.

- **Management’s objectives.** Accounting requirements based on management’s objectives may increase the inherent risk for certain assertions. For example, in response to management’s objective of minimizing the risk of loss from changes in market conditions, the entity may enter into derivatives as hedges. The use of hedges is subject to the risk that market conditions will change in a manner other than expected when the hedge was implemented so that the hedge is no longer effective. That increases the inherent risk for certain assertions about the derivatives because in such circumstances continued application of hedge accounting would not be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

- **The complexity of the features of the derivative or security.** The complexity of the features of the derivative or security may increase the complexity of measurement and disclosure considerations required by generally accepted accounting principles. For example, interest payments on a structured note may be based on two or more factors, such as one or more interest rates and the market price of certain equity securities. A formula may dictate the interaction of the factors, such as a prescribed interest rate less a multiple of another rate. The number and interaction of the factors may increase the inherent risk for assertions about the fair value of the note.

- **Whether the transaction that gave rise to the derivative or security involved the exchange of cash.** Derivatives that do not involve an initial exchange of cash are subject to an increased risk that they will not be identified for valuation and disclosure considerations. For example, a foreign exchange forward contract that is not recorded at its inception because the entity does not pay cash to enter into the contract is subject to an increased risk that it will not be identified for subsequent adjustment to fair value. Similarly, a stock warrant for a traded security that is donated to an entity is subject to an increased risk that it will not be identified for initial or continuing measurement at fair value.

- **The entity’s experience with the derivative or security.** An entity’s inexperience with a derivative or security increases the inherent risk for assertions about it. For example, under a new arrangement, an entity may pay a small deposit to enter into a futures contract for foreign currency to pay for purchases from an overseas supplier. The entity’s inexperience with such derivatives may lead it to incorrectly account for the deposit, such as treating it as inventory cost, thereby increasing the risk that the contract will not be identified for subsequent adjustment to fair value.

- **Whether a derivative is freestanding or an embedded feature of an agreement.** Embedded derivatives are less likely to be identified by management, which increases the inherent risk for certain assertions. For example, an option to convert the principal outstanding under a loan agreement into equity securities is less likely to be identified for
valuation and disclosure considerations if it is a clause in a loan agreement than if it is a freestanding agreement. Similarly, a structured note may include a provision for payments related to changes in a stock index or commodities prices that requires separate accounting.

- **Whether external factors affect the assertion.** Assertions about derivatives and securities may be affected by a variety of risks related to external factors, such as—
  - **Credit risk,** which exposes the entity to the risk of loss as a result of the issuer of a debt security or the counterparty to a derivative failing to meet its obligation.
  - **Market risk,** which exposes the entity to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market factors that affect the fair value of a derivative or security, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and market indexes for equity securities.
  - **Basis risk,** which exposes the entity to the risk of loss from ineffective hedging activities. Basis risk is the difference between the fair value (or cash flows) of the hedged item and the fair value (or cash flows) of the hedging derivative. The entity is subject to the risk that fair values (or cash flows) will change so that the hedge will no longer be effective.
  - **Legal risk,** which exposes the entity to the risk of loss from a legal or regulatory action that invalidates or otherwise precludes performance by one or both parties to the derivative or security.

Following are examples of how changes in external factors can affect assertions about derivatives and securities.

- The increase in credit risk associated with amounts due under debt securities issued by entities that operate in declining industries increases the inherent risk for valuation assertions about those securities.
- Significant changes in and the volatility of general interest rates increase the inherent risk for the valuation of derivatives whose value is significantly affected by interest rates.
- Significant changes in default rates and prepayments increase the inherent risk for the valuation of retained interests in a securitization.
- The fair value of a foreign currency forward contract will be affected by changes in the exchange rate, and the fair value of a put option for an available-for-sale security will be affected by changes in the fair value of the underlying security.

- **The evolving nature of derivatives and the applicable generally accepted accounting principles.** As new forms of derivatives are developed, interpretive accounting guidance for them may not be issued until after the derivatives are broadly used in the marketplace. In addition, generally accepted accounting principles for derivatives may be subject to frequent interpretation by various standard-setting bodies. Evolving interpretative guidance and its applicability increase the inherent risk for valuation and other assertions about existing forms of derivatives.

- **Significant reliance on outside parties.** An entity that relies on external expertise may be unable to appropriately challenge the specialist’s methodology or assumptions. This may occur, for example, when a valuation specialist values a derivative.
Generally accepted accounting principles may require developing assumptions about future conditions. As the number and subjectivity of those assumptions increase, the inherent risk of material misstatement increases for certain assertions. For example, the inherent risk for valuation assertions based on assumptions about debt securities whose value fluctuates with changes in prepayments (for example, interest-only strips) increases as the expected holding period lengthens. Similarly, the inherent risk for assertions about cash flow hedges fluctuates with the subjectivity of the assumptions about probability, timing, and amounts of future cash flows.

Control Risk Assessment

Obtaining an Understanding of Internal Control to Plan the Audit

.09 Section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, requires the auditor to obtain an understanding of internal control that will enable the auditor to—

a. Identify the types of potential misstatement of the assertions.

b. Consider factors that affect the risk that the misstatements would be material to the financial statements.

c. Design tests of controls, when applicable.

d. Design substantive tests.

[Revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

.10 Controls should be related to management’s objectives for financial reporting, operations, and compliance. For example, to achieve its objectives, management of an entity with extensive derivatives transactions may implement controls that call for—

a. Monitoring by a control staff that is fully independent of derivatives activities.

b. Derivatives personnel to obtain, prior to exceeding limits, at least oral approval from members of senior management who are independent of derivatives activities.

c. Senior management to properly address limit excesses and divergences from approved derivatives strategies.

d. The accurate transmittal of derivatives positions to the risk measurement systems.

e. The performance of appropriate reconciliations to ensure data integrity across the full range of derivatives, including any new or existing derivatives that may be monitored apart from the main processing networks.

---

f. Derivatives traders, risk managers, and senior management to define constraints on derivatives activities and justify identified excesses.

g. Senior management, an independent group, or an individual that management designates to perform a regular review of the identified controls and financial results of the derivatives activities to determine whether controls are being effectively implemented and the entity's business objectives and strategies are being achieved.

h. A review of limits in the context of changes in strategy, risk tolerance of the entity, and market conditions.

1. The extent of the understanding of internal control over derivatives and securities obtained by the auditor depends on how much information the auditor needs to identify the types of potential misstatements, consider factors that affect the risk of material misstatement, design tests of controls when applicable, and design substantive tests. The understanding obtained may include controls over derivatives and securities transactions from their initiation to their inclusion in the financial statements. It may encompass controls placed in operation by the entity and by service organizations whose services are part of the entity's information system. Section 319.47 defines the information system as the procedures, whether automated or manual, and records established by an entity to initiate, record, process, and report entity transactions and to maintain accountability for the related assets, liabilities, and equity. Following the guidance in section 324, Service Organizations, a service organization's services are part of an entity's information system for derivatives and securities if they affect any of the following:

a. How the entity's derivatives and securities transactions are initiated.

b. The accounting records, supporting information, and specific accounts in the financial statements involved in the processing and reporting of the entity's derivatives and securities transactions.

c. The accounting processing involved from the initiation of those transactions to their inclusion in the financial statements, including electronic means (such as computers and electronic data interchange) used to transmit, process, maintain, and access information.

d. The process the entity uses to report information about derivatives and securities transactions in its financial statements, including significant accounting estimates and disclosures.

[Revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

12 Examples of a service organization's services that would be part of an entity's information system include:

- The initiation of the purchase or sale of equity securities by a service organization acting as investment adviser or manager.
- Services that are ancillary to holding an entity's securities such as—
  - Collecting dividend and interest income and distributing that income to the entity.
  - Receiving notification of corporate actions.
  - Receiving notification of security purchase and sale transactions.

7 In this section, maintaining custody of securities, either in physical or electronic form, is referred to as holding securities, and performing ancillary services is referred to as servicing securities.
— Receiving payments from purchasers and disbursing proceeds to sellers for security purchase and sale transactions.
— Maintaining records of securities transactions for the entity.

• A pricing service providing fair values of derivatives and securities through paper documents or electronic downloads that the entity uses to value its derivatives and securities for financial statement reporting.

.13 Examples of a service organization’s services that would not be part of an entity’s information system are the following:

• The execution by a securities broker of trades that are initiated by either the entity or its investment adviser
• The holding of an entity’s securities

.14 An auditor who needs information about the nature of a service organization’s services that are part of an entity’s information system for derivatives and securities transactions, or its controls over those services, to plan the audit may be able to gather the information from a variety of sources, such as the following:

• User manuals
• System overviews
• Technical manuals
• The contract between the entity and the service organization
• Reports by auditors, internal auditors, or regulatory authorities on the information system and other controls placed in operation by a service organization
• Inquiry or observation of personnel at the entity or at the service organization

In addition, if the services and the service organization’s controls over those services are highly standardized, information about the service organization’s services, or its controls over those services, obtained through the auditor’s prior experience with the service organization may be helpful in planning the audit.

**Assessing Control Risk**

.15 After obtaining the understanding of internal control over derivatives and securities transactions, the auditor should assess control risk for the related assertions. Guidance on that assessment is found in section 319.

.16 If the auditor plans to assess control risk below the maximum for one or more assertions about derivatives and securities, the auditor should identify specific controls relevant to the assertions that are likely to prevent or detect material misstatements and that have been placed in operation by either the entity or the service organization, and gather evidential matter about their operating effectiveness. Evidential matter about the operating effectiveness of a service organization’s controls may be gathered through tests performed by the auditor or by an auditor engaged by either the auditor or the service organization—

---

8 Section 324 provides guidance on auditors’ reports on controls placed in operation by a service organization and the operating effectiveness of those controls.
As part of an engagement in which a service auditor reports on the controls placed in operation by the service organization and the operating effectiveness of those controls, as described in section 324.

An agreed-upon procedures engagement.

to work under the direction of the auditor of the entity’s financial statements.

Confirmations of balances or transactions from a service organization do not provide evidential matter about its controls.

.17 The auditor should consider the size of the entity, the entity’s organizational structure, the nature of its operations, the types, frequency, and complexity of its derivatives and securities transactions, and its controls over those transactions in designing auditing procedures for assertions about derivatives and securities. For example, if the entity has a variety of derivatives and securities that are reported at fair value estimated using valuation models, the auditor may be able to reduce the substantive procedures for valuation assertions by gathering evidential matter about the controls over the design and use of the models (including the significant assumptions) and evaluating their operating effectiveness.

.18 In some circumstances, it may not be practicable or possible for the auditor to reduce audit risk to an acceptable level without identifying controls placed in operation by the entity or a service organization and gathering evidential matter about the operating effectiveness of those controls. For example, if the entity has a large number of derivatives or securities transactions, the auditor likely would be unable to reduce audit risk to an acceptable level for assertions about the occurrence of earnings on those securities, including gains and losses from sales, without identifying controls over the authorization, recording, custody, and segregation of duties for those transactions and gathering evidential matter about their operating effectiveness.

Designing Substantive Procedures Based on Risk Assessments

.19 The auditor should use the assessed levels of inherent risk and control risk for assertions about derivatives and securities to determine the nature, timing, and extent of the substantive procedures to be performed to detect material misstatements of the financial statement assertions. Some substantive procedures address more than one assertion about a derivative or security. Whether one or a combination of substantive procedures should be used to address an assertion depends on the auditor’s assessment of the inherent and control risk associated with it as well as the auditor’s judgment about a procedure’s effectiveness. Paragraphs .21 through .58 provide examples of substantive procedures that address assertions about derivatives and securities. In addition, the auditor should consider whether the results of other audit procedures conflict with management’s assertions about derivatives and securities. The auditor should consider the impact of any such identified matters on management’s assertions about derivatives and securities. Additionally, the auditor should consider the impact of such matters on the sufficiency of the evidential matter evaluated by the auditor in support of the assertions.
The provision by a service organization of services that are part of an entity's information system may affect the nature, timing, and extent of the auditor's substantive procedures for assertions about derivatives and securities in a variety of ways. Following are examples of such services and how they may affect the nature, timing, and extent of the auditor's substantive procedures.

- Supporting documentation, such as derivative contracts and securities purchases and sales advices, may be located at the service organization's facilities. As a result, either the auditor of the entity's financial statements, an auditor working under the direction of that auditor, or an auditor engaged by the service organization may need to visit the facilities to inspect the documentation.

- Data processors, investment advisers, holders of securities, record-keepers, and other service organizations may electronically transmit, process, maintain, or access significant information about an entity's securities. In such situations, it may not be practicable or possible for the auditor to reduce audit risk to an acceptable level without identifying controls placed in operation by the service organization or the entity and gathering evidential matter about the operating effectiveness of those controls.

- Service organizations may initiate securities transactions for an entity and hold and service the securities. In determining the level of detection risk for substantive tests, the auditor should consider whether there is a segregation of duties and other controls for the services provided. Examples include—
  - When one service organization initiates transactions as an investment adviser and another service organization holds and services those securities, the auditor may corroborate the information provided by the two organizations. For example, the auditor may confirm holdings with the holder of the securities and apply other substantive tests to transactions reported by the entity based on information provided by the investment adviser. Depending on the facts and circumstances, the auditor also may confirm transactions or holdings with the investment adviser and review the reconciliation of differences. Paragraph .24 provides additional guidance on the auditor's considerations.
  - If one service organization initiates transactions as an investment adviser and also holds and services the securities, all of the information available to the auditor is based on the service organization's information. The auditor may be unable to sufficiently limit audit risk without obtaining evidential matter about the operating effectiveness of one or more of the service organization's controls. An example of such controls is establishing independent departments that provide the investment advisory services and the holding and servicing of securities, then reconciling the information about the securities that is provided by each department.

Financial Statement Assertions

Existence or Occurrence

.21 Existence assertions address whether the derivatives and securities reported in the financial statements through recognition or disclosure exist at the date of the statement of financial position. Occurrence assertions address
whether derivatives and securities transactions reported in the financial statements, as a part of earnings, other comprehensive income, or cash flows or through disclosure, occurred. Paragraph .19 provides guidance on the auditor's determination of the nature, timing, and extent of substantive procedures to be performed. Examples of substantive procedures for existence or occurrence assertions about derivatives and securities include—

- Confirmation with the issuer of the security.
- Confirmation with the holder of the security, including securities in electronic form, or with the counterparty to the derivative.\(^{11}\)
- Confirmation of settled transactions with the broker-dealer or counterparty.
- Confirmation of unsettled transactions with the broker-dealer or counterparty.
- Physical inspection of the security or derivative contract.
- Reading executed partnership or similar agreements.
- Inspecting underlying agreements and other forms of supporting documentation, in paper or electronic form, for the following:
  - Amounts reported
  - Evidence that would preclude the sales treatment of a transfer
  - Unrecorded repurchase agreements
- Inspecting supporting documentation for subsequent realization or settlement after the end of the reporting period.
- Performing analytical procedures.\(^{12}\) For example, the absence of a material difference from an expectation that interest income will be a fixed percentage of a debt security based on the effective interest rate determined when the entity purchased the security provides evidence about existence of the security.

Completeness

.22 Completeness assertions address whether all of the entity's derivatives and securities are reported in the financial statements through recognition or disclosure. They also address whether all derivatives and securities transactions are reported in the financial statements as a part of earnings, other comprehensive income, or cash flows or through disclosure. The extent of substantive procedures for completeness may properly vary in relation to the assessed level of control risk. In addition, the auditor should consider that since derivatives may not involve an initial exchange of tangible consideration, it may be difficult to limit audit risk for assertions about the completeness of derivatives to an acceptable level with an assessed level of control risk at the...
maximum. Paragraph .19 provides guidance on the auditor's determination of the nature, timing, and extent of substantive procedures to be performed. Examples of substantive procedures for completeness assertions about derivatives and securities are—

- Requesting the counterparty to a derivative or the holder of a security to provide information about it, such as whether there are any side agreements or agreements to repurchase securities sold.
- Requesting counterparties or holders who are frequently used, but with whom the accounting records indicate there are presently no derivatives or securities, to state whether they are counterparties to derivatives with the entity or holders of its securities.
- Inspecting financial instruments and other agreements to identify embedded derivatives.
- Inspecting documentation in paper or electronic form for activity subsequent to the end of the reporting period.
- Performing analytical procedures. For example, a difference from an expectation that interest expense is a fixed percentage of a note based on the interest provisions of the underlying agreement may indicate the existence of an interest rate swap agreement.
- Comparing previous and current account detail to identify assets that have been removed from the accounts and testing those items further to determine that the criteria for sales treatment have been met.
- Reading other information, such as minutes of meetings of the board of directors or finance, asset/liability, investment, or other committees.

.23 One of the characteristics of derivatives is that they may involve only a commitment to perform under a contract and not an initial exchange of tangible consideration. Therefore, auditors designing tests related to the completeness assertion should not focus exclusively on evidence relating to cash receipts and disbursements. When testing for completeness, auditors should consider making inquiries, inspecting agreements, and reading other information, such as minutes of meetings of the board of directors or finance, asset/liability, investment, or other committees. Auditors should also consider making inquiries about aspects of operating activities that might present risks hedged using derivatives. For example, if the entity conducts business with foreign entities, the auditor should inquire about any arrangements the entity has made for purchasing foreign currency. Similarly, if an entity is in an industry in which commodity contracts are common, the auditor should inquire about any commodity contracts with fixed prices that run for unusual durations or involve unusually large quantities. The auditor also should consider inquiring as to whether the entity has converted interest-bearing debt from fixed to variable, or vice versa, using derivatives.

.24 Derivatives may not involve an initial exchange of tangible consideration, as discussed in paragraphs .22 and .23. If one or more service organizations provide services that are part of the entity's information system for derivatives, the auditor may be unable to sufficiently limit audit risk for assertions about the completeness of derivatives without obtaining evidential matter about the operating effectiveness of controls at one or more of the service

---

13 Section 330.17 discusses the blank form of positive confirmation in which the auditor does not state the amount or other information but instead asks the respondent to provide information.
organizations. Since the auditor’s concern is that derivatives that do not require an initial exchange of tangible consideration may not have been recorded, testing reconciliations of information provided by two or more of the service organizations as discussed in paragraph .20 of this section may not sufficiently limit audit risk for assertions about the completeness of derivatives.

**Rights and Obligations**

.25 Assertions about rights and obligations address whether the entity has the rights and obligations associated with derivatives and securities, including pledging arrangements, reported in the financial statements. Paragraph .19 provides guidance on the auditor’s determination of the nature, timing, and extent of substantive procedures to be performed. Examples of substantive procedures for assertions about rights and obligations associated with derivatives and securities are—

- Confirming significant terms with the counterparty to a derivative or the holder of a security, including the absence of any side agreements.
- Inspecting underlying agreements and other forms of supporting documentation, in paper or electronic form.
- Considering whether the findings of other auditing procedures, such as reviewing minutes of meetings of the board of directors and reading contracts and other agreements, provide evidence about rights and obligations, such as pledging of securities as collateral or selling securities with a commitment to repurchase them.

**Valuation**

.26 Assertions about the valuation of derivatives and securities address whether the amounts reported in the financial statements through measurement or disclosure were determined in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Tests of valuation assertions should be designed according to the valuation method used for the measurement or disclosure. Generally accepted accounting principles may require that a derivative or security be valued based on cost, the investee’s financial results, or fair value. They also may require disclosures about the value of a derivative or security and specify that impairment losses should be recognized in earnings prior to their realization. Also, generally accepted accounting principles for securities may vary depending on the type of security, the nature of the transaction, management’s objectives related to the security, and the type of entity. Procedures for evaluating management’s consideration of the need to recognize impairment losses are discussed in paragraphs .47 and .48 of this section.

.27 **Valuation Based on Cost.** Procedures to obtain evidence about the cost of securities may include inspection of documentation of the purchase price, confirmation with the issuer or holder, and testing discount or premium amortization, either by recomputation or analytical procedures. The auditor should evaluate management’s conclusion about the need to recognize an impairment loss for a decline in the security’s fair value below its cost that is other than temporary.

.28 **Valuation Based on an Investee’s Financial Results.** For valuations based on an investee’s financial results, including but not limited to the equity method of accounting, the auditor should obtain sufficient evidence in support of the investee’s financial results. The auditor should read available financial statements of the investee and the accompanying audit report, if any.
statements of the investee that have been audited by an auditor whose report
is satisfactory, for this purpose, to the investor's auditor may constitute
sufficient evidential matter.

.29 If in the auditor's judgment additional evidential matter is needed,
the auditor should perform procedures to gather such evidence. For example,
the auditor may conclude that additional evidential matter is needed because
of significant differences in fiscal year-ends, significant differences in account-
ing principles, changes in ownership, changes in conditions affecting the use of
the equity method, or the materiality of the investment to the investor's
financial position or results of operations. Examples of procedures the auditor
may perform are reviewing information in the investor's files that relates to
the investee such as investee minutes and budgets and cash flows information
about the investee and making inquiries of investor management about the
investee's financial results.

.30 If the investee's financial statements are not audited, or if the inves-
see auditor's report is not satisfactory to the investor's auditor for this purpose,
the investor's auditor should apply, or should request that the investor arrange
with the investee to have another auditor apply, appropriate auditing proce-
dures to such financial statements, considering the materiality of the invest-
ment in relation to the financial statements of the investor.

.31 If the carrying amount of the security reflects factors that are not
recognized in the investee's financial statements or fair values of assets that
are materially different from the investee's carrying amounts, the auditor
should obtain sufficient evidence in support of these amounts. Paragraphs .35
through .46 of this section provide guidance on audit evidence that may be used
to corroborate assertions about the fair value of derivatives and securities, and
paragraphs .47 and .48 provide guidance on procedures for evaluating manage-
ment's consideration of the need to recognize impairment losses.

.32 There may be a time lag in reporting between the date of the financial
statements of the investor and that of the investee. A time lag in reporting
should be consistent from period to period. If a time lag between the date of the
entity's financial statements and those of the investee has a material effect on
the entity's financial statements, the auditor should determine whether the
entity's management has properly considered the lack of comparability. The
effect may be material, for example, because the time lag is not consistent with
the prior period in comparative statements or because a significant transaction
occurred during the time lag. If a change in time lag occurs that has a material
effect on the investor's financial statements, an explanatory paragraph should
be added to the auditor's report because of the change in reporting period.15

.33 The auditor should evaluate management's conclusion about the need
to recognize an impairment loss for a decline in the security's fair value below
its carrying amount that is other than temporary. In addition, with respect to
subsequent events and transactions of the investee occurring after the date of
the investee's financial statements but before the date of the investor auditor's
report, the auditor should read available interim financial statements of the
investee and make appropriate inquiries of the investor to identify subsequent

14 In determining whether the report of another auditor is satisfactory for this purpose, the auditor
may consider performing procedures such as making inquiries as to the professional reputation and
standing of the other auditor, visiting the other auditor and discussing the audit procedures followed
and the results thereof, and reviewing the audit program and/or working papers of the other auditor.
15 See section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .16 through .18.
events and transactions that are material to the investor’s financial statements. Such events or transactions of the type contemplated in section 560, Subsequent Events, paragraphs .05 and .06, should be disclosed in the notes to the investor’s financial statements and (where applicable) labeled as unaudited information. For the purpose of recording the investor’s share of the investee’s results of operations, recognition should be given to events or transactions of the type contemplated in section 560.03.

.34 Evidence relating to material transactions between the entity and the investee should be obtained to evaluate (a) the propriety of the elimination of unrealized profits and losses on transactions between the entity and the investee that is required when the equity method of accounting is used to account for an investment under generally accepted accounting principles and (b) the adequacy of disclosures about material related party transactions.

.35 Valuation Based on Fair Value. The auditor should obtain evidence supporting management’s assertions about the fair value of derivatives and securities measured or disclosed at fair value. The method for determining fair value may be specified by generally accepted accounting principles and may vary depending on the industry in which the entity operates or the nature of the entity. Such differences may relate to the consideration of price quotations from inactive markets and significant liquidity discounts, control premiums, and commissions and other costs that would be incurred to dispose of the derivative or security. The auditor should determine whether generally accepted accounting principles specify the method to be used to determine the fair value of the entity’s derivatives and securities and evaluate whether the determination of fair value is consistent with the specified valuation method. Paragraphs .35 through .46 of this section provide guidance on audit evidence that may be used to support assertions about fair value; that guidance should be considered in the context of specific accounting requirements. If the determination of fair value requires the use of estimates, the auditor should consider the guidance in section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates. In addition, section 312.36 provides guidance on considering a difference between an estimated amount best supported by the audit evidence and the estimated amount included in the financial statements.

.36 Quoted market prices for derivatives and securities listed on national exchanges or over-the-counter markets are available from sources such as financial publications, the exchanges, the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations System (NASDAQ), or pricing services based on sources such as those. Quoted market prices obtained from those sources are generally considered to provide sufficient evidence of the fair value of the derivatives and securities.

.37 For certain other derivatives and securities, quoted market prices may be obtained from broker-dealers who are market makers in them or through the National Quotation Bureau. However, using such a price quote to test valuation assertions may require special knowledge to understand the circumstances in which the quote was developed. For example, quotations published by the National Quotation Bureau may not be based on recent trades and may only be an indication of interest and not an actual price for which a counterparty will purchase or sell the underlying derivative or security.

.38 If quoted market prices are not available for the derivative or security, estimates of fair value frequently can be obtained from broker-dealers or other third-party sources based on proprietary valuation models or from the entity based on internally or externally developed valuation models (for example, the
Black-Scholes option pricing model). The auditor should understand the method used by the broker-dealer or other third-party source in developing the estimate, for example, whether a pricing model or a cash flow projection was used. The auditor may also determine that it is necessary to obtain estimates from more than one pricing source. For example, this may be appropriate if either of the following occurs.

- The pricing source has a relationship with an entity that might impair its objectivity, such as an affiliate or a counterparty involved in selling or structuring the product.
- The valuation is based on assumptions that are highly subjective or particularly sensitive to changes in the underlying circumstances.

For fair-value estimates obtained from broker-dealers and other third-party sources, the auditor should consider the applicability of the guidance in section 336 or section 324. The auditor’s decision about whether such guidance is applicable and which guidance is applicable will depend on the circumstances. The guidance in section 336 may be applicable if the third-party source derives the fair value of the derivative or security by using modeling or similar techniques. If the entity uses a pricing service to obtain prices of securities and derivatives, the guidance in section 324 may be appropriate.

If the derivative or security is valued by the entity using a valuation model, the auditor does not function as an appraiser and is not expected to substitute his or her judgment for that of the entity’s management. Examples of valuation models include the present value of expected future cash flows, option-pricing models, matrix pricing, option-adjusted spread models, and fundamental analysis. The auditor should obtain evidence supporting management’s assertions about fair value determined using a model by performing procedures such as—

- Assessing the reasonableness and appropriateness of the model. The auditor should determine whether the valuation model is appropriate for the derivative or security to which it is applied and whether the assumptions used are reasonable and appropriately supported. Estimates of expected future cash flows, for example, to determine the fair value of debt securities should be based on reasonable and supportable assumptions. The evaluation of the appropriateness of valuation models and each of the assumptions used in the models may require considerable judgment and knowledge of valuation techniques, market factors that affect value, and actual and expected market conditions, particularly in relation to similar derivatives and securities that are traded. Accordingly, the auditor may consider it necessary to involve a specialist in assessing the model.
- Calculating the value, for example using a model developed by the auditor or by a specialist engaged by the auditor, to develop an independent expectation to corroborate the reasonableness of the value calculated by the entity.
- Comparing the fair value with subsequent or recent transactions.

[16 Independence Standards Board Interpretation 99-1, FAS 133 Assistance, provides guidance to auditors of public companies on services an auditor may provide management to assist with the application of FASB Statement No. 133 that would and would not impair the auditor’s independence. Ethics Interpretation 101-3, Performance of Nonattest Services [ET section 101.05], provides general guidance to auditors of all entities on the effect of nonattest services on the auditor’s independence. [Footnote revised, September 2003, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the revision of Ethics Interpretation 101-3.]
However, a valuation model should not be used to determine fair value when generally accepted accounting principles require that the fair value of a security be determined using quoted market prices.

.41 Evaluating evidential matter for assertions about derivatives and securities may require the auditor to use considerable judgment. That may be because the assertions, especially those about valuation, are based on highly subjective assumptions or are particularly sensitive to changes in the underlying circumstances. Valuation assertions may be based on assumptions about the occurrence of future events for which expectations are difficult to develop or on assumptions about conditions expected to exist over a long period; for example, default rates or prepayment rates. Accordingly, competent persons could reach different conclusions about estimates of fair values or estimates of ranges of fair values.

.42 Considerable judgment may also be required in evaluating evidential matter for assertions based on features of the derivative or security and applicable accounting principles, including underlying criteria such as for hedge accounting, that are extremely complex. For example, determining the fair value of a structured note may require consideration of a variety of features of the note that react differently to changes in economic conditions. In addition, one or more other derivatives may be designated to hedge changes in cash flows under the note. Evaluating evidential matter to support the fair value of the note, the determination of whether the hedge is highly effective, and the allocation of changes in fair value to earnings and other comprehensive income may require considerable judgment.

.43 In situations requiring considerable judgment, the auditor should consider the guidance in——

a. Section 342 on obtaining and evaluating sufficient competent evidential matter to support significant accounting estimates.

b. Section 336 on the use of the work of a specialist in performing substantive procedures.

.44 Negotiable securities, real estate, chattels, or other property is often assigned as collateral for debt securities. If the collateral is an important factor in evaluating the fair value and collectibility of the security, the auditor should obtain evidence regarding the existence, fair value, and transferability of such collateral as well as the investor’s rights to the collateral.

.45 Generally accepted accounting principles may specify how to account for unrealized appreciation and depreciation in the fair value of the entity’s derivatives and securities. For example, generally accepted accounting principles require the entity to report a change in the unrealized appreciation or depreciation in the fair value of——

- A derivative that is designated as a fair value hedge in earnings, with disclosure of the ineffective portion of the hedge.
- A derivative that is designated as a cash flow hedge in two components, with the ineffective portion reported in earnings and the effective portion reported in other comprehensive income.
- A derivative that was previously designated as a hedge but is no longer highly effective, or a derivative that is not designated as a hedge, in earnings.
- An available-for-sale security in other comprehensive income.

Generally accepted accounting principles may also require the entity to reclassify amounts from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings. For
example, such reclassifications may be required because a hedged transaction is determined to no longer be probable of occurring, a hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings for the period, or a decline in fair value is determined to be other than temporary.

.46 The auditor should evaluate management’s conclusion about the need to recognize in earnings an impairment loss for a decline in fair value that is other than temporary as discussed in paragraphs .47 and .48 of this section. The auditor should also gather evidential matter to support the amount of unrealized appreciation or depreciation in the fair value of a derivative that is recognized in earnings or other comprehensive income or that is disclosed because of the ineffectiveness of a hedge. That requires an understanding of the methods used to determine whether the hedge is highly effective and to determine the ineffective portion of the hedge.

.47 Impairment Losses. Regardless of the valuation method used, generally accepted accounting principles might require recognizing in earnings an impairment loss for a decline in fair value that is other than temporary. Determinations of whether losses are other than temporary often involve estimating the outcome of future events. Accordingly, judgment is required in determining whether factors exist that indicate that an impairment loss has been incurred at the end of the reporting period. These judgments are based on subjective as well as objective factors, including knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. The following are examples of such factors.

- Fair value is significantly below cost and—
  - The decline is attributable to adverse conditions specifically related to the security or to specific conditions in an industry or in a geographic area.
  - The decline has existed for an extended period of time.
  - Management does not possess both the intent and the ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.
- The security has been downgraded by a rating agency.
- The financial condition of the issuer has deteriorated.
- Dividends have been reduced or eliminated, or scheduled interest payments have not been made.
- The entity recorded losses from the security subsequent to the end of the reporting period.

.48 The auditor should evaluate (a) whether management has considered relevant information in determining whether factors such as those listed in paragraph .47 exist and (b) management’s conclusions about the need to recognize an impairment loss. That evaluation requires the auditor to obtain evidence about such factors that tend to corroborate or conflict with management’s conclusions. When the entity has recognized an impairment loss, the auditor should gather evidence supporting the amount of the impairment adjustment recorded and determine whether the entity has appropriately followed generally accepted accounting principles.

Presentation and Disclosure

.49 Assertions about presentation and disclosure address whether the classification, description, and disclosure of derivatives and securities in the
entity's financial statements are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The auditor should evaluate whether the presentation and disclosure of derivatives and securities are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. As noted in section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .04, the auditor's opinion as to whether financial statements are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles should be based on the auditor's judgement as to whether—

a. The accounting principles selected and applied have general acceptance.

b. The accounting principles are appropriate in the circumstances.

c. The financial statements, including the related notes, are informative of matters that may affect their use, understanding, and interpretation.

d. The information presented in the financial statements is classified and summarized in a reasonable manner, that is, neither too detailed nor too condensed.

e. The financial statements reflect the underlying transactions and events in a manner that presents the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows stated within a range of acceptable limits, that is, limits that are reasonable and practicable to attain in financial statements.

[Title of section 411 amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.50 For some derivatives and securities, generally accepted accounting principles may prescribe presentation and disclosure requirements. For example—

- Whether changes in the fair value of derivatives used to hedge risks are required to be reported as a component of earnings or other comprehensive income depends on whether they are intended to hedge the risk of changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities or changes in expected future cash flows and on the degree of effectiveness of the hedge.

- Certain securities are required to be classified into categories according to management's intent and ability, such as held-to-maturity.

- Specific information is required to be disclosed about derivatives and securities.

.51 In evaluating the adequacy of presentation and disclosure, the auditor should consider the form, arrangement, and content of the financial statements and their notes, including, for example, the terminology used, the amount of detail given, the classification of items in the statements, and the bases of amounts reported. The auditor should compare the presentation and disclosure with the requirements of generally accepted accounting principles. However, the auditor should also follow the guidance in section 431, Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements, in evaluating the adequacy of disclosure that is not specifically required by generally accepted accounting principles.

Additional Considerations About Hedging Activities

.52 To account for a derivative as a hedge, generally accepted accounting principles require management at the inception of the hedge to designate the
derivative as a hedge and contemporaneously formally document\textsuperscript{17} the hedging relationship, the entity’s risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge, and the method of assessing the effectiveness of the hedge. In addition, to qualify for hedge accounting, generally accepted accounting principles require that management have an expectation, both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, that the hedging relationship will be highly effective in achieving the hedging strategy.\textsuperscript{18}

.53 The auditor should gather evidential matter to determine whether management complied with the hedge accounting requirements of generally accepted accounting principles, including designation and documentation requirements. In addition, the auditor should gather evidential matter to support management’s expectation at the inception of the hedge that the hedging relationship will be highly effective and its periodic assessment of the ongoing effectiveness of the hedging relationship as required by generally accepted accounting principles.

.54 When the entity designates a derivative as a fair value hedge, generally accepted accounting principles require that the entity adjust the carrying amount of the hedged item for the change in the hedged item’s fair value that is attributable to the hedged risk. The auditor should gather evidential matter supporting the recorded change in the hedged item’s fair value that is attributable to the hedged risk. Additionally, the auditor should gather evidential matter to determine whether management has properly applied generally accepted accounting principles to the hedged item.

.55 For a cash flow hedge of a forecasted transaction, generally accepted accounting principles require management to determine that the forecasted transaction is probable of occurring. Those principles require that the likelihood that the transaction will take place not be based solely on management’s intent. Instead, the transaction’s probability should be supported by observable facts and the attendant circumstances, such as the following:

- The frequency of similar past transactions
- The financial and operational ability of the entity to carry out the transaction
- The extent of loss that could result if the transaction does not occur
- The likelihood that transactions with substantially different characteristics might be used to achieve the same business purpose

The auditor should evaluate management’s determination of whether a forecasted transaction is probable.

 Assertions About Securities Based on Management’s Intent and Ability

.56 Generally accepted accounting principles require that management’s intent and ability be considered in valuing certain securities; for example, whether—

\textsuperscript{17} FASB Statement No. 133 requires formal documentation of prescribed aspects of hedging relationships at the inception of the hedge.

\textsuperscript{18} FASB Statement No. 133 requires management to periodically reassess the effectiveness of hedging relationships whenever financial statements or earnings are reported, and at least every three months. It also requires that all assessments of effectiveness be consistent with the risk management strategy documented for the particular hedging relationship.
Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity and reported at their cost depends on management’s intent and ability to hold them to their maturity.

Equity securities are reported using the equity method depends on management’s ability to significantly influence the investee.

Equity securities are classified as trading or available-for-sale depends on management’s intent and objectives in investing in the securities.

.57 In evaluating management’s intent and ability, the auditor should—

a. Obtain an understanding of the process used by management to classify securities as trading, available-for-sale, or held-to-maturity.

b. For an investment accounted for using the equity method, inquire of management as to whether the entity has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee and evaluate the attendant circumstances that serve as a basis for management’s conclusions.

c. If the entity accounts for the investment contrary to the presumption established by generally accepted accounting principles for use of the equity method, obtain sufficient competent evidential matter about whether that presumption has been overcome and whether appropriate disclosure is made regarding the reasons for not accounting for the investment in keeping with that presumption.

d. Consider whether management’s activities corroborate or conflict with its stated intent. For example, the auditor should evaluate an assertion that management intends to hold debt securities to their maturity by examining evidence such as documentation of management’s strategies and sales and other historical activities with respect to those securities and similar securities.

e. Determine whether generally accepted accounting principles require management to document its intentions and specify the content and timeliness of that documentation. The auditor should inspect the documentation and obtain evidential matter about its timeliness. Unlike the formal documentation required for hedging activities, evidential matter supporting the classification of debt and equity securities may be more informal.

f. Determine whether management’s activities, contractual agreements, or the entity’s financial condition provide evidence of its ability. Examples follow.

(1) The entity’s financial position, working capital needs, operating results, debt agreements, guarantees, alternate sources of liquidity, and other relevant contractual obligations, as well as laws and regulations, may provide evidence about an entity’s ability to hold debt securities to their maturity.

(2) Management’s cash flow projections may suggest that it does not have the ability to hold debt securities to their maturity.

19 FASB Statement No. 115 requires an investor to document the classification of debt and equity securities into one of three categories—held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading—at their acquisition.
Management's inability to obtain information from an investee may suggest that it does not have the ability to significantly influence the investee.

(4) If the entity asserts that it maintains effective control over securities transferred under a repurchase agreement, the contractual agreement may be such that the entity actually surrendered control over the securities and therefore should account for the transfer as a sale instead of a secured borrowing.

Management Representations

.58 Section 333, Management Representations, provides guidance to auditors in obtaining written representations from management. The auditor ordinarily should obtain written representations from management confirming aspects of management’s intent and ability that affect assertions about derivatives and securities, such as its intent and ability to hold a debt security until its maturity or to enter into a forecasted transaction for which hedge accounting is applied. In addition, the auditor should consider obtaining written representations from management confirming other aspects of derivatives and securities transactions that affect assertions about them.20

Effective Date

.59 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after June 30, 2001. Early application is permitted.
AU Section 333

Management Representations

(Supersedes SAS No. 19)

Source: SAS No. 85; SAS No. 89; SAS No. 99.

See section 9333 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after June 30, 1998, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction

.01 This section establishes a requirement that the independent auditor obtain written representations from management as a part of an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and provides guidance concerning the representations to be obtained.

Reliance on Management Representations

.02 During an audit, management makes many representations to the auditor, both oral and written, in response to specific inquiries or through the financial statements. Such representations from management are part of the evidential matter the independent auditor obtains, but they are not a substitute for the application of those auditing procedures necessary to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit. Written representations from management ordinarily confirm representations explicitly or implicitly given to the auditor, indicate and document the continuing appropriateness of such representations, and reduce the possibility of misunderstanding concerning the matters that are the subject of the representations.¹

.03 The auditor obtains written representations from management to complement other auditing procedures. In many cases, the auditor applies auditing procedures specifically designed to obtain evidential matter concerning matters that also are the subject of written representations. For example, after the auditor performs the procedures prescribed in section 334, Related Parties, even if the results of those procedures indicate that transactions with related parties have been properly disclosed, the auditor should obtain a written representation to document that management has no knowledge of any such transactions that have not been properly disclosed. In some circumstances, evidential matter that can be obtained by the application of auditing procedures other than inquiry is limited; therefore, the auditor obtains written representations to provide additional evidential matter. For example, if an

¹ Section 230, Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work, states, “The auditor neither assumes that management is dishonest nor assumes unquestioned honesty. In exercising professional skepticism, the auditor should not be satisfied with less than persuasive evidence because of a belief that management is honest.”
entity plans to discontinue a line of business and the auditor is not able to obtain sufficient information through other auditing procedures to corroborate the plan or intent, the auditor obtains a written representation to provide evidence of management’s intent.

.04 If a representation made by management is contradicted by other audit evidence, the auditor should investigate the circumstances and consider the reliability of the representation made. Based on the circumstances, the auditor should consider whether his or her reliance on management’s representations relating to other aspects of the financial statements is appropriate and justified.

Obtaining Written Representations

.05 Written representations from management should be obtained for all financial statements and periods covered by the auditor’s report. For example, if comparative financial statements are reported on, the written representations obtained at the completion of the most recent audit should address all periods being reported on. The specific written representations obtained by the auditor will depend on the circumstances of the engagement and the nature and basis of presentation of the financial statements.

.06 In connection with an audit of financial statements presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, specific representations should relate to the following matters:

Financial Statements

a. Management’s acknowledgment of its responsibility for the fair presentation in the financial statements of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

b. Management’s belief that the financial statements are fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Completeness of Information

c. Availability of all financial records and related data.

d. Completeness and availability of all minutes of meetings of stockholders, directors, and committees of directors.

e. Communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with or deficiencies in financial reporting practices.

f. Absence of unrecorded transactions.

Recognition, Measurement, and Disclosure

g. Management’s belief that the effects of any uncorrected financial statement misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current...
Management Representations

engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole. If management believes that certain of the identified items are not misstatements, management’s belief may be acknowledged by adding to the representation, for example, “We do not agree that items XX and XX constitute misstatements because [description of reasons].” [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.]

Section 312 states that the auditor may designate an amount below which misstatements need not be accumulated. Similarly, the summary of uncorrected misstatements included in or attached to the representation letter need not include such misstatements. The summary should include sufficient information to provide management with an understanding of the nature, amount, and effect of the uncorrected misstatements. Similar items may be aggregated. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.]

The communication to management of immaterial misstatements aggregated by the auditor does not constitute a communication pursuant to section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients, paragraph .17, Section 10A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, paragraphs .38 through .40. The auditor may have additional communication responsibilities pursuant to section 317, Section 10A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or section 316. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]

[Footnote deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99, October 2002.]

See section 334. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]

See section 317. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]
with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies [AC section C59].

q. Other liabilities and gain or loss contingencies that are required to be accrued or disclosed by FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59].

r. Satisfactory title to assets, liens or encumbrances on assets, and assets pledged as collateral.

s. Compliance with aspects of contractual agreements that may affect the financial statements.

Subsequent Events

t. Information concerning subsequent events.


.07 The representation letter ordinarily should be tailored to include additional appropriate representations from management relating to matters specific to the entity's business or industry. Examples of additional representations that may be appropriate are provided in appendix B, "Additional Illustrative Representations" (paragraph .17).

.08 Management's representations may be limited to matters that are considered either individually or collectively material to the financial statements, provided management and the auditor have reached an understanding on materiality for this purpose. Materiality may be different for different representations. A discussion of materiality may be included explicitly in the representation letter, in either qualitative or quantitative terms. Materiality considerations would not apply to those representations that are not directly related to amounts included in the financial statements, for example, items (a), (c), (d), and (e) above. In addition, because of the possible effects of fraud on other aspects of the audit, materiality would not apply to item (h) above with respect to management or those employees who have significant roles in internal control.

.09 The written representations should be addressed to the auditor. Because the auditor is concerned with events occurring through the date of his or her report that may require adjustment to or disclosure in the financial statements, the representations should be made as of a date no earlier than the date of the auditor's report. [If the auditor "dual dates" his or her report, the auditor should consider whether obtaining additional representations relating

---

11 See section 337, Inquiry of a Client's Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, paragraph .05d. If the entity has not consulted a lawyer regarding litigation, claims, and assessments, the auditor normally would rely on the review of internally available information and obtain a written representation by management regarding the lack of litigation, claims, and assessments; see auditing Interpretation No. 6, "Client Has Not Consulted a Lawyer" (section 9337.15–17). [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]

12 See section 337.05b. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]

13 See section 560, Subsequent Events, paragraph .12, section 711, Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes, paragraph .10, and section 634, Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties, paragraph .45, footnote 29. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]

14 Certain AICPA Audit Guides recommend that the auditor obtain written representations concerning matters that are unique to a particular industry. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]
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to the subsequent event is appropriate. See section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report, paragraph .05. The letter should be signed by those members of management with overall responsibility for financial and operating matters whom the auditor believes are responsible for and knowledgeable about, directly or through others in the organization, the matters covered by the representations. Such members of management normally include the chief executive officer and chief financial officer or others with equivalent positions in the entity.

.10 If current management was not present during all periods covered by the auditor’s report, the auditor should nevertheless obtain written representations from current management on all such periods. The specific written representations obtained by the auditor will depend on the circumstances of the engagement and the nature and basis of presentation of the financial statements. As discussed in paragraph .08, management’s representations may be limited to matters that are considered either individually or collectively material to the financial statements.

.11 In certain circumstances, the auditor may want to obtain written representations from other individuals. For example, he or she may want to obtain written representations about the completeness of the minutes of the meetings of stockholders, directors, and committees of directors from the person responsible for keeping such minutes. Also, if the independent auditor performs an audit of the financial statements of a subsidiary but does not audit those of the parent company, he or she may want to obtain representations from management of the parent company concerning matters that may affect the subsidiary, such as related-party transactions or the parent company’s intention to provide continuing financial support to the subsidiary.

.12 There are circumstances in which an auditor should obtain updating representation letters from management. If a predecessor auditor is requested by a former client to reissue (or consent to the reuse of) his or her report on the financial statements of a prior period, and those financial statements are to be presented on a comparative basis with audited financial statements of a subsequent period, the predecessor auditor should obtain an updating representation letter from the management of the former client. 15 Also, when performing subsequent events procedures in connection with filings under the Securities Act of 1933, the auditor should obtain certain written representations.16 The updating management representation letter should state (a) whether any information has come to management’s attention that would cause them to believe that any of the previous representations should be modified, and (b) whether any events have occurred subsequent to the balance-sheet date of the latest financial statements reported on by the auditor that would require adjustment to or disclosure in those financial statements.17

Scope Limitations

.13 Management’s refusal to furnish written representations constitutes a limitation on the scope of the audit sufficient to preclude an unqualified opinion and is ordinarily sufficient to cause an auditor to disclaim an opinion

15 See section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .71. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]

16 See section 711.10. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]

17 An illustrative updating management representation letter is contained in appendix C, “Illustrative Updating Management Representation Letter”[paragraph .18]. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]
or withdraw from the engagement. However, based on the nature of the representations not obtained or the circumstances of the refusal, the auditor may conclude that a qualified opinion is appropriate. Further, the auditor should consider the effects of the refusal on his or her ability to rely on other management representations.

.14 If the auditor is precluded from performing procedures he or she considers necessary in the circumstances with respect to a matter that is material to the financial statements, even though management has given representations concerning the matter, there is a limitation on the scope of the audit, and the auditor should qualify his or her opinion or disclaim an opinion.

Effective Date

.15 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after June 30, 1998. Earlier application is permitted.
Appendix A

Illustrative Management Representation Letter

1. The following letter, which relates to an audit of financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, is presented for illustrative purposes only. The introductory paragraph should specify the financial statements and periods covered by the auditor’s report, for example, “balance sheets of XYZ Company as of December 31, 19X1 and 19X0, and the related statements of income and retained earnings and cash flows for the years then ended.” The written representations to be obtained should be based on the circumstances of the engagement and the nature and basis of presentation of the financial statements being audited. (See appendix B [paragraph .17]).

2. If matters exist that should be disclosed to the auditor, they should be indicated by modifying the related representation. For example, if an event subsequent to the date of the balance sheet has been disclosed in the financial statements, the final paragraph could be modified as follows: “To the best of our knowledge and belief, except as discussed in Note X to the financial statements, no events have occurred . . . .” In appropriate circumstances, item 9 could be modified as follows: “The company has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities, except for its plans to dispose of segment A, as disclosed in Note X to the financial statements, which are discussed in the minutes of the December 7, 20X1, meeting of the board of directors.” Similarly, if management has received a communication regarding an allegation of fraud or suspected fraud, item 8 could be modified as follows: “Except for the allegation discussed in the minutes of the December 7, 20X1, meeting of the board of directors (or disclosed to you at our meeting on October 15, 20X1), we have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the company received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others.”

3. The qualitative discussion of materiality used in the illustrative letter is adapted from FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 2, Qualitative Characteristics of Accounting Information.

4. Certain terms are used in the illustrative letter that are described elsewhere in authoritative literature. Examples are fraud, in section 316, and related parties, in section 334, footnote 1. To avoid misunderstanding concerning the meaning of such terms, the auditor may wish to furnish those definitions to management or request that the definitions be included in the written representations.

5. The illustrative letter assumes that management and the auditor have reached an understanding on the limits of materiality for purposes of the written representations. However, it should be noted that a materiality limit would not apply for certain representations, as explained in paragraph .08 of this section.
6.

[Date]

To [Independent Auditor]

We are providing this letter in connection with your audit(s) of the [identification of financial statements] of [name of entity] as of [dates] and for the [periods] for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether the [consolidated] financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of [name of entity] in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We confirm that we are responsible for the fair presentation in the [consolidated] financial statements of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered material, regardless of size, if they involve an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in the light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would be changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement.

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, [as of (date of auditor's report),] the following representations made to you during your audit(s).

1. The financial statements referred to above are fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

2. We have made available to you all—
   a. Financial records and related data.
   b. Minutes of the meetings of stockholders, directors, and committees of directors, or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared.

3. There have been no communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with or deficiencies in financial reporting practices.

4. There are no material transactions that have not been properly recorded in the accounting records underlying the financial statements.

5. We believe that the effects of the uncorrected financial statement misstatements summarized in the accompanying schedule are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.1

6. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent and detect fraud.

7. We have no knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity involving—
   a. Management,
   b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control, or
   c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.

8. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others.

---

1 If management believes that certain of the identified items are not misstatements, management's belief may be acknowledged by adding to the representation, for example, “We do not agree that items XX and XX constitute misstatements because [description of reasons].” [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.]
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9. The company has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities.

10. The following have been properly recorded or disclosed in the financial statements:
   a. Related-party transactions, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers, leasing arrangements, and guarantees, and amounts receivable from or payable to related parties.
   b. Guarantees, whether written or oral, under which the company is contingently liable.
   c. Significant estimates and material concentrations known to management that are required to be disclosed in accordance with the AICPA’s Statement of Position 94-6, Disclosure of Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties. [Significant estimates are estimates at the balance sheet date that could change materially within the next year. Concentrations refer to volumes of business, revenues, available sources of supply, or markets or geographic areas for which events could occur that would significantly disrupt normal finances within the next year.]

11. There are no—
   a. Violations or possible violations of laws or regulations whose effects should be considered for disclosure in the financial statements or as a basis for recording a loss contingency.
   b. Unasserted claims or assessments that our lawyer has advised us are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.2
   c. Other liabilities or gain or loss contingencies that are required to be accrued or disclosed by FASB Statement No. 5.

12. The company has satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets nor has any asset been pledged as collateral.

13. The company has complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that would have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of noncompliance.

   [Add additional representations that are unique to the entity’s business or industry. See paragraph .07 and appendix B [paragraph .17] of this section.]

To the best of our knowledge and belief, no events have occurred subsequent to the balance-sheet date and through the date of this letter that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the aforementioned financial statements.

____________________________________________

[Name of Chief Executive Officer and Title]

[Name of Chief Financial Officer and Title]

[As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89. As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

2 In the circumstance discussed in footnote 11 of this section, this representation might be worded as follows:
   We are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation, claims, or assessments or unasserted claims or assessments that are required to be accrued or disclosed in the financial statements in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, and we have not consulted a lawyer concerning litigation, claims, or assessments.

[Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999.]
Appendix B

Additional Illustrative Representations

1. As discussed in paragraph .07 of this section, representation letters ordinarily should be tailored to include additional appropriate representations from management relating to matters specific to the entity's business or industry. The auditor also should be aware that certain AICPA Audit Guides recommend that the auditor obtain written representations concerning matters that are unique to a particular industry. The following is a list of additional representations that may be appropriate in certain situations. This list is not intended to be all-inclusive. The auditor also should consider the effects of pronouncements issued subsequent to the issuance of this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Illustrative Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unaudited interim information accompanies the financial statements.</td>
<td>The unaudited interim financial information accompanying [presented in Note X to] the financial statements for the [identify all related periods] has been prepared and presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applicable to interim financial information [and with Item 302(a) of Regulation S-K]. The accounting principles used to prepare the unaudited interim financial information are consistent with those used to prepare the audited financial statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The impact of a new accounting principle is not known.</td>
<td>We have not completed the process of evaluating the impact that will result from adopting Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. [XXX, Name], as discussed in Note [X]. The company is therefore unable to disclose the impact that adopting FASB Statement No. [XXX] will have on its financial position and the results of operations when such Statement is adopted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is justification for a change in accounting principles.</td>
<td>We believe that [describe the newly adopted accounting principle] is preferable to [describe the former accounting principle] because [describe management's justification for the change in accounting principles].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Illustrative Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial circumstances are strained, with disclosure of management's intentions and the entity's ability to continue as a going concern.</td>
<td>Note [X] to the financial statements discloses all of the matters of which we are aware that are relevant to the company's ability to continue as a going concern, including significant conditions and events, and management's plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The possibility exists that the value of specific significant long-lived assets or certain identifiable intangibles may be impaired.</td>
<td>We have reviewed long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances have indicated that the carrying amount of its assets might not be recoverable and have appropriately recorded the adjustment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The entity engages in transactions with special purpose entities.</td>
<td>We have evaluated all transactions involving special purpose entities to determine that the accounting for such transactions is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Specifically (indicate appropriate accounting principles:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions pursuant to paragraph 35 of FASB Statement 140, “Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITF Issue No. 96-16, “Investor’s Accounting for an Investee When the Investor Has a Majority of the Voting Interest by the Minority Shareholder or Shareholders Have Certain Approval or Veto Rights”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITF Issue 96-21, “Implementation in Accounting for Leasing Transactions involving Special-Purpose Entities”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITF 97-1, “Implementation Issues in Accounting for Lease Transactions, including Those involving Special-Purpose Entities”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Illustrative Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EITF Issue No. 97-2, “Application of FASB Statement No. 94 and APB Opinion No. 16 to Physician Practice Management [PPM] Entities and Certain Other Entities with Contractual Management Arrangements”</td>
<td>We agree with the findings of specialists in evaluating the [describe assertion] and have adequately considered the qualifications of the specialist in determining the amounts and disclosures used in the financial statements and underlying accounting records. We did not give or cause any instructions to be given to specialists with respect to the values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and we are not otherwise aware of any matters that have had an impact on the independence or objectivity of the specialists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EITF Issue No. 00-4, “Majority Owner’s Accounting for a transaction in the Shares of a Consolidated Subsidiary and a Derivative Indexed to the Minority Interest in That Subsidiary.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The work of a specialist has been used by the entity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Illustrative Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>Disclosure is required of compensating balances or other arrangements involving restrictions on cash balances, line of credit, or similar arrangements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arrangements with financial institutions involving compensating balances or other arrangements involving restrictions on cash balances, line of credit, or similar arrangements have been properly disclosed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Financial Instruments
Management intends to and has the ability to hold to maturity debt securities classified as held-to-maturity.

Debt securities that have been classified as held-to-maturity have been so classified due to the company’s intent to hold such securities (continued)
Condition Illustrative Example

Management considers the decline in value of debt or equity securities to be temporary.

We consider the decline in value of debt or equity securities classified as either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity to be temporary.

Management has determined the fair value of significant financial instruments that do not have readily determinable market values.

The methods and significant assumptions used to determine fair values of financial instruments are as follows: [describe methods and significant assumptions used to determine fair values of financial instruments]. The methods and significant assumptions used result in a measure of fair value appropriate for financial statement measurement and disclosure purposes.

There are financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk and financial instruments with concentrations of credit risk.

The following information about financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk and financial instruments with concentrations of credit risk has been properly disclosed in the financial statements:

1. The extent, nature, and terms of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk
2. The amount of credit risk of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk and information about the collateral supporting such financial instruments
3. Significant concentrations of credit risk arising from all financial instruments and information about the collateral supporting such financial instruments

Receivables

Receivables have been recorded in the financial statements.

Receivables recorded in the financial statements represent valid claims against debtors for sales or other charges arising on or before the balance-sheet date and have been appropriately reduced to their estimated net realizable value.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Illustrative Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inventories</strong></td>
<td>Provision has been made to reduce excess or obsolete inventories to their estimated net realizable value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess or obsolete inventories exist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investments</strong></td>
<td>[For investments in common stock that are either nonmarketable or of which the entity has a 20 percent or greater ownership interest, select the appropriate representation from the following:]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The equity method is used to account for the company's investment in the common stock of [investee] because the company has the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee’s operating and financial policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The cost method is used to account for the company's investment in the common stock of [investee] because the company does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee’s operating and financial policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred Charges</strong></td>
<td>We believe that all material expenditures that have been deferred to future periods will be recoverable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material expenditures have been deferred.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred Tax Assets</strong></td>
<td>The valuation allowance has been determined pursuant to the provisions of FASB Statement No. 109, <em>Accounting for Income Taxes</em>, including the company's estimation of future taxable income, if necessary, and is adequate to reduce the total deferred tax asset to an amount that will more likely than not be realized. [Complete with appropriate wording detailing how the entity determined the valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A deferred tax asset exists at the balance-sheet date.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A valuation allowance against deferred tax assets at the balance-sheet date is not considered necessary because it is more likely than not the deferred tax asset will be fully realized.

The company has excluded short-term obligations totaling $[amount] from current liabilities because it intends to refinance the obligations on a long-term basis. [Complete with appropriate wording detailing how amounts will be refinanced as follows:]

• The company has issued a long-term obligation [debt security] after the date of the balance sheet but prior to the issuance of the financial statements for the purpose of refinancing the short-term obligations on a long-term basis.

• The company has the ability to consummate the refinancing, by using the financing agreement referred to in Note [X] to the financial statements.

Tax-exempt bonds have been issued. Tax-exempt bonds issued have retained their tax-exempt status.

We intend to reinvest the undistributed earnings of [name of foreign subsidiary].

Provision has been made for any material loss that is probable from environmental remediation liabilities associated with [name of site]. We believe that such estimate is reasonable based on available information and that the liabilities and related loss contingencies and the ex-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Illustrative Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agreements may exist to repurchase assets previously sold.</td>
<td>Agreements to repurchase assets previously sold have been properly disclosed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension and Postretirement Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An actuary has been used to measure pension liabilities and costs.</td>
<td>We believe that the actuarial assumptions and methods used to measure pension liabilities and costs for financial accounting purposes are appropriate in the circumstances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is involvement with a multiemployer plan.</td>
<td>We are unable to determine the possibility of a withdrawal liability in a multiemployer benefit plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postretirement benefits have been eliminated.</td>
<td>We do not intend to compensate for the elimination of postretirement benefits by granting an increase in pension benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We have determined that there is the possibility of a withdrawal liability in a multiemployer plan in the amount of $[XX].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We plan to compensate for the elimination of postretirement benefits by granting an increase in pension benefits in the amount of $[XX].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee layoffs that would otherwise lead to a curtailment of a benefit plan are intended to be temporary.</td>
<td>Current employee layoffs are intended to be temporary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Management intends to either continue to make or not make frequent amendments to its pension or other postretirement benefit plans, which may affect the amortization period of prior service cost, or has expressed a substantive commitment to increase benefit obligations. | We plan to continue to make frequent amendments to its pension or other postretirement benefit plans, which may affect the amortization period of prior service cost. or: We do not plan to make frequent amendments to its pension or other postretirement benefit plans.

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Illustrative Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are capital stock repurchase options or agreements or capital stock reserved for options, warrants, conversions, or other requirements.</td>
<td>Capital stock repurchase options or agreements or capital stock reserved for options, warrants, conversions, or other requirements have been properly disclosed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income Statement</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There may be a loss from sales commitments.</td>
<td>Provisions have been made for losses to be sustained in the fulfillment of or from inability to fulfill any sales commitments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There may be losses from purchase commitments.</td>
<td>Provisions have been made for losses to be sustained as a result of purchase commitments for inventory quantities in excess of normal requirements or at prices in excess of prevailing market prices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of the product or industry indicates the possibility of undisclosed sales terms.</td>
<td>We have fully disclosed to you all sales terms, including all rights of return or price adjustments and all warranty provisions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent guidance on special purpose entity transactions.]
Appendix C

Illustrative Updating Management Representation Letter

1. The following letter is presented for illustrative purposes only. It may be used in the circumstances described in paragraph .12 of this section. Management need not repeat all of the representations made in the previous representation letter.

2. If matters exist that should be disclosed to the auditor, they should be indicated by listing them following the representation. For example, if an event subsequent to the date of the balance sheet has been disclosed in the financial statements, the final paragraph could be modified as follows: “To the best of our knowledge and belief, except as discussed in Note X to the financial statements, no events have occurred. . . .”

3. 

[Date] 

To [Auditor] 

In connection with your audit(s) of the [identification of financial statements] of [name of entity] as of [dates] and for the [periods] for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether the [consolidated] financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of [name of entity] in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, you were previously provided with a representation letter under date of [date of previous representation letter]. No information has come to our attention that would cause us to believe that any of those previous representations should be modified.

To the best of our knowledge and belief, no events have occurred subsequent to [date of latest balance sheet reported on by the auditor] and through the date of this letter that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the aforementioned financial statements.

__________________________________________
[Name of Chief Executive Officer and Title]

__________________________________________
[Name of Chief Financial Officer and Title]

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

[The next page is 511.]
AU Section 9333

Management Representations: Auditing Interpretations of Section 333

1. Management Representations on Violations and Possible Violations of Laws and Regulations

.01 Question—Section 333, Management Representations, lists matters for which the auditor ordinarily obtains written representations from management. One of those matters is: Violations or possible violations of laws or regulations whose effects should be considered for disclosure in financial statements or as a basis for recording a loss contingency.

.02 Guidance on evaluating the need to disclose litigation, claims, and assessments that may result from possible violations is provided by FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies [AC section C59]. Section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients, provides guidance on evaluating the materiality of illegal acts.* Does the representation regarding “possible violations” include matters beyond those described in FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59] and section 317?

.03 Interpretation—No. Section 333 did not change the relevant criteria for evaluating the need for disclosure of violations and possible violations of laws or regulations. In requesting the representation on possible violations, the auditor is not asking for management’s speculation on all possibilities of legal challenges to its actions.

.04 The representation concerns matters that have come to management’s attention and that are significant enough that they should be considered in determining whether financial statement disclosures are necessary. It recognizes that these are matters of judgment and that the need for disclosure is not always readily apparent.

[Issue Date: March, 1979.]

[The next page is 525.]
AU Section 334

Related Parties

(Supersedes Statement on Auditing Standards
No. 6, AICPA, Professional Standards,
vol. 1, AU sec. 335.01–.19)

Source: SAS No. 45.

See section 9334 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for periods ended after September 30, 1983, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance on procedures that should be considered by the auditor when he is performing an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards to identify related party relationships and transactions and to satisfy himself concerning the required financial statement accounting and disclosure. The procedures set forth in this section should not be considered all-inclusive. Also, not all of them may be required in every audit.

Accounting Considerations

.02 FASB Statement No. 57, Related Party Disclosures [AC section R36], gives the requirements for related party disclosures. Certain accounting pronouncements prescribe the accounting treatment when related parties are involved; however, established accounting principles ordinarily do not require transactions with related parties to be accounted for on a basis different from

---

1 Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 57, Related Party Disclosures, paragraphs 2 through 4 [AC section R36.102–.104], contains the disclosure requirements for related party relationships and transactions. The glossary of that Statement [AC section R36.406] defines related parties as follows:

Affiliates of the enterprise; entities for which investments are accounted for by the equity method by the enterprise; trusts for the benefit of employees, such as pension and profit-sharing trusts that are managed by or under the trusteeship of management; principal owners of the enterprise; its management; members of the immediate families of principal owners of the enterprise and its management; and other parties with which the enterprise may deal if one party controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests. Another party also is a related party if it can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the transacting parties or if it has an ownership interest in one of the transacting parties and can significantly influence the other to an extent that one or more of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests.

The glossary also gives definitions of the terms “affiliate,” “control,” “immediate family,” “management,” and “principal owners” [AC section R36.401–.405]. Paragraph 1 of the FASB Statement [AC section R36.101] gives examples of related party transactions.
that which would be appropriate if the parties were not related. The auditor should view related party transactions within the framework of existing pronouncements, placing primary emphasis on the adequacy of disclosure. In addition, the auditor should be aware that the substance of a particular transaction could be significantly different from its form and that financial statements should recognize the substance of particular transactions rather than merely their legal form.2

.03 Transactions that because of their nature may be indicative of the existence of related parties include3—

a. Borrowing or lending on an interest-free basis or at a rate of interest significantly above or below market rates prevailing at the time of the transaction.

b. Selling real estate at a price that differs significantly from its appraised value.

c. Exchanging property for similar property in a nonmonetary transaction.

d. Making loans with no scheduled terms for when or how the funds will be repaid.

Audit Procedures

.04 An audit performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards cannot be expected to provide assurance that all related party transactions will be discovered. Nevertheless, during the course of his audit, the auditor should be aware of the possible existence of material related party transactions that could affect the financial statements and of common ownership or management control relationships for which FASB Statement No. 57 [AC section R36] requires disclosure even though there are no transactions. Many of the procedures outlined in the following paragraphs are normally performed in an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, even if the auditor has no reason to suspect that related party transactions or control relationships exist. Other audit procedures set forth in this section are specifically directed to related party transactions.

.05 In determining the scope of work to be performed with respect to possible transactions with related parties, the auditor should obtain an understanding of management responsibilities and the relationship of each component to the total entity. He should consider controls over management

---

2 Some pronouncements specify criteria for determining, presenting, and accounting for the substance of certain transactions and events. Examples include (1) presenting consolidated financial statements instead of separate statements of the component legal entities (Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 [AC section C51]); (2) capitalizing leases (FASB Statement No. 13 [AC section L10]); and (3) imputing an appropriate interest rate when the face amount of a note does not reasonably represent the present value of the consideration given or received in exchange for it (Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 21 [AC section I69]; FASB Statement No. 94 [AC section C51]). [Footnote revised, June 1993, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement of Position 93-3.]

3 FASB Statement No. 57, paragraph 1 [AC section R36.101], gives other examples of common types of transactions with related parties, and it states that “transactions between related parties are considered to be related party transactions even though they may not be given accounting recognition.”
activities, and he should consider the business purpose served by the various components of the entity. Normally, the business structure and style of operating are based on the abilities of management, tax and legal considerations, product diversification, and geographical location. Experience has shown, however, that business structure and operating style are occasionally deliberately designed to obscure related party transactions.

.06 In the absence of evidence to the contrary, transactions with related parties should not be assumed to be outside the ordinary course of business. The auditor should, however, be aware of the possibility that transactions with related parties may have been motivated solely, or in large measure, by conditions similar to the following:

- Lack of sufficient working capital or credit to continue the business
- An urgent desire for a continued favorable earnings record in the hope of supporting the price of the company’s stock
- An overly optimistic earnings forecast
- Dependence on a single or relatively few products, customers, or transactions for the continuing success of the venture
- A declining industry characterized by a large number of business failures
- Excess capacity
- Significant litigation, especially litigation between stockholders and management
- Significant obsolescence dangers because the company is in a high-technology industry

Determining the Existence of Related Parties

.07 The auditor should place emphasis on testing material transactions with parties he knows are related to the reporting entity. Certain relationships, such as parent-subsidiary or investor-investee, may be clearly evident. Determining the existence of others requires the application of specific audit procedures, which may include the following:

- Evaluate the company’s procedures for identifying and properly accounting for related party transactions.
- Request from appropriate management personnel the names of all related parties and inquire whether there were any transactions with these parties during the period.
- Review filings by the reporting entity with the Securities and Exchange Commission and other regulatory agencies for the names of related parties and for other businesses in which officers and directors occupy directership or management positions.
- Determine the names of all pension and other trusts established for the benefit of employees and the names of their officers and trustees.4

4 According to FASB Statement No. 57, paragraph 24(f) [AC section R36.406] “trusts for the benefit of employees, such as pension and profit-sharing trusts that are managed by or under the trusteeship of management,” are related parties.
e. Review stockholder listings of closely held companies to identify principal stockholders.

f. Review prior years’ working papers for the names of known related parties.

g. Inquire of predecessor, principal, or other auditors of related entities concerning their knowledge of existing relationships and the extent of management involvement in material transactions.

h. Review material investment transactions during the period under audit to determine whether the nature and extent of investments during the period create related parties.

Identifying Transactions With Related Parties

.08 The following procedures are intended to provide guidance for identifying material transactions with parties known to be related and for identifying material transactions that may be indicative of the existence of previously undetermined relationships:

a. Provide audit personnel performing segments of the audit or auditing and reporting separately on the accounts of related components of the reporting entity with the names of known related parties so that they may become aware of transactions with such parties during their audits.

b. Review the minutes of meetings of the board of directors and executive or operating committees for information about material transactions authorized or discussed at their meetings.

c. Review proxy and other material filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and comparable data filed with other regulatory agencies for information about material transactions with related parties.

d. Review conflict-of-interests statements obtained by the company from its management.5

e. Review the extent and nature of business transacted with major customers, suppliers, borrowers, and lenders for indications of previously undisclosed relationships.

f. Consider whether transactions are occurring, but are not being given accounting recognition, such as receiving or providing accounting, management or other services at no charge or a major stockholder absorbing corporate expenses.

g. Review accounting records for large, unusual, or nonrecurring transactions or balances, paying particular attention to transactions recognized at or near the end of the reporting period.

h. Review confirmations of compensating balance arrangements for indications that balances are or were maintained for or by related parties.

5 Conflict-of-interests statements are intended to provide the board of directors with information about the existence or nonexistence of relationships between the reporting persons and parties with whom the company transacts business.
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Related Parties

i. Review invoices from law firms that have performed regular or special services for the company for indications of the existence of related parties or related party transactions.

j. Review confirmations of loans receivable and payable for indications of guarantees. When guarantees are indicated, determine their nature and the relationships, if any, of the guarantors to the reporting entity.

Examining Identified Related Party Transactions

.09 After identifying related party transactions, the auditor should apply the procedures he considers necessary to obtain satisfaction concerning the purpose, nature, and extent of these transactions and their effect on the financial statements. The procedures should be directed toward obtaining and evaluating sufficient competent evidential matter and should extend beyond inquiry of management. Procedures that should be considered include the following:

a. Obtain an understanding of the business purpose of the transaction. Until the auditor understands the business sense of material transactions, he cannot complete his audit. If he lacks sufficient specialized knowledge to understand a particular transaction, he should consult with persons who do have the requisite knowledge.

b. Examine invoices, executed copies of agreements, contracts, and other pertinent documents, such as receiving reports and shipping documents.

c. Determine whether the transaction has been approved by the board of directors or other appropriate officials.

d. Test for reasonableness the compilation of amounts to be disclosed, or considered for disclosure, in the financial statements.

e. Arrange for the audits of intercompany account balances to be performed as of concurrent dates, even if the fiscal years differ, and for the examination of specified, important, and representative related party transactions by the auditors for each of the parties, with appropriate exchange of relevant information.

f. Inspect or confirm and obtain satisfaction concerning the transferability and value of collateral.

.10 When necessary to fully understand a particular transaction, the following procedures, which might not otherwise be deemed necessary to comply with generally accepted auditing standards, should be considered.

a. Confirm transaction amount and terms, including guarantees and other significant data, with the other party or parties to the transaction.

b. Inspect evidence in possession of the other party or parties to the transaction.
c. Confirm or discuss significant information with intermediaries, such as banks, guarantors, agents, or attorneys, to obtain a better understanding of the transaction.

d. Refer to financial publications, trade journals, credit agencies, and other information sources when there is reason to believe that unfamiliar customers, suppliers, or other business enterprises with which material amounts of business have been transacted may lack substance.

e. With respect to material uncollected balances, guarantees, and other obligations, obtain information about the financial capability of the other party or parties to the transaction. Such information may be obtained from audited financial statements, unaudited financial statements, income tax returns, and reports issued by regulatory agencies, taxing authorities, financial publications, or credit agencies. The auditor should decide on the degree of assurance required and the extent to which available information provides such assurance.

Disclosure

.11 For each material related party transaction (or aggregation of similar transactions) or common ownership or management control relationship for which FASB Statement No. 57 [AC section R36] requires disclosure, the auditor should consider whether he has obtained sufficient competent evidential matter to understand the relationship of the parties and, for related party transactions, the effects of the transaction on the financial statements. He should then evaluate all the information available to him concerning the related party transaction or control relationship and satisfy himself on the basis of his professional judgment that it is adequately disclosed in the financial statements.

.12 Except for routine transactions, it will generally not be possible to determine whether a particular transaction would have taken place if the parties had not been related, or assuming it would have taken place, what the terms and manner of settlement would have been. Accordingly, it is difficult to substantiate representations that a transaction was consummated on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm’s-length transactions. If such a representation is included in the financial statements and the auditor believes that the representation is unsubstantiated by management, he should express a qualified or adverse opinion because of a departure from generally accepted accounting principles, depending on materiality (see section 508.35 and .36).
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Related Parties: Auditing Interpretations of Section 334

[1.] Evaluating the Adequacy of Disclosure of Related Party Transactions

[.01–.05] [Withdrawn August, 1983, by SAS No. 45.] (See section 334.)

[2.] Disclosure of Commonly Controlled Parties

[.06–.09] [Withdrawn August, 1983, by SAS No. 45.] (See section 334.)

[3.] Definition of “Immediate Family”

[.10–.11] [Withdrawn August, 1983, by SAS No. 45.] (See section 334.)

4. Exchange of Information Between the Principal and Other Auditor on Related Parties

.12 Question—Section 334, Related Parties, paragraphs .04 and .07, states that “during the course of his audit, the auditor should be aware of the possible existence of material related party transactions,” and that determining the existence of related party transactions may require the inquiry of the “principal, or other auditors of related entities concerning their knowledge of existing relationships and the extent of management involvement in material transactions.” When should that inquiry be made?

.13 Interpretation—The principal auditor and the other auditor should each obtain from the other the names of known related parties and the other information referred to above. Ordinarily, that exchange of information should be made at an early stage of the audit.

[Issue Date: April, 1979.]

5. Examination of Identified Related Party Transactions with a Component

.14 Question—According to section 334.09, once related party transactions have been identified, “the auditor should apply the procedures he considers necessary to obtain satisfaction concerning the purpose, nature and extent of these transactions and their effect on the financial statements.” When there is a principal auditor-other auditor relationship, how may the auditors obtain that satisfaction regarding transactions that may involve not only the components they are auditing, but also, other components?

* [Section number changed August, 1983, to correspond to section 334, Related Parties.]

1 For the purpose of this interpretation, the entities whose separate financial statements collectively comprise the consolidated or other financial statements are referred to as components.
Interpretation—Audit procedures may sometimes have to be applied to records of components being audited by the other. One auditor may arrange to perform those procedures himself, or he may request the other to do so.2 There may be circumstances when there are unusual or complex related party transactions and an auditor believes that access to relevant portions of the other’s work papers is essential to his understanding of the effects of those transactions on the financial statements he is auditing. In those circumstances, access ordinarily should be provided.3


Question—Section 334, Related Parties, provides general guidance about the types of procedures an auditor might apply to identified related party transactions. How extensive should the auditor’s procedures be to examine related party transactions?

Interpretation—The auditor’s procedures should be sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that related party transactions are adequately disclosed and that identified related party transactions do not contain misstatements that, when aggregated with misstatements in other balances or classes of transactions, could be material to the financial statements taken as a whole. As in examining any other material account balance or class of transactions, the auditor needs to consider audit risk4 and design and apply appropriate substantive tests to evaluate management’s assertions.

The risk associated with management’s assertions about related party transactions is often assessed as higher than for many other types of transactions because of the possibility that the parties to the transaction are motivated by reasons other than those that exist for most business transactions.5

The higher the auditor’s assessment of risk regarding related party transactions, the more extensive or effective the audit tests should be. For example, the auditor’s tests regarding valuation of a receivable from an entity under common control might be more extensive than for a trade receivable of the same size because the common parent may be motivated to obscure the substance of the transaction. In assessing the risk of the related party transactions the auditor obtains an understanding of the business purpose of the transactions. Until the auditor understands the business sense of material transactions, he cannot complete his audit. If he lacks sufficient specialized knowledge to obtain that understanding for a particular transaction, he should consult with persons who do have the requisite knowledge. In addition, to un-

---

2 In this case, the auditor should follow the guidance in the interpretation titled Specific Procedures Performed by Other Auditors at the Principal Auditor’s Request, section 9543.01–03.
3 There is no intention in this interpretation to modify section 543.12c regarding the principal auditor’s consideration of review of the other auditor’s workpapers when he decides not to make reference to the other auditor.
4 Audit risk and its components are described in section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit.
5 See section 334.06.
derstand the transaction, or obtain evidence regarding it, the auditor may have to refer to audited or unaudited financial statements of the related party, apply procedures at the related party, or in some cases audit the financial statements of the related party.

.20 **Question**—Section 334, *Related Parties*, paragraph .07, states that specific audit procedures should be applied to determine if related parties exist. That paragraph also suggests some specific audit procedures to identify related parties that the auditor should consider. What other audit procedures for determining the existence of related parties should the auditor consider?

.21 **Interpretation**—The auditor should consider obtaining representations from the entity’s senior management and its board of directors about whether they or any other related parties engaged in any transactions with the entity during the period.

[Issue Date: May, 1986.]

### 7. Management’s and Auditor’s Responsibilities With Regard to Related Party Disclosures Prefaced by Terminology Such As “Management Believes That”

.22 **Question**—Management discloses in its financial statements that a related party transaction was consummated on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm’s length transactions, andprefaces the representation with a phrase such as “Management believes that” or “It is the Company’s belief that.” Does the use of such terminology change management’s responsibility to substantiate the representation?

.23 **Interpretation**—No. FASB Statement No. 57, *Related Party Disclosures*, paragraph 3 (AC section R36.103), states that the representations about a related party transaction “shall not imply that the related party transactions were consummated on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm’s-length transactions unless such representations can be substantiated.” A preface to a disclosure such as “Management believes that” or “It is the Company’s belief that” does not change management’s responsibility to substantiate the representation. Section 334, *Related Parties*, paragraph .12, indicates that if such a representation is included in the financial statements and the auditor believes that the representation is unsubstantiated by management, he should express a qualified or adverse opinion because of a departure from generally accepted accounting principles, depending on materiality.

[Issue Date: May, 2000.]

[The next page is 545.]
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Using the Work of a Specialist

(Supersedes SAS No. 11)

Source: SAS No. 73.

See section 9336 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of periods ending on or after December 15, 1994.

Introduction and Applicability

.01 The purpose of this section is to provide guidance to the auditor who uses the work of a specialist in performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. For purposes of this section, a specialist is a person (or firm) possessing special skill or knowledge in a particular field other than accounting or auditing.1

.02 Specialists to which this section applies include, but are not limited to, actuaries, appraisers, engineers, environmental consultants, and geologists. This section also applies to attorneys engaged as specialists in situations other than to provide services to a client concerning litigation, claims, or assessments to which section 337, Inquiry of a Client's Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, applies. For example, attorneys may be engaged by a client or by the auditor as specialists in a variety of other circumstances, including interpreting the provisions of a contractual agreement.

.03 The guidance in this section is applicable when—

a. Management engages or employs a specialist and the auditor uses that specialist's work as evidential matter in performing substantive tests to evaluate material financial statement assertions.

b. Management engages a specialist employed by the auditor's firm to provide advisory services2 and the auditor uses that specialist's work as evidential matter in performing substantive tests to evaluate material financial statement assertions.

c. The auditor engages a specialist and uses that specialist's work as evidential matter in performing substantive tests to evaluate material financial statement assertions.

.04 The guidance provided in this section applies to audits of financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP)3 and to engagements performed under section 623, Special Reports, including a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP.

1 In general, the auditor’s education, training, and experience enable him or her to be knowledgeable concerning income tax matters and to be competent to assess their presentation in the financial statements.

2 The auditor should consider the effect, if any, that using the work of a specialist employed by the auditor’s firm has on independence.

3 References in this section to “financial statements” and to “generally accepted accounting principles” include special reports covered under section 623, Special Reports.
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This section does not apply to situations covered by section 311, Planning and Supervision, in which a specialist employed by the auditor’s firm participates in the audit.

Decision to Use the Work of a Specialist

The auditor’s education and experience enable him or her to be knowledgeable about business matters in general, but the auditor is not expected to have the expertise of a person trained for or qualified to engage in the practice of another profession or occupation. During the audit, however, an auditor may encounter complex or subjective matters potentially material to the financial statements. Such matters may require special skill or knowledge and in the auditor’s judgment require using the work of a specialist to obtain competent evidential matter.

Examples of the types of matters that the auditor may decide require him or her to consider using the work of a specialist include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. Valuation (for example, special-purpose inventories, high-technology materials or equipment, pharmaceutical products, complex financial instruments, real estate, restricted securities, works of art, and environmental contingencies)

b. Determination of physical characteristics relating to quantity on hand or condition (for example, quantity or condition of minerals, mineral reserves, or materials stored in stockpiles)

c. Determination of amounts derived by using specialized techniques or methods (for example, actuarial determinations for employee benefits obligations and disclosures, and determinations for insurance loss reserves)

d. Interpretation of technical requirements, regulations, or agreements (for example, the potential significance of contracts or other legal documents or legal title to property)

Qualifications and Work of a Specialist

The auditor should consider the following to evaluate the professional qualifications of the specialist in determining that the specialist possesses the necessary skill or knowledge in the particular field:

a. The professional certification, license, or other recognition of the competence of the specialist in his or her field, as appropriate

b. The reputation and standing of the specialist in the views of peers and others familiar with the specialist’s capability or performance

c. The specialist’s experience in the type of work under consideration

The auditor should obtain an understanding of the nature of the work performed or to be performed by the specialist. This understanding should cover the following:

a. The objectives and scope of the specialist’s work

---

4 In the specific situation involving the audit of an insurance entity’s loss reserves, an outside loss reserve specialist—that is, one who is not an employee or officer of the insurance entity—should be used. When the auditor has the requisite knowledge and experience, the auditor may serve as the loss reserve specialist. (See Statement of Position 92-4, Auditing Insurance Entities’ Loss Reserves.)
b. The specialist’s relationship to the client (see paragraphs .10 and .11)

c. The methods or assumptions used

d. A comparison of the methods or assumptions used with those used in the preceding period

e. The appropriateness of using the specialist’s work for the intended purpose

f. The form and content of the specialist’s findings that will enable the auditor to make the evaluation described in paragraph .12

Relationship of the Specialist to the Client

.10 The auditor should evaluate the relationship of the specialist to the client, including circumstances that might impair the specialist’s objectivity. Such circumstances include situations in which the client has the ability—through employment, ownership, contractual right, family relationship, or otherwise—to directly or indirectly control or significantly influence the specialist.

.11 When a specialist does not have a relationship with the client, the specialist’s work usually will provide the auditor with greater assurance of reliability. However, the work of a specialist who has a relationship with the client may be acceptable under certain circumstances. If the specialist has a relationship with the client, the auditor should assess the risk that the specialist’s objectivity might be impaired. If the auditor believes the relationship might impair the specialist’s objectivity, the auditor should perform additional procedures with respect to some or all of the specialist’s assumptions, methods, or findings to determine that the findings are not unreasonable or should engage another specialist for that purpose.

Using the Findings of the Specialist

.12 The appropriateness and reasonableness of methods and assumptions used and their application are the responsibility of the specialist. The auditor should (a) obtain an understanding of the methods and assumptions used by the specialist, (b) make appropriate tests of data provided to the specialist, taking into account the auditor’s assessment of control risk, and (c) evaluate whether the specialist’s findings support the related assertions in the financial statements. Ordinarily, the auditor would use the work of the specialist unless the auditor’s procedures lead him or her to believe the findings are unreasonable in the circumstances. If the auditor believes the findings are unreasonable, he or she should apply additional procedures, which may include obtaining the opinion of another specialist.

Effect of the Specialist’s Work on the Auditor’s Report

.13 If the auditor determines that the specialist’s findings support the related assertions in the financial statements, he or she reasonably may con-
The auditor may conclude after performing additional procedures, including possibly obtaining the opinion of another specialist, that the assertions in the financial statements are not in conformity with GAAP. In that event, the auditor should express a qualified or adverse opinion. (See section 508.35, .36, and .41.)

Reference to the Specialist in the Auditor’s Report

.15 Except as discussed in paragraph .16, the auditor should not refer to the work or findings of the specialist. Such a reference might be misunderstood to be a qualification of the auditor’s opinion or a division of responsibility, neither of which is intended. Further, there may be an inference that the auditor making such reference performed a more thorough audit than an auditor not making such reference.

.16 The auditor may, as a result of the report or findings of the specialist, decide to add explanatory language to his or her standard report or depart from an unqualified opinion. Reference to and identification of the specialist may be made in the auditor’s report if the auditor believes such reference will facilitate an understanding of the reason for the explanatory paragraph or the departure from the unqualified opinion.

Effective Date

.17 This section is effective for audits of periods ending on or after December 15, 1994. Early application of the provisions of this section is encouraged.
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Using the Work of a Specialist: Auditing Interpretations of Section 336

1. The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140

.01 Introduction—Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 140,1 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, requires that a transferor of financial assets must surrender control over the financial assets to account for the transfer as a sale. Paragraph 9(a) states one of several conditions that must be met to provide evidence of surrender of control:

The transferred assets have been isolated from the transferor—put presumptively beyond the reach of the transferor and its creditors, even in bankruptcy or other receivership.

Paragraph 27 of FASB Statement No. 140 describes in greater detail the evidence required to support management's assertion that transferred financial assets have been isolated:

The nature and extent of supporting evidence required for an assertion in financial statements that transferred financial assets have been isolated—put presumptively beyond the reach of the transferor and its creditors, either by a single transaction or a series of transactions taken as a whole—depend on the facts and circumstances. All available evidence that either supports or questions an assertion shall be considered. That consideration includes making judgments about whether the contract or circumstances permit the transferor to revoke the transfer. It also may include making judgments about the kind of bankruptcy or other receivership into which a transferor or SPE might be placed, whether a transfer of financial assets would likely be deemed a true sale at law, whether the transferor is affiliated with the transferee, and other factors pertinent under applicable law. Derecognition of transferred assets is appropriate only if the available evidence provides reasonable assurance that the transferred assets would be beyond the reach of the powers of a bankruptcy trustee or other receiver for the transferor or any consolidated affiliate of the transferor that is not a special-purpose corporation or other entity designed to make remote the possibility that it would enter bankruptcy or other receivership.

A determination about whether the isolation criterion has been met to support a conclusion regarding surrender of control is largely a matter of law. This aspect of surrender of control, therefore, is assessed primarily from a legal perspective.

Effective Date and Applicability—This interpretation is effective for auditing procedures related to transfers of financial assets that are required to be accounted for under FASB Statement No. 140, as amended by FASB Technical Bulletin (FTB) No. 01-1, Effective Date for Certain Financial Institutions of Certain Provisions of Statement 140 Related to the Isolation of Transferred Financial Assets.2

Question—What should the auditor consider in determining whether to use the work of a legal specialist3 to obtain persuasive evidence to support management’s assertion that a transfer of financial assets meets the isolation criterion of FASB Statement No. 140?

Interpretation—Section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist, paragraph .06, states that “during the audit...an auditor may encounter complex or subjective matters potentially material to the financial statements. Such matters may require special skill or knowledge and in the auditor’s judgment require using the work of a specialist to obtain competent evidential matter.”

Use of a legal specialist may not be necessary to obtain competent evidential matter to support management’s assertion that the isolation criterion is met in certain situations, such as when there is a routine transfer of financial assets that does not result in any continuing involvement by the transferor.4

Many transfers of financial assets involve complex legal structures, continuing involvement by the transferor, or other legal issues that, in the auditor’s judgment, make it difficult to determine whether the isolation criterion is met. In these situations, use of a legal specialist usually is necessary. A legal specialist formulating an opinion as to whether a transfer isolates the transferred assets beyond the reach of the transferor and its creditors may consider, among other things, the structure of the transaction taken as a whole, the nature of any continuing involvement, the type of insolvency or other receivership proceedings to which the transferor might become subject, and other factors pertinent under applicable law.

If a legal opinion is used as evidence to support the accounting conclusion related to multiple transfers under a single structure, and such transfers occur over an extended period of time under that structure, the auditor should evaluate the need for management to obtain periodic updates of that opinion to confirm that there have been no subsequent changes in relevant law or applicable regulations that may change the applicability of the previous opinion to such transfers. The auditor also should evaluate the need for management to obtain periodic updates of an opinion to confirm that there

---

2 FTB No. 01-1 amends FASB Statement No. 140 to change the effective date for paragraphs 9(a), 27, 28, and 80–84 of FASB Statement No. 140 for transfers of financial assets by certain financial institutions. Paragraphs 6–8 of FTB No. 01-1 also provide additional transition time for transfers by financial institutions to certain master trusts.

3 Client’s internal or external attorney who is knowledgeable about relevant sections of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and other federal, state, or foreign laws, as applicable.

4 FASB Emerging Issues Task Force Topic No. D-99, Questions and Answers Related to Servicing Activities in a Qualifying Special-Purpose Entity under FASB Statement No. 140, characterizes no continuing involvement with the transferred assets as “no servicing responsibilities, no participation in future cash flows, no recourse obligations other than standard representations and warranties that the financial assets transferred met the delivery requirements under the arrangement, no further involvement of any kind.” If a contractual provision (such as a call or removal of accounts provision) gives the transferor the unilateral ability to require the return of specific financial assets, the auditor should consider the effect of paragraph 9(c) of FASB Statement No. 140.
have been no subsequent changes in relevant law or applicable regulations that may affect the conclusions reached in the previous opinion in the case of other transfers (see paragraph 55 of FASB Statement No. 140).

.08 If management’s assertion with respect to a new transaction is that the transaction structure is the same as a prior structure for which a legal opinion that complies with this interpretation was used as evidence to support an assertion that the transfer of assets met the isolation criterion, the auditor should evaluate the need for management to obtain an update of that opinion to confirm that there have been no changes in relevant law, applicable regulations, or in the pertinent facts of the transaction that may affect the applicability of the previous opinion to the new transaction.

.09 Question—If the auditor determines that the use of a legal specialist is required, what should he or she consider in assessing the adequacy of the legal opinion?

Interpretation—In assessing the adequacy of the legal opinion, the auditor should consider whether the legal specialist has experience with relevant matters, including knowledge of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, and other federal, state, or foreign law, as applicable, as well as knowledge of the transaction upon which management’s assertion is based. For transactions that may be affected by provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, the auditor should consider whether the legal specialist has experience with the rights and powers of receivers, conservators, and liquidating agents under that Act. The auditor should obtain an understanding of the assumptions that are used by the legal specialist, and make appropriate tests of any information that management provides to the legal specialist and upon which the specialist indicates it relied. For example, testing management’s information underlying a legal specialist’s assumption regarding the adequacy of consideration received may depend on the nature of the transaction and the relationship of the parties. When the legal specialist’s opinion has assumed the adequacy of consideration for transfers from a particular legal entity to its wholly owned subsidiary, changes in the subsidiary’s capital accounts plus other consideration generally would be sufficient audit evidence as to the adequacy of consideration. In the case of other transfers, such as those that are not to a wholly owned subsidiary of a particular legal entity that is the transferor, obtaining additional audit evidence may be necessary to evaluate management’s assertion with regard to the adequacy of consideration upon which the legal specialist relied, because changes in the transferee’s capital accounts do not solely benefit the transferring entity.

.11 The auditor also should consider the form and content of the documentation that the legal specialist provides and evaluate whether the legal specialist’s findings support management’s assertions with respect to the isolation criterion. Section 336.13 states that “if the auditor determines that the specialist’s findings support the related assertions in the financial statements, he or she reasonably may conclude that sufficient competent evidential matter has been obtained.” FASB Statement No. 140’s requirement regarding reasonable assurance that the transferred assets would be isolated provides the basis for what auditors should consider in evaluating the work of a legal specialist.

.12 Findings of a legal specialist that relate to the isolation of transferred financial assets are often in the form of a reasoned legal opinion that is restricted to particular facts and circumstances relevant to the specific transaction. The reasoning of such opinion may rely upon analogy to legal precedents that may not involve facts and circumstances that are comparable to that
specific transaction. The auditor also should consider the effect of any limitations or disclaimers of opinion in assessing the adequacy of any legal opinion.

.13 An example of the conclusions in a legal opinion for an entity that is subject to the U.S. Bankruptcy Code that provides persuasive evidence, in the absence of contradictory evidence, to support management’s assertion that the transferred financial assets have been put presumptively beyond the reach of the entity and its creditors, even in bankruptcy or other receivership, follows:

“We believe (or it is our opinion) that in a properly presented and argued case, as a legal matter, in the event the Seller were to become a Debtor, the transfer of the Financial Assets from the Seller to the Purchaser would be considered to be a sale (or a true sale) of the Financial Assets from the Seller to the Purchaser and not a loan and, accordingly, the Financial Assets and the proceeds thereof transferred to the Purchaser by the Seller in accordance with the Purchase Agreement would not be deemed to be property of the Seller’s estate for purposes of [the relevant sections] of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.”

The following additional paragraph addressing substantive consolidation applies when the entity to which the assets are sold (as described in the opinion) is an affiliate of the selling entity and may also apply in other situations as noted by the legal specialist. For example, if a so-called “two-step” structure has been used to achieve isolation, this paragraph usually will be required with respect to the transferee in the first step of such structure (see paragraph .15 and related footnotes for additional guidance on the second step of a two-step structure as described in paragraph 83 of FASB Statement No. 140). When the transferor has entered into transactions with an affiliate that could affect the issue of substantive consolidation, the opinion should address the effect of that involvement on the opinion.

“Based upon the assumptions of fact and the discussion set forth above, and on a reasoned analysis of analogous case law, we are of the opinion that in a properly presented and argued case, as a legal matter, in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, in which the Seller is a Debtor, a court would not grant an order consolidating the assets and liabilities of the Purchaser with those of the Seller in a case involving the insolvency of the Seller under the doctrine of substantive consolidation.”

In the case of a transferor that is not entitled to become a debtor under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, a legal opinion regarding whether the isolation criterion is met would consider whether isolation is satisfactorily achieved under the insolvency or receivership laws that apply to the transferor.

.14 Following are two examples of the conclusions in a legal opinion for an entity that is subject to receivership or conservatorship under provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. The conclusions in these two examples provide persuasive evidence, in the absence of contradictory evidence, to support management’s assertion that the transferred financial assets have been put presumptively beyond the reach of the entity and its creditors, even in conservatorship or receivership. Insolvency and receivership laws applicable to depository institutions, and how those laws affect the legal isolation criterion, differ depending upon the nature of the depository institution and its

---

5 For an entity subject to additional regulation (e.g., a broker-dealer subject to the Securities Investor Protection Act), the legal opinion also generally should address the effect of such regulation and the policies of the regulators implementing such regulations (e.g., the Securities Investor Protection Corporation).
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chartering authority. Accordingly, legal opinions addressing the legal isolation criterion may be formulated in different ways to accommodate those differences.6

Example 1: “We believe (or it is our opinion) that in a properly presented and argued case, as a legal matter, in the event the Seller were to become subject to receivership or conservatorship, the transfer of the Financial Assets from the Seller to the Purchaser would be considered to be a sale (or a true sale) of the Financial Assets from the Seller to the Purchaser and not a loan and, accordingly, the Financial Assets and the proceeds thereof transferred to the Purchaser by the Seller in accordance with the Purchase Agreement would not be deemed to be property of, or subject to repudiation, reclamation, recovery, or recharacterization by, the receiver or conservator appointed with respect to the Seller.”7

Example 2: “The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) has issued a regulation, ‘Treatment by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as Conservator or Receiver of Financial Assets Transferred by an Insured Depository Institution in Connection with a Securitization or Participation,’ 12 CFR section 360.6 (the Rule). Based on and subject to the discussion, assumptions, and qualifications herein, it is our opinion that:

A. Following the appointment of the FDIC as the conservator or receiver for the Bank:

(i) The Rule will apply to the Transfers,

(ii) Under the Rule, the FDIC acting as conservator or receiver for the Bank could not, by exercise of its authority to disaffirm or repudiate contracts under 12 U.S.C. §1821(e), reclaim or recover the Transferred Assets from the Issuer or recharacterize the Transferred Assets as property of the Bank or of the conservatorship or receivership for the Bank,

(iii) Neither the FDIC (acting for itself as a creditor or as representative of the Bank or its shareholders or creditors) nor any creditor of the Bank would have the right, under any bankruptcy or insolvency law applicable in the conservatorship or receivership of the Bank, to avoid the Transfers, to recover the Transferred Assets, or to require the Transferred Assets to be turned over to the FDIC or such creditor, and

(iv) There is no other power exercisable by the FDIC as conservator or receiver for the Bank that would permit the FDIC as such conservator or receiver to reclaim or recover the Transferred Assets from the Issuer, or to recharacterize the Transferred Assets as property of the Bank or of the conservatorship or receivership for the Bank; provided, however, that we offer no opinion as to whether, in receivership, the FDIC or any creditor of the Bank may take any such actions if the Holders [holders of beneficial interests in the transferred assets] receive payment of the principal amount of the Interests and the interest earned thereon (at the contractual yield) through the date the Holders are so paid; and

6 For an entity subject to conservatorship or liquidation under the National Credit Union Act, the examples and discussion in this paragraph would be modified to make appropriate references to “liquidation” and “liquidating agent” and additional information relating to rights and regulations of the National Credit Union Administration.

7 When the opinion indicates that isolation is achieved without reference to a true sale, the opinion also should provide reasonable assurance that the transferred assets are beyond the reach of the transferor and its creditors other than the transferee to the same extent that is provided in example 2, paragraph B.
The Standards of Field Work

B. Prior to the appointment of the FDIC as conservator or receiver for the Bank, the Bank and its other creditors would not have the right to reclaim or recover the Transferred Assets from the Issuer, except by the exercise of a contractual provision [insert appropriate citation] to require the transfer, or return, of the Transferred Assets that exists solely as a result of the contract between the Bank and the Issuer.8

The following additional paragraph addressing substantive consolidation applies when the entity to which the assets are sold or transferred (as described in the opinion) is an affiliate of the selling entity and may also apply in other situations as noted by the legal specialist.9 For example, if a so-called two-step structure has been used to achieve isolation, the following paragraph usually will be required with respect to the transferee in the first step of the structure (see paragraph .15 and related footnotes for additional guidance on the second step of a two-step structure as described in paragraph 83 of FASB Statement No. 140). When the transferor has entered into transactions with an affiliate that could affect the issue of substantive consolidation, the opinion should address the effect of that involvement on the opinion.

“Based upon the assumptions of fact and the discussion set forth above, and on a reasoned analysis of analogous case law, we are of the opinion that in a properly presented and argued case, as a legal matter, in a receivership, conservatorship, or liquidation proceeding in respect of the Seller, a court would not grant an order consolidating the assets and liabilities of the Purchaser with those of the Seller.”

Certain powers to repudiate contracts, recover, reclaim, or recharacterize transferred assets as property of a transferor that are exercisable by the FDIC under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act may, as of the date of the transfer, be limited by a regulation that may be repealed or amended only in respect of transfers occurring on or after the effective date of such repeal or amendment.10 With respect to the powers of a receiver or conservator that may not be exercised under that regulation, it is acceptable for attorneys to rely upon the effectiveness of the limitation on such powers set forth in the applicable regulation, provided that the attorney states, based on reasonable assumptions, that: (1) the affected transfer of financial assets meets all qualification requirements of the regulation, and (2) the regulation had not, as of the date of the opinion, been amended, repealed, or held inapplicable by a court with jurisdiction with respect to such transfer. The opinion should separately address any powers of repudiation, recovery, reclamation, or recharacterization exercisable by a receiver or conservator notwithstanding that regulation (for example, rights, powers, or remedies regarding transfers specifically excluded from the regulation) in a manner that provides the same level of assurance as would be provided in the case of opinions that conform with requirements of paragraph .13, except that such opinion shall address powers arising under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. The considerations in the immediately preceding three sentences are adequately addressed either by the example 1 opinion or the example 2 opinion described in this paragraph or by the variations described in the second paragraph of footnote 8 and in footnote 9.

---

8 See the second paragraph of footnote 4.
9 Paragraph B is not required if the opinion includes both a conclusion, as set forth in example 1, that the transfer constitutes a “true sale” and the conclusions set forth of example 2, paragraph A. It is not necessary to include any provision of example 2 if the opinion is as set forth in example 1.
10 An additional substantive consolidation opinion is not required if the opinion states that its conclusion includes the inability to recover the transferred financial assets or recharacterize the transfer by application of the doctrine of "substantive consolidation."
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.15 A legal letter that includes an inadequate opinion, inappropriate limitations, or a disclaimer of opinion, or that effectively limits the scope of the opinion to facts and circumstances that are not applicable to the transaction, does not provide persuasive evidence to support the entity’s assertion that the transferred assets have been put presumptively beyond the reach of the transferor and its creditors, even in bankruptcy or other receivership. Likewise, a legal letter that includes conclusions that are expressed using some of the following language would not provide persuasive evidence that a transfer of financial assets has met the isolation criterion of FASB Statement No. 140 (see paragraphs .20 and .21 of this interpretation):

- “We are unable to express an opinion...”
- “It is our opinion, based upon limited facts...”
- “We are of the view...” or “it appears...”
- “There is a reasonable basis to conclude that...”
- “In our opinion, the transfer would either be a sale or a grant of a perfected security interest...”\(^{11}\)
- “In our opinion, there is a reasonable possibility...”
- “In our opinion, the transfer should be considered a sale...”
- “It is our opinion that the company will be able to assert meritorious arguments...”
- “In our opinion, it is more likely than not...”
- “In our opinion, the transfer would presumptively be...”
- “In our opinion, it is probable that...”

Furthermore, conclusions about hypothetical transactions may not be relevant to the transaction that is the subject of management’s assertions. Section 326, Evidential Matter, paragraph .21, states that “to be competent, evidence, regardless of its form, must be both valid and relevant.” Additionally, conclusions about hypothetical transactions may not contemplate all of the facts and circumstances or the provisions in the agreements of the transaction that is the subject of management’s assertions, and generally would not provide persuasive evidence.\(^{12}\)

.16 Question—Are legal opinions that restrict the use of the opinion to the client, or to third parties other than the auditor, acceptable audit evidence?

.17 Interpretation—No. Footnote 5 to section 336.09 states: “In some cases, the auditor may decide it is necessary to contact the specialist to determine

\(^{11}\) Certain transferors are subject only to receivership (and not to proceedings under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or the Federal Deposit Insurance Act) under laws that do not allow a receiver to reach assets in which a security interest has been granted. In such circumstances, an opinion that concludes that the transfer would either be a sale or a grant of a security interest that puts the transferred assets beyond the reach of such receiver and other creditors would provide persuasive evidence that the isolation criterion is met.

In certain circumstances, a legal specialist may provide an opinion on both steps of a two-step structure. Such language would be acceptable in an opinion for a transfer of assets in the second step of a two-step structure as described in paragraph 83 of FASB Statement No. 140 provided that the opinion on the transfer in the first step is consistent with paragraphs .13 or .14 of this interpretation.

\(^{12}\) For example, a memorandum of law from a legal specialist usually analyzes (and may make conclusions about) a transaction that may be completed subsequently. Such memorandum generally would not provide persuasive evidence unless the conclusions conform with this interpretation and a legal specialist opines that such conclusions apply to a completed transaction that is the subject of management’s assertion.
that the specialist is aware that his or her work will be used for evaluating the assertions in the financial statements.” Given the importance of the legal opinion to the assertion in this case, and the precision that legal specialists use in drafting such opinions, an auditor should not use as evidence a legal opinion that he or she deems otherwise adequate if the letter restricts use of the findings expressed therein to the client or to third parties other than the auditor. In that event, the auditor should request that the client obtain the legal specialist’s written permission for the auditor to use the opinion for the purpose of evaluating management’s assertion that a transfer of financial assets meets the isolation criterion of FASB Statement No. 140.

.18 An example of a letter from a legal specialist to a client that adequately communicates permission for the auditor to use the legal specialist’s opinion for the purpose of evaluating management’s assertion that a transfer of financial assets meets the isolation criterion of FASB Statement No. 140 is as follows:

“Notwithstanding any language to the contrary in our opinions of even date with respect to certain bankruptcy issues relating to the above-referenced transaction, you are authorized to make available to your auditors such opinions solely as evidential matter in support of their evaluation of management’s assertion that the transfer of the receivables meets the isolation criterion of FASB Statement No. 140, provided a copy of this letter is furnished to them in connection therewith. In authorizing you to make copies of such opinions available to your auditors for such purpose, we are not undertaking or assuming any duty or obligation to your auditors or establishing any lawyer-client relationship with them. Further, we do not undertake or assume any responsibility with respect to financial statements of you or your affiliates.”

.19 A letter from a legal specialist to a client might authorize the client to make copies of the legal opinion available to the auditor to use in his or her evaluation of management’s assertion that a transfer of financial assets meets the isolation criterion of FASB Statement No. 140, but then state that the auditor is not authorized to rely thereon. Such “use but not rely on” language, or other language that similarly restricts the auditor’s use of the legal specialist’s opinion, does not adequately communicate permission for the auditor to use the legal specialist’s opinion as evidential matter. The auditor may wish to consult with his or her legal counsel in circumstances where it is not clear that the auditor may use the legal specialist’s opinion.

.20 Question—If the auditor determines that it is appropriate to use the work of a legal specialist, and either the resulting legal response does not provide persuasive evidence that a transfer of assets has met the isolation criterion, or the legal specialist does not grant permission for the auditor to use a legal opinion that is restricted to the client or to third parties other than the auditor, what other steps might an auditor consider?

.21 Interpretation—When other relevant evidential matter exists, the auditor should consider it before reaching a conclusion about the appropriateness of management’s accounting for a transfer. However, since the isolation aspect of surrender of control is assessed primarily from a legal perspective, the auditor usually will not be able to obtain persuasive evidence in a form other than a legal opinion. In the absence of persuasive evidence that a transfer

---

13 This language may appear in the legal specialist’s opinion rather than in a separate letter. In that case, the wording would be modified slightly to indicate the context.

14 See section 336.13 as to additional procedures that may be applied.
has met the isolation criterion, derecognition of the transferred assets is not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and the auditor should consider the need to express a qualified or adverse opinion in accordance with section 508, *Reports on Audited Financial Statements*, paragraphs .35 through .60. However, if permission for the auditor to use a legal opinion that he or she deems otherwise adequate is not granted, this would be a scope limitation and the auditor should consider the need to express a qualified opinion or to disclaim an opinion in accordance with section 508.22–.26 and 508.61–.63.

[Issue Date: December, 2001.]
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_Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments_

Source: SAS No. 12.

See section 9337 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: January, 1976.

.01 This section provides guidance on the procedures an independent auditor should consider for identifying litigation, claims, and assessments and for satisfying himself as to the financial accounting and reporting for such matters when he is performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards.

Accounting Considerations

.02 Management is responsible for adopting policies and procedures to identify, evaluate, and account for litigation, claims, and assessments as a basis for the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

.03 The standards of financial accounting and reporting for loss contingencies, including those arising from litigation, claims, and assessments, are set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 [AC section C59], Accounting for Contingencies.

Auditing Considerations

.04 With respect to litigation, claims, and assessments, the independent auditor should obtain evidential matter relevant to the following factors:

a. The existence of a condition, situation, or set of circumstances indicating an uncertainty as to the possible loss to an entity arising from litigation, claims, and assessments.

b. The period in which the underlying cause for legal action occurred.

c. The degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome.

d. The amount or range of potential loss.

1 This section supersedes the commentary, “Lawyers’ Letters,” January 1974 (section 1001), and auditing interpretations of section 560.12 on lawyers’ letters, January 1975 (section 9560.01–.26). It amends section 560.12(d) to read as follows: “Inquire of client’s legal counsel concerning litigation, claims, and assessments (see section 337).”

2 Pertinent portions are reprinted in Exhibit I, section 337B. FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59], also describes the standards of financial accounting and reporting for gain contingencies. The auditor’s procedures with respect to gain contingencies are parallel to those described in this SAS for loss contingencies.
Audit Procedures

.05 Since the events or conditions that should be considered in the financial accounting for and reporting of litigation, claims, and assessments are matters within the direct knowledge and, often, control of management of an entity, management is the primary source of information about such matters. Accordingly, the independent auditor’s procedures with respect to litigation, claims, and assessments should include the following:

a. Inquire of and discuss with management the policies and procedures adopted for identifying, evaluating, and accounting for litigation, claims, and assessments.

b. Obtain from management a description and evaluation of litigation, claims, and assessments that existed at the date of the balance sheet being reported on, and during the period from the balance sheet date to the date the information is furnished, including an identification of those matters referred to legal counsel, and obtain assurances from management, ordinarily in writing, that they have disclosed all such matters required to be disclosed by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 [AC section C59].

c. Examine documents in the client’s possession concerning litigation, claims, and assessments, including correspondence and invoices from lawyers.

d. Obtain assurance from management, ordinarily in writing, that it has disclosed all unasserted claims that the lawyer has advised them are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 [AC section C59]. Also the auditor, with the client’s permission, should inform the lawyer that the client has given the auditor this assurance. This client representation may be communicated by the client in the inquiry letter or by the auditor in a separate letter.3

.06 An auditor ordinarily does not possess legal skills and, therefore, cannot make legal judgments concerning information coming to his attention. Accordingly, the auditor should request the client’s management to send a letter of inquiry to those lawyers with whom management consulted concerning litigation, claims, and assessments.

.07 The audit normally includes certain other procedures undertaken for different purposes that might also disclose litigation, claims, and assessments. Examples of such procedures are as follows:

a. Reading minutes of meetings of stockholders, directors, and appropriate committees held during and subsequent to the period being audited.

b. Reading contracts, loan agreements, leases, and correspondence from taxing or other governmental agencies, and similar documents.

3 An example of a separate letter is as follows: We are writing to inform you that (name of company) has represented to us that (except as set forth below and excluding any such matters listed in the letter of audit inquiry) there are no unasserted possible claims that you have advised are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 [AC section C59] in its financial statements at (balance sheet date) and for the (period) then ended. (List unasserted possible claims, if any.) Such a letter should be signed and sent by the auditor.
c. Obtaining information concerning guarantees from bank confirmation forms.

d. Inspecting other documents for possible guarantees by the client.

Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer

.08 A letter of audit inquiry to the client’s lawyer is the auditor’s primary means of obtaining corroboration of the information furnished by management concerning litigation, claims, and assessments. Evidential matter obtained from the client’s inside general counsel or legal department may provide the auditor with the necessary corroboration. However, evidential matter obtained from inside counsel is not a substitute for information outside counsel refuses to furnish.

.09 The matters that should be covered in a letter of audit inquiry include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. Identification of the company, including subsidiaries, and the date of the audit.

b. A list prepared by management (or a request by management that the lawyer prepare a list) that describes and evaluates pending or threatened litigation, claims, and assessments with respect to which the lawyer has been engaged and to which he has devoted substantive attention on behalf of the company in the form of legal consultation or representation.

c. A list prepared by management that describes and evaluates unasserted claims and assessments that management considers to be probable of assertion, and that, if asserted, would have at least a reasonable possibility of an unfavorable outcome, with respect to which the lawyer has been engaged and to which he has devoted substantive attention on behalf of the company in the form of legal consultation or representation.

d. As to each matter listed in item b, a request that the lawyer either furnish the following information or comment on those matters as to which his views may differ from those stated by management, as appropriate:

(1) A description of the nature of the matter, the progress of the case to date, and the action the company intends to take (for example, to contest the matter vigorously or to seek an out-of-court settlement).

(2) An evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and an estimate, if one can be made, of the amount or range of potential loss.

(3) With respect to a list prepared by management, an identification of the omission of any pending or threatened litigation, claims, and assessments or a statement that the list of such matters is complete.

---

4 An illustrative inquiry letter to legal counsel is contained in the Appendix (section 337A).

5 It is not intended that the lawyer be requested to undertake a reconsideration of all matters upon which he was consulted during the period under audit for the purpose of determining whether he can form a conclusion regarding the probability of assertion of any possible claim inherent in any of the matters so considered.
e. As to each matter listed in item c, a request that the lawyer comment on those matters as to which his views concerning the description or evaluation of the matter may differ from those stated by management.

f. A statement by the client that the client understands that whenever, in the course of performing legal services for the client with respect to a matter recognized to involve an unasserted possible claim or assessment that may call for financial statement disclosure, the lawyer has formed a professional conclusion that the client should disclose or consider disclosure concerning such possible claim or assessment, the lawyer, as a matter of professional responsibility to the client, will so advise the client and will consult with the client concerning the question of such disclosure and the applicable requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 [AC section C59].

g. A request that the lawyer confirm whether the understanding described in item f is correct.

h. A request that the lawyer specifically identify the nature of and reasons for any limitation on his response.

Inquiry need not be made concerning matters that are not considered material, provided the client and the auditor have reached an understanding on the limits of materiality for this purpose.

.10 In special circumstances, the auditor may obtain a response concerning matters covered by the audit inquiry letter in a conference, which offers an opportunity for a more detailed discussion and explanation than a written reply. A conference may be appropriate when the evaluation of the need for accounting for or disclosure of litigation, claims, and assessments involves such matters as the evaluation of the effect of legal advice concerning unsettled points of law, the effect of uncorroborated information, or other complex judgments. The auditor should appropriately document conclusions reached concerning the need for accounting for or disclosure of litigation, claims, and assessments.

.11 In some circumstances, a lawyer may be required by his Code of Professional Responsibility to resign his engagement if his advice concerning financial accounting and reporting for litigation, claims, and assessments is disregarded by the client. When the auditor is aware that a client has changed lawyers or that a lawyer engaged by the client has resigned, the auditor should consider the need for inquiries concerning the reasons the lawyer is no longer associated with the client.

Limitations on the Scope of a Lawyer’s Response

.12 A lawyer may appropriately limit his response to matters to which he has given substantive attention in the form of legal consultation or representation. Also, a lawyer’s response may be limited to matters that are consid-
A lawyer’s refusal to furnish the information requested in an inquiry letter either in writing or orally (see paragraphs .09 and .10) would be a limitation on the scope of the audit sufficient to preclude an unqualified opinion (see section 508.22 and .23). A lawyer’s response to such an inquiry and the procedures set forth in paragraph .05 provide the auditor with sufficient evidential matter to satisfy himself concerning the accounting for and reporting of pending and threatened litigation, claims and assessments. The auditor obtains sufficient evidential matter to satisfy himself concerning reporting for those unasserted claims and assessments required to be disclosed in financial statements from the foregoing procedures and the lawyer’s specific acknowledgement of his responsibility to his client in respect of disclosure obligations (see paragraph .09g). This approach with respect to unasserted claims and assessments is necessitated by the public interest in protecting the confidentiality of lawyer-client communications.

Other Limitations on a Lawyer’s Response

A lawyer may be unable to respond concerning the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome of litigation, claims, and assessments or the amount or range of potential loss, because of inherent uncertainties. Factors influencing the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome may sometimes not be within a lawyer’s competence to judge; historical experience of the entity in similar litigation or the experience of other entities may not be relevant or available; and the amount of the possible loss frequently may vary widely at different stages of litigation. Consequently, a lawyer may not be able to form a conclusion with respect to such matters. In such circumstances, the auditor ordinarily will conclude that the financial statements are affected by an uncertainty concerning the outcome of a future event which is not susceptible of reasonable estimation, and should look to the guidance in section 508.45 through .49 to determine the effect, if any, of the lawyer’s response on the auditor’s report. [Revised, February 1997, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

[The next page is 557.]

5 A refusal to respond should be distinguished from an inability to form a conclusion with respect to certain matters of judgment (see paragraph .14). Also, lawyers outside the United States sometimes follow practices at variance with those contemplated by this section to the extent that different procedures from those outlined herein may be necessary. In such circumstances, the auditor should exercise judgment in determining whether alternative procedures are adequate to comply with the requirements of this section.
In connection with an audit of our financial statements at (balance sheet date) and for the (period) then ended, management of the Company has prepared, and furnished to our auditors (name and address of auditors), a description and evaluation of certain contingencies, including those set forth below involving matters with respect to which you have been engaged and to which you have devoted substantive attention on behalf of the Company in the form of legal consultation or representation. These contingencies are regarded by management of the Company as material for this purpose (management may indicate a materiality limit if an understanding has been reached with the auditor). Your response should include matters that existed at (balance sheet date) and during the period from that date to the date of your response.

Pending or Threatened Litigation (excluding unasserted claims)

[Ordinarily the information would include the following: (1) the nature of the litigation, (2) the progress of the case to date, (3) how management is responding or intends to respond to the litigation (for example, to contest the case vigorously or to seek an out-of-court settlement), and (4) an evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and an estimate, if one can be made, of the amount or range of potential loss.] Please furnish to our auditors such explanation, if any, that you consider necessary to supplement the foregoing information, including an explanation of those matters as to which your views may differ from those stated and an identification of the omission of any pending or threatened litigation, claims, and assessments or a statement that the list of such matters is complete.

Unasserted Claims and Assessments (considered by management to be probable of assertion, and that, if asserted, would have at least a reasonable possibility of an unfavorable outcome)

[Ordinarily management’s information would include the following: (1) the nature of the matter, (2) how management intends to respond if the claim is asserted, and (3) an evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and an estimate, if one can be made, of the amount or range of potential loss.] Please furnish to our auditors such explanation, if any, that you consider necessary to supplement the foregoing information, including an explanation of those matters as to which your views may differ from those stated.

We understand that whenever, in the course of performing legal services for us with respect to a matter recognized to involve an unasserted possible claim or assessment that may call for financial statement disclosure, if you have formed a professional conclusion that we should disclose or consider disclosure
concerning such possible claim or assessment, as a matter of professional responsibility to us, you will so advise us and will consult with us concerning the question of such disclosure and the applicable requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5. Please specifically confirm to our auditors that our understanding is correct.

Please specifically identify the nature of and reasons for any limitation on your response.

[The auditor may request the client to inquire about additional matters, for example, unpaid or unbilled charges or specified information on certain contractually assumed obligations of the company, such as guarantees of indebtedness of others.]
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Exhibit I—Excerpts from Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5: Accounting for Contingencies

Source: SAS No. 12.

The following excerpts are reprinted with the permission of the Financial Accounting Standards Board.

Introduction

1. For the purpose of this Statement, a contingency is defined as an existing condition, situation, or set of circumstances involving uncertainty as to possible gain (hereinafter a “gain contingency”) or loss¹ (hereinafter a “loss contingency”) to an enterprise that will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. Resolution of the uncertainty may confirm the acquisition of an asset or the reduction of a liability or the loss or impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability. . . .

3. When a loss contingency exists, the likelihood that the future event or events will confirm the loss or impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability can range from probable to remote. This Statement uses the terms probable, reasonably possible, and remote to identify three areas within that range, as follows:

   a. Probable. The future event or events are likely to occur.
   
   b. Reasonably possible. The chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely.
   
   c. Remote. The chance of the future event or events occurring is slight. . . .

Standards of Financial Accounting and Reporting

Accrual of Loss Contingencies

8. An estimated loss from a loss contingency (as defined in paragraph 1) shall be accrued by a charge to income³ if both of the following conditions are met:

   a. Information available prior to issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that an asset had been impaired or a liability had been incurred at the date of the financial statements.⁴

¹ The term loss is used for convenience to include many charges against income that are commonly referred to as expenses and others that are commonly referred to as losses.
² [Superseded, effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after October 15, 1977, by FASB Statement No. 16.]
³ Date of the financial statements means the end of the most recent accounting period for which financial statements are being presented.
It is implicit in this condition that it must be probable that one or more future events will occur confirming the fact of the loss.

b. The amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

Disclosure of Loss Contingencies

9. Disclosure of the nature of an accrual made pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 8, and in some circumstances the amount accrued, may be necessary for the financial statements not to be misleading.

10. If no accrual is made for a loss contingency because one or both of the conditions in paragraph 8 are not met, or if an exposure to loss exists in excess of the amount accrued pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 8, disclosure of the contingency shall be made when there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss or an additional loss may have been incurred. The disclosure shall indicate the nature of the contingency and shall give an estimate of the possible loss or range of loss or state that such an estimate cannot be made. Disclosure is not required of a loss contingency involving an unasserted claim or assessment when there has been no manifestation by a potential claimant of an awareness of a possible claim or assessment unless it is considered probable that a claim will be asserted and there is a reasonable possibility that the outcome will be unfavorable.

11. After the date of an enterprise’s financial statements but before those financial statements are issued, information may become available indicating that an asset was impaired or a liability was incurred after the date of the financial statements or that there is at least a reasonable possibility that an asset was impaired or a liability was incurred after that date. The information may relate to a loss contingency that existed at the date of the financial statements, e.g., an asset that was not insured at the date of the financial statements. On the other hand, the information may relate to a loss contingency that did not exist at the date of the financial statements, e.g., threat of expropriation of assets after the date of the financial statements or the filing for bankruptcy by an enterprise whose debt was guaranteed after the date of the financial statements. In none of the cases cited in this paragraph was an asset impaired or a liability incurred at the date of the financial statements, and the condition for accrual in paragraph 8(a) is, therefore, not met. Disclosure of those kinds of losses or loss contingencies may be necessary, however, to keep the financial statements from being misleading. If disclosure is deemed necessary, the financial statements shall indicate the nature of the loss or loss contingency and give an estimate of the amount or range of loss or possible loss or state that such an estimate cannot be made. Occasionally, in the case of a loss arising after the date of the financial statements where the amount of asset impairment or liability incurrence can be reasonably estimated, disclosure may best be made by supplementing the historical financial statements with pro forma financial data giving effect to the loss as if it had occurred at the date of

---

5 Terminology used shall be descriptive of the nature of the accrual (see paragraphs 57–64 of Accounting Terminology Bulletin No. 1, “Review and Resume”).

6 For example, disclosure shall be made of any loss contingency that meets the condition in paragraph 8(a) but that is not accrued because the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated (paragraph 8(b)). Disclosure is also required of some loss contingencies that do not meet the condition in paragraph 8(a)—namely, those contingencies for which there is a reasonable possibility that a loss may have been incurred even though information may not indicate that it is probable that an asset had been impaired or a liability had been incurred at the date of the financial statements.
the financial statements. It may be desirable to present pro forma statements, usually a balance sheet only, in columnar form on the face of the historical financial statements.

Litigation, Claims, and Assessments

33. The following factors, among others, must be considered in determining whether accrual and/or disclosure is required with respect to pending or threatened litigation and actual or possible claims and assessments:

   a. The period in which the underlying cause (i.e., the cause for action) of the pending or threatened litigation or of the actual or possible claim or assessment occurred.

   b. The degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome.

   c. The ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss.

34. As a condition for accrual of a loss contingency, paragraph 8(a) requires that information available prior to the issuance of financial statements indicate that it is probable that an asset had been impaired or a liability had been incurred at the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, accrual would clearly be inappropriate for litigation, claims, or assessments whose underlying cause is an event or condition occurring after the date of financial statements but before those financial statements are issued, for example, a suit for damages alleged to have been suffered as a result of an accident that occurred after the date of the financial statements. Disclosure may be required, however, by paragraph 11.

35. On the other hand, accrual may be appropriate for litigation, claims, or assessments whose underlying cause is an event occurring on or before the date of an enterprise's financial statements even if the enterprise does not become aware of the existence or possibility of the lawsuit, claim, or assessment until after the date of the financial statements. If those financial statements have not been issued, accrual of a loss related to the litigation, claim, or assessment would be required if the probability of loss is such that the condition in paragraph 8(a) is met and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

36. If the underlying cause of the litigation, claim, or assessment is an event occurring before the date of an enterprise's financial statements, the probability of an outcome unfavorable to the enterprise must be assessed to determine whether the condition in paragraph 8(a) is met. Among the factors that should be considered are the nature of the litigation, claim, or assessment, the progress of the case (including progress after the date of the financial statements but before those statements are issued), the opinions or views of legal counsel and other advisers, the experience of the enterprise in similar cases, the experience of other enterprises, and any decision of the enterprise's management as to how the enterprise intends to respond to the lawsuit, claim, or assessment (for example, a decision to contest the case vigorously or a decision to seek an out-of-court settlement). The fact that legal counsel is unable to express an opinion that the outcome will be favorable to the enterprise should not necessarily be interpreted to mean that the condition for accrual of a loss in paragraph 8(a) is met.

37. The filing of a suit or formal assertion of a claim or assessment does not automatically indicate that accrual of a loss may be appropriate. The degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome must be assessed. The condition for accrual in paragraph 8(a) would be met if an unfavorable outcome is determined
to be probable. If an unfavorable outcome is determined to be reasonably possible but not probable, or if the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated, accrual would be inappropriate, but disclosure would be required by paragraph 10 of this Statement.

38. With respect to unasserted claims and assessments, an enterprise must determine the degree of probability that a suit may be filed or a claim or assessment may be asserted and the possibility of an unfavorable outcome. For example, a catastrophe, accident, or other similar physical occurrence predictably engenders claims for redress, and in such circumstances their assertion may be probable; similarly, an investigation of an enterprise by a governmental agency, if enforcement proceedings have been or are likely to be instituted, is often followed by private claims for redress, and the probability of their assertion and the possibility of loss should be considered in each case. By way of further example, an enterprise may believe there is a possibility that it has infringed on another enterprise’s patent rights, but the enterprise owning the patent rights has not indicated an intention to take any action and has not even indicated an awareness of the possible infringement. In that case, a judgment must first be made as to whether the assertion of a claim is probable. If the judgment is that assertion is not probable, no accrual or disclosure would be required. On the other hand, if the judgment is that assertion is probable, then a second judgment must be made as to the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome. If an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, accrual of a loss is required by paragraph 8. If an unfavorable outcome is probable but the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated, accrual would not be appropriate, but disclosure would be required by paragraph 10. If an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible but not probable, disclosure would be required by paragraph 10.

39. As a condition for accrual of a loss contingency, paragraph 8(b) requires that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. In some cases, it may be determined that a loss was incurred because an unfavorable outcome of the litigation, claim, or assessment is probable (thus satisfying the condition in paragraph 8(a)), but the range of possible loss is wide. For example, an enterprise may be litigating an income tax matter. In preparation for the trial, it may determine that, based on recent decisions involving one aspect of the litigation, it is probable that it will have to pay additional taxes of $2 million. Another aspect of the litigation may, however, be open to considerable interpretation, and depending on the interpretation by the court the enterprise may have to pay taxes of $8 million over and above the $2 million. In that case, paragraph 8 requires accrual of the $2 million if that is considered a reasonable estimate of the loss. Paragraph 10 requires disclosure of the additional exposure to loss if there is a reasonable possibility that additional taxes will be paid. Depending on the circumstances, paragraph 9 may require disclosure of the $2 million that was accrued.
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Responses to Auditors’ Requests
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Source: SAS No. 12.

Preamble

The public interest in protecting the confidentiality of lawyer-client communications is fundamental. The American legal, political and economic systems depend heavily upon voluntary compliance with the law and upon ready access to a respected body of professionals able to interpret and advise on the law. The expanding complexity of our laws and governmental regulations increases the need for prompt, specific and unhampered lawyer-client communication. The benefits of such communication and early consultation underlie the strict statutory and ethical obligations of the lawyer to preserve the confidences and secrets of the client, as well as the long-recognized testimonial privilege for lawyer-client communication.

Both the Code of Professional Responsibility and the cases applying the evidentiary privilege recognize that the privilege against disclosure can be knowingly and voluntarily waived by the client. It is equally clear that disclosure to a third party may result in loss of the "confidentiality" essential to maintain the privilege. Disclosure to a third party of the lawyer-client communication on a particular subject may also destroy the privilege as to other communications on that subject. Thus, the mere disclosure by the lawyer to the outside auditor, with due client consent, of the substance of communications between the lawyer and client may significantly impair the client’s ability in other contexts to maintain the confidentiality of such communications.

Under the circumstances a policy of audit procedure which requires clients to give consent and authorize lawyers to respond to general inquiries and disclose information to auditors concerning matters which have been communicated in confidence is essentially destructive of free and open communication and early consultation between lawyer and client. The institution of such a policy would inevitably discourage management from discussing potential legal problems with counsel for fear that such discussion might become public and precipitate a loss to or possible liability of the business enterprise and its stockholders that might otherwise never materialize.

It is also recognized that our legal, political and economic systems depend to an important extent on public confidence in published financial statements.

Note: This document, in the form herein set forth, was approved by the Board of Governors of the American Bar Association in December 1975, which official action permitted its release to lawyers and accountants as the standard recommended by the American Bar Association for the lawyer's response to letters of audit inquiry.
To meet this need the accounting profession must adopt and adhere to standards and procedures that will command confidence in the auditing process. It is not, however, believed necessary, or sound public policy, to intrude upon the confidentiality of the lawyer-client relationship in order to command such confidence. On the contrary, the objective of fair disclosure in financial statements is more likely to be better served by maintaining the integrity of the confidential relationship between lawyer and client, thereby strengthening corporate management’s confidence in counsel and encouraging its readiness to seek advice of counsel and to act in accordance with counsel’s advice.

Consistent with the foregoing public policy considerations, it is believed appropriate to distinguish between, on the one hand, litigation which is pending or which a third party has manifested to the client a present intention to commence and, on the other hand, other contingencies of a legal nature or having legal aspects. As regards the former category, unquestionably the lawyer representing the client in a litigation matter may be the best source for a description of the claim or claims asserted, the client’s position (e.g., denial, contest, etc.), and the client’s possible exposure in the litigation (to the extent the lawyer is in a position to do so). As to the latter category, it is submitted that, for the reasons set forth above, it is not in the public interest for the lawyer to be required to respond to general inquiries from auditors concerning possible claims.

It is recognized that the disclosure requirements for enterprises subject to the reporting requirements of the Federal securities laws are a major concern of managements and counsel, as well as auditors. It is submitted that compliance therewith is best assured when clients are afforded maximum encouragement, by protecting lawyer-client confidentiality, freely to consult counsel. Likewise, lawyers must be keenly conscious of the importance of their clients being competently advised in these matters.

Statement of Policy

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that it is desirable and in the public interest that this Association adopt the following Statement of Policy regarding the appropriate scope of the lawyer’s response to the auditor’s request, made by the client at the request of the auditor, for information concerning matters referred to the lawyer during the course of his representation of the client:

(1) Client Consent to Response. The lawyer may properly respond to the auditor’s requests for information concerning loss contingencies (the term and concept established by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board in March 1975 and discussed in Paragraph 5.1 of the accompanying Commentary), to the extent hereinafter set forth, subject to the following:

a. Assuming that the client’s initial letter requesting the lawyer to provide information to the auditor is signed by an agent of the client having apparent authority to make such a request, the lawyer may provide to the auditor information requested, without further consent, unless such information discloses a confidence or a secret or requires an evaluation of a claim.

b. In the normal case, the initial request letter does not provide the necessary consent to the disclosure of a confidence or secret or to the evaluation of a claim since that consent may only be given after full disclosure to the client of the legal consequences of such action.
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c. Lawyers should bear in mind, in evaluating claims, that an adverse party may assert that any evaluation of potential liability is an admission.

d. In securing the client’s consent to the disclosure of confidences or secrets, or the evaluation of claims, the lawyer may wish to have a draft of his letter reviewed and approved by the client before releasing it to the auditor; in such cases, additional explanation would in all probability be necessary so that the legal consequences of the consent are fully disclosed to the client.

(2) Limitation on Scope of Response. It is appropriate for the lawyer to set forth in his response, by way of limitation, the scope of his engagement by the client. It is also appropriate for the lawyer to indicate the date as of which information is furnished and to disclaim any undertaking to advise the auditor of changes which may thereafter be brought to the lawyer’s attention. Unless the lawyer’s response indicates otherwise, (a) it is properly limited to matters which have been given substantive attention by the lawyer in the form of legal consultation and, where appropriate, legal representation since the beginning of the period or periods being reported upon, and (b) if a law firm or a law department, the auditor may assume that the firm or department has endeavored, to the extent believed necessary by the firm or department, to determine from lawyers currently in the firm or department who have performed services for the client since the beginning of the fiscal period under audit whether such services involved substantive attention in the form of legal consultation concerning those loss contingencies referred to in Paragraph 5(a) below but, beyond that, no review has been made of any of the client’s transactions or other matters for the purpose of identifying loss contingencies to be described in the response.

(3) Response may be Limited to Material Items. In response to an auditor’s request for disclosure of loss contingencies of a client, it is appropriate for the lawyer’s response to indicate that the response is limited to items which are considered individually or collectively material to the presentation of the client’s financial statements.

(4) Limited Responses. Where the lawyer is limiting his response in accordance with the Statement of Policy, his response should so indicate (see Paragraph 8). If in any other respect the lawyer is not undertaking to respond to or comment on particular aspects of the inquiry when responding to the auditor, he should consider advising the auditor that his response is limited, in order to avoid any inference that the lawyer has responded to all aspects; otherwise, he may be assuming a responsibility which he does not intend.

(5) Loss Contingencies. When properly requested by the client, it is appropriate for the lawyer to furnish to the auditor information concerning the following matters if the lawyer has been engaged by the client to represent or advise the client professionally with respect thereto and he has devoted substantive attention to them in the form of legal representation or consultation:

a. overtly threatened or pending litigation, whether or not specified by the client;

b. a contractually assumed obligation which the client has specifically identified and upon which the client has specifically requested, in the inquiry letter or a supplement thereto, comment to the auditor;

* As contemplated by Paragraph 8 of this Statement of Policy, this sentence is intended to be the subject of incorporation by reference as therein provided.
c. an unasserted possible claim or assessment which the client has specifically identified and upon which the client has specifically requested, in the inquiry letter or a supplement thereto, comment to the auditor.

With respect to clause (a), overtly threatened litigation means that a potential claimant has manifested to the client an awareness of and present intention to assert a possible claim or assessment unless the likelihood of litigation (or of settlement when litigation would normally be avoided) is considered remote.

With respect to clause (c), where there has been no manifestation by a potential claimant of an awareness of and present intention to assert a possible claim or assessment, consistent with the considerations and concerns outlined in the Preamble and Paragraph 1 hereof, the client should request the lawyer to furnish information to the auditor only if the client has determined that it is probable that a possible claim will be asserted, that there is a reasonable possibility that the outcome (assuming such assertion) will be unfavorable, and that the resulting liability would be material to the financial condition of the client. Examples of such situations might (depending in each case upon the particular circumstances) include the following: (i) a catastrophe, accident or other similar physical occurrence in which the client’s involvement is open and notorious, or (ii) an investigation by a government agency where enforcement proceedings have been instituted or where the likelihood that they will not be instituted is remote, under circumstances where assertion of one or more private claims for redress would normally be expected, or (iii) a public disclosure by the client acknowledging (and thus focusing attention upon) the existence of one or more probable claims arising out of an event or circumstance.

In assessing whether or not the assertion of a possible claim is probable, it is expected that the client would normally employ, by reason of the inherent uncertainties involved and insufficiency of available data, concepts parallel to those used by the lawyer (discussed below) in assessing whether or not an unfavorable outcome is probable; thus, assertion of a possible claim would be considered probable only when the prospects of its being asserted seem reasonably certain (i.e., supported by extrinsic evidence strong enough to establish a presumption that it will happen) and the prospects of nonassertion seem slight.

It would not be appropriate, however, for the lawyer to be requested to furnish information in response to an inquiry letter or supplement thereto if it appears that (a) the client has been required to specify unasserted possible claims without regard to the standard suggested in the preceding paragraph, or (b) the client has been required to specify all or substantially all unasserted possible claims as to which legal advice may have been obtained, since, in either case, such a request would be in substance a general inquiry and would be inconsistent with the intent of this Statement of Policy.

The information that lawyers may properly give to the auditor concerning the foregoing matters would include (to the extent appropriate) an identification of the proceedings or matter, the stage of proceedings, the claim(s) asserted, and the position taken by the client.

In view of the inherent uncertainties, the lawyer should normally refrain from expressing judgments as to outcome except in those relatively few clear cases where it appears to the lawyer that an unfavorable outcome is either “probable” or “remote”; for purposes of any such judgment it is appropriate to use the following meanings:

(i) probable—an unfavorable outcome for the client is probable if the prospects of the claimant not succeeding are judged to be extremely doubtful and the prospects for success by the client in its defense are judged to be slight.
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(ii) remote—an unfavorable outcome is remote if the prospects for the client not succeeding in its defense are judged to be extremely doubtful and the prospects of success by the claimant are judged to be slight.

If, in the opinion of the lawyer, considerations within the province of his professional judgment bear on a particular loss contingency to the degree necessary to make an informed judgment, he may in appropriate circumstances communicate to the auditor his view that an unfavorable outcome is “probable” or “remote,” applying the above meanings. No inference should be drawn, from the absence of such a judgment, that the client will not prevail.

The lawyer also may be asked to estimate, in dollar terms, the potential amount of loss or range of loss in the event that an unfavorable outcome is not viewed to be “remote.” In such a case, the amount or range of potential loss will normally be as inherently impossible to ascertain, with any degree of certainty, as the outcome of the litigation. Therefore, it is appropriate for the lawyer to provide an estimate of the amount or range of potential loss (if the outcome should be unfavorable) only if he believes that the probability of inaccuracy of the estimate of the amount or range of potential loss is slight.

The considerations bearing upon the difficulty in estimating loss (or range of loss) where pending litigation is concerned are obviously even more compelling in the case of unasserted possible claims. In most cases, the lawyer will not be able to provide any such estimate to the auditor.

As indicated in Paragraph 4 hereof, the auditor may assume that all loss contingencies specified by the client in the manner specified in clauses (b) and (c) above have received comment in the response, unless otherwise therein indicated. The lawyer should not be asked, nor need the lawyer undertake, to furnish information to the auditor concerning loss contingencies except as contemplated by this Paragraph 5.

(6) Lawyer’s Professional Responsibility. Independent of the scope of his response to the auditor’s request for information, the lawyer, depending upon the nature of the matters as to which he is engaged, may have as part of his professional responsibility to his client an obligation to advise the client concerning the need for or advisability of public disclosure of a wide range of events and circumstances. The lawyer has an obligation not knowingly to participate in any violation by the client of the disclosure requirements of the securities laws. In appropriate circumstances, the lawyer also may be required under the Code of Professional Responsibility to resign his engagement if his advice concerning disclosures is disregarded by the client. The auditor may properly assume that whenever, in the course of performing legal services for the client with respect to a matter recognized to involve an unasserted possible claim or assessment which may call for financial statement disclosure, the lawyer has formed a professional conclusion that the client must disclose or consider disclosure concerning such possible claim or assessment, the lawyer, as a matter of professional responsibility to the client, will so advise the client and will consult with the client concerning the question of such disclosure and the applicable requirements of FAS 5.

* Under FAS 5, when there has been no manifestation by a potential claimant of an awareness of a possible claim or assessment, disclosure of an unasserted possible claim is required only if the enterprise concludes that (i) it is probable that a claim will be asserted, (ii) there is a reasonable possibility, if the claim is in fact asserted, that the outcome will be unfavorable, and (iii) the liability resulting from such unfavorable outcome would be material to its financial condition.
Limitation on Use of Response. Unless otherwise stated in the lawyer’s response, it shall be solely for the auditor’s information in connection with his audit of the financial condition of the client and is not to be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any financial statements of the client or related documents, nor is it to be filed with any governmental agency or other person, without the lawyer’s prior written consent.† Notwithstanding such limitation, the response can properly be furnished to others in compliance with court process or when necessary in order to defend the auditor against a challenge of the audit by the client or a regulatory agency, provided that the lawyer is given written notice of the circumstances at least twenty days before the response is so to be furnished to others, or as long in advance as possible if the situation does not permit such period of notice.✝

General. This Statement of Policy, together with the accompanying Commentary (which is an integral part hereof), has been developed for the general guidance of the legal profession. In a particular case, the lawyer may elect to supplement or modify the approach hereby set forth. If desired, this Statement of Policy may be incorporated by reference in the lawyer’s response by the following statement: “This response is limited by, and in accordance with, the ABA Statement of Policy Regarding Lawyers’ Responses to Auditors’ Requests for Information (December 1975); without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the limitations set forth in such Statement on the scope and use of this response (Paragraphs 2 and 7) are specifically incorporated herein by reference, and any description herein of any ‘loss contingencies’ is qualified in its entirety by Paragraph 5 of the Statement and the accompanying Commentary (which is an integral part of the Statement).”

The accompanying Commentary is an integral part of this Statement of Policy.

Commentary

Paragraph 1 (Client Consent to Response)

In responding to any aspect of an auditor’s inquiry letter, the lawyer must be guided by his ethical obligations as set forth in the Code of Professional Responsibility. Under Canon 4 of the Code of Professional Responsibility a lawyer is enjoined to preserve the client’s confidences (defined as information protected by the attorney-client privilege under applicable law) and the client’s secrets (defined as other information gained in the professional relationship that the client has requested be held inviolate or the disclosure of which would be embarrassing or would be likely to be detrimental to the client). The observance of this ethical obligation, in the context of public policy, “… not only facilitates the full development of facts essential to proper representation of the client but also encourages laymen to seek early legal assistance.” (Ethical Consideration 4-1).

The lawyer’s ethical obligation therefore includes a much broader range of information than that protected by the attorney-client privilege. As stated in Ethical Consideration 4-4: “The attorney-client privilege is more limited than the ethical obligation of a lawyer to guard the confidences and secrets of his

† As contemplated by Paragraph 8 of this Statement of Policy, this sentence is intended to be the subject of incorporation by reference as therein provided.
client. This ethical precept, unlike the evidentiary privilege, exists without regard to the nature or source of information or the fact that others share the knowledge.”

In recognition of this ethical obligation, the lawyer should be careful to disclose fully to his client any confidence, secret or evaluation that is to be revealed to another, including the client’s auditor, and to satisfy himself that the officer or agent of a corporate client consenting to the disclosure understands the legal consequences thereof and has authority to provide the required consent.

The law in the area of attorney-client privilege and the impact of statements made in letters to auditors upon that privilege has not yet been developed. Based upon cases treating the attorney-client privilege in other contexts, however, certain generalizations can be made with respect to the possible impact of statements in letters to auditors.

It is now generally accepted that a corporation may claim the attorney-client privilege. Whether the privilege extends beyond the control group of the corporation (a concept found in the existing decisional authority), and if so, how far, is yet unresolved.

If a client discloses to a third party a part of any privileged communication he has made to his attorney, there may have been a waiver as to the whole communication; further, it has been suggested that giving accountants access to privileged statements made to attorneys may waive any privilege as to those statements. Any disclosure of privileged communications relating to a particular subject matter may have the effect of waiving the privilege on other communications with respect to the same subject matter.

To the extent that the lawyer’s knowledge of unasserted possible claims is obtained by means of confidential communications from the client, any disclosure thereof might constitute a waiver as fully as if the communication related to pending claims.

A further difficulty arises with respect to requests for evaluation of either pending or unasserted possible claims. It might be argued that any evaluation of a claim, to the extent based upon a confidential communication with the client, waives any privilege with respect to that claim.

Another danger inherent in a lawyer’s placing a value on a claim, or estimating the likely result, is that such a statement might be treated as an admission or might be otherwise prejudicial to the client.

The Statement of Policy has been prepared in the expectation that judicial development of the law in the foregoing areas will be such that useful communication between lawyers and auditors in the manner envisaged in the Statement will not prove prejudicial to clients engaged in or threatened with adversary proceedings. If developments occur contrary to this expectation, appropriate review and revision of the Statement of Policy may be necessary.

**Paragraph 2 (Limitation on Scope of Response)**

In furnishing information to an auditor, the lawyer can properly limit himself to loss contingencies which he is handling on a substantive basis for the client in the form of legal consultation (advice and other attention to matters not in litigation by the lawyer in his professional capacity) or legal representation (counsel of record or other direct professional responsibility for a
matter in litigation). Some auditors’ inquiries go further and ask for information on matters of which the lawyer “has knowledge.” Lawyers are concerned that such a broad request may be deemed to include information coming from a variety of sources including social contact and thirdparty contacts as well as professional engagement and that the lawyer might be criticized or subjected to liability if some of this information is forgotten at the time of the auditor’s request.

It is also believed appropriate to recognize that the lawyer will not necessarily have been authorized to investigate, or have investigated, all legal problems of the client, even when on notice of some facts which might conceivably constitute a legal problem upon exploration and development. Thus, consideration in the form of preliminary or passing advice, or regarding an incomplete or hypothetical state of facts, or where the lawyer has not been requested to give studied attention to the matter in question, would not come within the concept of “substantive attention” and would therefore be excluded. Similarly excluded are matters which may have been mentioned by the client but which are not actually being handled by the lawyer. Paragraph 2 undertakes to deal with these concerns.

Paragraph 2 is also intended to recognize the principle that the appropriate lawyer to respond as to a particular loss contingency is the lawyer having charge of the matter for the client (e.g., the lawyer representing the client in a litigation matter and/or the lawyer having overall charge and supervision of the matter), and that the lawyer not having that kind of role with respect to the matter should not be expected to respond merely because of having become aware of its existence in a general or incidental way.

The internal procedures to be followed by a law firm or law department may vary based on factors such as the scope of the lawyer’s engagement and the complexity and magnitude of the client’s affairs. Such procedures could, but need not, include use of a docket system to record litigation, consultation with lawyers in the firm or department having principal responsibility for the client’s affairs or other procedures which, in light of the cost to the client, are not disproportionate to the anticipated benefit to be derived. Although these procedures may not necessarily identify all matters relevant to the response, the evolution and application of the lawyer’s customary procedures should constitute a reasonable basis for the lawyer’s response.

As the lawyer’s response is limited to matters involving his professional engagement as counsel, such response should not include information concerning the client which the lawyer receives in another role. In particular, a lawyer who is also a director or officer of the client would not include information which he received as a director or officer unless the information was also received (or, absent the dual role, would in the normal course be received) in his capacity as legal counsel in the context of his professional engagement. Where the auditor’s request for information is addressed to a law firm as a firm, the law firm may properly assume that its response is not expected to include any information which may have been communicated to the particular individual by reason of his serving in the capacity of director or officer of the client. The question of the individual’s duty, in his role as a director or officer, is not here addressed.

**Paragraph 3 (Response May Cover only Material Items in Certain Cases)**

Paragraph 3 makes it clear that the lawyer may optionally limit his responses to those items which are individually or collectively material to the
auditor’s inquiry. If the lawyer takes responsibility for making a determination that a matter is not material for the purposes of his response to the audit inquiry, he should make it clear that his response is so limited. The auditor, in such circumstance, should properly be entitled to rely upon the lawyer’s response as providing him with the necessary corroboration. It should be emphasized that the employment of inside general counsel by the client should not detract from the acceptability of his response since inside general counsel is as fully bound by the professional obligations and responsibilities contained in the Code of Professional Responsibility as outside counsel. If the audit inquiry sets forth a definition of materiality but the lawyer utilizes a different test of materiality, he should specifically so state. The lawyer may wish to reach an understanding with the auditor concerning the test of materiality to be used in his response, but he need not do so if he assumes responsibility for the criteria used in making materiality determinations. Any such understanding with the auditor should be referred to or set forth in the lawyer’s response. In this connection, it is assumed that the test of materiality so agreed upon would not be so low in amount as to result in a disservice to the client and an unreasonable burden on counsel.

Paragraph 4 (Limited Responses)

Paragraph 4 of the Statement of Policy is designed to recognize the obligation of the auditor to complete the procedures considered necessary to satisfy himself as to the fair presentation of the company’s financial condition and results, in order to render a report which includes an opinion not qualified because of a limitation on the scope of the audit. In this connection, reference is made to SEC Accounting Series Release No. 90 [Financial Reporting Release No. 1, section 607.01(b)], in which it is stated:

“A ‘subject to’ or ‘except for’ opinion paragraph in which these phrases refer to the scope of the audit, indicating that the accountant has not been able to satisfy himself on some significant element in the financial statements, is not acceptable in certificates filed with the Commission in connection with the public offering of securities. The ‘subject to’ qualification is appropriate when the reference is to a middle paragraph or to footnotes explaining the status of matters which cannot be resolved at statement date.”

Paragraph 5 (Loss Contingencies)

Paragraph 5 of the Statement of Policy summarizes the categories of “loss contingencies” about which the lawyer may furnish information to the auditor. The term loss contingencies and the categories relate to concepts of accounting accrual and disclosure specified for the accounting profession in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 (“FAS 5”) issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board in March, 1975.

5.1 Accounting Requirements

To understand the significance of the auditor’s inquiry and the implications of any response the lawyer may give, the lawyer should be aware of the following accounting concepts and requirements set out in FAS 5:

(a) A “loss contingency” is an existing condition, situation or set of circumstances involving uncertainty as to possible loss to an enter-

* Citations are to paragraph numbers of FAS 5.
prise that will ultimately be resolved when one or more events occur or fail to occur. Resolutions of the uncertainty may confirm the loss or impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability.

(Para. 1)

(b) When a “loss contingency” exists, the likelihood that a future event or events will confirm the loss or impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability can range from probable to remote. There are three areas within that range, defined as follows:

(i) **Probable**—“The future event or events are likely to occur.”

(ii) **Reasonably possible**—“The chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely.”

(iii) **Remote**—“The chance of the future event or events occurring is slight.”

(Para. 3)

(c) **Accrual** in a client’s financial statements by a charge to income of the period will be required if both the following conditions are met:

(i) “Information available prior to issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that an asset had been impaired or a liability had been incurred at the date of the financial statements. It is implicit in this condition that it must be probable that one or more future events will occur confirming the fact of the loss.” (emphasis added; footnote omitted)

(ii) “The amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.”

(Para. 8)

(d) If there is no accrual of the loss contingency in the client’s financial statements because one of the two conditions outlined in (c) above are not met, disclosure may be required as provided in the following:

“If no accrual is made for a loss contingency because one or both of the conditions in paragraph 8 are not met, or if an exposure to loss exists in excess of the amount accrued pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 8, disclosure of the contingency shall be made when there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss or an additional loss may have been incurred. The disclosure shall indicate the nature of the contingency and shall give an estimate of the possible loss or range of loss or state that such an estimate cannot be made. Disclosure is not required of a loss contingency involving an unasserted claim or assessment when there has been no manifestation by potential claimant of an awareness of a possible claim or assessment unless it is considered probable that a claim will be asserted and there is a reasonable possibility that the outcome will be unfavorable.” (emphasis added; footnote omitted)

(Para. 10)

(e) The accounting requirements recognize or specify that (i) the opinions or views of counsel are not the sole source of evidential matter in making determinations about the accounting recognition or treatment to be given to litigation, and (ii) the fact that the lawyer is not
able to express an opinion that the outcome will be favorable does not necessarily require an accrual of a loss. Paragraphs 36 and 37 of FAS 5 state as follows:

“If the underlying cause of the litigation, claim, or assessment is an event occurring before the date of an enterprise’s financial statements, the probability of an outcome unfavorable to the enterprise must be assessed to determine whether the condition in paragraph 8(a) is met. Among the factors that should be considered are the nature of the litigation, claim, or assessment, the progress of the case (including progress after the date of the financial statements but before those statements are issued), the opinions or views of legal counsel and other advisers, the experience of the enterprise in similar cases, the experience of other enterprises, and any decision of the enterprise’s management as to how the enterprise intends to respond to the lawsuit, claim, or assessment (for example, a decision to contest the case vigorously or a decision to seek an out-of-court settlement). The fact that legal counsel is unable to express an opinion that the outcome will be favorable to the enterprise should not necessarily be interpreted to mean that the condition for accrual of a loss in paragraph 8(a) is met.

“The filing of a suit or formal assertion of a claim or assessment does not automatically indicate that accrual of a loss may be appropriate. The degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome must be assessed. The condition for accrual in paragraph 8(a) would be met if an unfavorable outcome is determined to be probable. If an unfavorable outcome is determined to be reasonably possible but not probable, or if the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated, accrual would be inappropriate, but disclosure would be required by paragraph 10 of this Statement.”

(f) Paragraph 38 of FAS 5 focuses on certain examples concerning the determination by the enterprise whether an assertion of an unasserted possible claim may be considered probable:

“With respect to unasserted claims and assessments, an enterprise must determine the degree of probability that a suit may be filed or a claim or assessment may be asserted and the possibility of an unfavorable outcome. For example, a catastrophe, accident, or other similar physical occurrence predictably engenders claims for redress, and in such circumstances their assertion may be probable; similarly, an investigation of an enterprise by a governmental agency, if enforcement proceedings have been or are likely to be instituted, is often followed by private claims for redress, and the probability of their assertion and the possibility of loss should be considered in each case. By way of further example, an enterprise may believe there is a possibility that it has infringed on another enterprise’s patent rights, but the enterprise owning the patent rights has not indicated an intention to take any action and has not even indicated an awareness of the possible infringement. In that case, a judgment must first be made as to whether the assertion of a claim is probable. If the judgment is that assertion is not probable, no accrual or disclosure would be required. On the other
hand, if the judgment is that assertion is probable, then a second
judgment must be made as to the degree of probability of an
unfavorable outcome. If an unfavorable outcome is probable and
the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, accrual of a loss
is required by paragraph 8. If an unfavorable outcome is prob-
able but the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated,
accrual would not be appropriate, but disclosure would be re-
quired by paragraph 10. If an unfavorable outcome is reasonably
possible but not probable, disclosure would be required by para-
graph 10.”

For a more complete presentation of FAS 5, reference is made to Exhibit I,
section 337B, in which are set forth excerpts selected by the AICPA as relevant
to a Statement on Auditing Standards, issued by its Auditing Standards
Executive Committee, captioned “Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning
Litigation, Claims, and Assessments.”

5.2 Lawyer’s Response

Concepts of probability inherent in the usage of terms like “probable” or
“reasonably possible” or “remote” mean different things in different contexts.
Generally, the outcome of, or the loss which may result from, litigation cannot
be assessed in any way that is comparable to a statistically or empirically
determined concept of “probability” that may be applicable when determining
such matters as reserves for warranty obligations or accounts receivable or loan
losses when there is a large number of transactions and a substantial body of
known historical experience for the enterprise or comparable enterprises.
While lawyers are accustomed to counseling clients during the progress of
litigation as to the possible amount required for settlement purposes, the
estimated risks of the proceedings at particular times and the possible applica-
ion or establishment of points of law that may be relevant, such advice to
the client is not possible at many stages of the litigation and may change
dramatically depending upon the development of the proceedings. Lawyers do
not generally quantify for clients the “odds” in numerical terms; if they do, the
quantification is generally only undertaken in an effort to make meaningful,
for limited purposes, a whole host of judgmental factors applicable at a particu-
lar time, without any intention to depict “probability” in any statistical, scien-
tific or empirically-grounded sense. Thus, for example, statements that
litigation is being defended vigorously and that the client has meritorious
defenses do not, and do not purport to, make a statement about the probability
of outcome in any measurable sense.

Likewise, the “amount” of loss—that is, the total of costs and damages that
ultimately might be assessed against a client—will, in most litigation, be a
subject of wide possible variance at most stages; it is the rare case where the
amount is precise and where the question is whether the client against which
claim is made is liable either for all of it or none of it.

In light of the foregoing considerations, it must be concluded that, as a
general rule, it should not be anticipated that meaningful quantifications of
“probability” of outcome or amount of damages can be given by lawyers in
assessing litigation. To provide content to the definitions set forth in Paragraph
5 of the Statement of Policy, this Commentary amplifies the meanings of the
terms under discussion, as follows:

“probable”—An unfavorable outcome is normally “probable” if, but only
if, investigation, preparation (including development of the factual data and
legal research) and progress of the matter have reached a stage where a
judgment can be made, taking all relevant factors into account which may
affect the outcome, that it is extremely doubtful that the client will prevail.

"remote"—The prospect for an unfavorable outcome appears, at the time,
to be slight; i.e., it is extremely doubtful that the client will not prevail.
Normally, this would entail the ability to make an unqualified judgment,
taking into account all relevant factors which may affect the outcome, that
the client may confidently expect to prevail on a motion for summary
judgment on all issues due to the clarity of the facts and the law.

In other words, for purposes of the lawyer’s response to the request to advise
auditors about litigation, an unfavorable outcome will be “probable” only if the
chances of the client prevailing appear slight and of the claimant losing appear
extremely doubtful; it will be “remote” when the client’s chances of losing
appear slight and of not winning appear extremely doubtful. It is, therefore, to
be anticipated that, in most situations, an unfavorable outcome will be neither
“probable” nor “remote” as defined in the Statement of Policy.

The discussion above about the very limited basis for furnishing judgments
about the outcome of litigation applies with even more force to a judgment
concerning whether or not the assertion of a claim not yet asserted is “probable.”
That judgment will infrequently be one within the professional competence of
lawyers and therefore the lawyer should not undertake such assessment except
where such judgment may become meaningful because of the presence of
special circumstances, such as catastrophes, investigations and previous public
disclosure as cited in Paragraph 5 of the Statement of Policy, or similar extrinsic
evidence relevant to such assessment. Moreover, it is unlikely, absent relevant
extrinsic evidence, that the client or anyone else will be in a position to make
an informed judgment that assertion of a possible claim is “probable” as opposed
to “reasonably possible” (in which event disclosure is not required). In light of
the legitimate concern that the public interest would not be well served by
resolving uncertainties in a way that invites the assertion of claims or otherwise
causes unnecessary harm to the client and its stockholders, a decision to treat
an unasserted claim as “probable” of assertion should be based only upon
compelling judgment.

Consistent with these limitations believed appropriate for the lawyer, he
should not represent to the auditor, nor should any inference from his response
be drawn, that the unasserted possible claims identified by the client (as
contemplated by Paragraph 5(c) of the Statement of Policy) represent all such
claims of which the lawyer may be aware or that he necessarily concurs in his
client’s determination of which unasserted possible claims warrant specification
by the client; within proper limits, this determination is one which the
client is entitled to make—and should make—and it would be inconsistent with
his professional obligations for the lawyer to volunteer information arising from
his confidential relationship with his client.

As indicated in Paragraph 5, the lawyer also may be asked to estimate the
potential loss (or range) in the event that an unfavorable outcome is not viewed
as “remote.” In such a case, the lawyer would provide an estimate only if he
believes that the probability of inaccuracy of the estimate of the range or
amount is slight. What is meant here is that the estimate of amount of loss
presents the same difficulty as assessment of outcome and that the same
formulation of “probability” should be used with respect to the determina-
tion of estimated loss amounts as should be used with respect to estimating
the outcome of the matter.
In special circumstances, with the proper consent of the client, the lawyer may be better able to provide the auditor with information concerning loss contingencies through conferences where there is opportunity for more detailed discussion and interchange. However, the principles set forth in the Statement of Policy and this Commentary are fully applicable to such conferences.

Subsumed throughout this discussion is the ongoing responsibility of the lawyer to assist his client, at the client’s request, in complying with the requirements of FAS 5 to the extent such assistance falls within his professional competence. This will continue to involve, to the extent appropriate, privileged discussions with the client to provide a better basis on which the client can make accrual and disclosure determinations in respect of its financial statements.

In addition to the considerations discussed above with respect to the making of any judgment or estimate by the lawyer in his response to the auditor, including with respect to a matter specifically identified by the client, the lawyer should also bear in mind the risk that the furnishing of such a judgment or estimate to any one other than the client might constitute an admission or be otherwise prejudicial to the client’s position in its defense against such litigation or claim (see Paragraph 1 of the Statement of Policy and of this Commentary).

Paragraph 6 (Lawyer’s Professional Responsibility)

The client must satisfy whatever duties it has relative to timely disclosure, including appropriate disclosure concerning material loss contingencies, and, to the extent such matters are given substantive attention in the form of legal consultation, the lawyer, when his engagement is to advise his client concerning a disclosure obligation, has a responsibility to advise his client concerning its obligations in this regard. Although lawyers who normally confine themselves to a legal specialty such as tax, antitrust, patent or admiralty law, unlike lawyers consulted about SEC or general corporate matters, would not be expected to advise generally concerning the client’s disclosure obligations in respect of a matter on which the lawyer is working, the legal specialist should counsel his client with respect to the client’s obligations under FAS 5 to the extent contemplated herein. Without regard to legal specialty, the lawyer should be mindful of his professional responsibility to the client described in Paragraph 6 of the Statement of Policy concerning disclosure.

The lawyer’s responsibilities with respect to his client’s disclosure obligations have been a subject of considerable discussion and there may be, in due course, clarification and further guidance in this regard. In any event, where in the lawyer’s view it is clear that (i) the matter is of material importance and seriousness, and (ii) there can be no reasonable doubt that its non-disclosure in the client’s financial statements would be a violation of law giving rise to material claims, rejection by the client of his advice to call the matter to the attention of the auditor would almost certainly require the lawyer’s withdrawal from employment in accordance with the Code of Professional Responsibility. (See, e.g., Disciplinary Rule 7-102 (A)(3) and (7), and Disciplinary Rule 2-110 (B)(2).) Withdrawal under such circumstances is obviously undesirable and might present serious problems for the client. Accordingly, in the context of financial accounting and reporting for loss contingencies arising from unasserted claims, the standards for which are contained in FAS 5, clients should be urged to disclose to the auditor information concerning an unasserted possible claim or assessment (not otherwise specifically identified by the client).
where in the course of the services performed for the client it has become clear to the lawyer that (i) the client has no reasonable basis to conclude that assertion of the claim is not probable (employing the concepts hereby enunciated) and (ii) given the probability of assertion, disclosure of the loss contingency in the client’s financial statements is beyond reasonable dispute required.

**Paragraph 7 (Limitation on Use of Response)**

Some inquiry letters make specific reference to, and one might infer from others, an intention to quote verbatim or include the substance of the lawyer’s reply in footnotes to the client’s financial statements. Because the client’s prospects in pending litigation may shift as a result of interim developments, and because the lawyer should have an opportunity, if quotation is to be made, to review the footnote in full, it would seem prudent to limit the use of the lawyer’s reply letter. Paragraph 7 sets out such a limitation.

Paragraph 7 also recognizes that it may be in the client’s interest to protect information contained in the lawyer’s response to the auditor, if and to the extent possible, against unnecessary further disclosure or use beyond its intended purpose of informing the auditor. For example, the response may contain information which could prejudice efforts to negotiate a favorable settlement of a pending litigation described in the response. The requirement of consent to further disclosure, or of reasonable advance notice where disclosure may be required by court process or necessary in defense of the audit, is designed to give the lawyer an opportunity to consult with the client as to whether consent should be refused or limited or, in the case of legal process or the auditor’s defense of the audit, as to whether steps can and should be taken to challenge the necessity of further disclosure or to seek protective measures in connection therewith. It is believed that the suggested standard of twenty days advance notice would normally be a minimum reasonable time for this purpose.

**Paragraph 8 (General)**

It is reasonable to assume that the Statement of Policy will receive wide distribution and will be readily available to the accounting profession. Specifically, the Statement of Policy has been reprinted as Exhibit II to the Statement on Auditing Standards, “Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments,” issued by the Auditing Standards Executive Committee of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, the mechanic for its incorporation by reference will facilitate lawyer-auditor communication. The incorporation is intended to include not only limitations, such as those provided by Paragraphs 2 and 7 of the Statement of Policy, but also the explanatory material set forth in this Commentary.

**Annex A**

[Illustrative forms of letters for full response by outside practitioner or law firm and inside general counsel to the auditor’s inquiry letter. These illustrative forms, which are not part of the Statement of Policy, have been prepared by the Committee on Audit Inquiry Responses solely in order to assist those who may wish to have, for reference purposes, a form of response which in-]
Illustrative form of letter for use by outside practitioner or law firm:

[Name and Address of Accounting Firm]

Re: [Name of Client] [and Subsidiaries]

Dear Sirs:

By letter date [insert date of request] Mr. [insert name and title of officer signing request] of [insert name of client] ([the “Company”]) or (together with its subsidiaries, the “Company”)) has requested us to furnish you with certain information in connection with your examination of the accounts of the Company as at [insert fiscal year-end].

[Insert description of the scope of the lawyer’s engagement; the following are sample descriptions:]

While this firm represents the Company on a regular basis, our engagement has been limited to specific matters as to which we were consulted by the Company.

[or]

We call your attention to the fact that this firm has during the past year represented the Company only in connection with certain [Federal income tax matters] [litigation] [real estate transactions] [describe other specific matters, as appropriate] and has not been engaged for any other purpose.

Subject to the foregoing and to the last paragraph of this letter, we advise you that since [insert date of beginning of fiscal period under audit] we have not been engaged to give substantive attention to, or represent the Company in connection with, [material] loss contingencies coming within the scope of clause (a) of Paragraph 5 of the Statement of Policy referred to in the last paragraph of this letter, except as follows:

[Describe litigation and claims which fit the foregoing criteria.]

[If the inquiry letter requests information concerning specified unasserted possible claims or assessments and/or contractually assumed obligations:]

With respect to the matters specifically identified in the Company’s letter and upon which comment has been specifically requested, as contemplated by clauses (b) or (c) of Paragraph 5 of the ABA Statement of Policy, we advise you, subject to the last paragraph of this letter, as follows:

[Insert information as appropriate]

The information set forth herein is [as of the date of this letter] [as of [insert date], the date on which we commenced our internal review procedures for purposes of preparing this response], except as otherwise noted, and we disclaim any undertaking to advise you of changes which thereafter may be brought to our attention.

[Insert information with respect to outstanding bills for services and disbursements.]

* Note: See Paragraph 3 of the Statement of Policy and the accompanying Commentary for guidance where the response is limited to material items.
This response is limited by, and in accordance with, the ABA Statement of Policy Regarding Lawyers' Responses to Auditors' Requests for Information (December 1975); without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the limitations set forth in such Statement on the scope and use of this response (Paragraphs 2 and 7) are specifically incorporated herein by reference, and any description herein of any “loss contingencies” is qualified in its entirety by Paragraph 5 of the Statement and the accompanying Commentary (which is an integral part of the Statement). Consistent with the last sentence of Paragraph 6 of the ABA Statement of Policy and pursuant to the Company's request, this will confirm as correct the Company's understanding as set forth in its audit inquiry letter to us that whenever, in the course of performing legal services for the Company with respect to a matter recognized to involve an unasserted possible claim or assessment that may call for financial statement disclosure, we have formed a professional conclusion that the Company must disclose or consider disclosure concerning such possible claim or assessment, we, as a matter of professional responsibility to the Company, will so advise the Company and will consult with the Company concerning the question of such disclosure and the applicable requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5. [Describe any other or additional limitation as indicated by Paragraph 4 of the Statement]

Very truly yours,
Illustrative form of letter for use by inside general counsel:

[Name and Address of Accounting Firm]

Re: [Name of Company] [and Subsidiaries]

Dear Sirs:

As General Counsel of [insert name of client] [together with its subsidiaries, the “Company”), I advise you as follows in connection with your examination of the accounts of the Company as at [insert fiscal year-end].

I call your attention to the fact that as General Counsel for the Company I have general supervision of the Company’s legal affairs. [If the general legal supervisory responsibilities of the person signing the letter are limited, set forth here a clear description of those legal matters over which such person exercises general supervision, indicating exceptions to such supervision and situations where primary reliance should be placed on other sources.] In such capacity, I have reviewed litigation and claims threatened or asserted involving the Company and have consulted with outside legal counsel with respect thereto where I have deemed appropriate.

Subject to the foregoing and to the last paragraph of this letter, I advise you that since [insert date of beginning of fiscal period under audit] neither I, nor any of the lawyers over whom I exercise general legal supervision, have given substantive attention to, or represented the Company in connection with, [material] loss contingencies coming within the scope of clause (a) of Paragraph 5 of the Statement of Policy referred to in the last paragraph of this letter, except as follows:

[Describe litigation and claims which fit the foregoing criteria.]

[If information concerning specified unasserted possible claims or assessments and/or contractually assumed obligations is to be supplied:]

With respect to matters which have been specifically identified as contemplated by clauses (b) or (c) of Paragraph 5 of the ABA Statement of Policy, I advise you, subject to the last paragraph of this letter, as follows:

[Insert information as appropriate]

The information set forth herein is [as of the date of this letter] as of [insert date], the date on which we commenced our internal review procedures for purposes of preparing this response, except as otherwise noted, and I disclaim any undertaking to advise you of changes which thereafter may be brought to my attention or to the attention of the lawyers over whom I exercise general legal supervision.

This response is limited by, and in accordance with, the ABA Statement of Policy Regarding Lawyers’ Responses to Auditors’ Requests for Information (December 1975); without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the limitations set forth in such Statement on the scope and use of this response (Paragraphs 2 and 7) are specifically incorporated herein by reference, and any description herein of any “loss contingencies” is qualified in its entirety by

---

1 It may be appropriate in some cases for the response to be given by inside counsel other than inside general counsel in which event this letter should be appropriately modified.

2 Note: See Paragraph 3 of the Statement of Policy and the accompanying Commentary for guidance where the response is limited to material items.
Paragraph 5 of the Statement and the accompanying Commentary (which is an integral part of the Statement). Consistent with the last sentence of Paragraph 6 of the ABA Statement of Policy, this will confirm as correct the Company’s understanding that whenever, in the course of performing legal services for the Company with respect to a matter recognized to involve an unasserted possible claim or assessment that may call for financial statement disclosure, I have formed a professional conclusion that the Company must disclose or consider disclosure concerning such possible claim or assessment, I, as a matter of professional responsibility to the Company, will so advise the Company and will consult with the Company concerning the question of such disclosure and the applicable requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5. [Describe any other or additional limitation as indicated by Paragraph 4 of the Statement.]

Very truly yours,

[The next page is 591.]
Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments: Auditing Interpretations of Section 337

1. Specifying Relevant Date in an Audit Inquiry Letter

.01 Question—Should the auditor request the client to specify, in his audit inquiry letter to a lawyer prepared in accordance with section 337, Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, the date by which the lawyer’s response should be sent to the auditor. Also, should the letter request the lawyer to specify in his response the latest date covered by his review (the “effective date”)?

.02 Interpretation—Yes. It should be recognized that, to adequately respond to an audit inquiry letter, lawyers will ordinarily employ some internal review procedures which will be facilitated by specifying the earliest acceptable effective date of the response and the latest date by which it should be sent to the auditor. Ordinarily, a two-week period should be allowed between the specified effective date of the lawyer’s response and the latest date by which the response should be sent to the auditor. Clearly stating the relevant dates in the letter and specifying these dates to the lawyer in a timely manner will allow the responding lawyer an adequate amount of time to complete his review procedures and assist the auditor in coordinating the timing of the completion of his field work with the latest date covered by the lawyer’s review.

.03 Further, the lawyer should be requested to specify the effective date of his response. If the lawyer’s response does not specify an effective date, the auditor can assume that the date of the lawyer’s response is the effective date.

[Issue Date: March, 1977.]

2. Relationship Between Date of Lawyer’s Response and Auditor’s Report

.04 Question—The illustrative form of audit inquiry letter included in the Appendix [section 337A] to section 337, Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, requests a response as to matters that existed at the balance sheet date and during the period from that date to the date of the response. What is the relationship between the effective date of the lawyer’s response and the date of the auditor’s report, which is generally the date of the completion of field work?

.05 Interpretation—Section 560.10 through .12 indicates that the auditor is concerned with events, which may require adjustment to, or disclosure in, the financial statements, occurring through the date of his report. Therefore, the latest date of the period covered by the lawyer’s response (the “effective date”) should be as close to the completion of field work as is practicable in the circumstances. Consequently, specifying the effective date of the lawyer’s re-
3. Form of Audit Inquiry Letter When Client Represents That No Unasserted Claims and Assessments Exist

.06 Question—The illustrative audit inquiry letter included in the Appendix (section 337A) to section 337, Inquiry of a Client's Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, assumes that the client specifies certain unasserted claims and assessments. However, in some cases, clients have stated that there are no such claims or assessments (to be specified to the lawyer for comment) that are probable of assertion and that, if asserted, would have a reasonable possibility of an unfavorable outcome. What appropriate revision to the wording of the letter can be used in such situations?

.07 Interpretation—Wording that could be used in an audit inquiry letter, instead of the heading and first paragraph in the section relating to unasserted claims and assessments included in the Appendix (section 337A) to section 337, when the client believes that there are no unasserted claims or assessments (to be specified to the lawyer for comment) that are probable of assertion and that, if asserted, would have a reasonable possibility of an unfavorable outcome as specified by FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies (AC section C59), is as follows:

Unasserted claims and assessments—We have represented to our auditors that there are no unasserted possible claims that you have advised us are probable of assertion and must be disclosed, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5. (The second paragraph in the section relating to unasserted claims and assessments would not be altered.)

4. Documents Subject to Lawyer-Client Privilege

.08 Question—Section 337, Inquiry of a Client's Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, paragraph .05c, states: “Examine documents in the client’s possession concerning litigation, claims, and assessments, including correspondence and invoices from lawyers.” Would this include a review of documents at the client’s location considered by the lawyer and the client to be subject to the lawyer-client privilege?

.09 Interpretation—No. Although ordinarily an auditor would consider the inability to review information that could have a significant bearing on his audit as a scope restriction, in recognition of the public interest in protecting the confidentiality of lawyer-client communications (see section 337.13), section 337.05c is not intended to require an auditor to examine documents that the client identifies as subject to the lawyer-client privilege. In the event of questions concerning the applicability of this privilege, the auditor may request confirmation from the client’s counsel that the information is subject to that privilege and that the information was considered by the lawyer in respond-
Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer

5. Alternative Wording of the Illustrative Audit Inquiry Letter to a Client’s Lawyer

.10 Question—The Appendix [section 337A] of section 337, Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, provides an illustrative audit inquiry letter to legal counsel. That inquiry letter is based on the assumptions that (1) management of the company has prepared and furnished to the auditor and has set forth in the audit inquiry letter a description and evaluation of pending or threatened litigation, claims, and assessments and (2) management has identified and specified for comment in the audit inquiry letter unasserted claims or assessments that are probable of assertion and that, if asserted, would have at least a reasonable possibility of an unfavorable outcome. In many engagements, circumstances may render certain portions of the illustrative letter inappropriate. For instance, many clients ask their lawyers to prepare the list that describes and evaluates pending or threatened litigation, claims, and assessments rather than have management furnish such information. How can the wording of the inquiry letter be modified to recognize circumstances that differ from those assumed in the illustrative letter and to be more specific regarding the timing of the lawyer’s response?

.11 Interpretation—Section 337.09, outlines the matters that should be covered in a letter of audit inquiry. Although section 337 provides an illustrative audit inquiry letter to legal counsel, it should be modified, if necessary, to fit the circumstances. The modified illustrative audit inquiry letter that follows is based on a typical situation: management requests the lawyer to prepare the list that describes and evaluates pending or threatened litigation, claims, and assessments, and also represents that there are no unasserted claims or assessments that are probable of assertion and that, if asserted, would have a reasonable possibility of an unfavorable outcome as specified by FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies [AC section C59]. It also includes a separate response section with language that clarifies the auditor’s expectations regarding the timing of the lawyer’s response.

“In connection with an audit of our financial statements as of (balance-sheet date) and for the (period) then ended, please furnish our auditors, (name and address of auditors), with the information requested below concerning certain contingencies involving matters with respect to which you have devoted substantive attention on behalf of the Company in the form of legal consultation or representation.” [When a materiality limit has been established based on an understanding between management and the auditor, the following sentence should be added: This request is limited to contingencies amounting to (amount) individually or items involving lesser amounts that exceed (amount) in the aggregate.]

.12 Pending or Threatened Litigation, Claims, and Assessments

“Regarding pending or threatened litigation, claims, and assessments, please include in your response: (1) the nature of each matter, (2) the progress of each matter to date, (3) how the Company is responding or intends to respond...
(for example, to contest the case vigorously or seek an out-of-court settlement), and (4) an evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and an estimate, if one can be made, of the amount or range of potential loss.”

.13 Unasserted Claims and Assessments

“We have represented to our auditors that there are no unasserted possible claims or assessments that you have advised us are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59].1 We understand that whenever, in the course of performing legal services for us with respect to a matter recognized to involve an unasserted possible claim or assessment that may call for financial statement disclosure, you have formed a professional conclusion that we should disclose or consider disclosure concerning such possible claim or assessment, as a matter of professional responsibility to us, you will so advise us and will consult with us concerning the question of such disclosure and the applicable requirements of FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59]. Please specifically confirm to our auditors that our understanding is correct.”

.14 Response

“Your response should include matters that existed as of (balance-sheet date) and during the period from that date to the effective date of your response.”

“Please specifically identify the nature of and reasons for any limitations on your response.”

“Our auditors expect to have the audit completed about (expected completion date). They would appreciate receiving your reply by that date with a specified effective date no earlier than (ordinarily two weeks before expected completion date).”2

[Issue Date: June, 1983.]

6. Client Has Not Consulted a Lawyer

.15 Question—Section 337.06 requires an auditor to request that the client’s management send a letter of inquiry to those lawyers with whom management has consulted concerning litigation, claims, or assessments. In some instances, management may not have consulted a lawyer. In such circumstances, what should the auditor do to obtain sufficient, competent evidential matter regarding litigation, claims, and assessments?

.16 Interpretation—Section 337 is expressly limited to inquiry of lawyers with whom management has consulted. If the client has not consulted a lawyer, the auditor normally would rely on the review of internally available information as outlined in section 337.05 and .07, and the written repre-

---

1 A parenthetical statement such as “(excerpts of which can be found in the ABA’s Auditor’s Letter Handbook)” might be added here if the auditor believes that it would be helpful to the lawyer’s understanding of the requirements of FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59]. The Auditor’s Letter Handbook contains, among other things, a copy of section 337, the ABA’s Statement of Policy Regarding Lawyers’ Responses to Auditors’ Requests for Information [section 337C], and excerpts from FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59].

2 Two auditing interpretations (see sections 9337.01–.05) address relevant dates in an audit inquiry letter and the relationship between the date of the lawyer’s response and the audit report date.
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sentation of management regarding litigation, claims, and assessments as required by section 333A, Management Representations, paragraph .06 and p. In those circumstances, the representation regarding litigation, claims, and assessments might be worded as follows:

“We are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation, claims, or assessments or unasserted claims or assessments that are required to be accrued or disclosed in the financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59], and we have not consulted a lawyer concerning litigation, claims, or assessments.”

.17 If information comes to the auditor’s attention that may indicate potentially material litigation, claims, and assessments, the auditor should discuss with the client its possible need to consult legal counsel so that the client may evaluate its responsibility under FASB Statement No. 5 [AC section C59] to accrue or disclose loss contingencies. Depending on the severity of the matter, refusal by the client to consult legal counsel in those circumstances may result in a scope limitation, and the auditor should consider the effect of such a limitation on his audit report.

[Issue Date: June, 1983; Revised: January, 2004.]

7. Assessment of a Lawyer’s Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation

.18 Question—Section 337, Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, paragraph .09d(2), states that a letter of audit inquiry should include a request for the lawyer's evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome of pending or threatened litigation, claims, and assessments to which he has devoted substantive attention. However, written responses from lawyers vary considerably and may contain evaluation wording that is vague or ambiguous and, thus, of limited use to the auditor. What constitutes a clear response and what should the auditor do if he considers the response unclear?

.19 Interpretation—The American Bar Association’s Statement of Policy Regarding Lawyers’ Responses to Auditors’ Requests for Information (ABA Statement) is reprinted as Exhibit II [section 337C] to section 337. While Paragraph 5 of the ABA Statement [section 337C] states that the lawyer “may in appropriate circumstances communicate to the auditor his view that an unfavorable outcome is ‘probable’ or ‘remote,’” he is not required to use those terms in communicating his evaluation to the auditor. The auditor may find other wording sufficiently clear as long as the terms can be used to classify the outcome of the uncertainty under one of the three probability classifications established in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies [AC section C59].

.20 Some examples of evaluations concerning litigation that may be considered to provide sufficient clarity that the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is “remote” even though they do not use that term are:

☐ “We are of the opinion that this action will not result in any liability to the company.”

This sentence reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this sentence is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

FASB Statement No. 5 (AC section C59) uses the terms “probable,” “reasonably possible,” and “remote” to describe different degrees of likelihood that future events will confirm a loss or an impairment of an asset or incurrence of a liability, and the accounting standards for accrual and disclosure are based on those terms.
It is our opinion that the possible liability to the company in this proceeding is nominal in amount.

“We believe the company will be able to defend this action successfully.”

“We believe that the plaintiff’s case against the company is without merit.”

“Based on the facts known to us, after a full investigation, it is our opinion that no liability will be established against the company in these suits.”

Absent any contradictory information obtained by the auditor either in other parts of the lawyer’s letter or otherwise, the auditor need not obtain further clarification of evaluations such as the foregoing.

Because of inherent uncertainties described in section 337.14 and in the ABA Policy Statement [section 337C], an evaluation furnished by the lawyer may indicate significant uncertainties or stipulations as to whether the client will prevail. The following are examples of lawyers’ evaluations that are unclear as to the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome:

“This action involves unique characteristics wherein authoritative legal precedents do not seem to exist. We believe that the plaintiff will have serious problems establishing the company’s liability under the act; nevertheless, if the plaintiff is successful, the award may be substantial.”

“It is our opinion that the company will be able to assert meritorious defenses to this action.” (The term “meritorious defenses” indicates that the company’s defenses will not be summarily dismissed by the court; it does not necessarily indicate counsel’s opinion that the company will prevail.)

“We believe the action can be settled for less than the damages claimed.”

“We are unable to express an opinion as to the merits of the litigation at this time. The company believes there is absolutely no merit to the litigation.” (If client’s counsel, with the benefit of all relevant information, is unable to conclude that the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is “remote,” it is unlikely that management would be able to form a judgment to that effect.)

“In our opinion, the company has a substantial chance of prevailing in this action.” (A “substantial chance,” a “reasonable opportunity,” and similar terms indicate more uncertainty than an opinion that the company will prevail.)

If the auditor is uncertain as to the meaning of the lawyer’s evaluation, he should request clarification either in a follow-up letter or a conference with the lawyer and client, appropriately documented. If the lawyer is still unable to give an unequivocal evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome in writing or orally, the auditor should look to the guidance in section 508.45 through .49 to determine the effect, if any, of the lawyer’s response on the auditor’s report.
8. Use of the Client’s Inside Counsel in the Evaluation of Litigation, Claims, and Assessments

24 Question—Section 337.06 requires an auditor to request that the client’s management send a letter of inquiry to those lawyers with whom management has consulted concerning litigation, claims, and assessments. Sometimes, the client’s inside general counsel or legal department (hereinafter referred to as “inside counsel”) is handling litigation, claims, and assessments either exclusive of or in conjunction with outside lawyers. In such circumstances, when does inside counsel’s response constitute sufficient, competent evidential matter regarding litigation, claims, and assessments?

25 Interpretation—Section 337.08 states that “Evidential matter obtained from the client’s inside general counsel or legal department may provide the auditor with the necessary corroboration.” Inside counsel can range from one lawyer to a large staff, with responsibilities ranging from specific internal matters to a comprehensive coverage of all of the client’s legal needs, including litigation with outside parties. Because both inside counsel and outside lawyers are bound by the ABA’s Code of Professional Responsibilities, there is no difference in their professional obligations and responsibilities. In some circumstances, outside lawyers, if used at all, may be used only for limited purposes, such as data accumulation or account collection activity. In such circumstances, inside counsel has the primary responsibility for corporate legal matters and is in the best position to know and precisely describe the status of all litigation, claims, and assessments or to corroborate information furnished by management.

26 Audit inquiry letters should be sent to those lawyers, which may be either inside counsel or outside lawyers, who have the primary responsibility for, and knowledge about, particular litigation, claims, and assessments. If inside counsel in handling litigation, claims, and assessments exclusively, their evaluation and response ordinarily would be considered adequate. Similarly, if both inside counsel and outside lawyers have been involved in the matters, but inside counsel has assumed the primary responsibility for the matters, inside counsel’s evaluation may well be considered adequate. However, there may be circumstances when litigation, claims, or assessments involving substantial overall participation by outside lawyers are of such significance to the financial statements that the auditor should consider obtaining the outside lawyers’ response that they have not formulated a substantive conclusion that differs in any material respect from inside counsel’s evaluation, even though inside counsel may have primary responsibility.

27 If both inside counsel and outside lawyers have devoted substantive attention to a legal matter, but their evaluations of the possible outcome differ, the auditor should discuss the differences with the parties involved. Failure to reach agreement between the lawyers may require the auditor to consider appropriate modification of his audit report.

[Issue Date: June, 1983.]

9. Use of Explanatory Language About the Attorney-Client Privilege or the Attorney Work-Product Privilege

28 Question—In some cases, in order to emphasize the preservation of the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product privilege, some cli-
ents have included the following or substantially similar language in the audit inquiry letter to legal counsel:

We do not intend that either our request to you to provide information to our auditor or your response to our auditor should be construed in any way to constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product privilege.

For the same reason, some lawyers have included the following or substantially similar language in their response letters to auditors:

The Company [OR OTHER DEFINED TERM] has advised us that, by making the request set forth in its letter to us, the Company [OR OTHER DEFINED TERM] does not intend to waive the attorney-client privilege with respect to any information which the Company [OR OTHER DEFINED TERM] has furnished to us. Moreover, please be advised that our response to you should not be construed in any way to constitute a waiver of the protection of the attorney work-product privilege with respect to any of our files involving the Company [OR OTHER DEFINED TERM].

Does the explanatory language about the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product privilege result in a limitation on the scope of the audit?

.29 Answer—No. According to the Report by the American Bar Association’s Subcommittee on Audit Inquiry Responses, explanatory language similar to the foregoing in the letters of the client or the lawyer is not a limitation on the scope of the lawyer’s response. The report states that such language simply makes explicit what has always been implicit, namely, the language states clearly that neither the client nor the lawyer intended a waiver. The report further states that non-inclusion of either or both of the foregoing statements by the client or the lawyer in their respective letters at any time in the past or the future would not constitute an expression of intent to waive the privileges. The Report by the American Bar Association’s Subcommittee on Audit Inquiry Responses is reprinted in paragraph .30.

.30 Report of the Subcommittee on Audit Inquiry Responses* Because of a recent court case and other judicial decisions involving lawyers’ responses to auditors’ requests for information, an area of uncertainty or concern has been brought to the Subcommittee’s attention and is the subject of the following comment:

This Committee’s report does not modify the ABA Statement of Policy, nor does it constitute an interpretation thereof. The Preamble to the ABA Statement of Policy states as follows:

Both the Code of Professional Responsibility and the cases applying the evidentiary privilege recognize that the privilege against disclosure can be knowingly and voluntarily waived by the client. It is equally clear that disclosure to a third party may result in loss of the “confidentiality” essential to maintain the privilege. Disclosure to a third party of the lawyer-client communication on a particular subject may also destroy the privilege as to other communications on that subject. Thus, the mere disclosure by the lawyer to the outside auditor, with due client consent, of the substance of communications between the lawyer and client may significantly impair the client’s ability in other contexts to maintain the confidentiality of such communications.

Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer

Under the circumstances a policy of audit procedure which requires clients to give consent and authorize lawyers to respond to general inquiries and disclose information to auditors concerning matters which have been communicated in confidence is essentially destructive of free and open communication and early consultation between lawyer and client. The institution of such a policy would inevitably discourage management from discussing potential legal problems with counsel for fear that such discussion might become public and precipitate a loss to or possible liability of the business enterprise and its stockholders that might otherwise never materialize.

It is also recognized that our legal, political, and economic systems depend to an important extent on public confidence in published financial statements. To meet this need the accounting profession must adopt and adhere to standards and procedures that will command confidence in the auditing process. It is not, however, believed necessary, or sound public policy, to intrude upon the confidentiality of the lawyer-client relationship in order to command such confidence. On the contrary, the objective of fair disclosure in financial statements is more likely to be better served by maintaining the integrity of the confidential relationship between lawyer and client, thereby strengthening corporate management’s confidence in counsel and to act in accordance with counsel’s advice.

Paragraph (1) of the ABA Statement of Policy provides as follows:

(1) Client Consent to Response. The lawyer may properly respond to the auditor’s requests for information concerning loss contingencies (the term and concept established by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board in March 1975 and discussed in Paragraph 5.1 of the accompanying commentary), to the extent hereinafter set forth, subject to the following:

(a) Assuming that the client’s initial letter requesting the lawyer to provide information to the auditor is signed by an agent of the client having apparent authority to make such a request, the lawyer may provide to the auditor information requested, without further consent, unless such information discloses a confidence or a secret or requires an evaluation of a claim.

(b) In the normal case, the initial request letter does not provide the necessary consent to the disclosure of a confidence or secret or to the evaluation of a claim since that consent may only be given after full disclosure to the client of the legal consequences of such action.

(c) Lawyers should bear in mind, in evaluating claims, that an adverse party may assert that any evaluation of potential liability is an admission.

(d) In securing the client’s consent to the disclosure of confidences or secrets, or the evaluation of claims, the lawyer may wish to have a draft of his letter reviewed and approved by the client before releasing it to the auditor; in such cases, additional explanation would in all probability be necessary so that the legal consequences of the consent are fully disclosed to the client.

In order to preserve explicitly the evidentiary privileges, some lawyers have suggested that clients include language in the following or substantially similar form:

We do not intend that either our request to you to provide information to our auditor or your response to our auditor should be construed in any way to constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product privilege.
600  The Standards of Field Work

If client's request letter does not contain language similar to that in the preceding paragraph, the lawyer’s statement that the client has so advised him or her may be based upon the fact that the client has in fact so advised the lawyer, in writing or orally, in other communications or in discussions.

For the same reason, the response letter from some lawyers also includes language in the following or substantially similar form:

The Company [OR OTHER DEFINED TERM] has advised us that, by making the request set forth in its letter to us, the Company [OR OTHER DEFINED TERM] does not intend to waive the attorney-client privilege with respect to any information which the Company [OR OTHER DEFINED TERM] has furnished to us. Moreover, please be advised that our response to you should not be construed in any way to constitute a waiver of the protection of the attorney work-product privilege with respect to any of our files involving the Company [OR OTHER DEFINED TERM].

We believe that language similar to the foregoing in letters of the client or the lawyer simply makes explicit what has always been implicit, namely, it expressly states clearly that neither the client nor the lawyer intended a waiver. It follows that non-inclusion of either or both of the foregoing statements by the client or the lawyer in their respective letters at any time in the past or the future would not constitute an expression of intent to waive the privileges.

On the other hand, the inclusion of such language does not necessarily assure the client that, depending on the facts and circumstances, a waiver may not be found by a court of law to have occurred.

We do not believe that the foregoing types of inclusions cause a negative impact upon the public policy considerations described in the Preamble to the ABA Statement of Policy nor do they intrude upon the arrangements between the legal profession and the accounting profession contemplated by the ABA Statement of Policy. Moreover, we do not believe that such language interferes in any way with the standards and procedures of the accounting profession in the auditing process nor should it be construed as a limitation upon the lawyer’s reply to the auditors. We have been informed that the Auditing Standards Board of the AICPA has adopted an interpretation of SAS 12 recognizing the propriety of these statements.

Lawyers, in any case, should be encouraged to have their draft letters to auditors reviewed and approved by the client before releasing them to the auditors and may wish to explain to the client the legal consequences of the client’s consent to lawyer’s response as contemplated by sub-paragraph 1(d) of the Statement of Policy.

December 1989

[Issue Date: February, 1990.]

10. Use of Explanatory Language Concerning Unasserted Possible Claims or Assessments in Lawyers’ Responses to Audit Inquiry Letters

.31 Question—In order to emphasize the preservation of the attorney-client privilege with respect to unasserted possible claims or assessments, some lawyers include the following or substantially similar language in their responses to audit inquiry letters:

“Please be advised that pursuant to clauses (b) and (c) of Paragraph 5 of the ABA Statement of Policy [American Bar Association's Statement of Policy Regarding Lawyers’ Responses to Auditors’ Requests for Information] and related Commentary referred to in the last paragraph of this letter, it would be
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inappropriate for this firm to respond to a general inquiry relating to the existence of unasserted possible claims or assessments involving the Company. We can only furnish information concerning those unasserted possible claims or assessments upon which the Company has specifically requested in writing that we comment. We also cannot comment upon the adequacy of the Company’s listing, if any, of unasserted possible claims or assessments or its assertions concerning the advice, if any, about the need to disclose same.”

Does the inclusion of this or similar language result in a limitation on the scope of the audit?

.32 Interpretation—No. Additional language similar to the foregoing in a letter of a lawyer is not a limitation on the scope of the audit. However, the ABA Statement of Policy [section 337C] and the understanding between the legal and accounting professions assumes that the lawyer, under certain circumstances, will advise and consult with the client concerning the client’s obligation to make financial statement disclosure with respect to unasserted possible claims or assessments. Confirmation of this understanding should be included in the lawyer’s response.

[Issue Date: January, 1997.]

[The next page is 605.]

---

5 See Paragraph 6 of the ABA Statement of Policy [section 337C] and its Commentary [section 337C]. In addition, Annex A to the ABA Statement of Policy [section 337C] contains the following illustrative language in the lawyers’ response letter to the auditors:

“Consistent with the last sentence of Paragraph 6 of the ABA Statement of Policy and pursuant to the Company’s request, this will confirm as correct the Company’s understanding as set forth in its audit inquiry letter to us that whenever, in the course of performing legal services for the Company with respect to a matter recognized to involve an unasserted possible claim or assessment that may call for financial statement disclosure, we have formed a professional conclusion that the Company must disclose or consider disclosure concerning such possible claim or assessment, we, as a matter of professional responsibility to the Company, will so advise the Company and will consult with the Company concerning the question of such disclosure and the applicable requirements of FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies [AC section C59].”
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Audit Documentation

(Supersedes SAS Nos. 1, section 338, and 41)

Source: SAS No. 96.

See section 9339 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after May 15, 2002. Earlier application is permitted.

Introduction

.01 The auditor should prepare and maintain audit documentation, the form and content of which should be designed to meet the circumstances of the particular audit engagement. Audit documentation is the principal record of auditing procedures applied, evidence obtained, and conclusions reached by the auditor in the engagement. The quantity, type, and content of audit documentation are matters of the auditor's professional judgment.

.02 Other Statements on Auditing Standards contain specific documentation requirements (see Appendix A [paragraph .15]). Additionally, specific documentation requirements may be included in other standards (for example, government auditing standards), laws, and regulations applicable to the engagement.

.03 Audit documentation serves mainly to:

a. Provide the principal support for the auditor's report, including the representation regarding observance of the standards of fieldwork, which is implicit in the reference in the report to generally accepted auditing standards.2

b. Aid the auditor in the conduct and supervision of the audit.

Content of Audit Documentation

.04 Audit documentation should be sufficient to show that standards of fieldwork have been observed as follows:

a. The work has been adequately planned and supervised.

b. A sufficient understanding of internal control has been obtained to plan the audit and to determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed.

c. Sufficient competent evidential matter has been obtained through the auditing procedures applied to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion.

1 Audit Documentation also may be referred to as working papers.
2 However, there is no intention to imply that the auditor would be precluded from supporting his or her report by other means in addition to audit documentation.
Examples of audit documentation are audit programs, analyses, memoranda, letters of confirmation and representation, abstracts or copies of entity documents, and schedules or commentaries prepared or obtained by the auditor. Audit documentation may be in paper form, electronic form, or other media.

Audit documentation should be sufficient to (a) enable members of the engagement team with supervision and review responsibilities to understand the nature, timing, extent, and results of auditing procedures performed, and the evidence obtained; (b) indicate the engagement team member(s) who performed and reviewed the work; and (c) show that the accounting records agree or reconcile with the financial statements or other information being reported on.

In determining the nature and extent of the documentation for a particular audit area or auditing procedure, the auditor should consider the following factors:

- Risk of material misstatement associated with the assertion, or account or class of transactions
- Extent of judgment involved in performing the work and evaluating the results
- Nature of the auditing procedure
- Significance of the evidence obtained to the assertion being tested
- Nature and extent of exceptions identified
- The need to document a conclusion or the basis for a conclusion not readily determinable from the documentation of the work performed

Audit documentation should include abstracts or copies of significant contracts or agreements that were examined to evaluate the accounting for significant transactions. Additionally, audit documentation of tests of operating effectiveness of controls and substantive tests of details that involve inspection of documents or confirmation should include an identification of the items tested.
In addition, the auditor should document audit findings or issues that in his or her judgment are significant, actions taken to address them (including any additional evidence obtained), and the basis for the final conclusions reached. Significant audit findings or issues include the following:

- Matters that both (a) are significant and (b) involve issues regarding the appropriate selection, application, and consistency of accounting principles with regard to the financial statements, including related disclosures. Such matters often relate to (a) accounting for complex or unusual transactions or (b) estimates and uncertainties and, if applicable, the related management assumptions.
- Results of auditing procedures that indicate that (a) the financial statements or disclosures could be materially misstated or (b) auditing procedures need to be significantly modified.
- Circumstances that cause significant difficulty in applying auditing procedures the auditor considered necessary.6
- Other findings that could result in modification of the auditor’s report.

Ownership and Confidentiality of Audit Documentation

Audit documentation is the property of the auditor, and some states recognize this right of ownership in their statutes. The auditor should adopt reasonable procedures to retain audit documentation for a period of time sufficient to meet the needs of his or her practice and to satisfy any applicable legal or regulatory requirements for records retention.7

The auditor has an ethical, and in some situations a legal, obligation to maintain the confidentiality of client information.8 Because audit documentation often contains confidential client information, the auditor should adopt reasonable procedures to maintain the confidentiality of that information.

The auditor also should adopt reasonable procedures to prevent unauthorized access to the audit documentation.

Certain audit documentation may sometimes serve as a useful reference source for the client, but it should not be regarded as a part of, or a substitute for, the client’s accounting records.

Effective Date

This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after May 15, 2002. Earlier application is permitted.

---

6 See section 380, Communication With Audit Committees, paragraph .16, for guidance regarding communication with the audit committee of any serious difficulties encountered in dealing with management related to the performance of the audit.

7 The procedures should enable the auditor to access electronic audit documentation throughout the retention period.

8 Also, see Rule 301, Confidential Client Information [ET section 301.01], of the AICPA's Code of Professional Conduct.
Appendix A

Audit Documentation Requirements in Other Statements on Auditing Standards

1. Documentation requirements are included in other Statements on Auditing Standards. This section does not change the requirement in:
   a. Section 310, Establishing an Understanding With the Client, paragraph .05, to document the understanding with the client.
   b. Section 337, Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments, paragraph .05d, to document in either the audit inquiry letter or a separate letter to the client’s lawyer, that the client has assured the auditor that it has disclosed all unasserted claims that the lawyer has advised the client are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. Also, this section does not change the requirement in section 337.10 to document the conclusions reached as a result of responses obtained in a conference relating to matters covered by the audit inquiry letter.
   c. Section 311, Planning and Supervision, paragraph .05, to prepare a written audit program (or set of written audit programs) for every audit.
   d. Section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, paragraph .40, to document the nature and effect of aggregated misstatements and the auditor's conclusion as to whether the aggregated misstatements cause the financial statements to be materially misstated.
   e. Section 534, Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared for Use in Other Countries, paragraph .02, to obtain written representations from management regarding the purpose and uses of financial statements prepared in conformity with the accounting principles of another country.
   f. Section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients, paragraph .17, to document oral communications to the audit committee or others with equivalent authority and responsibility regarding illegal acts that come to the auditor's attention.
   g. Section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, paragraph .61, to document the understanding of the entity’s internal control components obtained to plan the audit. Also, this section does not change the requirement in section 319.83 for the auditor to document his or her conclusions about the assessed level of control risk.
   h. Section 329, Analytical Procedures, paragraph .22, to document (1) the expectation, where that expectation is not otherwise readily determinable from the documentation of the work performed, and factors considered in its development; (2) the results of the comparison
of the expectation to the recorded amounts or ratios developed from recorded amounts; and (3) any additional auditing procedures performed in response to significant unexpected differences arising from the analytical procedure and the results of such additional procedures.

i. Section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .71, for the predecessor auditor to obtain representation letters from management of the former client and from the successor auditor before reissuing (or consenting to the reissue of) a report previously issued on the financial statements of a prior period.

j. Section 341, The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, paragraph .17, to document (1) the conditions or events that led him or her to believe that there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern; (2) the work performed in connection with the auditor’s evaluation of management’s plans; (3) the auditor’s conclusion as to whether substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time remains or is alleviated; and (4) the consideration and effect of that conclusion on the financial statements, disclosures, and the audit report.

k. Section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit, paragraph .09, to document oral communications with the audit committee or others with equivalent authority and responsibility of conditions noted by the auditor that are considered reportable or that are the result of agreement with the client.

l. Section 380, Communication With Audit Committees (AICPA, Professional Standards, paragraph .03, to document any oral communications with the audit committee, made pursuant to the SAS, regarding the scope and results of the audit.

m. Section 330, The Confirmation Process (AICPA, Professional Standards, paragraph .29, to document oral confirmations. Also, when the auditor has not requested confirmations in the examination of accounts receivable, this section does not change the requirement in section 330.35 to document how the auditor overcame this presumption.

n. Section 801, Compliance Auditing Considerations in Audits of Governmental Entities and Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance, paragraph .25, to document the oral communications to management and the audit committee or others with equivalent authority and responsibility when the auditor becomes aware during an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards that the entity is subject to an audit requirement that may not be encompassed in the terms of the engagement.

o. * Section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, paragraph .83, to document the following:

- The discussion among engagement personnel in planning the audit regarding the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatement due to fraud, including how and when the discussion occurred, the audit team members who participated, and the subject matter discussed.

---

* Section 339.15o reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, Section 339.15o is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
• The procedures performed to obtain information necessary to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

• Specific risk of material misstatement due to fraud that were identified and a description of the auditor’s response to those risks.

• If the auditor has not identified in a particular circumstance, improper revenue recognition as a risk of material misstatement due to fraud, the reasons supporting the auditor’s conclusion.

• The results of the procedures performed to further address the risk of management override of controls.

• Other conditions and analytical relationships that caused the auditor to believe that additional auditing procedures or other responses were required and any further responses the auditor concluded were appropriate, to address such risks or other conditions.

• The nature of communications about fraud made to management, the audit committee and others.

p. Section 333, Management Representations, to obtain written representations from management.

[Revised, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]
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Auditing Interpretations of Section 339

1. Providing Access to or Copies of Audit Documentation to a Regulator

.01 Question—Section 339, Audit Documentation, paragraph .11, states that “the auditor has an ethical, and in some situations a legal, obligation to maintain the confidentiality of client information...Because audit documentation often contains confidential client information, the auditor should adopt reasonable procedures to maintain the confidentiality of that information.” However, auditors are sometimes required by law, regulation or audit contract, to provide a regulator, or a duly appointed representative, access to audit documentation. For example, a regulator may request access to the audit documentation to fulfill a quality review requirement or to assist in establishing the scope of a regulatory examination. Furthermore, as part of the regulator’s review of the audit documentation, the regulator may request copies of all or selected portions of the audit documentation during or after the review. The regulator may intend, or decide, to make copies (or information derived from the audit documentation) available to others, including other governmental agencies, for their particular purposes, with or without the knowledge of the auditor or the client. When a regulator requests the auditor to provide access to (and possibly copies of) audit documentation pursuant to law, regulation or audit contract, what steps should the auditor take?

.02 Interpretation—When a regulator requests access to audit documentation pursuant to law, regulation or audit contract, the auditor should take the following steps:

a. Consider advising the client that the regulator has requested access to (and possibly copies of) the audit documentation and that the auditor intends to comply with such request.4

---

1 The term “regulator(s)” includes federal, state and local government officials with legal oversight authority over the entity. Examples of regulators who may request access to audit documentation include, but are not limited to, state insurance and utility regulators, various health care authorities, and federal agencies such as the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of Thrift Supervision, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Department of Labor, and the Rural Electrification Administration.

2 The guidance in this Interpretation does not apply to requests from the Internal Revenue Service, firm practice-monitoring programs to comply with AICPA or state professional requirements such as peer or quality reviews, proceedings relating to alleged ethics violations, or subpoenas.

3 For situations in which the auditor is not required by law, regulation or audit contract to provide a regulator access to the audit documentation, reference should be made to the guidance in paragraphs .11–.15 of this Interpretation.

4 The auditor may wish (and in some cases may be required by law, regulation, or audit contract) to confirm in writing with the client that the auditor may be required to provide a regulator access to the audit documentation. Sample language that may be used follows: “The audit documentation for this engagement is the property of (name of auditor) and constitutes confidential information. However, we may be requested to make certain audit documentation available to (name of regulator) pursuant to authority given to it by law or regulation. If requested, access to such audit documentation will be provided under the supervision of (name of auditor) personnel. Furthermore, upon request, we may provide copies of selected audit documentation to (name of regulator). The (name of regulator) may intend, or decide, to distribute the copies or information contained therein to others, including other governmental agencies.”
b. Make appropriate arrangements with the regulator for the review.

c. Maintain control over the audit documentation, and

d. Consider submitting the letter described in paragraph .05 of this Interpretation to the regulator.

.03 The auditor should make appropriate arrangements with the regulator. These arrangements ordinarily would include the specific details such as the date, time and location of the review. The audit documentation may be made available to a regulator at the offices of the client, the auditor, or a mutually agreed-upon location, so long as the auditor maintains control. Furthermore, the auditor should take appropriate steps to maintain control of the audit documentation. For example, the auditor (or his or her representative) should consider being present when the audit documentation is reviewed by the regulator. Maintaining control of audit documentation is necessary to ensure the continued integrity of the audit documentation and to ensure confidentiality of client information.

.04 Ordinarily, the auditor should not agree to transfer ownership of the audit documentation to a regulator. Furthermore, the auditor should not agree, without client authorization, that the information contained therein about the client may be communicated to or made available to any other party. In this regard, the action of an auditor providing access to, or copies of, the audit documentation shall not constitute transfer of ownership or authorization to make them available to any other party.

.05 An audit performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards is not intended to, and does not, satisfy a regulator’s oversight responsibilities. To avoid any misunderstanding, prior to allowing a regulator access to the audit documentation, the auditor should consider submitting a letter to the regulator that:

a. Sets forth the auditor’s understanding of the purpose for which access is being requested

b. Describes the audit process and the limitations inherent in a financial statement audit

c. Explains the purpose for which the audit documentation was prepared, and that any individual conclusions must be read in the context of the auditor’s report on the financial statements

d. States, except when not applicable, that the audit was not planned or conducted in contemplation of the purpose for which access is being granted or to assess the entity’s compliance with laws and regulations

e. States that the audit and the audit documentation should not supplant other inquiries and procedures that should be undertaken by the regulator for its purposes

f. Requests confidential treatment under the Freedom of Information Act or similar laws and regulations, when a request for the audit documentation is made, and that written notice be given to the auditor before transmitting any information contained in the audit documentation to others, including other governmental agencies, except when such transfer is required by law or regulation, and

---

5 The auditor may need to consult the regulations of individual agencies and, if necessary, consult with legal counsel regarding the specific procedures and requirements necessary to gain confidential treatment.
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g. States that if any copies are to be provided, they will be identified as “Confidential Treatment Requested by (name of auditor, address, telephone number).”

The auditor may wish to obtain a signed acknowledgment copy of the letter as evidence of the regulator’s receipt of the letter.

.06 An example of a letter containing the elements described in paragraph .05 of this Interpretation is presented below:

Illustrative Letter to Regulator

(Date)

(Name and Address of Regulatory Agency)

Your representatives have requested access to our audit documentation in connection with our audit of the December 31, 20XX financial statements of (name of client). It is our understanding that the purpose of your request is (state purpose: for example, “to facilitate your regulatory examination”).

Our audit of (name of client) December 31, 20XX financial statements was conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the objective of which is to form an opinion as to whether the financial statements, which are the responsibility and representations of management, present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Under generally accepted auditing standards, we have the responsibility, within the inherent limitations of the auditing process, to design our audit to provide reasonable assurance that errors and fraud that have a material effect on the financial statements will be detected, and to exercise due care in the conduct of our audit. The concept of selective testing of the data being audited, which involves judgment both as to the number of transactions to be audited and as to the areas to be tested, has been generally accepted as a valid and sufficient basis for an auditor to express an opinion on financial statements. Thus, our audit, based on the concept of selective testing, is subject to the inherent risk that material errors or fraud, if they exist, would not be detected. In addition, an audit does not address the possibility that material errors or fraud may occur in the future. Also, our use of professional judgment and the assessment of materiality for the purpose of our audit means that matters may have existed that would have been assessed differently by you.

6 The auditor should appropriately modify this letter when the audit has been performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and also in accordance with additional auditing requirements specified by a regulatory agency (for example, the requirements specified in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States).

7 If the auditor is not required by law, regulation, or audit contract to provide a regulator access to the audit documentation but otherwise intends to provide such access (see paragraphs .11–.15 of this Interpretation), the letter should include a statement that: “Management of (name of client) has authorized us to provide you access to our audit documentation for (state purpose).”

8 Refer to footnote 6.

9 In an audit performed in accordance with the Single Audit Act of 1984, and certain other federal audit requirements, an additional objective of the audit is to assess compliance with laws and regulations applicable to federal financial assistance. Accordingly, in these situations, the above letter should be modified to include the additional objective.

10 If the financial statements have been prepared in conformity with regulatory accounting practices, the phrase “financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles” should be replaced with appropriate wording such as, in the case of an insurance company, the “admitted assets, liabilities ... of the XYZ Insurance Company in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the state of ... insurance department.”
The audit documentation was prepared for the purpose of providing the principal support for our report on (name of client) December 31, 20XX financial statements and to aid in the conduct and supervision of our audit. The audit documentation is the principal record of auditing procedures performed, evidence obtained and conclusions reached in the engagement. The auditing procedures that we performed were limited to those we considered necessary under generally accepted auditing standards\(^1\) to enable us to formulate and express an opinion on the financial statements\(^2\) taken as a whole. Accordingly, we make no representation as to the sufficiency or appropriateness, for your purposes, of either the information contained in our audit documentation or our auditing procedures. In addition, any notations, comments, and individual conclusions appearing on any of the audit documents do not stand alone, and should not be read as an opinion on any individual amounts, accounts, balances or transactions.

Our audit of (name of client) December 31, 20XX financial statements was performed for the purpose stated above and has not been planned or conducted in contemplation of your (state purpose: for example, "regulatory examination") or for the purpose of assessing (name of client) compliance with laws and regulations.\(^3\) Therefore, items of possible interest to you may not have been specifically addressed. Accordingly, our audit and the audit documentation prepared in connection therewith, should not supplant other inquiries and procedures that should be undertaken by the (name of regulatory agency) for the purpose of monitoring and regulating the financial affairs of the (name of client). In addition, we have not audited any financial statements of (name of client) since (date of audited balance sheet referred to in the first paragraph above) nor have we performed any auditing procedures since (date), the date of our auditor's report, and significant events or circumstances may have occurred since that date.

The audit documentation constitutes and reflects work performed or evidence obtained by (name of auditor) in its capacity as independent auditor for (name of client). The documents contain trade secrets and confidential commercial and financial information of our firm and (name of client) that is privileged and confidential, and we expressly reserve all rights with respect to disclosures to third parties. Accordingly, we request confidential treatment under the Freedom of Information Act or similar laws and regulations\(^4\) when requests are made for the audit documentation or information contained therein or any documents created by the (name of regulatory agency) containing information derived therefrom. We further request that written notice be given to our firm before distribution of the information in the audit documentation (or copies thereof) to others, including other governmental agencies, except when such distribution is required by law or regulation.

—if it is expected that copies will be requested, add:

Any copies of our audit documentation we agree to provide you will be identified as "Confidential Treatment Requested by (name of auditor, address, telephone number)."

Firm signature

---

\(^1\) Refer to footnote 6.

\(^2\) Refer to footnote 9.

\(^3\) Refer to footnote 9.

\(^4\) This illustrative paragraph may not in and of itself be sufficient to gain confidential treatment under the rules and regulations of certain regulatory agencies. The auditor should consider tailoring this paragraph to the circumstances after consulting the regulations of each applicable regulatory agency and, if necessary, consult with legal counsel regarding the specific procedures and requirements to gain confidential treatment.
.07 Question—A regulator may request access to the audit documentation before the audit has been completed and the report released. May the auditor allow access in such circumstances?

.08 Interpretation—When the audit has not been completed, the audit documentation is necessarily incomplete because (a) additional information may be added as a result of further tests and review by supervisory personnel and (b) any audit results and conclusions reflected in the incomplete audit documentation may change. Accordingly, it is preferable that access be delayed until all auditing procedures have been completed and all internal reviews have been performed. If access is provided prior to completion of the audit, the auditor should consider issuing the letter referred to in paragraph .05 of this Interpretation, appropriately modified, and including additional language along the following lines:

“We have been engaged to audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America the December 31, 20XX, financial statements of XYZ Company, but have not as yet completed our audit. Accordingly, at this time we do not express any opinion on the Company’s financial statements. Furthermore, the contents of the audit documentation may change as a result of additional auditing procedures and review of the audit documentation by supervisory personnel of our firm. Accordingly, our audit documentation is incomplete.”

Because the audit documentation may change prior to completion of the audit, the auditor ordinarily should not provide copies of the audit documentation until the audit has been completed.

.09 Question—Some regulators may engage an independent party, such as another independent public accountant, to perform the audit documentation review on behalf of the regulatory agency. Are there any special precautions the auditor should observe in these circumstances?

.10 Interpretation—The auditor should be satisfied that the party engaged by the regulator is subject to the same confidentiality restrictions as the regulatory agency itself. This can be accomplished by obtaining acknowledgment, preferably in writing, from the regulator stating that the third party is acting on behalf of the regulator and agreement from the third party that he or she is subject to the same restrictions on disclosure and use of audit documentation and the information contained therein as the regulator.

.11 Question—When a regulator requests the auditor to provide access to (and possibly copies of) audit documentation and the auditor is not otherwise required by law, regulation or audit contract to provide such access, what steps should the auditor take?

.12 Interpretation—The auditor should obtain an understanding of the reasons for the regulator’s request for access to the audit documentation and may wish to consider consulting with legal counsel regarding the request. If the auditor decides to provide such access, the auditor should obtain the client’s consent, preferably in writing, to provide the regulator access to the audit documentation.

.13 Following is an example of language that may be used in the written communication to the client:

“The audit documentation for this engagement is the property of (name of auditor) and constitutes confidential information. However, we have been requested to make certain audit documentation available to (name of regulator)
for (describe the regulator’s basis for its request). Access to such audit documentation will be provided under the supervision of (name of auditor) personnel. Furthermore, upon request, we may provide copies of selected audit documentation to (name of regulator).

“You have authorized (name of auditor) to allow (name of regulator) access to the audit documentation in the manner discussed above. Please confirm your agreement to the above by signing below and returning to (name of auditor, address).”

Firm signature

Agreed and acknowledged:

(Name and title)

(Date)

.14 If the client requests to review the audit documentation before allowing the regulator access, the auditor may provide the client with the opportunity to obtain an understanding of the nature of the information about its financial statements contained in the audit documentation that is being made available to the regulator. When a client reviews the audit documentation, the auditor should maintain control of the audit documentation as discussed in paragraph .03 of this Interpretation.

.15 The auditor should also refer to the guidance in paragraphs .03–.10 of this Interpretation which provide guidance on making arrangements with the regulator for access to the audit documentation, maintaining control over the audit documentation and submitting a letter describing various matters to the regulator.
AU Section 341

The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

(Supersedes section 340)

Source: SAS No. 59; SAS No. 64; SAS No. 77; SAS No. 96.

See section 9341 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance to the auditor in conducting an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards with respect to evaluating whether there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern. Continuation of an entity as a going concern is assumed in financial reporting in the absence of significant information to the contrary. Ordinarily, information that significantly contradicts the going concern assumption relates to the entity’s inability to continue to meet its obligations as they become due without substantial disposition of assets outside the ordinary course of business, restructuring of debt, externally forced revisions of its operations, or similar actions.

The Auditor’s Responsibility

.02 The auditor has a responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, not to exceed one year beyond the date of the financial statements being audited (hereinafter referred to as a reasonable period of time). The auditor’s evaluation is based on his knowledge of relevant conditions and events that exist at or have occurred prior to the completion of fieldwork. Information about such conditions or events is obtained from the application of auditing procedures planned and performed to achieve audit objectives that are related to management’s assertions embodied in the financial statements being audited, as described in section 326, Evidential Matter.

1 This section does not apply to an audit of financial statements based on the assumption of liquidation (for example, when [a] an entity is in the process of liquidation, [b] the owners have decided to commence dissolution or liquidation, or [c] legal proceedings, including bankruptcy, have reached a point at which dissolution or liquidation is probable). See Auditing Interpretation, “Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting” (section 9508.33–.38).

2 The guidance provided in this section applies to audits of financial statements prepared either in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or in accordance with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. References in this section to generally accepted accounting principles are intended to include a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles (excluding liquidation basis).
.03 The auditor should evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time in the following manner:

a. The auditor considers whether the results of his procedures performed in planning, gathering evidential matter relative to the various audit objectives, and completing the audit identify conditions and events that, when considered in the aggregate, indicate there could be substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. It may be necessary to obtain additional information about such conditions and events, as well as the appropriate evidential matter to support information that mitigates the auditor’s doubt.

b. If the auditor believes there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, he should (1) obtain information about management’s plans that are intended to mitigate the effect of such conditions or events, and (2) assess the likelihood that such plans can be effectively implemented.

c. After the auditor has evaluated management’s plans, he concludes whether he has substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. If the auditor concludes there is substantial doubt, he should (1) consider the adequacy of disclosure about the entity’s possible inability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, and (2) include an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) in his audit report to reflect his conclusion. If the auditor concludes that substantial doubt does not exist, he should consider the need for disclosure.

.04 The auditor is not responsible for predicting future conditions or events. The fact that the entity may cease to exist as a going concern subsequent to receiving a report from the auditor that does not refer to substantial doubt, even within one year following the date of the financial statements, does not, in itself, indicate inadequate performance by the auditor. Accordingly, the absence of reference to substantial doubt in an auditor’s report should not be viewed as providing assurance as to an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern.

Audit Procedures

.05 It is not necessary to design audit procedures solely to identify conditions and events that, when considered in the aggregate, indicate there could be substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. The results of auditing procedures designed and performed to achieve other audit objectives should be sufficient for that purpose. The following are examples of procedures that may identify such conditions and events:

- Analytical procedures
- Review of subsequent events
- Review of compliance with the terms of debt and loan agreements
- Reading of minutes of meetings of stockholders, board of directors, and important committees of the board
Inquiry of an entity’s legal counsel about litigation, claims, and assessments
 Confirmation with related and third parties of the details of arrangements to provide or maintain financial support

Consideration of Conditions and Events

.06 In performing audit procedures such as those presented in paragraph .05, the auditor may identify information about certain conditions or events that, when considered in the aggregate, indicate there could be substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. The significance of such conditions and events will depend on the circumstances, and some may have significance only when viewed in conjunction with others. The following are examples of such conditions and events:

- **Negative trends**—for example, recurring operating losses, working capital deficiencies, negative cash flows from operating activities, adverse key financial ratios
- **Other indications of possible financial difficulties**—for example, default on loan or similar agreements, arrearages in dividends, denial of usual trade credit from suppliers, restructuring of debt, noncompliance with statutory capital requirements, need to seek new sources or methods of financing or to dispose of substantial assets
- **Internal matters**—for example, work stoppages or other labor difficulties, substantial dependence on the success of a particular project, uneconomic long-term commitments, need to significantly revise operations
- **External matters that have occurred**—for example, legal proceedings, legislation, or similar matters that might jeopardize an entity’s ability to operate; loss of a key franchise, license, or patent; loss of a principal customer or supplier; uninsured or underinsured catastrophe such as a drought, earthquake, or flood

Consideration of Management’s Plans

.07 If, after considering the identified conditions and events in the aggregate, the auditor believes there is substantial doubt about the ability of the entity to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, he should consider management’s plans for dealing with the adverse effects of the conditions and events. The auditor should obtain information about the plans and consider whether it is likely the adverse effects will be mitigated for a reasonable period of time and that such plans can be effectively implemented. The auditor’s considerations relating to management plans may include the following:

- **Plans to dispose of assets**
  - Restrictions on disposal of assets, such as covenants limiting such transactions in loan or similar agreements or encumbrances against assets
  - Apparent marketability of assets that management plans to sell
  - Possible direct or indirect effects of disposal of assets
 Plans to borrow money or restructure debt
   — Availability of debt financing, including existing or committed credit arrangements, such as lines of credit or arrangements for factoring receivables or sale-leaseback of assets
   — Existing or committed arrangements to restructure or subordinate debt or to guarantee loans to the entity
   — Possible effects on management’s borrowing plans of existing restrictions on additional borrowing or the sufficiency of available collateral

 Plans to reduce or delay expenditures
   — Apparent feasibility of plans to reduce overhead or administrative expenditures, to postpone maintenance or research and development projects, or to lease rather than purchase assets
   — Possible direct or indirect effects of reduced or delayed expenditures

 Plans to increase ownership equity
   — Apparent feasibility of plans to increase ownership equity, including existing or committed arrangements to raise additional capital
   — Existing or committed arrangements to reduce current dividend requirements or to accelerate cash distributions from affiliates or other investors

.08 When evaluating management’s plans, the auditor should identify those elements that are particularly significant to overcoming the adverse effects of the conditions and events and should plan and perform auditing procedures to obtain evidential matter about them. For example, the auditor should consider the adequacy of support regarding the ability to obtain additional financing or the planned disposal of assets.

.09 When prospective financial information is particularly significant to management’s plans, the auditor should request management to provide that information and should consider the adequacy of support for significant assumptions underlying that information. The auditor should give particular attention to assumptions that are—
   • Material to the prospective financial information.
   • Especially sensitive or susceptible to change.
   • Inconsistent with historical trends.

The auditor’s consideration should be based on knowledge of the entity, its business, and its management and should include (a) reading of the prospective financial information and the underlying assumptions and (b) comparing prospective financial information in prior periods with actual results and comparing prospective information for the current period with results achieved to date. If the auditor becomes aware of factors, the effects of which are not reflected in such prospective financial information, he should discuss those factors with management and, if necessary, request revision of the prospective financial information.

Consideration of Financial Statement Effects

.10 When, after considering management’s plans, the auditor concludes there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, the auditor should consider the possible effects on the financial statements and the adequacy of the related disclosure. Some of the information that might be disclosed includes—
An Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

- Pertinent conditions and events giving rise to the assessment of substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.
- The possible effects of such conditions and events.
- Management’s evaluation of the significance of those conditions and events and any mitigating factors.
- Possible discontinuance of operations.
- Management’s plans (including relevant prospective financial information).  
  
1.1 When, primarily because of the auditor’s consideration of management’s plans, he concludes that substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time is alleviated, he should consider the need for disclosure of the principal conditions and events that initially caused him to believe there was substantial doubt. The auditor’s consideration of disclosure should include the possible effects of such conditions and events, and any mitigating factors, including management’s plans.

Consideration of the Effects on the Auditor’s Report

.12 If, after considering identified conditions and events and management’s plans, the auditor concludes that substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time remains, the audit report should include an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) to reflect that conclusion.  

4 The inclusion of an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) in the auditor’s report contemplated by this section should serve adequately to inform the users of the financial statements. Nothing in this section, however, is intended to preclude an auditor from declining to express an opinion in cases involving uncertainties. If he disclaims an opinion, the uncertainties and their possible effects on the financial statements should be disclosed in an appropriate manner (see paragraph .10), and the auditor’s report should give all the substantive reasons for his disclaimer of opinion (see section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements).

.13 An example follows of an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) in the auditor’s report describing an uncertainty about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

5 It is not intended that such prospective financial information constitute prospective financial statements meeting the minimum presentation guidelines set forth in AT section 301, Financial Forecasts and Projections, nor that the inclusion of such information require any consideration beyond that normally required by generally accepted auditing standards. [Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

5 It is not intended that such prospective financial information constitute prospective financial statements meeting the minimum presentation guidelines set forth in AT section 301, Financial Forecasts and Projections, nor that the inclusion of such information require any consideration beyond that normally required by generally accepted auditing standards. [Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

5 It is not intended that such prospective financial information constitute prospective financial statements meeting the minimum presentation guidelines set forth in AT section 301, Financial Forecasts and Projections, nor that the inclusion of such information require any consideration beyond that normally required by generally accepted auditing standards. [Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

5 It is not intended that such prospective financial information constitute prospective financial statements meeting the minimum presentation guidelines set forth in AT section 301, Financial Forecasts and Projections, nor that the inclusion of such information require any consideration beyond that normally required by generally accepted auditing standards. [Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note X to the financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and has a net capital deficiency that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management’s plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note X. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

[As amended, effective for reports issued after December 31, 1990, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 64.]

.14 If the auditor concludes that the entity’s disclosures with respect to the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time are inadequate, a departure from generally accepted accounting principles exists. This may result in either a qualified (except for) or an adverse opinion. Reporting guidance for such situations is provided in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements.

.15 Substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time that arose in the current period does not imply that a basis for such doubt existed in the prior period and, therefore, should not affect the auditor’s report on the financial statements of the prior period that are presented on a comparative basis. When financial statements of one or more prior periods are presented on a comparative basis with financial statements of the current period, reporting guidance is provided in section 508.

.16 If substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time existed at the date of prior period financial statements that are presented on a comparative basis, and that doubt has been removed in the current period, the explanatory paragraph included in the auditor’s report (following the opinion paragraph) on the financial statements of the prior period should not be repeated.

Documentation

.17 As stated in paragraph .03 of this section, the auditor considers whether the results of the auditing procedures performed in planning, gathering evidential matter relative to the various audit objectives, and completing the audit identify conditions and events that, when considered in the aggregate, indicate there could be substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. If, after considering the identified conditions and events in the aggregate, the auditor believes there is substantial doubt about the ability of the entity to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, he or she follows the guidance in paragraphs .07 through .16. In connection with that guidance, the auditor should document all of the following:

a. The conditions or events that led him or her to believe that there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

b. The elements of management’s plans that the auditor considered to be particularly significant to overcoming the adverse effects of the conditions or events.

c. The auditing procedures performed and evidence obtained to evaluate the significant elements of management’s plans.
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d. The auditor’s conclusion as to whether substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time remains or is alleviated. If substantial doubt remains, the auditor also should document the possible effects of the conditions or events on the financial statements and the adequacy of the related disclosures. If substantial doubt is alleviated, the auditor also should document the conclusion as to the need for disclosure of the principal conditions and events that initially caused him or her to believe there was substantial doubt.

e. The auditor’s conclusion as to whether he or she should include an explanatory paragraph in the audit report. If disclosures with respect to an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern are inadequate, the auditor also should document the conclusion as to whether to express a qualified or adverse opinion for the resultant departure from generally accepted accounting principles.

[Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after May 15, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96.]

Effective Date

18 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989. Early application of the provisions of this section is permissible. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96, January 2002.]

[The next page is 631.]
AU Section 9341

The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern: Auditing Interpretations of Section 341

1. Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph From a Reissued Report

.01 Question—An auditor may be asked to reissue his or her report on financial statements and eliminate the going-concern explanatory paragraph that appeared in the original report. Such requests ordinarily occur after the conditions that gave rise to substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern have been resolved. For example, subsequent to the date of the auditor’s original report, an entity might obtain needed financing. In such circumstances, may the auditor reissue his or her report and eliminate the going-concern explanatory paragraph that appeared in the original report?

.02 Interpretation—An auditor has no obligation to reissue his or her report. However, if the auditor decides to reissue the report, the auditor should perform the following procedures when determining whether to reissue the report without the going-concern explanatory paragraph that appeared in the original report:

- Audit the event or transaction that prompted the request to reissue the report without the going-concern explanatory paragraph.
- Perform the procedures listed in section 560, Subsequent Events, paragraph .12, at or near the date of reissuance.
- Consider the factors described in section 341, The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, paragraphs .06 through .11, based on the conditions and circumstances at the date of reissuance.

The auditor may perform any other procedures that he or she deems necessary in the circumstances. Based on the information that the auditor becomes aware of as a result of performing the procedures mentioned above, the auditor should reassess the going-concern status of the entity.

[Issue Date: August, 1995.]

[2.] Effect of the Year 2000 Issue on the Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

.03–.27 [Withdrawn July, 2000 by the Audit Issues Task Force.]

[The next page is 635.]
AU Section 342

Auditing Accounting Estimates

Source: SAS No. 57.

See section 9342 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance to auditors on obtaining and evaluating sufficient competent evidential matter to support significant accounting estimates in an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. For purposes of this section, an accounting estimate is an approximation of a financial statement element, item, or account. Accounting estimates are often included in historical financial statements because—

a. The measurement of some amounts or the valuation of some accounts is uncertain, pending the outcome of future events.

b. Relevant data concerning events that have already occurred cannot be accumulated on a timely, cost-effective basis.

.02 Accounting estimates in historical financial statements measure the effects of past business transactions or events, or the present status of an asset or liability. Examples of accounting estimates include net realizable values of inventory and accounts receivable, property and casualty insurance loss reserves, revenues from contracts accounted for by the percentage-of-completion method, and pension and warranty expenses.1

.03 Management is responsible for making the accounting estimates included in the financial statements. Estimates are based on subjective as well as objective factors and, as a result, judgment is required to estimate an amount at the date of the financial statements. Management’s judgment is normally based on its knowledge and experience about past and current events and its assumptions about conditions it expects to exist and courses of action it expects to take.

.04 The auditor is responsible for evaluating the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management in the context of the financial statements taken as a whole. As estimates are based on subjective as well as objective factors, it may be difficult for management to establish controls over them. Even when management’s estimation process involves competent personnel using relevant and reliable data, there is potential for bias in the subjective factors. Accordingly, when planning and performing procedures to evaluate accounting estimates, the auditor should consider, with an attitude of professional skepticism, both the subjective and objective factors.

1 Additional examples of accounting estimates included in historical financial statements are presented in paragraph .16.
Developing Accounting Estimates

Management is responsible for establishing a process for preparing accounting estimates. Although the process may not be documented or formally applied, it normally consists of—

a. Identifying situations for which accounting estimates are required.
b. Identifying the relevant factors that may affect the accounting estimate.
c. Accumulating relevant, sufficient, and reliable data on which to base the estimate.
d. Developing assumptions that represent management's judgment of the most likely circumstances and events with respect to the relevant factors.
e. Determining the estimated amount based on the assumptions and other relevant factors.
f. Determining that the accounting estimate is presented in conformity with applicable accounting principles and that disclosure is adequate.

The risk of material misstatement of accounting estimates normally varies with the complexity and subjectivity associated with the process, the availability and reliability of relevant data, the number and significance of assumptions that are made, and the degree of uncertainty associated with the assumptions.

Internal Control Related to Accounting Estimates

An entity's internal control may reduce the likelihood of material misstatements of accounting estimates. Specific relevant aspects of internal control include the following:

a. Management communication of the need for proper accounting estimates
b. Accumulation of relevant, sufficient, and reliable data on which to base an accounting estimate
c. Preparation of the accounting estimate by qualified personnel
d. Adequate review and approval of the accounting estimates by appropriate levels of authority, including—
   1. Review of sources of relevant factors
   2. Review of development of assumptions
   3. Review of reasonableness of assumptions and resulting estimates
   4. Consideration of the need to use the work of specialists
   5. Consideration of changes in previously established methods to arrive at accounting estimates
e. Comparison of prior accounting estimates with subsequent results to assess the reliability of the process used to develop estimates
f. Consideration by management of whether the resulting accounting estimate is consistent with the operational plans of the entity.

Evaluating Accounting Estimates

The auditor's objective when evaluating accounting estimates is to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to provide reasonable assurance that—
Identifying Circumstances That Require Accounting Estimates

.08 In evaluating whether management has identified all accounting estimates that could be material to the financial statements, the auditor considers the circumstances of the industry or industries in which the entity operates, its methods of conducting business, new accounting pronouncements, and other external factors. The auditor should consider performing the following procedures:

a. Consider assertions embodied in the financial statements to determine the need for estimates. (See paragraph .16 for examples of accounting estimates included in financial statements.)

b. Evaluate information obtained in performing other procedures, such as—
   1. Information about changes made or planned in the entity’s business, including changes in operating strategy, and the industry in which the entity operates that may indicate the need to make an accounting estimate (section 311, Planning and Supervision).
   2. Changes in the methods of accumulating information.
   3. Information concerning identified litigation, claims, and assessments (section 337, Inquiry of a Client's Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments), and other contingencies.
   4. Information from reading available minutes of meetings of stockholders, directors, and appropriate committees.
   5. Information contained in regulatory or examination reports, supervisory correspondence, and similar materials from applicable regulatory agencies.

c. Inquire of management about the existence of circumstances that may indicate the need to make an accounting estimate.

Evaluating Reasonableness

.09 In evaluating the reasonableness of an estimate, the auditor normally concentrates on key factors and assumptions that are—

a. Significant to the accounting estimate.

b. Sensitive to variations.

c. Deviations from historical patterns.

d. Subjective and susceptible to misstatement and bias.

---

2 Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, discusses the auditor’s responsibility for evaluating conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. [Title of section 411 amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

3 Section 431, Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements, discusses the auditor’s responsibility to consider whether the financial statements include adequate disclosures of material matters in light of the circumstances and facts of which he is aware.
The auditor normally should consider the historical experience of the entity in making past estimates as well as the auditor's experience in the industry. However, changes in facts, circumstances, or entity's procedures may cause factors different from those considered in the past to become significant to the accounting estimate.\(^4\)

.10 In evaluating reasonableness, the auditor should obtain an understanding of how management developed the estimate. Based on that understanding, the auditor should use one or a combination of the following approaches:

a. Review and test the process used by management to develop the estimate.

b. Develop an independent expectation of the estimate to corroborate the reasonableness of management's estimate.

c. Review subsequent events or transactions occurring prior to completion of fieldwork.

.11 Review and test management's process. In many situations, the auditor assesses the reasonableness of an accounting estimate by performing procedures to test the process used by management to make the estimate. The following are procedures the auditor may consider performing when using this approach:

a. Identify whether there are controls over the preparation of accounting estimates and supporting data that may be useful in the evaluation.

b. Identify the sources of data and factors that management used in forming the assumptions, and consider whether such data and factors are relevant, reliable, and sufficient for the purpose based on information gathered in other audit tests.

c. Consider whether there are additional key factors or alternative assumptions about the factors.

d. Evaluate whether the assumptions are consistent with each other, the supporting data, relevant historical data, and industry data.

e. Analyze historical data used in developing the assumptions to assess whether the data is comparable and consistent with data of the period under audit, and consider whether such data is sufficiently reliable for the purpose.

f. Consider whether changes in the business or industry may cause other factors to become significant to the assumptions.

g. Review available documentation of the assumptions used in developing the accounting estimates and inquire about any other plans, goals, and objectives of the entity, as well as consider their relationship to the assumptions.

h. Consider using the work of a specialist regarding certain assumptions (section 336, *Using the Work of a Specialist*).

i. Test the calculations used by management to translate the assumptions and key factors into the accounting estimate.

.12 *Develop an expectation.* Based on the auditor's understanding of the facts and circumstances, he may independently develop an expectation as to the estimate by using other key factors or alternative assumptions about those factors.

\(^4\) In addition to other evidential matter about the estimate, in certain instances, the auditor may wish to obtain written representation from management regarding the key factors and assumptions.
.13 Review subsequent events or transactions. Events or transactions sometimes occur subsequent to the date of the balance sheet, but prior to the completion of fieldwork, that are important in identifying and evaluating the reasonableness of accounting estimates or key factors or assumptions used in the preparation of the estimate. In such circumstances, an evaluation of the estimate or of a key factor or assumption may be minimized or unnecessary as the event or transaction can be used by the auditor in evaluating their reasonableness.

.14 As discussed in section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, paragraph .36, the auditor evaluates the reasonableness of accounting estimates in relationship to the financial statements taken as a whole:

Since no one accounting estimate can be considered accurate with certainty, the auditor recognizes that a difference between an estimated amount best supported by the audit evidence and the estimated amount included in the financial statements may be reasonable, and such difference would not be considered to be a likely misstatement. However, if the auditor believes the estimated amount included in the financial statements is unreasonable, he should treat the difference between that estimate and the closest reasonable estimate as a likely misstatement and aggregate it with other likely misstatements. The auditor should also consider whether the difference between estimates best supported by the audit evidence and the estimates included in the financial statements, which are individually reasonable, indicate a possible bias on the part of the entity’s management. For example, if each accounting estimate included in the financial statements was individually reasonable, but the effect of the difference between each estimate and the estimate best supported by the audit evidence was to increase income, the auditor should reconsider the estimates taken as a whole.

Effective Date

.15 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989. Early application of the provisions of this section is permissible.
Appendix

Examples of Accounting Estimates

The following are examples of accounting estimates that are included in financial statements. The list is presented for information only. It should not be considered all-inclusive.

Receivables:
- Uncollectible receivables
- Allowance for loan losses
- Uncollectible pledges

Revenues:
- Airline passenger revenue
- Subscription income
- Freight and cargo revenue
- Dues income
- Losses on sales contracts

Inventories:
-Obsolete inventory
-Net realizable value of inventories where future selling prices and future costs are involved
-Losses on purchase commitments

Contracts:
- Revenue to be earned
- Costs to be incurred
- Percent of completion

Financial instruments:
- Valuation of securities
- Trading versus investment security classification
- Probability of high correlation of a hedge
- Sales of securities with puts and calls

Leases:
- Initial direct costs
- Executory costs
- Residual values

Litigation:
- Probability of loss
- Amount of loss

Productive facilities, natural resources and intangibles:
- Useful lives and residual values
- Depreciation and amortization methods
- Recoverability of costs
- Recoverable reserves

Rates:
- Annual effective tax rate in interim reporting
- Imputed interest rates on receivables and payables
- Gross profit rates under program method of accounting

Accruals:
- Property and casualty insurance company loss reserves
- Compensation in stock option plans and deferred plans
- Warranty claims
- Taxes on real and personal property
- Renegotiation refunds
- Actuarial assumptions in pension costs

Other:
- Losses and net realizable value on disposal of segment or restructuring of a business
- Fair values in nonmonetary exchanges
- Interim period costs in interim reporting
- Current values in personal financial statements
1. Performance and Reporting Guidance Related to Fair Value Disclosures

.01 Question—In December 1991, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments [AC section F25], which requires all entities to disclose the fair value of certain financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate fair value. Some entities may disclose the information required by FASB Statement No. 107 and also disclose voluntarily the fair value of assets and liabilities not encompassed by FASB Statement No. 107. What are the auditor’s responsibilities in situations in which entities are disclosing required or both required and voluntary fair value financial information?

.02 Interpretation—The auditor should determine whether the fair value disclosures represent only those required by FASB Statement No. 107 or whether additional voluntary fair value information has been disclosed by the entity. When auditing management’s estimate of both required and voluntary fair value information, the auditor should obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to reasonably assure that—

- the valuation principles are acceptable, are being consistently applied, and are supported by the underlying documentation, and
- the method of estimation and significant assumptions used are properly disclosed.

If such assurance cannot be obtained, the auditor should evaluate whether the financial statements are materially affected by the departure from generally accepted accounting principles.

.03 Required Information Presented—When an entity discloses in its basic financial statements only information required by FASB Statement No. 107, the auditor may issue a standard unqualified opinion (assuming no other report modifications are necessary). The auditor may add an emphasis-of-matter paragraph describing the nature and possible range of such fair value information especially when management’s best estimate of value is used in the absence of quoted market values (FASB Statement No. 107, paragraph 11 [AC section F25.115D]) and the range of possible values is significant. If the entity has not disclosed required fair value information, the auditor should evaluate whether the financial statements are materially affected by the departure from generally accepted accounting principles.

.04 Required and Voluntary Information Presented—When voluntary information is presented in addition to required information the auditor may audit the voluntary information only if both the following conditions exist:

- the measurement and disclosure criteria used to prepare the fair value financial information are reasonable
• competent persons using the measurement and disclosure criteria would
ordinarily obtain materially similar measurements or disclosures.

In applying this guidance to fair value disclosures, the intention is that another
auditor would reach similar conclusions regarding the reasonableness of the
valuation or estimation techniques and methods used by the entity.

.05 Voluntary disclosures may supplement required disclosures in such a
fashion as to constitute either a complete balance sheet (the fair value of all
material items in the balance sheet) or a presentation of less than a complete
balance sheet.

.06 When the audited disclosures constitute a complete balance sheet
presentation, the auditor should add a paragraph to the report, similar to the
following:

We have also audited in accordance with auditing standards generally ac-
cepted in the United States of America the supplemental fair value balance
sheet of ABC Company as of December 31, 20XX. As described in Note X, the
supplemental fair value balance sheet has been prepared by management to
present relevant financial information that is not provided by the historical-cost
balance sheets and is not intended to be a presentation in conformity with
generally accepted accounting principles. In addition, the supplemental fair
value balance sheet does not purport to present the net realizable, liquidation,
or market value of ABC Company as a whole. Furthermore, amounts ultimately
realized by ABC Company from the disposal of assets may vary significantly
from the fair values presented. In our opinion, the supplemental fair value
balance sheet referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the
information set forth therein as described in Note X.

.07 When the audited disclosures do not constitute a complete balance sheet
presentation and are located on the face of the financial statements or in the
footnotes, the auditor may issue a standard unqualified opinion and need not
mention the disclosures in the report. When the audited disclosures do not
constitute a complete balance sheet presentation and are included in a supplemen-
tal schedule or exhibit, the auditor should add an additional paragraph to the
report as discussed in section 551, Reporting on Information Accompanying the
Basic Financial Statements in the Auditor-Submitted Documents, paragraph .12.

.08 In some situations, the auditor may not be engaged to audit the
voluntary information or may be unable to audit it because it does not meet
both conditions in paragraph .04 of this interpretation. When the unaudited
voluntary disclosures are included in an auditor-submitted document and
located on the face of the financial statements, the footnotes, or in a supple-
mental schedule to the basic financial statements, the voluntary disclosures
should be labelled “unaudited” and the auditor should disclaim an opinion on
the unaudited information as discussed in section 551.13.

.09 When the unaudited voluntary disclosures are included in a client-
prepared document and are located on the face of the financial statements, the
footnotes, or in a supplemental schedule, the voluntary disclosures should be
labelled “unaudited.” When such unaudited information is not presented on
the face of the financial statements, the footnotes, or in a supplemental
schedule, the auditor should consider the guidance in section 550, Other
Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements.

.10 The auditing guidance related to each of these alternatives is pre-
sented in the following flowcharts:
AUDITING GUIDANCE FOR FAIR VALUE INFORMATION

Required* Information Only

START

Has the entity disclosed fair value information?

Yes

No

Is the entity required by SFAS No. 107 to disclose such information?

Yes

No

Do the disclosures consist of only those required by SFAS No. 107?

Yes

No

Are (1) the fair value amounts determined in accordance with SFAS No. 107, the methods consistently applied, and the fair value amounts supported by the underlying documentation and (2) the method of estimation and significant assumptions used properly disclosed?

Yes

No

Are the financial statements materially affected by the GAAP departure?

Yes

No

The auditor should determine the effect of the GAAP departure and whether a qualified or adverse opinion is required.

The auditor may issue a standard unqualified opinion and may consider adding an emphasis-of-matter paragraph describing the nature and possible range of such fair value information.

END

AUDITING GUIDANCE FOR FAIR VALUE INFORMATION
Required and Voluntary Information

Has the auditor been engaged to audit the voluntary* information?

Yes

Are (1) the valuation principles acceptable, consistently applied, and supported by the underlying documentation and (2) the method of estimation and significant assumptions used properly disclosed?

Yes

Are the disclosures located on the face of the financial statements, or in a supplemental schedule?

No

Are the financial statements materially affected by the GAAP departure?

Yes

The auditor should determine the effect of the GAAP departure and whether a qualified or adverse opinion is required.

No

The auditor should consider the guidance in section 550.

No

The voluntary disclosures should be labelled “unaudited” and the auditor should disclose an opinion on the unaudited information as discussed in section 551.13.

Yes

The auditor should add an additional paragraph to the report as discussed in section 551.12.

The auditor may issue a standard unqualified opinion and need not mention the disclosures in the report.

Do the disclosures constitute a complete balance sheet presentation?

Yes

No

The auditor should express an opinion on the fair value presentation. The report should include a paragraph** that

- States that the fair value financial statements were unaudited and are the responsibility of management
- Explains what the fair value information is intended to present and refers to the footnote describing the basis of presentation
- States the presentation is not intended to be in conformity with GAAP
- Includes the auditor’s opinion related to the fair value information

The auditor may audit such information only if it meets both of the following conditions:

- The measurement and disclosure criteria used to prepare the fair value information are reasonable.
- Competent persons using the measurement and disclosure criteria ordinarily obtain similar conclusions.

If the voluntary information does not meet both conditions, the auditor may not be engaged to audit the information.

* The auditor may audit such information only if it meets both of the following conditions:

- The measurement and disclosure criteria used to prepare the fair value information are reasonable.
- Competent persons using the measurement and disclosure criteria ordinarily obtain similar conclusions.

If the voluntary information does not meet both conditions, the auditor may not be engaged to audit the information.

** Auditors of real estate entities may refer to interpretation 11 of section 623, “Reporting on Current-Value Financial Statements That Supplement Historical Cost Financial Statements in a General Use Presentation of Real Estate Entities.”

[Issue Date: February, 1993; Revised: October, 2000.]
AU Section 350

Audit Sampling

(Supersedes Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, sections 320A, and 320B.)

Source: SAS No. 39; SAS No. 43; SAS No. 45.

See section 9350 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for periods ended on or after June 25, 1983, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 Audit sampling is the application of an audit procedure to less than 100 percent of the items within an account balance or class of transactions for the purpose of evaluating some characteristic of the balance or class. This section provides guidance for planning, performing, and evaluating audit samples.

.02 The auditor often is aware of account balances and transactions that may be more likely to contain misstatements. He considers this knowledge in planning his procedures, including audit sampling. The auditor usually will have no special knowledge about other account balances and transactions that, in his judgment, will need to be tested to fulfill his audit objectives. Audit sampling is especially useful in these cases.

.03 There are two general approaches to audit sampling: nonstatistical and statistical. Both approaches require that the auditor use professional judgment in planning, performing, and evaluating a sample and in relating the evidential matter produced by the sample to other evidential matter when forming a conclusion about the related account balance or class of transactions. The guidance in this section applies equally to nonstatistical and statistical sampling.

.04 The third standard of field work states, “Sufficient competent evidential matter is to be obtained through inspection, observation, inquiries, and confirmations to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit.” Either approach to audit sampling, when properly applied, can provide sufficient evidential matter.

.05 The sufficiency of evidential matter is related to the design and size of an audit sample, among other factors. The size of a sample necessary to provide sufficient evidential matter depends on both the objectives and the efficiency of the sample. For a given objective, the efficiency of the sample relates to its design; one sample is more efficient than another if it can achieve the same objectives with a smaller sample size. In general, careful design can produce more efficient samples.

---

1 There may be other reasons for an auditor to examine less than 100 percent of the items comprising an account balance or class of transactions. For example, an auditor may examine only a few transactions from an account balance or class of transactions to (a) gain an understanding of the nature of an entity’s operations or (b) clarify his understanding of the entity’s internal control. In such cases, the guidance in this statement is not applicable.

2 For purposes of this section the use of the term misstatement can include both errors and fraud as appropriate for the design of the sampling application. Errors and fraud are discussed in section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit.
Evaluating the competence of evidential matter is solely a matter of auditing judgment and is not determined by the design and evaluation of an audit sample. In a strict sense, the sample evaluation relates only to the likelihood that existing monetary misstatements or deviations from prescribed controls are proportionately included in the sample, not to the auditor's treatment of such items. Thus, the choice of nonstatistical or statistical sampling does not directly affect the auditor's decisions about the auditing procedures to be applied, the competence of the evidential matter obtained with respect to individual items in the sample, or the actions that might be taken in light of the nature and cause of particular misstatements.

Uncertainty and Audit Sampling

Some degree of uncertainty is implicit in the concept of "a reasonable basis for an opinion" referred to in the third standard of field work. The justification for accepting some uncertainty arises from the relationship between such factors as the cost and time required to examine all of the data and the adverse consequences of possible erroneous decisions based on the conclusions resulting from examining only a sample of the data. If these factors do not justify the acceptance of some uncertainty, the only alternative is to examine all of the data. Since this is seldom the case, the basic concept of sampling is well established in auditing practice.

The uncertainty inherent in applying audit procedures is referred to as audit risk. Audit risk consists of (a) the risk (consisting of inherent risk and control risk) that the balance or class and related assertions contain misstatements that could be material to the financial statements when aggregated with misstatements in other balances or classes and (b) the risk (detection risk) that the auditor will not detect such misstatement. The risk of these adverse events occurring jointly can be viewed as a function of the respective individual risks. Using professional judgment, the auditor evaluates numerous factors to assess inherent risk and control risk (assessing control risk at less than the maximum level involves performing tests of controls), and performs substantive tests (analytical procedures and test of details of account balances or classes of transactions) to restrict detection risk.

Audit risk includes both uncertainties due to sampling and uncertainties due to factors other than sampling. These aspects of audit risk are sampling risk and nonsampling risk, respectively. [As amended August, 1983, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45.] (See section 313.)

Sampling risk arises from the possibility that, when a test of controls or a substantive test is restricted to a sample, the auditor's conclusions may be different from the conclusions he would reach if the test were applied in the same way to all items in the account balance or class of transactions. That is, a particular sample may contain proportionately more or less monetary misstatements or deviations from prescribed controls than exist in the balance or class as a whole. For a sample of a specific design, sampling risk varies inversely with sample size: the smaller the sample size, the greater the sampling risk.

Nonsampling risk includes all the aspects of audit risk that are not due to sampling. An auditor may apply a procedure to all transactions or balances and still fail to detect a material misstatement. Nonsampling risk includes the possibility of selecting audit procedures that are not appropriate to achieve the specific objective. For example, confirming recorded receivables
Audit Sampling

cannot be relied on to reveal unrecorded receivables. Nonsampling risk also arises because the auditor may fail to recognize misstatements included in documents that he examines, which would make that procedure ineffective even if he were to examine all items. Nonsampling risk can be reduced to a negligible level through such factors as adequate planning and supervision (see section 311, Planning and Supervision) and proper conduct of a firm’s audit practice (see section 161, The Relationship of Generally Accepted Auditing Standards to Quality Control Standards). [As amended August, 1983, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45.] (See section 313.)

Sampling Risk

.12 The auditor should apply professional judgment in assessing sampling risk. In performing substantive tests of details the auditor is concerned with two aspects of sampling risk:

- **The risk of incorrect acceptance** is the risk that the sample supports the conclusion that the recorded account balance is not materially misstated when it is materially misstated.

- **The risk of incorrect rejection** is the risk that the sample supports the conclusion that the recorded account balance is materially misstated when it is not materially misstated.

The auditor is also concerned with two aspects of sampling risk in performing tests of controls when sampling is used:

- **The risk of assessing control risk too low** is the risk that the assessed level of control risk based on the sample is less than the true operating effectiveness of the control.

- **The risk of assessing control risk too high** is the risk that the assessed level of control risk based on the sample is greater than the true operating effectiveness of the control.

.13 The risk of incorrect rejection and the risk of assessing control risk too high relate to the efficiency of the audit. For example, if the auditor’s evaluation of an audit sample leads him to the initial erroneous conclusion that a balance is materially misstated when it is not, the application of additional audit procedures and consideration of other audit evidence would ordinarily lead the auditor to the correct conclusion. Similarly, if the auditor’s evaluation of a sample leads him to unnecessarily assess control risk too high for an assertion, he would ordinarily increase the scope of substantive tests to compensate for the perceived ineffectiveness of the controls. Although the audit may be less efficient in these circumstances, the audit is, nevertheless, effective.

.14 The risk of incorrect acceptance and the risk of assessing control risk too low relate to the effectiveness of an audit in detecting an existing material misstatement. These risks are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Sampling in Substantive Tests of Details

Planning Samples

.15 Planning involves developing a strategy for conducting an audit of financial statements. For general guidance on planning, see section 311, Planning and Supervision.
When planning a particular sample for a substantive test of details, the auditor should consider:

- The relationship of the sample to the relevant audit objective (see section 326, *Evidential Matter*).
- Preliminary judgments about materiality levels.
- The auditor’s allowable risk of incorrect acceptance.
- Characteristics of the population, that is, the items comprising the account balance or class of transactions of interest.

When planning a particular sample, the auditor should consider the specific audit objective to be achieved and should determine that the audit procedure, or combination of procedures, to be applied will achieve that objective. The auditor should determine that the population from which he draws the sample is appropriate for the specific audit objective. For example, an auditor would not be able to detect understatements of an account due to omitted items by sampling the recorded items. An appropriate sampling plan for detecting such understatements would involve selecting from a source in which the omitted items are included. To illustrate, subsequent cash disbursements might be sampled to test recorded accounts payable for understatement because of omitted purchases, or shipping documents might be sampled for understatement of sales due to shipments made but not recorded as sales.

Evaluation in monetary terms of the results of a sample for a substantive test of details contributes directly to the auditor’s purpose, since such an evaluation can be related to his judgment of the monetary amount of misstatements that would be material. When planning a sample for a substantive test of details, the auditor should consider how much monetary misstatement in the related account balance or class of transactions may exist without causing the financial statements to be materially misstated. This maximum monetary misstatement for the balance or class is called tolerable misstatement for the sample. Tolerable misstatement is a planning concept and is related to the auditor’s preliminary judgments about materiality levels in such a way that tolerable misstatement, combined for the entire audit plan, does not exceed those estimates.

The second standard of field work states, “A sufficient understanding of the internal control structure is to be obtained to plan the audit and to determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed.” After assessing and considering the levels of inherent and control risks, the auditor performs substantive tests to restrict detection risk to an acceptable level. As the assessed levels of inherent risk, control risk, and detection risk for other substantive procedures directed toward the same specific audit objective decreases, the auditor’s allowable risk of incorrect acceptance for the substantive tests of details increases and, thus, the smaller the required sample size for the substantive tests of details. For example, if inherent and control risks are assessed at the maximum, and no other substantive tests directed toward the same specific audit objectives are performed, the auditor should allow for a low risk of incorrect acceptance for the substantive tests of details. Thus, the auditor would select a larger sample size for the tests of details than if he allowed a higher risk of incorrect acceptance.

Some auditors prefer to think of risk levels in quantitative terms. For example, in the circumstances described, an auditor might think in terms of a 5 percent risk of incorrect acceptance for the substantive test of details. Risk levels used in sampling applications in other fields are not necessarily relevant in determining appropriate levels for applications in auditing because an audit includes many interrelated tests and sources of evidence.
The Appendix illustrates how the auditor may relate the risk of incorrect acceptance for a particular substantive test of details to his assessments of inherent risk, control risk, and the risk that analytical procedures and other relevant substantive tests would fail to detect material misstatement.

As discussed in section 326, the sufficiency of tests of details for a particular account balance or class of transactions is related to the individual importance of the items examined as well as to the potential for material misstatement. When planning a sample for a substantive test of details, the auditor uses his judgment to determine which items, if any, in an account balance or class of transactions should be individually examined and which items, if any, should be subject to sampling. The auditor should examine those items for which, in his judgment, acceptance of some sampling risk is not justified. For example, these may include items for which potential misstatements could individually equal or exceed the tolerable misstatement. Any items that the auditor has decided to examine 100 percent are not part of the items subject to sampling. Other items that, in the auditor’s judgment, need to be tested to fulfill the audit objective but need not be examined 100 percent, would be subject to sampling.

The auditor may be able to reduce the required sample size by separating items subject to sampling into relatively homogeneous groups on the basis of some characteristic related to the specific audit objective. For example, common bases for such groupings are the recorded or book value of the items, the nature of controls related to processing the items, and special considerations associated with certain items. An appropriate number of items is then selected from each group.

To determine the number of items to be selected in a sample for a particular substantive test of details, the auditor should consider the tolerable misstatement, the allowable risk of incorrect acceptance, and the characteristics of the population. An auditor applies professional judgment to relate these factors in determining the appropriate sample size. The Appendix illustrates the effect these factors may have on sample size.

Sample Selection

Sample items should be selected in such a way that the sample can be expected to be representative of the population. Therefore, all items in the population should have an opportunity to be selected. For example, haphazard and random-based selection of items represents two means of obtaining such samples.4

Performance and Evaluation

Auditing procedures that are appropriate to the particular audit objective should be applied to each sample item. In some circumstances the auditor may not be able to apply the planned audit procedures to selected sample items because, for example, supporting documentation may be missing. The auditor’s treatment of unexamined items will depend on their effect on his evaluation of the sample. If the auditor’s evaluation of the sample results would not be altered by considering those unexamined items to be misstated, it is not necessary to examine the items. However, if considering those unexamined items to be misstated would lead to a conclusion that the balance or class contains material misstatement, the auditor should consider alternative procedures that

---

4 Random-based selection includes, for example, random sampling, stratified random sampling, sampling with probability proportional to size, and systematic sampling (for example, every hundredth item) with one or more random starts.
would provide him with sufficient evidence to form a conclusion. The auditor should also consider whether the reasons for his inability to examine the items have implications in relation to his planned assessed level of control risk or his degree of reliance on management representations.

.26 The auditor should project the misstatement results of the sample to the items from which the sample was selected.\(^5\, 6\) There are several acceptable ways to project misstatements from a sample. For example, an auditor may have selected a sample of every twentieth item (50 items) from a population containing one thousand items. If he discovered overstatements of $3,000 in that sample, the auditor could project a $60,000 overstatement by dividing the amount of misstatement in the sample by the fraction of total items from the population included in the sample. The auditor should add that projection to the misstatements discovered in any items examined 100 percent. This total projected misstatement should be compared with the tolerable misstatement for the account balance or class of transactions, and appropriate consideration should be given to sampling risk. If the total projected misstatement is less than tolerable misstatement for the account balance or class of transactions, the auditor should consider the risk that such a result might be obtained even though the true monetary misstatement for the population exceeds tolerable misstatement. For example, if the tolerable misstatement in an account balance of $1 million is $50,000 and the total projected misstatement based on an appropriate sample (see paragraph .23) is $10,000, he may be reasonably assured that there is an acceptably low sampling risk that the true monetary misstatement for the population exceeds tolerable misstatement. On the other hand, if the total projected misstatement is close to the tolerable misstatement, the auditor may conclude that there is an unacceptably high risk that the actual misstatements in the population exceed the tolerable misstatement. An auditor uses professional judgment in making such evaluations.

.27 In addition to the evaluation of the frequency and amounts of monetary misstatements, consideration should be given to the qualitative aspects of the misstatements. These include (a) the nature and cause of misstatements, such as whether they are differences in principle or in application, are errors or are caused by fraud, or are due to misunderstanding of instructions or to carelessness, and (b) the possible relationship of the misstatements to other phases of the audit. The discovery of fraud ordinarily requires a broader consideration of possible implications than does the discovery of an error.

.28 If the sample results suggest that the auditor’s planning assumptions were incorrect, he should take appropriate action. For example, if monetary misstatements are discovered in a substantive test of details in amounts or frequency that is greater than is consistent with the assessed levels of inherent and control risk, the auditor should alter his risk assessments. The auditor should also consider whether to modify the other audit tests that were designed based upon the inherent and control risk assessments. For example, a large number of misstatements discovered in confirmation of receivables may indi-

\(^5\) If the auditor has separated the items subject to sampling into relatively homogeneous groups (see paragraph .22), he separately projects the misstatement results of each group and sums them.

\(^6\) See section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, paragraphs .75–.78, for a further discussion of the auditor’s consideration of differences between the accounting records and the underlying facts and circumstances. This section provides specific guidance on the auditor’s consideration of an audit adjustment that is, or may be, fraud. [Footnote revised, January 2004, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99.]

\(^7\) This sentence reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this sentence is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
categorize the need to reconsider the control risk assessment related to the assertions that impacted the design of substantive tests of sales or cash receipts.

.29 The auditor should relate the evaluation of the sample to other relevant audit evidence when forming a conclusion about the related account balance or class of transactions.

.30 Projected misstatement results for all audit sampling applications and all known misstatements from nonsampling applications should be considered in the aggregate along with other relevant audit evidence when the auditor evaluates whether the financial statements taken as a whole may be materially misstated.

Sampling in Tests of Controls

Planning Samples

.31 When planning a particular audit sample for a test of controls, the auditor should consider

- The relationship of the sample to the objective of the test of controls.
- The maximum rate of deviations from prescribed controls that would support his planned assessed level of control risk.
- The auditor’s allowable risk of assessing control risk too low.
- Characteristics of the population, that is, the items comprising the account balance or class of transactions of interest.

.32 For many tests of controls, sampling does not apply. Procedures performed to obtain an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan an audit do not involve sampling. Sampling generally is not applicable to tests of controls that depend primarily on appropriate segregation of duties or that otherwise provide no documentary evidence of performance. In addition, sampling may not apply to tests of certain documented controls. Sampling may not apply to tests directed toward obtaining evidence about the design or operation of the control environment or the accounting system. For example, inquiry or observation of explanation of variances from budgets when the auditor does not desire to estimate the rate of deviation from the prescribed control.

.33 When designing samples for tests of controls the auditor ordinarily should plan to evaluate operating effectiveness in terms of deviations from prescribed controls, as to either the rate of such deviations or the monetary amount of the related transactions. In this context, pertinent controls are ones that, had they not been included in the design of internal control would have adversely affected the auditor’s planned assessed level of control risk. The auditor’s overall assessment of control risk for a particular assertion involves combining judgments about the prescribed controls, the deviations from prescribed controls, and the degree of assurance provided by the sample and other tests of controls.

---

1 The auditor often plans to perform tests of controls concurrently with obtaining an understanding of internal control (see section 319.85) for the purpose of estimating the rate of deviation from the prescribed controls, as to either the rate of such deviations or monetary amount of the related transactions. Sampling, as defined in this section, applies to such tests of controls. [Footnote revised, May 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94.]

2 For simplicity the remainder of this section will refer to only the rate of deviations.
The auditor should determine the maximum rate of deviations from the prescribed control that he would be willing to accept without altering his planned assessed level of control risk. This is the tolerable rate. In determining the tolerable rate, the auditor should consider (a) the planned assessed level of control risk, and (b) the degree of assurance desired by the evidential matter in the sample. For example, if the auditor plans to assess control risk at a low level, and he desires a high degree of assurance from the evidential matter provided by the sample for tests of controls (i.e., not perform other tests of controls for the assertion), he might decide that a tolerable rate of 5 percent or possibly less would be reasonable. If the auditor either plans to assess control risk at a higher level, or he desires assurance from other tests of controls along with that provided by the sample (such as inquiries of appropriate entity personnel or observation of the application of the policy or procedure), the auditor might decide that a tolerable rate of 10 percent or more is reasonable.

In assessing the tolerable rate of deviations, the auditor should consider that, while deviations from pertinent controls increase the risk of material misstatements in the accounting records, such deviations do not necessarily result in misstatements. For example, a recorded disbursement that does not show evidence of required approval may nevertheless be a transaction that is properly authorized and recorded. Deviations would result in misstatements in the accounting records only if the deviations and the misstatements occurred on the same transactions. Deviations from pertinent controls at a given rate ordinarily would be expected to result in misstatements at a lower rate.

In some situations, the risk of material misstatement for an assertion may be related to a combination of controls. If a combination of two or more controls is necessary to affect the risk of material misstatement for an assertion, those controls should be regarded as a single procedure, and deviations from any controls in combination should be evaluated on that basis.

Samples taken to test the operating effectiveness of controls are intended to provide a basis for the auditor to conclude whether the controls are being applied as prescribed. When the degree of assurance desired by the evidential matter in the sample is high, the auditor should allow for a low level of sampling risk (that is, the risk of assessing control risk too low). To determine the number of items to be selected for a particular sample for a test of controls, the auditor should consider the tolerable rate of deviation from the controls being tested, the likely rate of deviations, and the allowable risk of assessing control risk too low. An auditor applies professional judgment to relate these factors in determining the appropriate sample size.

Sample Selection

Sample items should be selected in such a way that the sample can be expected to be representative of the population. Therefore, all items in the population should have an opportunity to be selected. Random-based selection of items represents one means of obtaining such samples. Ideally, the auditor should use a selection method that has the potential for selecting items from the entire period under audit. Section 319.99 provides guidance applicable to the auditor’s use of sampling during interim and remaining periods. (Revised,
Performance and Evaluation

.40 Auditing procedures that are appropriate to achieve the objective of the test of controls should be applied to each sample item. If the auditor is not able to apply the planned audit procedures or appropriate alternative procedures to selected items, he should consider the reasons for this limitation, and he should ordinarily consider those selected items to be deviations from the prescribed policy or procedure for the purpose of evaluating the sample.

.41 The deviation rate in the sample is the auditor’s best estimate of the deviation rate in the population from which it was selected. If the estimated deviation rate is less than the tolerable rate for the population, the auditor should consider the risk that such a result might be obtained even though the true deviation rate for the population exceeds the tolerable rate for the population. For example, if the tolerable rate for a population is 5 percent and no deviations are found in a sample of 60 items, the auditor may conclude that there is an acceptably low sampling risk that the true deviation rate in the population exceeds the tolerable rate of 5 percent. On the other hand, if the sample includes, for example, two or more deviations, the auditor may conclude that there is an unacceptably high sampling risk that the rate of deviations in the population exceeds the tolerable rate of 5 percent. An auditor applies professional judgment in making such an evaluation.

.42 In addition to the evaluation of the frequency of deviations from pertinent procedures, consideration should be given to the qualitative aspects of the deviations. These include (a) the nature and cause of the deviations, such as whether they are errors or irregularities or are due to misunderstanding of instructions or to carelessness, and (b) the possible relationship of the deviations to other phases of the audit. The discovery of an irregularity ordinarily requires a broader consideration of possible implications than does the discovery of an error.

.43 If the auditor concludes that the sample results do not support the planned assessed level of control risk for an assertion, he should re-evaluate the nature, timing, and extent of substantive procedures based on a revised consideration of the assessed level of control risk for the relevant financial statement assertions.

Dual-Purpose Samples

.44 In some circumstances the auditor may design a sample that will be used for dual purposes: assessing control risk and testing whether the recorded monetary amount of transactions is correct. In general, an auditor planning to use a dual-purpose sample would have made a preliminary assessment that there is an acceptably low risk that the rate of deviations from the prescribed control in the population exceeds the tolerable rate. For example, an auditor designing a test of a control procedure over entries in the voucher register may plan a related substantive test at a risk level that anticipates an assessment level of control risk below the maximum. The size of a sample designed for dual purposes should be the larger of the samples that would otherwise have been designed for the two separate purposes. In evaluating such tests, deviations from pertinent procedures and monetary misstatements should be evaluated separately using the risk levels applicable for the respective purposes.
Selecting a Sampling Approach

.45 As discussed in paragraph .04, either a nonstatistical or statistical approach to audit sampling, when properly applied, can provide sufficient evidential matter.

.46 Statistical sampling helps the auditor (a) to design an efficient sample, (b) to measure the sufficiency of the evidential matter obtained, and (c) to evaluate the sample results. By using statistical theory, the auditor can quantify sampling risk to assist himself in limiting it to a level he considers acceptable. However, statistical sampling involves additional costs of training auditors, designing individual samples to meet the statistical requirements, and selecting the items to be examined. Because either nonstatistical or statistical sampling can provide sufficient evidential matter, the auditor chooses between them after considering their relative cost and effectiveness in the circumstances.

Effective Date

.47 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods ended on or after June 25, 1983. Earlier application is encouraged. [As amended, effective retroactively to June 25, 1982, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43.]
Appendix

Relating the Risk of Incorrect Acceptance for a Substantive Test of Details to Other Sources of Audit Assurance

1. Audit risk, with respect to a particular account balance or class of transactions, is the risk that there is a monetary misstatement greater than tolerable misstatement affecting an assertion in an account balance or class of transactions that the auditor fails to detect. The auditor uses professional judgment in determining the allowable risk for a particular audit after he consider such factors as the risk of material misstatement in the financial statements, the cost to reduce the risk, and the effect of the potential misstatements on the use and understanding of the financial statements.

2. An auditor assesses inherent and control risk, and plans and performs substantive tests (analytical procedures and substantive tests of details) in whatever combination to reduce audit risk to an appropriate level. However, the second standard of field work contemplates that ordinarily the assessed level of control risk cannot be sufficiently low to eliminate the need to perform any substantive tests to restrict detection risk for all of the assertions relevant to significant account balances or transactions classes.

3. The sufficiency of audit sample sizes, whether nonstatistical or statistical, is influenced by several factors. Table 1 illustrates how several of these factors may affect sample sizes for a substantive test of details. Factors a, b and c in table 1 should be considered together (see paragraph .08). For example, high inherent risk, the lack of effective controls, and the absence of other substantive tests related to the same audit objective ordinarily require larger sample sizes for related substantive tests of details than if there were other sources to provide the basis for assessing inherent or control risks below the maximum, or if other substantive tests related to the same objective were performed. Alternatively, low inherent risk, effective controls, or effective analytical procedures and other relevant substantive tests may lead the auditor to conclude that the sample, if any, needed for an additional test of details can be small.

4. The following model expresses the general relationship of the risks associated with the auditor’s assessment of inherent and control risks, and the effectiveness of analytical procedures (including other relevant substantive tests) and substantive tests of details. The model is not intended to be a mathematical formula including all factors that may influence the determination of individual risk components; however, some auditors find such a model to be useful when planning appropriate risk levels for audit procedures to achieve the auditor’s desired audit risk.

\[ AR = IR \times CR \times AP \times TD \]

An auditor might use this model to obtain an understanding of an appropriate risk of incorrect acceptance for a substantive test of details as follows:

\[ TD = \frac{AR}{(IR \times CR \times AP)} \]

\[ AR = \text{The allowable audit risk that monetary misstatements equal to tolerable misstatement might remain undetected for the account balance or class of transactions and related assertions after the auditor} \]
has completed all audit procedures deemed necessary.\(^1\) The auditor uses his professional judgment to determine the allowable audit risk after considering factors such as those discussed in paragraph 1 of this appendix.

**IR** = Inherent risk is the susceptibility of an assertion to a material misstatement assuming there are no related internal control structure policies or procedures.

**CR** = Control risk is the risk that a material misstatement that could occur in an assertion will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by the entity’s controls. The auditor may assess control risk at the maximum, or assess control risk below the maximum based on the sufficiency of evidential matter obtained to support the effectiveness of controls. The quantification for this model relates to the auditor's evaluation of the overall effectiveness of those controls that would prevent or detect material misstatements equal to tolerable misstatement in the related account balance or class of transactions. For example, if the auditor believes that pertinent controls would prevent or detect misstatements equal to tolerable misstatement about half the time, he would assess this risk as 50 percent. (CR is not the same as the risk of assessing control risk too low.)

**AP** = The auditor's assessment of the risk that analytical procedures and other relevant substantive tests would fail to detect misstatements that could occur in an assertion equal to tolerable misstatement, given that such misstatements occur and are not detected by the internal control structure.

**TD** = The allowable risk of incorrect acceptance for the substantive test of details, given that misstatements equal to tolerable misstatement occur in an assertion and are not detected by internal control or analytical procedures and other relevant substantive tests.

5. The auditor planning a statistical sample can use the relationship in paragraph 4 of this Appendix to assist in planning his allowable risk of incorrect acceptance for a specific substantive test of details. To do so, he selects an acceptable audit risk (AR), and substantively quantifies his judgment of risks IR, CR and AP. Some levels of these risks are implicit in evaluating audit evidence and reaching conclusions. Auditors using the relationship prefer to evaluate these judgment risks explicitly.

6. The relationships between these independent risks are illustrated in table 2. In table 2 it is assumed, for illustrative purposes, that the auditor has chosen an audit risk of 5 percent for an assertion where inherent risk has been assessed at the maximum. Table 2 incorporates the premise that no internal control can be expected to be completely effective in detecting aggregate misstatements equal to tolerable misstatement that might occur. The table also illustrates the fact that the risk level for substantive tests for particular assertions is not an isolated decision. Rather, it is a direct consequence of the auditor’s assessments of inherent and control risks, and judgments about the effectiveness of analytical procedures and other relevant substantive tests, and it cannot be properly considered out of this context. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ended after September 30, 1983, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45.] (See section 313.)

---

\(^1\) For purposes of this Appendix, the nonsampling risk aspect of audit risk is assumed to be negligible, based on the level of quality controls in effect. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ended after September 30, 1983, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45.] (See section 313.)
### Table 1
Factors Influencing Sample Sizes for a Substantive Test of Details in Sample Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Conditions leading to Smaller sample size</th>
<th>Larger sample size</th>
<th>Related factor for substantive sample planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Assessment of inherent risk.</td>
<td>Low assessed level of inherent risk.</td>
<td>High assessed level of inherent risk.</td>
<td>Allowable risk of incorrect acceptance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Assessment of control risk.</td>
<td>Low assessed level of control risk.</td>
<td>High assessed level of control risk.</td>
<td>Allowable risk of incorrect acceptance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Assessment of risk for other substantive tests related to the same assertion (including analytical procedures and other relevant substantive tests).</td>
<td>Low assessment of risk associated with other relevant substantive tests.</td>
<td>High assessment of risk associated with other relevant substantive tests.</td>
<td>Allowable risk of incorrect acceptance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Expected size and frequency of misstatements.</td>
<td>Smaller misstatements or lower frequency.</td>
<td>Larger misstatements or higher frequency.</td>
<td>Assessment of population characteristics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Number of items in the population.</td>
<td>Virtually no effect on sample size unless population is very small.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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### Table 2

**Allowable Risk of Incorrect Acceptance (TD) for Various Assessments of CR and AP; for AR = .05 and IR = 1.0**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditor's subjective assessment control risk.</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The allowable level of AR of 5 percent exceeds the product of IR, CR, and AP, and thus, the planned substantive test of details may not be necessary.

**Note:** The table entries for TD are computed from the illustrated model: TD equals AR/(IR x CR x AP). For example, for IR = 1.0, CR = .50, AP = .30, TD = .05/(1.0 x .50 x .30) or .33 (equals 33%).

[The next page is 671.]
AU Section 9350

Audit Sampling: Auditing Interpretations of Section 350

1. Applicability

.01 Question—Section 350, Audit Sampling, paragraph .01, footnote 1, states that there may be reasons other than sampling for an auditor to examine less than 100 percent of the items comprising an account balance or class of transactions. For what reasons might an auditor's examination of less than 100 percent of the items comprising an account balance or class of transactions not be considered audit sampling?

.02 Interpretation—The auditor's examination of less than 100 percent of the items comprising an account balance or class of transactions would not be considered to be an audit sampling application under the following circumstances.

a. It is not the auditor's intent to extend the conclusion that he reaches by examining the items to the remainder of the items in the account balance or class. Audit sampling is defined as the application of an audit procedure to less than 100 percent of the items within an account balance or class of transactions for the purpose of evaluating some characteristic of the balance or class. Thus, if the purpose of the auditor's application of an auditing procedure to less than 100 percent of the items in an account balance or class of transactions is something other than evaluating a trait of the entire balance or class, he is not using audit sampling.

For example, an auditor might trace several transactions through an entity's accounting system to gain an understanding of the nature of the entity's operations or clarify his understanding of the design of the entity's internal control. In such cases the auditor's intent is to gain a general understanding of the accounting system or other relevant parts of the internal control, rather than the evaluation of a characteristic of all transactions processed. As a result, the auditor is not using audit sampling.

Occasionally auditors perform procedures such as checking arithmetical calculations or tracing journal entries into ledger accounts on a test basis. When such procedures are applied to less than 100 percent of the arithmetical calculations or ledger postings that affect the financial statements, audit sampling may not be involved if the procedure is not a test to evaluate a characteristic of an account balance or class of transactions, but is intended only to provide limited knowledge that supplements the auditor's other evidential matter regarding a financial statement assertion.

b. Although he might not be examining all the items in an account balance or class of transactions, the auditor might be examining 100 percent of the items in a given population. A “population” for audit sampling purposes does not necessarily need to be an entire account balance or class of transactions. For example, in some circumstances,
an auditor might examine all of the items that comprise an account balance or class of transactions that exceed a given amount or that have an unusual characteristic and either apply other auditing procedures (e.g., analytical procedures) to those items that do not exceed the given amount or possess the unusual characteristic or apply no auditing procedures to them because of their insignificance. Again, the auditor is not using audit sampling. Rather, he has broken the account balance or class of transactions into two groups. One group is tested 100 percent, the other group is either tested by analytical procedures or considered insignificant. The auditor would be using audit sampling only if he applied an auditing procedure to less than all of the items in the second group to form a conclusion about that group. For the same reason, cutoff tests often do not involve audit sampling applications. In performing cutoff tests auditors often examine all significant transactions for a period surrounding the cutoff date and, as a result, such tests do not involve the application of audit sampling.

c. The auditor is testing controls that are not documented. Auditors choose from a variety of methods including inquiry, observation, and examination of documentary evidence in testing controls. For example, observation of a client’s physical inventory count procedures is a test that is performed primarily through the auditor’s observation of controls over such things as inventory movement, counting procedures and other procedures used by the client to control the count of the inventory. The procedures that the auditor uses to observe the client’s physical inventory count generally do not require use of audit sampling. However, audit sampling may be used in certain tests of controls or substantive tests of details of inventory, for example, in tracing selected test counts into inventory records.

d. The auditor is not performing a substantive test of details. Substantive tests consist of tests of details of transactions and balances, analytical review and or from a combination of both. In performing substantive tests, audit sampling is generally used only in testing details of transactions and balances.
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Communication With Audit Committees

Source: SAS No. 61; SAS No. 89; SAS No. 90.

See section 9380 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section establishes a requirement for the auditor to determine that certain matters related to the conduct of an audit are communicated to those who have responsibility for oversight of the financial reporting process.\(^1\) For purposes of this document, the recipient of the communications is referred to as the audit committee. The communications required by this section are applicable to (1) entities that either have an audit committee or that have otherwise formally designated oversight of the financial reporting process to a group equivalent to an audit committee (such as a finance committee or budget committee) and (2) all Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) engagements.\(^2\)

.02 This section requires the auditor to ensure that the audit committee receives additional information regarding the scope and results of the audit that may assist the audit committee in overseeing the financial reporting and

---

\(^1\) Communication with the audit committee by the independent auditor on certain specified matters when they arise in the conduct of an audit is required by other standards, including:
- Section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit.
- Section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit.
- Section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients.
- Section 801, Compliance Auditing Considerations in Audits of Governmental Entities and Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance.

In addition, section 722, Interim Financial Information, requires that certain information be communicated to audit committees as a result of performing a review of interim financial information. (Footnote revised, November 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 100.)

\(^2\) For purposes of this section, an SEC engagement is defined as one that involves the audit of the financial statements of:
2. A registrant that files periodic reports with the SEC under the Investment Company Act of 1940 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (except a broker or dealer registered only because of section 15(a) of the 1934 Act).
3. A bank or other lending institution that files periodic reports with the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or the Federal Home Loan Bank Board because the powers, functions, and duties of the SEC to enforce its periodic reporting provisions are vested, pursuant to section 12(i) of the 1934 Act, in those agencies. (Section 12(i) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 provides an exemption from periodic reporting to the SEC to [1] entities with less than $5 million in total assets on the last day of each of the entity’s three most recent fiscal years and fewer than 500 shareholders and [2] entities with fewer than 300 shareholders. Accordingly, such entities are not encompassed within the scope of this definition.)
4. A company whose financial statements appear in the annual report or proxy statement of any investment fund because it is a sponsor or manager of such a fund, but which is not itself a registrant required to file periodic reports under the 1940 Act or section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
disclosure process for which management is responsible. This section does not require communications with management; however, it does not preclude communications with management or other individuals within the entity who may, in the auditor’s judgment, benefit from the communications.

.03 The communications may be oral or written. If information is communicated orally, the auditor should document the communication by appropriate memoranda or notations in the working papers. When the auditor communicates in writing, the report should indicate that it is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee or the board of directors and, if appropriate, management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

.04 The communications specified by this section are incidental to the audit. Accordingly, they are not required to occur before the issuance of the auditor’s report on the entity’s financial statements so long as the communications occur on a timely basis. There may be occasions, however, when discussion of certain of the matters (specified by paragraphs .06 through .14 below) with the audit committee prior to the issuance of the report may, in the auditor’s judgment, be desirable.

.05 It may be appropriate for management to communicate to the audit committee certain of the matters specified in this section. In such circumstances, the auditor should be satisfied that such communications have, in fact, occurred. Generally, it is not necessary to repeat the communication of recurring matters each year. Periodically, however, the auditor should consider whether, because of changes in the audit committee or simply because of the passage of time, it is appropriate and timely to report such matters. Finally, this section is not intended to restrict the communication of other matters.

Matters to Be Communicated

The Auditor’s Responsibility Under Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

.06 An audit performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards may address many matters of interest to an audit committee. For example, an audit committee is usually interested in internal control and in whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. In order for the audit committee to understand the nature of the assurance provided by an audit, the auditor should communicate the level of responsibility assumed for these matters under generally accepted auditing standards. It is also important for the audit committee to understand that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards is designed to obtain reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance about the financial statements.

Significant Accounting Policies

.07 The auditor should determine that the audit committee is informed about the initial selection of and changes in significant accounting policies or
their application. The auditor should also determine that the audit committee is informed about the methods used to account for significant unusual transactions and the effect of significant accounting policies in controversial or emerging areas for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. For example, significant accounting issues may exist in areas such as revenue recognition, off-balance-sheet financing, and accounting for equity investments.

Management Judgments and Accounting Estimates

.08 Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based upon management’s current judgments. Those judgments are normally based on knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ markedly from management’s current judgments. The auditor should determine that the audit committee is informed about the process used by management in formulating particularly sensitive accounting estimates and about the basis for the auditor’s conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates.

Audit Adjustments

.09 The auditor should inform the audit committee about adjustments arising from the audit that could, in his judgment, either individually or in the aggregate, have a significant effect on the entity’s financial reporting process. For purposes of this section, an audit adjustment, whether or not recorded by the entity, is a proposed correction of the financial statements that, in the auditor’s judgment, may not have been detected except through the auditing procedures performed. Matters underlying adjustments proposed by the auditor but not recorded by the entity could potentially cause future financial statements to be materially misstated, even though the auditor has concluded that the adjustments are not material to the current financial statements. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.]

.10 The auditor also should inform the audit committee about uncorrected misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented that were determined by management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.]

---

4 The presentation to the audit committee should be similar to the summary of uncorrected misstatements included in or attached to the management representation letter. See footnote 6 of section 333, Management Representations. [Footnote added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.]

5 The communication to management and the audit committee of immaterial misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented that were determined by management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole. [Paragraph added, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.]

---

* This sentence reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this sentence is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
Auditor’s Judgments About the Quality of the Entity’s Accounting Principles

.11 In connection with each SEC engagement (see paragraph .01), the auditor should discuss with the audit committee the auditor’s judgments about the quality, not just the acceptability, of the entity’s accounting principles as applied in its financial reporting. Since the primary responsibility for establishing an entity’s accounting principles rests with management, the discussion generally would include management as an active participant. The discussion should be open and frank and generally should include such matters as the consistency of the entity’s accounting policies and their application, and the clarity and completeness of the entity’s financial statements, which include related disclosures. The discussion should also include items that have a significant impact on the representational faithfulness, verifiability, and neutrality of the accounting information included in the financial statements. Examples of items that may have such an impact are the following:

- Selection of new or changes to accounting policies
- Estimates, judgments, and uncertainties
- Unusual transactions
- Accounting policies relating to significant financial statement items, including the timing of transactions and the period in which they are recorded

Objective criteria have not been developed to aid in the consistent evaluation of the quality of an entity’s accounting principles as applied in its financial statements. The discussion should be tailored to the entity’s specific circumstances, including accounting applications and practices not explicitly addressed in the accounting literature, for example, those that may be unique to an industry.

Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements

.12 The audit committee often considers information prepared by management that accompanies the entity’s financial statements. An example of information of this nature would be the “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” that certain entities that file reports with the SEC are required to present in annual reports to shareholders. Section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements, establishes the auditor’s responsibility for such information. The auditor should discuss with the audit committee his responsibility
Disagreements With Management

.13 Disagreements with management may occasionally arise over the application of accounting principles to the entity’s specific transactions and events and the basis for management’s judgments about accounting estimates. Disagreements may also arise regarding the scope of the audit, disclosures to be included in the entity’s financial statements, and the wording of the auditor’s report. The auditor should discuss with the audit committee any disagreements with management, whether or not satisfactorily resolved, about matters that individually or in the aggregate could be significant to the entity’s financial statements or the auditor’s report. For purposes of this section, disagreements do not include differences of opinion based on incomplete facts or preliminary information that are later resolved.

Consultation With Other Accountants

.14 In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters. When the auditor is aware that such consultation has occurred, he should discuss with the audit committee his views about significant matters that were the subject of such consultation.

Major Issues Discussed With Management Prior to Retention

.15 The auditor should discuss with the audit committee any major issues that were discussed with management in connection with the initial or recurring retention of the auditor including, among other matters, any discussions regarding the application of accounting principles and auditing standards.

Footnote 8: The glossary to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 57, Related Party Disclosures (AC section R36), defines management as follows:

Persons who are responsible for achieving the objectives of the enterprise and who have the authority to establish policies and make decisions by which those objectives are to be pursued. Management normally includes members of the board of directors, the chief executive officer, chief operating officer, vice presidents in charge of principal business functions (such as sales, administration, or finance), and other persons who perform similar policy-making functions. Persons without formal titles also may be members of management.

Footnote 9: Circumstances in which the auditor should be informed of such consultations are described in section 625, Reports on the Application of Accounting Principles, paragraph .07.
Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

.16 The auditor should inform the audit committee of any serious difficulties he encountered in dealing with management related to the performance of the audit. This may include, among other things, unreasonable delays by management in permitting the commencement of the audit or in providing needed information, and whether the timetable set by management was unreasonable under the circumstances. Other matters that the auditor may encounter include the unavailability of client personnel and the failure of client personnel to complete client-prepared schedules on a timely basis. If the auditor considers these matters significant, he should inform the audit committee. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89, December 1999. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 90, December 1999.]

Effective Date

.17 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989. Early application of the provisions of this section is permissible.
AU Section 9380

**Communication With Audit Committees: Auditing Interpretations of Section 380**

1. Applicability of Section 380

.01 Question—Section 380, *Communication With Audit Committees*, requires the auditor to determine that certain matters related to the conduct of an audit are communicated to those who have responsibility for oversight of the financial reporting process. Paragraph .01 indicates that the section is applicable to “(1) entities that either have an audit committee or that have otherwise formally designated oversight of the financial reporting process to a group equivalent to an audit committee (such as a finance committee or budget committee) and (2) all Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) engagements.”

.02 When a non-SEC client has no designated group equivalent to an audit committee with formal responsibility for the financial reporting process, does the auditor have a responsibility to communicate section 380 matters to the governing or oversight body or person(s)?

.03 Interpretation—No. If a governing or oversight body, such as a board of directors or a board of trustees, has not established an audit committee or formally designated a group with equivalent responsibility for the financial reporting process, the auditor is not required to make the communications. Similarly, the auditor has no responsibility to communicate section 380 matters if the client has no governing or oversight body (for example, a small owner-managed entity). However, the auditor is not precluded from communicating any or all matters described in section 380 in such cases.

[Issue Date: August, 1993.]
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---

1 See section 380.01, footnote 2.
**AU Section 390**

**Consideration of Omitted Procedures After the Report Date**

Source: SAS No. 46.

Effective, unless otherwise indicated: October 31, 1983.

.01 This section provides guidance on the considerations and procedures to be applied by an auditor who, subsequent to the date of his report on audited financial statements, concludes that one or more auditing procedures considered necessary at the time of the audit in the circumstances then existing were omitted from his audit of the financial statements, but there is no indication that those financial statements are not fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or with another comprehensive basis of accounting. This circumstance should be distinguished from that described in section 561, which applies if an auditor, subsequent to the date of his report on audited financial statements, becomes aware that facts regarding those financial statements may have existed at that date that might have affected his report had he then been aware of them.

.02 Once he has reported on audited financial statements, an auditor has no responsibility to carry out any retrospective review of his work. However, reports and working papers relating to particular engagements may be subjected to postissuance review in connection with a firm’s internal inspection program, peer review, or otherwise, and the omission of a necessary auditing procedure may be disclosed.

.03 A variety of conditions might be encountered in which an auditing procedure considered necessary at the time of the audit in the circumstances then existing has been omitted; therefore, the considerations and procedures described herein necessarily are set forth only in general terms. The period of time during which the auditor considers whether this section applies to the circumstances of a particular engagement and then takes the actions, if any, that are required hereunder may be important. Because of legal implications that may be involved in taking the actions contemplated herein, the auditor would be well advised to consult with his attorney when he encounters the circumstances to which this section may apply, and, with the attorney’s advice and assistance, determine an appropriate course of action.

.04 When the auditor concludes that an auditing procedure considered necessary at the time of the audit in the circumstances then existing was omitted from his audit of financial statements, he should assess the importance of the omitted procedure to his present ability to support his previously ex-

---

1 The provisions of this section are not intended to apply to an engagement in which an auditor’s work is at issue in a threatened or pending legal proceeding or regulatory investigation. (A threatened legal proceeding means that a potential claimant has manifested to the auditor an awareness of, and present intention to assert, a possible claim.)

2 See section 161, The Relationship of Generally Accepted Auditing Standards to Quality Control Standards, paragraph .02, and related quality control standards regarding the quality control function of inspection.
pressed opinion regarding those financial statements taken as a whole. A review of his working papers, discussion of the circumstances with engagement personnel and others, and a re-evaluation of the overall scope of his audit may be helpful in making this assessment. For example, the results of other procedures that were applied may tend to compensate for the one omitted or make its omission less important. Also, subsequent audits may provide audit evidence in support of the previously expressed opinion.

.05 If the auditor concludes that the omission of a procedure considered necessary at the time of the audit in the circumstances then existing impairs his present ability to support his previously expressed opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole, and he believes there are persons currently relying, or likely to rely, on his report, he should promptly undertake to apply the omitted procedure or alternative procedures that would provide a satisfactory basis for his opinion.

.06 When as a result of the subsequent application of the omitted procedure or alternative procedures, the auditor becomes aware that facts regarding the financial statements existed at the date of his report that would have affected that report had he been aware of them, he should be guided by the provisions of section 561.05–09.

.07 If in the circumstances described in paragraph .05, the auditor is unable to apply the previously omitted procedure or alternative procedures, he should consult his attorney to determine an appropriate course of action concerning his responsibilities to his client, regulatory authorities, if any, having jurisdiction over the client, and persons relying, or likely to rely, on his report.

Effective Date

.08 This section is effective as of October 31, 1983.
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AU Section 410

Adherence to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Source: SAS No. 1, section 410; SAS No. 62.

See section 9410 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 The first standard of reporting is:

The report shall state whether the financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

.02 The term “generally accepted accounting principles” as used in reporting standards is construed to include not only accounting principles and practices but also the methods of applying them. The first reporting standard is construed not to require a statement of fact by the auditor but an opinion as to whether the financial statements are presented in conformity with such principles. If limitations on the scope of the audit make it impossible for the auditor to form an opinion as to such conformity, appropriate qualification of his report is required. [Amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 14, effective with respect to engagements to issue special reports on data for periods beginning after December 31, 1976.]

[.03-.04] [Superseded July 1975 by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 5, as superseded by section 411.]

[The next page is 711.]

1 When an auditor reports on financial statements prepared in accordance with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles, the first standard of reporting is satisfied by disclosing in the auditor’s report that the statements have been prepared in conformity with another comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles and by expressing an opinion (or disclaiming an opinion) on whether the financial statements are presented in conformity with the comprehensive basis of accounting used (see section 623, Special Reports, paragraphs .02-.10).
Adherence to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles: Auditing Interpretations of Section 410

[1.] Accounting Principles Recommended by Trade Associations

[.01-.03] [Withdrawn August, 1982 by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43.]

[2.] The Impact of FASB Statement No. 2 on Auditor’s Report Issued Prior to the Statement’s Effective Date

[.04-.12] [Superseded October, 1979 by Interpretation No. 3, paragraphs .13-.18.]

3. The Impact on an Auditor’s Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement’s Effective Date

.13 Question—What is the impact on the auditor’s report when he is reporting on financial statements issued before the effective date of a Statement of Financial Accounting Standards and the financial statements will have to be restated in the future because the FASB statement will require retroactive application of its provisions by prior period adjustment?

.14 Interpretation—Where the accounting principles being followed are currently acceptable, the auditor should not qualify his opinion if a company does not adopt before an FASB Statement becomes effective accounting principles that will be prescribed by that Statement. For example, Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 2 [AC section R50], Accounting for Research and Development Costs, was issued in October 1974, but was effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 1975. This Statement requires companies to expense research and development costs encompassed by the Statement in the period they are incurred. Companies that had deferred research and development costs were required to restate their financial statements by prior period adjustment in the period in which FASB Statement No. 2 [AC section R50] became effective. Deferring research and development costs before FASB Statement No. 2 [AC section R50] became effective was an acceptable alternative principle under GAAP, although FASB Statement No. 2 [AC section R50] proscribed such treatment for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 1975. Other reporting considerations are addressed in the following paragraphs.

.15 Section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .41 states: “Information essential for a fair presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles should be set forth in the financial statements (which include related notes).” For financial statements that are prepared on the basis of accounting principles that are acceptable at the financial-statement date but that will not be acceptable in the future, the auditor should con-
Consider whether disclosure of the impending change in principle and the resulting restatement are essential data. If he decides that the matter should be disclosed and it is not, the auditor should express a qualified or adverse opinion as to conformity with GAAP, as required by section 508.41.

To evaluate the adequacy of disclosure of the prospective change in principle, the auditor should assess the potential effect on the financial statements. Using the research and development cost example given above, the effect of the anticipated prior period adjustment to write off previously deferred research and development costs would in some instances be so material that disclosure would be essential for an understanding of the financial statements. In cases such as this, where the estimated impact is so material, disclosure can best be made by supplementing the historical financial statements with pro forma financial data that give effect to the future adjustment as if it had occurred on the date of the balance sheet. (See section 560.05.) The pro forma data may be presented in columnar form alongside the historical statements, in the notes to the historical statements, or in separate pro forma statements presented with the historical statements.

The auditor also should consider whether disclosure is needed for other effects that may result upon the required future adoption of an accounting principle. For example, the future adoption of such a principle may result in a reduction to stockholders’ equity that may cause the company to be in violation of its debt covenants, which in turn may accelerate the due date for repayment of debt.

Even if the auditor decides that the disclosure of the forthcoming change and its effects are adequate and, consequently, decides not to qualify his opinion, he nevertheless may decide to include an explanatory paragraph in his report if the effects of the change are expected to be unusually material. The explanatory paragraph should not be construed as a qualification of the auditor’s opinion; it is intended to highlight circumstances of particular importance and to aid in interpreting the financial statements (see section 508.19).

[Issue Date: October, 1979; Revised: December, 1992; Revised: June, 1993; Revised: February, 1997.]
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**AU Section 411**

*The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*

(Supersedes SAS No. 5)

**Source:** SAS No. 69; SAS No. 91; SAS No. 93.

See section 9411 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending after March 15, 1992, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 An independent auditor's report contains an opinion as to whether the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, an entity's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. An identification of the country of origin of those generally accepted accounting principles also is required (see section 508.08h).

The purpose of this section is to explain the meaning of “present fairly . . . in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.” [As amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.02 The first standard of reporting requires an auditor who has audited financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards to state in the auditor's report whether the statements are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The phrase “generally accepted accounting principles” is a technical accounting term that encompasses the conventions, rules, and procedures necessary to define accepted accounting practice at a particular time. It includes not only broad guidelines of general application, but also detailed practices and procedures. Those conventions, rules, and procedures provide a standard by which to measure financial presentations. [Revised, June 1993, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement of Position 93-3.]

.03 The independent auditor's judgment concerning the “fairness” of the overall presentation of financial statements should be applied within the framework of generally accepted accounting principles. Without that framework, the auditor would have no uniform standard for judging the presentation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in financial statements.

.04 The auditor's opinion that financial statements present fairly an entity's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles should be based on his or her judgment as to whether (a) the accounting principles selected and applied have general acceptance; (b) the accounting principles are appropriate in the circumstances; (c) the financial statements, including the related notes, are informative.

---

* Title amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.
of matters that may affect their use, understanding, and interpretation (see section 431); (d) the information presented in the financial statements is classified and summarized in a reasonable manner, that is, neither too detailed nor too condensed (see section 431); and (e) the financial statements reflect the underlying transactions and events in a manner that presents the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows stated within a range of acceptable limits, that is, limits that are reasonable and practicable to attain in financial statements.\(^1\)

.05 Independent auditors agree on the existence of a body of generally accepted accounting principles, and they are knowledgeable about these principles and in the determination of their general acceptance. Nevertheless, the determination that a particular accounting principle is generally accepted may be difficult because no single reference source exists for all such principles. The sources of established accounting principles that are generally accepted in the United States of America are—

a. Accounting principles promulgated by a body designated by the AICPA Council to establish such principles, pursuant to rule 203 [ET section 203.01] of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. Rule 203 [ET section 203.01] provides that an auditor should not express an unqualified opinion if the financial statements contain a material departure from such pronouncements unless, due to unusual circumstances, adherence to the pronouncements would make the statements misleading. Rule 203 [ET section 203.01] implies that application of officially established accounting principles almost always results in the fair presentation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Nevertheless, rule 203 [ET section 203.01] provides for the possibility that literal application of such a pronouncement might, in unusual circumstances, result in misleading financial statements. (See section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .14 and .15.)

b. Pronouncements of bodies, composed of expert accountants, that deliberate accounting issues in public forums for the purpose of establishing accounting principles or describing existing accounting practices that are generally accepted, provided those pronouncements have been exposed for public comment and have been cleared by a body referred to in category (a).\(^2\)

c. Pronouncements of bodies, organized by a body referred to in category (a) and composed of expert accountants, that deliberate accounting issues in public forums for the purpose of interpreting or establishing accounting principles or describing existing accounting practices that are generally accepted, or pronouncements referred to in category (b) that have been cleared by a body referred to in category (a) but have not been exposed for public comment.

d. Practices or pronouncements that are widely recognized as being generally accepted because they represent prevalent practice in a particular industry, or the knowledgeable application to specific circumstances of pronouncements that are generally accepted.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

---

\(^1\) The concept of materiality is inherent in the auditor’s judgments. That concept involves qualitative as well as quantitative judgments (see sections 150.04, 312.10, and 508.36).

\(^2\) For purposes of this section, the word cleared means that a body referred to in subparagraphs (a) has indicated that it does not object to the issuance of the proposed pronouncement.
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Generally accepted accounting principles recognize the importance of reporting transactions and events in accordance with their substance. The auditor should consider whether the substance of transactions or events differs materially from their form.

If the accounting treatment of a transaction or event is not specified by a pronouncement covered by rule 203 [ET section 203.01], the auditor should consider whether the accounting treatment is specified by another source of established accounting principles. If an established accounting principle from one or more sources in category (b), (c), or (d) is relevant to the circumstances, the auditor should be prepared to justify a conclusion that another treatment is generally accepted. If there is a conflict between accounting principles relevant to the circumstances from one or more sources in category (b), (c), or (d), the auditor should follow the treatment specified by the source in the higher category—for example, follow category (b) treatment over category (c)—or be prepared to justify a conclusion that a treatment specified by a source in the lower category better presents the substance of the transaction in the circumstances.

The auditor should be aware that the accounting requirements adopted by regulatory agencies for reports filed with them may differ from generally accepted accounting principles in certain respects. Section 544, Lack of Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .04 and section 623, Special Reports provide guidance if the auditor is reporting on financial statements prepared in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of developments such as new legislation or the evolution of a new type of business transaction, there sometimes are no established accounting principles for reporting a specific transaction or event. In those instances, it might be possible to report the event or transaction on the basis of its substance by selecting an accounting principle that appears appropriate when applied in a manner similar to the application of an established principle to an analogous transaction or event.

Application to Nongovernmental Entities

For financial statements of entities other than governmental entities—


b. Category (b) consists of FASB Technical Bulletins and, if cleared by the FASB, AICPA Industry Audit and Accounting Guides and AICPA Statements of Position.

c. Category (c) consists of AICPA Accounting Standards Executive Committee (AcSEC) Practice Bulletins that have been cleared by the FASB unless the pronouncement indicates otherwise.

Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) have an authority similar to category (a) pronouncements for SEC registrants. In addition, the SEC staff issues Staff Accounting Bulletins that represent practices followed by the staff in administering SEC disclosure requirements. Also, the Introduction to the FASB’s EITF Abstracts states that the Securities and Exchange Commission’s Chief Accountant has said that the SEC staff would challenge any accounting that differs from a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force, because the consensus position represents the best thinking on areas for which there are no specific standards.

The auditor should assume that such pronouncements have been cleared by the FASB unless the pronouncement indicates otherwise.
The FASB and consensus positions of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force.

d. Category \((d)\) includes AICPA accounting interpretations and implementation guides ("Qs and As") published by the FASB staff, and practices that are widely recognized and prevalent either generally or in the industry.

.11 In the absence of a pronouncement covered by rule 203 [ET section 203.01] or another source of established accounting principles, the auditor of financial statements of entities other than governmental entities may consider other accounting literature, depending on its relevance in the circumstances. Other accounting literature includes, for example, FASB Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts; AICPA Issues Papers; International Accounting Standards of the International Accounting Standards Committee; Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements, Interpretations, and Technical Bulletins; Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) Statements, Interpretations, and Technical Bulletins; pronouncements of other professional associations or regulatory agencies; Technical Information Service Inquiries and Replies included in AICPA Technical Practice Aids; and accounting textbooks, handbooks, and articles. The appropriateness of other accounting literature depends on its relevance to particular circumstances, the specificity of the guidance, and the general recognition of the issuer or author as an authority. For example, FASB Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts would normally be more influential than other sources in this category. [Revised, June 1993, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement of Position 93-3.]

Application to State and Local Governmental Entities

.12 For financial statements of state and local governmental entities—

a. Category \((a)\), officially established accounting principles, consists of GASB Statements and Interpretations, as well as AICPA and FASB pronouncements specifically made applicable to state and local governmental entities by GASB Statements or Interpretations. GASB Statements and Interpretations are periodically incorporated in the Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards.

b. Category \((b)\) consists of GASB Technical Bulletins and, if specifically made applicable to state and local governmental entities by the AICPA and cleared\(^6\) by the GASB, AICPA Industry Audit and Accounting Guides and AICPA Statements of Position.

c. Category \((c)\) consists of AICPA AcSEC Practice Bulletins if specifically made applicable to state and local governmental entities and cleared\(^6\) by the GASB, as well as consensus positions of a group of accountants organized by the GASB that attempts to reach consensus positions on accounting issues applicable to state and local governmental entities.\(^7\)

---

\(^5\) State and local governmental entities include public benefit corporations and authorities; public employee retirement systems; and governmental utilities, hospitals and other health care providers, and colleges and universities.

\(^6\) The auditor should assume that such pronouncements specifically made applicable to state and local governments have been cleared by the GASB unless the pronouncement indicates otherwise.

\(^7\) As of the date of this section, the GASB had not organized such a group.
The Meaning of “Present Fairly in Conformity With GAAP”

d. Category (d) includes implementation guides (“Qs and As”) published by the GASB staff, as well as practices that are widely recognized and prevalent in state and local government.

.13 In the absence of a pronouncement covered by rule 203 [ET section 203.01] or another source of established accounting principles, the auditor of financial statements of state and local governmental entities may consider other accounting literature, depending on its relevance in the circumstances. Other accounting literature includes, for example, GASB Concepts Statements; the pronouncements referred to in categories (a) through (d) of paragraph .10 when not specifically made applicable to state and local governmental entities either by the GASB or by the organization issuing them; FASB Concepts Statements; FASAB Statements, Interpretations, Technical Bulletins, and Concepts Statements; AICPA Issues Papers; International Accounting Standards of the International Accounting Standards Committee; pronouncements of other professional associations or regulatory agencies; Technical Information Service Inquiries and Replies included in AICPA Technical Practice Aids; and accounting textbooks, handbooks, and articles. The appropriateness of other accounting literature depends on its relevance to particular circumstances, the specificity of the guidance, and the general recognition of the issuer or author as an authority. For example, GASB Concepts Statements would normally be more influential than other sources in this category. [Revised, June 1993, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement of Position 93-3.]

Application to Federal Governmental Entities

.14 For financial statements of federal governmental entities—

a. Category (a), officially established accounting principles, consists of Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) Statements and Interpretations, as well as AICPA and FASB pronouncements specifically made applicable to federal governmental entities by FASAB Statements or Interpretations. FASAB Statements and Interpretations will be periodically incorporated in a publication by the FASAB.

b. Category (b) consists of FASAB Technical Bulletins and, if specifically made applicable to federal governmental entities by the AICPA and cleared by the FASAB, AICPA Industry Audit and Accounting Guides and AICPA Statements of Position.

c. Category (c) consists of AICPA AcSEC Practice Bulletins if specifically made applicable to federal governmental entities and cleared by the FASAB, as well as Technical Releases of the Accounting and Auditing Policy Committee of the FASAB.

d. Category (d) includes implementation guides published by the FASAB staff, as well as practices that are widely recognized and prevalent in the federal government.

[Paragraph added, effective April 2000, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]

8 Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) Concepts Statement No. 2, Entity and Display, defines federal governmental entities. [Footnote added, effective April 2000, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]

9 The auditor should assume that such pronouncements specifically made applicable to federal governmental entities have been cleared by the FASAB, unless the pronouncement indicates otherwise. [Footnote added, effective April 2000, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]
.15 In the absence of a pronouncement covered by rule 203 [ET section 203.01] or another source of established accounting principles, the auditor of financial statements of a federal governmental entity may consider other accounting literature, depending on its relevance in the circumstances. Other accounting literature includes, for example, FASAB Concepts Statements; the pronouncements referred to in categories (a) through (d) of paragraph .10 when not specifically made applicable to federal governmental entities by the FASAB; FASB Concepts Statements; GASB Statements, Interpretations, Technical Bulletins, and Concepts Statements; AICPA Issues Papers; International Accounting Standards of the International Accounting Standards Committee; pronouncements of other professional associations or regulatory agencies; Technical Information Service Inquiries and Replies included in AICPA Technical Practice Aids; and accounting textbooks, handbooks, and articles. The appropriateness of other accounting literature depends on its relevance to particular circumstances, the specificity of the guidance, and the general recognition of the issuer or author as an authority. For example, FASAB Concepts Statements would normally be more influential than other sources in this category. [Paragraph added, effective April 2000, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]

Effective Date

.16 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending after March 15, 1992. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91, April 2000.]

Transition

.17 Most of the pronouncements or practices in categories (b), (c), and (d) of paragraphs .10 and .12 had equal authoritative standing prior to the issuance of this section. An entity following an accounting treatment in category (c) or (d) as of March 15, 1992, need not change to an accounting treatment in a category (b) or category (c) pronouncement whose effective date is before March 15, 1992. For example, a nongovernmental entity that followed a prevalent industry practice (category (d)) as of March 15, 1992, need not change to an accounting treatment included in a pronouncement in category (b) or (c) (for example, an accounting principle in a cleared AICPA Statement of Position or AcSEC Practice Bulletin) whose effective date is before March 15, 1992. For pronouncements whose effective date is subsequent to March 15, 1992, and for entities initially applying an accounting principle after March 15, 1992 (except for FASB Emerging Issues Task Force consensus positions issued before March 16, 1992, which become effective in the hierarchy for initial application of an accounting principle after March 15, 1993), the auditor should follow the applicable hierarchy established by paragraphs .10 and .12 in determining whether an entity’s financial statements are fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91, April 2000.]
## .18 GAAP Hierarchy Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nongovernmental Entities</th>
<th>State and Local Governments</th>
<th>Federal Governmental Entities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Established Accounting Principles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10a FASB Statements and Interpretations, APB Opinions, and AICPA Accounting Research Bulletins</td>
<td>.12a GASB Statements and Interpretations, plus AICPA and FASB pronouncements if made applicable to state and local governments by a GASB Statement or Interpretation</td>
<td>.14a FASAB Statements and Interpretations plus AICPA and FASB pronouncements if made applicable to federal governmental entities by a FASAB Statement or Interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10b FASB Technical Bulletins, AICPA Industry Audit and Accounting Guides, and AICPA Statements of Position</td>
<td>.12b GASB Technical Bulletins, and the following pronouncements if specifically made applicable to state and local governments by the AICPA: AICPA Industry Audit and Accounting Guides and AICPA Statements of Position</td>
<td>.14b FASAB Technical Bulletins and the following pronouncements if specifically made applicable to federal governmental entities by the AICPA and cleared by the FASAB: AICPA Industry Audit and Accounting Guides and AICPA Statements of Position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10c Consensus positions of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force and AICPA Practice Bulletins</td>
<td>.12c Consensus positions of the GASB Emerging Issues Task Force and AICPA Practice Bulletins if specifically made applicable to state and local governments by the AICPA</td>
<td>.14c AICPA AcSEC Practice Bulletins if specifically made applicable to federal governmental entities and cleared by the FASAB and Technical Releases of the Accounting and Auditing Policy Committee of the FASAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10d AICPA accounting interpretations, “Qs and As” published by the FASB staff, as well as industry practices widely recognized and prevalent</td>
<td>.12d “Qs and As” published by the GASB staff, as well as industry practices widely recognized and prevalent</td>
<td>.14d Implementation guides published by the FASAB staff and practices that are widely recognized and prevalent in the federal government</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* Paragraph references correspond to the paragraphs of this section that describe the categories of the GAAP hierarchy.

† As of the date of this section, the GASB had not organized such a group.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nongovernmental Entities</th>
<th>State and Local Governments</th>
<th>Federal Governmental Entities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Accounting Literature††</td>
<td>Other accounting literature, including GASB Concepts Statements; AICPA Issues Papers; International Accounting Standards Committee Statements; GASB Statements, Interpretations, and Technical Bulletins; FASAB Statements, Interpretations, and Technical Bulletins; pronouncements of other professional associations or regulatory agencies; AICPA Technical Practice Aids, and accounting textbooks, handbooks, and articles</td>
<td>Other accounting literature, including FASAB Concepts Statements; pronouncements in categories (a) through (d) of the hierarchy for nongovernmental entities when not specifically made applicable to state and local governments; FASAB Concepts Statements; FASAB Statements, Interpretations, Technical Bulletins, and Concepts Statements; AICPA Issues Papers; International Accounting Standards Committee Statements; pronouncements of other professional associations or regulatory agencies; AICPA Technical Practice Aids; and accounting textbooks, handbooks, and articles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Revised, June 1993, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement of Position 93-3. Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective April 2000, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]

[The next page is 725.]

†† In the absence of established accounting principles, the auditor may consider other accounting literature, depending on its relevance in the circumstances.
AU Section 9411

The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles: Auditing Interpretations of Section 411*

[1.] The Auditor’s Consideration of Accounting Principles Set Forth in Industry Audit and Accounting Guides

[.01–.04] [Deleted September, 1984.]

[2.] The Auditor’s Consideration of Accounting Principles Promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board

[.05–.10] [Withdrawn April, 1988 by SAS No. 52.]

3. The Auditor’s Consideration of Management’s Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Transactions or Events

.11 Question—When an entity engages in new types of transactions or encounters new events that are material and for which there are no established sources of accounting principles, what should the auditor consider in formulating a judgment about the general acceptance and appropriateness in the circumstances of the accounting principles selected by management?

.12 Interpretation—When an entity adopts accounting principles in response to new types of transactions or events that are material and for which there are no established sources of accounting principles, the auditor should understand the basis used by management to select the particular accounting principle. In assessing the appropriateness of the accounting principle selected by management, the auditor may consider whether there are analogous transactions or events for which there are established accounting principles. If the auditor has identified analogous transactions or events for which there are established accounting principles, he or she should follow the guidance in section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .09. Section 411.09 states that “there sometimes are no established accounting principles for reporting a specific transaction or event. In those instances, it might be possible to report the event or transaction on the basis of its substance by selecting an accounting principle that appears appropriate when applied in a manner similar to the application of an established principle to an analogous transaction or event.”

.13 In addition, the auditor also may consider the appropriateness of other accounting literature, as discussed in section 411.11 for nongovernmental entities or section 411.13 for governmental entities. The appropriateness of

* Title of section 411 amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.
other accounting literature depends on its relevance to particular circumstances, the specificity of the guidance, and the general recognition of the issuer or author as an authority.

.14 Section 411.04 recognizes that an auditor’s opinion that financial statements are presented fairly in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles should be based on his or her judgment as to whether the accounting principles selected and applied have general acceptance and are appropriate in the circumstances.

.15 Furthermore, in engagements where section 380, Communication With Audit Committees, applies, the auditor should determine that the audit committee (or its equivalent) is informed about the initial selection of and changes in significant accounting policies or their application. The auditor should also determine that the audit committee (or its equivalent) is informed about the methods used to account for significant unusual transactions and the effect of significant accounting policies in controversial or emerging areas for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

[Issue Date: March, 1995; Revised: October, 2000.]

[The next page is 731.]
AU Section 420

Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Source: SAS No. 1, section 420; SAS No. 43; SAS No. 88.

See section 9420 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 The second standard of reporting (referred to herein as the consistency standard) is:

The report shall identify those circumstances in which such principles have not been consistently observed in the current period in relation to the preceding period.

.02 The objective of the consistency standard is to ensure that if comparability of financial statements between periods has been materially affected by changes in accounting principles, there will be appropriate reporting by the independent auditor regarding such changes.1 It is also implicit in the objective that such principles have been consistently observed within each period. The auditor’s standard report implies that the auditor is satisfied that the comparability of financial statements between periods has not been materially affected by changes in accounting principles and that such principles have been consistently applied between or among periods because either (a) no change in accounting principles has occurred, or (b) there has been a change in accounting principles or in the method of their application, but the effect of the change on the comparability of the financial statements is not material. In these cases, the auditor would not refer to consistency in his report.

.03 Proper application of the consistency standard by the independent auditor requires an understanding of the relationship of consistency to comparability. Although lack of consistency may cause lack of comparability, other factors unrelated to consistency may also cause lack of comparability.2

.04 A comparison of the financial statements of an entity between years may be affected by (a) accounting changes, (b) an error in previously issued financial statements, (c) changes in classification, and (d) events or transactions substantially different from those accounted for in previously issued statements. Accounting change, as defined in APB Opinion No. 20 [AC section A06], means a change in (1) an accounting principle, (2) an accounting estimate, or (3) the reporting entity (which is a special type of change in accounting principle).

---

1 The appropriate form of reporting on a lack of consistency is discussed in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs 16 through 18. [Footnote added to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]

2 For a discussion of comparability of financial statements of a single enterprise, see paragraphs 111 through 119 of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 2, “Qualitative Characteristics of Accounting Information.” [Footnote renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Revised, June, 1993, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement of Position 93-3.]
Changes in accounting principle having a material effect on the financial statements require recognition in the independent auditor’s report through the addition of an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph). Other factors affecting comparability in financial statements may require disclosure, but they would not ordinarily be commented upon in the independent auditor’s report.

**Accounting Changes Affecting Consistency**

**Change in Accounting Principle**

.06 “A change in accounting principle results from adoption of a generally accepted accounting principle different from the one used previously for reporting purposes. The term accounting principle includes not only accounting principles and practices but also the methods of applying them.”

A change in accounting principle includes, for example, a change from the straight-line method to the declining balance method of depreciation for all assets in a class or for all newly acquired assets in a class. The consistency standard is applicable to this type of change and requires recognition in the auditor’s report through the addition of an explanatory paragraph. [As modified, effective January 1, 1975, by FASB Statement No. 2 (AC section R50).]

**Change in the Reporting Entity**

.07 A change in the reporting entity is a special type of change in accounting principle which results in financial statements that, in effect, are those of a different reporting entity. This type is limited mainly to—

a. Presenting consolidated or combined statements in place of statements of individual companies.

b. Changing specific subsidiaries comprising the group of companies for which consolidated statements are presented.

c. Changing the companies included in combined financial statements.

A business combination accounted for by the pooling of interests method also results in a different reporting entity.4 [As amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.]

.08 A change in the reporting entity resulting from a transaction or event, such as a pooling of interests, or the creation, cessation, or complete or partial purchase or disposition of a subsidiary or other business unit, does not require that an explanatory paragraph about consistency be included in the auditor’s report. A change in the reporting entity that does not result from a transaction or event requires recognition in the auditor’s report through inclusion of an explanatory paragraph. [Paragraph added, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.]

.09 When companies have merged or combined in a pooling of interests, appropriate effect of the pooling should be given in the presentation of financial position, results of operations, cash flows, and other historical financial data of the continuing business for the year in which the combination is consummated.
and, in comparative financial statements, for years prior to the year of pooling, as described in APB Opinion No. 16, Business Combinations [AC section B50]. If prior year financial statements, presented in comparison with current year financial statements, are not restated to give appropriate recognition to a pooling of interests, a departure from generally accepted accounting principles has occurred which necessitates that the auditor express a qualified or an adverse opinion as discussed in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .35 through .40. Since the inconsistency arises not from a change in the application of an accounting principle in the current year, but from the lack of such application to prior years, an explanatory paragraph (in addition to the modification relating to the departure from generally accepted accounting principles) is not required. [Paragraph added to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective December 1999, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.]

[.10] [Paragraph added to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph renumbered and deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

[.11] [Paragraph renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered and deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

**Correction of an Error in Principle**

.12 A change from an accounting principle that is not generally accepted to one that is generally accepted, including correction of a mistake in the application of a principle, is a correction of an error. Although this type of change in accounting principle should be accounted for as the correction of an error,5 the change requires recognition in the auditor’s report through the addition of an explanatory paragraph. [Paragraph renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

**Change in Principle Inseparable From Change in Estimate**

.13 The effect of a change in accounting principle may be inseparable from the effect of a change in estimate.7 Although the accounting for such a change

---

5 See paragraphs 13, 36, and 37 of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20 [AC section A35.104–105]. [Footnote renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

[5] [Footnote deleted to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

7 See paragraph 11 of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20 [AC section A06.110]. [Footnote renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

AICPA Professional Standards AU §420.13
Changes Not Affecting Consistency

Change in Accounting Estimate

.15 Accounting estimates (such as service lives and salvage values of depreciable assets and provisions for warranty costs, uncollectible receivables, and inventory obsolescence) are necessary in the preparation of financial statements. Accounting estimates change as new events occur and as additional experience and information are acquired. This type of accounting change is required by altered conditions that affect comparability but do not involve the consistency standard. The independent auditor, in addition to satisfying himself with respect to the conditions giving rise to the change in accounting estimate, should satisfy himself that the change does not include the effect of a change in accounting principle. Provided he is so satisfied, he need not comment on the change in his report.1 However, an accounting change of this type having a material effect on the financial statements may require disclosure in a note to the financial statements.2 [Paragraph renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

Error Correction Not Involving Principle

.16 Correction of an error in previously issued financial statements resulting from mathematical mistakes, oversight, or misuse of facts that existed...
Consistency of Application of GAAP

at the time the financial statements were originally prepared does not involve the consistency standard if no element of accounting principles or their application is included. Accordingly, the independent auditor need not recognize the correction in his report.¹⁰ [Paragraph renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

Changes in Classification and Reclassifications

.17 Classifications in the current financial statements may be different from classifications in the prior year’s financial statements. Although changes in classification are usually not of sufficient importance to necessitate disclosure, material changes in classification should be indicated and explained in the financial statements or notes. These changes and material reclassifications made in previously issued financial statements to enhance comparability with current financial statements ordinarily would not need to be referred to in the independent auditor’s report. [Paragraph renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

Variations in Presentation of Statement of Changes in Financial Position

[.18] [Paragraph renumbered and deleted to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

Substantially Different Transactions or Events

.19 Accounting principles are adopted when events or transactions first become material in their effect. Such adoption, as well as modification or adoption of an accounting principle necessitated by transactions or events that are clearly different in substance from those previously occurring, do not involve the consistency standard although disclosure in the notes to the financial statements may be required. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982. Paragraph subsequently renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

Changes Expected to Have a Material Future Effect

.20 If an accounting change has no material effect on the financial statements in the current year, but the change is reasonably certain to have substantial effect in later years, the change should be disclosed in the notes to

¹⁰ If the independent auditor had previously reported on the financial statements containing the error, he should refer to section 561, Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report. [Footnote renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]
the financial statements whenever the statements of the period of change are presented, but the independent auditor need not recognize the change in his report. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982. Paragraph subsequently renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

**Disclosure of Changes Not Affecting Consistency**

.21 While the matters do not require the addition of an explanatory paragraph about consistency in the independent auditor’s report, the auditor should qualify his opinion as to the disclosure matter if necessary disclosures are not made. (See section 431.) [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982. Paragraph subsequently renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

**Periods to Which the Consistency Standard Relates**

.22 When the independent auditor reports only on the current period, he should obtain sufficient, competent evidential matter about consistency of the application of accounting principles, regardless of whether financial statements for the preceding period are presented. (The term “current period” means the most recent year, or period of less than one year, upon which the independent auditor is reporting.) When the independent auditor reports on two or more years, he should address the consistency of the application of accounting principles between such years and the consistency of such years with the year prior thereto if such prior year is presented with the financial statements being reported upon. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982. Paragraph subsequently renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

**Consistency Expression**

[.23] [Paragraph renumbered and deleted to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]

**First Year Audits**

.24 When the independent auditor has not audited the financial statements of a company for the preceding year, he should adopt procedures that are practicable and reasonable in the circumstances to assure himself that the accounting principles employed are consistent between the current and the preceding year. Where adequate records have been maintained by the client, it is usually practicable and reasonable to extend auditing procedures to gather sufficient competent evidential matter about consistency. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]
Inadequate financial records or limitations imposed by the client may preclude the independent auditor from obtaining sufficient, competent evidential matter about the consistent application of accounting principles between the current and the prior year, as well as to the amounts of assets or liabilities at the beginning of the current year. Where such amounts could materially affect current operating results, the independent auditor would also be unable to express an opinion on the current year’s results of operations and cash flows. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88, December 1999.]
AU Section 9420

Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles: Auditing Interpretations of Section 420

[1.] The Effect of APB Opinion No. 30 on Consistency

[.01–.10] [Superseded October, 1979 by Interpretation No. 5, paragraphs .28–.31.]

2. The Effect of APB Opinion No. 28 on Consistency

.11 Question—Independent auditors may be engaged to report on financial information for an annual period and a subsequent interim period. Should the auditor add an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) to his report in those circumstances where accounting principles and practices used in preparing the annual financial information have been modified in accordance with APB Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73] in preparing the interim financial statements?

.12 Interpretation—No. The auditor should not add an explanatory paragraph to his report because of these modifications. Although the modifications deemed appropriate under Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73] may appear to be changes in the methods of applying accounting principles, they differ from changes in methods that require an explanatory paragraph since the modifications are made in order to recognize a difference in circumstances, that is, a difference between presenting financial information for a year and presenting financial information for only a part of a year.

.13 Section 420, Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .02, states: “The objective of the consistency standard is to ensure that if comparability of financial statements between periods has been materially affected by changes in accounting principles there will be appropriate reporting by the independent auditor regarding such changes.” Section 420.02 refers to changes in methods that lessen the usefulness of financial statements in comparing the financial information of one period with that of an earlier period. Thus, the purpose of an explanatory paragraph about consistency in the auditor’s report is to alert readers of the report not to make an unqualified comparison of the financial information for the two periods.

.14 The modifications introduced by Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73], however, do not lessen the comparability of the financial information of an interim period with that of a preceding annual period. On the contrary, those modifications are intended to enhance comparability between the two sets of financial information. As paragraph 10 of Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73.103] states, the modifications are needed “so that the reported results for the interim period may better relate to the results of operations for the annual period.”

1 Originally issued under the title “Reporting on Consistency and Extraordinary Items” (Journal of Accountancy, Jan. ’74, p. 87).
Thus the modifications introduced by Opinion No. 28 [AC section 173] are not of the type that would require an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) in the auditor’s report. Independent auditors should, of course, add an explanatory paragraph if changes of the type that lessen comparability are introduced in the interim financial information.

[Issue Date: February, 1974.]

3. Impact on the Auditor’s Report of FIFO to LIFO Change in Comparative Financial Statements

.16 Question—Changing economic conditions have caused some companies to change their inventory pricing methods from the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method to the last in, first out (LIFO) method. When a company presents comparative financial statements and the year of the FIFO to LIFO change is the earliest year both presented and reported on, should the auditor refer to that change in accounting principle in his report?

.17 Interpretation—The auditor would not be required to refer in his report to a FIFO to LIFO change in the circumstances described above.

.18 A change in accounting principle usually results in including the cumulative effect of the change in net income of the period of the change. A change in inventory pricing method from FIFO to LIFO, however, is a change in accounting principle that ordinarily does not affect retained earnings at the beginning of the period in which the change was made. (See APB Opinion No. 20, paragraphs 14(d) and 26.)

.19 An example of typical disclosure of a FIFO to LIFO change in the year of the change is as follows:

“In 1974, the company adopted the last in, first out (LIFO) method of costing inventory. Previously, the first in, first out (FIFO) method of costing inventory was used. Management believes that the LIFO method has the effect of minimizing the impact of price level changes on inventory valuations and generally matches current costs against current revenues in the income statement. The effect of the change was to reduce net income by $xxxx ($xx per share) from that which would otherwise have been reported. There is no cumulative effect on prior years since the ending inventory as previously reported (1973) is the beginning inventory for LIFO purposes. Accordingly, pro forma results of operations for the prior year had LIFO been followed is not determinable.”

.20 Section 420, Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .22 discusses the periods to which the consistency standard relates: “When the independent auditor reports on two or more years, he should address the consistency of the application of accounting principles between such years. . . .” For a FIFO to LIFO change made in the earliest year presented and reported on, there is no inconsistency in the application of accounting principles, and comparability between the earliest year and subsequent years is not affected since no cumulative effect is reported in the year of the change. Consequently, the independent auditor need not refer to the change in inventory pricing methods.

[.21–23] [Paragraphs deleted to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]

2 AC section A06.122.
8. The Effect of Accounting Changes by an Investee on Consistency

.52 Question—Does a change in accounting principle by an investee accounted for by the equity method require the auditor to add an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) to his report on the financial statements of the investor?

.53 Interpretation—Changes in accounting principle affect the comparability of financial statements regardless of whether such changes originate at the investor level or are made solely by an investee.4 Section 420, Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .02, states: “The objective of the consistency standard is to ensure that if comparability of financial statements between periods has been materially affected by changes in accounting principles there will be appropriate reporting by the independent auditor regarding such changes.”

.54 Thus, the auditor would need to add an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) to his report when there has been a change in accounting principle by an investee accounted for by the equity method that causes a material lack of comparability in the financial statements of an investor.

.55–.57 [Paragraphs deleted to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]

9. The Effect of Adoption of FASB Statement No. 35 on Consistency

.58–.63 [Withdrawn March, 1989 by the Auditing Standards Board.]

10. Change in Presentation of Accumulated Benefit Information in the Financial Statements of a Defined Benefit Pension Plan

.64 Question—FASB Statement No. 35, Accounting and Reporting by Defined Benefit Pension Plans [AC section Pe5] requires the presentation of

---

[3] [Footnote deleted.]


AICPA Professional Standards
information regarding the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits and year-to-year changes therein of a defined benefit pension plan but permits certain flexibility in presenting such information. The information may be included on the face of a financial statement (a separate statement or one that combines accumulated benefit information with asset information), or it may be included in the notes to the financial statements. Furthermore, the benefit information may be as of the beginning of the period being reported upon or as of the end of that period. Does a change in the format of presentation of accumulated benefit information or a change in the date as of which such information is presented require the auditor to add an explanatory paragraph (after the opinion paragraph) to his report because of the change?

Interpretation—Such changes in the presentation of information regarding accumulated benefits are considered reclassifications or variations in the nature of information presented. Changes such as these that are material should be explained in the financial statements or notes, but these changes ordinarily would not require the auditor to add this explanatory paragraph to his report (see section 420.17).

[Issue Date: December, 1980.]

11. The Effect of the Adoption of FASB Statement No. 36 on Consistency

[66–68] [Withdrawn March, 1989 by the Auditing Standards Board.]

12. The Effect on the Auditor’s Report of an Entity’s Adoption of a New Accounting Standard That Does Not Require the Entity to Disclose the Effect of the Change in the Year of Adoption

Question—An entity adopts a new accounting standard (for example, Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities) and the standard does not require the entity to disclose, and the entity has not disclosed or determined, the effect of the change in the year of adoption.

Section 420, Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .05 states, in part, that:

Changes in accounting principle having a material [emphasis added] effect on the financial statements require recognition in the independent auditor’s report through the addition of an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph).

If an accounting standard does not require the entity to disclose, and the entity has not disclosed or determined, the effect of the change in accounting principle in the year of adoption, how should the auditor determine materiality for purposes of applying the consistency standard?

Interpretation—According to section 420.02, the objective of the second standard of reporting (referred to in section 420 as the consistency standard) is to:

... ensure that if comparability of financial statements between periods has been materially affected by changes in accounting principles, there will be appropriate reporting by the independent auditor regarding such changes. [Footnote omitted]
When an accounting standard does not require the entity to disclose the effect of the change in accounting principle in the year of adoption, section 420 does not require the auditor to independently determine the effect of that change in the year of adoption. Therefore, to determine whether to add an explanatory paragraph to the audit report for the accounting change resulting from adoption of such an accounting standard, the auditor should consider (a) the materiality of the cumulative effect of the change, where the accounting standard specifies that the cumulative effect of the change be recorded as of the beginning of the reporting period, and (b) the entity’s voluntary disclosure, and the related support, regarding how it believes the change in accounting principle affected the financial statements in the year of adoption, when such disclosure is made. An explanatory paragraph would be required only if the cumulative effect of the change is material or if management discloses that it believes that the effect is or may be material in the year of adoption.

[Issue Date: April, 2002.]
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AU Section 431

Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements

(Supersedes Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, section 430)

Source: SAS No. 32.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: October, 1980.

.01 The third standard of reporting is:

Informative disclosures in the financial statements are to be regarded as reasonably adequate unless otherwise stated in the report.

.02 The presentation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles includes adequate disclosure of material matters. These matters relate to the form, arrangement, and content of the financial statements and their appended notes, including, for example, the terminology used, the amount of detail given, the classification of items in the statements, and the bases of amounts set forth. An independent auditor considers whether a particular matter should be disclosed in light of the circumstances and facts of which he is aware at the time.

.03 If management omits from the financial statements, including the accompanying notes, information that is required by generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should express a qualified or an adverse opinion and should provide the information in his report, if practicable, unless its omission from the auditor's report is recognized as appropriate by a specific Statement on Auditing Standards. In this context, practicable means that the information is reasonably obtainable from management's accounts and records and that providing the information in the report does not require the auditor to assume the position of a preparer of financial information. For example, the auditor would not be expected to prepare a basic financial statement or segment information and include it in his report when management omits such information.

.04 In considering the adequacy of disclosure, and in other aspects of his audit, the auditor uses information received in confidence from the client. Without such confidence, the auditor would find it difficult to obtain information necessary for him to form an opinion on financial statements. Thus, the auditor should not ordinarily make available, without the client's consent, information that is not required to be disclosed in financial statements to comply with generally accepted accounting principles (see AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, Rule 301 [ET section 301.01]).

[The next page is 755.]
AU Section 435

Segment Information

Source: SAS No. 21.

Notice of Rescission of Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 21, Segment Information, and Issuance of Interpretation on Auditing Procedures for Segment Disclosures

The Auditing Standards Board (ASB) has rescinded SAS No. 21, Segment Information, effective for audits of financial statements to which Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, has been applied. FASB Statement No. 131 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997, with earlier application encouraged.

SAS No. 21 was issued in December 1977 to provide guidance to auditors on audit issues related to the implementation of FASB Statement No. 14, Financial Reporting for Segments of a Business Enterprise. In June 1997, the FASB issued Statement No. 131, which supersedes FASB Statement No. 14. The auditing guidance contained in SAS No. 21 is inappropriate for audits of financial statements of entities that have implemented FASB Statement No. 131.

The Audit Issues Task Force of the ASB has issued an interpretation of section 326, Evidential Matter, entitled “Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements,” to provide guidance for audits of financial statements of entities that have implemented FASB Statement No. 131. See section 9326.28–.41 for the interpretation.
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AU Section 504  

**Association With Financial Statements**

(Supersedes Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, Sections 516, 517, and 518 and Statement on Auditing Standards No. 15, paragraphs 13–15)[1]

Source: SAS No. 26; SAS No. 35; SAS No. 72.

See section 9504 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1979.

.01 The fourth standard of reporting is:

The report shall either contain an expression of opinion regarding the financial statements, taken as a whole, or an assertion to the effect that an opinion cannot be expressed. When an overall opinion cannot be expressed, the reasons therefor should be stated. In all cases where an auditor's name is associated with financial statements, the report should contain a clear-cut indication of the character of the auditor's work, if any, and the degree of responsibility the auditor is taking.

The objective of the fourth reporting standard is to prevent misinterpretation of the degree of responsibility the accountant assumes when his name is associated with financial statements.

.02 This section defines *association* as that term is used in the fourth reporting standard. It provides guidance to an accountant associated with the financial statements of a public entity or with a nonpublic entity's financial statements that he has been engaged to audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards.²

.03 An accountant is associated with financial statements when he has consented to the use of his name in a report, document, or written communication containing the statements.³ Also, when an accountant submits to his client or others financial statements that he has prepared or assisted in preparing, he is deemed to be associated even though the accountant does not append his name to the statements. Although the accountant may participate in the preparation of financial statements, the statements are representations of management, and the fairness of their presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles is management's responsibility.

---

[1] [Footnote deleted to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]

² For purposes of this section, a public entity is any entity (a) whose securities trade in a public market either on a stock exchange (domestic or foreign) or in the over-the-counter market, including securities quoted only locally or regionally, (b) that makes a filing with a regulatory agency in preparation for the sale of any class of its securities in a public market, or (c) a subsidiary, corporate joint venture, or other entity controlled by an entity covered by (a) or (b). Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services provide guidance in connection with the unaudited financial statements or other unaudited financial information of a nonpublic entity.

³ However, this section does not apply to data, such as tax returns, prepared solely for submission to taxing authorities.
An accountant may be associated with audited or unaudited financial statements. Financial statements are audited if the accountant has applied auditing procedures sufficient to permit him to report on them as described in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements. The unaudited interim financial statements (or financial information) of a public entity are reviewed when the accountant has applied procedures sufficient to permit him to report on them as described in section 722, Interim Financial Information.

Disclaimer of Opinion on Unaudited Financial Statements

.05 When an accountant is associated with the financial statements of a public entity, but has not audited or reviewed such statements, the form of report to be issued is as follows:

The accompanying balance sheet of X Company as of December 31, 19X1, and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended were not audited by us and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion on them.

(Signature and date)

This disclaimer of opinion is the means by which the accountant complies with the fourth standard of reporting when associated with unaudited financial statements in these circumstances. The disclaimer may accompany the unaudited financial statements or it may be placed directly on them. In addition, each page of the financial statements should be clearly and conspicuously marked as unaudited. When an accountant issues this form of disclaimer of opinion, he has no responsibility to apply any procedures beyond reading the financial statements for obvious material misstatements. Any procedures that may have been applied should not be described, except in the limited circumstances set forth in paragraphs .18–.20. Describing procedures that may have been applied might cause the reader to believe the financial statements have been audited or reviewed.

.06 If the accountant is aware that his name is to be included in a client-prepared written communication of a public entity containing financial statements that have not been audited or reviewed, he should request (a) that his name not be included in the communication or (b) that the financial statements be marked as unaudited and that there be a notation that he does not express an opinion on them. If the client does not comply, the accountant should advise the client that he has not consented to the use of his name and should consider what other actions might be appropriate.

Disclaimer of Opinion on Unaudited Financial Statements Prepared on a Comprehensive Basis of Accounting

.07 When an accountant is associated with unaudited financial statements of a public entity prepared in accordance with a comprehensive basis of accounting

---

4 When a public entity does not have its annual financial statements audited, an accountant may be requested to review its annual or interim financial statements. In those circumstances, an accountant may make a review and look to the guidance in Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services for the standards and procedures and form of report applicable to such an engagement.

5 In considering what actions, if any, may be appropriate in the circumstances, the accountant may wish to consult his legal counsel.
accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles, he should follow the guidance provided by paragraph .05, except that he should modify the identification of financial statements in his disclaimer of opinion (see section 623.02–.10, Special Reports). For example, a disclaimer of opinion on cash-basis statements might be worded as follows:

The accompanying statement of assets and liabilities resulting from cash transactions of XYZ Corporation as of December 31, 19X1, and the related statement of revenues collected and expenses paid during the year then ended were not audited by us and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion on them.

(Signature and date)

A note to the financial statements should describe how the basis of presentation differs from generally accepted accounting principles, but the monetary effect of such differences need not be stated.

Disclaimer of Opinion When Not Independent

.08 The second general standard requires that “In all matters relating to the assignment, an independence in mental attitude is to be maintained by the auditor or auditors.” The independent public accountant must be without bias with respect to the client; otherwise, he would lack that impartiality necessary for the dependability of his findings. Whether the accountant is independent is something he must decide as a matter of professional judgment.

.09 When an accountant is not independent, any procedures he might perform would not be in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and he would be precluded from expressing an opinion on such statements. Accordingly, he should disclaim an opinion with respect to the financial statements and should state specifically that he is not independent.

.10 If the financial statements are those of a nonpublic entity, the accountant should look to the guidance in Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services. In all other circumstances, regardless of the extent of procedures applied, the accountant should follow the guidance in paragraph .05, except that the disclaimer of opinion should be modified to state specifically that he is not independent. The reasons for lack of independence and any procedures he has performed should not be described; including such matters might confuse the reader concerning the importance of the impairment of independence. An example of such a report is as follows:

We are not independent with respect to XYZ Company, and the accompanying balance sheet as of December 31, 19X1, and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended were not audited by us and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion on them.

(Signature and date)

Circumstances Requiring a Modified Disclaimer

.11 If the accountant concludes on the basis of facts known to him that the unaudited financial statements on which he is disclaiming an opinion are not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, which include adequate disclosure, he should suggest appropriate revision; failing that, he should describe the departure in his disclaimer of opinion. This description should refer specifically to the nature of the departure and, if practicable, state the effects on the financial statements or include the necessary information for adequate disclosure.

Reference to generally accepted accounting principles in this section includes, where applicable, another comprehensive basis of accounting.

AICPA Professional Standards
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When the effects of the departure on the financial statements are not reasonably determinable, the disclaimer of opinion should so state. When a departure from generally accepted accounting principles involves inadequate disclosure, it may not be practicable for the accountant to include the omitted disclosures in his report. For example, when management has elected to omit substantially all of the disclosures, the accountant should clearly indicate that in his report, but the accountant would not be expected to include such disclosures in his report.

If the client will not agree to revision of the financial statements or will not accept the accountant’s disclaimer of opinion with the description of the departure from generally accepted accounting principles, the accountant should refuse to be associated with the statements and, if necessary, withdraw from the engagement.

Reporting on Audited and Unaudited Financial Statements in Comparative Form

When unaudited financial statements are presented in comparative form with audited financial statements in documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, such statements should be clearly marked as “unaudited” but should not be referred to in the auditor’s report.

When unaudited financial statements are presented in comparative form with audited financial statements in any other document, the financial statements that have not been audited should be clearly marked to indicate their status and either (a) the report on the prior period should be reissued (see section 530.06–.08) or (b) the report on the current period should include as a separate paragraph an appropriate description of the responsibility assumed for the financial statements of the prior period (see paragraphs .16 and .17). Either reissuance or reference in a separate paragraph is acceptable; in both circumstances, the accountant should consider the current form and manner of presentation of the financial statements of the prior period in light of the information of which he has become aware during his current engagement.

When the financial statements of the prior period have been audited and the report on the current period is to contain a separate paragraph, it should indicate (a) that the financial statements of the prior period were audited previously, (b) the date of the previous report, (c) the type of opinion expressed previously, (d) if the opinion was other than unqualified, the substantive reasons therefor, and (e) that no auditing procedures were performed after the date of the previous report. An example of such a separate paragraph is as follows:

The financial statements for the year ended December 31, 19X1, were audited by us (other accountants) and we (they) expressed an unqualified opinion on them in our (their) report dated March 1, 19X2, but we (they) have not performed any auditing procedures since that date.

When the financial statements of the prior period have not been audited and the report on the current period is to contain a separate paragraph, it should include (a) a statement of the service performed in the prior period, (b) the date of the report on that service, (c) a description of any material modifications noted in that report, and (d) a statement that the service was less in scope than an audit and does not provide the basis for the

---

7 For reissuance of a compilation or review report, see Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services.
expression of an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. When the financial statements are those of a public entity, the separate paragraph should include a disclaimer of opinion (see paragraph .05) or a description of a review. When the financial statements are those of a nonpublic entity and the financial statements were compiled or reviewed, the separate paragraph should contain an appropriate description of the compilation or review. For example, a separate paragraph describing a review might be worded as follows:

The 20X1 financial statements were reviewed by us (other accountants) and our (their) report thereon, dated March 1, 20X2, stated we (they) were not aware of any material modifications that should be made to those statements for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. However, a review is substantially less in scope than an audit and does not provide a basis for the expression of an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole.

A separate paragraph describing a compilation might be worded as follows:

The 19X1 financial statements were compiled by us (other accountants) and our (their) report thereon, dated March 1, 19X2, stated we (they) did not audit or review those financial statements and, accordingly, express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

**Negative Assurance**

.18 When an accountant, for whatever reason, disclaims an opinion on financial statements his disclaimer should not be contradicted by the inclusion of expressions of assurance on the absence of knowledge of departures from generally accepted accounting principles except as specifically recognized as appropriate in applicable standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

.19 Negative assurances, for example, are permissible in letters for underwriters in which the independent auditor reports on limited procedures followed with respect to unaudited financial statements or other financial data pertinent to a registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (see section 634, *Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties*).

[.20] (Superseded, February 1993, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 72.) (See section 634.)
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Association With Financial Statements:
Auditing Interpretations of Section 504

1. Annual Report Disclosure of Unaudited Fourth Quarter Interim Data

.01 Question—APB Opinion No. 28, paragraph 31 [AC section I73.147], which applies to publicly traded companies, states: "If interim financial data and disclosures are not separately reported for the fourth quarter, security holders often make inferences about that quarter by subtracting data based on the third quarter interim report from the annual results. In the absence of a separate fourth quarter report or disclosure of the results . . . for that quarter in the annual report, disposals of segments of a business and extraordinary, unusual, or infrequently occurring items recognized in the fourth quarter, as well as the aggregate effect of year-end adjustments which are material to the results of that quarter . . . shall be disclosed in the annual report in a note to the annual financial statements." Does the auditor have an obligation, arising from the disclosure requirements of paragraph 31 of Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73.147], to audit interim data?

.02 Interpretation—No. If the auditor has not been specifically engaged to audit interim information, he does not have an obligation to audit interim data as a result of his audit of the annual financial statements.

.03 Disclosure of fourth quarter adjustments and other disclosures required by paragraph 31 [AC section I73.147] would appear in a note to the annual financial statements of a publicly traded company only if fourth quarter data were not separately distributed or did not appear elsewhere in the annual report. Consequently, such disclosures are not essential for a fair presentation of the annual financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

.04 If interim financial data and disclosures are not separately reported (as outlined in paragraph 30 of Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73.146]) for the fourth quarter, the independent auditor, during his audit of the annual financial statements, should inquire as to whether there are fourth quarter items that need to be disclosed in a note to the annual financial statements.

.05 Information on fourth quarter adjustments and similar items that appear in notes to the annual financial statements to comply with paragraph 31 of Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73.147] would ordinarily not be audited separately and, therefore, the information would be labeled "unaudited" or "not covered by auditor's report."

.06 If a publicly traded company fails to comply with the provisions of paragraph 31 of Opinion No. 28 [AC section I73.147], the auditor should suggest appropriate revision; failing that, he should call attention in his report to the omission of the information. The auditor need not qualify his opinion on the annual financial statements since the disclosure is not essential for a fair presentation of those statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.
Reference should be made to section 722 for guidance with respect to reviews of interim financial information of SEC registrants or non-SEC registrants that make a filing with a regulatory agency in preparation for a public offering or listing.

[Issue Date: November, 1979; Revised: November, 2002.]

[2.] Association of the Auditor of an Acquired Company With Unaudited Statements in a Listing Application
[.08–.12] [Deleted May, 1980.]

[3.] Association of the Auditor of the Acquiring Company With Unaudited Statements in a Listing Application
[.13–.14] [Deleted May, 1980.]

4. Auditor’s Identification With Condensed Financial Data

.15 Question—Section 150.02 states in part: “In all cases where an auditor’s name is associated with financial statements, the report should contain a clear-cut indication of the character of the auditor’s work, if any, and the degree of responsibility the auditor is taking.” Section 504.03 states that “An accountant is associated with financial statements when he has consented to the use of his name in a report, document, or written communication containing the statements.” Is the auditor “associated” with condensed financial data when he is identified by a financial reporting service as being a company’s independent auditor or when his report is reproduced and presented with such data?

.16 Interpretation—No. The accountant has not consented to the use of his name when it is published by a financial reporting service. Financial data released to the public by a company and the name of its auditor are public information. Accordingly, neither the auditor nor his client has the ability to require a financial reporting service to withhold publishing such information.

.17 Financial reporting services, such as Dun & Bradstreet and Moody’s Investors Service, furnish to subscribers information and ratings concerning commercial enterprises as a basis for credit, insurance, marketing and other business purposes. Those reports frequently include condensed financial data and other data such as payments to trade creditors, loan experience with banks, a brief history of the entity and a description of its operations. Also, as part of its report, the financial service often discloses the names of the officers and directors or principals or owners of the company and the name of the company’s auditor.

.18 In the context in which the auditor’s name appears, it is doubtful that readers will assume that he has audited the information presented. However, the AICPA has suggested to certain financial reporting services that they identify data as “unaudited” if the data has been extracted from unaudited financial statements. Also, the AICPA has suggested that when summarized financial data is presented together with an auditor’s report on complete financial statements (including notes), the financial reporting services state that the auditor’s report applies to the complete financial statements which are not presented.

[Issue Date: November, 1979.]

5. Applicability of Guidance on Reporting When Not Independent

.19 Question—Section 504 describes the reporting responsibilities of the certified public accountant who has determined that he is not independent
with respect to financial statements with which he is associated. That section, however, does not indicate how he should determine whether he is independent. What should the certified public accountant consider in determining whether he is independent? Also, should his consideration be any different for an engagement to prepare unaudited financial statements?

.20 Interpretation—Section 504 explains the certified public accountant’s reporting responsibilities when he is not independent. However, it does not attempt to explain how the certified public accountant determines whether he is independent because that is a question of professional ethics. Section 220.04 states: “The profession has established, through the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, precepts to guard against the . . . loss of independence.” The AICPA, state CPA societies and state boards of accountancy have issued pronouncements to provide the certified public accountant with guidance to aid him in determining whether he is independent.

.21 The certified public accountant should consider the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct in determining whether he is independent and whether the reporting requirements of section 504 apply. He should also consider the ethical requirements of his state CPA society or state board of accountancy.

.22 Section 504.10 states that the reporting guidance applies, regardless of the extent of procedures applied, (emphasis added) in all circumstances other than when the financial statements are those of a non-public entity.1 Thus, the accountant’s consideration of whether he is independent should be the same whether the financial statements are audited or unaudited.

[Issue Date: November, 1979.]

[6.] Reporting on Solvency

.23–.35 [Rescinded May, 1988 by the issuance of attestation interpretation, “Responding to Requests for Reports on Matters Relating to Solvency.”] (See AT section 9101.23–.33.) [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

[The next page is 811.]

1 If the financial statements are those of a non-public entity, the accountant should look to the guidance in Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services.
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Reports on Audited Financial Statements

(Supersedes sections 505, 509, 542, 545, and 546)

Source: SAS No. 58; SAS No. 64; SAS No. 79; SAS No. 85; SAS No. 93; SAS No. 98.

See section 9508 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for reports issued or reissued on or after January 1, 1989, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction

.01 This section applies to auditors’ reports issued in connection with audits of historical financial statements that are intended to present financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. It distinguishes the types of reports, describes the circumstances in which each is appropriate, and provides example reports.

.02 This section does not apply to unaudited financial statements as described in section 504, Association With Financial Statements, nor does it apply to reports on incomplete financial information or other special presentations as described in section 623, Special Reports.

.03 Justification for the expression of the auditor’s opinion rests on the conformity of his or her audit with generally accepted auditing standards and on the findings. Generally accepted auditing standards include four standards of reporting. This section is concerned primarily with the relationship of the fourth reporting standard to the language of the auditor’s report.

.04 The fourth standard of reporting is as follows:

The report shall either contain an expression of opinion regarding the financial statements, taken as a whole, or an assertion to the effect that an opinion cannot...

---

1 This section has been revised to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.

2 An audit, for purposes of this section, is defined as an examination of historical financial statements performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in effect at the time the audit is performed. Generally accepted auditing standards include the ten standards as well as the Statements on Auditing Standards that interpret those standards. In some cases, regulatory authorities may have additional requirements applicable to entities under their jurisdiction and auditors of such entities should consider those requirements.

2 This section revises the second standard of reporting as follows:

The report shall identify those circumstances in which such principles have not been consistently observed in the current period in relation to the preceding period. Previously, the second standard required the auditor’s report to state whether accounting principles had been consistently applied. As revised, the second standard requires the auditor to add an explanatory paragraph to his report only if accounting principles have not been applied consistently. (See section 420, Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.) Paragraphs .17-.19 of this section provide reporting guidance under these circumstances.
be expressed. When an overall opinion cannot be expressed, the reasons
therefor should be stated. In all cases where an auditor’s name is associated
with financial statements, the report should contain a clear-cut indication of
the character of the auditor’s work, if any, and the degree of responsibility the
auditor is taking.

.05 The objective of the fourth standard is to prevent misinterpretation of
the degree of responsibility the auditor is assuming when his or her name is
associated with financial statements. Reference in the fourth reporting stand-
ard to the financial statements “taken as a whole” applies equally to a complete
set of financial statements and to an individual financial statement (for exam-
ple, to a balance sheet) for one or more periods presented. (Paragraph .65
discusses the fourth standard of reporting as it applies to comparative financial
statements.) The auditor may express an unqualified opinion on one of the
financial statements and express a qualified or adverse opinion or disclaim an
opinion on another if the circumstances warrant.

.06 The auditor’s report is customarily issued in connection with an
entity’s basic financial statements—balance sheet, statement of income, state-
ment of retained earnings and statement of cash flows. Each financial state-
ment audited should be specifically identified in the introductory paragraph of
the auditor’s report. If the basic financial statements include a separate
statement of changes in stockholders’ equity accounts, it should be identified
in the introductory paragraph of the report but need not be reported on
separately in the opinion paragraph since such changes are part of the presen-
tation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

The Auditor’s Standard Report

.07 The auditor’s standard report states that the financial statements
present fairly, in all material respects, an entity’s financial position, results of
operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting
principles. This conclusion may be expressed only when the auditor has formed
such an opinion on the basis of an audit performed in accordance with generally
accepted auditing standards.

.08 The auditor’s standard report identifies the financial statements
audited in an opening (introductory) paragraph, describes the nature of an
audit in a scope paragraph, and expresses the auditor’s opinion in a separate
opinion paragraph. The basic elements of the report are the following:

a. A title that includes the word independent

b. A statement that the financial statements identified in the report
were audited

c. A statement that the financial statements are the responsibility
of the Company’s management and that the auditor’s responsibility
is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on his or
her audit

---

1 This section does not require a title for an auditor’s report if the auditor is not independent. See
section 504, Association With Financial Statements, for guidance on reporting when the auditor is not
independent.

4 In some instances, a document containing the auditor’s report may include a statement by
management regarding its responsibility for the presentation of the financial statements. Neverthe-
less, the auditor’s report should state that the financial statements are management’s responsibility.
d. A statement that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards (for example, auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted auditing standards)

e. A statement that those standards require that the auditor plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement

f. A statement that an audit includes—
   (1) Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements
   (2) Assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management
   (3) Evaluating the overall financial statement presentation

In Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraphs .03 and .04, discuss the auditor’s evaluation of the overall presentation of the financial statements. [As amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

A U.S. auditor also may be engaged to report on the financial statements of a U.S. entity that have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country. In those circumstances, the auditor should refer to the guidance in section 534, Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared for Use in Other Countries. [Footnote added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

For guidance on dating the auditor’s report, see section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]

i. The manual or printed signature of the auditor’s firm

j. The date of the audit report

The form of the auditor’s standard report on financial statements covering a single year is as follows:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of X Company as of December 31, 20XX, and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.
We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of [at] December 31, 20XX, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Signature]
[Date]

The form of the auditor's standard report on comparative financial statements is as follows:8

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of [at] December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Signature]
[Date]

[As amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

8 If statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows are presented on a comparative basis for one or more prior periods, but the balance sheet(s) as of the end of one (or more) of the prior period(s) is not presented, the phrase “for the years then ended” should be changed to indicate that the auditor’s opinion applies to each period for which statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows are presented, such as “for each of the three years in the period ended [date of latest balance sheet].” [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
The report may be addressed to the company whose financial statements are being audited or to its board of directors or stockholders. A report on the financial statements of an unincorporated entity should be addressed as circumstances dictate, for example, to the partners, to the general partner, or to the proprietor. Occasionally, an auditor is retained to audit the financial statements of a company that is not a client; in such a case, the report is customarily addressed to the client and not to the directors or stockholders of the company whose financial statements are being audited.

This section also discusses the circumstances that may require the auditor to depart from the standard report and provides reporting guidance in such circumstances. This section is organized by type of opinion that the auditor may express in each of the various circumstances presented; this section describes what is meant by the various audit opinions:

- **Unqualified opinion.** An unqualified opinion states that the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of the entity in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. This is the opinion expressed in the standard report discussed in paragraph .08.

- **Explanatory language added to the auditor’s standard report.** Certain circumstances, while not affecting the auditor’s unqualified opinion on the financial statements, may require that the auditor add an explanatory paragraph (or other explanatory language) to his or her report.

- **Qualified opinion.** A qualified opinion states that, except for the effects of the matter(s) to which the qualification relates, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of the entity in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

- **Adverse opinion.** An adverse opinion states that the financial statements do not present fairly the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of the entity in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

- **Disclaimer of opinion.** A disclaimer of opinion states that the auditor does not express an opinion on the financial statements.

These opinions are discussed in greater detail throughout the remainder of this section.

### Explanatory Language Added to the Auditor’s Standard Report

.11 Certain circumstances, while not affecting the auditor’s unqualified opinion, may require that the auditor add an explanatory paragraph (or other explanatory language) to the standard report. These circumstances include:

- The auditor’s opinion is based in part on the report of another auditor (paragraphs .12 and .13).

---

9 Unless otherwise required by the provisions of this section, an explanatory paragraph may precede or follow the opinion paragraph in the auditor’s report. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]

10 See footnote 3. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
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b. To prevent the financial statements from being misleading because of unusual circumstances, the financial statements contain a departure from an accounting principle promulgated by a body designated by the AICPA Council to establish such principles (paragraphs .14 and .15).

c. There is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern.11

d. There has been a material change between periods in accounting principles or in the method of their application (paragraphs .16 through .18).

e. Certain circumstances relating to reports on comparative financial statements exist (paragraphs .68, .69, and .72 through .74).

f. Selected quarterly financial data required by SEC Regulation S-K has been omitted or has not been reviewed. (See section 722, Interim Financial Information, paragraph .50.)

g. Supplementary information required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), or the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) has been omitted, the presentation of such information departs materially from FASB, GASB, or FASAB guidelines, the auditor is unable to complete prescribed procedures with respect to such information, or the auditor is unable to remove substantial doubts about whether the supplementary information conforms to FASB, GASB, or FASAB guidelines. (See section 558, Required Supplementary Information, paragraph .02.)

h. Other information in a document containing audited financial statements is materially inconsistent with information appearing in the financial statements. (See section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .04.)

In addition, the auditor may add an explanatory paragraph to emphasize a matter regarding the financial statements (paragraph .19). [As amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79. Revised, November 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 100.]

Opinion Based in Part on Report of Another Auditor

.12 When the auditor decides to make reference to the report of another auditor as a basis, in part, for his or her opinion, he or she should disclose this fact in the introductory paragraph of his or her report and should refer to the report of the other auditor in expressing his or her opinion. These references indicate division of responsibility for performance of the audit. (See section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors.)

.13 An example of a report indicating a division of responsibility follows:

11 Section 341, The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, describes the auditor’s responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time and, when applicable, to consider the adequacy of financial statement disclosure and to include an explanatory paragraph in the report to reflect his or her conclusions. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of ABC Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related consolidated statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We did not audit the financial statements of B Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary, which statements reflect total assets of $________ and $________ as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, respectively, and total revenues of $_______ and $_______ for the years then ended. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for B Company, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the report of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ABC Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Departure From a Promulgated Accounting Principle

14 Rule 203 [ET section 203.01] of the Code of Professional Conduct of the AICPA states:

A member shall not (1) express an opinion or state affirmatively that the financial statements or other financial data of any entity are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or (2) state that he or she is not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such statements or data in order for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, if such statements or data contain any departure from an accounting principle promulgated by bodies designated by Council to establish such principles that has a material effect on the statements or data taken as a whole. If, however, the statements or data contain such a departure and the member can demonstrate that due to unusual circumstances the financial statements or data would otherwise have been misleading, the member can comply with the rule by describing the departure, its approximate effects, if practicable, and the reasons why compliance with the principle would result in a misleading statement.

15 When the circumstances contemplated by Rule 203 [ET section 203.01] are present, the auditor's report should include, in a separate paragraph or paragraphs, the information required by the rule. In such a case, it is appropriate for the auditor to express an unqualified opinion with respect to
the conformity of the financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles unless there are other reasons, not associated with the departure from a promulgated principle, not to do so. (See section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.) [Title of section 411 amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Former paragraphs .16 through .33 and related footnotes have been deleted and all subsequent paragraphs and footnotes renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996.

Lack of Consistency

.16 The auditor's standard report implies that the auditor is satisfied that the comparability of financial statements between periods has not been materially affected by changes in accounting principles and that such principles have been consistently applied between or among periods because either (a) no change in accounting principles has occurred, or (b) there has been a change in accounting principles or in the method of their application, but the effect of the change on the comparability of the financial statements is not material. In these cases, the auditor should not refer to consistency in the report. If, however, there has been a change in accounting principles or in the method of their application that has a material effect on the comparability of the company's financial statements, the auditor should refer to the change in an explanatory paragraph of the report. Such explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) should identify the nature of the change and refer the reader to the note in the financial statements that discusses the change in detail. The auditor's concurrence with a change is implicit unless he or she takes exception to the change in expressing his or her opinion as to fair presentation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.12 When there is a change in accounting principles, there are also other matters that the auditor should consider (see paragraphs .50 through .57). [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.17 Following is an example of an appropriate explanatory paragraph:

As discussed in Note X to the financial statements, the Company changed its method of computing depreciation in 20X2. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.18 The addition of this explanatory paragraph in the auditor's report is required in reports on financial statements of subsequent years as long as the

---

12 With respect to the method of accounting for the effect of a change in accounting principle, see Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, including paragraph 4 [AC section A06.103], which states that methods of accounting for changes in principles resulting from the implementation of new pronouncements is provided in those pronouncements. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
year of the change is presented and reported on. However, if the accounting change is accounted for by retroactive restatement of the financial statements affected, the additional paragraph is required only in the year of the change since, in subsequent years, all periods presented will be comparable. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

**Emphasis of a Matter**

.19 In any report on financial statements, the auditor may emphasize a matter regarding the financial statements. Such explanatory information should be presented in a separate paragraph of the auditor's report. Phrases such as "with the foregoing [following] explanation" should not be used in the opinion paragraph if an emphasis paragraph is included in the auditor's report. Emphasis paragraphs are never required; they may be added solely at the auditor's discretion. Examples of matters the auditor may wish to emphasize are—

- That the entity is a component of a larger business enterprise.
- That the entity has had significant transactions with related parties.
- Unusually important subsequent events.
- Accounting matters, other than those involving a change or changes in accounting principles, affecting the comparability of the financial statements with those of the preceding period.

[Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

**Departures From Unqualified Opinions**

**Qualified Opinions**

.20 Certain circumstances may require a qualified opinion. A qualified opinion states that, except for the effects of the matter to which the qualification relates, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Such an opinion is expressed when—

a. There is a lack of sufficient competent evidential matter or there are restrictions on the scope of the audit that have led the auditor to conclude that he or she cannot express an unqualified opinion and he or she has concluded not to disclaim an opinion (paragraphs .22–.34).

b. The auditor believes, on the basis of his or her audit, that the financial statements contain a departure from generally accepted accounting principles, the effect of which is material, and he or she has concluded not to express an adverse opinion (paragraphs .35–.57).

---

13 An exception to this requirement occurs when a change in accounting principle that does not require a cumulative effect adjustment is made at the beginning of the earliest year presented and reported on. That exception is addressed in the auditing interpretation of section 420, Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, titled “Impact on the Auditor's Report of FIFO to LIFO Change in Comparative Financial Statements,” (section 9420.16–23). [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
When the auditor expresses a qualified opinion, he or she should disclose all of the substantive reasons in one or more separate explanatory paragraph(s) preceding the opinion paragraph of the report. The auditor should also include, in the opinion paragraph, the appropriate qualifying language and a reference to the explanatory paragraph. A qualified opinion should include the word except or exception in a phrase such as except for or with the exception of. Phrases such as subject to and with the foregoing explanation are not clear or forceful enough and should not be used. Since accompanying notes are part of the financial statements, wording such as fairly presented, in all material respects, when read in conjunction with Note 1 is likely to be misunderstood and should not be used.

Scope Limitations

The auditor can determine that he or she is able to express an unqualified opinion only if the audit has been conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and if he or she has therefore been able to apply all the procedures he considers necessary in the circumstances. Restrictions on the scope of the audit, whether imposed by the client or by circumstances, such as the timing of his or her work, the inability to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter, or an inadequacy in the accounting records, may require the auditor to qualify his or her opinion or to disclaim an opinion. In such instances, the reasons for the auditor’s qualification of opinion or disclaimer of opinion should be described in the report.

The auditor’s decision to qualify his or her opinion or disclaim an opinion because of a scope limitation depends on his or her assessment of the importance of the omitted procedure(s) to his or her ability to form an opinion on the financial statements being audited. This assessment will be affected by the nature and magnitude of the potential effects of the matters in question and by their significance to the financial statements. If the potential effects relate to many financial statement items, this significance is likely to be greater than if only a limited number of items is involved.

Common restrictions on the scope of the audit include those applying to the observation of physical inventories and the confirmation of accounts receivable by direct communication with debtors. Another common scope restriction involves accounting for long-term investments when the auditor has not been able to obtain audited financial statements of an investee. Restrictions on the application of these or other audit procedures to important elements of the financial statements require the auditor to decide whether he or she has examined sufficient competent evidential matter to permit him or
When restrictions that significantly limit the scope of the audit are imposed by the client, ordinarily the auditor should disclaim an opinion on the financial statements. (Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.)

25 When a qualified opinion results from a limitation on the scope of the audit or an insufficiency of evidential matter, the situation should be described in an explanatory paragraph preceding the opinion paragraph and referred to in both the scope and opinion paragraphs of the auditor’s report. It is not appropriate for the scope of the audit to be explained in a note to the financial statements, since the description of the audit scope is the responsibility of the auditor and not that of the client. (Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.)

26 When an auditor qualifies his or her opinion because of a scope limitation, the wording in the opinion paragraph should indicate that the qualification pertains to the possible effects on the financial statements and not to the scope limitation itself. Wording such as “In our opinion, except for the above-mentioned limitation on the scope of our audit . . .” bases the exception on the restriction itself, rather than on the possible effects on the financial statements and, therefore, is unacceptable. An example of a qualified opinion related to a scope limitation concerning an investment in a foreign affiliate (assuming the effects of the limitation are such that the auditor has concluded that a disclaimer of opinion is not appropriate) follows:

Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first paragraph as the standard report]

Except as discussed in the following paragraph, we conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We were unable to obtain audited financial statements supporting the Company’s investment in a foreign affiliate stated at $_______ and $_______ at December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, respectively, or its equity in earnings of that affiliate of $_______ and $_______, which is included in net income for the years then ended as described in Note X to the financial statements; nor were we able to satisfy ourselves as to the carrying value of the investment in the foreign affiliate or the equity in its earnings by other auditing procedures.

In our opinion, except for the effects of such adjustments, if any, as might have been determined to be necessary had we been able to examine evidence regarding the foreign affiliate investment and earnings, the financial statements referred to in the first paragraph above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]
27 Other scope limitations. Sometimes, notes to financial statements may contain unaudited information, such as pro forma calculations or other similar disclosures. If the unaudited information (for example, an investor’s share, material in amount, of an investee’s earnings recognized on the equity method) is such that it should be subjected to auditing procedures in order for the auditor to form an opinion with respect to the financial statements taken as a whole, the auditor should apply the procedures he or she deems necessary to the unaudited information. If the auditor has not been able to apply the procedures he or she considers necessary, the auditor should qualify his or her opinion or disclaim an opinion because of a limitation on the scope of the audit. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

28 If, however, these disclosures are not necessary to fairly present the financial position, operating results, or cash flows on which the auditor is reporting, such disclosures may be identified as unaudited or as not covered by the auditor’s report. For example, the pro forma effects of a business combination or of a subsequent event may be labelled unaudited. Therefore, while the event or transaction giving rise to the disclosures in these circumstances should be audited, the pro forma disclosures of that event or transaction would not be. The auditor should be aware, however, that section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report, states that, if the auditor is aware of a material subsequent event that has occurred after the completion of fieldwork but before issuance of the report that should be disclosed, the auditor’s only options are to dual date the report or date the report as of the date of the subsequent event and extend the procedures for review of subsequent events to that date. Labelling the note unaudited is not an acceptable alternative in these circumstances. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

29 Uncertainties and scope limitations. A matter involving an uncertainty is one that is expected to be resolved at a future date, at which time conclusive evidential matter concerning its outcome would be expected to become available. Uncertainties include, but are not limited to, contingencies covered by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, and matters related to estimates covered by Statement of Position 94-6, Disclosure of Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

30 Conclusive evidential matter concerning the ultimate outcome of uncertainties cannot be expected to exist at the time of the audit because the outcome and related evidential matter are prospective. In these circumstances, management is responsible for estimating the effect of future events on the financial statements, or determining that a reasonable estimate cannot be made and making the required disclosures, all in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, based on management’s analysis of existing conditions. An audit includes an assessment of whether the evidential matter is sufficient to support management’s analysis. Absence of the existence of information related to the outcome of an uncertainty does not necessarily lead to a conclusion that the evidential matter supporting management’s assertion is not sufficient. Rather, the auditor’s judgment regarding the sufficiency of the evidential matter is based on the evidential matter that is, or should be, available. If, after considering the existing conditions and available evidence, the auditor concludes that sufficient evidential matter supports management’s
assertions about the nature of a matter involving an uncertainty and its presentation or disclosure in the financial statements, an unqualified opinion ordinarily is appropriate. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.31 If the auditor is unable to obtain sufficient evidential matter to support management’s assertions about the nature of a matter involving an uncertainty and its presentation or disclosure in the financial statements, the auditor should consider the need to express a qualified opinion or to disclaim an opinion because of a scope limitation. A qualification or disclaimer of opinion because of a scope limitation is appropriate if sufficient evidential matter related to an uncertainty does or did exist but was not available to the auditor for reasons such as management’s record retention policies or a restriction imposed by management. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.32 Scope limitations related to uncertainties should be differentiated from situations in which the auditor concludes that the financial statements are materially misstated due to departures from generally accepted accounting principles related to uncertainties. Such departures may be caused by inadequate disclosure concerning the uncertainty, the use of inappropriate accounting principles, or the use of unreasonable accounting estimates. Paragraphs .45 to .49 provide guidance to the auditor when financial statements contain departures from generally accepted accounting principles related to uncertainties. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.33 Limited reporting engagements. The auditor may be asked to report on one basic financial statement and not on the others. For example, he or she may be asked to report on the balance sheet and not on the statements of income, retained earnings or cash flows. These engagements do not involve scope limitations if the auditor’s access to information underlying the basic financial statements is not limited and if the auditor applies all the procedures he or she considers necessary in the circumstances; rather, such engagements involve limited reporting objectives. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.34 An auditor may be asked to report on the balance sheet only. In this case, the auditor may express an opinion on the balance sheet only. An example of an unqualified opinion on a balance-sheet-only audit follows (the report assumes that the auditor has been able to satisfy himself or herself regarding the consistency of application of accounting principles):

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of X Company as of December 31, 20XX. This financial statement is the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the balance sheet is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the balance sheet. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall
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balance sheet presentation. We believe that our audit of the balance sheet provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the balance sheet referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of December 31, 20XX, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Departure From a Generally Accepted Accounting Principle

.35 When financial statements are materially affected by a departure from generally accepted accounting principles and the auditor has audited the statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, he or she should express a qualified (paragraphs .36 through .57) or an adverse (paragraphs .58 through .60) opinion. The basis for such opinion should be stated in the report.

.36 In deciding whether the effects of a departure from generally accepted accounting principles are sufficiently material to require either a qualified or adverse opinion, one factor to be considered is the dollar magnitude of such effects. However, the concept of materiality does not depend entirely on relative size; it involves qualitative as well as quantitative judgments. The significance of an item to a particular entity (for example, inventories to a manufacturing company), the pervasiveness of the misstatement (such as whether it affects the amounts and presentation of numerous financial statement items), and the effect of the misstatement on the financial statements taken as a whole are all factors to be considered in making a judgment regarding materiality.

.37 When the auditor expresses a qualified opinion, he or she should disclose, in a separate explanatory paragraph(s) preceding the opinion paragraph of the report, all of the substantive reasons that have led him or her to conclude that there has been a departure from generally accepted accounting principles. Furthermore, the opinion paragraph of the report should include the appropriate qualifying language and a reference to the explanatory paragraph(s).

.38 The explanatory paragraph(s) should also disclose the principal effects of the subject matter of the qualification on financial position, results of operations, and cash flows, if practicable. If the effects are not reasonably determinable, the report should so state. If such disclosures are made in a note to the financial statements, the explanatory paragraph(s) may be shortened by referring to it.

Section 431, Adequacy of Disclosure in the Financial Statements, defines practicable as “...the information is reasonably obtainable from management’s accounts and records and that providing the information in the report does not require the auditor to assume the position of a preparer of financial information.” For example, if the information can be obtained from the accounts and records without the auditor substantially increasing the effort that would normally be required to complete the audit, the information should be presented in the report.
An example of a report in which the opinion is qualified because of the use of an accounting principle at variance with generally accepted accounting principles follows (assuming the effects are such that the auditor has concluded that an adverse opinion is not appropriate):

Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first and second paragraphs as the standard report]

The Company has excluded, from property and debt in the accompanying balance sheets, certain lease obligations that, in our opinion, should be capitalized in order to conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. If these lease obligations were capitalized, property would be increased by $_______ and $_______, long-term debt by $_______ and $_______, and retained earnings by $_______ and $_______ as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, respectively. Additionally, net income would be increased (decreased) by $_______ and $_______ and earnings per share would be increased (decreased) by $_______ and $_______, respectively, for the years then ended.

In our opinion, except for the effects of not capitalizing certain lease obligations as discussed in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

If the pertinent facts are disclosed in a note to the financial statements, a separate paragraph (preceding the opinion paragraph) of the auditor’s report in the circumstances illustrated in paragraph .39 might read as follows:

As more fully described in Note X to the financial statements, the Company has excluded certain lease obligations from property and debt in the accompanying balance sheets. In our opinion, accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that such obligations be included in the balance sheets.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

Inadequate disclosure. Information essential for a fair presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles should be set forth in the financial statements (which include the related notes). When such information is set forth elsewhere in a report to shareholders, or in a prospectus, proxy statement, or other similar report, it should be referred to in the financial statements. If the financial statements, including accompanying notes, fail to disclose information that is required by generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should express a qualified or adverse opinion because of the departure from those principles and should provide the information in the report, if practicable, unless its omission from the auditor’s report is recognized as appropriate by a specific Statement on Auditing Standards. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

16 See footnote 15. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
Following is an example of a report qualified for inadequate disclosure (assuming that the auditor has concluded that it is not practicable to present the required information and the effects are such that the auditor has concluded an adverse opinion is not appropriate):

Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first and second paragraphs as the standard report]

The Company's financial statements do not disclose [describe the nature of the omitted information that it is not practicable to present in the auditor’s report]. In our opinion, disclosure of this information is required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, except for the omission of the information discussed in the preceding paragraph, . . .

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

If a company issues financial statements that purport to present financial position and results of operations but omits the related statement of cash flows, the auditor will normally conclude that the omission requires qualification of his opinion. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

The auditor is not required to prepare a basic financial statement (for example, a statement of cash flows for one or more periods) and include it in the report if the company’s management declines to present the statement. Accordingly, in these cases, the auditor should ordinarily qualify the report in the following manner:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related statements of income and retained earnings for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. [Same second paragraph as the standard report]

The Company declined to present a statement of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 20X2 and 20X1. Presentation of such statement summarizing the Company's operating, investing, and financing activities is required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, except that the omission of a statement of cash flows results in an incomplete presentation as explained in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

Departures from generally accepted accounting principles involving risks or uncertainties, and materiality considerations. Departures from generally accepted accounting principles involving risks or uncertainties generally fall into one of the following categories:

* This sentence reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this sentence is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
• Inadequate disclosure (paragraphs .46 and .47)
• Inappropriate accounting principles (paragraph .48)
• Unreasonable accounting estimates (paragraph .49)

[Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.46 If the auditor concludes that a matter involving a risk or an uncertainty is not adequately disclosed in the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should express a qualified or an adverse opinion. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.47 The auditor should consider materiality in evaluating the adequacy of disclosure of matters involving risks or uncertainties in the financial statements in the context of the financial statements taken as a whole. The auditor's consideration of materiality is a matter of professional judgment and is influenced by his or her perception of the needs of a reasonable person who will rely on the financial statements. Materiality judgments involving risks or uncertainties are made in light of the surrounding circumstances. The auditor evaluates the materiality of reasonably possible losses that may be incurred upon the resolution of uncertainties both individually and in the aggregate. The auditor performs the evaluation of reasonably possible losses without regard to his or her evaluation of the materiality of known and likely misstatements in the financial statements. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.48 In preparing financial statements, management estimates the outcome of certain types of future events. For example, estimates ordinarily are made about the useful lives of depreciable assets, the collectibility of accounts receivable, the realizable value of inventory items, and the provision for product warranties. FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraphs 23 and 25, describes situations in which the inability to make a reasonable estimate may raise questions about the appropriateness of the accounting principles used. If, in those or other situations, the auditor concludes that the accounting principles used cause the financial statements to be materially misstated, he or she should express a qualified or an adverse opinion. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.49 Usually, the auditor is able to satisfy himself or herself regarding the reasonableness of management's estimate of the effects of future events by considering various types of evidential matter, including the historical experience of the entity. If the auditor concludes that management's estimate is unreasonable (see section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality, and section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates) and that its effect is to cause the financial statements to be materially misstated, he or she should express a qualified or an adverse opinion. [Paragraph added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.50 Accounting changes. The auditor should evaluate a change in accounting principle to satisfy himself that (a) the newly adopted accounting principle is a generally accepted accounting principle, (b) the method of accounting for the effect of the change is in conformity with generally accepted
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accounting principles, and (c) management’s justification for the change is reasonable. If a change in accounting principle does not meet these conditions, the auditor’s report should so indicate, and his opinion should be appropriately qualified as discussed in paragraphs .51 and .52. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.51 If (a) a newly adopted accounting principle is not a generally accepted accounting principle, (b) the method of accounting for the effect of the change is not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, or (c) management has not provided reasonable justification for the change in accounting principle, the auditor should express a qualified opinion or, if the effect of the change is sufficiently material, the auditor should express an adverse opinion on the financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.52 Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, paragraph 16 [AC section A06.112], states: “The presumption that an entity should not change an accounting principle may be overcome only if the enterprise justifies the use of an alternative acceptable accounting principle on the basis that it is preferable.” If management has not provided reasonable justification for the change in accounting principles, the auditor should express an exception to the change having been made without reasonable justification. An example of a report qualified for this reason follows:

Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first and second paragraphs as the standard report]

As disclosed in Note X to the financial statements, the Company adopted, in 20X2, the first-in, first-out method of accounting for its inventories, whereas it previously used the last-in, first-out method. Although use of the first-in, first-out method is in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, in our opinion the Company has not provided reasonable justification for making this change as required by those principles.17

In our opinion, except for the change in accounting principle discussed in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

17 Section 420, Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, states that a change from an accounting principle that is not generally accepted to one that is generally accepted is a correction of an error and that such a change requires recognition in the auditor’s report as to consistency. Therefore, the auditor should add an explanatory paragraph to the report discussing the accounting change.

However, because the middle paragraph included in the example presented contains all of the information required in an explanatory paragraph on consistency, a separate explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) as required by paragraphs .16 through .18 of this section is not necessary in this instance. A separate paragraph that identifies the change in accounting principle would be required if the substance of the disclosure did not fulfill the requirements outlined in these paragraphs. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
.53 Whenever an accounting change results in an auditor expressing a qualified or adverse opinion on the conformity of financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles for the year of change, the auditor should consider the possible effects of that change when reporting on the entity’s financial statements for subsequent years, as discussed in paragraphs .54 through .57. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.54 If the financial statements for the year of such change are presented and reported on with a subsequent year’s financial statements, the auditor’s report should disclose his or her reservations with respect to the statements for the year of change. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.55 If an entity has adopted an accounting principle that is not a generally accepted accounting principle, its continued use might have a material effect on the statements of a subsequent year on which the auditor is reporting. In this situation, the independent auditor should express either a qualified opinion or an adverse opinion, depending on the materiality of the departure in relation to the statements of the subsequent year. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.56 If an entity accounts for the effect of a change prospectively when generally accepted accounting principles require restatement or the inclusion of the cumulative effect of the change in the year of change, a subsequent year’s financial statements could improperly include a charge or credit that is material to those statements. This situation also requires that the auditor express a qualified or an adverse opinion. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.57 If management has not provided reasonable justification for a change in accounting principles, the auditor’s opinion should express an exception to the change having been made without reasonable justification, as previously indicated. In addition, the auditor should continue to express his or her exception with respect to the financial statements for the year of change as long as they are presented and reported on. However, the auditor’s exception relates to the accounting change and does not affect the status of a newly adopted principle as a generally accepted accounting principle. Accordingly, while expressing an exception for the year of change, the independent auditor’s opinion regarding the subsequent years’ statements need not express an exception to use of the newly adopted principle. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

Adverse Opinions

.58 An adverse opinion states that the financial statements do not present fairly the financial position or the results of operations or cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Such an opinion is expressed when, in the auditor’s judgment, the financial statements taken as a whole are not presented fairly in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.59 When the auditor expresses an adverse opinion, he or she should disclose in a separate explanatory paragraph(s) preceding the opinion paragraph of the report (a) all the substantive reasons for his or her adverse opinion,
and (b) the principal effects of the subject matter of the adverse opinion on financial position, results of operations, and cash flows, if practicable. If the effects are not reasonably determinable, the report should so state. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.60 When an adverse opinion is expressed, the opinion paragraph should include a direct reference to a separate paragraph that discloses the basis for the adverse opinion, as shown below:

Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first and second paragraphs as the standard report]

As discussed in Note X to the financial statements, the Company carries its property, plant and equipment accounts at appraisal values, and provides depreciation on the basis of such values. Further, the Company does not provide for income taxes with respect to differences between financial income and taxable income arising because of the use, for income tax purposes, of the installment method of reporting gross profit from certain types of sales. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that property, plant and equipment be stated at an amount not in excess of cost, reduced by depreciation based on such amount, and that deferred income taxes be provided.

Because of the departures from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America identified above, as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, inventories have been increased $_______ and $_______ by inclusion in manufacturing overhead of depreciation in excess of that based on cost; property, plant and equipment, less accumulated depreciation, is carried at $_______ and $_______ in excess of an amount based on the cost to the Company; and deferred income taxes of $_______ and $_______ have not been recorded; resulting in an increase of $_______ and $_______ in retained earnings and in appraisal surplus of $_______ and $_______, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, cost of goods sold has been increased $_______ and $_______, respectively, because of the effects of the depreciation accounting referred to above and deferred income taxes of $_______ and $_______ have not been provided, resulting in an increase in net income of $_______ and $_______, respectively.

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matters discussed in the preceding paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, or the results of its operations or its cash flows for the years then ended. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

18 See footnote 15. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
19 When the auditor expresses an adverse opinion, he or she should also consider the need for an explanatory paragraph under the circumstances identified in paragraph .11, subsections (c), (d), and (e) of this section. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
Disclaimer of Opinion

.61 A disclaimer of opinion states that the auditor does not express an opinion on the financial statements. An auditor may decline to express an opinion whenever he or she is unable to form or has not formed an opinion as to the fairness of presentation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. If the auditor disclaims an opinion, the auditor's report should give all of the substantive reasons for the disclaimer. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.62 A disclaimer is appropriate when the auditor has not performed an audit sufficient in scope to enable him or her to form an opinion on the financial statements. A disclaimer of opinion should not be expressed because the auditor believes, on the basis of his or her audit, that there are material departures from generally accepted accounting principles (see paragraphs .35 through .57). When disclaiming an opinion because of a scope limitation, the auditor should state in a separate paragraph or paragraphs all of the substantive reasons for the disclaimer. He or she should state that the scope of the audit was not sufficient to warrant the expression of an opinion. The auditor should not identify the procedures that were performed nor include the paragraph describing the characteristics of an audit (that is, the scope paragraph of the auditor's standard report); to do so may tend to overshadow the disclaimer. In addition, the auditor should also disclose any other reservations he or she has regarding fair presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.63 An example of a report disclaiming an opinion resulting from an inability to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter because of the scope limitation follows:

Independent Auditor’s Report
We were engaged to audit the accompanying balance sheets of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management.

---

20 If an accountant is engaged to conduct an audit of the financial statements of a nonpublic entity in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, but is requested to change the engagement to a review or a compilation of the statements, he or she should look to the guidance in paragraphs 46 through 51 of Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services No. 1, Compilation and Review of Financial Statements [AR section 100.46–.51], Section 504, Association With Financial Statements, paragraph .05, provides guidance to an accountant who is associated with the financial statements of a public entity, but has not audited such statements. [Footnote renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

21 The wording in the first paragraph of the auditor’s standard report is changed in a disclaimer of opinion because of a scope limitation. The first sentence now states that “we were engaged to audit” rather than “we have audited” since, because of the scope limitation, the auditor was not able to perform an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. In addition, the last sentence of the first paragraph is also deleted, because of the scope limitation, to eliminate the reference to the auditor’s responsibility to express an opinion. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000. Footnote revised, November 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services No. 9.]
The Fourth Standard of Reporting

[Second paragraph of standard report should be omitted]

The Company did not make a count of its physical inventory in 20X2 or 20X1, stated in the accompanying financial statements at $_______ as of December 31, 20X2, and at $_______ as of December 31, 20X1. Further, evidence supporting the cost of property and equipment acquired prior to December 31, 20X1, is no longer available. The Company’s records do not permit the application of other auditing procedures to inventories or property and equipment.

Since the Company did not take physical inventories and we were not able to apply other auditing procedures to satisfy ourselves as to inventory quantities and the cost of property and equipment, the scope of our work was not sufficient to enable us to express, and we do not express, an opinion on these financial statements.

[P[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

Piecemeal Opinions

.64 Piecemeal opinions (expressions of opinion as to certain identified items in financial statements) should not be expressed when the auditor has disclaimed an opinion or has expressed an adverse opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole because piecemeal opinions tend to overshadow or contradict a disclaimer of opinion or an adverse opinion. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

Reports on Comparative Financial Statements

.65 The fourth standard of reporting requires that an auditor’s report contain either an expression of opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole or an assertion to the effect that an opinion cannot be expressed. Reference in the fourth reporting standard to the financial statements taken as a whole applies not only to the financial statements of the current period but also to those of one or more prior periods that are presented on a comparative basis with those of the current period. Therefore, a continuing auditor should update the report on the individual financial statements of the one or more prior periods presented on a comparative basis with those

22 A continuing auditor is one who has audited the financial statements of the current period and of one or more consecutive periods immediately prior to the current period. If one firm of independent auditors merges with another firm and the new firm becomes the auditor of a former client of one of the former firms, the new firm may accept responsibility and express an opinion on the financial statements for the prior period(s), as well as for those of the current period. In such circumstances, the new firm should follow the guidance in paragraphs .65 through .69 and may indicate in its report or signature that a merger took place and may name the firm of independent auditors that was merged with it. If the new firm decides not to express an opinion on the prior-period financial statements, the guidance in paragraphs .70 through .74 should be followed. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]

23 An updated report on prior-period financial statements should be distinguished from a reissuance of a previous report (see section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report, paragraphs .06 through .08), since in issuing an updated report the continuing auditor considers information that he or she has become aware of during his or her audit of the current-period financial statements (see paragraph .68) and because an updated report is issued in conjunction with the auditor’s report on the current-period financial statements. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
Ordinarily, the auditor’s report on comparative financial statements should be dated as of the date of completion of fieldwork for the most recent audit. (See section 530, *Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report*, paragraph .01.) [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

During the audit of the current-period financial statements, the auditor should be alert for circumstances or events that affect the prior-period financial statements presented (see paragraph .68) or the adequacy of informative disclosures concerning those statements. (See section 431, *Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements*, and ARB No. 43, Chapter 2A [AC section F43].) In updating his or her report on the prior-period financial statements, the auditor should consider the effects of any such circumstances or events coming to his or her attention. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

Different Reports on Comparative Financial Statements Presented

Since the auditor’s report on comparative financial statements applies to the individual financial statements presented, an auditor may express a qualified or adverse opinion, disclaim an opinion, or include an explanatory paragraph with respect to one or more financial statements for one or more periods, while issuing a different report on the other financial statements presented. Following are examples of reports on comparative financial statements (excluding the standard introductory and scope paragraphs, where applicable) with different reports on one or more financial statements presented.

**Standard Report on the Prior-Year Financial Statements and a Qualified Opinion on the Current-Year Financial Statements**

*Independent Auditor’s Report*

[Same first and second paragraphs as the standard report]

The Company has excluded, from property and debt in the accompanying 20X2 balance sheet, certain lease obligations that were entered into in 20X2 which, in our opinion, should be capitalized in order to conform with financial accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. If these lease obligations were capitalized, property would be increased by $_______, long-term debt by $_______, and retained earnings by $_______ as of December 31, 20X2, and net income and earnings per share would be increased (decreased) by $_______ and $_______, respectively, for the year then ended.

**Footnote**

24 A continuing auditor need not report on the prior-period financial statements if only summarized comparative information of the prior period(s) is presented. For example, entities such as state and local governmental units frequently present total-all-funds information for the prior period(s) rather than information by individual funds because of space limitations or to avoid cumbersome or confusing formats. Also, not-for-profit organizations frequently present certain information for the prior period(s) in total rather than by net asset class. In some circumstances, the client may request the auditor to express an opinion on the prior period(s) as well as the current period. In those circumstances, the auditor should consider whether the information included for the prior period(s) contains sufficient detail to constitute a fair presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. In most cases, this will necessitate including additional columns or separate detail by fund or net asset class, or the auditor would need to modify his or her report. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000. Revised, April 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of FASB Statement No. 117.]
In our opinion, except for the effects on the 20X2 financial statements of not capitalizing certain lease obligations as described in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.


Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first paragraph as the standard report]

Except as explained in the following paragraph, we conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We did not observe the taking of the physical inventory as of December 31, 20X0, since that date was prior to our appointment as auditors for the Company, and we were unable to satisfy ourselves regarding inventory quantities by means of other auditing procedures. Inventory amounts as of December 31, 20X0, enter into the determination of net income and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 20X1.25

Because of the matter discussed in the preceding paragraph, the scope of our work was not sufficient to enable us to express, and we do not express, an opinion on the results of operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 20X1.

In our opinion, the balance sheets of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 20X2, present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 20X2, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

25 It is assumed that the independent auditor has been able to satisfy himself or herself as to the consistency of application of generally accepted accounting principles. See section 420, Consistency of Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, for a discussion of consistency. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995; the former footnote 29 has been deleted and subsequent footnotes renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
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Opinion on Prior-Period Financial Statements Different From the Opinion Previously Expressed

.68 If, during the current audit, an auditor becomes aware of circumstances or events that affect the financial statements of a prior period, he or she should consider such matters when updating his or her report on the financial statements of the prior period. For example, if an auditor has previously qualified his or her opinion or expressed an adverse opinion on financial statements of a prior period because of a departure from generally accepted accounting principles, and the prior-period financial statements are restated in the current period to conform with generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor’s updated report on the financial statements of the prior period should indicate that the statements have been restated and should express an unqualified opinion with respect to the restated financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.69 If, in an updated report, the opinion is different from the opinion previously expressed on the financial statements of a prior period, the auditor should disclose all the substantive reasons for the different opinion in a separate explanatory paragraph(s) preceding the opinion paragraph of his or her report. The explanatory paragraph(s) should disclose (a) the date of the auditor’s previous report, (b) the type of opinion previously expressed, (c) the circumstances or events that caused the auditor to express a different opinion, and (d) that the auditor’s updated opinion on the financial statements of the prior period is different from his or her previous opinion on those statements. The following is an example of an explanatory paragraph that may be appropriate when an auditor issues an updated report on the financial statements of a prior period that contains an opinion different from the opinion previously expressed:

Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first and second paragraphs as the standard report]

In our report dated March 1, 20X2, we expressed an opinion that the 20X1 financial statements did not fairly present financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America because of two departures from such principles: (1) the Company carried its property, plant, and equipment at appraisal values, and provided for depreciation on the basis of such values, and (2) the Company did not provide for deferred income taxes with respect to differences between income for financial reporting purposes and taxable income. As described in Note X, the Company has changed its method of accounting for these items and restated its 20X1 financial statements to conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, our present opinion on the 20X1 financial statements, as presented herein, is different from that expressed in our previous report.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

26 See footnote 17. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
Report of Predecessor Auditor

.70 A predecessor auditor ordinarily would be in a position to reissue his or her report on the financial statements of a prior period at the request of a former client if he or she is able to make satisfactory arrangements with the former client to perform this service and if he or she performs the procedures described in paragraph .71.27 [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

Predecessor Auditor’s Report Reissued

.71 Before reissuing (or consenting to the reuse of) a report previously issued on the financial statements of a prior period, when those financial statements are to be presented on a comparative basis with audited financial statements of a subsequent period, a predecessor auditor should consider whether his or her previous report on those statements is still appropriate. Either the current form or manner of presentation of the financial statements of the prior period or one or more subsequent events might make a predecessor auditor’s previous report inappropriate. Consequently, a predecessor auditor should (a) read the financial statements of the current period, (b) compare the prior-period financial statements that he or she reported on with the financial statements to be presented for comparative purposes, and (c) obtain representation letters from management of the former client and from the successor auditor. The representation letter from management of the former client should state (a) whether any information has come to management’s attention that would cause them to believe that any of the previous representations should be modified, and (b) whether any events have occurred subsequent to the balance-sheet date of the latest prior-period financial statements reported on by the predecessor auditor that would require adjustment to or disclosure in those financial statements. The representation letter from the successor auditor should state whether the successor’s audit revealed any matters that, in the successor’s opinion, might have a material effect on, or require disclosure in, the financial statements reported on by the predecessor auditor. Also, the predecessor auditor may wish to consider the matters described in section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors, paragraphs .10 through .12. However, the predecessor auditor should not refer in his or her reissued report to the report or work of the successor auditor. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995. As amended, effective for reports reissued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 85.]

.72 A predecessor auditor who has agreed to reissue his or her report may become aware of events or transactions occurring subsequent to the date of his or her previous report on the financial statements of a prior period that may...
affect his or her previous report (for example, the successor auditor might indicate in the response that certain matters have had a material effect on the prior-period financial statements reported on by the predecessor auditor). In such circumstances, the predecessor auditor should make inquiries and perform other procedures that he or she considers necessary (for example, reviewing the working papers of the successor auditor as they relate to the matters affecting the prior-period financial statements). The auditor should then decide, on the basis of the evidential matter obtained, whether to revise the report. If a predecessor auditor concludes that the report should be revised, he or she should follow the guidance in paragraphs .68, .69, and .73 of this section. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

.73 A predecessor auditor’s knowledge of the current affairs of his or her former client is obviously limited in the absence of a continuing relationship. Consequently, when reissuing the report on prior-period financial statements, a predecessor auditor should use the date of his or her previous report to avoid any implication that he or she has examined any records, transactions, or events after that date. If the predecessor auditor revises the report or if the financial statements are restated, he or she should dual-date the report. (See section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report, paragraph .05) [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December 1995.]

Predecessor Auditor’s Report Not Presented

.74 If the financial statements of a prior period have been audited by a predecessor auditor whose report is not presented, the successor auditor should indicate in the introductory paragraph of his or her report (a) that the financial statements of the prior period were audited by another auditor,29 (b) the date of his or her report, (c) the type of report issued by the predecessor auditor, and (d) if the report was other than a standard report, the substantive reasons therefor.30 An example of a successor auditor’s report when the predecessor auditor’s report is not presented is shown below:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the balance sheet of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X2, and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The financial statements of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X1, were audited by other auditors whose report dated March 31, 20X2, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

29 The successor auditor should not name the predecessor auditor in his or her report; however, the successor auditor may name the predecessor auditor if the predecessor auditor’s practice was acquired by, or merged with, that of the successor auditor. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79, December, 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 85, November 1997. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]

30 If the predecessor’s report was issued before the effective date of this section and contained an uncertainties explanatory paragraph, a successor auditor’s report issued or reissued after the effective date hereof should not make reference to the predecessor’s previously required explanatory paragraph. [Footnote added, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79. Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 85, November 1997. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, October 2000.]
In our opinion, the 20X2 financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X2, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

If the predecessor auditor’s report was other than a standard report, the successor auditor should describe the nature of and reasons for the explanatory paragraph added to the predecessor’s report or the opinion qualification. Following is an illustration of the wording that may be included in the successor auditor’s report:

... were audited by other auditors whose report dated March 1, 20X2, on those statements included an explanatory paragraph that described the change in the Company’s method of computing depreciation discussed in Note X to the financial statements.

If the financial statements have been restated, the introductory paragraph should indicate that a predecessor auditor reported on the financial statements of the prior period before restatement. In addition, if the successor auditor is engaged to audit and applies sufficient procedures to satisfy himself or herself as to the appropriateness of the restatement adjustments, he or she may also include the following paragraph in his report:

We also audited the adjustments described in Note X that were applied to restate the 20X1 financial statements. In our opinion, such adjustments are appropriate and have been properly applied.

[Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

**Effective Date and Transition**

.75 This section is effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996. Earlier application of the provisions of this section is permissible. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

.76 An auditor who previously included an uncertainties explanatory paragraph in a report should not repeat that paragraph and is not required to include an emphasis paragraph related to the uncertainty in a reissuance of that report or in a report on subsequent periods’ financial statements, even if the uncertainty has not been resolved. If the auditor decides to include an emphasis paragraph related to the uncertainty, the paragraph may include an explanation of the change in reporting standards. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after February 29, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]
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AU Section 9508  

Reports on Audited Financial Statements:  
Auditing Interpretations of Section 508  

1. Report of an Outside Inventory-Taking Firm as an Alternative Procedure for Observing Inventories  

.01 Question—Section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .24 states that “Common restrictions on the scope of the audit include those applying to the observation of physical inventories and the confirmation of accounts receivable by direct communication with debtors. . . .” A footnote to that paragraph states: “Circumstances such as the timing of the work may make it impossible for the auditor to accomplish these procedures. In this case, if the auditor is able to satisfy himself or herself as to inventories or accounts receivable by applying alternative procedures, there is no significant limitation on the scope of the work, and the report need not include reference to the omission of the procedures or to the use of alternative procedures.” Outside firms of nonaccountants specializing in the taking of physical inventories are used at times by some companies, such as retail stores, hospitals, and automobile dealers, to count, list, price and subsequently compute the total dollar amount of inventory on hand at the date of the physical count. Would obtaining the report of an outside inventory-taking firm be an acceptable alternative procedure to the independent auditor’s own observation of physical inventories?  

.02 Interpretation—Sufficient competent evidential matter for inventories is discussed in section 331, Inventories, paragraphs .09–.12. Section 331.09 states that “. . . it is ordinarily necessary for the independent auditor to be present at the time of count and, by suitable observation, tests, and inquiries, satisfy himself respecting the effectiveness of the methods of inventory-taking and the measure of reliance which may be placed upon the client’s representations about the quantities and physical condition of the inventories.”  

.03 Section 331.10 and .11 discusses two variations of that procedure when the client has well-kept perpetual records that are checked periodically by comparisons with physical counts or when the client uses statistical sampling to determine inventories. In such instances, the auditor may vary the timing and extent of his observation of physical counts, but he “must be present to observe such counts as he deems necessary and must satisfy himself as to the effectiveness of the counting procedures used.”  

.04 Section 331.12 deals with circumstances in which the auditor has not satisfied himself or herself as to inventories in the possession of the client through procedures described in section 331.09–.11. In those circumstances, the general requirement for satisfactory alternative procedures is that “. . . tests of the accounting records alone will not be sufficient for him to become satisfied as to quantities; it will always be necessary for the auditor to make, or observe, some physical counts of the inventory and apply appropriate tests of intervening transactions.”  

.05 The fact that the inventory is counted by an outside inventory firm of nonaccountants is not, by itself, a satisfactory substitute for the auditor’s own
observation or taking of some physical counts. The auditor's concern, in this respect, is to satisfy himself as to the effectiveness of the counting procedures used. If the client engages an outside inventory firm to take the physical inventory, the auditor's primary concern would be to evaluate the effectiveness of the procedures used by the outside firm and his auditing procedures would be applied accordingly.

.06 Thus, the auditor would examine the outside firm’s program, observe its procedures and controls, make or observe some physical counts of the inventory, recompute calculations of the submitted inventory on a test basis and apply appropriate tests to the intervening transactions. The independent auditor ordinarily may reduce the extent of the work on the physical count of inventory because of the work of an outside inventory firm, but any restriction on the auditor's judgment concerning the extent of his or her contact with the inventory would be a scope restriction.

[Issue Date: July, 1975; Revised: October, 2000.]

[2.] Reporting on Comparative Financial Statements of Nonprofit Organizations

[.07–.10] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 15, effective for periods ending after June 30, 1977.]

[3.] Reporting on Loss Contingencies

[.11–.14] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 58, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 508.)

[4.] Reports on Consolidated Financial Statements That Include Supplementary Consolidating Information

[.15–.20] [Superseded December 31, 1980, by SAS No. 29.] (See section 551.)

[5.] Disclosures of Subsequent Events

[.21–.24] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 58, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 508.)

[6.] The Materiality of Uncertainties

[.25–.28] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 58, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 508.)

[7.] Reporting on an Uncertainty

[.29–.32] [Withdrawn August, 1982 by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43.]
8. Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting

.33 Question—Footnote 6 of Statement of Position 93-3, Rescission of Accounting Principles Board Statements, states that an enterprise is not viewed as a going concern if liquidation appears imminent. How should the auditor report on financial statements that are prepared on a liquidation basis of accounting for an entity in liquidation or for which liquidation appears imminent?

.34 Answer—A liquidation basis of accounting may be considered generally accepted accounting principles for entities in liquidation or for which liquidation appears imminent. Therefore, the auditor should issue an unqualified opinion on such financial statements, provided that the liquidation basis of accounting has been properly applied, and that adequate disclosures are made in the financial statements.

.35 Typically, the financial statements of entities that adopt a liquidation basis of accounting are presented along with financial statements of a period prior to adoption of a liquidation basis that were prepared on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles for going concerns. In such circumstances, the auditor’s report ordinarily should include an explanatory paragraph that states that the entity has changed the basis of accounting used to determine the amounts at which assets and liabilities are carried from the going concern basis to a liquidation basis.

.36 Examples of auditor’s reports with such an explanatory paragraph follow.

Report on Single Year Financial Statements in Year of Adoption of Liquidation Basis

“We have audited the statement of net assets in liquidation of XYZ Company as of December 31, 20X2, and the related statement of changes in net assets in liquidation for the period from April 26, 20X2 to December 31, 20X2. In addition, we have audited the statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the period from January 1, 20X2 to April 25, 20X2. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

“We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

“As described in Note X to the financial statements, the stockholders of XYZ Company approved a plan of liquidation on April 25, 20X2, and the company commenced liquidation shortly thereafter. As a result, the company has changed its basis of accounting for periods subsequent to April 25, 20X2 from the going-concern basis to a liquidation basis.

“In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the net assets in liquidation of XYZ Company as of December 31, 20X2, the changes in its net assets in liquidation for the period
from April 26, 20X2 to December 31, 20X2, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period from January 1, 20X2 to April 25, 20X2, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applied on the bases described in the preceding paragraph."

Report on Comparative Financial Statements in Year of Adoption of Liquidation Basis

“We have audited the balance sheet of XYZ Company as of December 31, 20X1, the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the period from January 1, 20X2 to April 25, 20X2. In addition, we have audited the statement of net assets in liquidation as of December 31, 20X2, and the related statement of changes in net assets in liquidation for the period from April 26, 20X2 to December 31, 20X2. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

“We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

“As described in Note X to the financial statements, the stockholders of XYZ Company approved a plan of liquidation on April 25, 20X2, and the company commenced liquidation shortly thereafter. As a result, the company has changed its basis of accounting for periods subsequent to April 25, 20X2 from the going-concern basis to a liquidation basis.

“In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of XYZ Company as of December 31, 20X1, the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended and for the period from January 1, 20X2 to April 25, 20X2, its net assets in liquidation as of December 31, 20X2, and the changes in its net assets in liquidation for the period from April 26, 20X2 to December 31, 20X2, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applied on the bases described in the preceding paragraph.”

.37 The auditor may, in subsequent years, continue to include an explanatory paragraph in his report to emphasize that the financial statements are presented on a liquidation basis of accounting.

[.38] [Paragraph deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

[Issue Date: December, 1984; Revised: June, 1993; Revised: February, 1997; Revised: October, 2000.]

[9.] Quantifying Departures From Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

[.39–.43] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 58, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 508.)
[10.] Updated Reports Resulting From the Retroactive Suspension of
Earnings per Share and Segment Information Disclosure Requirements

[.44–.48] [Withdrawn March, 1989 by the Auditing Standards Board.]

[11.] Restating Financial Statements Reported on by a Predecessor
Auditor

[.49–.50] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 84, effective
with respect to acceptance of an engagement after March 31, 1998.] (See
section 315.)

12. Reference in Auditor’s Standard Report to Management’s Report

.51 Question—One of the basic elements of the auditor’s standard report
is a statement that the financial statements are the responsibility of the
Company’s management. That statement is required in the auditor’s report
even when a document containing the auditor’s report includes a statement by
management regarding its responsibility for the presentation of the financial
statements. When an annual shareholders’ report (or other client-prepared
document that includes audited financial statements) contains a management
report that states the financial statements are the responsibility of manage-
ment, is it permissible for the auditor’s report to include a reference to the
management report?

.52 Interpretation—No. The statement about management’s responsibili-
ties for the financial statements required by section 508, Reports on Audited
Financial Statements, should not be further elaborated upon in the auditor’s
standard report or referenced to management’s report. Such modifications to
the standard auditor’s report may lead users to erroneously believe that the
auditor is providing assurances about representations made by management
about their responsibility for financial reporting, internal controls and other
matters that might be discussed in the management report.

[Issue Date: January, 1989.]

[13.] Reference to Country of Origin in the Auditor’s Standard Report

[.53–.55] [Withdrawn October, 2000 by SAS No. 93.]

14. Reporting on Audits Conducted in Accordance With Auditing
Standards Generally Accepted in the United States of America and in
Accordance With International Standards on Auditing

.56 Question—Section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements,
states that a basic element of the auditor’s report is a statement that the audit
was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and
an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of
those standards. If the auditor conducts the audit in accordance with standards
generally accepted in the United States of America and in accordance with the
International Standards on Auditing promulgated by the International Audit-
ing Practices Committee of the International Federation of Accountants, may
the auditor so indicate in the auditor’s report?
.57 **Interpretation**—Yes. Section 508 requires that the auditor indicate in the auditor's report that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards; however, section 508 does not prohibit the auditor from indicating that the audit also was conducted in accordance with another set of auditing standards. If the audit also was conducted in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing, in their entirety, the auditor may so indicate in the auditor's report. To determine whether an audit was conducted in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing, it is necessary to consider the text of the International Standards on Auditing in their entirety, including the basic principles and essential procedures together with the related guidance included in the International Standards on Auditing.1

.58 When reporting on an audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and International Standards on Auditing, the auditor should comply with reporting standards generally accepted in the United States of America.

.59 An example of reporting on an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and in accordance with International Standards on Auditing follows:

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and in accordance with International Standards on Auditing. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

[Issue Date: March, 2002.]

15. Reporting as Successor Auditor When Prior-Period Audited Financial Statements Were Audited by a Predecessor Auditor Who Has Ceased Operations

.60 **Question**—If the prior-period financial statements audited by a predecessor auditor who has ceased operations are presented for comparative purposes with current-period audited financial statements, how is the successor auditor's report affected?

.61 **Interpretation**—If the prior-period audited financial statements are unchanged, pursuant to section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .74, the successor auditor should indicate in the introductory paragraph of his or her report (a) that the financial statements of the prior period were audited by another auditor, (b) the date of the predecessor auditor's

---

1 Appendix B, Analysis of International Standards on Auditing, identifies sections and paragraphs, if applicable, within the International Standards on Auditing that may require procedures and documentation in addition to those required by U.S. auditing standards.

2 A firm is considered to have ceased operations when it no longer issues audit opinions either in its own name or in the name of a successor firm. A firm may cease operations with respect to public entities and still issue audit opinions with respect to non-public entities.
report, (c) the type of report issued by the predecessor auditor, and (d) if the report was other than a standard report, the substantive reasons therefor. The successor auditor ordinarily also should indicate that the other auditor has ceased operations. Footnote 29 of section 508 indicates that the successor auditor should not name the predecessor auditor in the report. An example of the reference that would be added to the introductory paragraph of the successor auditor’s report is presented as follows:

The financial statements of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X1, and for the year then ended were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. Those auditors expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements in their report dated March 31, 20X2.

A reference to the predecessor auditor’s report should be included even if the predecessor auditor’s report on the prior-period financial statements is reprinted and accompanies the successor auditor’s report, because reprinting does not constitute reissuance of the predecessor auditor’s report.

.62 If the prior-period financial statements have been restated, and the entity does not file annual financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the successor auditor should follow the guidance in paragraph .61 above, indicating that the predecessor auditor reported on such financial statements before restatement.

.63 When the prior-period financial statements have been restated, the successor auditor may be engaged either to reaudit the prior-period financial statements or to audit only the restatement adjustments. If the successor auditor is engaged to audit only the restatement adjustments and applies sufficient procedures to satisfy himself or herself as to the appropriateness of the restatement adjustments, the successor auditor may report on the restatement adjustments using the guidance in section 508.74. (The auditor also may use the guidance on alternative language contained in paragraph .71, below.) In determining the nature, timing and extent of procedures, the successor auditor should consider that a predecessor auditor who has ceased operations cannot perform the procedures to evaluate the appropriateness of the restatement adjustments as described in section 561, Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report.

.64 If the successor auditor neither performs a reaudit of the prior-period financial statements nor audits only the restatement adjustments, the note to the financial statements describing the restatement adjustments should be marked “Unaudited.” Depending on the nature and extent of the restatement adjustments, it may be appropriate for the prior-period financial statements to be marked “Unaudited.”

.65 If the entity files annual financial statements with the SEC, the SEC staff has indicated (specifically with respect to Arthur Andersen LLP) that, in annual reports (on Form 10-K and to shareholders), the predecessor auditor’s latest signed and dated report on the prior-period financial statements should be reprinted with a legend indicating (a) that the report is a copy of the previously issued report and (b) that the predecessor auditor has not reissued the report.3

.66 The successor auditor should refer to the predecessor auditor’s report in his or her report, as described in paragraph .61 above, and, if the prior-period

financial statements have been restated, indicate that the predecessor auditor reported on such financial statements before restatement.

SEC rules require that annual and, in some instances, other financial statements be audited. To satisfy the SEC audit requirement when the prior-period financial statements have been restated, the successor auditor may be engaged either to reaudit the prior-period financial statements or to audit only the restatement adjustments. A successor auditor who is engaged to audit only the restatement adjustments is not required to perform procedures to identify all adjustments to the financial statements that may be appropriate.4

In some cases, prior-period financial statement disclosures may be revised in a manner that does not involve restating amounts in the prior-period financial statements, but rather involves the addition of disclosures. In such cases, the successor auditor may be engaged to perform audit procedures to satisfy himself or herself as to the appropriateness of the additional disclosures. Financial statements that have been revised are considered to be restated for the purposes of this Interpretation.

Some revisions may be sufficiently inconsequential such that audit procedures by the successor auditor would be unnecessary and the reference to the predecessor auditor’s report on the prior-period financial statements would not indicate that the predecessor auditor reported on such financial statements before restatement. For example, inconsequential revisions might include conforming editorial modifications to footnote disclosures or reclassifications made for comparative purposes in the financial statements.5

When the successor auditor is engaged to audit only the restatement adjustments, the procedures performed will vary significantly depending on the nature of adjustment. In some instances, the successor auditor may determine that conducting a reaudit of the prior-period financial statements is necessary based on the nature of the restatement adjustments. Examples of restatement adjustments whose nature indicates that a reaudit ordinarily is necessary (particularly with respect to entities that file financial statements with the SEC) include, but are not limited to:

- Corrections of an error.
- Reflection of a change in reporting entity.
- Retroactive accounting changes (a) with significant impact on previously reported amounts or (b) that affect previously reported net income or net assets.
- Reporting discontinued operations.
- Changes affecting previously reported net income or net assets.

If the successor auditor is engaged to audit only the restatement adjustments and applies sufficient procedures to satisfy himself or herself as to the appropriateness of the restatement adjustments, the successor auditor

4 However, a successor auditor who identifies other adjustments that may be appropriate to the prior-period financial statements, either in the course of auditing the restatement adjustments or in the audit of current-period financial statements, should consider their effect on the prior-period financial statements. See section 315. Section 561 provides further guidance that may be useful to a successor auditor who either reaudits the prior-period financial statements or audits only the restatement adjustments.

5 If reclassifications result in material changes to prior-period financial statements, they should be disclosed and the successor auditor would, at a minimum, need to perform audit procedures on the related restatement adjustments.
may report on the restatement adjustments using the guidance in section 508.74. Alternatively, the successor auditor may wish to make it clear that he or she did not audit, review, or apply other procedures to the prior-period financial statements beyond the procedures applied to the restatement adjustments. Accordingly, he or she may include the following paragraph in his or her report:

As discussed above, the financial statements of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X1, and for the year then ended were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. As described in Note X, these financial statements have been restated [revised]. We audited the adjustments described in Note X that were applied to restate [revise] the 20X1 financial statements. In our opinion, such adjustments are appropriate and have been properly applied. However, we were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the 20X1 financial statements of the Company other than with respect to such adjustments and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the 20X1 financial statements taken as a whole.

72 If the auditor wishes to identify the procedures performed in his or her report, he or she may include in his or her report a paragraph similar to the following example:

Restatement Adjustments for Changes in Segment Composition

As discussed above, the financial statements of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X1, and for the year then ended were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. As described in Note X, the Company changed the composition of its reportable segments in 20X2, and the amounts in the 20X1 financial statements relating to reportable segments have been restated to conform to the 20X2 composition of reportable segments. We audited the adjustments that were applied to restate the disclosures for reportable segments reflected in the 20X1 financial statements. Our procedures included (a) agreeing the adjusted amounts of segment revenues, operating income and assets to the Company's underlying records obtained from management, and (b) testing the mathematical accuracy of the reconciliations of segment amounts to the consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, such adjustments are appropriate and have been properly applied. However, we were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the 20X1 financial statements of the Company other than with respect to such adjustments and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the 20X1 financial statements taken as a whole.

73 When the revision of the prior-period financial statements is limited to expansion of footnote disclosure, the phrase “restatement adjustments” may not be applicable. In such circumstances, the auditor may include in his or her report a paragraph similar to the following example:

Addition of FAS 142, paragraph 61, Disclosure

As discussed above, the financial statements of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X1, and for the year then ended were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. As described in Note X, these financial statements have been revised to include the transitional disclosures required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (Statement) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, which was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 20X2. Our audit procedures with respect to the disclosures in Note X with respect to 20X1 included (a) agreeing the previously reported net income to the previously issued financial statements and the adjustments to reported net income representing amortization expense (including any related tax effects) recognized in those periods related to goodwill, intangible assets that are no longer being
amortized, deferred credits related to an excess over cost, equity method goodwill, and changes in amortization periods for intangible assets that will continue to be amortized as a result of initially applying Statement No. 142 (including any related tax effects) to the Company's underlying records obtained from management, and (b) testing the mathematical accuracy of the reconciliation of adjusted net income to reported net income, and the related earnings-per-share amounts. In our opinion, the disclosures for 20X1 in Note X are appropriate. However, we were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the 20X1 financial statements of the Company other than with respect to such disclosures and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the 20X1 financial statements taken as a whole.

.74 Question—If the prior-period financial statements audited by a predecessor auditor who has ceased operations have been subsequently restated, but the successor auditor has not yet completed an audit of current-period financial statements, can the successor auditor report on the restatement adjustments pursuant to section 508.74?

.75 Interpretation—No. Section 508.74 is only applicable when the prior-period financial statements are presented for comparative purposes with current-period audited financial statements. If the prior-period financial statements have been restated, and the successor auditor is requested to report on those financial statements without also reporting on current-period audited financial statements, the successor auditor would need to reaudit the prior-period financial statements in order to report on them.

[Issue Date: November, 2002.]


.76 Question—The Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Investment Companies (the Guide) addresses financial statement presentation and disclosure requirements for investment partnerships that are exempt from Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act). Paragraphs 7.10 through 7.14 of the Guide specifically describe information that should be disclosed in a Schedule of Investments. Paragraph 7.12 of the Guide states that the financial statements of an investment partnership that is exempt from SEC registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, when prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, should:

a. Categorize investments by the following:
   (i) Type (such as common stocks, preferred stocks, convertible securities, fixed-income securities, government securities, options purchased, options written, warrants, futures, loan participations, short sales, other investment companies, and so forth)
   (ii) Country or geographic region
   (iii) Industry

Report (1) the percent of net assets that each such category represents and (2) the total value and cost for each category in (a)(i) and (a)(ii).
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b. Disclose the name, shares or principal amount, value, and type of the following:
   (i) Each investment (including short sales), constituting more than 5 percent of net assets
   (ii) All investments in any one issuer aggregating more than 5 percent of net assets

In applying the 5 percent test, total long and total short positions in any one issuer should be considered separately.

c. Aggregate other investments (each of which is 5 percent or less of net assets) without specifically identifying the issuers of such investments and categorize them as required by (a) above.

.77 Section 508.41 addresses the effect of inadequate disclosure of information essential for fair presentation of the financial statements on the auditor’s report. It states:

   If the financial statements, including accompanying notes, fail to disclose information that is required by generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should express a qualified or adverse opinion because of the departure from those principles and should provide the information in the report, if practicable, unless its omission from the auditor’s report is recognized as appropriate by a specific Statement on Auditing Standards.

.78 Section 508.42 provides an example of a report qualified for inadequate disclosure (assuming that the auditor has concluded that it is not practicable to present the required information and the effects are such that the auditor has concluded an adverse opinion is not appropriate) as follows:

   Independent Auditor’s Report

   [Same first and second paragraphs as the standard report]

   The Company’s financial statements do not disclose [describe the nature of the omitted information that it is not practicable to present in the auditor’s report].
   In our opinion, disclosure of this information is required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
   In our opinion, except for the omission of the information discussed in the preceding paragraph, . . .

.79 The Guide does not make it clear how the guidance in section 508.41 and .42 should be applied to reports on financial statements of investment partnerships that are exempt from SEC registration and that do not include all the investment information required in the Schedule of Investments as required by the Guide. For example, if the financial statements did not disclose each of the required items for each investment, the guidance in section 508.41 indicates the auditor should, if practicable, include the missing information (for example, the Schedule of Investments or information about individual investments) in the auditor’s report. However, the example in section 508.42 provides that the auditor would disclose the nature of the missing information, rather than the actual information, in the auditor’s report.

.80 In applying section 508.41 and .42 to an auditor’s report on financial statements of an investment partnership that is exempt from SEC registration and that does not include the required Schedule of Investments information required by paragraph 7.12 of the Guide, is it sufficient for the auditor to

---

* This sentence reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this sentence is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
describe “the nature of the omitted disclosures” in his or her report expressing a qualified (or adverse) opinion?

.81 Interpretation—No. The example in section 508.42 does not change the requirement in section 508.41 for the auditor to issue a qualified or adverse opinion and also to provide the missing information, if practicable. If the investment disclosures required by the Guide are not included in the financial statements and it is practicable for the auditor to determine them or any portion thereof, the auditor should include the information in his or her report expressing the qualified or adverse opinion.

.82 Footnote 15 of section 508 indicates that it is practicable to provide the missing information if “the information is reasonably obtainable from management’s accounts and records and . . . providing the information in the report does not require the auditor to assume the position of a preparer of financial information.” Ordinarily, it would be practicable for the auditor to obtain and present the information about investments constituting more than 5 percent of net assets called for by section (b) of the disclosure requirement described in paragraph .76 above. However, due to the need to categorize the investments for the purpose of preparing the schedule called for by section (a) of the disclosure requirement described in paragraph .76 above, the auditor might be in the position of preparer of financial information and, therefore, would not include the schedule in his or her report. In rare cases, the Schedule of Investments information may be so limited that the auditor may conclude that disclosure of the entire Schedule is practicable.

.83 Following is an illustration of a report that expresses a qualified opinion because the Schedule of Investments fails to disclose investments constituting more than 5 percent of net assets, but in all other respects conforms to the requirements of the Guide:

Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first and second paragraphs as the standard report]

The Schedule of Investments included in the Partnership’s financial statements does not disclose required information about the following investments, each constituting more than 5 percent of the Partnership’s total net assets, at December 31, 20X2:

- Amalgamated Buggy Whips, Inc., 10,000 shares of common stock—fair value $3,280,000 (Consumer nondurable goods)
- Paper Airplane Corp., 6.25% Cv. Deb. due 20XX, $4.5 million par value—fair value $4,875,000 (Aviation)

In our opinion, disclosure of this information is required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, except for the omission of the information discussed in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, . . .

.84 An illustration of an adverse opinion relating to failure to present the entire Schedule of Investments and all of the related required information follows. This illustration assumes that the auditor has concluded that it is not practicable to present all of the required information. In such circumstances, the auditor presents in his or her report the missing information, where it is practicable to do so, and describes the nature of the missing information where it is not practicable to present the information in the report:

---

6 Section 508.36 discusses the factors the auditor considers in deciding whether to issue a qualified opinion or an adverse opinion.
Independent Auditor’s Report

The Partnership has declined to prepare and present a Schedule of Investments and the related information as of December 31, 20X2. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require presentation of this Schedule and the related information. Presentation of this Schedule would have disclosed required information about the following investments, each constituting more than 5 percent of the Partnership’s total net assets, at December 31, 20X2:

- Amalgamated Buggy Whips, Inc., 10,000 shares of common stock—fair value $3,280,000 (Consumer nondurable goods)
- Paper Airplane Corp., 6.25% Cred. due 20XX, $4.5 million par value—fair value $4,875,000 (Aviation)

In addition, presentation of the Schedule of Investments would have disclosed [describe the nature of the information that it is not practicable to present in the auditor's report].

In our opinion, because the omission of a Schedule of Investments results in an incomplete presentation as explained in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above do not present fairly, . . .

[Issue Date: April 9, 2003.]

The following Auditing Interpretation No. 17 reflects generally accepted auditing standards issued or modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, the following Auditing Interpretation No. 17 is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

17. Clarification in the Audit Report of the Extent of Testing of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Accordance With Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

Question—Auditing standards established by the Auditing Standards Board (ASB) of the AICPA are in effect and are enforceable8 for audits of entities that are not “issuers,” as that term is defined by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Act) or whose audit is not prescribed by the rules of the SEC. For purposes of this interpretation, these entities are referred to as “nonissuers.”9

8 In the absence of a Schedule of Investments containing categorizations by type, country or geographic region, and industry, such categorizations should be provided only if readily ascertainable from management’s accounts and records. The auditor should not assign such categorizations if management has not done so.

9 The AICPA governing Council designated the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) as the body, pursuant to Rules 201 and 202 [ET sections 201.01 and 202.01] of the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct, with the authority to promulgate auditing and related attestation standards, quality control, ethics, independence and other standards relating to the preparation and issuance of audit reports for issuers, as defined by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Council also designated the Auditing Standards Board (ASB), pursuant to Rules 201 and 202 [ET sections 201.01 and 202.01], as the body with the authority to promulgate auditing, attestation, and quality control standards and procedures for all other entities.

9 In a report issued on the audit of the financial statements of a subsidiary, investee, or other type of affiliate of an issuer, that is not itself an issuer, the auditor should refer to the audit as having been performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards if the report will not be filed with the SEC. For example, a subsidiary of an issuer may be subject to certain regulations that require an audit be performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards (the Yellow Book). In this example, the auditor’s report of the nonissuer should refer to generally accepted auditing standards and generally accepted government auditing standards.
Section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, provides guidance on the auditor’s consideration of internal control in an audit of a nonissuer’s financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. That consideration is intended to provide the auditor a sufficient understanding of internal control to plan the audit and to determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed. The scope of the auditor’s procedures required under section 319 is considerably less than that required for an attestation of internal control pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Act.

.87 To clarify that an audit performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards does not require the same level of testing and reporting on internal control over financial reporting as an audit of an issuer when Section 404(b) of the Act is applicable, may the auditor expand his or her audit report to indicate that the purpose and extent of the auditor’s testing of internal control over financial reporting was to determine the auditor’s procedures and was not sufficient to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting?

.88 Interpretation—Yes. An auditor may add such language to the auditor’s standard report. An example of additional language that may be included in the auditor’s report to indicate this matter follows:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The following Auditing Interpretation No. 18 reflects generally accepted auditing standards issued or modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, the following Auditing Interpretation No. 18 is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

The additional language related to internal control should not be used when reporting on the audit of financial statements of a nonissuer that engages its auditor to examine (or audit) and report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting either voluntarily or to comply with regulatory requirements.
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18. Reference to PCAOB Standards in an Audit Report on a Nonissuer\textsuperscript{11}

\textbf{.89} Question—PC section 130, "References in Auditors’ Reports to the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board," directs auditors to state in the auditor’s report that the engagement was conducted in accordance with "the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States)" whenever the auditor has performed the engagement in accordance with PCAOB standards. Additionally, the release accompanying the standard states that "nothing in the Board’s rules would preclude an accounting firm from conducting an audit of a company that is not an issuer in accordance with the Board’s standards and so stating in its audit report. This is true regardless of whether or not the accounting firm performing the audit is registered with the Board."

\textbf{.90} If an auditor is engaged to perform an audit of a nonissuer in accordance with PCAOB standards, which standards are applicable and how should the auditor report?

\textbf{.91} Interpretation—Section 508 requires the auditor to indicate in the auditor’s report that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards. However, an auditor may indicate that the audit was also conducted in accordance with another set of auditing standards. If the auditor conducted the audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards \textit{and} the auditing standards of the PCAOB, the auditor may indicate in the auditor’s report that the audit was conducted in accordance with both sets of standards.

\textbf{.92} Following is an example of additional language that may be included\textsuperscript{12} in the auditor’s report to indicate that an audit was conducted in accordance with both generally accepted auditing standards and the PCAOB’s auditing standards, and to clarify that the purpose and extent of the auditor’s testing of internal control over financial reporting was to determine the auditor’s procedures and was not sufficient to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control. To clarify the source of generally accepted auditing standards in a dual reference reporting situation, the auditor may indicate that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards as established by the ASB:

\begin{verbatim}
Independent Auditor’s Report

[Same first paragraph as the standard report]

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards as established by the Auditing Standards Board (United States) and
\end{verbatim}

\textsuperscript{11} In a report issued on the audit of the financial statements of a subsidiary, investee, or other type of affiliate of an issuer, that is not itself an issuer, the auditor should refer to the audit as having been performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards if the report will not be filed with the SEC. For example, a subsidiary of an issuer may be subject to certain regulations that require an audit be performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards (the Yellow Book). In this example, the auditor’s report of the nonissuer should refer to generally accepted auditing standards and generally accepted government auditing standards.

\textsuperscript{12} This example includes the illustrative language from paragraph .88. Because the PCAOB’s Auditing Standard No. 2, \textit{An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction With an Audit of Financial Statements}, requires an audit of internal control for those entities that are subject to Section 404(a) of the Act, an audit of a nonissuer performed under PCAOB auditing standards does not require an audit of internal control unless otherwise required by a regulator with jurisdiction over the nonissuer. The additional language related to internal control should not be used when reporting on the audit of financial statements of a nonissuer that engages its auditor to examine (or audit) and report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting either voluntarily or to comply with regulatory requirements.
accordance with the auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

[Same opinion paragraph as the standard report]

[Issue Date: June, 2004.]
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AU Section 530

**Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report**

Source: SAS No. 1, section 530; SAS No. 29; SAS No. 98.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 Generally, the date of completion of the field work should be used as the date of the independent auditor’s report. Paragraph .05 describes the procedure to be followed when a subsequent event occurring after the completion of the field work is disclosed in the financial statements.

.02 The auditor has no responsibility to make any inquiry or carry out any auditing procedures for the period after the date of his report. However, with respect to filings under the Securities Act of 1933, reference should be made to section 711.10–.13.

Events Occurring After Completion of Field Work But Before Issuance of Report

.03 In case a subsequent event of the type requiring adjustment of the financial statements (as discussed in section 560.03) occurs after the date of the independent auditor’s report but before the issuance of the related financial statements, and the event comes to the attention of the auditor, the financial statements should be adjusted or the auditor should qualify his or her opinion. When the adjustment is made without disclosure of the event, the report ordinarily should be dated in accordance with paragraph .01. However, if the financial statements are adjusted and disclosure of the event is made, or if no adjustment is made and the auditor qualifies his or her opinion, the procedures set forth in paragraph .05 should be followed. [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.04 In case a subsequent event of the type requiring disclosure (as discussed in section 560.05) occurs after the date of the auditor’s report but before the issuance of the related financial statements, and the event comes to the attention of the auditor, it should be disclosed in a note to the financial statements or the auditor should qualify his or her opinion. If disclosure of the event is made, either in a note or in the auditor’s report, the auditor would date the report as set forth in the following paragraph. [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.05 The independent auditor has two methods available for dating the report when a subsequent event disclosed in the financial statements occurs after completion of field work but before the issuance of the related financial statements.

---

1 See section 561 regarding procedures to be followed by the auditor who, subsequent to the date of his report upon audited financial statements, becomes aware that facts may have existed at that date which might have affected his report had he then been aware of such facts.

2 Section number revised, April 1981, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 37.

3 In some cases, a disclaimer of opinion or an adverse opinion may be appropriate.

4 Ibid.
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statements. The auditor may use “dual dating,” for example, “February 16, 20__, except for Note __, as to which the date is March 1, 20__,” or may date the report as of the later date. In the former instance, the responsibility for events occurring subsequent to the completion of field work is limited to the specific event referred to in the note (or otherwise disclosed). In the latter instance, the independent auditor’s responsibility for subsequent events extends to the date of the report and, accordingly, the procedures outlined in section 560.12 generally should be extended to that date. [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

Reissuance of the Independent Auditor’s Report

.06 An independent auditor may reissue his report on financial statements contained in annual reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or other regulatory agencies or in a document he submits to his client or to others that contains information in addition to the client’s basic financial statements subsequent to the date of his original report on the basic financial statements. An independent auditor may also be requested by his client to furnish additional copies of a previously issued report. Use of the original report date in a reissued report removes any implication that records, transactions, or events after that date have been examined or reviewed. In such cases, the independent auditor has no responsibility to make further investigation or inquiry as to events which may have occurred during the period between the original report date and the date of the release of additional reports. However, see section 711* as to an auditor’s responsibility when his report is included in a registration statement filed under the Securities Act of 1933 and see section 508.70–.73, for the predecessor auditor’s responsibility when reissuing or consenting to the reuse of a report previously issued on the financial statements of a prior period. [As modified, effective December 31, 1980, by SAS No. 29.] (See section 551.)

.07 In some cases, it may not be desirable for the independent auditor to reissue his report in the circumstances described in paragraph .06 because he has become aware of an event that occurred subsequent to the date of his original report that requires adjustment or disclosure in the financial statements. In such cases, adjustment with disclosure or disclosure alone should be made as described in section 560.08. The independent auditor should consider the effect of these matters on his opinion and he should date his report in accordance with the procedures described in paragraph .05.

.08 However, if an event of the type requiring disclosure only (as discussed in section 560.05 and 560.08) occurs between the date of the independent auditor’s original report and the date of the reissuance of such report, and if the event comes to the attention of the independent auditor, the event may be disclosed in a separate note to the financial statements captioned somewhat as follows:

Event (Unaudited) Subsequent to the Date of the Independent Auditor’s Report

Under these circumstances, the report of the independent auditor would carry the same date used in the original report.

---

* Section number revised, April 1981, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 37.
AU Section 532

Restricting the Use of an Auditor’s Report

Source: SAS No. 87.

Effective for reports issued after December 31, 1998, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction and Applicability

.01 This section provides guidance to auditors on restricting the use of reports issued pursuant to Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs).1 This section—

- Defines the terms general use and restricted use.
- Describes the circumstances in which the use of auditors’ reports should be restricted.
- Specifies the language to be used in auditors’ reports that are restricted as to use.

The reporting guidance in paragraph .19 of this section is not applicable to reports issued under section 324, Service Organizations, or reports issued under section 634, Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties.

General-Use and Restricted-Use Reports

.02 The term general use applies to auditors’ reports that are not restricted to specified parties. Auditors’ reports on financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or certain comprehensive bases of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles2 ordinarily are not restricted as to use.3, 4

.03 The term restricted use applies to auditors’ reports intended only for specified parties. The need for restriction on the use of a report may result from a number of circumstances, including the purpose of the report, the nature of the procedures applied in its preparation, the basis of or assumptions used in its preparation, the extent to which the procedures performed generally are known or understood, and the potential for the report to be misunderstood when taken out of the context in which it was intended to be used.

1 Throughout this section, the term accountant may be used interchangeably with the term auditor. The term accountant refers to a person possessing the professional qualifications required to practice as an independent auditor. See section 110, Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor, paragraphs .04 and .05.
2 Section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .04, defines a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.
3 However, see section 623.05f for restrictions on the use of reports on financial statements prepared in conformity with the requirements of the financial reporting provisions of a governmental regulatory agency.
4 Nothing in this section precludes an auditor from restricting the use of any report.
An auditor should restrict the use of a report in the following circumstances.

a. The subject matter of the auditor’s report or the presentation being reported on is based on measurement or disclosure criteria contained in contractual agreements or regulatory provisions that are not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting (OCBOA). (See paragraph .05.)

b. The auditor’s report is issued as a by-product of a financial statement audit and is based on the results of procedures designed to enable the auditor to express an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole, not to provide assurance on the specific subject matter of the report. (See paragraphs .07 through 11.)

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Reporting on Subject Matter or Presentations Based on Measurement or Disclosure Criteria Contained in Contractual Agreements or Regulatory Provisions

.05 Reports on subject matter or presentations based on measurement or disclosure criteria contained in contractual agreements or regulatory provisions that are not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or an OCBOA are restricted as to use because the basis, assumptions, or purpose of such presentations (contained in such agreements or regulatory provisions) are developed for and directed only to the parties to the agreement or regulatory agency responsible for the provisions.

Reporting When Specified Parties Accept Responsibility for the Sufficiency of the Procedures Performed

[.06] [Paragraph deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Reporting as a By-Product of a Financial Statement Audit

.07 An auditor may issue certain reports on matters coming to his or her attention during the course of an audit of financial statements. Such reports include but are not limited to reports issued pursuant to the following:

- Section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit
- Section 380, Communication With Audit Committees
- Paragraphs .19 through .21 of section 623, Special Reports, for reporting on compliance with aspects of contractual agreements or regulatory requirements related to audited financial statements
Restricting the Use of an Auditor’s Report

.08 Reports issued pursuant to the aforementioned auditing standards are based on the results of procedures designed to enable an auditor to express an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole, not to provide assurance on the specific subject matter of the report. These reports are by-products of an audit of financial statements and are referred to as by-product reports in this section.

.09 Because the issuance of the by-product report is not the primary objective of the engagement, an audit generally includes only limited procedures directed toward the subject matter of the by-product report. Accordingly, because of the potential for misinterpretation or misunderstanding of the limited degree of assurance associated with a by-product report, the use of such reports should be restricted. For example, a report issued under section 325 should be restricted because the purpose of the engagement is to report on an entity’s financial statements, not to provide assurance on its internal control.

.10 An auditor may issue a by-product report in connection with other engagements conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, such as an engagement to express an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement.

.11 In consideration of the foregoing, the use of by-product reports should be restricted to an entity’s audit committee, board of directors, management, others within the organization, specified regulatory agencies, and, in the case of reports on compliance with aspects of contractual agreements, to the parties to the contract or agreement.

Combined Reports Covering Both Restricted-Use and General-Use Subject Matter or Presentations

.12 If an auditor issues a single combined report covering both (a) subject matter or presentations that require a restriction on use to specified parties and (b) subject matter or presentations that ordinarily do not require such a restriction, the use of such a single combined report should be restricted to the specified parties.

Inclusion of a Separate Restricted-Use Report in the Same Document With a General-Use Report

.13 In some instances, a separate restricted-use report may be included in a document that also contains a general-use report. The inclusion of a separate restricted-use report in a document that contains a general-use report does not affect the intended use of either report. The restricted-use report remains restricted as to use, and the general-use report continues to be for general use.

Adding Other Specified Parties

.14 Subsequent to the completion of an engagement resulting in a restricted-use report, or in the course of such an engagement, an auditor may be asked to consider adding other parties as specified parties.

---

As noted in paragraph .11 of this section, the use of by-product reports should be restricted to an entity’s audit committee, board of directors, management, others within the organization, specified regulatory agencies, and, in the case of reports on compliance with aspects of contractual agreements, to the parties to the contract or agreement. An auditor should not agree to add other parties as specified parties of a by-product report.

If an auditor is reporting on subject matter or a presentation based on measurement or disclosure criteria contained in contractual agreements or regulatory provisions, as described in paragraph .05 of this section, the auditor may agree to add other parties as specified parties based on the auditor’s consideration of factors such as the identity of the other parties and the intended use of the report. If the auditor agrees to add other parties as specified parties, the auditor should obtain affirmative acknowledgment, ordinarily in writing, from the other parties of their understanding of the nature of the engagement, the measurement or disclosure criteria used in the engagement, and the related report. If the other parties are added after the auditor has issued his or her report, the report may be reissued or the auditor may provide other written acknowledgment that the other parties have been added as specified parties. If the report is reissued, the report date should not be changed. If the auditor provides written acknowledgment that the other parties have been added as specified parties, such written acknowledgment ordinarily should state that no procedures have been performed subsequent to the date of the report.

[.17] [Paragraph deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Limiting the Distribution of Reports

Because of the reasons presented in paragraph .03 of this section, an auditor should consider informing his or her client that restricted-use reports are not intended for distribution to nonspecified parties, regardless of whether they are included in a document containing a separate general-use report. However, an auditor is not responsible for controlling a client’s distribution of restricted-use reports. Accordingly, a restricted-use report should alert readers to the restriction on the use of the report by indicating that the report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Report Language—Restricted Use

An auditor’s report that is restricted as to use should contain a separate paragraph at the end of the report that includes the following elements:

a. A statement indicating that the report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified parties

In some cases, restricted-use reports filed with regulatory agencies are required by law or regulation to be made available to the public as a matter of public record. Also, a regulatory agency as part of its oversight responsibility for an entity may require access to restricted-use reports in which they are not named as a specified party.

This section does not preclude an auditor, in connection with establishing the terms of the engagement, from reaching an understanding with the client that the intended use of the report will be restricted, and from obtaining the client’s agreement that the client and the specified parties will not distribute the report to parties other than those identified in the report.
Restricting the Use of an Auditor’s Report

b. An identification of the specified parties to whom use is restricted
c. A statement that the report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties

An example of such a paragraph is the following:

This report is intended solely for the information and use of [the specified parties] and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Effective Date

.20 This section is effective for reports issued after December 31, 1998. Early application of the provisions of this section is permitted.
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AU Section 534

Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared for Use in Other Countries

Source: SAS No. 51.

See section 9534 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning after July 31, 1986, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance for an independent auditor practicing in the United States who is engaged to report on the financial statements of a U.S. entity that have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country for use outside the United States. A “U.S. entity” is an entity that is either organized or domiciled in the United States.

Purpose and Use of Financial Statements

.02 A U.S. entity ordinarily prepares financial statements for use in the United States in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, but it may also prepare financial statements that are intended for use outside the United States and are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country. For example, the financial statements of a U.S. entity may be prepared for inclusion in the consolidated financial statements of a non-U.S. parent. A U.S. entity may also have non-U.S. investors or may decide to raise capital in another country. Before reporting on financial statements prepared in conformity with the accounting principles of another country, the auditor should have a clear understanding of, and obtain written representations from management regarding, the purpose and uses of such financial statements. If the auditor uses the standard report of another country, and the financial statements will have general distribution in that country, he should consider whether any additional legal responsibilities are involved.

General and Fieldwork Standards

.03 When auditing the financial statements of a U.S. entity prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country, the auditor should perform the procedures that are necessary to comply with the general and fieldwork standards of U.S. generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS).

1 See paragraph .07, however, for a discussion of financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country for limited distribution in the United States.
The auditing procedures generally performed under U.S. GAAS may need to be modified, however. The assertions embodied in financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country may differ from those prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. For example, accounting principles generally accepted in another country may require that certain assets be revalued to adjust for the effects of inflation—in which case, the auditor should perform procedures to test the revaluation adjustments. On the other hand, another country’s accounting principles may not require or permit recognition of deferred taxes; consequently, procedures for testing deferred tax balances would not be applicable. As another example, the accounting principles of some countries do not require or permit disclosure of related party transactions. Determining that such transactions are properly disclosed, therefore, would not be an audit objective in such cases. Other objectives, however, would remain relevant—such as identifying related parties in order to fully understand the business purpose, nature, and extent of the transactions and their effects on the financial statements.

The auditor should understand the accounting principles generally accepted in the other country. Such knowledge may be obtained by reading the statutes or professional literature (or codifications thereof) that establish or describe the accounting principles generally accepted in the other country. Application of accounting principles to a particular situation often requires practical experience; the auditor should consider, therefore, consulting with persons having such expertise in the accounting principles of the other country. If the accounting principles of another country are not established with sufficient authority or by general acceptance, or a broad range of practices is acceptable, the auditor may nevertheless be able to report on financial statements for use in such countries if, in the auditor’s judgment, the client’s principles and practices are appropriate in the circumstances and are disclosed in a clear and comprehensive manner. In determining the appropriateness of the accounting principles used, the auditor may consider, for example, International Accounting Standards established by the International Accounting Standards Committee.

Compliance With Auditing Standards of Another Country

In those circumstances in which the auditor is requested to apply the auditing standards of another country when reporting on financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in that country, the auditor should comply with the general and fieldwork standards of that country as well as with those standards in U.S. GAAS. This may require the auditor to perform certain procedures required by auditing standards of the other country in addition to those required by U.S. GAAS. The auditor will need to read the statutes or professional literature, or codifications thereof, that establish or describe the auditing standards generally accepted in the other country. He should understand, however, that such statutes or professional literature may not be a complete description of auditing practices and, therefore, should consider consulting with persons having expertise in the auditing standards of the other country.

Reporting Standards

If financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country are prepared for use only outside
the United States, the auditor may report using either (a) a U.S.-style report modified to report on the accounting principles of another country (see paragraphs .09 and .10) or (b) if appropriate, the report form of the other country (see paragraphs .11 and .12). This is not intended to preclude limited distribution of the financial statements to parties (such as banks, institutional investors, and other knowledgeable parties that may choose to rely on the report) within the United States that deal directly with the entity, if the financial statements are to be used in a manner that permits such parties to discuss differences from U.S. accounting and reporting practices and their significance with the entity.

.08 Financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country ordinarily are not useful to U.S. users. Therefore, if financial statements are needed for use both in another country and within the United States, the auditor may report on two sets of financial statements for the entity—one prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country for use outside the United States, and the other prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (see paragraph .13). If dual statements are not prepared, or for some other reason the financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country will have more than limited distribution in the United States, the auditor should report on them using the U.S. standard form of report, modified as appropriate for departures from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (see paragraph .14).

Use Only Outside the United States

.09 A U.S.-style report modified to report on financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country that are intended for use only outside the United States should include—

a. A title that includes the word “independent.”

b. A statement that the financial statements identified in the report were audited.

c. A statement that refers to the note to the financial statements that describes the basis of presentation of the financial statements on which the auditor is reporting, including identification of the nationality of the accounting principles.

d. A statement that the financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management and that the auditor’s responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on his audit.

2 This statement does not require a title for an auditor’s report if the auditor is not independent. See section 504, Association With Financial Statements, for guidance on reporting when the auditor is not independent. [Footnote added to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]

3 In some instances, a document containing the auditor’s report may include a statement by management regarding its responsibility for the presentation of the financial statements. Nevertheless, the auditor’s report should state that the financial statements are management’s responsibility. [Footnote added to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]
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e. A statement that the audit was conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (and, if appropriate, with the auditing standards of the other country).

f. A statement that U.S. standards require that the auditor plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

g. A statement that an audit includes:

(1) Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements,

(2) Assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and

(3) Evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.4

h. A statement that the auditor believes that his audit provides a reasonable basis for his opinion.

i. A paragraph that expresses the auditor’s opinion on whether the financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with the basis of accounting described. If the auditor concludes that the financial statements are not fairly presented on the basis of accounting described, all substantive reasons for that conclusion should be disclosed in an additional explanatory paragraph (preceding the opinion paragraph) of the report, and the opinion paragraph should include appropriate modifying language as well as a reference to the explanatory paragraph.

j. If the auditor is auditing comparative financial statements and the described basis of accounting has not been applied in a manner consistent with that of the preceding period and the change has had a material effect on the comparability of the financial statements, the auditor should add an explanatory paragraph to his report (following the opinion paragraph) that describes the change in accounting principle and refers to the note to the financial statements that discusses the change and its effect on the financial statements.

k. The manual or printed signature of the auditor’s firm.

l. Date.5

[As amended to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

4 Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraphs .03 and .04, discuss the auditor’s evaluation of the overall presentation of the financial statements. [Footnote added to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Title of section 411 amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

5 For guidance on dating the independent auditor’s report, see section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report. [Footnote added to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]
The following is an illustration of such a report:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of International Company as of December 31, 20XX and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended which, as described in Note X, have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in [name of country]. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (and in [name of country]). U.S. standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of International Company as of [at] December 31, 20XX, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in [name of country].

[As amended to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.11 The independent auditor may also use the auditor’s standard report of another country, provided that—

a. Such a report would be used by auditors in the other country in similar circumstances.

b. The auditor understands, and is in a position to make, the attestations contained in such a report (see paragraph .12).

The auditor should consider whether the standard report of another country or the financial statements may be misunderstood because they resemble those prepared in conformity with U.S. standards. When the auditor believes there is a risk of misunderstanding, he should identify the other country in the report.

.12 When the auditor uses the standard report of the other country, the auditor should comply with the reporting standards of that country. The auditor should recognize that the standard report used in another country, even when it appears similar to that used in the United States, may convey a different meaning and entail a different responsibility on the part of the auditor due to custom or culture. Use of a standard report of another country may also require the auditor to provide explicit or implicit assurance of statutory compliance or otherwise require understanding of local law. When using the auditor's standard report of another country, the auditor needs to understand applicable legal responsibilities, in addition to the auditing standards and the accounting principles generally accepted in the other country. Accordingly, depending on the nature and extent of the auditor’s knowledge...
and experience, he should consider consulting with persons having expertise in the audit reporting practices of the other country to attain the understanding needed to issue that country’s standard report.

.13 A U.S. entity that prepares financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles also may prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country for use outside the United States. In such circumstances, the auditor may report on the financial statements that are in conformity with accounting principles of the other country by following the guidance in paragraphs .09 and .10. The auditor may wish to include, in one or both of the reports, a statement that another report has been issued on the financial statements for the entity that have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in another country. The auditor may also wish to reference any note describing significant differences between the accounting principles used and U.S. GAAP. An example of such a statement follows.

We also have reported separately on the financial statements of International Company for the same period presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in [name of country]. (The significant differences between the accounting principles accepted in [name of country] and those generally accepted in the United States are summarized in Note X.)

Use in the United States

.14 If the auditor is requested to report on the fair presentation of financial statements, prepared in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in another country, that will have more than limited distribution in the United States, he should use the U.S. standard form of report (see section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .08), modified as appropriate (see section 508.35–.57), because of departures from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The auditor may also, in a separate paragraph to the report, express an opinion on whether the financial statements are presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country.

.15 The auditor may also report on the same set of financial statements, prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country, that will have more than limited distribution in the United States by using both the standard report of the other country or a U.S.-style report (described in paragraph .09) for distribution outside the United States, and a U.S. form of report (described in paragraph .14) for distribution in the United States.

Effective Date

.16 This section is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning after July 31, 1986.
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*This section does not apply to reports on financial statements of U.S. subsidiaries of foreign registrants presented in SEC filings of foreign parent companies where the subsidiaries' financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles used by the parent company.* [Footnote renumbered to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]
AU Section 9534

Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared for Use in Other Countries: Auditing Interpretations of Section 534

1. Financial Statements for General Use Only Outside of the United States in Accordance With International Accounting Standards and International Standards on Auditing

 questioned—Section 534, Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared for Use in Other Countries, provides guidance for the independent auditor practicing in the United States who is engaged to report on the financial statements of a U.S. entity for general use only outside of the United States in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country. May an independent auditor practicing in the United States report on the financial statements of a U.S. entity presented in conformity with the International Accounting Standards for general use only outside of the United States?

 interpretation—Yes. In these circumstances, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 534 in planning and performing the engagement.

 questioned—If the financial statements are presented in conformity with the International Accounting Standards, may a U.S. auditor perform the audit in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing?

 interpretation—Yes. In these circumstances, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 534 in planning and performing the engagement. Section 534 requires the U.S. auditor, in these circumstances, to comply with the general and fieldwork standards of U.S. generally accepted auditing standards as well as any additional requirements of the International Standards on Auditing. The auditor may use either a U.S.-style report (section 534.09) or the report form set forth in the International Standards on Auditing.

 [Issue Date: May, 1996.]
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1 A U.S. entity is an entity that is either organized or domiciled in the United States.
AU Section 543

Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors

Source: SAS No. 1, section 543; SAS No. 64.

See section 9543 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 This section provides guidance on the professional judgments the independent auditor makes in deciding (a) whether he may serve as principal auditor and use the work and reports of other independent auditors who have audited the financial statements of one or more subsidiaries, divisions, branches, components, or investments included in the financial statements presented and (b) the form and content of the principal auditor’s report in these circumstances. Nothing in this section should be construed to require or imply that an auditor, in deciding whether he may properly serve as principal auditor without himself auditing particular subsidiaries, divisions, branches, components, or investments of his client, should make that decision on any basis other than his judgment regarding the professional considerations as discussed in paragraphs .02 and .10; nor should an auditor state or imply that a report that makes reference to another auditor is inferior in professional standing to a report without such a reference. [As modified, September 1981, by the Auditing Standards Board.]

Principal Auditor’s Course of Action

.02 The auditor considering whether he may serve as principal auditor may have performed all but a relatively minor portion of the work, or significant parts of the audit may have been performed by other auditors. In the latter case, he must decide whether his own participation is sufficient to enable him to serve as the principal auditor and to report as such on the financial statements. In deciding this question, the auditor should consider, among other things, the materiality of the portion of the financial statements he has audited in comparison with the portion audited by other auditors, the extent of his knowledge of the overall financial statements, and the importance of the components he audited in relation to the enterprise as a whole. [As modified, September 1981, by the Auditing Standards Board.]

.03 If the auditor decides that it is appropriate for him to serve as the principal auditor, he must then decide whether to make reference in his report to the audit performed by another auditor. If the principal auditor decides to assume responsibility for the work of the other auditor insofar as

---

1 Section 315 applies if an auditor uses the work of a predecessor auditor in expressing an opinion on financial statements.

2 See paragraph .09 for example of appropriate reporting when reference is made to the audit of other auditors.
that work relates to the principal auditor’s expression of an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole, no reference should be made to the other auditor’s work or report. On the other hand, if the principal auditor decides not to assume that responsibility, his report should make reference to the audit of the other auditor and should indicate clearly the division of responsibility between himself and the other auditor in expressing his opinion on the financial statements. Regardless of the principal auditor’s decision, the other auditor remains responsible for the performance of his own work and for his own report.

**Decision Not to Make Reference**

.04 If the principal auditor is able to satisfy himself as to the independence and professional reputation of the other auditor (see paragraph .10) and takes steps he considers appropriate to satisfy himself as to the audit performed by the other auditor (see paragraph .12), he may be able to express an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole without making reference in his report to the audit of the other auditor. If the principal auditor decides to take this position, he should not state in his report that part of the audit was made by another auditor because to do so may cause a reader to misinterpret the degree of responsibility being assumed.

.05 Ordinarily, the principal auditor would be able to adopt this position when:

a. Part of the audit is performed by another independent auditor which is an associated or correspondent firm and whose work is acceptable to the principal auditor based on his knowledge of the professional standards and competence of that firm; or

b. The other auditor was retained by the principal auditor and the work was performed under the principal auditor’s guidance and control; or

c. The principal auditor, whether or not he selected the other auditor, nevertheless takes steps he considers necessary to satisfy himself as to the audit performed by the other auditor and accordingly is satisfied as to the reasonableness of the accounts for the purpose of inclusion in the financial statements on which he is expressing his opinion; or

d. The portion of the financial statements audited by the other auditor is not material to the financial statements covered by the principal auditor’s opinion.

**Decision to Make Reference**

.06 On the other hand, the principal auditor may decide to make reference to the audit of the other auditor when he expresses his opinion on the financial statements. In some situations, it may be impracticable for the principal auditor to review the other auditor’s work or to use other procedures which in the judgment of the principal auditor would be necessary for him to satisfy himself as to the audit performed by the other auditor. Also, if the financial statements of a component audited by another auditor are material in relation to the total, the principal auditor may decide, regardless of any other considerations, to make reference in his report to the audit of the other auditor.
When the principal auditor decides that he will make reference to the audit of the other auditor, his report should indicate clearly, in both the introductory, scope and opinion paragraphs, the division of responsibility as between that portion of the financial statements covered by his own audit and that covered by the audit of the other auditor. The report should disclose the magnitude of the portion of the financial statements audited by the other auditor. This may be done by stating the dollar amounts or percentages of one or more of the following: total assets, total revenues, or other appropriate criteria, whichever most clearly reveals the portion of the financial statements audited by the other auditor. The other auditor may be named but only with his express permission and provided his report is presented together with that of the principal auditor.3

Reference in the report of the principal auditor to the fact that part of the audit was made by another auditor is not to be construed as a qualification of the opinion but rather as an indication of the divided responsibility between the auditors who conducted the audits of various components of the overall financial statements. [As modified, September 1981, by the Auditing Standards Board.]

An example of appropriate reporting by the principal auditor indicating the division of responsibility when he makes reference to the audit of the other auditor follows:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the consolidated balance sheet of X Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 20..., and the related consolidated statements of income and retained earnings and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We did not audit the financial statements of B Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary, which statements reflect total assets and revenues constituting 20 percent and 22 percent, respectively, of the related consolidated totals. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for B Company, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the report of the other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of the other auditors, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of at December 31, 20..., and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

3 As to filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, see Rule 2-05 of Regulation S-X.
When two or more auditors in addition to the principal auditor participate in
the audit, the percentages covered by the other auditors may be stated in the
aggregate. [Revised, April 1989, to reflect conforming changes necessary due
to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.
Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the
issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

 Procedures Applicable to Both Methods of Reporting

.10 Whether or not the principal auditor decides to make reference to the
audit of the other auditor, he should make inquiries concerning the profes-
sional reputation and independence of the other auditor. He also should adopt
appropriate measures to assure the coordination of his activities with those of
the other auditor in order to achieve a proper review of matters affecting the
consolidating or combining of accounts in the financial statements. These
inquiries and other measures may include procedures such as the following:

   a. Make inquiries as to the professional reputation and standing of the
      other auditor to one or more of the following:
      (i) The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the
      applicable state society of certified public accountants and/or the
      local chapter, or in the case of a foreign auditor, his correspond-
      ing professional organization.
      (ii) Other practitioners.
      (iii) Bankers and other credit grantors.
      (iv) Other appropriate sources.

   b. Obtain a representation from the other auditor that he is inde-
      pendent under the requirements of the American Institute of Certi-
      fied Public Accountants and, if appropriate, the requirements of the
      Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). [4a][2]

   c. Ascertain through communication with the other auditor:
      (i) That he is aware that the financial statements of the component
      which he is to audit are to be included in the financial statements
      on which the principal auditor will report and that the other
      auditor’s report thereon will be relied upon (and, where applica-
      ble, referred to) by the principal auditor.

---

4 The AICPA Professional Ethics Division can respond to inquiries about whether individuals are
members of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and whether complaints against
members have been adjudicated by the Joint Trial Board. The division cannot respond to inquiries
about public accounting firms or provide information about letters of required corrective action issued
by the division or pending disciplinary proceedings or investigations. The AICPA Division for CPA
Firms can respond to inquiries about whether specific public accounting firms are members of either
the Private Companies Practice Section (PCPS) or the SEC Practice Section (SECPS), and can
indicate whether a firm had a peer review in compliance with the Section’s membership requirements
and whether any sanctions against the firm have been publicly announced. In addition, the division
will supply copies of peer-review reports that have been accepted by the applicable section of the
division and information submitted by member firms on applications for membership and annual
updates. The AICPA Practice Monitoring staff or the appropriate state CPA society can respond to
inquiries as to whether specific public accounting firms are enrolled in the AICPA Peer Review
Program and can indicate whether a firm had a peer review in compliance with the AICPA Standards
for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews [PR section 100]. [As amended by the Auditing
Standards Board, June 1990.]

[4a][2] [Footnote deleted, December 2001, to acknowledge the dissolution of the Independence
Standard Board.]
(ii) That he or she is familiar with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with the generally accepted auditing standards promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and will conduct his or her audit and will report in accordance therewith.

(iii) That he has knowledge of the relevant financial reporting requirements for statements and schedules to be filed with regulatory agencies such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, if appropriate.

(iv) That a review will be made of matters affecting elimination of intercompany transactions and accounts and, if appropriate in the circumstances, the uniformity of accounting practices among the components included in the financial statements.

(Inquiries as to matters under a, and c (ii) and (iii) ordinarily would be unnecessary if the principal auditor already knows the professional reputation and standing of the other auditor and if the other auditor’s primary place of practice is in the United States.) [As modified, September 1981, by the Auditing Standards Board. Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.11 If the results of inquiries and procedures by the principal auditor with respect to matters described in paragraph .10 lead him to the conclusion that he can neither assume responsibility for the work of the other auditor insofar as that work relates to the principal auditor’s expression of an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole, nor report in the manner set forth in paragraph .09, he should appropriately qualify his opinion or disclaim an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. His reasons therefor should be stated, and the magnitude of the portion of the financial statements to which his qualification extends should be disclosed.

Additional Procedures Under Decision Not to Make Reference

.12 When the principal auditor decides not to make reference to the audit of the other auditor, in addition to satisfying himself as to the matters described in paragraph .10, he should also consider whether to perform one or more of the following procedures:

a. Visit the other auditor and discuss the audit procedures followed and results thereof.

b. Review the audit programs of the other auditor. In some cases, it may be appropriate to issue instructions to the other auditor as to the scope of his audit work.

c. Review the working papers of the other auditor, including the understanding of internal control and the assessment of control risk.

.13 In some circumstances the principal auditor may consider it appropriate to participate in discussions regarding the accounts with management personnel of the component whose financial statements are being audited by
other auditors and/or to make supplemental tests of such accounts. The deter-
mination of the extent of additional procedures, if any, to be applied rests with
the principal auditor alone in the exercise of his professional judgment and in
no way constitutes a reflection on the adequacy of the other auditor’s work.
Because the principal auditor in this case assumes responsibility for his
opinion on the financial statements on which he is reporting without making
reference to the audit performed by the other auditor, his judgment must
govern as to the extent of procedures to be undertaken.

Long-Term Investments

.14 With respect to investments accounted for under the equity method,
the auditor who uses another auditor’s report for the purpose of reporting on
the investor’s equity in underlying net assets and its share of earnings or losses
and other transactions of the investee is in the position of a principal auditor
using the work and reports of other auditors. Under these circumstances, the
auditor may decide that it would be appropriate to refer to the work and report
of the other auditor in his report on the financial statements of the investor.
(See paragraphs .06–.11.) When the work and reports of other auditors consti-
tute a major element of evidence with respect to investments accounted for
under the cost method, the auditor may be in a position analogous to that of a
principal auditor.

Other Auditor’s Report Departs From Standard Report

.15 If the report of the other auditor is other than a standard report, the
principal auditor should decide whether the reason for the departure from the
standard report is of such nature and significance in relation to the financial
statements on which the principal auditor is reporting that it would require
recognition in his own report. If the reason for the departure is not material in
relation to such financial statements and the other auditor’s report is not
presented, the principal auditor need not make reference in his report to such
departure. If the other auditor’s report is presented, the principal auditor may
wish to make reference to such departure and its disposition.

Restated Financial Statements of Prior Years Following
a Pooling of Interests

.16 Following a pooling-of-interests transaction, an auditor may be asked
to report on restated financial statements for one or more prior years when
other auditors have audited one or more of the entities included in such
financial statements. In some of these situations the auditor may decide that
he has not audited a sufficient portion of the financial statements for such prior
year or years to enable him to serve as principal auditor (see paragraph .02).
Also, in such cases, it often is not possible or it may not be appropriate or
necessary for the auditor to satisfy himself with respect to the restated finan-
cial statements. In these circumstances it may be appropriate for him to
express his opinion solely with respect to the combining of such statements;
however, no opinion should be expressed unless the auditor has audited the
statements of at least one of the entities included in the restatement for at least
the latest period presented. The following is an illustration of appropriate reporting on such combination that can be presented in an additional paragraph of the auditor’s report following the standard introductory, scope and opinion paragraphs covering the consolidated financial statements for the current year:

We previously audited and reported on the consolidated statements of income and cash flows of XYZ Company and subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 19X1, prior to their restatement for the 19X2 pooling of interests. The contribution of XYZ Company and subsidiaries to revenues and net income represented . . . . . percent and . . . . . percent of the respective restated totals. Separate financial statements of the other companies included in the 19X1 restated consolidated statements of income and cash flows were audited and reported on separately by other auditors. We also audited the combination of the accompanying consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 19X1, after restatement for the 19X2 pooling of interests; in our opinion, such consolidated statements have been properly combined on the basis described in Note A of notes to consolidated financial statements.

[As modified, October 1980, by the Auditing Standards Board. As amended, effective for reports issued after December 31, 1990, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 64.]

.17 In reporting on restated financial statements as described in the preceding paragraph, the auditor does not assume responsibility for the work of other auditors nor the responsibility for expressing an opinion on the restated financial statements taken as a whole. He should apply procedures which will enable him to express an opinion only as to proper combination of the financial statements. These procedures include testing the combination for clerical accuracy and the methods used to combine the restated financial statements for conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. For example, the auditor should make inquiries and apply procedures regarding such matters as the following:

a. Elimination of intercompany transactions and accounts.

b. Combining adjustments and reclassifications.

c. Adjustments to treat like items in a comparable manner, if appropriate.

d. The manner and extent of presentation of disclosure matters in the restated financial statements and notes thereto.

The auditor should also consider the application of procedures contained in paragraph .10.

[As modified, October 1980, by the Auditing Standards Board.]

Predecessor Auditor

.18 [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 7, effective November 30, 1975, as superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 84, effective with respect to acceptance of an engagement after March 31, 1998.] (See section 315.)
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* If restated consolidated balance sheets are also presented, the auditor may also express his opinion with respect to the combination of the consolidated balance sheets.
AU Section 9543

Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors: Auditing Interpretations of Section 543

1. Specific Procedures Performed by the Other Auditor at the Principal Auditor’s Request

.01 Question—An independent auditor is auditing the financial statements of a component1 in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and is issuing a report to his client that will also be used by another independent auditor who is acting as a principal auditor.2 The principal auditor requests the other auditor to perform specific procedures, for example, to furnish or test amounts to be eliminated in consolidation, such as intercompany profits, or to read other information in documents containing audited financial statements. In those circumstances, who is responsible to determine the extent of the procedures to be performed?

.02 Interpretation—Section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors, paragraph .10, states that the principal auditor “should adopt appropriate measures to assure the coordination of his activities with those of the other auditor in order to achieve a proper review of matters affecting the consolidating or combining of accounts in the financial statements.” Section 543.10(c)(iv) further states that those measures may include procedures such as ascertaining through communication with the other auditor “that a review will be made of matters affecting elimination of intercompany transactions and accounts.”

.03 Thus, when the principal auditor requests the other auditor to perform procedures, the principal auditor is responsible for determining the extent of the procedures to be performed. The principal auditor should provide specific instructions on procedures to be performed, materiality considerations for that purpose, and other information that may be necessary in the circumstances. The other auditor should perform the requested procedures in accordance with the principal auditor’s instructions and report the findings solely for the use of the principal auditor.

[Issue Date: April, 1979; Revised: November, 1996.]

2. Inquiries of the Principal Auditor by the Other Auditor

.04 Question—Section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors, gives guidance to a principal auditor on making inquiries of the other auditor. Section 543.03 also states that “the other auditor remains responsible for the performance of his own work and for his own report.” Should the other auditor also make inquiries of the principal auditor to fulfill that responsibility?

---

1 For the purposes of this interpretation, the entities whose separate financial statements collectively comprise the consolidated or other financial statements are referred to as components.

2 See section 543 for the definition of a principal auditor. For the purposes of this interpretation, the auditor whose work is used by a principal auditor is referred to as the other auditor.
Interpretation—Section 334, Related Parties, states that there may be inquiry of the principal auditor regarding related parties. In addition, before issuing his report, the other auditor should consider whether he should inquire of the principal auditor as to matters that may be significant to his own audit.

The other auditor’s consideration of whether to make the inquiry should be based on factors such as his awareness that there are transactions or relationships which are unusual or complex between the component he is auditing and the component the principal auditor is auditing, or his knowledge that in the past matters relating to his audit have arisen that were known to the principal auditor but not to him.

If the other auditor believes inquiry is appropriate he may furnish the principal auditor with a draft of the financial statements expected to be issued and of his report solely for the purpose of aiding the principal auditor to respond to the inquiry. The inquiry would concern transactions, adjustments, or other matters that have come to the principal auditor’s attention that he believes require adjustment to or disclosure in the financial statements of the component being audited by the other auditor. Also, the other auditor should inquire about any relevant limitation on the scope of the audit performed by the principal auditor.

3. Form of Inquiries of the Principal Auditor Made by the Other Auditor

Question—In those circumstances when the other auditor believes an inquiry of the principal auditor is appropriate, what form should the inquiry take and when should it be made?

Interpretation—The other auditor’s inquiry ordinarily should be in writing. It should indicate whether the response should be in writing, and should specify the date as of which the principal auditor should respond. Ordinarily, that date should be near the anticipated date of the other auditor’s report. An example of a written inquiry from the other auditor is as follows:

“We are auditing the financial statements of (name of client) as of (date) and for the (period of audit) for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of (name of client) in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

A draft of the financial statements referred to above and a draft of our report are enclosed solely to aid you in responding to this inquiry. Please provide us (in writing) (orally) with the following information in connection with your current examination of the consolidated financial statements of (name of parent company):

1. Transactions or other matters (including adjustments made during consolidation or contemplated at the date of your reply) that have come to your attention that you believe require adjustment to or disclosure in the financial statements of (name of client) being audited by us.

2. Any limitation on the scope of your audit that is related to the financial statements of (name of client) being audited by us, or that limits your ability to provide us with the information requested in this inquiry.
Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors

Please make your response as of a date near (expected date of the other
auditor’s report)."

.10 The principal auditor’s reply will often be made as of a date when his
audit is still in progress; however, the other auditor should expect that ordin-
arily the response should satisfy his need for information. However, there
may be instances when the principal auditor’s response explains that it is
limited because his audit has not progressed to a point that enables him to
provide a response that satisfies the other auditor’s need for information. If the
principal auditor’s response is limited in that manner, the other auditor should
consider whether to apply acceptable alternative procedures, delay the issu-
ance of his report until the principal auditor can respond, or qualify his opinion
or disclaim an opinion for a limitation on the scope of his audit.

[Issue Date: April, 1979]

4. Form of Principal Auditor’s Response to Inquiries from Other
Auditors

.11 Question—An independent auditor acting in the capacity of a prin-
cipal auditor may receive an inquiry from another independent auditor perform-
ing the audit of the financial statements of a component concerning
transactions, adjustments, or limitations on his audit. What should be the
form of the principal auditor’s response?

.12 Interpretation—The principal auditor should respond promptly to the
other auditor’s inquiry, based on his audit, and if applicable, on his reading of
the draft financial statements and report furnished by the other auditor. His
response may be written or oral, as requested by the other auditor. However,
the principal auditor’s response ordinarily should be in writing if it contains
information that may have a significant effect on the other auditor’s audit.

.13 The principal auditor should identify the stage of completion of his
audit as of the date of his reply. He should also indicate that no audit
procedures were performed for the purpose of identifying matters that would
not affect his audit and report, and therefore, not all the information requested
would necessarily be revealed. If the principal auditor has been furnished with
a draft of the financial statements being audited by the other auditor and a
draft of his report, the principal auditor should state that he has read the draft
only to aid him in making his reply.

.14 An example of a written response from the principal auditor is as
follows:

“This letter is furnished to you in response to your request that we provide
you with certain information in connection with your audit of the financial
statements of (name of component), a (subsidiary, division, branch or invest-
ment) of Parent Company for the year ended (date).

We are in the process of performing an audit of the consolidated financial
statements of Parent Company for the year ended (date) (but have not com-
pleted our work as of this date). The objective of our audit is to enable us to
express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements of Parent Company
and, accordingly, we have performed no procedures directed toward identifying
matters that would not affect our audit or our report. However, solely for the
purpose of responding to your inquiry, we have read the draft of the financial
statements of (name of component) as of (date) and for the (period of audit) and
the draft of your report on them, included with your inquiry dated (date of
inquiry).

See section 9543.04–.07, “Inquiries of the Principal Auditor by the Other Auditor,” above.
Based solely on the work we have performed (to date) in connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements, which would not necessarily reveal all or any of the matters covered in your inquiry, we advise you that:

1. No transactions or other matters (including adjustments made during consolidation or contemplated at this date) have come to our attention that we believe require adjustment to or disclosure in the financial statements of (name of component) being audited by you.

2. No limitation has been placed by Parent Company on the scope of our audit that, to our knowledge, is related to the financial statements of (name of component) being audited by you, that has limited our ability to provide you with the information requested in your inquiry.

5. Procedures of the Principal Auditor

.15 Question—What steps, if any, should the principal auditor take in responding to an inquiry such as that described in section 9543.11?

.16 Interpretation—The principal auditor’s response should ordinarily be made by the auditor with final responsibility for the engagement. He should take those steps that he considers reasonable under the circumstances to be informed of known matters pertinent to the other auditor’s inquiry. For example, the auditor with final responsibility may inquire of principal assistants responsible for various aspects of the engagement or he may direct assistants to bring to his attention any significant matters of which they become aware during the audit. The principal auditor is not required to perform any procedures directed toward identifying matters that would not affect his audit or his report.

.17 If between the date of his response and the completion of his audit, the principal auditor becomes aware of information that he would have included in his response to the other auditor’s inquiry had he been aware of it, the principal auditor should promptly communicate such information to the other auditor.

6. Application of Additional Procedures Concerning the Audit Performed by the Other Auditor

.18 Question—If a principal auditor decides not to make reference to the audit of another auditor, section 543 requires him to consider whether to apply procedures to obtain information about the adequacy of the audit performed by the other auditor. In making a decision about (a) whether to apply one or more of the procedures listed in section 543.12 and (b), if applicable, the extent of those procedures, may the principal auditor consider his knowledge of the other auditor’s compliance with quality control policies and procedures?

.19 Interpretation—Yes. The principal auditor’s judgment about the extent of additional procedures, if any, to be applied in the circumstances may be affected by various factors including his knowledge of the other auditor’s qual-

---

4 See section 311, Planning and Supervision, for the definition of “assistants.”
5 See section 561, Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report, concerning procedures to be followed by the other auditor if he receives the information after the issuance of his report.
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ity control policies and procedures that provide the other auditor with reasonable assurance of conformity with generally accepted auditing standards in his audit engagements.

.20 Other factors that the principal auditor may wish to consider in making that decision include his previous experience with the other auditor, the materiality of the portion of the financial statements audited by the other auditor, the control exercised by the principal auditor over the conduct of the audit performed by the other auditor, and the results of the principal auditor's other procedures that may indicate whether additional evidential matter is necessary.

[Issue Date: December, 1981.]

[7.] Reporting on Financial Statements Presented on a Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of a Governmental Entity When One Fund Has Been Audited by Another Auditor

[.21–.24] [Withdrawn December, 1992 by the Audit Issues Task Force.][6],[7]
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Regulated Companies

.02 The basic postulates and broad principles of accounting comprehended in the term “generally accepted accounting principles” which pertain to business enterprises in general apply also to companies whose accounting practices are prescribed by governmental regulatory authorities or commissions. (For example, public utilities and insurance companies.) Accordingly, the first reporting standard is equally applicable to opinions on financial statements of such regulated companies presented for purposes other than filings with their respective supervisory agencies; and material variances from generally accepted accounting principles, and their effects, should be dealt with in the independent auditor's report in the same manner followed for companies which are not regulated. Ordinarily, this will require either a qualified or an adverse opinion on such statements. An adverse opinion may be accompanied by an opinion on supplementary data which are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. [As amended, effective periods ending on or after December 31, 1974, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 2, effective for reports issued on or after July 1, 1989.]

.03 It should be recognized, however, that appropriate differences exist with respect to the application of generally accepted accounting principles as between regulated and nonregulated businesses because of the effect in regulated businesses of the rate-making process, a phenomenon not present in nonregulated businesses (FASB Statement No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulations [AC section Re6]). Such differences usually concern mainly the time at which various items enter into the determination of net income in accordance with the principle of matching costs and revenues.

1 When reporting on financial statements of a regulated entity that are prepared in accordance with the requirements of financial reporting provisions of a government regulatory agency to whose jurisdiction the entity is subject, the auditor may report on the financial statements as being prepared in accordance with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles (see section 623, Special Reports, paragraphs .02 and .10). Reports of this nature, however, should be issued only if the financial statements are intended solely for filing with one or more regulatory agencies to whose jurisdiction the entity is subject. [As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ended on or after December 31, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77.]
It should also be recognized that accounting requirements not directly related to the rate-making process commonly are imposed on regulated businesses and that the imposition of such accounting requirements does not necessarily mean that they conform with generally accepted accounting principles.

.04 When financial statements of a regulated entity are prepared in accordance with a basis of accounting prescribed by one or more regulatory agencies or the financial reporting provisions of another agency, the independent auditor may also be requested to report on their fair presentation in conformity with such prescribed basis of accounting in presentations for distribution in other than filings with the entity’s regulatory agency. In those circumstances, the auditor should use the standard form of report (see section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .08), modified as appropriate (see section 508.35–.60) because of the departures from generally accepted accounting principles, and then, in an additional paragraph to the report, express an opinion on whether the financial statements are presented in conformity with the prescribed basis of accounting. [As amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 62, effective for reports issued on or after July 1, 1989. As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ended on or after December 31, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77.]
AU Section 550

Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements

Source: SAS No. 8; SAS No. 98.

See section 9550 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: December, 1975.

.01 An entity may publish various documents that contain information (hereinafter, "other information") in addition to audited financial statements and the independent auditor's report thereon. This section provides guidance for the auditor's consideration of other information included in such documents.

.02 This section is applicable only to other information contained in (a) annual reports to holders of securities or beneficial interests, annual reports of organizations for charitable or philanthropic purposes distributed to the public, and annual reports filed with regulatory authorities under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or (b) other documents to which the auditor, at the client's request, devotes attention.

.03 This section is not applicable when the financial statements and report appear in a registration statement filed under the Securities Act of 1933. The auditor's procedures with respect to 1933 Act filings are unaltered by this section (see sections 634†1 and 711††). Also, this section is not applicable to other information on which the auditor is engaged to express an opinion.1 Mere reading of other information is an inadequate basis for expressing an opinion.

.04 Other information in a document may be relevant to an audit performed by an independent auditor or to the continuing propriety of his report. The auditor's responsibility with respect to information in a document does not extend beyond the financial information identified in his report, and the auditor has no obligation to perform any procedures to corroborate other information contained in a document. However, he should read the other information and consider whether such information, or the manner of its presentation, is materially inconsistent with information, or the manner of its presentation.

---

† [Section 631, formerly 630, changed by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 38 (superseded). Section 634, formerly 631, changed by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 49.] (See section 634.)

†† [Section number revised, April 1981, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 37.] (See section 711.)

1 Mere reading of other information is an inadequate basis for expressing an opinion on that information.

† [Section number revised, July 1980, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 29.] (See section 551.)

†† [Section number changed, April 1989, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 62.] (See section 623.)
presentation, appearing in the financial statements. If the auditor concludes that there is a material inconsistency, he should determine whether the financial statements, his report, or both require revision. If he concludes that they do not require revision, he should request the client to revise the other information. If the other information is not revised to eliminate the material inconsistency, he should consider other actions such as revising his report to include an explanatory paragraph describing the material inconsistency, withholding the use of his report in the document, and withdrawing from the engagement. The action he takes will depend on the particular circumstances and the significance of the inconsistency in the other information.

.05 If, while reading the other information for the reasons set forth in paragraph .04, the auditor becomes aware of information that he believes is a material misstatement of fact that is not a material inconsistency as described in paragraph .04, he should discuss the matter with the client. In connection with this discussion, the auditor should consider that he may not have the expertise to assess the validity of the statement, that there may be no standards by which to assess its presentation, and that there may be valid differences of judgment or opinion. If the auditor concludes he has a valid basis for concern he should propose that the client consult with some other party whose advice might be useful to the client, such as the client’s legal counsel.

.06 If, after discussing the matter as described in paragraph .05, the auditor concludes that a material misstatement of fact remains, the action he takes will depend on his judgment in the particular circumstances. He should consider steps such as notifying his client in writing of his views concerning the information and consulting his legal counsel as to further appropriate action in the circumstances.

.07 If certain other information has been subjected to auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, the auditor may express an opinion on whether the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to those financial statements taken as a whole. In those circumstances, the auditor’s report on the information should describe clearly the character of the auditor’s work and the degree of responsibility the auditor is taking. The auditor may report on such information using the guidance in section 551, Reporting on Information Accompanying the Basic Financial Statements in Auditor-Submitted Documents, paragraphs .12 and .14. [Paragraph added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]
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Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements: Auditing Interpretations of Section 550

[1.] Reports by Management on Internal Accounting Control[1–4]

[.01–.06] [Superseded May, 1994 by Interpretation Nos. 2 and 3, paragraphs .07–.15.]

2. Reports by Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

.07 Question—Communications to various parties specified in section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .02 may include a separate report by management containing an assertion about the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control over financial reporting. What is the auditor’s responsibility concerning such report?

.08 Interpretation—If the auditor has been engaged to examine and report on management’s assertion, the guidance in AT section 501, Reporting on an Entity’s Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, should be followed.

.09 If the auditor has not been engaged to examine and report on management’s assertion, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 550, which states that “the auditor has no obligation to perform any procedures to corroborate other information contained in [such] a document.” Under section 550, the auditor is required to read the report by management and consider whether it is materially inconsistent with information appearing in the financial statements and, as a result, he or she may become aware of a material misstatement of fact.5

.10 Although not required, the auditor may consider adding the following paragraph to the standard auditor’s report: “We were not engaged to examine management’s assertion about the effectiveness of [name of entity’s] internal control over financial reporting as of [date] included in the accompanying [title of management’s report] and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion thereon.”

.11 Because an auditor is required to consider internal control in an audit of the financial statements, he or she would often be familiar with matters covered in a management report on internal control over financial reporting. As a result, the auditor may become aware of information that causes him or her to believe that management’s assertion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting contains a material misstatement of fact as described in section 550.6 If the auditor becomes aware of information in the

---

[1–4] [Superseded May, 1994 by Interpretation Nos. 2 and 3, paragraphs .07–.15.]

5 Unless information on internal control over financial reporting appears in the financial statements, which is not common, a management assertion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting could not be inconsistent with information appearing in financial statements.

6 For example, the auditor has communicated to management a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting and management states or implies there are no material weaknesses.
report by management that conflicts with his or her knowledge or understanding of such matters, he or she should discuss the information with the client. If, after discussions with the client, the auditor concludes that a material misstatement of fact exists, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 550.06.

[Issue Date: May, 1994; Revised: January, 2001.]

3. Other References by Management to Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, Including References to the Independent Auditor

.12 Question—Communications to various parties specified in section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .02 may include a statement by management about the entity's internal control over financial reporting. Such documents may also refer to the independent auditor in circumstances other than when the auditor has been engaged to examine and report on management's assertion about the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. What is the auditor's responsibility in such circumstances?

.13 Interpretation—The auditor should follow the guidance in section 550, which states that "the auditor has no obligation to perform any procedures to corroborate other information contained in [such] a document." Under section 550, the auditor is required to read other information in documents containing audited financial statements and consider whether it is materially inconsistent with information appearing in the financial statements and, as a result, he or she may become aware of a material misstatement of fact. If the auditor becomes aware of information in the report by management that conflicts with his or her knowledge or understanding of such matters, he or she should discuss the information with the client. If, after discussions with the client, the auditor concludes that a material misstatement of fact exists, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 550.06.

.14 Generally, management may discuss its responsibility for internal control over financial reporting and report on its effectiveness. In reading such information, the auditor should evaluate specific references by management that deal with the auditor's consideration of internal control in planning and performing the audit of the financial statements, particularly if such reference would lead the reader to assume the auditor had performed more work than required under generally accepted auditing standards or would lead the reader to believe that the auditor was giving assurances on internal control. The auditor should also consider whether management's comment or statement uses the auditor's name in such a way as to indicate or imply that the auditor's involvement is greater than is supported by the facts. If management misstates the auditor's responsibility for consideration of internal control over financial reporting, the auditor should discuss the matter with the client and consider whether any further action is needed in accordance with section 550.06.

.15 The auditing interpretation of section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit, titled "Reporting on the Existence of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting," prohibits an engagement to review and report on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control over financial reporting or a written assertion thereon, a statement by management that the auditors had "reviewed" the company's internal control would be inappropriate.
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of Material Weaknesses' (section 9325.01–07), permits an auditor to report to management that he or she has not become aware of any material weaknesses during his or her audit of the financial statements, but requires such reports to be solely for the information and use of the entity’s audit committee, management and others within the organization. If, however, management decides to include or refer to this communication in a general use document, the auditor should communicate to management the restrictions on use of the communication and the potential for such a statement to be misunderstood. For example, the fact that an audit has not disclosed any material weaknesses does not necessarily mean none exist since an audit of the financial statements does not constitute an examination of a management assertion about the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. If management refuses to make appropriate changes to the report, the auditor should advise management that he or she has not consented to the use of his or her name and should consider what other actions might be appropriate. In considering what actions, if any, may be appropriate in the circumstances, the auditor may wish to consult legal counsel.

[Issue Date: May, 1994; Revised: January, 2001.]

4. Other Information in Electronic Sites Containing Audited Financial Statements

.16 Question—An entity may make information available in public computer networks, such as the World Wide Web area of the Internet, an electronic bulletin board, the Securities and Exchange Commission’s EDGAR system, or similar electronic venues (hereinafter, “electronic sites”). Information in electronic sites may include annual reports to shareholders, financial statements and other financial information, as well as press releases, product information and promotional material. When audited financial statements and the independent auditor’s report thereon are included in an electronic site, what is the auditor’s responsibility with respect to other information included in the electronic site?

.17 Interpretation—Electronic sites are a means of distributing information and are not “documents,” as that term is used in section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements. Thus, auditors are not required by section 550 to read information contained in electronic sites, or to consider the consistency of other information (as that term is used in section 550) in electronic sites with the original documents.

.18 Auditors may be asked by their clients to render professional services with respect to information in electronic sites. Such services, which might take different forms, are not contemplated by section 550. Other auditing or attestation standards may apply, for example, agreed-upon procedures pursuant to AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements, depending on the nature of the service requested.

[Issue Date: March, 1997; Revised: January, 2001.]
AU Section 551

**Reporting on Information Accompanying the Basic Financial Statements in Auditor-Submitted Documents**

(Supersedes section 610, “Long-Form Reports”)¹

Source: SAS No. 29; SAS No. 52; SAS No. 98.

Effective for auditors’ reports dated on or after December 31, 1980, unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance on the form and content of reporting when an auditor submits to his client or to others a document that contains information in addition to the client’s basic financial statements and the auditor’s report thereon.

.02 The auditor’s standard report covers the basic financial statements: balance sheet, statement of income, statement of retained earnings or changes in stockholders’ equity, and statement of cash flows. The following presentations are considered part of the basic financial statements: descriptions of accounting policies, notes to financial statements, and schedules and explanatory material that are identified as being part of the basic financial statements. For purposes of this section, basic financial statements also include an individual basic financial statement, such as a balance sheet or statement of income and financial statements prepared in accordance with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

.03 The information covered by this section is presented outside the basic financial statements and is not considered necessary for presentation of financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Such information includes additional details or explanations of items in or related to the basic financial statements, consolidating information, historical summaries of items extracted from the basic financial statements, statistical data, and other material, some of which may be from sources outside the accounting system or outside the entity.

**Reporting Responsibility**

.04 When an auditor submits a document containing audited financial statements to his client or to others, he has a responsibility to report on all the information included in the document. On the other hand, when the auditor’s report is included in a client-prepared document² and the auditor is not engaged to report on information accompanying the basic financial statements, his responsibility with respect to such information is described in (a) section

---

¹ This section also supersedes the March 1979 auditing interpretation, “Reports on Consolidated Financial Statements That Include Supplementary Consolidating Information”.

² Client-prepared documents include financial reports prepared by the client but merely reproduced by the auditor on the client’s behalf.
550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements, and (b) other sections covering particular types of information or circumstances, such as section 558, Required Supplementary Information.

.05 An auditor’s report on information accompanying the basic financial statements in an auditor-submitted document has the same objective as an auditor’s report on the basic financial statements: to describe clearly the character of the auditor’s work and the degree of responsibility the auditor is taking. Although the auditor may participate in the preparation of the accompanying information as well as the basic financial statements, both the statements and the accompanying information are representations of management.

.06 The following guidelines apply to an auditor’s report on information accompanying the basic financial statements in an auditor-submitted document:

a. The report should state that the audit has been performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

b. The report should identify the accompanying information. (Identification may be by descriptive title or page number of the document.)

c. The report should state that the accompanying information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.3

d. The report should include either an opinion on whether the accompanying information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole or a disclaimer of opinion, depending on whether the information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements. The auditor may express an opinion on a portion of the accompanying information and disclaim an opinion on the remainder.

e. The report on the accompanying information may be added to the auditor’s report on the basic financial statements or may appear separately in the auditor-submitted document.

.07 The purpose of an audit of basic financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards is to form an opinion on those statements taken as a whole. Nevertheless, an audit of basic financial statements often encompasses information accompanying those statements in an auditor-submitted document. Also, although an auditor has no obligation to apply auditing procedures to information presented outside the basic financial statements, he may choose to modify or redirect certain of the procedures to be applied in the audit of the basic financial statements so that he may express an opinion on the accompanying information in the manner described in paragraph .06.

.08 When reporting in this manner, the measurement of materiality is the same as that used in forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, the auditor need not apply procedures as extensive as would be necessary to express an opinion on the information taken by itself. Guidance applicable to the expression of an opinion on specified elements, accounts, or items of financial statements for the purpose of a separate presentation is provided in section 623.11–.18, Special Reports.

3 The auditor may refer to any regulatory agency requirements applicable to the information presented.
.09 If the auditor concludes, on the basis of facts known to him, that any accompanying information is materially misstated in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, he should discuss the matter with the client and propose appropriate revision of the accompanying information. If the client will not agree to revision of the accompanying information, the auditor should either modify his report on the accompanying information and describe the misstatement or refuse to include the information in the document.

.10 The auditor should consider the effect of any modifications in his standard report when reporting on accompanying information. When the auditor expresses a qualified opinion on the basic financial statements, he should make clear the effects upon any accompanying information as well (see paragraph .14). When the auditor expresses an adverse opinion, or disclaims an opinion, on the basic financial statements, he should not express the opinion described in paragraph .06 on any accompanying information. An expression of such an opinion in these circumstances would be inappropriate because, like a piecemeal opinion, it may tend to overshadow or contradict the disclaimer of opinion or adverse opinion on the basic financial statements. (See section 508.64 and section 623.14.)

.11 A client may request that nonaccounting information and certain accounting information not directly related to the basic financial statements be included in an auditor-submitted document. Ordinarily, such information would not have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, accordingly, the auditor would disclaim an opinion on it. In some circumstances, however, such information may have been obtained or derived from accounting records that have been tested by the auditor (for example, number of units produced related to royalties under a license agreement or number of employees related to a given payroll period). Accordingly, the auditor may be in a position to express an opinion on such information in the manner described in paragraph .06.

Reporting Examples

.12 An example of reporting on information accompanying the basic financial statements in an auditor-submitted document follows:

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The (identify accompanying information) is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.[6]

.13 When the auditor disclaims an opinion on all or part of the accompanying information in a document that he submits to his client or to others, such

---

[6] (Footnote deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.)
information should either be marked as unaudited or should include a reference to the auditor's disclaimer of opinion. The wording of the disclaimer will vary according to the circumstances. Two examples follow.

Disclaimer on All of the Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The (identify the accompanying information) is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Disclaimer on Part of the Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The information on pages XX—YY is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information, except for that portion marked "unaudited," on which we express no opinion, has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements; and, in our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

.14 An example follows of reporting on accompanying information to which a qualification in the auditor's report on the basic financial statements applies.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The schedules of investments (page 7), property (page 8), and other assets (page 9) as of December 31, 19XX, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The information in such schedules has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements; and, in our opinion, except for the effects on the schedule of investments of not accounting for the investments in certain companies by the equity method as explained in the second preceding paragraph (second paragraph of our report on page 1), such information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Supplementary Information Required by GAAP

.15 When supplementary information required by GAAP is presented outside the basic financial statements in an auditor-submitted document, the auditor should (a) express an opinion on the information if the auditor has been engaged to examine the information, (b) report on the information using the guidance in paragraphs .12 and .14, provided such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, or (c) disclaim an opinion on the information.7 The following is an example of a disclaimer an auditor might use in these circumstances:

---

7 The guidance in subsection (b) of this paragraph applies to GASB required supplementary information, such as that required by GASB Statement No. 5, Disclosure of Pension Information by Public Employee Retirement Systems and State and Local Governmental Employers. The auditor should refer to section 552, Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data, paragraphs .09–.19, for an example of a report on GASB required supplementary information.
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Reporting on Information in Auditor-Submitted Documents

The [identify the supplementary information] on page XX is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

[As amended, effective April 1988, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 52. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.16 The auditor’s report should be expanded in accordance with section 558, Required Supplementary Information, paragraph .08, if (a) supplementary information that GAAP requires to be presented in the circumstances is omitted, (b) the auditor has concluded that the measurement or presentation of the supplementary information departs materially from guidelines prescribed by GAAP, (c) the auditor is unable to complete the procedures prescribed by section 558, or (d) the auditor is unable to remove substantial doubts about whether the supplementary information conforms to prescribed guidelines. [Paragraph added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

Consolidating Information

.17 Consolidated financial statements may include consolidating information or consolidating schedules presenting separate financial statements of one or more components of the consolidated group. In some cases, the auditor is engaged to express an opinion on the financial statements of the components as well as on the consolidated financial statements. In other cases, the auditor is engaged to express an opinion only on the consolidated financial statements but consolidating information or schedules accompany the basic consolidated financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

.18 When the auditor is engaged to express an opinion only on the consolidated financial statements and consolidating information is also included, the auditor should be satisfied that the consolidating information is suitably identified. For example, when the consolidated financial statements include columns of information about the components of the consolidated group, the balance sheets might be titled, “Consolidated Balance Sheet—December 31, 19X1, with Consolidating Information,” and the columns including the consolidating information might be marked, “Consolidating Information.” When the consolidating information is presented in separate schedules, the schedules presenting balance sheet information of the components might be titled, for example, “Consolidating Schedule, Balance Sheet Information, December 31, 19X1.” [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

The auditor may identify the body requiring the information, such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board or the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. [Footnote added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

This section [paragraphs .17–.20] is also applicable to combined and combining financial statements. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002. Footnote revised, September 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]
When the consolidated financial statements include consolidating information that has not been separately audited, the auditor’s report on the consolidating information might read:

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole. The consolidating information is presented for purposes of additional analysis of the consolidated financial statements rather than to present the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of the individual companies. The consolidating information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

When the auditor is engaged to express an opinion on both the consolidated financial statements and the separate financial statements of the components presented in consolidating financial statements, the auditor’s reporting responsibilities with respect to the separate financial statements are the same as his responsibilities with respect to the consolidated financial statements. In such cases, the consolidating financial statements and accompanying notes should include all the disclosures that would be necessary for presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles of separate financial statements of each component. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

Additional Commentary Concerning the Audit

The auditor may be requested to describe the procedures applied to specific items in the financial statements. Additional comments of this nature should not contradict or detract from the description of the scope of his audit in the standard report. Also, they should be set forth separately rather than interspersed with the information accompanying the basic financial statements to maintain a clear distinction between management’s representations and the auditor’s representations. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

Co-Existing Financial Statements

More than one type of document containing the audited financial statements may exist. For example, the auditor may submit to his client or others a document containing the basic financial statements, other information, and his report thereon, and the client may issue a separate document containing only the basic financial statements and the auditor’s report. The basic financial statements should include all the information considered necessary for presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in all co-existing documents. The auditor should be satisfied that information accompanying the basic financial statements in an auditor-submitted document would not support a contention that the basic financial statements in the other document were not presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles because of inadequate disclosure of material information known to the auditor. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]
Effective Date

.23 This section will be effective for auditors’ reports dated on or after December 31, 1980. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]
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Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data

Source: SAS No. 42; SAS No. 71.

Effective for reports issued or reissued on or after January 1, 1989, on condensed financial statements or selected financial data unless otherwise indicated.

.01 This section provides guidance on reporting in a client-prepared document on—

a. Condensed financial statements (either for an annual or an interim period) that are derived from audited financial statements of a public entity that is required to file, at least annually, complete audited financial statements with a regulatory agency.

b. Selected financial data that are derived from audited financial statements of either a public or a nonpublic entity and that are presented in a document that includes audited financial statements (or, with respect to a public entity, that incorporates audited financial statements by reference to information filed with a regulatory agency).

Guidance on reporting on condensed financial statements or selected financial data that accompany audited financial statements in an auditor-submitted document is provided in section 551, Reporting on Information Accompanying the Basic Financial Statements in Auditor-Submitted Documents.

.02 In reporting on condensed financial statements or selected financial data in circumstances other than those described in paragraph .01, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .41 through .44, section 623, Special Reports, or other applicable Statements on Auditing Standards.¹

Condensed Financial Statements

.03 Condensed financial statements are presented in considerably less detail than complete financial statements that are intended to present financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. For this reason, they should be read in conjunction with the entity’s most recent complete financial statements that include all the disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles.

¹ This section has been revised to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.

² Public entity is defined in section 504, Association With Financial Statements, footnote 2.

An auditor who has audited and reported on complete financial statements of a nonpublic entity may subsequently be requested to compile financial statements for the same period that omit substantially all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. Reporting on comparative financial statements in those circumstances is described in SSARS No. 2, paragraphs 29 and 30 (AR section 200.29 and .30).
An auditor may be engaged to report on condensed financial statements that are derived from audited financial statements. Because condensed financial statements do not constitute a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, an auditor should not report on condensed financial statements in the same manner as he reported on the complete financial statements from which they are derived. To do so might lead users to assume, erroneously, that the condensed financial statements include all the disclosures necessary for complete financial statements. For the same reason, it is desirable that the condensed financial statements be so marked.

In the circumstances described in paragraph .01(a), the auditor’s report on condensed financial statements that are derived from financial statements that he has audited should indicate (a) that the auditor has audited and expressed an opinion on the complete financial statements, (b) the date of the auditor’s report on the complete financial statements, (c) the type of opinion expressed, and (d) whether, in the auditor’s opinion, the information set forth in the condensed financial statements is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the complete financial statements from which it has been derived.

The following is an example of wording that an auditor may use in the circumstances described in paragraph .01(a) to report on condensed financial statements that are derived from financial statements that he or she has audited and on which he or she has issued a standard report:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet of X Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X0, and the related consolidated statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 15, 20X1, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated financial statements from which it has been derived.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]
A client might make a statement in a client-prepared document that names the auditor and also states that condensed financial statements have been derived from audited financial statements. Such a statement does not, in itself, require the auditor to report on the condensed financial statements, provided that they are included in a document that contains audited financial statements (or that incorporates such statements by reference to information filed with a regulatory agency). However, if such a statement is made in a client-prepared document of a public entity that is required to file, at least annually, complete audited financial statements with a regulatory agency and that document does not include audited financial statements (or does not incorporate such statements by reference to information filed with a regulatory agency), the auditor should request that the client either (a) not include the auditor’s name in the document or (b), include the auditor’s report on the condensed financial statements, as described in paragraph .05. If the client will neither delete the reference to the auditor nor allow the appropriate report to be included, the auditor should advise the client that he does not consent to either the use of his name or the reference to him, and he should consider what other actions might be appropriate.

Condensed financial statements derived from audited financial statements of a public entity may be presented on a comparative basis with interim financial information as of a subsequent date that is accompanied by the auditor’s review report. In that case, the auditor should report on the condensed financial statements of each period in a manner appropriate for the

We have audited the consolidated balance sheet of X Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X0, and the related earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein). These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 20X0, and the related condensed statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended, presented on pages xx-xx, are presented as a summary and therefore do not include all of the disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, because of the significance of the omission of the information referred to in the preceding paragraph, the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of X Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X0, or the results of its operations or its cash flows for the year then ended.

In considering what other actions, if any, may be appropriate in these circumstances, the auditor may wish to consult his legal counsel.
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type of service provided for each period. The following is an example of a review report on a condensed balance sheet as of March 31, 19X1, and the related condensed statements of income and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X1 and 19X0, together with a report on a condensed balance sheet derived from audited financial statements as of December 31, 19X0, included in Form 10-Q.

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of ABC Company and subsidiaries as of March 31, 19X1, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X1 and 19X0. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 20X0, and the related consolidated statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 15, 20X1, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 20X0, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

[Revised, May 1992, to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 71. Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Selected Financial Data

An auditor may be engaged to report on selected financial data that are included in a client-prepared document that contains audited financial
statements (or, with respect to a public entity, that incorporates such statements by reference to information filed with a regulatory agency). Selected financial data are not a required part of the basic financial statements, and the entity’s management is responsible for determining the specific selected financial data to be presented.\(^9\) If the auditor is engaged to report on the selected financial data, his report should be limited to data that are derived from audited financial statements (which may include data that are calculated from amounts presented in the financial statements, such as working capital). If the selected financial data that management presents include both data derived from audited financial statements and other information (such as number of employees or square footage of facilities), the auditor’s report should specifically identify the data on which he is reporting. The report should indicate (a) that the auditor has audited and expressed an opinion on the complete financial statements, (b) the type of opinion expressed,\(^1\) and (c) whether, in the auditor’s opinion, the information set forth in the selected financial data is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the complete financial statements from which it has been derived.\(^2\) If the selected financial data for any of the years presented are derived from financial statements that were audited by another independent auditor, the report on the selected financial data should state that fact, and the auditor should not express an opinion on that data.

\(^{10}\) The following is an example of an auditor’s report that includes an additional paragraph because he is also engaged to report on selected financial data for a five-year period ended December 31, 19X5, in a client-prepared document that includes audited financial statements:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of ABC Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, and the related consolidated statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 19X5. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provided a reasonable basis for our opinion.

\(^9\) Under regulations of the SEC, certain reports must include, for each of the last five fiscal years, selected financial data in accordance with regulation S-K, including net sales or operating revenues, income or loss from continuing operations, income or loss from continuing operations per common share, total assets, long-term obligations and redeemable preferred stock and cash dividends declared per common share. Registrants may include additional items that they believe may be useful. There is no SEC requirement for the auditor to report on selected financial data.

\(^1\) See footnote 5.

\(^2\) Nothing in this section is intended to preclude an auditor from expressing an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement, providing the provisions of section 623, Special Reports, are observed.
In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the ABC Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X5 and 20X4, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 20X5, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 20X3, 20X2, and 20X1, and the related statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 20X2, and 20X1 (none of which are presented herein); and we expressed unqualified opinions on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the selected financial data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 20X5, appearing on page xx, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated financial statements from which it has been derived.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.11 In introductory material regarding the selected financial data included in a client-prepared document, an entity might name the independent auditor and state that the data are derived from financial statements that he audited. Such a statement does not, in itself, require the auditor to report on the selected financial data, provided that the selected financial data are presented in a document that contains audited financial statements (or, with respect to a public entity, that incorporates such statements by reference to information filed with a regulatory agency). If such a statement is made in a document that does not include (or incorporate by reference) audited financial statements, the auditor should request that neither his name nor reference to him be associated with the information, or he should disclaim an opinion on the selected financial data and request that the disclaimer be included in the document. If the client does not comply, the auditor should advise the client that he does not consent to either the use of his name or the reference to him, and he should consider what other actions might be appropriate.12

Effective Date

.12 This section is effective for reports issued or reissued on or after January 1, 1989. Earlier application of the provision of this section is permissible.
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AU Section 558

Required Supplementary Information

(Supersedes section 553)  

Source: SAS No. 52; SAS No. 98.

See section 9558 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: April, 1988.

.01 The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), and the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) develop standards for financial reporting, including standards for financial statements and for certain other information supplementary to financial statements. This section provides the independent

* This section also withdraws the following Statements on Auditing Standards:
  · Statement on Auditing Standards No. 28, Supplementary Information on the Effects of Changing Prices (Formerly section 554).
  · Statement on Auditing Standards No. 40, Supplementary Mineral Reserve Information (Formerly section 556).
  · Statement on Auditing Standards No. 45, Supplementary Oil and Gas Reserve Information (Formerly section 557).

SAS No. 45 was reissued as an auditing interpretation, see section 9558.01–.06.

1 The FASB, GASB, and FASAB’s roles in setting standards for financial reporting have been recognized by the AICPA Council. The FASB’s authority to establish standards for disclosure of financial information outside of the basic financial statements is described in the following resolution:

That as of (September 19, 1987), the FASB, in respect of statements of financial accounting standards finally adopted by such board in accordance with its rules of procedure and the bylaws of the Financial Accounting Foundation, be, and hereby is, designated by this Council as the body to establish accounting principles pursuant to rule 203 and standards on disclosure of financial information for such entities outside financial statements in published financial reports containing financial statements under rule 202 of the Rules of the Code of Professional Conduct of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants provided, however, any accounting research bulletins, or opinions of the accounting principles board issued or approved for exposure by the accounting principles board prior to April 1, 1973, and finally adopted by such board on or before June 30, 1973, shall constitute statements of accounting principles promulgated by a body designated by Council as contemplated in rule 203 of the Rules of the Code of Professional Conduct unless and until such time as they are expressly superseded by action of the FASB.

The GASB’s authority to establish standards for financial reporting is described in the following resolution:

That as of (September 19, 1987), the GASB, with respect to statements of governmental accounting standards adopted and issued in July 1984 and subsequently in accordance with its rules of procedure and the bylaws of the FASB, be, and hereby is, designated by the Council of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants as the body to establish financial accounting principles for state and local governmental entities pursuant to rule 203, and standards on disclosure of financial information for such entities outside financial statements in published financial reports containing financial statements under rule 202.

The FASAB’s authority to establish standards for financial reporting for federal government entities is described in the following resolution:

That as of (October 19, 1999), the FASAB is designated under rule 203 of the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct as the body to establish accounting principles for federal government entities, and be it further resolved to recognize the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board with respect to statements of federal accounting standards adopted and issued in March of 1993 and subsequently in accordance with the FASAB’s rules of procedure, and be it further resolved that no later than five years from the date the FASAB is granted rule 203 authority, the AICPA’s Board of Directors will review the mission and operations of the FASAB and will evaluate whether the FASAB con-

(footnote continued)
auditor with guidance on the nature of procedures to be applied to supplementary information required by the FASB, GASB, or FASAB and describes the circumstances that would require the auditor to report such information. [Revised, April 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]

**Applicability**

.02 This section is applicable in an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards of financial statements included in a document that should contain supplementary information required by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). However, this section is not applicable if the auditor has been engaged to audit such supplementary information.² [Revised, April 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.03 Some entities may voluntarily include, in documents containing audited financial statements, certain supplementary information that is required of other entities. When an entity voluntarily includes such information as a supplement to the financial statements or in an unaudited note to the financial statements, the provisions of this section are applicable unless either the entity indicates that the auditor has not applied the procedures described in this section or the auditor includes in an explanatory paragraph in his report on the audited financial statements a disclaimer on the information.³ The following is an example of a disclaimer an auditor might use in these circumstances:

The [identify the supplementary information] on page XX (or in Note XX) is not a required part of the basic financial statements, and we did not audit or apply limited procedures to such information and do not express any assurances on such information.

When the auditor does not apply the procedures described in this section to a voluntary presentation of required supplementary information required for other entities, the provisions of section 550, apply.

**Involvement With Information Outside Financial Statements**

.04 The objective of an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards is the expression of an opinion on such statements. The auditor has no responsibility to audit information outside the

---

² This section is not applicable to entities that voluntarily present supplementary information not required by GAAP. For example, entities that voluntarily present supplementary information on the effects of inflation and changes in specific prices, formerly required by FASB Statement No. 33, Financial Reporting and Changing Prices, are guided by section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements. [Footnote revised, April 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

³ When supplementary information is presented in an auditor-submitted document outside the basic financial statements, the guidance in section 551, Reporting on Information Accompanying the Basic Financial Statements in Auditor-Submitted Documents, as amended by SAS No. 52, Omnibus Statement on Auditing Standards—1987, should be followed.
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basic financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. However, the auditor does have certain responsibilities with respect to information outside the financial statements. The nature of the auditor’s responsibility varies with the nature of both the information and the document containing the financial statements.

.05 The auditor’s responsibility for other information not required by the FASB, GASB, or FASAB but included in certain annual reports—which are client-prepared documents—is specified in section 550. The auditor's responsibility for information outside the basic financial statements in documents that the auditor submits to the client or to others is specified in section 551. The auditor’s responsibility for supplementary information required by the FASB, GASB, or FASAB (called required supplementary information) is discussed in the paragraphs that follow. [Revised, April 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]

Involvement With Required Supplementary Information

.06 Required supplementary information differs from other types of information outside the basic financial statements because the FASB, GASB, or FASAB considers the information an essential part of the financial reporting of certain entities and because authoritative guidelines for the measurement and presentation of the information have been established. Accordingly, the auditor should apply certain limited procedures to required supplementary information and should report deficiencies in, or the omission of, such information. [Revised, April 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]

Procedures

.07 The auditor should consider whether supplementary information is required by the FASB or GASB in the circumstances. If supplementary information is required, the auditor ordinarily should apply the following procedures to the information.5

a. Inquire of management about the methods of preparing the information, including (1) whether it is measured and presented within prescribed guidelines, (2) whether methods of measurement or presentation have been changed from those used in the prior period and the reasons for any such changes, and (3) any significant assumptions or interpretations underlying the measurement or presentation.

b. Compare the information for consistency with (1) management’s responses to the foregoing inquiries, (2) audited financial statements,6 and (3) other knowledge obtained during the examination of the financial statements.

---

4 Client-prepared documents include financial reports prepared by the client but merely reproduced by the auditor on the client’s behalf.

5 These procedures are also appropriate when the auditor is involved with voluntary presentations of such information required for other entities (see paragraph .03).

6 GASB Statement No. 25, Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans, requires presentation of certain 6-year historical trend information relating to pension activities as supplementary information outside the basic financial statements. Such information is generally derived from financial statements. If such required supplementary information has been derived from audited financial statements and is presented outside the basic financial statements in an auditor-submitted document, the auditor may report on this information as indicated in section 552, Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data, paragraph .10. [Footnote revised, April 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.]
Consider whether representations on required supplementary information should be included in specific written representations obtained from management (section 333, Management Representations).

d. Apply additional procedures, if any, that other statements, interpretations, guides, or statements of position prescribe for specific types of required supplementary information.

e. Make additional inquiries if application of the foregoing procedures causes the auditor to believe that the information may not be measured or presented within applicable guidelines.

Reporting on Required Supplementary Information

.08 Since the supplementary information is not audited and is not a required part of the basic financial statements, the auditor need not add an explanatory paragraph to the report on the audited financial statements to refer to the supplementary information or to his or her limited procedures, except in any of the following circumstances:

1. The supplementary information that GAAP requires to be presented in the circumstances is omitted;
2. The auditor has concluded that the measurement or presentation of the supplementary information departs materially from prescribed guidelines;
3. The auditor is unable to complete the prescribed procedures;
4. The auditor is unable to remove substantial doubts about whether the supplementary information conforms to prescribed guidelines.

Since the required supplementary information does not change the standards of financial accounting and reporting used for the preparation of the entity’s basic financial statements, the circumstances described above do not affect the auditor’s opinion on the fairness of presentation of such financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Furthermore, the auditor need not present the supplementary information if it is omitted by the entity. The following are examples of additional explanatory paragraphs an auditor might use in these circumstances.

Omission of Required Supplementary Information

The (Company or Governmental Unit) has not presented [describe the supplementary information required by GAAP] that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the basic financial statements.

Material Departures From Guidelines

The [specifically identify the supplementary information] on page XX is not a required part of the basic financial statements, and we did not audit and do not express an opinion on such information. However, we have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. As a result of such limited procedures, we believe that the [specifically identify the supplementary information] is not in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States because [describe the material departure(s) from the GAAP].

Footnote:

1 When required supplementary information is presented outside the basic financial statements in an auditor-submitted document, the auditor should (a) express an opinion on the information if engaged to examine the information; (b) report on such information using the guidance in section 551.12 and .14, provided such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements; or (c) disclaim an opinion on the information (see section 551.15 and .16). [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

2 The auditor may identify the body requiring the information, such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board or the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. [Footnote added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]
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Prescribed Procedures Not Completed
The [specifically identify the supplementary information] on page XX is not a required part of the basic financial statements, and we did not audit and do not express an opinion on such information. Further, we were unable to apply to the information certain procedures prescribed by professional standards because [state the reasons].

Unresolved Doubts About Adherence to Guidelines
The [specifically identify the supplementary information] on page XX is not a required part of the basic financial statements, and we did not audit and do not express an opinion on such information. However, we have applied certain limited procedures prescribed by professional standards that raised doubts that we were unable to resolve regarding whether material modifications should be made to the information for it to conform with guidelines established by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. [The auditor should consider including in the report the reason(s) he or she was unable to resolve his or her substantial doubts.]

Even though the auditor is unable to complete the prescribed procedures, if, on the basis of facts known to him or her, the auditor concludes that the supplementary information has not been measured or presented within prescribed guidelines, he or she should suggest appropriate revision; failing that, he or she should describe the nature of any material departure(s) in the report. [Revised, April 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91. As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.09 In conjunction with the audit of the financial statements, the auditor may subject the supplementary information to certain auditing procedures. If the procedures are sufficient to enable the auditor to express an opinion on whether the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole, the auditor may expand the audit report in accordance with section 550.07. [Paragraph added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.10 If the entity includes with the supplementary information an indication that the auditor performed any procedures regarding the information without also indicating that the auditor does not express an opinion on the information presented, the auditor’s report on the audited financial statements should be expanded to include a disclaimer on the information or, if appropriate, an opinion on whether the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.11 Ordinarily, the required supplementary information should be distinct from the audited financial statements and distinguished from other information outside the financial statements that is not required by GAAP. However, management may choose not to place the required supplementary information outside the basic financial statements. In such circumstances, unless it is audited as part of the basic financial statements, the information should be clearly marked as unaudited. If the information is not clearly marked as unaudited, the auditor’s report on the audited financial statements should be expanded to include a disclaimer on the supplementary information. [Revised, April 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91. Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

[The next page is 951.]
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1. Supplementary Oil and Gas Reserve Information

.01 Question—FASB Statement No. 69, Disclosures About Oil and Gas Producing Activities [AC section Oi5], which amended FASB Statement No. 19, Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies [AC section Oi5], and FASB Statement No. 25, Suspension of Certain Accounting Requirements for Oil and Gas Producing Companies [AC section Oi5], requires publicly traded entities that have significant oil and gas producing activities to include, with complete sets of annual financial statements, disclosures of proved oil and gas reserve quantities, changes in reserve quantities, a standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to reserve quantities, and changes in the standardized measure. In documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Regulation S-K requires that the disclosures related to annual periods be presented for each annual period for which an income statement is required and the disclosures as of the end of an annual period be presented as of the date of each audited balance sheet required. These disclosures are considered to be supplementary information and may be presented outside the basic financial statements. In these circumstances, should the auditor consider the provisions of section 558, Required Supplementary Information?

.02 Interpretation—Yes. Also, in addition to the provisions of section 558, the auditor should also consider the provisions of this Interpretation.

.03 Estimating oil and gas reserves is a complex process requiring the knowledge and experience of a reservoir engineer. In general, the quality of the estimate of proved reserves for an individual reservoir depends on the availability, completeness, and accuracy of data needed to develop the estimate and on the experience and judgment of the reservoir engineer. Estimates of proved reserves inevitably change over time as additional data become available and are taken into account. The magnitude of changes in these estimates is often substantial. Because oil and gas reserve estimates are more imprecise than most estimates that are made in preparing financial statements, entities are encouraged to explain the imprecise nature of such reserve estimates.

.04 In applying the procedures specified in section 558, the auditor's inquiries should be directed to management's understanding of the specific requirements for disclosure of the supplementary oil and gas reserve information, including—

a. The factors considered in determining the reserve quantity information to be reported, such as including in the information (1) quantities of all domestic and foreign proved oil and gas reserves owned by the entity net of interests of others, (2) reserves attributable to consolidated subsidiaries, (3) a proportionate share of reserves of investees that are proportionately consolidated, and (4) reserves relating to royalty interests owned.

b. The separate disclosure of items such as (1) the entity's share of oil and gas produced from royalty interests for which reserve quantity information is unavailable, (2) reserves subject to long-term agree-
ments with governments or authorities in which the entity participates in the operation or otherwise serves as producer, (3) the entity’s proportional interest in reserves of investees accounted for by the equity method, (4) subsequent events, important economic factors, or significant uncertainties affecting particular components of the reserve quantity information, (5) whether the entity’s reserves are located entirely within its home country, and (6) whether certain named governments restrict the disclosure of reserves or require that the reserve estimates include reserves other than proved.

c. The factors considered in determining the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows to be reported.

.05 In addition, the auditor should also—

a. Inquire about whether the person who estimated the entity’s reserve quantity information has appropriate qualifications. ¹

b. Compare the entity’s recent production with its reserve estimates for properties that have significant production or significant reserve quantities and inquire about disproportionate ratios.

c. Compare the entity’s reserve quantity information with the corresponding information used for depletion and amortization, and make inquiries when differences exist.

d. Inquire about the calculation of the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows. These inquiries might include matters such as whether—

i. The prices used to develop future cash inflows from estimated production of the proved reserves are based on prices received at the end of the entity’s fiscal year, and whether the calculation of future cash inflows appropriately reflects the terms of sales contracts and applicable governmental laws and regulations.

ii. The entity’s estimate of the nature and timing of future development of the proved reserves and the future rates of production are consistent with available development plans.

iii. The entity’s estimates of future development and production costs are based on year-end costs and assumed continuation of existing economic conditions.

iv. Future income tax expenses have been computed using the appropriate year-end statutory tax rates, with consideration of future tax rates already legislated, after giving effect to the tax basis of the properties involved, permanent differences, and tax credits and allowances.

v. The future net cash flows have been appropriately discounted.

vi. With respect to full cost companies, the estimated future development costs are consistent with the corresponding amounts used for depletion and amortization purposes.

¹ For example, the Society of Petroleum Engineers has prepared “Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserve Information,” which indicate that a reserve estimator would normally be considered to be qualified if he or she (1) has a minimum of three years’ practical experience in petroleum engineering or petroleum production geology, with at least one year of such experience being in the estimation and evaluation of reserve information; and (2) either (a) has obtained, from a college or university of recognized stature, a bachelor’s or advanced degree in petroleum engineering, geology, or other discipline of engineering or physical science or (b) has received, and is maintaining in good standing, a registered or certified professional engineer’s license or a registered or certified professional geologist’s license, or the equivalent thereof, from an appropriate governmental authority or professional organization.
vii. With respect to the disclosure of changes in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, the entity has computed and presented the sources of the changes in conformity with the requirements of FASB Statement No. 69 [AC section Oi5].

e. Inquire about whether the methods and bases for estimating the entity's reserve information are documented and whether the information is current.

.06 If the auditor believes that the information may not be presented within the applicable guidelines, section 558 indicates that he ordinarily should make additional inquiries. However, because of the nature of estimates of oil and gas reserve information, the auditor may not be in a position to evaluate the responses to such additional inquiries and, thus, will need to report this limitation on the procedures prescribed by professional standards. The following is an example that illustrates reporting on oil and gas reserve information in that event.

The oil and gas reserve information is not a required part of the basic financial statements, and we did not audit and do not express an opinion on such information. However, we have applied certain limited procedures prescribed by professional standards that raised doubts that we were unable to resolve regarding whether material modifications should be made to the information for it to conform with guidelines established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. [The auditor should consider including in his report the reason(s) why he was unable to resolve his doubts. For example, the auditor may wish to state that the information was estimated by a person lacking appropriate qualifications.]

[Issue Date: February, 1989.]
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*Subsequent Events*

Source: SAS No. 1, section 560; SAS No. 12; SAS No. 98.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 An independent auditor’s report ordinarily is issued in connection with historical financial statements that purport to present financial position at a stated date and results of operations and cash flows for a period ended on that date. However, events or transactions sometimes occur subsequent to the balance-sheet date, but prior to the issuance of the financial statements, that have a material effect on the financial statements and therefore require adjustment or disclosure in the statements. These occurrences hereinafter are referred to as “subsequent events.” [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.02 Two types of subsequent events require consideration by management and evaluation by the independent auditor.

.03 The first type consists of those events that provide additional evidence with respect to conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet and affect the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements. All information that becomes available prior to the issuance of the financial statements should be used by management in its evaluation of the conditions on which the estimates were based. The financial statements should be adjusted for any changes in estimates resulting from the use of such evidence.

.04 Identifying events that require adjustment of the financial statements under the criteria stated above calls for the exercise of judgment and knowledge of the facts and circumstances. For example, a loss on an uncollectible trade account receivable as a result of a customer’s deteriorating financial condition leading to bankruptcy subsequent to the balance-sheet date would be indicative of conditions existing at the balance-sheet date, thereby calling for adjustment of the financial statements before their issuance. On the other hand, a similar loss resulting from a customer’s major casualty such as a fire or flood subsequent to the balance-sheet date would not be indicative of conditions existing at the balance-sheet date and adjustment of the financial statements would not be appropriate. The settlement of litigation for an amount different from the liability recorded in the accounts would require adjustment of the financial statements if the events, such as personal injury or patent infringement, that gave rise to the litigation had taken place prior to the balance-sheet date.

.05 The second type consists of those events that provide evidence with respect to conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet being reported on but arose subsequent to that date. These events should not result in adjustment of the financial statements. Some of these events, however, may be of such a nature that disclosure of them is required to keep the financial statements from being misleading. Occasionally such an event may be so significant that disclosure can best be made by supplementing the historical

---

1 This paragraph is not intended to preclude giving effect in the balance sheet, with appropriate disclosure, to stock dividends or stock splits or reverse splits consummated after the balance-sheet date but before issuance of the financial statements.
financial statements with pro forma financial data giving effect to the event as if it had occurred on the date of the balance sheet. It may be desirable to present pro forma statements, usually a balance sheet only, in columnar form on the face of the historical statements.

.06 Examples of events of the second type that require disclosure to the financial statements (but should not result in adjustment) are:

a. Sale of a bond or capital stock issue.
b. Purchase of a business.
c. Settlement of litigation when the event giving rise to the claim took place subsequent to the balance-sheet date.
d. Loss of plant or inventories as a result of fire or flood.
e. Losses on receivables resulting from conditions (such as a customer’s major casualty) arising subsequent to the balance-sheet date.

.07 Subsequent events affecting the realization of assets such as receivables and inventories or the settlement of estimated liabilities ordinarily will require adjustment of the financial statements (see paragraph .03) because such events typically represent the culmination of conditions that existed over a relatively long period of time. Subsequent events such as changes in the quoted market prices of securities ordinarily should not result in adjustment of the financial statements (see paragraph .05) because such changes typically reflect a concurrent evaluation of new conditions.

.08 When financial statements are reissued, for example, in reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or other regulatory agencies, events that require disclosure in the reissued financial statements to keep them from being misleading may have occurred subsequent to the original issuance of the financial statements. Events occurring between the time of original issuance and reissuance of financial statements should not result in adjustment of the financial statements unless the adjustment meets the criteria for the correction of an error or the criteria for prior period adjustments set forth in Opinions of the Accounting Principles Board. Similarly, financial statements reissued in comparative form with financial statements of subsequent periods should not be adjusted for events occurring subsequent to the original issuance unless the adjustment meets the criteria stated above.

.09 Occasionally, a subsequent event of the second type has such a material impact on the entity that the auditor may wish to include in his report an explanatory paragraph directing the reader’s attention to the event and its effects. (See section 508.19.)

Auditing Procedures in the Subsequent Period

.10 There is a period after the balance-sheet date with which the auditor must be concerned in completing various phases of his audit. This period is known as the “subsequent period” and is considered to extend to the date of the auditor’s report. Its duration will depend upon the practical requirements of each audit and may vary from a relatively short period to one of several months. Also, all auditing procedures are not carried out at the same time and some phases of an audit will be performed during the subsequent period, whereas other phases will be substantially completed on or before the balance-
sheet date. As an audit approaches completion, the auditor will be concentrat-
ing on the unresolved auditing and reporting matters and he is not expected to
be conducting a continuing review of those matters to which he has previously
applied auditing procedures and reached satisfaction.

.11 Certain specific procedures are applied to transactions occurring after
the balance-sheet date such as (a) the examination of data to assure that
proper cutoffs have been made and (b) the examination of data which provide
information to aid the auditor in his evaluation of the assets and liabilities as
of the balance-sheet date.

.12 In addition, the independent auditor should perform other auditing
procedures with respect to the period after the balance-sheet date for the
purpose of ascertaining the occurrence of subsequent events that may require
adjustment or disclosure essential to a fair presentation of the financial
statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. These
procedures should be performed at or near the completion of the field work. The
auditor generally should:

a. Read the latest available interim financial statements; compare
them with the financial statements being reported upon; and make
any other comparisons considered appropriate in the circumstances.
In order to make these procedures as meaningful as possible for the
purpose expressed above, the auditor should inquire of officers and
other executives having responsibility for financial and accounting
matters as to whether the interim statements have been prepared
on the same basis as that used for the statements under audit.

b. Inquire of and discuss with officers and other executives having
responsibility for financial and accounting matters (limited where
appropriate to major locations) as to:
(i) Whether any substantial contingent liabilities or commitments
existed at the date of the balance sheet being reported on or at
the date of inquiry.
(ii) Whether there was any significant change in the capital stock,
long-term debt, or working capital to the date of inquiry.
(iii) The current status of items, in the financial statements being
reported on, that were accounted for on the basis of tentative,
preliminary, or inconclusive data.
(iv) Whether any unusual adjustments had been made during the
period from the balance-sheet date to the date of inquiry.

c. Read the available minutes of meetings of stockholders, directors,
and appropriate committees; as to meetings for which minutes are
not available, inquire about matters dealt with at such meetings.

d. Inquire of client’s legal counsel concerning litigation, claims, and
assessments. [As amended, January 1976, by Statement on Auditing
Standards No. 12.] (See section 337.)

e. Obtain a letter of representations, dated as of a date no earlier than
the date of the auditor’s report, from appropriate officials, generally
the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, or others with
equivalent positions in the entity, as to whether any events occurred
subsequent to the date of the financial statements being reported on
by the independent auditor that in the officer’s opinion would require
adjustment or disclosure in these statements. The auditor may elect to have the client include representations as to significant matters disclosed to the auditor in his performance of the procedures in subparagraphs (a) to (d) above and (f) below. (See section 333, Management Representations.)

f. Make such additional inquiries or perform such procedures as he considers necessary and appropriate to dispose of questions that arise in carrying out the foregoing procedures, inquiries, and discussions.
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AU Section 561

Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report

Source: SAS No. 1, section 561; SAS No. 98.

See section 9561 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

.01 The procedures described in this section should be followed by the auditor who, subsequent to the date of the report upon audited financial statements, becomes aware that facts may have existed at that date which might have affected the report had he or she then been aware of such facts.\(^1\) [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.02 Because of the variety of conditions which might be encountered, some of these procedures are necessarily set out only in general terms; the specific actions to be taken in a particular case may vary somewhat in the light of the circumstances. The auditor would be well advised to consult with an attorney when he or she encounters the circumstances to which this section may apply because of legal implications that may be involved in actions contemplated herein, including, for example, the possible effect of state statutes regarding confidentiality of auditor-client communications. [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.03 After the date of the report, the auditor has no obligation\(^2\) to make any further or continuing inquiry or perform any other auditing procedures with respect to the audited financial statements covered by that report, unless new information which may affect the report comes to his or her attention. [As amended, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

.04 When the auditor becomes aware of information which relates to financial statements previously reported on by him, but which was not known to him at the date of his report, and which is of such a nature and from such a source that he would have investigated it had it come to his attention during the course of his audit, he should, as soon as practicable, undertake to determine whether the information is reliable and whether the facts existed at the date of his report. In this connection, the auditor should discuss the matter with his client at whatever management levels he deems appropriate, including the board of directors, and request cooperation in whatever investigation may be necessary.

---

1 If the financial statements have not yet been issued, see the guidance found in section 560, Subsequent Events. [Footnote added, effective September 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.]

2 However, see section 711.10–.13 as to an auditor’s obligation with respect to audited financial statements included in registration statements filed under the Securities Act of 1933 between the date of the auditor’s report and the effective date of the registration statement. [Footnote revised by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 37, April 1981. Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]
When the subsequently discovered information is found both to be reliable and to have existed at the date of the auditor’s report, the auditor should take action in accordance with the procedures set out in subsequent paragraphs if the nature and effect of the matter are such that (a) his report would have been affected if the information had been known to him at the date of his report and had not been reflected in the financial statements and (b) he believes there are persons currently relying or likely to rely on the financial statements who would attach importance to the information. With respect to (b), consideration should be given, among other things, to the time elapsed since the financial statements were issued.

When the auditor has concluded, after considering (a) and (b) in paragraph .05, that action should be taken to prevent future reliance on his report, he should advise his client to make appropriate disclosure of the newly discovered facts and their impact on the financial statements to persons who are known to be currently relying or who are likely to rely on the financial statements and the related auditor’s report. When the client undertakes to make appropriate disclosure, the method used and the disclosure made will depend on the circumstances.

a. If the effect on the financial statements or auditor’s report of the subsequently discovered information can promptly be determined, disclosure should consist of issuing, as soon as practicable, revised financial statements and auditor’s report. The reasons for the revision usually should be described in a note to the financial statements and referred to in the auditor’s report. Generally, only the most recently issued audited financial statements would need to be revised, even though the revision resulted from events that had occurred in prior years.3

b. When issuance of financial statements accompanied by the auditor’s report for a subsequent period is imminent, so that disclosure is not delayed, appropriate disclosure of the revision can be made in such statements instead of reissuing the earlier statements pursuant to subparagraph (a).4

c. When the effect on the financial statements of the subsequently discovered information cannot be determined without a prolonged investigation, the issuance of revised financial statements and auditor’s report would necessarily be delayed. In this circumstance, when it appears that the information will require a revision of the statements, appropriate disclosure would consist of notification by the client to persons who are known to be relying or who are likely to rely on the financial statements and the related report that they should not be relied upon, and that revised financial statements and auditor’s report will be issued upon completion of an investigation. If applicable, the client should be advised to discuss with the Securities and Exchange Commission, stock exchanges, and appropriate regulatory agencies the disclosure to be made or other measures to be taken in the circumstances.

---

1 See paragraphs 26 and 27 of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 9 [AC section A35.107–.108] and paragraphs 36 and 37 of Opinion No. 20 [AC section A35.105] regarding disclosure of adjustments applicable to prior periods. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]

2 Ibid. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98, September 2002.]
The auditor should take whatever steps he deems necessary to satisfy himself that the client has made the disclosures specified in paragraph .06.

If the client refuses to make the disclosures specified in paragraph .06, the auditor should notify each member of the board of directors of such refusal and of the fact that, in the absence of disclosure by the client, the auditor will take steps as outlined below to prevent future reliance upon his report. The steps that can appropriately be taken will depend upon the degree of certainty of the auditor’s knowledge that there are persons who are currently relying or who will rely on the financial statements and the auditor’s report, and who would attach importance to the information, and the auditor’s ability as a practical matter to communicate with them. Unless the auditor’s attorney recommends a different course of action, the auditor should take the following steps to the extent applicable:

a. Notification to the client that the auditor’s report must no longer be associated with the financial statements.

b. Notification to regulatory agencies having jurisdiction over the client that the auditor’s report should no longer be relied upon.

c. Notification to each person known to the auditor to be relying on the financial statements that his report should no longer be relied upon.

In many instances, it will not be practicable for the auditor to give appropriate individual notification to stockholders or investors at large, whose identities ordinarily are unknown to him; notification to a regulatory agency having jurisdiction over the client will usually be the only practicable way for the auditor to provide appropriate disclosure. Such notification should be accompanied by a request that the agency take whatever steps it may deem appropriate to accomplish the necessary disclosure. The Securities and Exchange Commission and the stock exchanges are appropriate agencies for this purpose as to corporations within their jurisdictions.

The following guidelines should govern the content of any disclosure made by the auditor in accordance with paragraph .08 to persons other than his client:

a. If the auditor has been able to make a satisfactory investigation of the information and has determined that the information is reliable:

   (i) The disclosure should describe the effect the subsequently acquired information would have had on the auditor’s report if it had been known to him at the date of his report and had not been reflected in the financial statements. The disclosure should include a description of the nature of the subsequently acquired information and of its effect on the financial statements.

   (ii) The information disclosed should be as precise and factual as possible and should not go beyond that which is reasonably necessary to accomplish the purpose mentioned in the preceding subparagraph (i). Comments concerning the conduct or motives of any person should be avoided.

b. If the client has not cooperated and as a result the auditor is unable to conduct a satisfactory investigation of the information, his disclosure need not detail the specific information but can merely indicate that information has come to his attention which his client has not
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cooperated in attempting to substantiate and that, if the information is true, the auditor believes that his report must no longer be relied upon or be associated with the financial statements. No such disclosure should be made unless the auditor believes that the financial statements are likely to be misleading and that his report should not be relied on.

10 The concepts embodied in this section are not limited solely to corporations but apply in all cases where financial statements have been audited and reported on by independent auditors.

[The next page is 981.]
AU Section 9561

Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report: Auditing Interpretations of Section 561

1. Auditor Association With Subsequently Discovered Information
When the Auditor Has Resigned or Been Discharged

.01 Question—New information may come to an auditor’s attention subsequent to the date of his report on audited financial statements that might affect the previously issued audit report. Is the auditor’s responsibility with respect to that information different if the auditor has resigned or been discharged prior to undertaking or completing his investigation than if he were the continuing auditor?

.02 Interpretation—No. Section 561, Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the Auditor’s Report, requires the auditor to undertake to determine whether the information is reliable and whether the facts existed at the date of his report. This undertaking must be performed even when the auditor has resigned or been discharged.

[Issue Date: February, 1989.]
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AU Section 622

Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement

(Supersedes SAS No. 35)

Source: SAS No. 75; SAS No. 87; SAS No. 93.

Notice of Withdrawal of Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement and Auditing Interpretation No. 1, “Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures to All, or Substantially All, of the Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement”

The Auditing Standards Board (ASB) has withdrawn SAS No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement, and its Interpretation in order to consolidate the guidance applicable to agreed-upon procedures engagements in professional standards. For guidance relating to performing and reporting on agreed-upon procedures engagements, practitioners should refer to AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements.
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AU Section 9622

Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement: Auditing Interpretations of Section 622

[1.] Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures to All, or Substantially All, of the Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement

[.01-.02]

Notice of Withdrawal of Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement and Auditing Interpretation No. 1, “Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures to All, or Substantially All, of the Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement”

The Auditing Standards Board (ASB) has withdrawn SAS No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement, and its Interpretation in order to consolidate the guidance applicable to agreed-upon procedures engagements in professional standards. For guidance relating to performing and reporting on agreed-upon procedures engagements, practitioners should refer to AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements.
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AU Section 623

Special Reports

(Supersedes section 621)

Source: SAS No. 62; SAS No. 77.

See section 9623 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for reports issued on or after July 1, 1989, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction

.01 This section applies to auditors’ reports issued in connection with the following:

a. Financial statements that are prepared in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles (paragraphs .02 through .10)

b. Specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement (paragraphs .11 through .18)

c. Compliance with aspects of contractual agreements or regulatory requirements related to audited financial statements (paragraphs .19 through .21)

d. Financial presentations to comply with contractual agreements or regulatory provisions (paragraphs .22 through .30)

e. Financial information presented in prescribed forms or schedules that require a prescribed form of auditor’s reports (paragraphs .32 and .33)

Financial Statements Prepared in Conformity With a Comprehensive Basis of Accounting Other Than Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

.02 Generally accepted auditing standards are applicable when an auditor conducts an audit of and reports on any financial statement. A financial statement may be, for example, that of a corporation, a consolidated group of corporations, a combined group of affiliated entities, a not-for-profit organization, a governmental unit, an estate or trust, a partnership, a proprietorship, a segment of any of these, or an individual. The term financial statement refers to a presentation of financial data, including accompanying notes, derived from accounting records and intended to communicate an entity’s economic resources or obligations at a point in time or the changes therein for a period of time in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting. For reporting
purposes, the independent auditor should consider each of the following types of financial presentations to be a financial statement:

- **a.** Balance sheet
- **b.** Statement of income or statement of operations
- **c.** Statement of retained earnings
- **d.** Statement of cash flows
- **e.** Statement of changes in owners’ equity
- **f.** Statement of assets and liabilities that does not include owners’ equity accounts
- **g.** Statement of revenue and expenses
- **h.** Summary of operations
- **i.** Statement of operations by product lines
- **j.** Statement of cash receipts and disbursements

.03 An independent auditor’s judgment concerning the overall presentation of financial statements should be applied within an identifiable framework (see section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles). Normally, the framework is provided by generally accepted accounting principles, and the auditor’s judgment in forming an opinion is applied accordingly (see section 411.05). In some circumstances, however, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles may be used. [Title of section 411 amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.04 For purposes of this section, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles is one of the following—

- **a.** A basis of accounting that the reporting entity uses to comply with the requirements or financial reporting provisions of a governmental regulatory agency to whose jurisdiction the entity is subject. An example is a basis of accounting insurance companies use pursuant to the rules of a state insurance commission.
- **b.** A basis of accounting that the reporting entity uses or expects to use to file its income tax return for the period covered by the financial statements.
- **c.** The cash receipts and disbursements basis of accounting, and modifications of the cash basis having substantial support, such as recording depreciation on fixed assets or accruing income taxes.
- **d.** A definite set of criteria having substantial support that is applied to all material items appearing in financial statements, such as the price-level basis of accounting.

Unless one of the foregoing descriptions applies, reporting under the provisions of paragraph .05 is not permitted.

### Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared in Conformity With an Other Comprehensive Basis of Accounting (OCBOA)

.05 When reporting on financial statements prepared in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting
principles, as defined in paragraph .04, an independent auditor should include in the report—

a. A title that includes the word independent.¹

b. A paragraph that—
   (1) States that the financial statements identified in the report were audited.
   (2) States that the financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management² and that the auditor is responsible for expressing an opinion on the financial statements based on the audit.

c. A paragraph that—
   (1) States that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and includes an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards (for example, auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted auditing standards).
   (2) States that those standards require that the auditor plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.
   (3) States that an audit includes—
      (a) Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements,
      (b) Assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and
      (c) Evaluating the overall financial statement presentation (see paragraph .09).
   (4) States that the auditor believes that his or her audit provides a reasonable basis for the opinion.

d. A paragraph that—
   (1) States the basis of presentation and refers to the note to the financial statements that describes the basis (see paragraphs .09 and .10).
   (2) States that the basis of presentation is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

e. A paragraph that expresses the auditor’s opinion (or disclaims an opinion) on whether the financial statements are presented fairly, in

¹ This section does not require a title for an auditor’s report if the auditor is not independent. See section 504, Association With Financial Statements, for guidance on reporting when the auditor is not independent.

² In some instances, a document containing the auditor’s report may include a statement by management regarding its responsibility for the presentation of the financial statements. Nevertheless, the auditor’s report should state that the financial statements are management’s responsibility. However, the statement about management’s responsibility should not be further elaborated upon in the auditor’s standard report or referenced to management’s report.
all material respects, in conformity with the basis of accounting described. If the auditor concludes that the financial statements are not presented fairly on the basis of accounting described or if there has been a limitation on the scope of the audit, he or she should disclose all the substantive reasons for the conclusion in an explanatory paragraph(s) (preceding the opinion paragraph) of the report and should include in the opinion paragraph the appropriate modifying language and a reference to such explanatory paragraph(s).

f. If the financial statements are prepared in conformity with the requirements or financial reporting provisions of a governmental regulatory agency (see paragraph .04a), a separate paragraph at the end of the report stating that the report is intended solely for the information and use of those within the entity and the regulatory agencies to whose jurisdiction the entity is subject, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. Such a paragraph is appropriate even though by law or regulation the auditor’s report may be made a matter of public record. The auditor may use this form of report only if the financial statements and report are intended solely for use by those within the entity and one or more regulatory agencies to whose jurisdiction the entity is subject.

g. The manual or printed signature of the auditor’s firm.

h. The date.

[As amended, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ended on or after December 31, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77. Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.06 Unless the financial statements meet the conditions for presentation in conformity with a “comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles” as defined in paragraph .04, the auditor should use the standard form of report (see section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .08) modified as appropriate because of the departures from generally accepted accounting principles.

.07 Terms such as balance sheet, statement of financial position, statement of income, statement of operations, and statement of cash flows, or similar...
unmodified titles are generally understood to be applicable only to financial statements that are intended to present financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Consequently, the auditor should consider whether the financial statements that he or she is reporting on are suitably titled. For example, cash basis financial statements might be titled *statement of assets and liabilities arising from cash transactions*, or *statement of revenue collected and expenses paid*, and a financial statement prepared on a statutory or regulatory basis might be titled *statement of income—statutory basis*. If the auditor believes that the financial statements are not suitably titled, the auditor should disclose his or her reservations in an explanatory paragraph of the report and qualify the opinion.

.08 Following are illustrations of reports on financial statements prepared in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.[7]

**Financial Statements Prepared on a Basis Prescribed by a Regulatory Agency Solely for Filing With That Agency**

**Independent Auditor's Report**

We have audited the accompanying statements of admitted assets, liabilities, and surplus—statutory basis of XYZ Insurance Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related statements of income and cash flows—statutory basis and changes in surplus—statutory basis for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note X, these financial statements were prepared in conformity with the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Insurance Department of [State], which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the admitted assets, liabilities, and surplus of XYZ Insurance Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, on the basis of accounting described in Note X.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors and management of XYZ Insurance Company and [name of regulatory agency] and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

[7] [Footnote deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 87.]
Financial Statements Prepared on the Entity’s Income Tax Basis

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets, liabilities, and capital—income tax basis of ABC Partnership as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related statements of revenue and expenses—income tax basis and of changes in partners’ capital accounts—income tax basis for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.

An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note X, these financial statements were prepared on the basis of accounting the Partnership uses for income tax purposes, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the assets, liabilities, and capital of ABC Partnership as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and its revenue and expenses and changes in partners’ capital accounts for the years then ended, on the basis of accounting described in Note X.

Financial Statements Prepared on the Cash Basis

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities arising from cash transactions of XYZ Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related statements of revenue collected and expenses paid for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note X, these financial statements were prepared on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.
In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the assets and liabilities arising from cash transactions of XYZ Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and its revenue collected and expenses paid during the years then ended, on the basis of accounting described in Note X.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary to reflect the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Evaluating the Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements Prepared in Conformity With an Other Comprehensive Basis of Accounting

.09 When reporting on financial statements prepared on a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should consider whether the financial statements (including the accompanying notes) include all informative disclosures that are appropriate for the basis of accounting used. The auditor should apply essentially the same criteria to financial statements prepared on an other comprehensive basis of accounting as he or she does to financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Therefore, the auditor’s opinion should be based on his or her judgment regarding whether the financial statements, including the related notes, are informative of matters that may affect their use, understanding, and interpretation as discussed in section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .04. [Title of section 411 amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.10 Financial statements prepared on an other comprehensive basis of accounting should include, in the accompanying notes, a summary of significant accounting policies that discusses the basis of presentation and describes how that basis differs from generally accepted accounting principles. However, the effects of the differences between generally accepted accounting principles and the basis of presentation of the financial statements that the auditor is reporting on need not be quantified. In addition, when the financial statements contain items that are the same as, or similar to, those in financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, similar informative disclosures are appropriate. For example, financial statements prepared on an income tax basis or a modified cash basis of accounting usually reflect depreciation, long-term debt and owners’ equity. Thus, the informative disclosures for depreciation, long-term debt and owners’ equity in such financial statements should be comparable to those in financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. When evaluating the adequacy of disclosures, the auditor should also consider disclosures related to matters that are not specifically identified on the face of the financial statements, such as (a) related party transactions, (b) restrictions on assets and owners’ equity, (c) subsequent events, and (d) uncertainties.

Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement

.11 An independent auditor may be requested to express an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement. In such an engagement, the specified element(s), account(s), or item(s) may be presented in the report or in a document accompanying the report. Examples
of one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement that an auditor may report on based on an audit made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards include rentals, royalties, a profit participation, or a provision for income taxes.\(^8\)

.12 When expressing an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement, the auditor should plan and perform the audit and prepare his or her report with a view to the purpose of the engagement. With the exception of the first standard of reporting, the ten generally accepted auditing standards are applicable to any engagement to express an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement. The first standard of reporting, which requires that the auditor’s report state whether the financial statements are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, is applicable only when the specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement are intended to be presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

.13 An engagement to express an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement may be undertaken as a separate engagement or in conjunction with an audit of financial statements. In either case, an auditor expresses an opinion on each of the specified elements, accounts, or items encompassed by the auditor’s report; therefore, the measurement of materiality must be related to each individual element, account, or item reported on rather than to the aggregate thereof or to the financial statements taken as a whole. Consequently, an audit of a specified element, account, or item for purposes of reporting thereon is usually more extensive than if the same information were being considered in conjunction with an audit of financial statements taken as a whole. Also, many financial statement elements are interrelated, for example, sales and receivables; inventory and payables; and buildings and equipment and depreciation. The auditor should be satisfied that elements, accounts, or items that are interrelated with those on which he or she has been engaged to express an opinion have been considered in expressing an opinion.

.14 The auditor should not express an opinion on specified elements, accounts, or items included in financial statements on which he or she has expressed an adverse opinion or disclaimed an opinion based on an audit, if such reporting would be tantamount to expressing a piecemeal opinion on the financial statements (see section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .64). However, an auditor would be able to express an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement provided that the matters to be reported on and the related scope of the audit were not intended to and did not encompass so many elements, accounts, or items as to constitute a major portion of the financial statements. For example, it may be appropriate for an auditor to express an opinion on an entity’s accounts receivable balance even if the auditor has disclaimed an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. However, the report on the specified element, account, or item should be presented separately from the report on the financial statements of the entity.

\(^8\) See AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements, for guidance when reporting on the results of applying agreed-upon procedures to one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement. See AT section 101, Attest Engagements, for guidance when reporting on a review of one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
Reports on One or More Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items
of a Financial Statement

.15 When an independent auditor is engaged to express an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement, the report should include—

a. A title that includes the word independent.9

b. A paragraph that—

(1) States that the specified elements, accounts, or items identified in the report were audited. If the audit was made in conjunction with an audit of the company’s financial statements, the paragraph should so state and indicate the date of the auditor’s report on those financial statements. Furthermore, any departure from the standard report on those statements should also be disclosed if considered relevant to the presentation of the specified element, account or item.

(2) States that the specified elements, accounts, or items are the responsibility of the Company’s management and that the auditor is responsible for expressing an opinion on the specified elements, accounts or items based on the audit.

c. A paragraph that—

(1) States that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and includes an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards (for example, auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted auditing standards).

(2) States that those standards require that the auditor plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the specified elements, accounts, or items are free of material misstatement.

(3) States that an audit includes—

(a) Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the presentation of the specified elements, accounts, or items,

(b) Assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and

(c) Evaluating the overall presentation of the specified elements, accounts, or items.

(4) States that the auditor believes that his or her audit provides a reasonable basis for the auditor’s opinion.

d. A paragraph10 that—

(1) Describes the basis on which the specified elements, accounts, or items are presented (see paragraphs .09 and .10) and, when

9 See footnote 1. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

10 Alternatively, this requirement can be met by incorporating the description in the introductory paragraph discussed in paragraph .156 above. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
applicable, any agreements specifying such basis if the presentation is not prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. If the presentation is prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the paragraph should include an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those accounting principles (for example, accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted accounting principles).

(2) If considered necessary, includes a description and the source of significant interpretations, if any, made by the Company’s management, relating to the provisions of a relevant agreement.

e. A paragraph that expresses the auditor’s opinion (or disclaims an opinion) on whether the specified elements, accounts, or items are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with the basis of accounting described. If the auditor concludes that the specified elements, accounts, or items are not presented fairly on the basis of accounting described or if there has been a limitation on the scope of the audit, the auditor should disclose all the substantive reasons for that conclusion in an explanatory paragraph(s) (preceding the opinion paragraph) of the report and should include in the opinion paragraph appropriate modifying language and a reference to such explanatory paragraph(s).  

f. If the specified element, account, or item is prepared to comply with the requirements or financial reporting provisions of a contract or agreement that results in a presentation that is not in conformity with either generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting, a separate paragraph at the end of the report stating that the report is intended solely for the information and use of those within the entity and the parties to the contract or agreement, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. Such a restriction on the use of the report is necessary because the basis, assumptions, or purpose of the presentation (contained in the contract or agreement) is developed for and directed only to the parties to the contract or agreement.

g. The manual or printed signature of the auditor’s firm.

h. The date.

When expressing an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement, the auditor, to provide more information as to

11 When the specified element, account, or item is presented in conformity with an other comprehensive basis of accounting, see paragraph .05d(2). [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

12 Paragraph .31 discusses other circumstances that may require that the auditor add additional explanatory language to the special report. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

13 If the presentation is prepared on a basis prescribed by a governmental regulatory agency (which is also OCBOA), the auditor should restrict the distribution of the report on such presentation. See paragraph .05f for further reporting guidance in this situation. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

14 See footnote 6. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
the scope of the audit, may wish to describe in a separate paragraph certain other auditing procedures applied. However, no modification in the content of paragraph .15c above should be made. [Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

.16 If a specified element, account, or item is, or is based upon, an entity’s net income or stockholders’ equity or the equivalent thereof, the auditor should have audited the complete financial statements to express an opinion on the specified element, account, or item.

.17 The auditor should consider the effect that any departure, including additional explanatory language because of the circumstances discussed in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraph .11, from the standard report on the audited financial statements might have on the report on a specified element, account, or item thereof.

.18 Following are illustrations of reports expressing an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement.

**Report Relating to Accounts Receivable**

**Independent Auditor’s Report**

We have audited the accompanying schedule of accounts receivable of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X2. This schedule is the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule of accounts receivable is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the schedule of accounts receivable. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall schedule presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the schedule of accounts receivable referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the accounts receivable of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X2, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.15

**Report Relating to Amount of Sales for the Purpose of Computing Rental**

**Independent Auditor’s Report**

We have audited the accompanying schedule of gross sales (as defined in the lease agreement dated March 4, 20XX, between ABC Company, as lessor, and XYZ Stores Corporation, as lessee) of XYZ Stores Corporation at its Main Street store, [City], [State], for the year ended December 31, 20X2. This schedule is the responsibility of XYZ Stores Corporation’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this schedule based on our audit.

15 Since this presentation was prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the report need not be restricted. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule of gross sales is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the schedule of gross sales. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall schedule presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the schedule of gross sales referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the gross sales of XYZ Stores Corporation at its Main Street store, [City], [State], for the year ended December 31, 20X2, as defined in the lease agreement referred to in the first paragraph.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the boards of directors and managements of XYZ Stores Corporation and ABC Company and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Report Relating to Royalties

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying schedule of royalties applicable to engine production of the Q Division of XYZ Corporation for the year ended December 31, 20X2, under the terms of a license agreement dated May 14, 20XX, between ABC Company and XYZ Corporation. This schedule is the responsibility of XYZ Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule of royalties is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the schedule of royalties. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall schedule presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We have been informed that, under XYZ Corporation's interpretation of the agreement referred to in the first paragraph, royalties were based on the number of engines produced after giving effect to a reduction for production retirements that were scrapped, but without a reduction for field returns that were scrapped, even though the field returns were replaced with new engines without charge to customers.

In our opinion, the schedule of royalties referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the number of engines produced by the Q Division of XYZ Corporation during the year ended December 31, 20X2, and the amount of royalties applicable thereto, under the license agreement referred to above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the boards of directors and managements of XYZ Corporation and ABC Company and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.
Report on a Profit Participation

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of XYZ Company for the year ended December 31, 20X1, and have issued our report thereon dated March 10, 20X2. We have also audited XYZ Company’s schedule of John Smith’s profit participation for the year ended December 31, 20X1. This schedule is the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of the schedule in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule of profit participation is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the schedule. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall schedule presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We have been informed that the documents that govern the determination of John Smith’s profit participation are (a) the employment agreement between John Smith and XYZ Company dated February 1, 20X0, (b) the production and distribution agreement between XYZ Company and Television Network Incorporated dated March 1, 20X0, and (c) the studio facilities agreement between XYZ Company and QRX Studios dated April 1, 20X0, as amended November 1, 20X0.

In our opinion, the schedule of profit participation referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, John Smith’s participation in the profits of XYZ Company for the year ended December 31, 20X1, in accordance with the provisions of the agreements referred to above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the boards of directors and managements of XYZ Company and John Smith and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Report on Federal and State Income Taxes Included in Financial Statements

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of XYZ Company, Inc., for the year ended June 30, 20XX, and have issued our report thereon dated August 15, 20XX. We have also audited the current and deferred provision for the Company’s federal and state income taxes for the year ended June 30, 20XX, included in those financial statements, and the related asset and liability tax accounts as of June 30, 20XX. This income tax information is the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on it based on our audit.
We conducted our audit of the income tax information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the federal and state income tax accounts are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures related to the federal and state income tax accounts. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the federal and state income tax accounts. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the Company has paid or, in all material respects, made adequate provision in the financial statements referred to above for the payment of all federal and state income taxes and for related deferred income taxes that could be reasonably estimated at the time of our audit of the financial statements of XYZ Company, Inc., for the year ended June 30, 20XX.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Compliance With Aspects of Contractual Agreements or Regulatory Requirements Related to Audited Financial Statements

.19 Entities may be required by contractual agreements, such as certain bond indentures and loan agreements, or by regulatory agencies to furnish compliance reports by independent auditors. For example, loan agreements often impose on borrowers a variety of obligations involving matters such as payments into sinking funds, payments of interest, maintenance of current ratios, and restrictions of dividend payments. They usually also require the borrower to furnish annual financial statements that have been audited by an independent auditor. In some instances, the lenders or their trustees may request assurance from the independent auditor that the borrower has complied with certain covenants of the agreement relating to accounting matters. The independent auditor may satisfy this request by giving negative assurance relative to the applicable covenants based on the audit of the financial statements. This assurance may be given in a separate report or in one or more paragraphs of the auditor’s report accompanying the financial statements. Such assurance, however, should not be given unless the auditor has audited the financial statements to which the contractual agreements or regulatory requirements relate and should not extend to covenants that relate to matters that have not been subjected to the audit procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements. In addition, such assurance should not be given if the auditor has expressed an adverse opinion or disclaimed an opinion on the financial statements to which these covenants relate.

.18 When the auditor is engaged to test compliance with laws and regulations in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (Yellow Book), he or she should follow guidance contained in section 801, Compliance Auditing Applicable to Governmental Entities and Other Specified Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

.19 When the auditor is engaged to provide assurance on compliance with contractual agreements or regulatory provisions that relate to matters that have not been subjected to the audit procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, the auditor should refer to the guidance in AT section 601, Compliance Attestation. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995. Footnote revised, February 1997, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 3. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
When an auditor's report on compliance with contractual agreements or regulatory provisions is being given in a separate report, the report should include—

a. A title that includes the word independent.\textsuperscript{20}

b. A paragraph that states the financial statements were audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and includes an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards (for example, auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted auditing standards) and the date of the auditor's report on those financial statements. Furthermore, any departure from the standard report on those statements should also be disclosed.

c. A paragraph that includes a reference to the specific covenants or paragraphs of the agreement, provides negative assurance relative to compliance with the applicable covenants of the agreement insofar as they relate to accounting matters, and specifies that the negative assurance is being given in connection with the audit of the financial statements. The auditor should ordinarily state that the audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge regarding compliance.

d. A paragraph that includes a description and the source of significant interpretations, if any, made by the Company’s management relating to the provisions of a relevant agreement.

e. A separate paragraph at the end of the report stating that the report is intended solely for the information and use of those within the entity and the parties to the contract or agreement or the regulatory agency with which the report is being filed, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. Such a restriction on the use of the report is necessary because the basis, assumptions, or purpose of such presentations (contained in such contracts, agreements, or regulatory provisions) are developed for and directed only to the parties to the contract or agreement, or regulatory agency responsible for the provisions.

f. The manual or printed signature of the auditor's firm.

g. The date.\textsuperscript{21}

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

\textsuperscript{20} See footnote 1. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

\textsuperscript{21} See footnote 6. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
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given in connection with the audit of the financial statements. The auditor should also ordinarily state that the audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge regarding compliance. In addition, the report should include a paragraph that includes a description and source of any significant interpretations made by the entity's management as discussed in paragraph .20d as well as a paragraph that restricts the use of the report to the specified parties as discussed in paragraph .20e. Following are examples of reports that might be issued:

Report on Compliance With Contractual Provisions Given in a Separate Report

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the balance sheet of XYZ Company as of December 31, 20X2, and the related statement of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated February 16, 20X3.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Company failed to comply with the terms, covenants, provisions, or conditions of sections XX to XX, inclusive, of the Indenture dated July 21, 20X0, with ABC Bank insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the boards of directors and management of XYZ Company and ABC Bank and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.


Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the balance sheet of XYZ Company as of December 31, 20X2, and the related statement of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated March 5, 20X3, which included an explanatory paragraph that described the litigation discussed in Note X of those statements.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Company failed to comply with the accounting provisions in sections (1), (2) and (3) of the [name of state regulatory agency]. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance.

22 When the auditor’s report on compliance with contractual agreements or regulatory provisions is included in the report that expresses the auditor’s opinion on the financial statements, the last two paragraphs of this report are examples of the paragraphs that should follow the opinion paragraph of the auditor’s report on the financial statements. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
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Special Purpose Financial Presentations to Comply With Contractual Agreements or Regulatory Provisions

.22 An auditor is sometimes asked to report on special-purpose financial statements prepared to comply with a contractual agreement or regulatory provisions. In most circumstances, these types of presentations are intended solely for the use of the parties to the agreement, regulatory bodies, or other specified parties. This section discusses reporting on these types of presentations, which include the following:

a. A special-purpose financial presentation prepared in compliance with a contractual agreement or regulatory provision that does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity’s assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, but is otherwise prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting (paragraphs .23 through .26).

b. A special-purpose financial presentation (may be a complete set of financial statements or a single financial statement) prepared on a basis of accounting prescribed in an agreement that does not result in a presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting (paragraphs .27 through .30).

Financial Statements Prepared on a Basis of Accounting Prescribed in a Contractual Agreement or Regulatory Provision That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But One That is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles or an Other Comprehensive Basis of Accounting

.23 A governmental agency may require a schedule of gross income and certain expenses of an entity’s real estate operation in which income and expenses are measured in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, but expenses are defined to exclude certain items such as interest, depreciation, and income taxes. Such a schedule may also present the excess of gross income over defined expenses. Also, a buy-sell agreement may specify a schedule of gross assets and liabilities of the entity measured in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, but limited to the assets to be sold and liabilities to be transferred pursuant to the agreement.

.24 Paragraph .02 of this section defines the term financial statement and includes a list of financial presentations that an auditor should consider to be financial statements for reporting purposes. The concept of specified elements,
accounts, or items of a financial statement discussed in paragraphs .11 through .18, on the other hand, refers to accounting information that is part of, but significantly less than, a financial statement. The financial presentations described above and similar presentations should generally be regarded as financial statements, even though, as indicated above, certain items may be excluded. Thus, when the auditor is asked to report on these types of presentations, the measurement of materiality for purposes of expressing an opinion should be related to the presentations taken as a whole (see section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit). Further, the presentations should differ from complete financial statements only to the extent necessary to meet special purposes for which they were prepared. In addition, when these financial presentations contain items that are the same as, or similar to, those contained in a full set of financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, similar informative disclosures are appropriate (see paragraphs .09 and .10). The auditor should also be satisfied that the financial statements presented are suitably titled to avoid any implication that the special-purpose financial statements on which he or she is reporting are intended to present financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

.25 When the auditor is asked to report on financial statements prepared on a basis of accounting prescribed in a contractual agreement or regulatory provision that results in an incomplete presentation but one that is otherwise in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting, the auditor’s report should include—

a. A title that includes the word independent. 24

b. A paragraph that—

(1) States that the financial statements identified in the report were audited.

(2) States that the financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management 25 and that the auditor is responsible for expressing an opinion on the financial statements based on the audit. 26

c. A paragraph that—

(1) States that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and includes an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards (for example, auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted auditing standards).

(2) States that those standards require that the auditor plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

24 See footnote 1. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

25 Sometimes the auditor’s client may not be the person responsible for the financial statements on which the auditor is reporting. For example, when the auditor is engaged by the buyer to report on the seller’s financial statements prepared in conformity with a buy-sell agreement, the person responsible for the financial statements may be the seller’s management. In this case, the wording of this statement should be changed to clearly identify the party that is responsible for the financial statements reported on. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

26 See footnote 2. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
(3) States that an audit includes—
   (a) Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements,
   (b) Assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and
   (c) Evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.
(4) States that the auditor believes that the audit provides a reasonable basis for his or her opinion.

d. A paragraph that—
   (1) Explains what the presentation is intended to present and refers to the note to the special-purpose financial statements that describes the basis of presentation (see paragraphs .09 and .10).
   (2) If the basis of presentation is in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, states that the presentation is not intended to be a complete presentation of the entity’s assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses.27

e. A paragraph that expresses the auditor’s opinion (or disclaims an opinion) related to the fair presentation, in all material respects, of the information the presentation is intended to present in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting. If the presentation is prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the paragraph should include an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those accounting principles (for example, accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted accounting principles). If the auditor concludes that the information the presentation is intended to present is not presented fairly on the basis of accounting described or if there has been a limitation on the scope of the audit, the auditor should disclose all the substantive reasons for that conclusion in an explanatory paragraph(s) (preceding the opinion paragraph) of the report and should include in the opinion paragraph appropriate modifying language and a reference to such explanatory paragraph(s).28

f. A separate paragraph at the end of the report stating that the report is intended solely for the information and use of those within the entity, the parties to the contract or agreement, the regulatory agency with which the report is being filed, or those with whom the entity is negotiating directly, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, such a paragraph is not appropriate if the report and related financial presentation are to be filed with a regulatory agency, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, and are to be included in a document (such as a prospectus) that is distributed to the general public.

27 If the basis of presentation is an other comprehensive basis of accounting, the paragraph should state that the basis of presentation is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles and that it is not intended to be a complete presentation of the entity’s assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses on the basis described. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
28 Paragraph .31 discusses other circumstances that may require that the auditor add additional explanatory language to the special report. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
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g. The manual or printed signature of the auditor’s firm.

h. The date.\textsuperscript{29}

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

\textsuperscript{29} The following examples illustrate reports expressing an opinion on such special-purpose financial statements:

**Report on a Schedule of Gross Income and Certain Expenses to Meet a Regulatory Requirement and to Be Included in a Document Distributed to the General Public**

**Independent Auditor’s Report**

We have audited the accompanying Historical Summaries of Gross Income and Direct Operating Expenses of ABC Apartments, City, State (Historical Summaries), for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 20XX. These Historical Summaries are the responsibility of the Apartments’ management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Historical Summaries based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Historical Summaries are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Historical Summaries. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the Historical Summaries. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying Historical Summaries were prepared for the purpose of complying with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (for inclusion in the registration statement on Form S-11 of DEF Corporation) as described in Note X and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the Apartments’ revenues and expenses.

In our opinion, the Historical Summaries referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the gross income and direct operating expenses described in Note X of ABC Apartments for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 20XX, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**Report on a Statement of Assets Sold and Liabilities Transferred to Comply With a Contractual Agreement**

**Independent Auditor’s Report**

We have audited the accompanying statement of net assets sold of ABC Company as of June 8, 20XX. This statement of net assets sold is the responsibility of ABC Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the statement of net assets sold based on our audit.

\textsuperscript{29} See footnote 6. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the statement of net assets sold is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the statement of net assets sold. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying statement was prepared to present the net assets of ABC Company sold to XYZ Corporation pursuant to the purchase agreement described in Note X, and is not intended to be a complete presentation of ABC Company’s assets and liabilities.

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of net assets sold presents fairly, in all material respects, the net assets of ABC Company as of June 8, 20XX sold pursuant to the purchase agreement referred to in Note X, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the boards of directors and managements of ABC Company and XYZ Corporation and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Financial Statements Prepared on a Basis of Accounting Prescribed in an Agreement That Results in a Presentation That is not in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles or an Other Comprehensive Basis of Accounting

.27 The auditor may be asked to report on special-purpose financial statements prepared in conformity with a basis of accounting that departs from generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting. A loan agreement, for example, may require the borrower to prepare consolidated financial statements in which assets, such as inventory, are presented on a basis that is not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting. An acquisition agreement may require the financial statements of the entity being acquired (or a segment of it) to be prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles except for certain assets, such as receivables, inventories, and properties for which a valuation basis is specified in the agreement.

.28 Financial statements prepared under a basis of accounting as discussed above are not considered to be prepared in conformity with a “comprehensive basis of accounting” as contemplated by paragraph .04 of this section because the criteria used to prepare such financial statements do not meet the requirement of being “criteria having substantial support,” even though the criteria are definite.

.29 When an auditor is asked to report on these types of financial presentations, the report should include—

   a. A title that includes the word independent.30

30 See footnote 1. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
b. A paragraph that—
   (1) States that the special-purpose financial statements identified in the report were audited.
   (2) States that the financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management and that the auditor is responsible for expressing an opinion on the financial statements based on the audit.

c. A paragraph that—
   (1) States that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and includes an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards (for example, auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted auditing standards).
   (2) States that those standards require that the auditor plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.
   (3) States that an audit includes—
      (a) Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements,
      (b) Assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and
      (c) Evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.
   (4) States that the auditor believes that the audit provides a reasonable basis for the auditor’s opinion.

d. A paragraph that—
   (1) Explains what the presentation is intended to present and refers to the note to the special-purpose financial statements that describes the basis of presentation (see paragraphs .09 and .10).
   (2) States that the presentation is not intended to be a presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

e. A paragraph that includes a description and the source of significant interpretations, if any, made by the Company’s management relating to the provisions of a relevant agreement.

f. A paragraph that expresses the auditor’s opinion (or disclaims an opinion) related to the fair presentation, in all material respects, of the information the presentation is intended to present on the basis of accounting specified. If the auditor concludes that the information the presentation is intended to present is not presented fairly on the basis of accounting described or if there has been a limitation on the scope of the audit, the auditor should disclose all the substantive reasons for that conclusion in an explanatory paragraph(s) (preceding...
the opinion paragraph) of the report and should include in the opinion paragraph appropriate modifying language and a reference to such explanatory paragraph(s).  

**g.** A separate paragraph at the end of the report stating that the report is intended solely for the information and use of those within the entity, the parties to the contract or agreement, the regulatory agency with which the report is being filed, or those with whom the entity is negotiating directly, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. For example, if the financial statements have been prepared for the specified purpose of obtaining bank financing, the report’s use should be restricted to the various banks with whom the entity is negotiating the proposed financing.

**h.** The manual or printed signature of the auditor’s firm.

**i.** The date.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

**.30** The following example illustrates reporting on special-purpose financial statements that have been prepared pursuant to a loan agreement:

**Report on Financial Statements Prepared Pursuant to a Loan Agreement That Results in a Presentation not in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles or an Other Comprehensive Basis of Accounting**

**Independent Auditor’s Report**

We have audited the special-purpose statement of assets and liabilities of ABC Company as of December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the related special-purpose statements of revenues and expenses and of cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying special-purpose financial statements were prepared for the purpose of complying with Section 4 of a loan agreement between DEF Bank and the Company as discussed in Note X, and are not intended to be a presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

---

33 Paragraph .31 discusses other circumstances that may require that the auditor add additional explanatory language to the special report. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

34 See footnote 6. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
Other Types of Reports

In our opinion, the special-purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the assets and liabilities of ABC Company at December 31, 20X2 and 20X1, and the revenues, expenses and cash flows for the years then ended, on the basis of accounting described in Note X.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the boards of directors and management of ABC Company and DEF Bank and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

[Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

Circumstances Requiring Explanatory Language in an Auditor’s Special Report

.31 Certain circumstances, while not affecting the auditor’s unqualified opinion, may require that the auditor add additional explanatory language to the special report. These circumstances include the following:

a. Lack of Consistency in Accounting Principles. If there has been a change in accounting principles or in the method of their application, the auditor should add an explanatory paragraph to the report (following the opinion paragraph) that describes the change and refers to the note to the financial presentation (or specified elements, accounts, or items thereof) that discusses the change and its effect thereon if the accounting change is considered relevant to the presentation. Guidance on reporting in this situation is contained in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .16 through .18.

b. Going Concern Uncertainties. If the auditor has substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time not to exceed one year beyond the date of the financial statement, the auditor should add an explanatory paragraph after the opinion paragraph of the report only if the auditor’s substantial doubt is relevant to the presentation.

---

35 When financial statements (or specified elements, accounts, or items thereof) have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in prior years, and the entity changes its method of presentation in the current year by preparing its financial statements in conformity with an other comprehensive basis of accounting, the auditor need not follow the reporting guidance in this subparagraph. However, the auditor may wish to add an explanatory paragraph to the report to highlight (1) a difference in the basis of presentation from that used in prior years or (2) that another report has been issued on the entity’s financial statements prepared in conformity with another basis of presentation (for example, when cash basis financial statements are issued in addition to GAAP financial statements). [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

36 A change in the tax law is not considered to be a change in accounting principle for which the auditor would need to add an explanatory paragraph, although disclosure may be necessary. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]

37 [Footnote deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

38 [Footnote deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

39 See section 341, The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, for a report example when the auditor has substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 77, November 1995.]
c. Other Auditors. When the auditor decides to make reference to the report of another auditor as a basis, in part, for his or her opinion, the auditor should disclose that fact in the introductory paragraph of the report and should refer to the report of the other auditors in expressing his or her opinion. Guidance on reporting in this situation is contained in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .12 and .13.

d. Comparative Financial Statements (or Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items Thereof). If the auditor expresses an opinion on prior-period financial statements (or specified elements, accounts, or items thereof) that is different from the opinion he or she previously expressed on that same information, the auditor should disclose all of the substantive reasons for the different opinion in a separate explanatory paragraph preceding the opinion paragraph of the report. Guidance on reporting in this situation is contained in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .68 and .69.

As in reports on financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor may add an explanatory paragraph to emphasize a matter regarding the financial statements (or specified elements, accounts, or items thereof). [Revised, February 1997, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.]

Financial Information Presented in Prescribed Forms or Schedules

.32 Printed forms or schedules designed or adopted by the bodies with which they are to be filed often prescribe the wording of an auditor’s report. Many of these forms are not acceptable to independent auditors because the prescribed form of auditor’s report does not conform to the applicable professional reporting standards. For example, the prescribed language of the report may call for statements by the auditor that are not consistent with the auditor’s function or responsibility.

.33 Some report forms can be made acceptable by inserting additional wording; others can be made acceptable only by complete revision. When a printed report form calls upon an independent auditor to make a statement that he or she is not justified in making, the auditor should reword the form or attach a separate report. In those situations, the reporting provisions of paragraph .05 may be appropriate.

Effective Date

.34 This section is effective for reports issued on or after July 1, 1989. Early application of the provisions of this section is permissible.
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Special Reports: Auditing Interpretations of Section 623


[.01–.08] [Withdrawn February 1983.]*

[2.] Reports on Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement That Are Presented in Conformity with GAAP

[.09–.10] [Withdrawn March 1989, by SAS No. 62. (See section 623.)]

[3.] Compliance With the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977

[.11–.14] [Transferred to section 9642; Deleted October 1993.] (See the guidance provided in SSAE No. 10, chapter 5, paragraph 5.82 (AT section 501.82).) [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

[4.] Reports on Engagements Solely to Meet State Regulatory Examination Requirements

[.15–.16] [Deleted April 1981 by SAS No. 35, as superseded by SAS No. 75, as superseded by SAS No. 93.] (See section 622.) [Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

[5.] Financial Statements Prepared in Accordance with Accounting Practices Specified in an Agreement

[.17–.25] [Withdrawn March 1989, by SAS No. 62. (See section 623.)]

[6.] Reporting on Special-Purpose Financial Presentations[3], [4]

[.26–.31] [Withdrawn March 1989, by SAS No. 62. (See section 623.)]

[7.] Understanding of Agreed-Upon Procedures

[.32–.33] [Deleted April 1981 by SAS No. 35, as superseded by SAS No. 75, as superseded by SAS No. 93.] (See section 622.) [Revised, October 2000, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93.]

[8.] Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements Prepared on a Comprehensive Basis of Accounting Other Than Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

[.34–.39] [Withdrawn March 1989, by SAS No. 62. (See section 623.)]

* See Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Employee Benefit Plans.
[3] [Footnote deleted.]
[4] [Footnote deleted.]
9. Auditors’ Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies’ Loss Reserves

.40 Question—The instructions to the statutory annual statement to be filed by property and liability insurance companies with state regulatory agencies include the following:

If a company is required by its domiciliary commissioner, there is to be submitted to the commissioner as an addendum to the Annual Statement by April 1 of the subsequent year a statement of a qualified loss reserve specialist setting forth his or her opinion relating to loss and loss adjustment expense reserves.

The term “qualified loss reserve specialist” includes an independent auditor who has competency in loss reserve evaluation.

.41 If an independent auditor who has made an audit of the insurance company’s financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards is engaged to express a separate opinion on the company’s loss and loss adjustment expense reserves for the purpose of compliance with the above instruction, what form of report should be used by the independent auditor?

.42 Interpretation—Section 623.11 through .18 provides guidance on auditors’ reports expressing an opinion on one or more specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement. Following are illustrations of the auditor’s report expressing an opinion on a company’s loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and the schedule of liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses that would accompany the report.5

Illustrative report
Board of Directors
X Insurance Company

We are members of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and are the independent public accountants of X Insurance Company. We acknowledge our responsibility under the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct to undertake only those engagements which we can complete with professional competence.

We have audited the financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (or prepared in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Insurance Department of the State of ...........) of X Insurance Company as of December 31, 20X0, and have issued our report thereon dated March 1, 20X1. In the course of our audit, we have audited the estimated liabilities for unpaid losses and unpaid loss adjustment expenses of X Insurance Company as of December 31, 20X0, and have issued our report thereon dated March 1, 20X1. In the course of our audit, we have audited the estimated liabilities for unpaid losses and unpaid loss adjustment expenses of X Insurance Company as of December 31, 20X0, as set forth in the accompanying schedule including consideration of the assumptions and methods relating to the estimation of such liabilities.

In our opinion, the accompanying schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the estimated unpaid losses and unpaid loss adjustment expenses of X Insurance Company that could be reasonably estimated at December 31, 20X0, in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Insurance Department of the State of ........... on a basis consistent with that of the preceding year.

5 If a significant period of time has elapsed between the date of the report on the financial statements and the date he is reporting on the loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, the auditor may wish to include the following paragraph after the opinion paragraph: Because we have not audited any financial statements of X Insurance Company as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 20X0, we have no knowledge of the effects, if any, on the liability for unpaid losses and unpaid loss adjustment expenses of events that may have occurred subsequent to the date of our audit.

AU §9623.40 Copyright © 2004, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors and management of X Insurance Company and the state regulatory agencies to whose jurisdiction the entity is subject and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Signature
Date

X Insurance Company

Schedule of Liabilities for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

December 31, 19X0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liability for losses</td>
<td>$xx,xxx,xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability for loss adjustment expenses</td>
<td>x,xxx,xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$xx,xxx,xxx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1—Basis of presentation

The above schedule has been prepared in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Insurance Department of the State of .......... (Significant differences between statutory practices and generally accepted accounting principles for the calculation of the above amounts should be described but the monetary effect of any such differences need not be stated.)

Losses and loss adjustment expenses are provided for when incurred in accordance with the applicable requirements of the insurance laws [and/or regulations] of the State of .......... Such provisions include (1) individual case estimates for reported losses, (2) estimates received from other insurers with respect to reinsurance assumed, (3) estimates for unreported losses based on past experience modified for current trends, and (4) estimates of expenses for investigating and settling claims.

Note 2—Reinsurance

The Company reinsures certain portions of its liability insurance coverages to limit the amount of loss on individual claims and purchases catastrophe insurance to protect against aggregate single occurrence losses. Certain portions of property insurance are reinsured on a quota share basis.

The liability for losses and the liability for loss adjustment expenses were reduced by $xxx,xxx and $xxx,xxx, respectively, for reinsurance ceded to other companies.

Contingent liability exists with respect to reinsurance which would become an actual liability in the event the reinsuring companies, or any of them, might be unable to meet their obligations to the Company under existing reinsurance agreements.

.43 Question—The instructions to the statutory annual statement also include the following:

If there has been any material change in the assumptions and/or methods from those previously employed, that change should be described in the statement of opinion by inserting a phrase such as:

A material change in assumptions (and/or methods) was made during the past year, but such change accords with accepted loss reserving standards.

A brief description of the change should follow.

.44 In what circumstances is it appropriate for the independent auditor to modify his special report on loss and loss adjustment expense reserves for material changes in assumptions and/or methods?

AICPA Professional Standards

AU §9623.44
Interpretation—Section 420.06 states that changes in accounting principles and methods of applying them affect consistency and require the addition of an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) in the auditor's report on the audited financial statements. Section 623.16 states that, if applicable, any departures from the auditor's standard report on the related financial statements should be indicated in the special report on an element, account, or item of a financial statement.

Section 420.16 states that a change in accounting estimate is not a change affecting consistency requiring recognition in the auditor's report. However, such changes in estimates that are disclosed in the financial statements on which the auditor has reported should also be disclosed in the notes to the schedule of liabilities for unpaid losses and unpaid loss adjustment expenses accompanying the auditor's special report. (See APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, paragraph 33 [AC section A06.132].)

[Issue Date: May, 1981; Revised: February, 1999; Revised: October, 2000.]

10. Reports on the Financial Statements Included in Internal Revenue Form 990, “Return of Organizations Exempt from Income Tax”

Question—Internal Revenue Form 990, “Return of Organizations Exempt from Income Tax,” may be used as a uniform annual report by charitable organizations in some states for reporting to both state and federal governments. Many states require an auditor's opinion on whether the financial statements included in the report are presented fairly in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Ordinarily, financial statements included in a Form 990 used by a charitable organization as a uniform annual report may be expected to contain certain material departures from the accounting principles in the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides Health Care Organizations and Not-for-Profit Organizations.

In most states the report is used primarily to satisfy statutory requirements, but regulatory authorities make the financial statements and the accompanying auditor's report a matter of public record. In some situations, however, there may be public distribution of the report. What should be the form of the auditor's report in each of the above situations?

Interpretation—In both situations, the auditor should first consider whether the financial statements (including appropriate notes to financial statements) are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. If they are, the auditor can express an unqualified opinion.

If the financial statements are not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should consider the distribution of the report to determine whether it is appropriate to issue a special report (as illustrated in section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .08, for reporting on financial statements prepared in accordance with the requirements or financial reporting provisions of a government regulatory agency).

Section 623 permits this type of special report only if the financial statements and report are intended solely for use by those within the entity and one or more regulatory agencies to whose jurisdiction the entity is subject.

As used in this interpretation, the report refers to a Form 990 report by a charitable organization in a filing with a government agency.
However, section 623 makes this form of reporting appropriate, even though by law or regulation the accountant’s report may be made a matter of public record.\textsuperscript{7}

\textsuperscript{.52} The following example illustrates a report expressing an opinion on such special purpose financial statements:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the balance sheet (Part IV) of XYZ Charity as of December 31, 20XX, and the related statement of revenue, expenses and changes in net assets (Part I) and statement of functional expenses (Part II) for the year then ended included in the accompanying Internal Revenue Service Form 990. These financial statements are the responsibility of Charity’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note X, these financial statements were prepared in conformity with the accounting practices prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service and the Office of the State of ......, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the assets, liabilities and fund balances of XYZ Charity as of December 31, 19XX and its revenue and expenses and changes in fund balances for the year then ended on the basis of accounting described in Note X.\textsuperscript{[8]}

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the above financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying information on pages ...... to ...... is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the above financial statements. Such information, except for that portion marked “unaudited,” on which we express no opinion, has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the above financial statements; and, in our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors and management of XYZ Charity, the Internal Revenue Service, and the Office of the State of ...... and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

\[Signature\]

\[Date\]

\textsuperscript{7} Public record, for purposes of auditors’ reports in states with filing requirements for exempt organizations, includes circumstances in which specific requests must be made by the public to obtain access to or copies of the report, notwithstanding the fact that some states may advertise or require the exempt organization to advertise the availability of Form 990. In contrast, public distribution, for purposes of auditors’ reports in state filings on various Forms 990 dealing with exempt organizations, includes circumstances in which the regulatory agency or the exempt organization, either because of regulatory requirements or voluntarily, distributes copies of Form 990 to contributors or others without receiving a specific request for such distribution.

\textsuperscript{[8]} [Footnote deleted.]

AICPA Professional Standards
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11. Reporting on Current-Value Financial Statements That Supplement Historical-Cost Financial Statements in Presentations of Real Estate Entities

.55 Question—A real estate entity presents current-value financial statements to supplement historical-cost financial statements. May an auditor accept an engagement to report on current-value financial statements that supplement historical-cost financial statements, and if so, how should the auditor report?

.56 Interpretation—An auditor may accept an engagement to report on current-value financial statements that supplement historical-cost financial statements of a real estate entity only if the auditor believes the following two conditions exist—

• the measurement and disclosure criteria used to prepare the current-value financial statements are reasonable, and
• competent persons using the measurement and disclosure criteria would ordinarily obtain materially similar measurements or disclosures.

.57 If these conditions are satisfied, an auditor may report on such current-value financial statements in a manner similar to that discussed in section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .29. However, because the current-value financial statements only supplement the historical-cost financial statements and are not presented as a stand-alone presentation, it is not necessary to restrict the use of the auditor’s report on the presentation as required by that paragraph.

9 Auditors should consider whether there is a public distribution requirement by reference to the relevant state law. However, at this time (April 1982), most state laws do not contain a public distribution requirement and a special report is ordinarily appropriate. For example, the laws of New York, New Jersey and Connecticut do not presently require public distribution as defined by this interpretation.

10 Generally accepted accounting principles require the use of current-value accounting for financial statements of certain types of entities (for example, investment companies, employee benefit plans, personal financial statements, and mutual and common trust funds). This interpretation does not apply to reports on current-value financial statements of such entities. The auditor engaged to report on current-value financial statements of such entities should follow the guidance in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, and the applicable industry audit guide.
The following is an example of a report an auditor might issue when reporting on current-value financial statements that supplement historical-cost financial statements of a real estate entity:

Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the accompanying historical-cost balance sheets of X Company as of December 31, 20X3 and 20X2, and the related historical-cost statements of income, shareholders’ equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 20X3. We also have audited the supplemental current-value balance sheets of X Company as of December 31, 20X3 and 20X2, and the related supplemental current-value statements of income and shareholders’ equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 20X3. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the historical-cost financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of X Company as of December 31, 20X3 and 20X2, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 20X3, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As described in Note 1, the supplemental current-value financial statements have been prepared by management to present relevant financial information that is not provided by the historical-cost financial statements and are not intended to be a presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. In addition, the supplemental current-value financial statements do not purport to present the net realizable, liquidation, or market value of the Company as a whole. Furthermore, amounts ultimately realized by the Company from the disposal of properties may vary significantly from the current values presented.

In our opinion, the supplemental current-value financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth in them on the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

[Signature]
[Date]

The auditor should also consider the adequacy of disclosures relating to the current value financial statements. Such disclosures should describe the accounting policies applied and such matters as the basis of presentation, nature of the reporting entity’s properties, status of construction-in-process, valuation bases used for each classification of assets and liabilities, and sources of valuation. These matters should be disclosed in the notes in a sufficiently clear and comprehensive manner that enables a knowledgeable reader to understand the current-value financial statements.

[Issue Date: July, 1990; Revised: February, 1999; Revised: October, 2000.]

**.60 Question**—Insurance enterprises issue financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulators (a “statutory basis”) in addition to, or instead of, financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Effective January 1, 2001, most states are expected to adopt a comprehensively updated Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, as revised by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners’ (NAIC’s) Codification project. The updated Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, along with any subsequent revisions, is referred to as the revised Manual. The revised Manual contains extensive disclosure requirements. As a result, after a state adopts the revised Manual, its statutory basis of accounting will include informative disclosures appropriate for that basis of accounting. The NAIC Annual Statement Instructions prescribe the financial statements to be included in the annual audited financial report. Some states may not adopt the revised Manual or may adopt it with significant departures. How should auditors evaluate whether informative disclosures in financial statements prepared on a statutory basis are appropriate?11 [As amended, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]

**.61 Interpretation**—Financial statements prepared on a statutory basis are financial statements prepared on a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP according to section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .04). Section 623.09 states that “When reporting on financial statements prepared on a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles, the auditor should consider whether the financial statements (including the accompanying notes) include all informative disclosures that are appropriate for the basis of accounting used. The auditor should apply essentially the same criteria to financial statements prepared on an other comprehensive basis of accounting as those applied to financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Therefore, the auditor’s opinion should be based on his or her judgment regarding whether the financial statements, including the related notes, are informative of matters that may affect their use, understanding, and interpretation as discussed in section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, paragraph .04. [Title of section 411 amended, effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93. As amended, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]

**.62 Section 623.02 states that generally accepted auditing standards apply when an auditor conducts an audit of and reports on financial statements prepared on an other comprehensive basis of accounting. Thus, in accordance

---

11 It is possible for one of three different situations to occur: The state adopted the revised Manual without significant departures, adopted the revised Manual with significant departures, or has not yet adopted the revised Manual. [Footnote added, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]
with the third standard of reporting, “informative disclosures in the financial statements are to be regarded as reasonably adequate unless otherwise stated in the report.”

.63 Question—What types of items or matters should auditors consider in evaluating whether informative disclosures are reasonably adequate?

.64 Interpretation—Section 623.09 and .10 indicates that financial statements prepared on a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP should include all informative disclosures that are appropriate for the basis of accounting used. That includes a summary of significant accounting policies that discusses the basis of presentation and describes how that basis differs from GAAP. Section 623.10 also states that when “the financial statements [prepared on an other comprehensive basis of accounting] contain items that are the same as, or similar to, those in financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, similar informative disclosures are appropriate.” [As amended, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]

.65–.66 [Paragraphs deleted by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.67 Question—How does the auditor evaluate whether “similar informative disclosures” are appropriate for—

a. Items and transactions that are accounted for essentially the same or in a similar manner under a statutory basis as under GAAP?

b. Items and transactions that are accounted for differently under a statutory basis than under GAAP?

c. Items and transactions that are accounted for differently under requirements of the state of domicile than under the revised Manual?

[As amended, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]

.68 Interpretation—Disclosures in statutory basis financial statements for items and transactions that are accounted for essentially the same or in a similar manner under the statutory basis as under GAAP should be the same as, or similar to, the disclosures required by GAAP unless the revised Manual specifically states the NAIC Codification rejected the GAAP disclosures. Disclosures should also include those required by the revised Manual. [As amended, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]

.69 [Paragraph deleted by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.70 Disclosures in statutory basis financial statements for items or transactions that are accounted for differently under the statutory basis than under GAAP, but in accordance with the revised Manual, should be the disclosures required by the revised Manual. [As amended, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]
If the accounting required by the state of domicile for an item or transaction differs from the accounting set forth in the revised Manual for that item or transaction, but it is in accordance with GAAP or superseded GAAP, the disclosures in statutory basis financial statements for that item or transaction should be the applicable GAAP disclosures for the GAAP or superseded GAAP. If the accounting required by the state of domicile for an item or transaction differs from the accounting set forth in the revised Manual, GAAP or superseded GAAP, sufficient relevant disclosures should be made. [As amended, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]

[Paragraphs deleted by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

When evaluating the adequacy of disclosures, the auditor should also consider disclosures related to matters that are not specifically identified on the face of the financial statements, such as (a) related party transactions, (b) restrictions on assets and owners’ equity, (c) subsequent events, and (d) uncertainties. Other matters should be disclosed if such disclosures are necessary to keep the financial statements from being misleading. [Paragraphs deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of FASB Statement No. 120, Accounting and Reporting by Mutual Life Insurance Enterprises and by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Participating Contracts, and FASB Interpretation No. 40, Applicability of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to Mutual Life Insurance and Other Enterprises.]

Question—There may also be instances in which state requirements have not been revised to reflect a new GAAP disclosure requirement. What are the disclosure requirements in those situations? [Paragraph added, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]

Interpretation—Until state requirements are determined, the statutory basis financial statements should include disclosures required by new GAAP requirements that are relevant and significant to the statutory basis of accounting, pending acceptance or rejection for inclusion in the revised Manual. [Paragraph added, effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2001, and complete sets of interim financial statements for periods beginning on or after that date and audits of those financial statements, by Statement of Position 01-5.]

[Issue Date: December, 1991; Revised: February, 1997; Amended: December, 2001.]

13. Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But Is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Question—An auditor may be requested to report on a special-purpose financial statement that results in an incomplete presentation but otherwise
is in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. For example, an entity wishing to sell a division or product line may prepare an offering memorandum that includes a special-purpose financial statement that presents certain assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses relating to the division or product line being sold. Section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .22 states that the auditor may report on a special-purpose financial statement prepared to comply with a contractual agreement. Does an offering memorandum (not including a filing with a regulatory agency) constitute a contractual agreement for purposes of issuing an auditor’s report under this section? [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.83 Interpretation—No. An offering memorandum generally is a document providing information as the basis for negotiating an offer to sell certain assets or businesses or to raise funds. Normally, parties to an agreement or other specified parties for whom the special-purpose financial presentation is intended have not been identified. Accordingly, the auditor should follow the reporting guidance in section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .35–.44 and .58–.60. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.84 Question—Does an agreement between a client and one or more third parties other than the auditor to prepare financial statements using a special-purpose presentation constitute a contractual agreement for purposes of issuing an auditor’s report under this section? [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.85 Interpretation—Yes. In such cases, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 623.22–.26, and use of the auditor’s report should be restricted to those within the entity, to the parties to the contract or agreement or to those with whom the entity is negotiating directly.

.86 If there is no such agreement, the auditor should follow the guidance in section 508.35–.44 and .58–.60. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

[.87–.89] [Paragraphs deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 87. Paragraphs renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

[Issue Date: May, 1995; Revised: February, 1999.]

14. Evaluating the Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements Prepared on the Cash, Modified Cash, or Income Tax Basis of Accounting

.90 Question—Section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .10, requires that financial statements prepared on a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) include a summary of significant accounting policies that discusses the basis of presentation and describes how that basis differs from GAAP. It also states that when such financial statements contain items that are the same as, or similar to, those in statements prepared in conformity with GAAP, “similar informative disclosures are appropriate.” To illustrate how to apply that statement, section 623.10 says that the disclosures for depreciation, long-term debt, and owners’
equity should be “comparable to” those in financial statements prepared in conformity with GAAP. That paragraph then states that the auditor “should also consider” the need for disclosure of matters that are not specifically identified on the face of the statements, such as (a) related party transactions, (b) restrictions on assets and owners’ equity, (c) subsequent events, and (d) uncertainties. How should the guidance in section 623.10 be applied in evaluating the adequacy of disclosure in financial statements prepared on the cash, modified cash, or income tax basis of accounting? [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.91 Interpretation—The discussion of the basis of presentation may be brief; for example: “The accompanying financial statements present financial results on the accrual basis of accounting used for federal income tax reporting.” Only the primary differences from GAAP need to be described. To illustrate, assume that several items are accounted for differently than they would be under GAAP, but that only the differences in depreciation calculations are significant. In that situation, a brief description of the depreciation differences is all that would be necessary, and the remaining differences need not be described. Quantifying differences is not required. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.92 If cash, modified cash, or income tax basis financial statements contain elements, accounts, or items for which GAAP would require disclosure, the statements should either provide the relevant disclosure that would be required for those items in a GAAP presentation or provide information that communicates the substance of that disclosure. That may result in substituting qualitative information for some of the quantitative information required for GAAP presentations. For example, disclosing the repayment terms of significant long-term borrowings may sufficiently communicate information about future principal reduction without providing the summary of principal reduction during each of the next five years that would be required for a GAAP presentation. Similarly, disclosing estimated percentages of revenues, rather than amounts that GAAP presentations would require, may sufficiently convey the significance of sales or leasing to related parties. GAAP disclosure requirements that are not relevant to the measurement of the element, account, or item need not be considered. To illustrate:

a. The fair value information that FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities [AC section I80], would require disclosing for debt and equity securities reported in GAAP presentations would not be relevant when the basis of presentation does not adjust the cost of such securities to their fair value.

b. The information based on actuarial calculations that FASB Statement No. 87, Employers’ Accounting for Pensions [AC section P16], would require disclosing for contributions to defined benefit plans reported in GAAP presentations would not be relevant in income tax or cash basis financial statements.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.93 If GAAP sets forth requirements that apply to the presentation of financial statements, then cash, modified cash, and income tax basis statements should either comply with those requirements or provide information that communicates the substance of those requirements. The substance of
GAAP presentation requirements may be communicated using qualitative information and without modifying the financial statement format. For example:

a. Information about the effects of accounting changes, discontinued operations, and extraordinary items could be disclosed in a note to the financial statements without following the GAAP presentation requirements in the statement of results of operations, using those terms, or disclosing net-of-tax effects.

b. Instead of showing expenses by their functional classifications, the income tax basis statement of activities of a trade organization could present expenses according to their natural classifications, and a note to the statement could use estimated percentages to communicate information about expenses incurred by the major program and supporting services. A voluntary health and welfare organization could take such an approach instead of presenting the matrix of natural and functional expense classifications that would be required for a GAAP presentation, or, if information has been gathered for the Form 990 matrix required for such organizations, it could be presented either in the form of a separate statement or in a note to the financial statements.

c. Instead of showing the amounts of, and changes in, the unrestricted and temporarily and permanently restricted classes of net assets, which would be required for a GAAP presentation, the income tax basis statement of financial position of a voluntary health and welfare organization could report total net assets or fund balances, the related statement of activities could report changes in those totals, and a note to the financial statements could provide information, using estimated or actual amounts or percentages, about the restrictions on those amounts and on any deferred restricted amounts, describe the major restrictions, and provide information about significant changes in restricted amounts.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.94 Presentations using the cash basis of accounting, the modified cash basis, or the cash basis used for income tax reporting often include a presentation consisting entirely or mainly of cash receipts and disbursements. Such presentations need not conform with the requirements for a statement of cash flows that would be included in a GAAP presentation. While a statement of cash flows is not required in presentations using the cash, modified cash, or income tax basis of accounting, if a presentation of cash receipts and disbursements is presented in a format similar to a statement of cash flows or if the entity chooses to present such a statement, for example in a presentation on the accrual basis of accounting used for federal income tax reporting, the statement should either conform to the requirements for a GAAP presentation or communicate their substance. As an example, the statement of cash flows might disclose noncash acquisitions through captions on its face. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

.95 If GAAP would require disclosure of other matters, the auditor should consider the need for that same disclosure or disclosure that communicates the substance of those requirements. Some examples are contingent liabilities, going concern considerations, and significant risks and uncertainties. However,
the disclosures need not include information that is not relevant to the basis of accounting. To illustrate, the general information about the use of estimates that is required to be disclosed in GAAP presentations by Statement of Position 94-6, *Disclosure of Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties*, would not be relevant in a presentation that has no estimates, such as one based on cash receipts and disbursements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement of Position 01-5, December 2001.]

[Issue Date: January, 1998.]
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AU Section 625

Reports on the Application of Accounting Principles

Source: SAS No. 50; SAS No. 97.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: July, 1986.

Introduction

.01 There may be differing interpretations as to whether and, if so, how existing accounting principles apply to new transactions and financial products. Management and others often consult with accountants on the application of accounting principles to those transactions and products, or to increase their knowledge of specific financial reporting issues.[2] Such consultations often provide relevant information and insights not otherwise available. [As amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

.02 For purposes of this section, reporting accountant refers to an accountant in public practice[3] who prepares a written report[4] or provides oral advice on the application of accounting principles to specified transactions involving facts and circumstances of a specific entity, or the type of opinion that may be rendered on a specific entity's financial statements. Continuing accountant refers to an accountant who has been engaged to report on the financial statements of a specific entity.[5] [Paragraph added, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

.03 This section provides guidance that a reporting accountant, either in connection with a proposal to obtain a new client or otherwise, should apply when preparing a written report on—

a. The application of accounting principles to specified transactions, either completed or proposed, involving facts and circumstances of a specific entity ("specific transactions").

b. The type of opinion that may be rendered on a specific entity's financial statements.

1 Accounting principles include generally accepted accounting principles and other comprehensive bases of accounting. See section 623, Special Reports, paragraph .04 for a description of other comprehensive bases of accounting.

2 [Footnote deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97, June 2002.]

3 See ET section 92.25 of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct for a definition of "practice of public accounting."

4 Written report, for purposes of this section, includes any written communication that expresses a conclusion on the appropriate accounting principle(s) to be applied or the type of opinion that may be rendered on an entity's financial statements. [Footnote added, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

5 An accountant engaged by the entity to perform services other than reporting on the entity's financial statements is not considered to be a continuing accountant. [Footnote added, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]
This section also applies to oral advice that the reporting accountant concludes is intended to be used by a principal to the transaction as an important factor considered in reaching a decision on the application of accounting principles to a specific transaction, or the type of opinion that may be rendered on a specific entity's financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

.04 Because of the nature of a transaction not involving facts or circumstances of a specific entity ("hypothetical transaction"), a reporting accountant cannot know, for example, whether the continuing accountant has reached a different conclusion on the application of accounting principles for the same or a similar transaction, or how the specific entity has accounted for similar transactions in the past. Therefore an accountant should not undertake an engagement to provide a written report on the application of accounting principles to a hypothetical transaction. [Paragraph added, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

.05 This section does not apply to a continuing accountant with respect to the specific entity whose financial statements he or she has been engaged to report on, to engagements either to assist in litigation involving accounting matters or to provide expert testimony in connection with such litigation, or to professional advice provided to another accountant in public practice. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

.06 This section also does not apply to communications such as position papers prepared by an accountant for the purpose of presenting views on an issue involving the application of accounting principles or the type of opinion that may be rendered. Position papers include newsletters, articles, speeches and texts thereof, lectures and other forms of public presentations, and letters for the public record to professional and governmental standard-setting bodies. However, if communications of the type discussed in this paragraph are intended to provide guidance on the application of accounting principles to a specific transaction, or on the type of opinion that may be rendered on a specific entity's financial statements, the provisions of this section should be followed. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

Performance Standards

.07 The reporting accountant should exercise due professional care in performing the engagement and should have adequate technical training and proficiency. The reporting accountant should also plan the engagement adequately, supervise the work of assistants, if any, and accumulate sufficient information to provide a reasonable basis for the professional judgment described in the report. The reporting accountant should consider the circumstances under which the written report or oral advice is requested, the purpose of the request, and the intended use of the written report or oral advice. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

.08 To aid in forming a judgment, the reporting accountant should perform the following procedures: (a) obtain an understanding of the form and
substance of the transaction(s); (b) review applicable generally accepted accounting principles (see section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles); (c) if appropriate, consult with other professionals or experts; and (d) if appropriate, perform research or other procedures to ascertain and consider the existence of creditable precedents or analogies. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97, June 2002.]

.09 When evaluating accounting principles that relate to a specific transaction or determining the type of opinion that may be rendered on a specific entity’s financial statements, the reporting accountant should consult with the continuing accountant of the entity to ascertain all the available facts relevant to forming a professional judgment. The continuing accountant may provide information not otherwise available to the reporting accountant regarding, for example, the following: the form and substance of the transaction; how management has applied accounting principles to similar transactions; whether the method of accounting recommended by the continuing accountant is disputed by management; or whether the continuing accountant has reached a different conclusion on the application of accounting principles or the type of opinion that may be rendered on the entity’s financial statements. The reporting accountant should explain to the entity’s management the need to consult with the continuing accountant, request permission to do so, and request the entity’s management to authorize the continuing accountant to respond fully to the reporting accountant’s inquiries. The responsibilities of an entity’s continuing accountant to respond to inquiries by the reporting accountant are the same as the responsibilities of a predecessor auditor to respond to inquiries by a successor auditor. See section 315, Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors, paragraph .10. [Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

Reporting Standards

.10 The accountant’s written report should be addressed to the requesting entity (for example, management or the board of directors of the entity), and should ordinarily include the following:6

a. A brief description of the nature of the engagement and a statement that the engagement was performed in accordance with applicable AICPA standards.

b. Identification of the specific entity, a description of the transaction(s), a statement of the relevant facts, circumstances, and assumptions, and a statement about the source of the information.

c. A statement describing the appropriate accounting principle(s) (including the country of origin) to be applied or type of opinion that may be rendered on the entity’s financial statements, and, if appropriate, a description of the reasons for the reporting accountant’s conclusion.

d. A statement that the responsibility for the proper accounting treatment rests with the preparers of the financial statements, who should consult with their continuing accountant.

---

6 Although the reporting standards in this section apply only to written reports, accountants may find this guidance useful in providing oral advice. [Footnote renumbered and amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]
Other Types of Reports

e. A statement that any difference in the facts, circumstances, or assumptions presented may change the report.

f. A separate paragraph at the end of the report that includes the following elements:

- A statement indicating that the report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified parties;
- An identification of the specified parties to whom use is restricted; and
- A statement that the report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

[Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]

.11 The following is an illustration of sections of the report described in paragraph .10.

Introduction

We have been engaged to report on the appropriate application of accounting principles generally accepted in [country of origin of such principles] to the specific transaction described below. This report is being issued to ABC Company for assistance in evaluating accounting principles for the described specific transaction. Our engagement has been conducted in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Description of Transaction

The facts, circumstances, and assumptions relevant to the specific transaction as provided to us by the management of ABC Company are as follows:

Appropriate Accounting Principles
[Text discussing generally accepted accounting principles]

Concluding Comments

The ultimate responsibility for the decision on the appropriate application of accounting principles generally accepted in [country of origin of such principles] for an actual transaction rests with the preparers of financial statements, who should consult with their continuing accountant. Our judgment on the appropriate application of accounting principles generally accepted in [country of origin of such principles] for the described specific transaction is based solely on the facts provided to us as described above; should these facts and circumstances differ, our conclusion may change.

Restricted Use

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors and management of ABC Company and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

[Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for written reports issued or oral advice provided on or after June 30, 2002, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.]
AU Section 634

**Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties**

(Supersedes SAS No. 49)

Source: SAS No. 72; SAS No. 76; SAS No. 86.

See section 9634 for interpretations of this section.

Effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1993, unless otherwise indicated.

**Introduction**

.01 This section provides guidance to accountants for performing and reporting on the results of engagements to issue letters for underwriters and certain other requesting parties described in and meeting the requirements of paragraph .03, .04, or .05 (commonly referred to as “comfort letters”) in connection with financial statements and financial statement schedules contained in registration statements filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act) and other securities offerings. In paragraph .09, this section also provides guidance to accountants for performing and reporting on the results of engagements to issue letters for certain requesting parties, other than underwriters or other parties with a due diligence defense under section 11 of the Act, that are described in, but do not meet the requirements of, paragraph .03, .04, or .05. [As amended, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]

.02 The service of accountants providing letters for underwriters developed following enactment of the Act. Section 11 of the Act provides that underwriters, among others, could be liable if any part of a registration statement contains material omissions or misstatements. The Act also provides for an affirmative defense for underwriters if it can be demonstrated that, after a reasonable investigation, the underwriter has reasonable grounds to believe that there were no material omissions or misstatements. Consequently, underwriters request accountants to assist them in developing a record of reasonable investigation. An accountant issuing a comfort letter is one of a number of procedures that may be used to establish that an underwriter has conducted a reasonable investigation.

**Applicability**

.03 Accountants may provide a comfort letter to underwriters, or to other parties with a statutory due diligence defense under section 11 of the Act, in connection with financial statements and financial statement schedules.

---

[1] [Footnote deleted by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

[2] The term underwriter is defined in section 2 of the Act as “any person who has purchased from an issuer with a view to, or offers or sells for an issuer in connection with, the distribution of any security, or participates or has a participation in the direct or indirect participation in any such un-
included (incorporated by reference) in registration statements filed with the SEC under the Act. A comfort letter may be addressed to parties with a statutory due diligence defense under section 11 of the Act, other than a named underwriter, only when a law firm or attorney for the requesting party issues a written opinion to the accountants that states that such party has a due diligence defense under section 11 of the Act. \(^3\) An attorney's letter indicating that a party “may” be deemed to be an underwriter or has liability substantially equivalent to that of an underwriter under the securities laws would not meet this requirement. If the requesting party, in a securities offering registered pursuant to the Act, other than a named underwriter (such as a selling shareholder or sales agent) cannot provide such a letter, he or she must provide the representation letter described in paragraphs .06 and .07 for the accountants to provide them with a comfort letter.

.04 Accountants may also issue a comfort letter to a broker-dealer or other financial intermediary, acting as principal or agent in an offering or a placement of securities, in connection with the following types of securities offerings:

- Foreign offerings, including Regulation S, Eurodollar, and other offshore offerings
- Transactions that are exempt from the registration requirements of section 5 of the Act, including those pursuant to Regulation A, Regulation D, and Rule 144A
- Offerings of securities issued or backed by governmental, municipal, banking, tax-exempt, or other entities that are exempt from registration under the Act

In these situations the accountants may provide a comfort letter to a broker-dealer or other financial intermediary in connection with a securities offering only if the broker-dealer or other financial intermediary provides in writing the representations described in paragraphs .06 and .07.

.05 Accountants may also issue a comfort letter in connection with acquisition transactions (for example, cross-comfort letters in a typical Form S-4 or merger proxy situation) in which there is an exchange of stock and such comfort letters are requested by the buyer or seller, or both, as long as the representation letter described in paragraphs .06 and .07 is provided. An accountants' report on a preliminary investigation in connection with a proposed transaction (for example, a merger, an acquisition, or a financing) is not covered by this section; accountants should refer to the guidance in AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements. [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

.06 The required elements of the representation letter from a broker-dealer or other financial intermediary, or of other requesting parties described in paragraphs .03 and .05, are as follows:

---

\(^3\) This section is not intended to preclude accountants from providing to the client's board of directors, when appropriate, a letter addressed to the board of directors similar in content to a comfort letter. See the auditing interpretation “Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K” (section 9634.01–.09).
The letter should be addressed to the accountants.

The letter should contain the following:

“This review process, applied to the information relating to the issuer, is (will be) substantially consistent with the due diligence review process that we would perform if this placement of securities (or issuance of securities in an acquisition transaction) were being registered pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act). We are knowledgeable with respect to the due diligence review process that would be performed if this placement of securities were being registered pursuant to the Act."

The letter should be signed by the requesting party.

An example of a letter, setting forth the required elements specified in paragraph .06, from a party requesting a comfort letter follows:

[Date]

Dear ABC Accountants:

[Name of financial intermediary], as principal or agent, in the placement of [identify securities] to be issued by [name of issuer], will be reviewing certain information relating to [issuer] that will be included (incorporated by reference) in the document [if appropriate, the document should be identified], which may be delivered to investors and utilized by them as a basis for their investment decision. This review process, applied to the information relating to the issuer, is (will be) substantially consistent with the due diligence review process that we would perform if this placement of securities were being registered pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act). We are knowledgeable with respect to the due diligence review process that would be performed if this placement of securities were being registered pursuant to the Act. We hereby request that you deliver to us a "comfort" letter concerning the financial statements of the issuer and certain statistical and other data included in the offering document. We will contact you to identify the procedures we wish you to follow and the form we wish the comfort letter to take.

Very truly yours,

[Name of Financial Intermediary]

When one of the parties identified in paragraphs .03, .04, and .05 requests a comfort letter and has provided the accountants with the representation letter described above, the accountants should refer in the comfort letter to the requesting party's representations (see example P [paragraph .64]).

---

4 It is recognized that what is "substantially consistent" may vary from situation to situation and may not be the same as that done in a registered offering of the same securities for the same issuer; whether the procedures being, or to be, followed will be "substantially consistent" will be determined by the requesting party on a case-by-case basis.

5 If a nonunderwriter requests a comfort letter in connection with a securities offering pursuant to the Act, the wording of the representation letter should be revised as follows:

“This review process . . . is substantially consistent with the due diligence review process that an underwriter would perform in connection with this placement of securities. We are knowledgeable with respect to the due diligence review process that an underwriter would perform in connection with a placement of securities registered pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933."

6 In an acquisition of securities, this sentence could be reworded to refer to "issuance of securities." See paragraph .05.
When one of the parties identified in paragraphs .03, .04, or .05, other than an underwriter or other party with a due diligence defense under section 11 of the Act, requests a comfort letter but does not provide the representation letter described in paragraphs .06 and .07, accountants should not provide a comfort letter but may provide another form of letter. In such a letter, the accountants should not provide negative assurance on the financial statements as a whole, or on any of the specified elements, accounts, or items thereof. The other guidance in this section is applicable to performing procedures in connection with a letter and on the form of the letter (see paragraphs .36 through .43 and .54 through .60). Example Q in the Appendix [paragraph .64] provides an example of a letter issued in such a situation. Any such letter should include the following statements:

a. It should be understood that we have no responsibility for establishing (and did not establish) the scope and nature of the procedures enumerated in the paragraphs above; rather, the procedures enumerated therein are those the requesting party asked us to perform. Accordingly, we make no representations regarding questions of legal interpretation or regarding the sufficiency for your purposes of the procedures enumerated in the preceding paragraphs; also, such procedures would not necessarily reveal any material misstatement of the amounts or percentages listed above as set forth in the offering circular. Further, we have addressed ourselves solely to the foregoing data and make no representations regarding the adequacy of disclosures or whether any material facts have been omitted. This letter relates only to the financial statement items specified above and does not extend to any financial statement of the company taken as a whole.

b. The foregoing procedures do not constitute an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or a review of the company's [give dates of any interim financial statements] consolidated financial statements in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

c. These procedures should not be taken to supplant any additional inquiries or procedures that you would undertake in your consideration of the proposed offering.

d. This letter is solely for your information and to assist you in your inquiries in connection with the offering of the securities covered by the offering circular, and it is not to be used, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to for any other purpose, including but not limited to the registration, purchase, or sale of securities, nor is it to be filed with or referred to in whole or in part in the offering document or any other document, except that reference may be made to it in any list of closing documents pertaining to the offering of the securities covered by the offering document.

If this letter is requested in connection with a secured debt offering, the accountants should also refer to the attest interpretation “Responding to Requests for Reports on Matters Relating to Solvency” (AT section 9101.23–.33) for inclusion of additional statements. [Footnote added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
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e. We have no responsibility to update this letter for events and circumstances occurring after [cutoff date].

[As amended, effective for letters issued pursuant to this paragraph after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]

.10 When a party other than those described in paragraphs .03, .04, or .05 requests a comfort letter, the accountants should not provide that party with a comfort letter or the letter described in paragraph .09 or example Q [paragraph .64]. The accountants may instead provide that party with a report on agreed-upon procedures and should refer to AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements, for guidance. [Paragraph added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76. Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

General

.11 The services of independent accountants include audits of financial statements and financial statement schedules included (incorporated by reference) in registration statements filed with the SEC under the Act. In connection with this type of service, accountants are often called upon to confer with clients, underwriters, and their respective counsel concerning the accounting and auditing requirements of the Act and the SEC and to perform other services. One of these other services is the issuance of letters for underwriters, which generally address the subjects described in paragraph .22. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.12 Much of the uncertainty, and consequent risk of misunderstanding, with regard to the nature and scope of comfort letters has arisen from a lack of recognition of the necessarily limited nature of the comments that accountants can properly make with respect to financial information, in a registration statement or other offering document (hereafter referred to as a registration statement), that has not been audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and, accordingly, is not covered by their opinion. In requesting comfort letters, underwriters are generally seeking assistance on matters of importance to them. They wish to perform a “reasonable investigation” of financial and accounting data not “expertized” (that is, covered by a report of independent accountants, who consent to be named as experts, based on an audit performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards) as a defense against possible claims under section 11 of the Act. What constitutes a reasonable investigation of unaudited financial information sufficient to satisfy an underwriter’s purposes has never been authoritatively established. Consequently, only the underwriter can determine what is sufficient for his or her purposes. Accountants will normally be willing to assist the

---

8 See the auditing interpretation “Consenting to Be Named as an Expert in an Offering Document in Connection With Securities Offerings Other Than Those Registered Under the Securities Act of 1933” (section 9711.12–15). [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

9 See section 711, Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes, for a discussion of certain responsibilities of accountants that result from the inclusion of their reports in registration statements. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]
underwriter, but the assistance accountants can provide by way of comfort letters is subject to limitations. One limitation is that independent accountants can properly comment in their professional capacity only on matters to which their professional expertise is substantially relevant. Another limitation is that procedures short of an audit, such as those contemplated in a comfort letter, provide the accountants with a basis for expressing, at the most, negative assurance.\(^1\) Such limited procedures may bring to the accountants’ attention significant matters affecting the financial information, but they do not provide assurance that the accountants will become aware of any or all significant matters that would be disclosed in an audit. Accordingly, there is necessarily a risk that the accountants may have provided negative assurance of the absence of conditions or matters that may prove to have existed. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.13 This section deals with several different kinds of matters. First, it addresses whether, in a number of areas involving professional standards, it is proper for independent accountants, acting in their professional capacity, to comment in a comfort letter on specified matters, and, if so, the form such a comment should take. Second, practical suggestions are offered on which form of comfort letter is suitable in a given circumstance, procedural matters, the dating of letters, and what steps may be taken when information that may require special mention in a letter comes to the accountants’ attention.\(^1\) Third, it suggests ways of reducing or avoiding the uncertainties, described in the preceding paragraph, regarding the nature and extent of accountants’ responsibilities in connection with a comfort letter. Accountants who have been requested to follow a course other than what has been recommended, with regard to points not involving professional standards, would do well to consult their legal counsel. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.14 Comfort letters are not required under the Act, and copies are not filed with the SEC. It is nonetheless a common condition of an underwriting agreement in connection with the offering for sale of securities registered with the SEC under the Act that the accountants are to furnish a comfort letter. Some underwriters do not make the receipt of a comfort letter a condition of the underwriting agreement or purchase agreement (hereafter referred to as the underwriting agreement) but nevertheless ask for such a letter.\(^2\) [Paragraph

---

1 Negative assurance consists of a statement by accountants that, as a result of performing specified procedures, nothing came to their attention that caused them to believe that specified matters do not meet a specified standard (for example, that nothing came to their attention that caused them to believe that any material modifications should be made to the unaudited financial statements or unaudited condensed financial statements for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles). [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

2 It is important to note that although the illustrations in this section describe procedures that may be followed by accountants as a basis for their comments in comfort letters, this section does not necessarily prescribe such procedures. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

3 Except when the context otherwise requires, the word underwriter (or certain other requesting parties, as described in paragraphs .03, .04, and .05), as used in this section refers to the managing, or lead, underwriter, who typically negotiates the underwriting agreement for a group of underwriters whose exact composition is not determined until shortly before a registration statement becomes effective. In competitive bidding situations in which legal counsel for the underwriters acts as the underwriters’ representative prior to opening and acceptance of the bid, the accountants should carry out the discussions and other communications contemplated by this section with the legal counsel until the underwriter is selected. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]
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.15 The accountants should suggest to the underwriter that they meet together with the client to discuss the procedures to be followed in connection with a comfort letter; during this meeting, the accountants may describe procedures that are frequently followed (see the examples in the appendix [paragraph .64]). Because of the accountants' knowledge of the client, such a meeting may substantially assist the underwriter in reaching a decision about procedures to be followed by the accountants. However, any discussion of procedures should be accompanied by a clear statement that the accountants cannot furnish any assurance regarding the sufficiency of the procedures for the underwriter's purposes, and the appropriate way of expressing this is shown in paragraph 4 of example A [paragraph .64]. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.16 Because the underwriter will expect the accountants to furnish a comfort letter of a scope to be specified in the underwriting agreement, a draft of that agreement should be furnished to the accountants so that they can indicate whether they will be able to furnish a letter in acceptable form. It is desirable practice for the accountants, promptly after they have received the draft of the agreement (or have been informed that a letter covering specified matters, although not a condition of the agreement, will nonetheless be requested), to prepare a draft of the form of the letter they expect to furnish. To the extent possible, the draft should deal with all matters to be covered in the final letter and should use exactly the same terms as those to be used in the final letter (subject, of course, to the understanding that the comments in the final letter cannot be determined until the procedures underlying it have been performed). The draft letter should be identified as a draft to avoid giving the impression that the procedures described therein have been performed. This practice of furnishing a draft letter at an early point permits the accountants to make clear to the client and the underwriter what they may expect the accountants to furnish. Thus furnished with a draft letter, the underwriter is afforded the opportunity to discuss further with the accountants the procedures that the accountants have indicated they expect to follow and to request any additional procedures that the underwriter may desire. If the additional procedures pertain to matters relevant to the accountants' professional competence, the accountants would ordinarily be willing to perform them, and it is desirable for them to furnish the underwriter with an appropriately revised draft letter. The accountants may reasonably assume that the underwriter, by indicating his or her acceptance of the draft comfort letter, and subsequently, by accepting the letter in final form, considers the procedures described sufficient for his or her purposes. It is important, therefore, that the procedures to be followed by the accountants be clearly set out in the comfort letter, in both draft and final form, so that there will be no misunderstanding about the basis on which the accountants' comments have been made and so that the underwriter can decide whether the procedures performed are sufficient for his or her purposes. For reasons explained in paragraph .12, statements or implications that the accountants are carrying out such procedures as they consider necessary should be avoided, since this may lead to misunderstanding about

---

13 When the accountants have been requested to provide negative assurance on interim financial information or capsule financial information and the procedures required for an SAS No. 71 [section 722] review have been performed, those procedures need not be specified. See paragraphs .37 through .41. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]
the responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for the underwriter's purposes. The following is a suggested form of legend that may be placed on the draft letter for identification and explanation of its purposes and limitations.

This draft is furnished solely for the purpose of indicating the form of letter that we would expect to be able to furnish [name of underwriter] in response to their request, the matters expected to be covered in the letter, and the nature of the procedures that we would expect to carry out with respect to such matters. Based on our discussions with [name of underwriter], it is our understanding that the procedures outlined in this draft letter are those they wish us to follow. Unless [name of underwriter] informs us otherwise, we shall assume that there are no additional procedures they wish us to follow. The text of the letter itself will depend, of course, on the results of the procedures, which we would not expect to complete until shortly before the letter is given and in no event before the cutoff date indicated therein.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.17 Comfort letters are occasionally requested from more than one accountant (for example, in connection with registration statements to be used in the subsequent sale of shares issued in recently effected mergers and from predecessor auditors). At the earliest practicable date, the client should advise any other accountants who may be involved about any letter that may be required from them and should arrange for them to receive a draft of the underwriting agreement so that they may make arrangements at an early date for the preparation of a draft of their letter (a copy of which should be furnished to the principal accountants) and for the performance of their procedures. In addition, the underwriter may wish to meet with the other accountants for the purposes discussed in paragraph .15. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.18 There may be situations in which more than one accountant is involved in the audit of the financial statements of a business and in which the reports of more than one accountant appear in the registration statement. For example, certain significant divisions, branches, or subsidiaries may be audited by other accountants. The principal accountants (that is, those who report on the consolidated financial statements and, consequently, are asked to give a comfort letter with regard to information expressed on a consolidated basis) should read the letters of the other accountants reporting on significant units. Such letters should contain statements similar to those contained in the comfort letter prepared by the principal accountants, including statements about their independence. The principal accountants should state in their comfort letters that (a) reading letters of the other accountants was one of the procedures followed, and (b) the procedures performed by the principal accountants (other than reading the letters of the other accountants) relate solely to companies audited by the principal accountants and to the consolidated financial statements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.19 Regulations under the Act permit companies, in certain circumstances, to register a designated amount of securities for continuous or delayed...
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offerings during an extended period by filing one “shelf” registration statement. At the effective date of a shelf registration statement, the registrant may not have selected an underwriter (see footnote 12). A client or the legal counsel designated to represent the underwriting group might, however, ask the accountants to issue a comfort letter at the effective date of a shelf registration statement to expedite the due diligence activities of the underwriter when he or she is subsequently designated and to avoid later corrections of financial information included in an effective prospectus. However, as stated in paragraph .12, only the underwriter can determine the procedures that will be sufficient for his or her purposes. Under these circumstances, therefore, the accountants should not agree to furnish a comfort letter addressed to the client, legal counsel or a nonspecific addressee such as “any or all underwriters to be selected.” The accountants may agree to furnish the client or legal counsel for the underwriting group with a draft comfort letter describing the procedures that the accountants have performed and the comments the accountants are willing to express as a result of those procedures. The draft comfort letter should include a legend, such as the following, describing the letter’s purpose and limitations:

This draft describes the procedures that we have performed and represents a letter we would be prepared to sign as of the effective date of the registration statement if the managing underwriter had been chosen at that date and requested such a letter. Based on our discussions with [name of client or legal counsel], the procedures set forth are similar to those that experience indicates underwriters often request in such circumstances. The text of the final letter will depend, of course, on whether the managing underwriter who is selected requests that other procedures be performed to meet his or her needs and whether the managing underwriter requests that any of the procedures be updated to the date of issuance of the signed letter.

A signed comfort letter may be issued to the underwriter selected for the portion of the issue then being offered when the underwriting agreement for an offering is signed and on each closing date. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.20 Accountants, when issuing a letter under the guidance provided in this section, may not issue any additional letters or reports, under any other section, to the underwriter or the other requesting parties identified in paragraphs .03, .04, and .05 (hereinafter referred to as the underwriter) in connection with the offering or placement of securities, in which the accountants comment on items for which commenting is otherwise precluded by this section. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. As amended, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]

.21 While the guidance in this section generally addresses comfort letters issued in connection with securities offerings registered pursuant to the Act, it also provides guidance on comfort letters issued in other securities transactions. However, the guidance that specifically refers to compliance of the information commented on with SEC rules and regulations, such as compliance with Regulation S-X15 or S-K,16 generally applies only to comfort letters


This section (paragraphs .22 through .62) provides guidance on the format and possible contents of a typical comfort letter. It addresses how the comfort letter should be dated, to whom it may be addressed, and the contents of the introductory paragraph of the comfort letter. Further, it addresses the subjects that may be covered in a comfort letter:

a. The independence of the accountants (paragraphs .31 and .32)

b. Whether the audited financial statements and financial statement schedules included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC (paragraphs .33 and .34)

c. Unaudited financial statements, condensed interim financial information, capsule financial information, pro forma financial information, financial forecasts, management’s discussion and analysis (MD&A), and changes in selected financial statement items during a period subsequent to the date and period of the latest financial statements included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement (paragraphs .29 and .35 through .53)

d. Tables, statistics, and other financial information included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement (paragraphs .54 through .62)

e. Negative assurance as to whether certain non-financial statement information, included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement complies as to form in all material respects with Regulation S-K (paragraph .57)
contained in an earlier letter may, on occasion, be incorporated by reference in a subsequent letter (see example C [paragraph .64]), any subsequent letter should relate only to information in the registration statement as most recently amended. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

**Addressee**

.25 The letter should not be addressed or given to any parties other than the client and the named underwriters, broker-dealer, financial intermediary or buyer or seller. The appropriate addressee is the intermediary who has negotiated the agreement with the client, and with whom the accountants will deal in discussions regarding the scope and sufficiency of the letter. When a comfort letter is furnished to other accountants, it should be addressed in accordance with the guidance in this paragraph and copies should be furnished to the principal accountants and their client. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

**Introductory Paragraph**

.26 It is desirable to include an introductory paragraph similar to the following:

We have audited the [identify the financial statements and financial statement schedules] included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement (no. 33-00000) on Form __________ filed by the company under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act); our reports with respect thereto are also included (incorporated by reference) in that registration statement. The registration statement, as amended as of ______________, is herein referred to as the registration statement. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.27 When the report on the audited financial statements and financial statement schedules included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement departs from the standard report, for instance, where one or more explanatory paragraphs or a paragraph to emphasize a matter regarding the financial statements have been added to the report, the accountants should refer to that fact in the comfort letter and discuss the subject matter of the paragraph. In those rare instances in which the SEC accepts a qualified opinion on historical financial statements, the accountants should refer to the qualification in the opening paragraph of the comfort letter and discuss the subject matter of the qualification. (See also paragraph .35.) [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

---

1 An example of an appropriate form of address for this purpose is “The Blank Company and XYZ & Company, as Representative of the Several Underwriters.” [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

2 The accountants may also refer in the opening paragraph to expansions of their report that do not affect their opinion on the basic financial statements, for example, expansions of their report regarding (a) interim financial information accompanying or included in the notes to audited financial statements (see section 722.50) or (b) required supplementary information described in section 558, *Required Supplementary Information*, paragraphs .08 through .11. See paragraph .30 of this section. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote revised, September 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98. Footnote revised, November 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Auditing Standards No. 100.]

3 The accountants need not refer to or discuss explanatory paragraphs covering consistency of application of accounting principles. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]
The underwriter occasionally requests the accountants to repeat in the comfort letter their report on the audited financial statements included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement. Because of the special significance of the date of the accountants’ report, the accountants should not repeat their opinion. The underwriter sometimes requests negative assurance regarding the accountants’ report. Because accountants have a statutory responsibility with respect to their opinion as of the effective date of a registration statement, and because the additional significance, if any, of negative assurance is unclear and such assurance may therefore give rise to misunderstanding, accountants should not give such negative assurance. Furthermore, the accountants should not give negative assurance with respect to financial statements and financial statement schedules that have been audited and are reported on in the registration statement by other accountants. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

The accountants may refer in the introductory paragraphs of the comfort letter to the fact that they have issued reports on—

a. Condensed financial statements that are derived from audited financial statements (see section 552, Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data).

b. Selected financial data (see section 552).

c. Interim financial information (see section 722).

d. Pro forma financial information (see AT section 401, Reporting on Pro Forma Financial Information).

e. A financial forecast (see AT section 301, Financial Forecasts and Projections).

f. Management’s discussion and analysis (see AT section 701, Management’s Discussion and Analysis).

Such a reference should be to the accountants’ reports that were previously issued, and if the reports are not included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement, they may be attached to the comfort letter. In referring to previously issued reports, the accountants should not repeat their reports in the comfort letter or otherwise imply that they are reporting as of the date of the comfort letter or that they assume responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for the underwriter’s purposes. However, for certain information on which they have reported, the accountants may agree to comment regarding compliance with rules and regulations adopted by the SEC (see paragraphs .33 and .34). Accountants should not mention in a comfort letter reports issued in accordance with section 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit, or any restricted use reports issued to a client in connection with procedures performed on the client’s internal

---

20 See section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report, paragraphs .03 through .08. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

21 Except for a review report on management’s discussion and analysis (MD&A), the accountants should not refer to or attach to the comfort letter any restricted use report, such as a report on agreed-upon procedures. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. As amended, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]

22 When the accountant does not perform a review or an examination of MD&A or does not attach or refer to a report on MD&A, the accountant may perform agreed-upon procedures with respect to items in MD&A, the accountant may perform agreed-upon procedures with respect to items in MD&A subject to controls over financial reporting (see paragraph .55). [Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]
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.30 An underwriter may also request that the accountants comment in their comfort letter on (a) unaudited interim financial information required by item 302(a) of Regulation S-K, to which section 722 pertains or (b) required supplementary information, to which section 558, Required Supplementary Information, pertains. Section 722 and section 558 provide that the accountants should expand the standard report on the audited financial statements to refer to such information when the scope of their procedures with regard to the information was restricted or when the information appears not to be presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or, for required supplementary information, applicable guidelines. Such expansions of the accountants’ standard report in the registration statement would ordinarily be referred to in the opening paragraph of the comfort letter (see also paragraph .35f). Additional comments on such unaudited information are therefore unnecessary. However, if the underwriter requests that the accountants perform procedures with regard to such information in addition to those performed in connection with their review or audit as prescribed by sections 722 and 558, the accountants may do so and report their findings. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

Independence

.31 It is customary in conjunction with SEC filings for the underwriting agreement to provide for the accountants to make a statement in the letter concerning their independence. This may be done substantially as follows:

We are independent certified public accountants with respect to The Blank Company, Inc., within the meaning of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC.

Regulation S-K requires disclosure in the prospectus and registration statement of interests of named experts (including independent accountants) in the registrant. Regulation S-X precludes accountants who report on financial statements included (incorporated by reference) in a registration statement from having interests of the type requiring disclosure in the prospectus or registration statement. Therefore, if the accountants make a statement in a comfort letter that they are independent within the meaning of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC, any additional comments on independence would be unnecessary. In a non-SEC filing, the accountants may refer to the AICPA's Code of Professional Conduct [ET section 101]. This may be done substantially as follows:

We are independent certified public accountants with respect to The Blank Company, Inc., under rule 101 of the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct and its interpretations and rulings.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

22a The SEC, in Financial Reporting Release No. 50 dated February 18, 1998, recognized the establishment of the Independence Standards Board (ISB) and indicated that the SEC intends to look to the ISB as the private sector body responsible for establishing independence standards and interpretations for auditors of public entities. [Footnote added, June 1999, to acknowledge the SEC’s recognition of the ISB.]
When comfort letters are requested from more than one accountant (see paragraphs .17 and .18), each accountant must, of course, be sure he or she is independent within the meaning of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC. The accountants for previously nonaffiliated companies recently acquired by the registrant would not be required to have been independent with respect to the company whose shares are being registered. In such a case, the accountants should modify the wording suggested in paragraph .31 and make a statement regarding their independence along the following lines.

As of [insert date of the accountants’ most recent report on the financial statements of their client] and during the period covered by the financial statements on which we reported, we were independent certified public accountants with respect to [insert the name of their client] within the meaning of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC.

Compliance With SEC Requirements

The accountants may be requested to express an opinion on whether the financial statements covered by their report comply as to form with the pertinent accounting requirements adopted by the SEC. This may be done substantially as follows:

In our opinion [include phrase “except as disclosed in the registration statement,” if applicable], the [identify the financial statements and financial statement schedules] audited by us and included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

If there is a material departure from the pertinent rules and regulations adopted by the SEC, the departure should be disclosed in the letter. An appropriate manner of doing this is shown in example K [paragraph .64].

The phrase rules and regulations adopted by the SEC is used because accountants should not be expected to be familiar with, or express assurances on compliance with, informal positions of the SEC staff. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]

Certain financial statements may be incorporated in a registration statement under the Act by reference to filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the 1934 Act). In those circumstances, the accountants may refer to whether the audited financial statements and financial statement schedules included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the 1934 Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC [see example B [paragraph .64]]. However, the accountants should not refer to compliance with the provisions of the 1934 Act regarding internal accounting control. See AT section 501, Reporting on an Entity’s Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, paragraph .82. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

Departures from rules and regulations adopted by the SEC that require mention in a comfort letter ordinarily do not affect fair presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles; however, if they do, the accountants will, of course, mention these departures in expressing their opinion and in consenting to the use of their report in the registration statement. If departures from rules and regulations adopted by the SEC that require mention in a comfort letter either are not disclosed in the registration statement or have not been agreed to by representatives of the SEC, the accountants should carefully consider whether a consent to the use of their report in the registration statement should be issued. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered and amended, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]
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Accountants may provide positive assurance on compliance as to form with requirements under the rules and regulations adopted by the SEC only with respect to those rules and regulations applicable to the form and content of financial statements and financial statement schedules that they have audited. Accountants are limited to providing negative assurance on compliance as to form when the financial statements or financial statement schedules have not been audited. (For guidance in commenting on compliance as to form, see paragraph .37 regarding unaudited condensed interim financial information, paragraph .42 regarding pro forma financial information, paragraph .44 regarding a forecast, and paragraph .57 regarding Regulation S-K items. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.])

Commenting in a Comfort Letter on Information Other Than Audited Financial Statements

General

.35 Comments included in the letter will often concern (a) unaudited condensed interim financial information (see paragraphs .36 through .38), (b) capsule financial information (see paragraphs .36 and .39 through .41), (c) pro forma financial information (see paragraphs .42 and .43), (d) financial forecasts (see paragraphs .36 and .44), and (e) changes in capital stock, increases in long-term debt, and decreases in other specified financial statement items (see paragraphs .36 and .45 through .53). For commenting on these matters, the following guidance is important:

a. As explained in paragraph .16, the agreed-upon procedures performed by the accountants should be set forth in the letter, except that when the accountants have been requested to provide negative assurance on interim financial information or capsule financial information, the procedures involved in an SAS No. 71 review need not be specified (see paragraphs .37 through .41 of this section and paragraph 4 of example A (paragraph .64)).

b. To avoid any misunderstanding about the responsibility for the sufficiency of the agreed-upon procedures for the underwriter’s purposes, the accountants should not make any statements, or imply that they have applied procedures that they have determined to be necessary or sufficient for the underwriter’s purposes. If the accountants state that they have performed an SAS No. 71 review, this does not imply that those procedures are sufficient for the underwriter’s purposes. The underwriter may ask the accountants to perform additional procedures. For example, if the underwriter requests the accountants to apply additional procedures and

---

26 Accountants should not comment in a comfort letter on compliance as to form of MD&A with rules and regulations adopted by the SEC; accountants may agree to examine or review MD&A in accordance with AT section 701. [Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

27 The SEC requirements specify condensed financial statements. However, the guidance in paragraphs .37 and .38 also applies to complete financial statements. For purposes of this section, interim financial statements may be for a twelve-month period ending on a date other than the entity’s normal year end. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86, March 1998.]
specifies items of financial information to be reviewed and the materiality level for changes in those items that would necessitate further inquiry by the accountants, the accountants may perform those procedures and should describe them in their letter. Descriptions of procedures in the comfort letter should include descriptions of the criteria specified by the underwriter.

c. Terms of uncertain meaning (such as general review, limited review, reconcile, check, or test) should not be used in describing the work, unless the procedures comprehended by these terms are described in the comfort letter.

d. The procedures performed with respect to interim periods may not disclose changes in capital stock, increases in long-term debt or decreases in the specified financial statement items, inconsistencies in the application of generally accepted accounting principles, instances of noncompliance as to form with accounting requirements of the SEC, or other matters about which negative assurance is requested. An appropriate manner of making this clear is shown in the last three sentences in paragraph 4 of example A [paragraph .64].

e. Matters to be covered by the letter should be made clear in the meetings with the underwriter and should be identified in the underwriting agreement and in the draft comfort letter. Since there is no way of anticipating other matters that would be of interest to an underwriter, accountants should not make a general statement in a comfort letter that, as a result of carrying out the specified procedures, nothing else has come to their attention that would be of interest to the underwriter.

f. When the report on the audited financial statements and financial statement schedules in the registration statement departs from the auditor’s standard report, and the comfort letter includes negative assurance with respect to subsequent unaudited condensed interim financial information included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement or with respect to an absence of specified subsequent changes, increases, or decreases, the accountant should consider the effect thereon of the subject matter of the qualification, explanatory paragraph(s), or paragraph(s) emphasizing a matter regarding the financial statements. The accountant should also follow the guidance in paragraph .27. An illustration of how this type of situation may be dealt with is shown in example I [paragraph .64].

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

Knowledge of Internal Control

.36 The accountants should not comment in a comfort letter on (a) unaudited condensed interim financial information, (b) capsule financial information, (c) a financial forecast when historical financial statements provide a basis for one or more significant assumptions for the forecast, or (d) changes in capital stock, increases in long-term debt and decreases in selected financial statement items, unless they have obtained knowledge of a client’s internal control as it relates to the preparation of both annual and interim financial information. Knowledge of the client’s internal control over financial reporting includes knowledge of the control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring. Sufficient knowledge of a client’s internal control as it relates to the preparation of annual financial information ordinarily would have been acquired, and may have been acquired
with respect to interim financial information, by the accountants who have audited a client’s financial statements for one or more periods. When the accountants have not audited the most recent annual financial statements, and thus have not acquired sufficient knowledge of the entity’s internal control, the accountants should perform procedures to obtain that knowledge. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Revised, February 1997, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78.]

**Unaudited Condensed Interim Financial Information**

.37 Comments concerning the unaudited condensed interim financial information included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement provide negative assurance as to whether (a) any material modifications should be made to the unaudited condensed interim financial information for it to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and (b) the unaudited condensed interim financial information complies as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC. Accountants may comment in the form of negative assurance only when they have conducted a review of the interim financial information in accordance with section 722. The accountants may (a) state in the comfort letter that they have performed the procedures identified in section 722 for a review of interim financial information (see paragraphs 4a and 5a of example A [paragraph .64] or (b) if the accountants have issued a report on the review, they may mention that fact in the comfort letter. If it is mentioned in the comfort letter, the accountants should attach the review report to the letter unless the review report is already included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement. When the accountants have not conducted a review in accordance with section 722, the accountants may not comment in the form of negative assurance and are, therefore, limited to reporting procedures performed and findings obtained (see example O [paragraph .64]). [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.38 The letter should specifically identify any unaudited condensed interim financial information and should state that the accountants have not audited the condensed interim financial information in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and do not express an opinion concerning such information. An appropriate manner of making this clear is shown in paragraph 3 of example A [paragraph .64]. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

**Capsule Financial Information**

.39 In some registration statements, the information shown in the audited financial statements or unaudited condensed interim financial information is supplemented by unaudited summarized interim information for subsequent periods (commonly called “capsule financial information”). This capsule financial information (either in narrative or tabular form) often is provided for the most recent interim period and for the corresponding period of the prior year. With regard to selected capsule financial information, the accountants—

---

28 When accountants are engaged to perform procedures on interim financial information, they may have additional responsibilities under certain circumstances. The accountants should refer to section 722 for guidance. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86, March 1998.]
Other Types of Reports

a. May give negative assurance with regard to conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and may refer to whether the dollar amounts were determined on a basis substantially consistent with that of the corresponding amounts in the audited financial statements if (1) the selected capsule financial information is presented in accordance with the minimum disclosure requirements of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 28, paragraph 30 (AC section I73.146), and (2) the accountants have performed an SAS No. 71 (section 722) review of the financial statements underlying the capsule financial information. If those conditions have not been met, the accountants are limited to reporting procedures performed and findings obtained.

b. May give negative assurance as to whether the dollar amounts were determined on a basis substantially consistent with that of the corresponding amounts in the audited financial statements if the selected capsule financial information is more limited than the minimum disclosures described in APB Opinion 28, paragraph 30 (see example L [paragraph .64]), as long as the accountants have performed an SAS No. 71 (section 722) review of the financial statements underlying the capsule financial information. If an SAS No. 71 (section 722) review has not been performed, the accountants are limited to reporting procedures performed and findings obtained.

Pro Forma Financial Information

.42 Accountants should not comment in a comfort letter on pro forma financial information unless they have an appropriate level of knowledge of the accounting and financial reporting practices of the entity (or, in the case of a business combination, of a significant constituent part of the combined entity). This would ordinarily have been obtained by the accountants auditing or reviewing historical financial statements of the entity for the most recent
annual or interim period for which the pro forma financial information is presented. Accountants should not give negative assurance in a comfort letter on the application of pro forma adjustments to historical amounts, the compilation of pro forma financial information, whether the pro forma financial information complies as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of rule 11-02 of Regulation S-X or otherwise provide negative assurance with respect to pro forma financial information unless they have obtained the required knowledge described above and they have performed an audit of the annual financial statements, or an SAS No. 71 [section 722] review of the interim financial statements, of the entity (or, in the case of a business combination, of a significant constituent part of the combined entity) to which the pro forma adjustments were applied. In the case of a business combination, the historical financial statements of each constituent part of the combined entity on which the pro forma financial information is based should be audited or reviewed. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.43 If the accountants have obtained the required knowledge as described in paragraph .36, but have not met the requirements for giving negative assurance, the accountants are limited to reporting procedures performed and findings obtained. (See example O [paragraph .64].) The accountants should comply with the relevant guidance on reporting the results of agreed-upon procedures in AT section 201. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

Financial Forecasts

.44 For accountants to perform agreed-upon procedures on a financial forecast and comment thereon in a comfort letter, they should obtain the knowledge described in paragraph .36 and then perform procedures prescribed in AT section 301.69, for reporting on compilation of a forecast. Having performed these procedures, they should follow the guidance in AT section 301.18 and .19 regarding reports on compilations of prospective financial information and should attach their report29 thereon to the comfort letter.30 Then they can perform additional procedures and report their findings in the comfort letter (see examples E and O [paragraph .64]). Accountants may not provide negative assurance on the results of procedures performed. Further, accountants may not provide negative assurance with respect to compliance of the forecast with rule 11-03 of Regulation S-X unless they have performed an examination of the forecast in accordance with AT section 301. [Paragraph renumbered by the

29 For purposes of issuing a comfort letter, if the forecast is included in the registration statement, the forecast must be accompanied by an indication that the accountants have not examined the forecast and therefore do not express an opinion on it. If a compilation report on the forecast has been issued in connection with the comfort letter, the report need not be included in the registration statement. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86, March 1998.]

30 When a client’s securities are subject to regulation by the SEC, the accountants should be aware of the SEC’s views regarding independence when agreeing to perform a compilation of a forecast. Independence may be deemed to be impaired when services include preparation or assembly of financial forecasts. The SEC generally will not question the accountants’ independence, however when services are limited to issuing a report on a forecast as a result of performing the procedures stated in paragraph 5 of AT section 301.69. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86, March 1998. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Re- 
vised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the 
issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

Subsequent Changes

.45 Comments regarding subsequent changes typically relate to whether 
there has been any change in capital stock, increase in long-term debt or decreases 
in other specified financial statement items during a period, known as the “change 
period,” subsequent to the date and period of the latest financial statements 
included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement (see paragraph 
.50). These comments would also address such matters as subsequent changes in 
the amounts of (a) net current assets or stockholders’ equity and (b) net sales and 
the total and per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items and of net 
icome. The accountants ordinarily will be requested to read minutes and make 
inquiries of company officials relating to the whole of the change period.31 For the 
period between the date of the latest financial statements made available and the 
cutoff date, the accountants must base their comments solely on the limited 
procedures actually performed with respect to that period (which, in most cases, 
will be limited to the reading of minutes and the inquiries of company officials 
referred to in the preceding sentence), and their comfort letter should make this 
clear (see paragraph 6 of example A [paragraph .64]). [Paragraph renumbered by 
the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.46 If the underwriter requests negative assurance as to subsequent 
changes in specified financial statement items as of a date less than 135 days 
from the end of the most recent period for which the accountants have per-
formed an audit or a review, the accountants may provide such negative 
assurance in the comfort letter. For instance—

• When the accountants have audited the December 31, 19X6, financial 
  statements, the accountants may provide negative assurance on in-
  creases and decreases of specified financial statement items as of any 
  date up to May 14 (135 days subsequent to December 31).

• When the accountants have audited the December 31, 19X6, financial 
  statements and have also conducted an SAS No. 71 [section 722] review 
  of the interim financial information as of and for the quarter ended March 
  31, 19X7, the accountants may provide negative assurance as to increases 
  and decreases of specified financial statement items as of any date up to 
  August 14, 19X7 (135 days subsequent to March 31).

An appropriate manner of expressing negative assurance regarding sub-
sequent changes is shown in paragraphs 5b and 6 of example A [paragraph .64], 
if there has been no decrease and in example M [paragraph .64], if there has 
been a decrease. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on 
Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.47 However, if the underwriter requests negative assurance as to sub-
sequent changes in specified financial statement items as of a date 135 days or 
more subsequent to the end of the most recent period for which the accountants 
have performed an audit or a review, the accountants may not provide negative 
assurance but are limited to reporting procedures performed and findings 
obtained (see example O [paragraph .64]). [Paragraph renumbered by the 
issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

31 The answers to these inquiries generally should be supported by appropriate written repre-
sentations of the company officials. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing 
Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement 
on Auditing Standards No. 86, March 1998.]
In order that comments on subsequent changes be unambiguous and their determination be within accountants’ professional expertise, the comments should not relate to “adverse changes,” since that term has not acquired any clearly understood meaning. If there has been a change in an accounting principle during the change period, the accountants should note that fact in the letter. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

Comments on the occurrence of changes in capital stock, increases in long-term debt, and decreases in other specified financial statement items are limited to changes, increases, or decreases not disclosed in the registration statement. Accordingly, the phrase “except for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur” should be included in the letter when it has come to the accountants’ attention that a change, increase, or decrease has occurred during the change period, and the amount of such change, increase, or decrease is disclosed in the registration statement. This phrase need not be included in the letter when no changes, increases, or decreases in the specified financial statement items are disclosed in the registration statement. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

Change period. In the context of a comfort letter, a decrease occurs when the amount of a financial statement item at the cutoff date or for the change period (as if financial statements had been prepared at that date and for that period) is less than the amount of the same item at a specified earlier date or for a specified earlier period. With respect to the items mentioned in paragraph .45, the term decrease means (a) any combination of changes in amounts of current assets and current liabilities that results in decreased net current assets, (b) any combination of changes in amounts of assets and liabilities that results in decreased stockholders’ equity, (c) decreased net sales, and (d) any combination of changes in amounts of sales, expenses and outstanding shares that results in decreased total and per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items and of net income (including, in each instance, a greater loss or other negative amount). The change period for which the accountants give negative assurance in the comfort letter ends on the cutoff date (see paragraph .23) and ordinarily begins, for balance sheet items, immediately after the date of the latest balance sheet in the registration statement and, for income statement items, immediately after the latest period for which such items are presented in the registration statement. The comparison relates to the entire period and not to portions of that period. A decrease during one part of the period may be offset by an equal or larger increase in another part of the period; however, because there was no decrease for the period as a whole, the comfort letter would not report the decrease occurring during one part of the period (see, however, paragraph .62). [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

The underwriting agreement usually specifies the dates as of which, and periods for which, data at the cutoff date and data for the change period are to be compared. For balance sheet items, the comparison date is normally that of the latest balance sheet included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement (that is, immediately prior to the beginning of the change period). For income statement items, the comparison period or periods might be one or more of the following: (a) the corresponding period of the preceding year; (b) a period of corresponding length immediately preceding the change period, (c) a proportionate part of the preceding fiscal year, or (d) any other period of corresponding length chosen by the underwriter. Whether or
not specified in the underwriting agreement, the date and period used in comparison should be identified in the comfort letter in both draft and final form so that there is no misunderstanding about the matters being compared and so that the underwriter can determine whether the comparison period is suitable for his or her purposes. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.52 The underwriter occasionally requests that the change period begin immediately after the date of the latest audited balance sheet (which is, ordinarily, also the closing date of the latest audited statement of income) in the registration statement, even though the registration statement includes a more recent unaudited condensed balance sheet and condensed statement of income. The use of the earlier date may defeat the underwriter’s purpose, since it is possible that an increase in one of the items referred to in paragraph .45 occurring between the dates of the latest audited and unaudited balance sheets included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement might more than offset a decrease occurring after the latter date. A similar situation might arise in the comparison of income statement items. In these circumstances, the decrease occurring after the date of the latest unaudited condensed interim financial statements included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement would not be reported in the comfort letter. It is desirable for the accountants to explain the foregoing considerations to the underwriter; however, if the underwriter nonetheless requests the use of a change period or periods other than those described in paragraph .50, the accountants may use the period or periods requested. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.53 When other accountants are involved and their letters do not disclose matters that affect the negative assurance given, an appropriate manner of expressing these comments is shown in example J [paragraph .64]. When appropriate, the principal accountants may comment that there were no decreases in the consolidated financial statement items despite the possibility that decreases have been mentioned by the other accountants. In such a case, the principal accountants could make a statement that “nothing came to our attention regarding the consolidated financial statements as a result of the specified procedures (which, so far as the related company was concerned, consisted solely of reading the other accountants’ letter) that caused us to believe that...” [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

Tables, Statistics, and Other Financial Information

.54 The underwriting agreement sometimes calls for a comfort letter that includes comments on tables, statistics, and other financial information appearing in the registration statement. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.55 The accountants should refrain from commenting on certain matters in a comfort letter. Except as indicated in the next sentence, they should comment only with respect to information (a) that is expressed in dollars (or percentages derived from such dollar amounts) and that has been obtained from accounting records that are subject to the entity’s controls over financial reporting or (b) that has been derived directly from such accounting records by analysis or computation. The accountants may also comment on quantitative information that has been obtained from an accounting record if the information is subject to the same controls over financial reporting as the dollar
amounts. The accountants should not comment on matters merely because they happen to be present and are capable of reading, counting, measuring, or performing other functions that might be applicable. Examples of matters that, unless subjected to the entity’s controls over financial reporting (which is not ordinarily the case), should not be commented on by the accountants include the square footage of facilities, number of employees (except as related to a given payroll period), and backlog information. The accountants should not comment on tables, statistics, and other financial information relating to an unaudited period unless (a) they have performed an audit of the client’s financial statements for a period including or immediately prior to the unaudited period or have completed an audit for a later period or (b) they have otherwise obtained knowledge of the client’s internal control as provided for in paragraph .36 herein. In addition, the accountants should not comment on information subject to legal interpretation, such as beneficial share ownership. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. As amended, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]

.56 As with comments relating to financial statement information, it is important that the procedures followed by the accountants with respect to other information be clearly set out in the comfort letter, in both draft and final form, so that there will be no misunderstanding about the basis of the comments on the information. Further, so that there will be no implication that the accountants are furnishing any assurance with respect to the sufficiency of the procedures for the underwriter’s intended purpose, the comfort letter should contain a statement to this effect. An appropriate way of expressing this is shown in paragraph 10 of example F [paragraph .64] (see also paragraph .16 of this section). [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.57 Certain financial information in registration statements is included because of specific requirements of Regulation S-K. Accountants may comment as to whether this information is in conformity with the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K if the following conditions are met:

a. The information is derived from the accounting records subject to the entity’s controls over financial reporting, or has been derived directly from such accounting records by analysis or computation.

b. This information is capable of evaluation against reasonable criteria that have been established by the SEC.

The following are the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K that generally meet these conditions:

• Item 301, “Selected Financial Data”

[Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

[Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
Item 302, “Supplementary Financial Information”
Item 402, “Executive Compensation”
Item 503(d), “Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges”

Accountants may not give positive assurance on conformity with the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K; they are limited to giving negative assurance, since this information is not given in the form of financial statements and generally has not been audited by the accountants. Even with respect to the above-mentioned items, there may be situations in which it would be inappropriate to provide negative assurance with respect to conformity of this information with Regulation S-K because conditions (a) and (b) above have not been met. Since information relevant to Regulation S-K disclosure requirements other than those noted previously is generally not derived from the accounting records subject to the entity’s controls over financial reporting, it is not appropriate for the accountants to comment on conformity of this information with Regulation S-K. The accountants’ inability to comment on conformity with Regulation S-K does not preclude accountants from performing procedures and reporting findings with respect to this information. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.58 To avoid ambiguity, the specific information commented on in the letter should be identified by reference to specific captions, tables, page numbers, paragraphs, or sentences. Descriptions of the procedures followed and the findings obtained may be stated individually for each item of specific information commented on. Alternatively, if the procedures and findings are adequately described, some or all of the descriptions may be grouped or summarized, as long as the applicability of the descriptions to items in the registration statement is clear and the descriptions do not imply that the accountants assume responsibility for the adequacy of the procedures. It would also be appropriate to present a matrix listing the financial information and common procedures employed and indicating the procedures applied to the specific items. Another presentation that could be used identifies procedures performed with specified symbols and identifies items to which those procedures have been applied directly on a copy of the prospectus which is attached to the comfort letter. (See examples F, G, and H [paragraph .64]). [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.59 Comments in the comfort letter concerning tables, statistics, and other financial information included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement should be made in the form of a description of the procedures followed; the findings (ordinarily expressed in terms of agreement between items compared); and in some cases, as described below, statements with respect to the acceptability of methods of allocation used in deriving the figures commented on. Whether comments on the allocation of income or expense items between categories of sales (such as military and commercial sales) may appropriately be made will depend on the extent to which such allocation is made in, or can be derived directly by analysis or computation from, the client’s accounting records. In any event, such comments, if made, should make clear that such allocations are to a substantial extent arbitrary, that the method of allocation used is not the only acceptable one, and that other acceptable methods of allocation might produce significantly different results. Furthermore, no comments should be made regarding segment information (or the appropriateness of allocations made to derive segment information) included...
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in financial statements, since the accountants’ report encompasses that information (see section 435, Segment Information). 

Appropriate ways of expressing comments on tables, statistics, and other financial information are shown in examples F, G, and H [paragraph .64]. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

.60 In comments concerning tables, statistics, and other financial information, the expression “presents fairly” (or a variation of it) should not be used. That expression, when used by independent accountants, ordinarily relates to presentations of financial statements and should not be used in commenting on other types of information. Except with respect to requirements for financial statements and certain Regulation S-K items discussed in paragraph .57, the question of what constitutes appropriate information for compliance with the requirements of a particular item of the registration statement form is a matter of legal interpretation outside the competence of accountants. Consequently, the letter should state that the accountants make no representations regarding any matter of legal interpretation. Since the accountants will not be in a position to make any representations about the completeness or adequacy of disclosure or about the adequacy of the procedures followed, the letter should so state. It should point out, as well, that such procedures would not necessarily disclose material misstatements or omissions in the information to which the comments relate. An appropriate manner of expressing the comments is shown in examples F, G, and H [paragraph .64]. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

Concluding Paragraph

.61 In order to avoid misunderstanding of the purpose and intended use of the comfort letter, it is desirable that the letter conclude with a paragraph along the following lines:

This letter is solely for the information of the addressees and to assist the underwriters in conducting and documenting their investigation of the affairs of the company in connection with the offering of the securities covered by the registration statement, and it is not to be used, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to within or without the underwriting group for any other purpose, including, but not limited to, the registration, purchase, or sale of securities, nor is it to be filed with or referred to in whole or in part in the registration statement or any other document, except that reference may be made to it in the underwriting agreement or in any list of closing documents pertaining to the offering of the securities covered by the registration statement.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

---

34 See paragraph .30 regarding requests by an underwriter for comments on interim financial information required by item 302(a) of Regulation S-K and required supplementary information described in section 558. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86, March 1998.]

35 When the letter is furnished by the accountants for a subsidiary and they are not also accountants for the parent company, the letter should include the following phrase at this point: “and for the use of the accountants for [name of issuer] in furnishing their letter to the underwriters.” [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995. Footnote subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86, March 1998.]
Disclosure of Subsequently Discovered Matters

.62 Accountants who discover matters that may require mention in the final comfort letter but that are not mentioned in the draft letter that has been furnished to the underwriter, such as changes, increases, or decreases in specified items not disclosed in the registration statement (see paragraphs .45 and .49), will naturally want to discuss them with their client so that consideration can be given to whether disclosure should be made in the registration statement. If disclosure is not to be made, the accountants should inform the client that the matters will be mentioned in the comfort letter and should suggest that the underwriter be informed promptly. It is recommended that the accountants be present when the client and the underwriter discuss such matters. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]

Effective Date

.63 This section is effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1993. Early application of this section is encouraged. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]
Appendix

Examples

1. The contents of comfort letters vary, depending on the extent of the information in the registration statement and the wishes of the underwriter or other requesting party. Shelf registration statements may have several closing dates and different underwriters. Descriptions of procedures and findings regarding interim financial statements, tables, statistics, or other financial information that is incorporated by reference from previous 1934 Act filings may have to be repeated in several comfort letters. To avoid restating these descriptions in each comfort letter, accountants may initially issue the comments in a format (such as an appendix) that can be referred to in, and attached to, subsequently issued comfort letters.

Example A: Typical Comfort Letter

2. A typical comfort letter includes—
   a. A statement regarding the independence of the accountants (paragraphs .31 and .32).
   b. An opinion regarding whether the audited financial statements and financial statement schedules included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC (paragraphs .33 and .34).
   c. Negative assurance on whether—
      1. The unaudited condensed interim financial information included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement (paragraph .37) complies as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.
      2. Any material modifications should be made to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.
   d. Negative assurance on whether, during a specified period following the date of the latest financial statements in the registration statement and prospectus, there has been any change in capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decrease in other specified financial statement items (paragraphs .45 through .53).

Example A is a letter covering all these items. Letters that cover some of the items may be developed by omitting inapplicable portions of example A.

Example A assumes the following circumstances. The prospectus (part I of the registration statement) includes audited consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, and audited consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for each of the three

---

1 The example includes financial statements required by SEC regulations to be included in the filing. If additional financial information is covered by the comfort letter, appropriate modifications should be made.
years in the period ended December 31, 19X5. Part I also includes an unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, reviewed in accordance with section 722 but not previously reported on by the accountants. Part II of the registration statement includes audited consolidated financial statement schedules for the three years ended December 31, 19X5. The cutoff date is June 23, 19X6, and the letter is dated June 28, 19X6. The effective date is June 28, 19X6.

Each of the comments in the letter is in response to a requirement of the underwriting agreement. For purposes of example A, the income statement items of the current interim period are to be compared with those of the corresponding period of the preceding year.

June 28, 19X6

[Addressee]

Dear Sirs:

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of The Blank Company, Inc. (the company) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, and the consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 19X5, and the related financial statement schedules all included in the registration statement (no. 33-00000) on Form S-1 filed by the company under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act); our reports with respect thereto are also included in that registration statement. The registration statement, as amended on June 28, 19X6, is herein referred to as the registration statement.2

In connection with the registration statement—

1. We are independent certified public accountants with respect to the company within the meaning of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC.

2. In our opinion (include the phrase “except as disclosed in the registration statement,” if applicable), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules audited by us and included in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

3. We have not audited any financial statements of the company as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5; although we have conducted an audit for the year ended December 31, 19X5, the purpose (and therefore the scope) of the audit was to enable us to express our opinion on the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 19X5, and for the year then ended, but not on the financial statements for any interim period within that year. Therefore, we are unable to and do not express any opinion on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings

---

2 The example assumes that the accountants have not previously reported on the interim financial information. If the accountants have previously reported on the interim financial information, they may refer to that fact in the introductory paragraph of the comfort letter as follows: Also, we have reviewed the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, and for the three-month periods then ended, as indicated in our report dated May 15, 19X6, which is included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement. The report may be attached to the comfort letter (see paragraph .29). The accountants may agree to comment in the comment letter on whether the interim financial information complies as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.
4. For purposes of this letter we have read the 19X6 minutes of meetings of the stockholders, the board of directors, and (include other appropriate committees, if any) of the company and its subsidiaries as set forth in the minute books at June 23, 19X6, officials of the company having advised us that the minutes of all such meetings through that date were set forth therein; we have carried out other procedures to June 23, 19X6, as follows (our work did not extend to the period from June 24, 19X6, to June 28, 19X6, inclusive):

a. With respect to the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, we have—

(i) Performed the procedures specified by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for a review of interim financial information as described in SAS No. 71, *Interim Financial Information*, on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the registration statement.

(ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in a(i) comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

b. With respect to the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, we have—

(i) Read the unaudited consolidated financial statements of the company and subsidiaries for April and May of both 19X5 and 19X6 furnished us by the company, officials of the company having advised us that no such financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, were available.

(ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited consolidated financial statements referred to in b(i) are stated on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements included in the registration statement.

The foregoing procedures do not constitute an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Also, they would not necessarily reveal matters of significance with respect to the comments in the following paragraph. Accordingly, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the foregoing procedures for your purposes.

--

3 The accountants should discuss with the secretary those meetings for which minutes have not been approved. The letter should be modified to identify specifically the unapproved minutes of meetings that the accountants have discussed with the secretary.

4 If the interim financial information is incomplete, a sentence similar to the following should be added: “The financial information for April and May is incomplete in that it omits the statements of cash flows and other disclosures.”
5. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the foregoing procedures, however, that caused us\(^5\) to believe that—

\(a\).

(i) Any material modifications should be made to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements described in 4\(a\)(i), included in the registration statement, for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.\(^6\)

(ii) The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements described in 4\(a\)(i) do not comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

\(b\).

(i) At May 31, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt, or decrease in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement, or (ii) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared to the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur.

6. As mentioned in 4\(b\), company officials have advised us that no consolidated financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, are available; accordingly, the procedures carried out by us with respect to changes in financial statement items after May 31, 19X6, have, of necessity, been even more limited than those with respect to the periods referred to in 4. We have inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether (a) at June 23, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown on the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement or (b) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to June 23, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income. On the basis of these inquiries and our reading of the minutes as described in 4, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that there was any such change, increase, or decrease, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur.

7. This letter is solely for the information of the addressees and to assist the underwriters in conducting and documenting their investigation of the affairs of the company in connection with the offering of the securities covered by the registration statement, and it is not to be used, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to within or without the underwriting group for any purpose, including but not limited to the registration, purchase, or sale of securities, nor is it to be filed with or referred to in whole or in part in the registration statement or any other document, except that reference may be made to it in

\(^5\) If there has been a change in accounting principle during the interim period, a reference to that change should be included herein.

\(^6\) Section 722 does not require the accountants to modify the report on a review of interim financial information for a lack of consistency in the application of accounting principles provided that the interim financial information appropriately discloses such matters.

**AU §634.64** Copyright © 1998, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
Example B: Letter When a Short-Form Registration Statement Is Filed Incorporating Previously Filed Forms 10-K and 10-Q by Reference

3. Example B is applicable when a registrant uses a short-form registration statement (Form S-2 or S-3) which, by reference, incorporates previously filed Forms 10-K and 10-Q. It assumes that the short-form registration statement and prospectus include the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 19X5, and Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 19X6, which have been incorporated by reference. In addition to the information presented below, the letter would also contain paragraphs 6 and 7 of the typical letter in example A. A Form S-2 registration statement will often both incorporate and include the registrant’s financial statements. In such situations, the language in the following example should be appropriately modified to refer to such information as being both incorporated and included.

June 28, 19X6

[Addressee]

Dear Sirs:

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of The Blank Company, Inc. (the company) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, and the consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 19X5, and the related financial statement schedules, all included (incorporated by reference) in the company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 19X5, and incorporated by reference in the registration statement (no. 33-00000) on Form S-3 filed by the company under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act); our report with respect thereto is also incorporated by reference in that registration statement. The registration statement, as amended on June 28, 19X6, is herein referred to as the registration statement.

In connection with the registration statement—

1. We are independent certified public accountants with respect to the company within the meaning of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC.

2. In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules audited by us and incorporated by reference in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

3. We have not audited any financial statements of the company as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5; although we have conducted an audit for the year ended December 31, 19X5, the purpose (and therefore the scope) of the audit was to enable us to express our opinion on the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 19X5, and for the year then ended, but not on the consolidated financial statements for any interim period within that year. Therefore, we are unable to and do not express any opinion on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the company’s quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 19X6, incorporated by
4. For purposes of this letter, we have read the 19X6 minutes of the meetings of the stockholders, the board of directors, and [include other appropriate committees, if any] of the company and its subsidiaries as set forth in the minute books at June 23, 19X6, officials of the company having advised us that the minutes of all such meetings through that date were set forth therein; we have carried out other procedures to June 23, 19X6, as follows (our work did not extend to the period from June 24, 19X6, to June 28, 19X6, inclusive):

a. With respect to the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, we have—

(i) Performed the procedures specified by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for a review of interim financial information as described in SAS No. 71, Interim Financial Information, on the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for these periods, described in 3, included in the company’s quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 19X6, incorporated by reference in the registration statement.

(ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in a(i) comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as it applies to Form 10-Q and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

b. With respect to the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, we have—

(i) Read the unaudited consolidated financial statements of the company and subsidiaries for April and May of both 19X5 and 19X6 furnished us by the company, officials of the company having advised us that no such financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, were available.

(ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited consolidated financial statements referred to in b(i) are stated on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference in the registration statement.

The foregoing procedures do not constitute an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Also, they would not necessarily reveal matters of significance with respect to the comments in the following paragraph. Accordingly, we make no representations about the sufficiency of the foregoing procedures for your purposes.

5. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the foregoing procedures, however, that caused us to believe that—

a. (i) Any material modifications should be made to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements described in 3, incorporated by reference in the registration statement, for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.
(ii) The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements described in 3 do not comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as it applies to Form 10-Q and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

b. (i) At May 31, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt, or any decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6 unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet incorporated by reference in the registration statement or (ii) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur.

Example C: Letter Reaffirming Comments in Example A as of a Later Date

4. If more than one comfort letter is requested, the later letter may, in appropriate situations, refer to information appearing in the earlier letter without repeating such information (see paragraph .24 and paragraph 1 of the Appendix). Example C reaffirms and updates the information in example A.

July 25, 19X6

[Addressee]

Dear Sirs:

We refer to our letter of June 28, 19X6, relating to the registration statement (no. 33-00000) of The Blank Company, Inc. (the company). We reaffirm as of the date hereof (and as though made on the date hereof) all statements made in that letter except that, for the purposes of this letter—

a. The registration statement to which this letter relates is as amended on July 13, 19X6 (effective date).

b. The reading of minutes described in paragraph 4 of that letter has been carried out through July 20, 19X6 (the new cutoff date).

c. The procedures and inquiries covered in paragraph 4 of that letter were carried out to July 20, 19X6 (the new cutoff date) (our work did not extend to the period from July 21, 19X6, to July 25, 19X6 (date of letter), inclusive).

d. The period covered in paragraph 4b of that letter is changed to the period from April 1, 19X6, to June 30, 19X6, officials of the company having advised us that no such financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to June 30, 19X6, were available.

e. The references to May 31, 19X6, in paragraph 5b of that letter are changed to June 30, 19X6.

f. The references to May 31, 19X6, and June 23, 19X6, in paragraph 6 of that letter are changed to June 30, 19X6, and July 20, 19X6, respectively.

This letter is solely for the information of the addressees and to assist the underwriters in conducting and documenting their investigation of the affairs of the company in connection with the offering of the securities covered by the registration statement, and it is not to be used, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to within the underwriting group for any other purpose, including but
Example D: Comments on Pro Forma Financial Information

5. Example D is applicable when the accountants are asked to comment on
   (a) whether the pro forma financial information included in a registration
   statement complies as to form in all material respects with the applicable
   accounting requirements of rule 11-02 of Regulation S-X, and (b) the
   application of pro forma adjustments to historical amounts in the compilation of the
   pro forma financial information (see paragraphs .42 and .43). The material in
   this example is intended to be inserted between paragraphs 6 and 7 in example
   A. The accountants have audited the December 31, 19X5, financial statements
   and have conducted an SAS No. 71 [section 722] review of the March 31, 19X6,
   interim financial information of the acquiring company. Other accountants
   conducted a review of the March 31, 19X6, interim financial information of XYZ
   Company, the company being acquired. The example assumes that the account-
   ants have not previously reported on the pro forma financial information. If the
   accountants did previously report on the pro forma financial information, they
   may refer in the introductory paragraph of the comfort letter to the fact that
   they have issued a report, and the report may be attached to the comfort letter
   (see paragraph .29). In that circumstance, therefore, the procedures in 7b(i) and
   7c ordinarily would not be performed, and the accountants should not sepa-
   rately comment on the application of pro forma adjustments to historical
   financial information, since that assurance is encompassed in the accountants’
   report on pro forma financial information. The accountants may, however,
   agree to comment on compliance as to form with the applicable accounting
   requirements of rule 11-02 of Regulation S-X.

7. At your request, we have—
   a. Read the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet as
      of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated
      statements of income for the year ended December 31, 19X5, and the
      three-month period ended March 31, 19X6, included in the registration
      statement.
   b. Inquired of certain officials of the company and of XYZ Company (the
      company being acquired) who have responsibility for financial and
      accounting matters about—
      (i) The basis for their determination of the pro forma adjustments, and
      (ii) Whether the unaudited pro forma condensed financial
      statements referred to in 7a comply as to form in all material respects
      with the applicable accounting requirements of rule 11-02 of Regulation
      S-X.
   c. Proved the arithmetic accuracy of the application of the pro forma
      adjustments to the historical amounts in the unaudited pro forma
      condensed consolidated financial statements.

The foregoing procedures are substantially less in scope than an examination,
the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on management’s assump-
ations, the pro forma adjustments, and the application of those adjustments to
historical financial information. Accordingly, we do not express such an opin-
ion. The foregoing procedures would not necessarily reveal matters of signifi-
cance with respect to the comments in the following paragraph. Accordingly,
we make no representation about the sufficiency of such procedures for your
purposes.

8. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the procedures specified in
paragraph 7, however, that caused us to believe that the unaudited pro forma
condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in 7a included in the
registration statement do not comply as to form in all material respects with
the applicable accounting requirements of rule 11-02 of Regulation S-X and
that the pro forma adjustments have not been properly applied to the historical
amounts in the compilation of those statements. Had we performed additional
procedures or had we made an examination of the pro forma condensed
consolidated financial statements, other matters might have come to our
attention that would have been reported to you.

Example E: Comments on a Financial Forecast

6. Example E is applicable when accountants are asked to comment on a
financial forecast (see paragraph .44). The material in this example is intended
to be inserted between paragraphs 6 and 7 in example A. The example assumes
that the accountants have previously reported on the compilation of the
financial forecast and that the report is attached to the letter (see paragraph
.29 and example O).

7. At your request, we performed the following procedure with respect to
the forecasted consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statements of
income and cash flows as of December 31, 19X6, and for the year then ending.
With respect to forecasted rental income, we compared the occupancy statistics
about expected demand for rental of the housing units to statistics for existing
comparable properties and found them to be the same.

8. Because the procedure described above does not constitute an examina-
tion of prospective financial statements in accordance with standards estab-
lished by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, we do not
express an opinion on whether the prospective financial statements are pre-
sented in conformity with AICPA presentation guidelines or on whether the
underlying assumptions provide a reasonable basis for the presentation.

Had we performed additional procedures or had we made an examination of
the forecast in accordance with standards established by the American Insti-
tute of Certified Public Accountants, matters might have come to our attention
that would have been reported to you. Furthermore, there will usually be
differences between the forecasted and actual results, because events and
circumstances frequently do not occur as expected, and those differences may
be material.

Example F: Comments on Tables, Statistics, and Other Financial
Information—Complete Description of Procedures and Findings

7. Example F is applicable when the accountants are asked to comment on
tables, statistics, or other compilations of information appearing in a registra-
tion statement (paragraphs .54 through .60). Each of the comments is in
response to a specific request. The paragraphs in example F are intended to
follow paragraph 6 in example A.
7. For purposes of this letter, we have also read the following, set forth in
the registration statement on the indicated pages.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>“Capitalization.” The amounts under the captions “Amount Outstanding as of June 15, 19X6” and “As Adjusted.” The related notes, except the following in Note 2: “See ‘Transactions With Interested Persons.’ From the proceeds of this offering the company intends to prepay $900,000 on these notes, pro rata. See ‘Use of Proceeds.’”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>“History and Business—Sales and Marketing.” The table following the first paragraph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>“Executive Compensation—19X5 Compensation.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>“Selected Financial Data.”10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Our audit of the consolidated financial statements for the periods re-
ferred to in the introductory paragraph of this letter comprised audit tests and
procedures deemed necessary for the purpose of expressing an opinion on such
financial statements taken as a whole. For none of the periods referred to
therein, or any other period, did we perform audit tests for the purpose of
expressing an opinion on individual balances of accounts or summaries of
selected transactions such as those enumerated above, and, accordingly, we
express no opinion thereon.

9. However, for purposes of this letter we have performed the following
additional procedures, which were applied as indicated with respect to the
items enumerated above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item in 7</th>
<th>Procedures and Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>We compared the amounts and numbers of shares listed under the caption “Amount Outstanding as of June 15, 19X6” with the balances in the appropriate accounts in the company’s general ledger at May 31, 19X6 (the latest date for which posting had been made), and found them to be in agreement. We were informed by company officials who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters that there have been no changes in such amounts and numbers of shares between May 31, 19X6, and June 15, 19X6. We compared the amounts and numbers of shares listed under the caption “Amount Outstanding as of June 15, 19X6,” adjusted for the issuance of the debentures to be offered by means of the registration statement and for the proposed use of a portion of the proceeds thereof to prepay portions of certain notes, as described under “Use of Proceeds,” with the amounts and numbers of shares shown under the caption “As Adjusted” and found such amounts and numbers of shares to be in agreement. (However, we make no comments regarding the reasonableness of the “Use of Proceeds” or whether such use will actually take place.) We compared the description of the securities and the information (except certain information in Note 2, referred to in 7) included in the notes to the table with the corresponding descriptions and information in the company’s consolidated financial statements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9 In some cases it may be considered desirable to combine in one paragraph the substance of paragraphs 7 and 9. This may be done by expanding the identification of items in paragraph 9 to provide the identification information contained in paragraph 7. In such cases, the introductory sentences in paragraphs 7 and 9 and the text of paragraph 8 might be combined as follows: “For purposes of this letter, we have also read the following information and have performed the additional procedures stated below with respect to such information. Our audit of the consolidated financial statements.

10 In some cases the company or the underwriter may request that the independent accountants report on “selected financial data” as described in section 552, Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data. When the accountants report on this data and the report is included in the registration statement, separate comments should not be included in the comfort letter (see paragraph 30).
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including the notes thereto included in the registration statement, and found such description and information to be in agreement.

b We compared the amounts of military sales, commercial sales, and total sales shown in the registration statement with the balances in the appropriate accounts in the company's accounting records for the respective fiscal years and for the unaudited interim periods and found them to be in agreement. We proved the arithmetic accuracy of the percentages of such amounts of military sales and commercial sales to total sales for the respective fiscal years and for the unaudited interim periods. We compared such computed percentages with the corresponding percentages appearing in the registration statement and found them to be in agreement.

c We compared the dollar amounts of compensation (salary, bonus, and other compensation) for each individual listed in the table “Annual Compensation” with the corresponding amounts shown by the individual employee earnings records for the year 19X5 and found them to be in agreement. We compared the dollar amounts of the aggregate executive officers' cash compensation on page 22 with the corresponding amount shown in an analysis prepared by the company and found the amounts to be in agreement. We traced every item over $10,000 on the analysis to the individual employee records for 19X5. We compared the dollar amounts shown under the heading of “Long-Term Compensation” on page 24 for each listed individual and the aggregate amounts for executive officers with corresponding amounts shown in an analysis prepared by the company and found such amounts to be in agreement. We traced every item over $10,000 on the analysis to the individual employee records for 19X5. We compared the dollar amounts shown under the heading of “Long-Term Compensation” on page 24 for each listed individual and the aggregate amounts for executive officers with corresponding amounts shown in an analysis prepared by the company and found such amounts to be in agreement.

d We compared the amounts of net sales, income from continuing operations, income from continuing operations per common share, and cash dividends declared per common share for the years ended December 31, 19X5, 19X4, and 19X3, with the respective amounts in the consolidated financial statements on pages 27 and 28 and the amounts for the years ended December 31, 19X2, and 19X1, with the respective amounts in the consolidated financial statements included in the company's annual reports to stockholders for 19X2 and 19X1 and found them to be in agreement. We compared the amounts of total assets, long-term obligations, and redeemable preferred stock at December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, with the respective amounts in the consolidated financial statements on pages 27 and 28 and the amounts at December 31, 19X3, and 19X2, and 19X1 with the corresponding amounts in the consolidated financial statements included in the company's annual reports to stockholders for 19X3, 19X2, and 19X1 and found them to be in agreement.

We compared the information included under the heading “Selected Financial Data” with the requirements of item 301 of Regulation S-K. We also inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether this information conforms in all material respects with the disclosure requirements of item 301 of Regulation S-K. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the foregoing procedures that caused us to believe that this information does not conform in all material respects with the disclosure requirements of item 301 of Regulation S-K.
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10. It should be understood that we make no representations regarding questions of legal interpretation or regarding the sufficiency for your purposes of the procedures enumerated in the preceding paragraph; also, such procedures would not necessarily reveal any material misstatement of the amounts or percentages listed above. Further, we have addressed ourselves solely to the foregoing data as set forth in the registration statement and make no representations regarding the adequacy of disclosure or regarding whether any material facts have been omitted.

11. This letter is solely for the information of the addressees and to assist the underwriters in conducting and documenting their investigation of the affairs of the company in connection with the offering of the securities covered by the registration statement, and it is not be used, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to within or without the underwriting group for any other purpose, including but not limited to the registration, purchase, or sale of securities, nor is it to be filed with or referred to in whole or in part in the registration statement or any other document, except that reference may be made to it in the underwriting agreement or in any list of closing documents pertaining to the offering of the securities covered by the registration statement.

Example G: Comments on Tables, Statistics, and Other Financial Information—Summarized Description of Procedures and Findings Regarding Tables, Statistics, and Other Financial Information

8. Example G illustrates, in paragraph 9a, a method of summarizing the descriptions of procedures and findings regarding tables, statistics, and other financial information in order to avoid repetition in the comfort letter. The summarization of the descriptions is permitted by paragraph .58. Each of the comments is in response to a specific request. The paragraphs in example G are intended to follow paragraph 6 in example A.11

7. For purposes of this letter, we have also read the following, set forth in the registration statement on the indicated pages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>“Capitalization.” The amounts under the captions “Amount Outstanding as of June 15, 19X6” and “As Adjusted.” The related notes, except the following in Note 2: “See ‘Transactions With Interested Persons.’ From the proceeds of this offering the company intends to prepay $900,000 on these notes, pro rata. See ‘Use of Proceeds.’”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>“History and Business—Sales and Marketing.” The table following the first paragraph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>“Executive Compensation—19X5 Compensation.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>“Selected Financial Data.”12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Our audit of the consolidated financial statements for the periods referred to in the introductory paragraph of this letter comprised audit tests and procedures deemed necessary for the purpose of expressing an opinion on such financial statements taken as a whole. For none of the periods referred to there-

11 Other methods of summarizing the descriptions may also be appropriately used. For example, the letter may present a matrix listing the financial information and common procedures employed and indicating the procedures applied to specific items.

12 See footnote 10 of the Appendix.
in, or any other period, did we perform audit tests for the purpose of expressing an opinion on individual balances of accounts or summaries of selected transactions such as those enumerated above, and, accordingly, we express no opinion thereon.

9. However, for purposes of this letter and with respect to the items enumerated in 7 above—

a. Except for item 7a, we have (i) compared the dollar amounts either with the amounts in the audited consolidated financial statements described in the introductory paragraph of this letter or, for prior years, included in the company’s annual report to stockholders for the years 19X1, 19X2, and 19X3, or with amounts in the unaudited consolidated financial statements described in paragraph 3 to the extent such amounts are included in or can be derived from such statements and found them to be in agreement; (ii) compared the amounts of military sales, commercial sales, and total sales and the dollar amounts of compensation for each listed individual with amounts in the company’s accounting records and found them to be in agreement; (iii) compared other dollar amounts with amounts shown in analyses prepared by the company and found them to be in agreement; and (iv) proved the arithmetic accuracy of the percentages based on the data in the above-mentioned financial statements, accounting records, and analyses.

We compared the information in items 7c and 7d with the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K. We also inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether this information conforms in all material respects with the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the foregoing procedures that caused us to believe that this information does not conform in all material respects with the disclosure requirements of items 402 and 301, respectively, of Regulation S-K.

b. With respect to item 7a, we compared the amounts and numbers of shares listed under the caption “Amount Outstanding as of June 15, 19X6” with the balances in the appropriate accounts in the company’s general ledger at May 31, 19X6 (the latest date for which postings had been made), and found them to be in agreement. We were informed by officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters that there had been no changes in such amounts and numbers of shares between May 31, 19X6, and June 15, 19X6. We compared the amounts and numbers of shares listed under the caption “Amount Outstanding as of June 15, 19X6” adjusted for the issuance of the debentures to be offered by means of the registration statement and for the proposed use of a portion of the proceeds thereof to prepay portions of certain notes, as described under “Use of Proceeds,” with the amounts and numbers of shares shown under the caption “As Adjusted” and found such amounts and numbers of shares to be in agreement. (However, we make no comments regarding the reasonableness of “Use of Proceeds” or whether such use will actually take place.) We compared the description of the securities and the information (except certain information in Note 2, referred to in 7) included in the notes to the table with the corresponding descriptions and information in the company’s consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, included in the registration statement and found such descriptions and information to be in agreement.

10. It should be understood that we make no representations regarding questions of legal interpretation or regarding the sufficiency for your purposes of the procedures enumerated in the preceding paragraph; also, such procedures would not necessarily reveal any material misstatement of the amounts
or percentages listed above. Further, we have addressed ourselves solely to the
foregoing data as set forth in the registration statement and make no repre-
sentations regarding the adequacy of disclosure or regarding whether any
material facts have been omitted.

11. This letter is solely for the information of the addressees and to assist
the underwriters in conducting and documenting their investigation of the
affairs of the company in connection with the offering of the securities covered
by the registration statement, and it is not to be used, circulated, quoted, or
otherwise referred to within or without the underwriting group for any other
purpose, including but not limited to the registration, purchase, or sale of
securities, nor is it to be filed with or referred to in whole or in part in the
registration statement or any other document, except that reference may be
made to it in the underwriting agreement or in any list of closing documents
pertaining to the offering of the securities covered by the registration state-
ment.

Example H: Comments on Tables, Statistics, and Other Financial
Information: Descriptions of Procedures and Findings Regarding
Tables, Statistics, and Other Financial Information—Attached
Registration Statement (or Selected Pages) Identifies With
Designated Symbols Items to Which Procedures Were Applied

9. This example illustrates an alternate format which could facilitate
reporting when the accountant is requested to perform procedures on numerous
statistics included in a registration statement. This format is permitted by
paragraph .58. Each of the comments is in response to a specific request. The
paragraph in example H is intended to follow paragraph 6 in example A.

7. For purposes of this letter, we have also read the items identified by you
on the attached copy of the registration statement (prospectus), and have
performed the following procedures, which were applied as indicated with
respect to the symbols explained below:

☑ Compared the amount with the XYZ (Predecessor Company) finan-
cial statements for the period indicated and found them to be in
agreement.

✓ Compared the amount with the XYZ (Predecessor Company) finan-
cial statements for the period indicated contained in the registration
statement and found them to be in agreement.

✓ Compared the amount with ABC Company’s financial statements for
the period indicated contained in the registration statement and
found them to be in agreement.

☑ Compared with a schedule or report prepared by the Company and
found them to be in agreement.

The letter would also contain paragraphs 8, 10, and 11 of the letter in example F.

[The following is an extract from a registration statement that illustrates how
an accountant can document procedures performed on numerous statistics
included in the registration statement.]

The following summary is qualified in its entirety by the financial statements
and detailed information appearing elsewhere in this Prospectus.
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The Company

ABC Company (the “Company”) designs, constructs, sells, and finances single-family homes for the entry-level and move-up homebuyer. The Company and its predecessor have built and delivered more single-family homes in the metropolitan area than any other homebuilder for each of the last five years. The Company delivered 1,000 homes in the year ending December 31, 19X5, and at December 31, 19X5, had 20 homes under contract with an aggregate sales price of approximately $45,000,000. The Company’s wholly owned mortgage banking subsidiary, which commenced operations in March 19X5, currently originates a substantial portion of the mortgages for homes sold by the Company.

The Company typically does not engage in land development without related home-building operations and limits speculative building. The Company purchases only that land which it is prepared to begin developing immediately for home production. A substantial portion of the Company’s homes are under contract for sale before construction commences.

The DEF area has been among the top five markets in the country in housing starts for each of the last five years, with more than 90,000 single-family starts during that period. During the same period, the DEF metropolitan area has experienced increases in population, personal income, and employment at rates above the national average. The Company is a major competitive factor in three of the seven market areas, and is expanding significantly in a fourth area.

The Offering

Common Stock Offered by the Company 750,000 shares of Common Stock—$.01 par value (the “Common Stock”)

Common Stock to Be Outstanding 3,250,000 shares

Use of Proceeds To repay indebtedness incurred for the acquisition of the Company.

Proposed NASDAQ Symbol ABC

* Assumes no exercise of the Underwriters' overallotment option. See “Underwriting.”

Summary Financial Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Statement Data</th>
<th>19X1</th>
<th>19X2</th>
<th>19X3</th>
<th>19X4</th>
<th>19X5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue from home sales</td>
<td>$106,603</td>
<td>$88,977</td>
<td>$104,110</td>
<td>$115,837</td>
<td>$131,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit from sales</td>
<td>$15,980</td>
<td>$21,138</td>
<td>$23,774</td>
<td>$17,099</td>
<td>$22,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from home building net of tax</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>3,473</td>
<td>7,029</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13 See paragraph .55.
Example I: Alternate Wording When Accountants’ Report on Audited Financial Statements Contains an Explanatory Paragraph

10. Example I is applicable when the accountants’ report on the audited financial statements included in the registration statement contains an explanatory paragraph regarding a matter that would also affect the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements included in the registration statement. The introductory paragraph of example A would be revised as follows:

Our reports with respect thereto (which contain an explanatory paragraph that describes a lawsuit to which the Company is a defendant, discussed in note 8 to the consolidated financial statements) are also included in the registration statement.

The matter described in the explanatory paragraph should also be evaluated to determine whether it also requires mention in the comments on the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial information (paragraph 5b of example A). If it is concluded that mention of such a matter in the comments on unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements is appropriate, a sentence should be added at the end of paragraph 5b in example A:

Reference should be made to the introductory paragraph of this letter which states that our audit report covering the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 19X5, includes an explanatory paragraph that describes a lawsuit to which the company is a defendant, discussed in note 8 to the consolidated financial statements.

Example J: Alternate Wording When More Than One Accountant Is Involved

11. Example J applies when more than one accountant is involved in the audit of the financial statements of a business and the principal accountants have obtained a copy of the comfort letter of the other accountants (see paragraph .18). Example J consists of an addition to paragraph 4c, a substitution for the applicable part of paragraph 5, and an addition to paragraph 6 of example A.

4c. We have read the letter dated _________ of [the other accountants] with regard to [the related company].

5. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the foregoing procedures (which, so far as [the related company] is concerned, consisted solely of reading the letter referred to in 4c), however, that caused us to believe that....

6. . . . On the basis of these inquiries and our reading of the minutes and the letter dated _________ of [the other accountants] with regard to [the related company], as described in 4, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that there was any such change, increase, or decrease, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur.

Example K: Alternate Wording When the SEC Has Agreed to a Departure From Its Accounting Requirements

12. Example K is applicable when (a) there is a departure from the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC and (b) representatives of the SEC have agreed to the departure. Paragraph 2 of example A would be revised to read as follows:
2. In our opinion [include the phrase “except as disclosed in the registration statement,” if applicable], the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules audited by us and included (incorporated by reference) in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC; however, as agreed to by representatives of the SEC, separate financial statements and financial statement schedules of ABC Company (an equity investee) as required by rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X have been omitted.

Example L: Alternate Wording When Recent Earnings Data Are Presented in Capsule Form

13. Example L is applicable when (a) the statement of income in the registration statement is supplemented by later information regarding sales and earnings (capsule financial information), (b) the accountants are asked to comment on that information (paragraphs .39 through .41), and (c) the accountants have conducted a review in accordance with section 722 of the financial statements from which the capsule financial information is derived. The same facts exist as in example A, except for the following:

a. Sales, net income (no extraordinary items), and earnings per share for the six-month periods ended June 30, 19X6 and 19X5 (both unaudited), are included in capsule form more limited than that specified by APB Opinion 28 [AC section I73.146].

b. No financial statements later than those for June 19X6 are available.

c. The letter is dated July 25, 19X6, and the cutoff date is July 20, 19X6.

Paragraphs 4, 5, and 6 of example A should be revised to read as follows:

4. For purposes of this letter we have read the 19X6 minutes of the meetings of the stockholders, the board of directors, and [include other appropriate committees, if any] of the company and its subsidiaries as set forth in the minute books at July 20, 19X6, officials of the company having advised us that the minutes of all such meetings\textsuperscript{14} through that date were set forth therein; we have carried out other procedures to July 20, 19X6, as follows (our work did not extend to the period from July 21, 19X6, to July 25, 19X6, inclusive):

   a. With respect to the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, we have—

   (i) Performed the procedures specified by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for a review of interim financial information as described in SAS No. 71, \textit{Interim Financial Information}, on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the registration statement.

   (ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in (i) comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

   b. With respect to the six-month periods ended June 30, 19X6 and 19X5, we have—

\textsuperscript{14} See footnote 3 of the Appendix.
Other Types of Reports

(i) Read the unaudited amounts for sales, net income, and earnings per share for the six-month periods ended June 30, 19X6 and 19X5, as set forth in paragraph [identify location].

(ii) Performed the procedures specified by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for a review of financial information as described in SAS No. 71, Interim Financial Information, on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 19X6 and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 19X6 and 19X5 from which the unaudited amounts referred to in b(i) are derived.

(iii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited amounts referred to in (i) are stated on a basis substantially consistent with that of the corresponding amounts in the audited consolidated statements of income.

The foregoing procedures do not constitute an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Also, they would not necessarily reveal matters of significance with respect to the comments in the following paragraph. Accordingly, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the foregoing procedures for your purposes.

5. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the foregoing procedures, however, that caused us to believe that—

a. (i) Any material modifications should be made to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements described in 4a(i), included in the registration statement, for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

   (ii) The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements described in 4a(i) do not comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

b. (i) The unaudited amounts for sales, net income and earnings per share for the six-month periods ended June 30, 19X6 and 19X5, referred to in 4b(i) do not agree with the amounts set forth in the unaudited consolidated financial statements for those same periods.

   (ii) The unaudited amounts referred to in b(i) were not determined on a basis substantially consistent with that of the corresponding amounts in the audited consolidated statements of income.

c. At June 30, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur.

6. Company officials have advised us that no consolidated financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to June 30, 19X6, are available; accordingly, the procedures carried out by us with respect to changes in financial statement items after June 30, 19X6, have been, of necessity, even more limited than those with respect to the periods referred to in 4. We have inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters regarding whether (a) at July 20, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown on the March 31, 19X6 unaudited
Example M: Alternate Wording When Accountants Are Aware of a Decrease in a Specified Financial Statement Item

14. Example M covers a situation in which accountants are aware of a decrease in a financial statement item on which they are requested to comment (see paragraphs .45 through .53). The same facts exist as in example A, except for the decrease covered in the following change in paragraph 5b.

b. (i) At May 31, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decrease in consolidated stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement, or (ii) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur and except that the unaudited consolidated balance sheet as of May 31, 19X6, which we were furnished by the company, showed a decrease from March 31, 19X6, in consolidated net current assets as follows (in thousands of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Assets</th>
<th>Current Liabilities</th>
<th>Net Current Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 31, 19X6</td>
<td>$4,251</td>
<td>$1,356</td>
<td>$2,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 31, 19X6</td>
<td>3,986</td>
<td>1,732</td>
<td>2,254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. As mentioned in 4b, company officials have advised us that no consolidated financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, are available; accordingly, the procedures carried out by us with respect to changes in financial statement items after May 31, 19X6, have been, of necessity, even more limited than those with respect to the periods referred to in 4. We have inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters regarding whether (a) there was any change at June 23, 19X6, in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown on the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement; or (b) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to June 23, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income. On the basis of these inquiries and our reading of the minutes as described in 4, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that there was any such change, increase, or decrease, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur.
registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur and except as
described in the following sentence. We have been informed by officials of the
company that there continues to be a decrease in net current assets that is
estimated to be approximately the same amount as set forth in 5b [or whatever
other disclosure fits the circumstances].

Example N: Alternate Wording of the Letter for Companies
That Are Permitted to Present Interim Earnings Data for a
Twelve-Month Period

15. Certain types of companies are permitted to include earnings data for
a twelve-month period to the date of the latest balance sheet furnished in lieu
of earnings data for both the interim period between the end of the latest fiscal
year and the date of the latest balance sheet and the corresponding period of
the preceding fiscal year. The following would be substituted for the applicable
part of paragraph 3 of example A.

3. . . . was to enable us to express our opinion on the financial statements as
of December 31, 19X5, and for the year then ended, but not on the financial
statements for any period included in part within that year. Therefore, we are
unable to and do not express any opinion on the unaudited condensed consoli-
dated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the related unaudited condensed
consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity),
and cash flows for the twelve months then ended included in the registration
statement . . . .

Example O: Alternate Wording When the Procedures That
the Underwriter Has Requested the Accountant to Perform
on Interim Financial Information Are Less Than an SAS No.
71 Review

16. The example assumes that the underwriter has asked the accountants
to perform specified procedures on the interim financial information and report
thereon in the comfort letter. The letter is dated June 28, 19X6; procedures
were performed through June 23, 19X6, the cutoff date. Since an SAS No. 71
[section 722] review was not performed on the interim financial information as
of March 31, 19X6 and for the quarter then ended, the accountants are limited
to reporting procedures performed and findings obtained on the interim financial
information. In addition to the information presented below, the letter
would also contain paragraph 7 of the typical comfort letter in example A.

June 28, 19X6

[Addressee]

Dear Sirs:

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of The Blank Company, Inc.
(the company) and the subsidiaries as of December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, and the
consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity),
and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31,
19X5 and the related financial statement schedules all included in the registra-
tion statement (no. 33-00000) on Form S-1 filed by the company under the
Securities Act of 1933 (the Act); our reports with respect thereto are included
in that registration statement. The registration statement, as amended on June
28, 19X6, is herein referred to as the registration statement.

Also, we have compiled the forecasted balance sheet and consolidated state-
ments of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows as of
December 31, 19X6 and for the year then ending, attached to the registration
statement, as indicated in our report dated May 15, 19X6, which is attached.
In connection with the registration statement—

1. We are independent certified public accountants with respect to the company within the meaning of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC.

2. In our opinion [include the phrase “except as disclosed in the registration statement,” if applicable], the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules audited by us and included in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

3. We have not audited any financial statements of the company as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5; although we have conducted an audit for the year ended December 31, 19X5, the purpose (and therefore the scope) of the audit was to enable us to express our opinion on the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 19X5, and for the year then ended, but not on the financial statements for any interim period within that year. Therefore, we are unable to and do not express any opinion on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the registration statement, or on the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5.

4. For purposes of this letter, we have read the 19X6 minutes of meetings of the stockholders, the board of directors, and [include other appropriate committees, if any] of the company as set forth in the minute books at June 23, 19X6, officials of the company having advised us that the minutes of all such meetings through that date were set forth therein; we have carried out other procedures to June 23, 19X6, as follows (our work did not extend to the period from June 24, 19X6, to June 28, 19X6, inclusive):

   a. With respect to the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, we have—

      (i) Read the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the registration statement, and agreed the amounts contained therein with the company’s accounting records as of March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, and for the three-month periods then ended.

      (ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in a(i): (1) are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements included in the registration statement, and (2) comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC. Those officials stated that the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements (1) are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited financial statements, and (2) comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

---

15 See footnote 3 of the Appendix.
16 See footnote 5 of the Appendix.
b. With respect to the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, we have—

(i) Read the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the company17 for April and May of both 19X5 and 19X6 furnished us by the company, and agreed the amounts contained therein to the company’s accounting records. Officials of the company have advised us that no such financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, were available.

(ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether (1) the unaudited financial statements referred to in b(i) are stated on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements included in the registration statement, (2) at May 31, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decrease in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6 unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement, and (3) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income.

Those officials stated that (1) the unaudited consolidated financial statements referred to in 4b(i) are stated on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements included in the registration statement, (2) at May 31, 19X6, there was no change in the capital stock, no increase in long-term debt, and no decrease in net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement, and (3) there were no decreases for the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income.

c. As mentioned in 4b(i), company officials have advised us that no financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, are available; accordingly, the procedures carried out by us with respect to changes in financial statement items after May 31, 19X6, have, of necessity, been even more limited than those with respect to the periods referred to in 4a and 4b. We have inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether (a) at June 23, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown on the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement, or (b) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to June 23, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income. Those officials stated that (1) at June 23, 19X6, there was no change in the capital stock, no increase in long-term debt and no decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown on the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet.

17 See footnote 4 of the Appendix.
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and (2) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to June 23, 19X6, there were no decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income.

The foregoing procedures do not constitute an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. We make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the foregoing procedures for your purposes. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or a review, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

5. At your request, we also performed the following procedures:
   a. Read the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of income for the year ended December 31, 19X5, and the three-month period ended March 31, 19X6, included in the registration statement.
   b. Inquired of certain officials of the company and of XYZ Company (the company being acquired) who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters as to whether all significant assumptions regarding the business combination had been reflected in the pro forma adjustments and whether the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in (a) comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of rule 11-02 of Regulation S-X.
      Those officials referred to above stated, in response to our inquiries, that all significant assumptions regarding the business combination had been reflected in the pro forma adjustments and that the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in (a) comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of rule 11-02 of Regulation S-X.
   c. Compared the historical financial information for the company included on page 20 in the registration statement with historical financial information for the company on page 12 and found them to be in agreement.
      We also compared the financial information included on page 20 of the registration statement with the historical information for XYZ Company on page 13 and found them to be in agreement.
   d. Proved the arithmetic accuracy of the application of the pro forma adjustments to the historical amounts in the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements.
      The foregoing procedures are less in scope than an examination, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on management’s assumptions, the pro forma adjustments, and the application of those adjustments to historical financial information. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. We make no representation about the sufficiency of the foregoing procedures for your purposes. Had we performed additional procedures or had we made an examination of the pro forma financial information, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

6. At your request, we performed the following procedures with respect to the forecasted consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statements of income and cash flows as of December 31, 19X6, and for the year then ending.
   With respect to forecasted rental income, we compared the occupancy statistics about expected demand for rental of the housing units to statistics for existing comparable properties and found them to be the same.
   Because the procedures described above do not constitute an examination of prospective financial statements in accordance with standards established by
the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, we do not express an opinion on whether the prospective financial statements are presented in conformity with AICPA presentation guidelines or on whether the underlying assumptions provide a reasonable basis for the presentation. Furthermore, there will usually be differences between the forecasted and actual results, because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected, and those differences may be material. We make no representations about the sufficiency of such procedures for your purposes. Had we performed additional procedures or had we made an examination of the forecast in accordance with standards established by the AICPA, matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

Example P: A Typical Comfort Letter in a Non-1933 Act Offering, Including the Required Underwriter Representations

17. Example P is applicable when a comfort letter is issued in a non-1933 Act offering. The underwriter has given the accountants a letter including the representations regarding their due diligence review process, as described in paragraphs .06 and .07, and the comfort letter refers to those representations. In addition, the example assumes that the accountants were unable, or were not requested, to perform an SAS No. 71 [section 722] review of a subsequent interim period and therefore no negative assurance has been given. See paragraph .47.

November 30, 19X5

[Addressee]

Dear Sirs:

We have audited the balance sheets of Example City, Any State Utility System as of June 30, 19X5 and 19X4, and the statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in retained earnings and cash flows for the years then ended, included in the Official Statement for $30,000,000 of Example City, Any State Utility System Revenue Bonds due November 30, 19Z5. Our report with respect thereto is included in the Official Statement. This Official Statement, dated November 30, 19X5, is herein referred to as the Official Statement.

This letter is being furnished in reliance upon your representation to us that—

a. You are knowledgeable with respect to the due diligence review process that would be performed if this placement of securities were being registered pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act).

b. In connection with the offering of revenue bonds, the review process you have performed is substantially consistent with the due diligence review process that you would have performed if this placement of securities were being registered pursuant to the Act.

In connection with the Official Statement—

1. We are independent certified public accountants with respect to Example City, Any State and its Utility System under rule 101 of the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct, and its interpretations and rulings.

2. We have not audited any financial statements of Example City, Any State Utility System as of any date or for any period subsequent to June 30, 19X5; although we have conducted an audit for the year ended June 30, 19X5, the purpose (and therefore the scope) of the audit was to enable us to express our opinion on the financial statements as of June 30, 19X5, and for the year then ended, but not on the financial statements for any interim period within that year. Therefore, we are unable to and do not express any opinion on the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as of any date or for any period subsequent to June 30, 19X5, for the Example City, Any State Utility System.
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3. For purposes of this letter we have read the 19X5 minutes of the meetings of the City Council of Example City, Any State as set forth in the minutes books as of November 25, 19X5, the City Clerk of Example City having advised us that the minutes of all such meetings through that date were set forth therein.

4. With respect to the period subsequent to June 30, 19X5, we have carried out other procedures to November 25, 19X5, as follows (our work did not extend to the period from November 26, 19X5, to November 30, 19X5, inclusive):

   • We have inquired of, and received assurance from, city officials who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters, that no financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to June 30, 19X5, are available.

   • We have inquired of those officials regarding whether (a) at November 25, 19X5, there was any increase in long-term debt or any decrease in net current assets of Example City, Any State Utility System as compared with amounts shown on the June 30, 19X5, balance sheet, included in the Official Statement, or (b) for the period from July 1, 19X5, to November 25, 19X5, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in total operating revenues, income from operations or net income. Those officials stated that (1) at November 25, 19X5, there was no increase in long-term debt and no decrease in net current assets of the Example City, Any State Utility System as compared with amounts shown in the June 30, 19X5, balance sheet; and (2) there were no decreases for the period from July 1, 19X5, to November 25, 19X5, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in total operating revenues, income from operations, or net income, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the Official Statement discloses have occurred or may occur.

5. For accounting data pertaining to the years 19X3 through 19X5, inclusive, shown on page 11 of the Official Statement, we have (i) for data shown in the audited financial statements, compared such data with the audited financial statements of the Example City, Any State Utility System for 19X3 through 19X5 and found them to be in agreement; and (ii) for data not directly shown in the audited financial statements, compared such data with the general ledger and accounting records of the Utility System from which such information was derived, and found them to be in agreement.

6. The procedures enumerated in the preceding paragraphs do not constitute an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Accordingly, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the foregoing procedures for your purposes.

7. This letter is solely for the information of the addressees and to assist the underwriters in conducting and documenting their investigation of the affairs of the Example City, Any State Utility System in connection with the offering of securities covered by the Official Statement, and it is not to be used, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to for any other purpose, including but not limited to the purchase or sale of securities, nor is it to be filed with or referred to in whole or in part in the Official Statement or any other document, except that reference may be made to it in the Purchase Contract or in any list of closing documents pertaining to the offering of securities covered by the Official Statement.

See footnote 3 of paragraph .03.
Example Q: Letter to a Requesting Party That Has Not Provided the Representation Letter Described in Paragraphs .06 and .07

18. This example assumes that these procedures are being performed at the request of the placement agent on information included in an offering circular in connection with a private placement of unsecured notes with two insurance companies. The letter is dated June 30, 19X6; procedures were performed through June 25, 19X6, the cutoff date. The statements in paragraphs 5 through 9 of the example should be included in any letter issued pursuant to paragraph .09.

June 30, 19X6

[Addressee]

Dear Sirs:

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of The Blank Company, Inc. (the company) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, and the consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 19X5, included in the offering circular for $30,000,000 of notes due June 30, 20X6. Our report with respect thereto is included in the offering circular. The offering circular dated June 30, 19X6, is herein referred to as the offering circular.

We are independent certified public accountants with respect to the company under rule 101 of the AICPA’s Code of Professional Conduct, and its interpretations and rulings.

We have not audited any financial statements of the company as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5; although we have conducted an audit for the year ended December 31, 19X5, the purpose (and, therefore, the scope) of the audit was to enable us to express our opinion on the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 19X5, and for the year then ended, but not on the financial statements for any interim period within that year. Therefore, we are unable to and do not express any opinion on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the offering circular, or on the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5.

[Footnote added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]

19. This same example could be used in conjunction with a municipal bond offering in which the accountant has not received the representation letter described in paragraphs .06 and .07.

[Footnote added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]

20. This example may also be used in connection with a filing under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act) when a party other than a named underwriter (for example, a selling shareholder) has not provided the accountant with the representation letter described in paragraphs .06 and .07. In such a situation, this example may be modified to include the accountant’s comments on independence and compliance as to form of the unaudited condensed interim financial statements.

[Footnote added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]

21. See paragraphs .31 and .32 for guidance in commenting on independence.
1. At your request, we have read the 19X6 minutes of meetings of the stockholders, the board of directors, and [include other appropriate committees, if any] of the company as set forth in the minute books at June 25, 19X6, officials of the company having advised us that the minutes of all such meetings through that date were set forth therein; we have carried out other procedures to June 25, 19X6 (our work did not extend to the period from June 26, 19X6, to June 30, 19X6, inclusive), as follows:

a. With respect to the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, we have—

(i) Read the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders' equity), and cash flows,23, 24 of the company for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the offering circular, and agreed the amounts contained therein with the company's accounting records as of March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, and for the three-month periods then ended.

(ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in a(i) are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements included in the offering circular. Those officials stated that the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements.

b. With respect to the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, we have—

(i) Read the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the company for April and May of both 19X5 and 19X6, furnished us by the company, and agreed the amounts contained therein with the company's accounting records. Officials of the company have advised us that no financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, were available.

(ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether (1) the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in b(i) are stated on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements included in the offering circular, (2) at May 31, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decrease in consolidated net current assets or stockholders' equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet in-

23 See footnote 3 of the Appendix. [Footnote added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]
24 Generally, accountants should recognize that the criteria for summarized financial information have not been established for entities other than SEC registrants. [Footnote added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]
cluded in the offering circular, and (3) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income.

Those officials stated that (1) the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements referred to in b(ii) are stated on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements included in the offering circular, (2) at May 31, 19X6, there was no change in the capital stock, no increase in long-term debt, and no decrease in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the offering circular, and (3) there were no decreases for the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income.

c. As mentioned in 1b, company officials have advised us that no financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, are available; accordingly, the procedures carried out by us with respect to changes in financial statement items after May 31, 19X6, have, of necessity, been even more limited than those with respect to the periods referred to in 1a and 1b. We have inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether (i) at June 25, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt, or any decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown on the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the offering circular or (ii) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to June 25, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income.

Those officials referred to above stated that (i) at June 25, 19X6, there was no change in the capital stock, no increase in long-term debt, and no decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown on the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet, and (ii) there were no decreases for the period from April 1, 19X6, to June 25, 19X6, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income.

2. At your request, we have read the following items in the offering circular on the indicated pages.25

---

25 In some cases it may be considered desirable to combine in one paragraph the substance of paragraphs 2 and 4. This may be done by expanding the identification of terms in paragraph 4 to provide the identification information contained in paragraph 2. In such cases the introductory sentences in paragraphs 2 and 4 and the text of paragraph 3 might be combined as follows: “At your request, we have also read the following information and have performed the additional procedures stated below with respect to such information. Our audit of the consolidated financial statements...” [Footnote added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]
Our audits of the consolidated financial statements for the periods referred to in the introductory paragraph of this letter comprised audit tests and procedures deemed necessary for the purpose of expressing an opinion on such financial statements taken as a whole. For none of the periods referred to therein, nor for any other period, did we perform audit tests for the purpose of expressing an opinion on individual balances of accounts or summaries of selected transactions such as those enumerated above, and, accordingly, we express no opinion thereon.

4. However, at your request, we have performed the following additional procedures, which were applied as indicated with respect to the items enumerated above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item in 2</th>
<th>Procedures and Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>We compare the amounts of military sales, commercial sales, and total sales shown in the registration statement with the balances in the appropriate accounts in the company’s accounting records for the respective fiscal years and for the unaudited interim periods and found them to be in agreement. We proved the arithmetic accuracy of the percentages of such amounts of military sales and commercial sales to total sales for the respective fiscal years and for the unaudited interim periods. We compared such computed percentages with the corresponding percentages appearing in the registration statement and found them to be in agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>We compared the dollar amounts of compensation (salary, bonus, and other compensation) for each individual listed in the table “Annual Compensation” with the corresponding amounts shown by the individual employee earnings records for the year 19X5 and found them to be in agreement. We compared the dollar amounts shown under the heading of “Long-Term Compensation” on page 24 for each listed individual and the aggregate amounts for executive officers with corresponding amounts shown in an analysis prepared by the company and found such amounts to be in agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>We compared the amounts of net sales, income from continuing operations, income from continuing operations per common share, and cash dividends declared per common share for the years ended December 31, 19X5, 19X4, and 19X3, with the respective amounts in the consolidated financial statements on pages 27 and 28 and the amounts for the years ended December 31, 19X2, and 19X1, with the respective amounts in the consolidated financial statements included in the company’s annual reports to stockholders for 19X2 and 19X1 and found them to be in agreement. We compared the amounts of total assets, long-term obligations, and redeemable preferred stock at December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, with the respective amounts in the consolidated financial statements on pages 27 and 28 and the amounts at December 31, 19X3, and 19X2, and 19X1 with the corresponding amounts in the consolidated financial statements included in the company’s annual reports to stockholders for 19X3, 19X2, and 19X1 and found them to be in agreement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26 See footnote 10 of the Appendix. [Footnote added, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.]
5. It should be understood that we have no responsibility for establishing (and did not establish) the scope and nature of the procedures enumerated in paragraphs 1 through 4 above; rather, the procedures enumerated therein are those the requesting party asked us to perform. Accordingly, we make no representations regarding questions of legal interpretation or regarding the sufficiency for your purposes of the procedures enumerated in the preceding paragraphs; also, such procedures would not necessarily reveal any material misstatement of the amounts or percentages listed above as set forth in the offering circular. Further, we have addressed ourselves solely to the foregoing data and make no representations regarding the adequacy of disclosures or whether any material facts have been omitted. This letter relates only to the financial statement items specified above and does not extend to any financial statement of the company taken as a whole.

6. The foregoing procedures do not constitute an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or a review of the company’s March 31, April 30, or May 31, 19X6 and 19X5, condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

7. These procedures should not be taken to supplant any additional inquiries or procedures that you would undertake in your consideration of the proposed offering.

8. This letter is solely for your information and to assist you in your inquiries in connection with the offering of the securities covered by the offering circular, and it is not to be used, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to for any other purpose, including but not limited to the registration, purchase, or sale of securities, nor is it to be filed with or referred to in whole or in part in the offering document or any other document, except that reference may be made to it in any list of closing documents pertaining to the offering of the securities covered by the offering document.

9. We have no responsibility to update this letter for events and circumstances occurring after June 25, 19X6.

Example R: Comfort Letter That Includes Reference to Examination of Annual MD&A and Review of Interim MD&A

19. This example assumes the following circumstances. The prospectus (part I of the registration statement) includes audited consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, and audited consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 19X5. Part I also includes an unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5. Part II of the registration statement includes audited consolidated financial statement schedules for the three years ended December 31, 19X5. The accountants have examined the company’s management’s
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June 28, 19X6

[Addressee]

Dear Sirs:

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of The Blank Company, Inc. (the company) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 19X5 and 19X4, and the consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 19X5, and the related financial statement schedules, all included in the registration statement (no. 33-00000) on Form S-1 filed by the company under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act); our reports with respect thereto are also included in that registration statement. The registration statement, as amended on June 28, 19X6, is herein referred to as the registration statement. Also, we have examined291 the company’s Management’s Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 19X5, included in the registration statement, as indicated in our report dated March 28, 19X6; our report with respect thereto is attached.302 We have also reviewed the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 19X5 and 19X6, and for the three-month periods then ended, included in the registration statement, as indicated in our report dated May 15, 19X6, and have also reviewed the company’s Management’s Discussion and Analysis for the three-month period ended March 31, 19X6, included in the registration statement, as indicated in our report dated May 15, 19X6; our reports with respect thereto are attached.313

In connection with the registration statement—

1. We are independent certified public accountants with respect to the company within the meaning of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC.

2. In our opinion [include the phrase “except as disclosed in the registration statement,” if applicable], the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules audited by us and included in the registration statement comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

---

29 If the accountant has performed a review of the company’s annual MD&A, the opening paragraph of the comfort letter should be revised accordingly. [Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]

30 The accountant has elected to attach the previously issued reports to the comfort letter (see paragraph .29). [Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]

31 See footnote 30 of the Appendix. [Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]
3. We have not audited any financial statements of the company as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5; although we have conducted an audit for the year ended December 31, 19X5, the purpose (and therefore the scope) of the audit was to enable us to express our opinion on the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 19X5, and for the year then ended, but not on the financial statements for any interim period within that year. Therefore, we are unable to and do not express any opinion on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 1, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the registration statement, or on the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as of any date or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5.

4. We have not examined any management’s discussion and analysis of the company as of or for any period subsequent to December 31, 19X5; although we have made an examination of the company’s Management’s Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 19X5, included in the company’s registration statement, the purpose (and therefore the scope) of the examination was to enable us to express our opinion on such Management’s Discussion and Analysis, but not on the management’s discussion and analysis for any interim period within that year. Therefore, we are unable to and do not express any opinion on the Management’s Discussion and Analysis for the three-month period ended March 31, 19X6, included in the registration statement, or for any period subsequent to March 31, 19X6.

5. For purposes of this letter we have read the 19X6 minutes of meetings of the stockholders, the board of directors, and [include other appropriate committees, if any] of the company and its subsidiaries as set forth in the minute books at June 23, 19X6, officials of the company having advised us that the minutes of all such meetings through that date were set forth therein; we have carried out other procedures to June 23, 19X6, as follows (our work did not extend to the period from June 24, 19X6, to June 28, 19X6, inclusive):

a. With respect to the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, we have inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 19X6, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income, retained earnings (stockholders’ equity), and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 19X6 and 19X5, included in the registration statement, comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

b. With respect to the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, we have—

(i) Read the unaudited consolidated financial statements of the company and subsidiaries for April and May of both 19X5 and 19X6 furnished to us by the company, officials of the company having advised us that no such financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, were available.

---

32 See footnote 3 of the Appendix. [Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]

33 See footnote 4 of the Appendix. [Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]
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(ii) Inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether the unaudited consolidated financial statements referred to in item b(i) are stated on a basis substantially consistent with that of the audited consolidated financial statements included in the registration statement.

The foregoing procedures do not constitute an audit of financial statements conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Also, they would not necessarily reveal matters of significance with respect to the comments in the following paragraph. Accordingly, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the foregoing procedures for your purposes.

6. Nothing came to our attention as a result of the foregoing procedures, however, that caused us to believe that—

a. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements described in item 5a do not comply as to form in all material respects with the applicable accounting requirements of the Act and the related rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

b. (i) At May 31, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt, or decrease in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown in the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement, or

(ii) For the period from April 1, 19X6, to May 31, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared to the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur.

7. As mentioned in item 5b, company officials have advised us that no consolidated financial statements as of any date or for any period subsequent to May 31, 19X6, are available; accordingly, the procedures carried out by us with respect to changes in financial statement items after May 31, 19X6, have, of necessity, been even more limited than those with respect to the periods referred to in item 5. We have inquired of certain officials of the company who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters whether (a) at June 23, 19X6, there was any change in the capital stock, increase in long-term debt or any decreases in consolidated net current assets or stockholders’ equity of the consolidated companies as compared with amounts shown on the March 31, 19X6, unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet included in the registration statement or (b) for the period from April 1, 19X6, to June 23, 19X6, there were any decreases, as compared with the corresponding period in the preceding year, in consolidated net sales or in the total or per-share amounts of income before extraordinary items or of net income. On the basis of these inquiries and our reading of the minutes as described in item 5, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that there was any such change, increase, or decrease, except in all instances for changes, increases, or decreases that the registration statement discloses have occurred or may occur.

34 See footnote 5 of the Appendix. [Footnote added, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86.]
8. This letter is solely for the information of the addressees and to assist the underwriters in conducting and documenting their investigation of the affairs of the company in connection with the offering of the securities covered by the registration statement, and it is not to be used, circulated, quoted, or otherwise referred to within or without the underwriting group for any purpose, including but not limited to the registration, purchase, or sale of securities, nor is it to be filed with or referred to in whole or in part in the registration statement or any other document, except that reference may be made to it in the underwriting agreement or in any list of closing documents pertaining to the offering of the securities covered by the registration statement.

[Paragraph renumbered and amended, effective for letters issued pursuant to paragraph .09 of this section after April 30, 1996, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76. As amended, effective for comfort letters issued on or after June 30, 1998, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 86. Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

[The next page is 1211.]
Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties: Auditing Interpretations of Section 634

1. Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K[*]

   .01 Question—Annual reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on Form 10-K must be signed by at least a majority of the registrant’s board of directors. In reviewing the Form 10-K, directors may seek the involvement of the registrant’s independent auditors and other professionals.

   .02 What types of services could the auditor perform at the request of the board of directors in connection with the Form 10-K? For example, is it permissible for the auditor to comment on compliance of the registrant’s Form 10-K with the requirements of the various SEC rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC (see section 634.33). 2

   .03 Interpretation—The auditor can express an opinion to the board of directors on whether the financial statements and financial statement schedules audited comply as to form with the applicable accounting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the related rules and regulations thereunder adopted by the SEC (see section 634.33). 3

   .04 The auditor may affirm to the board of directors that under generally accepted auditing standards the auditor is required to read the information in addition to audited financial statements contained in the Form 10-K, for the purpose of considering whether such information may be materially inconsistent with information appearing in the financial statements (see section 550). However, the report to the board of directors should state that the auditor has no obligation to perform any procedures to corroborate such information.

   .05 In addition, the auditor could perform, at the request of the board of directors, specified procedures and report the results of those procedures concerning various information contained in the Form 10-K such as tables, statistics and other financial information. There should be a clear understanding with the board as to the nature, extent and limitations of the procedures to be performed and as to the kind of report to be issued. Although the guidance provided in section 634 is intended primarily for auditors issuing a letter to underwriters and certain other requesting parties in connection with an offering of securities, the guidance in section 634.54–.60 would also be applicable when the auditor is asked to furnish a letter to the board of directors in connection with the filing of Form 10-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. 4 The types of information on which auditors may comment are described in section 634.55. The auditor should comment only on that information

[*] Footnote deleted June 1993, by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 72.
[2] The auditor should not provide any assurance on compliance with the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 regarding controls. See the guidance provided in AT section 501, Reporting on an Entity’s Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, paragraph .82.
[3] Section 634.12 states in part: “Accountants will normally be willing to assist the underwriter but the assistance accountants can provide by way of comfort letters is subject to limitations. One limitation is that independent accountants can properly comment in their professional capacity only on matters to which his professional expertise is substantially relevant.”
if the criteria in section 634.55 and .57 have been met. The comments should be made in the form of description of procedures performed and findings obtained, ordinarily expressed in terms of agreement between items compared.

.06 Certain financial information in Form 10-K is included because of specific requirements of Regulation S-K. The auditor may comment as to whether this information is in conformity with the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K if the conditions in section 634.57 are met. Section 634.57 identifies the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K that generally meet those conditions. The auditor is limited to giving negative assurance, since this information is not given in the form of financial statements and generally has not been audited by the accountants. (See section 634.57.)

.07 The auditor should not comment on matters that are primarily subjective or judgmental in nature such as those included in Item 7 of Form 10-K, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” For example, changes between periods in gross profit ratios may be caused by factors that are not necessarily within the expertise of auditors. However, the auditor can comment on specific changes in comparative amounts that are included in management’s discussion if the amounts used to compute such changes are obtained from the financial statements or accounting records as discussed in section 634.55, but cannot comment with respect to the appropriateness of the explanations.

.08 There are no criteria by which to measure the sufficiency of the procedures performed by the accountants for the directors’ purposes. Ordinarily the auditor should discuss with the directors or the audit committee the procedures to be performed and may suggest procedures that might be meaningful in the circumstances. However, the auditor should clearly indicate to the board of directors that the auditor cannot make any representations as to whether the agreed-upon procedures are sufficient for the directors’ purposes.

.09 It should not ordinarily be necessary for the auditor to reaffirm the auditor’s independence to the board of directors. If such a representation is requested, however, the auditor may include in the letter a statement similar to that described in section 634.31.

[Issue Date: April, 1981; Modified: May, 1981; Revised: June, 1993; Revised: January, 2001.]

[2.] Negative Assurance on Unaudited Condensed Interim Financial Statements Attached to Comfort Letters

[.10–.12] [Deleted April, 1993 by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 72.]

3. Commenting in a Comfort Letter on Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk Made in Accordance With Item 305 of Regulation S-K

.13 Introduction—Regulation S-K, Item 305, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, requires certain quantitative and qualitative disclosures with respect to—


b. Other financial instruments, generally as defined in FASB Statement No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments [AC section F25], and
c. Derivative commodity instruments, such as commodity futures, forwards, and swaps that are permitted by contract or custom to be settled in cash. Collectively these instruments are referred to as “market-risk-sensitive instruments.”

.14 In addition to qualitative (i.e., descriptive) disclosures, Item 305 requires quantitative disclosures that may be presented in the form of a tabular presentation, sensitivity analysis, or value-at-risk disclosures. Disclosures generally include a combination of historical and fair value data and the hypothetical effects on such data of assumed changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, and other relevant market rates. The quantitative and qualitative information required by Item 305 should be disclosed outside the financial statements and related notes thereto.

.15 Question—May an accountant provide positive or negative assurance on conformity with Item 305 of Regulation S-K?

.16 Interpretation—Section 634, Letters for Underwriters and Certain Other Requesting Parties, paragraph .57, states that accountants may not give positive assurance on conformity of information with the disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K since this information is not in the form of financial statements and generally has not been audited by the accountants. Accountants may provide negative assurance on conformity with Regulation S-K only if the following conditions are met:

a. The information is derived from the accounting records subject to the entity’s controls over financial reporting, or has been derived directly from such accounting records by analysis or computation.

b. This information is capable of evaluation against reasonable criteria that have been established by the SEC.

Although some information needed to comply with Item 305 is derived from the accounting records, registrants must also provide a substantial amount of information that is not derived from accounting records subject to the entity’s controls over financial reporting. As a result, accountants should not provide negative assurance on conformity with Item 305 of Regulation S-K.

.17 Question—May an accountant otherwise provide comments in a comfort letter on items disclosed by registrants in accordance with Item 305 of Regulation S-K?

.18 Interpretation—Section 634.55 states that accountants should comment only with respect to information—

a. That is expressed in dollars (or percentages derived from such dollar amounts) and that has been obtained from accounting records that are subject to the entity’s controls over financial reporting or

b. That has been derived directly from such accounting records by analysis or computation.

As a result, accountants should not comment on the Item 305 qualitative disclosures.

.19 The three alternative forms of quantitative disclosures under Item 305 reflect hypothetical effects on market-risk-sensitive instruments and result in differing presentations. The forward-looking information used to prepare these presentations may be substantially removed from the accounting records that are subject to the entity’s controls over financial reporting. Further, section 634.55 also states that “the accountants should not comment on matters merely because they happen to be present and are capable of reading, counting, measur-
ing, or performing other functions that might be applicable.” Accordingly, an accountant’s ability to comment on these disclosures is largely dependent upon the degree to which the forward-looking information used to prepare these disclosures is linked to such accounting records.

.20 The tabular presentation includes the fair values of market-risk-sensitive instruments and contract terms to determine the future cash flows from those instruments that are categorized by expected maturity dates. This approach may require the use of yield curves and implied forward rates to determine expected maturity dates, as well as assumptions regarding prepayments and weighted average interest rates.

.21 The term sensitivity analysis describes a general class of models that are designed to assess the risk of loss in market-risk-sensitive instruments, based upon hypothetical changes in market rates or prices. Sensitivity analysis does not refer to any one, specific model and may include duration analysis or other “sensitivity” measures. The disclosures are dependent upon assumptions about theoretical future market conditions and, therefore, are not derived from the accounting records.

.22 The term value at risk describes a general class of models that provide a probabilistic assessment of the risk of loss in market-risk-sensitive instruments over a selected period of time, with a selected likelihood of occurrences based upon selected confidence intervals. Value-at-risk disclosures are extremely aggregated and, in addition to the assumptions made for sensitivity analyses, may include additional assumptions regarding correlation between asset classes and future market volatilities. As a result, these disclosures are not derived from the accounting records.

.23 Of the three disclosure alternatives, the tabular presentation contains the most limited number of assumptions and least complex mathematical calculations. Furthermore, certain information, such as contractual terms, included in a tabular presentation is derived from the accounting records. Accordingly, accountants may perform limited procedures related to tabular presentations to the extent that such information is derived from the accounting records.

.24 The modeling techniques and underlying assumptions utilized for sensitivity analysis and value-at-risk disclosures generally will be highly complex. The resultant disclosures may be substantially different from the basic historical financial input derived directly from the accounting records. Due to the hypothetical and forward-looking nature of these disclosures and the potentially limited usefulness of any procedures that may be performed, accountants should not agree to make any comments or perform any procedures related to sensitivity analysis or value-at-risk disclosures.

.25 When performing procedures related to tabular presentation disclosures, the accountant will need to consider whether the entity’s documentation of its contractual positions in derivatives, commodities and other financial instruments is subject to the entity’s controls over financial reporting and whether it provides a complete record of the entity’s market-risk-sensitive instruments. In addition, the accountant should disclaim as to the reasonableness of the assumptions underlying the disclosures.

.26 Item 305 requires registrants to stratify financial instruments according to market risk category, i.e., interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk, and equity price risk. Item 305 stipulates that, if an instrument is at risk in more than one category, the instrument should be included in the disclosures for each applicable category. In reporting findings from agreed-upon procedures re-
lating to market risk categories, the accountant should not provide any findings that the company’s stratifications are complete or comply as to form with Item 305 requirements and should disclaim with respect to the company’s determination of market risk categories.

.27 Item 305 encourages registrants to provide quantitative and qualitative information about market risk in terms of, among other things, the magnitude of actual past market movements and estimates of possible near-term market movements. Accountants should not agree to perform any procedures related to such market data.

.28 The accountant should establish a clear understanding with the underwriter as to the limitations of the procedures to be performed with respect to the market risk disclosures. Further, accountants should consider the need to utilize a specialist in performing procedures related to those disclosures.

.29 The following examples, based upon Example H of section 634.64, provide very simplified procedures, findings and limitations related to Item 305 tabular presentation disclosures. In practice, the procedures generally will be substantially more complex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Procedures and Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Compared with a schedule prepared by the Company from its accounting records. We (a) compared the amounts on the schedule to corresponding amounts appearing in the accounting records and found such amounts to be in agreement and (b) determined that the schedule was mathematically correct. However, we make no comment as to the appropriateness or completeness of the Company’s classification of its market-risk-sensitive instruments into market risk categories, nor as to its determination of the expected maturity dates or amounts. (Note: This is an example of procedures related to tabular presentations of face amounts, carrying amounts, fair values and notional amounts which stratify such amounts as to interest rate risk.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⊗</td>
<td>Compared with a schedule prepared by the Company from its accounting records to calculate weighted average fixed interest rates and weighted average fixed pay and receive rates, and found such percentages to be in agreement. We (a) compared the amounts on the schedule to corresponding amounts appearing in the accounting records and found such amounts to be in agreement and (b) determined that the schedule was mathematically correct. However, we make no comment as to the appropriateness of the Company’s methodology in calculating weighted average fixed rates. (Note: It may be necessary to provide a more complete description of the procedures performed in other circumstances.) We make no comment as to the appropriateness or completeness of the Company’s determination of the Regulation S-K requirements for quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risks or with respect to the reasonableness of the assumptions underlying the disclosures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[The following is an extract from a registration statement that illustrates how an accountant can document procedures performed on a tabular presentation of market risk disclosures made in accordance with Item 305 of Regulation S-K.]
INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY

The table below provides information about the Company’s derivative financial instruments and other financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates, including interest rate swaps and debt obligations. For debt obligations, the table presents principal cash flows and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates. For interest rate swaps, the table presents notional amounts and weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates. Notional amounts are used to calculate the contractual payments to be exchanged under the contract. Weighted average variable rates are based on implied forward rates in the yield curve at the reporting date. The information is presented in U.S. dollar equivalents, which is the Company’s reporting currency. The instrument’s actual cash flows are denominated in both U.S. dollars ($US) and German deutschmarks (DM), as indicated in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected maturity dates</th>
<th>19X2^4</th>
<th>19X3^4</th>
<th>19X4^4</th>
<th>19X5^4</th>
<th>Thereafter^4</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities (§US equivalent in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Debt:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Rate ($US)</td>
<td>$XXX</td>
<td>$XXX</td>
<td>$XXX</td>
<td>$XXX</td>
<td>$XXX</td>
<td>$XXX✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average interest rate</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Rate (DM)</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average interest rate</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Rate ($US)</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XXX✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average interest rate</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%</td>
<td>XX%✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Interest Rate Derivatives (§US equivalent in millions) |        |        |        |        |             |       |           |
| Interest Rate Swaps: |        |        |        |        |             |       |           |
| Variable to Fixed ($US) | $XXX | $XXX | $XXX | $XXX | $XXX       | $XXX✓|           |
| Average pay rate-fixed | XX%    | XX%    | XX%    | XX%    | XX%       | XX%✓ |           |
| Average receive rate-variable | XX% | XX% | XX% | XX% | XX%        | XX%✓ |           |
| Fixed to Variable ($US) | XXX    | XXX    | XXX    | XXX    | XXX       | XXX✓ |           |
| Average pay rate-variable | XX% | XX% | XX% | XX% | XX%        | XX%✓ |           |
| Average receive rate-fixed | XX%  | XX%  | XX%  | XX%  | XX%        | XX%✓ |           |

[Issue Date: August, 1998.]
Many of the interpretations in this section were based on the concepts in Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 30, *Reporting on Internal Accounting Control*. SAS No. 30 was superseded in May 1993 by the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) No. 2, *Reporting on an Entity's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*. Subsequently, SSAE No. 2 was superseded by SSAE No. 10, *Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification*, which was issued in January 2001. The AICPA's Auditing Standards Board decided at its October 1993 meeting to delete these interpretations. Notes have been included below to indicate where current guidance may be found in AICPA literature.

1. **Pre-Award Surveys**
   
   [01–03] (Deleted October 1993.) (See the guidance provided in paragraphs 01–08 of attest interpretation No. 1 of SSAE No. 10, chapter 5 (AT section 9501.01–08). [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

2. **Award Survey Made in Conjunction With an Audit**
   
   [04–05] (Deleted October 1993.) (See the guidance provided in paragraphs 01–08 of attest interpretation No. 1 of SSAE No. 10, chapter 5 (AT section 9501.01–08). [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

3. **Reporting on Matters Not Covered by Government-Established Criteria**
   
   [06–07] (Deleted October 1993. Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.)

4. **Limited Scope**
   
   [08–09] (Deleted October 1993.) (See the guidance provided in SSAE No. 10, chapter 5, paragraph 5.69 (AT section 501.69.).) [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

5. **Compliance With the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977**
   
   [10–13] (Deleted October 1993.) (See the guidance provided in SSAE No. 10, chapter 5, paragraph 5.82 (AT section 501.82.).) [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]
[6.] Reports on Internal Accounting Control of Trust Departments of Banks
[.14–17] [Deleted October 1993.] (See the guidance provided in SSAE No. 10, chapter 5, paragraph 5.69 (AT section 501.69.) [Revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

[7.] Report Required by U.S. General Accounting Office[1-7]
[.18–25] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[8.] Form of Report on Internal Accounting Control Based Solely on a Study and Evaluation Made as Part of an Audit[8-10]
[.26–32] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[9.] Reporting on Internal Accounting Control Based Solely on an Audit When a Minimum Study and Evaluation Is Made
[.33–34] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[.35–36] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[11.] Restricted Purpose Report Required by Law to Be Made Available to the Public[16]
[.37–38] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[12.] Reporting on Internal Accounting Control “Compliance With the Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act”[*]
[.39–41] [Deleted October 1993.]
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[1-7] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[8-10] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[11-15] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[16] [Superseded by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 60, effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after January 1, 1989.] (See section 325.)

[*] [Footnote deleted, October 1993.]
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AU Section 711

Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes

Source: SAS No. 37.

See section 9711 for interpretations of this section.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: April, 1981.

.01 As in the case of financial statements used for other purposes, management has the responsibility for the financial representations contained in documents filed under the federal securities statutes. In this connection the Securities and Exchange Commission has said:

The fundamental and primary responsibility for the accuracy of information filed with the Commission and disseminated among the investors rests upon management. Management does not discharge its obligations in this respect by the employment of independent public accountants, however reputable. Accountants’ certificates are required not as a substitute for management’s accounting of its stewardship, but as a check upon the accounting.1

.02 When an independent accountant’s report is included in registration statements, proxy statements, or periodic reports filed under the federal securities statutes, the accountant’s responsibility, generally, is in substance no different from that involved in other types of reporting. However, the nature and extent of this responsibility are specified in some detail in these statutes and in the related rules and regulations. For example, section 11(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, imposes responsibility for false or misleading statements in an effective registration statement, or for omissions that render statements made in such a document misleading, on every accountant, engineer, or appraiser, or any person whose profession gives authority to a statement made by him, who has with his consent been named as having prepared or certified any part of the registration statement, or as having prepared or certified any report or valuation which is used in connection with the registration statement, report, or valuation, which purports to have been prepared or certified by him.

.03 Section 11 also makes specific mention of the independent accountant’s responsibility as an expert when his report is included in a registration statement filed under that act.2 Section 11(b) states, in part, that no person shall be liable as provided therein if that person sustains the burden of proof that as regards any part of the registration statement purporting to be made upon his authority as an expert or purporting to be a copy of or extract from a report or valuation of himself as an expert, (i) he had, after reasonable investigation,

* Note: This section supersedes Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, section 710, Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes. The changes provide guidance for the accountant whose report based on a review of interim financial information is presented, or incorporated by reference, in a filing under the Securities Act of 1933.

3 4 S. E. C. 721 (1939).

4 Under rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, a report based on a review of interim financial information is not a report by the accountant under section 11 (see paragraph .06).
Section 11 further provides that, in determining what constitutes reasonable investigation and reasonable ground to believe, “the standard of reasonableness shall be that required of a prudent man in the management of his own property.”

.04 This discussion of the independent accountant’s responsibilities in connection with filings under the federal securities statutes is not intended to offer legal interpretations and is based on an understanding of the meaning of the statutes as they relate to accounting principles and auditing standards and procedures. The discussion is subject to any judicial interpretations that may be issued.

.05 Because a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 speaks as of its effective date, the independent accountant whose report is included in such a registration statement has a statutory responsibility that is determined in the light of the circumstances on that date. This aspect of responsibility is peculiar to reports used for this purpose (see paragraphs .10 through .12).

.06 Under rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, an independent accountant’s report based on a review of interim financial information is not a report by the accountant within the meaning of section 11. Thus, the accountant does not have a similar statutory responsibility for such reports as of the effective date of the registration statement (see paragraph .13).

.07 The other federal securities statutes, while not containing so detailed an exposition, do impose responsibility, under certain conditions, on persons making false or misleading statements with respect to any material fact in applications, reports, or other documents filed under the statute.

.08 In filings under the Securities Act of 1933, a statement frequently is made in the prospectus (sometimes included in a section of the prospectus called the experts section) that certain information is included in the registration statement in reliance upon the report of certain named experts. The independent accountant should read the relevant section of the prospectus to make sure that his name is not being used in a way that indicates that his responsibility is greater than he intends. The experts section should be so worded that there is no implication that the financial statements have been prepared by the independent accountant or that they are not the direct representations of management.

.09 The Securities and Exchange Commission requires that, when an independent accountant’s report based on a review of interim financial information is presented or incorporated by reference in a registration statement, a prospectus that includes a statement about the independent accountant’s involvement should clarify that his review report is not a “report” or “part” of the registration statement within the meaning of sections 7 and 11 of the Securities Act of 1933. In this respect, wording such as the following in a prospectus would ordinarily be considered a satisfactory description for the accountant’s purposes of the status of his review report that was included in a Form 10-Q filing that was later incorporated by reference in a registration statement.3

3 A similar description of the status of the accountant’s report would also ordinarily be satisfactory for the accountant’s purposes when the accountant’s review report is presented in the registration statement rather than incorporated by reference. In that case, the description in the prospectus would specifically refer to that report in the registration statement.

AU §711.04 Copyright 1996, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
The consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 19X2 and 19X1, and the consolidated statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 19X2, incorporated by reference in this prospectus, have been included herein in reliance on the report of independent public accountants, given on the authority of that firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

With respect to the unaudited interim financial information for the periods ended March 31, 19X3 and 19X2, incorporated by reference in this prospectus, the independent public accountants have reported that they have applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, their separate report included in the company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 19X3, and incorporated by reference herein, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that interim financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. The accountants are not subject to the liability provisions of section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 for their report on the unaudited interim financial information because that report is not a "report" or a "part" of the registration statement prepared or certified by the accountants within the meaning of sections 7 and 11 of the act.

The independent accountant should also read other sections of the prospectus to make sure that his name is not being used in a way that indicates that his responsibility is greater than he intends.

**Subsequent Events Procedures in 1933 Act Filings**

.10 To sustain the burden of proof that he has made a "reasonable investigation" (see paragraph .03), as required under the Securities Act of 1933, an auditor should extend his procedures with respect to subsequent events from the date of his audit report up to the effective date or as close thereto as is reasonable and practicable in the circumstances. In this connection, he should arrange with his client to be kept advised of the progress of the registration proceedings so that his review of subsequent events can be completed by the effective date. The likelihood that the auditor will discover subsequent events necessarily decreases following the completion of field work, and, as a practical matter, after that time the independent auditor may rely, for the most part, on inquiries of responsible officials and employees. In addition to performing the procedures outlined in section 560.12, at or near the effective date, the auditor generally should

a. Read the entire prospectus and other pertinent portions of the registration statement.

b. Inquire of and obtain written representations from officers and other executives responsible for financial and accounting matters (limited where appropriate to major locations) about whether any events have occurred, other than those reflected or disclosed in the registration statement, that, in the officers' or other executives' opinion, have a material effect on the audited financial statements included therein or that should be disclosed in order to keep those statements from being misleading.
A registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission may contain the reports of two or more independent auditors on their audits of the financial statements for different periods. An auditor who has audited the financial statements for prior periods but has not audited the financial statements for the most recent audited period included in the registration statement has a responsibility relating to events subsequent to the date of the prior-period financial statements, and extending to the effective date, that bear materially on the prior-period financial statements on which he reported. Generally, he should

a. Read pertinent portions of the prospectus and of the registration statement.

b. Obtain a letter of representation from the successor independent auditor regarding whether his audit (including his procedures with respect to subsequent events) revealed any matters that, in his opinion, might have a material effect on the financial statements reported on by the predecessor auditor or would require disclosure in the notes thereto.

The auditor should make inquiries and perform other procedures that he considers necessary to satisfy himself regarding the appropriateness of any adjustment or disclosure affecting the prior-period financial statements covered by his report (see section 508).

Response to Subsequent Events and Subsequently Discovered Facts

If, subsequent to the date of his report on audited financial statements, the auditor (including a predecessor auditor) (a) discovers, in performing the procedures described in paragraphs .10 and .11 above, subsequent events that require adjustment or disclosure in the financial statements or (b) becomes aware that facts may have existed at the date of his report that might have affected his report had he then been aware of those facts, he should follow the guidance in sections 560 and 561. If the financial statements are appropriately adjusted or the required additional disclosure is made, the auditor should follow the guidance in sections 530.05 and 530.07 and .08, with respect to dating his report. If the client refuses to make appropriate adjustment or disclosure in the financial statements for a subsequent event or subsequently discovered facts, the auditor should follow the procedures in section 561.08 and .09. In such circumstances, the auditor should also consider, probably with the advice of his legal counsel, withholding his consent to the use of his report on the audited financial statements in the registration statement.

If an accountant concludes on the basis of facts known to him that unaudited financial statements or unaudited interim financial information presented or incorporated by reference in a registration statement are not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, he should insist on appropriate revision. Failing that,

a. If the accountant has reported on a review of such interim financial information and the subsequently discovered facts are such that they would have affected his report had they been known to him at the date of his report, he should refer to section 561, because certain provisions of that section may be relevant to his consideration of those matters (see section 722.46).
b. If the accountant has not reported on a review of the unaudited financial statements or interim financial information, he should modify his report on the audited financial statements to describe the departure from generally accepted accounting principles contained in the unaudited financial statements or interim financial information.

In either case, the accountant should also consider, probably with the advice of his legal counsel, withholding his consent to the use of his report on the audited financial statements in the registration statement. [Revised, November 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 100.]
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Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes: Auditing Interpretations of Section 711

1. Subsequent Events Procedures for Shelf Registration Statements Updated after the Original Effective Date

.01 Question—Rule 415 of Regulation C under the Securities Act of 1933 (1933 Act) permits companies to register a designated amount of securities for continuous or delayed offerings by filing one “shelf” registration statement with the SEC. Under this rule, a registrant can register an amount of securities it reasonably expects to offer and sell within the next two years, generally without the later need to prepare and file a new prospectus and registration statement for each sale.

.02 A Rule 415 shelf registration statement can be updated after its original effective date by—
   a. The filing of a post-effective amendment,
   b. The incorporation by reference of subsequently filed material, or
   c. The addition of a supplemental prospectus (sometimes referred to as a “sticker”).

.03 Section 711, Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes, paragraph .05, states, “Because a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 speaks as of its effective date, the independent accountant whose report is included in such a registration statement has a statutory responsibility that is determined in the light of the circumstances on that date.” The independent accountant’s statutory responsibility regarding information covered by his report and included in a registration statement is specified in Section 11 of the 1933 Act. Section 11(b)(3)(B) states that the accountant will not be held liable if he can sustain a burden of proof that “he had, after reasonable investigation, reasonable ground to believe and did believe, at the time such part of the registration statement became effective, that the statements therein were true and that there was no omission to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.” To sustain the burden of proof that he has made a “reasonable investigation” as of the effective date, the accountant performs subsequent events procedures (as described in section 711.10 and .11) to a date as close to the effective date of the registration statement as is reasonable and practicable in the circumstances.

.04 In connection with Rule 415 shelf registrations, under what circumstances does the independent accountant have a responsibility to perform subsequent events procedures after the original effective date of the registration statement?

.05 Interpretation—As discussed in more detail below, in general, the accountant should perform the subsequent events procedures described in section 711.10 and .11, when either:
A post-effective amendment to the shelf registration statement, as defined by SEC rules, is filed pursuant to Item 512(a) of Regulation S-K, or

A 1934 Act filing that includes or amends audited financial statements is incorporated by reference into the shelf registration statement.

When a post-effective amendment is filed pursuant to the registrant’s undertaking required by Item 512 of Regulation S-K, a shelf registration statement is considered to have a new effective date because Item 512(a)(2) of Regulation S-K states, “... for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement ...” Accordingly, in such cases, the accountant should perform subsequent events procedures to a date as close to the new effective date of the registration statement as is reasonable and practicable in the circumstances.

Item 512(b) of Regulation S-K states that for purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933 each filing of a registrant’s annual report (Form 10-K) and each filing of an employee benefit plan annual report (Form 11-K) that is incorporated by reference into a shelf registration statement is deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offering. Accordingly, when a Form 10-K or Form 11-K is incorporated by reference into a shelf registration statement, the accountant should perform subsequent events procedures to a date as close to the date of the filing of the Form 10-K or Form 11-K as is reasonable and practicable in the circumstances and date his consent as of that date.

In many circumstances, a Form 10-Q, Form 8-K, or other 1934 Act filing can be incorporated by reference into a shelf registration statement (sometimes this occurs automatically—for example, in a Form S-3 or Form S-8) without the need for a post-effective amendment. In those circumstances, the accountant has no responsibility to perform subsequent events procedures unless the filing includes or amends audited financial statements—for example, a Form S-K that includes audited financial statements of an acquired company. In these latter circumstances, when the filing is incorporated into a registration statement, SEC rules require a currently dated consent of the accountant who audited those statements, and that accountant should perform subsequent events procedures to a date as close to the date of the incorporation by reference of the related material as is reasonable and practicable in the circumstances.

In addition, an accountant’s report on a review of interim financial information contained in a Form 10-Q may also include his report on the information presented in the condensed year-end balance sheet that has also been included in the form and has been derived from the latest audited annual balance sheet. (See section 552, Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data, paragraph .08.) When the Form 10-Q is incorpor-
ated by reference into the shelf registration (which may occur automatically), the report on the year-end condensed balance sheet may be considered a report of an “expert.” Because it is not clear what the accountant’s responsibility is in those circumstances, the accountant should perform subsequent events procedures (as described in section 711.10 and .11) to a date as close to the date of the incorporation by reference of the Form 10-Q as is reasonable and practicable in the circumstances.

.10 One of the subsequent events procedures described in section 711 is to “read the entire prospectus and other pertinent portions of the registration statement.” The reading of the entire prospectus (including any supplemental prospectuses and documents incorporated by reference—such as Form 10-Ks, 10-Qs, and 8-Ks) and the other procedures described in section 711.10 and .11, help assure that the accountant has fulfilled his statutory responsibilities under the 1933 Act to perform a “reasonable investigation.”

.11 When a shelf registration statement is updated by a supplemental prospectus (or “sticker”), the effective date of the registration statement is considered to be unchanged since the supplemental prospectus does not constitute an amendment to the registration statement, and, consequently, no posteffective amendment has been filed. Accordingly, an accountant has no responsibility to update his performance of subsequent events procedures through the date of the supplemental prospectus or sticker. The accountant, however, may nevertheless become aware that facts may have existed at the date of his report that might have affected his report had he then been aware of those facts. Section 711.12 and .13, provide guidance on the accountant’s response to subsequent events and subsequently discovered facts.

[Issue Date: May, 1983.]

2. Consenting to be Named as an Expert in an Offering Document in Connection With Securities Offerings Other Than Those Registered Under the Securities Act of 1933

.12 Question—Should the auditor consent to be named, or referred to, as an expert in an offering document in connection with securities offerings other than those registered under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act)?

.13 Interpretation—No. The term “expert” has a specific statutory meaning under the Act. The act states that anyone who purchases a security registered under the Act may sue specified persons if the registration statement contains an untrue statement or omits to state a material fact. Those persons who may be sued include “every accountant, engineer, or appraiser, or any person whose profession gives authority to a statement made by him, who has with his consent been named as having prepared or certified any part of the registration statement.” These persons are typically referred to as “experts.” Auditors sign a statement, known as a consent, in which they agree to be identified as experts in a section of the registration statement.

.14 Outside the 1933 Act arena, however, the term “expert” is typically undefined and the auditor’s responsibility, as a result of the use of that term, is also undefined.

3 If the term “expert” is defined under applicable state law, for instance, the accountant may agree to be named as an expert in an offering document in an intra-state securities offering. The accountant may also agree to be named as an expert, as that term is used by the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), in securities offering documents which are subject to the jurisdiction of the OTS.
1324  
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.15 When a client wishes to make reference to the auditor’s role in an offering document in connection with a securities offering that is not registered under the Act, the caption “Independent Auditors” should be used to title that section of the document; the caption “Experts” should not be used, nor should the auditors be referred to as experts anywhere in the document. The following paragraph should be used to describe the auditors role.

Independent Auditors

The financial statements as of December 31, 19XX and for the year then ended, included in this offering circular, have been audited by ABC, independent auditors, as stated in their report(s) appearing herein.

If the client refuses to delete from the offering document the reference to the auditors as experts, the auditor should not permit inclusion of the auditor’s report in the offering document.

[Issue Date: June, 1992; Amended: March, 1995.]

3. Consenting to the Use of an Audit Report in an Offering Document in Securities Offerings Other Than One Registered Under the Securities Act of 1933

.16 Question—May the auditor consent to the use of his or her audit report in an offering document other than one registered under the Securities Act of 1933?

.17 Interpretation—When an auditor’s report is included in an offering document other than one registered under the Securities Act of 1933, it is not usually necessary for the accountant to provide a consent. If the accountant is requested to provide a consent, he or she may do so. The following is example language the accountant might use:

We agree to the inclusion in this offering circular of our report, dated February 5, 19XX, on our audit of the financial statements of [name of entity].

[Issue Date: June, 1992.]
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**Interim Financial Information**

(Supersedes SAS No. 71)

Source: SAS No. 100.

Effective for interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2002.

**Introduction**

.01 The purpose of this section is to establish standards and provide guidance on the nature, timing, and extent of the procedures to be performed by an independent accountant when conducting a review of *interim financial information* (as that term is defined in paragraph .02 of this section). The three general standards discussed in section 150, *Generally Accepted Auditing Standards*, paragraph .02, are applicable to a review of interim financial information conducted in accordance with this section. This section provides guidance on the application of the field work and reporting standards to a review of interim financial information, to the extent those standards are relevant.

.02 For purposes of this section, the term *interim financial information* means financial information or statements covering a period less than a full year or for a 12-month period ending on a date other than the entity’s fiscal year end.

.03 The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) requires a registrant to engage an independent accountant to review the registrant’s interim financial information, in accordance with this section, before the registrant files its quarterly report on Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB. Although this section does not require an accountant to issue a written report on a review of interim financial information, the SEC requires that an accountant’s review report be filed with the interim financial information if, in any filing, the entity states that the interim financial information has been reviewed by an independent public accountant. Paragraphs .37 through .46 of this section provide reporting guidance for a review of interim financial information.

.04 Section 315, *Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors*, requires a successor auditor to contact the entity’s predecessor auditor and make inquiries of the predecessor auditor in deciding whether to accept appointment as an entity’s independent auditor. Such inquiries should be completed before accepting an engagement to perform an initial review of an entity’s interim financial information.

**Applicability**

.05 An accountant may conduct, in accordance with this section, a review of the interim financial information of an SEC registrant or of a non-SEC registrant’s subsidiary, corporate joint venture, or investee of an SEC registrant, when that review is performed in the context of the review of the interim financial information of the SEC registrant itself.

---

1 The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) requirement is set forth in Rule 10-01(d) of Regulation S-X for Form 10-Q and item 310(b) of Regulation S-B for Form 10-QSB.
2 Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services provide guidance for review engagements for which this section is not applicable.
3 This section also is applicable to a review of the interim financial information of a subsidiary, corporate joint venture, or investee of an SEC registrant, when that review is performed in the context of the review of the interim financial information of the SEC registrant itself.
registrant that makes a filing with a regulatory agency\(^4\) in preparation for a public offering or listing, if the entity's latest annual financial statements have been or are being audited. The interim financial information may be presented in the form of financial statements or in a summarized form that purports to conform with generally accepted accounting principles\(^5\) and applicable regulatory requirements, for example, Article 10 of Regulation S-X for Form 10-Q.

.06 Many SEC registrants are required by item 302(a) of Regulation S-K to include selected quarterly financial data (that is, interim financial information for each full quarter within the two most recent fiscal years and any subsequent interim period for which financial statements are included or are required to be included) in their annual reports and in certain other SEC filings. Consequently, a review of the entity's fourth quarter interim financial information must be conducted even though a quarterly report for the fourth quarter is not filed on Form 10-Q. Furthermore, an accountant performing an initial audit of an entity's annual financial statements that includes selected quarterly data who has not previously reviewed one or more of the quarters in that year should perform a review of those quarters, in accordance with this section, in order to report on the audited financial statements containing such interim financial information.

**Objective of a Review of Interim Financial Information**

.07 The objective of a review of interim financial information pursuant to this section is to provide the accountant with a basis for communicating whether he or she is aware of any material modifications that should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles. The objective of a review of interim financial information differs significantly from that of an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. A review of interim financial information does not provide a basis for expressing an opinion about whether the financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. A review consists principally of performing analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters, and does not contemplate (a) tests of accounting records through inspection, observation, or confirmation; (b) tests of controls to evaluate their effectiveness; (c) obtaining corroborating evidence in response to inquiries; or (d) performing certain other procedures ordinarily performed in an audit. A review may bring to the accountant's attention significant matters affecting the interim financial information, but it does not provide assurance that the accountant will become aware of all significant matters that would be identified in an audit. Paragraph .22 of this section provides guidance to the accountant if he or she becomes aware of information that leads him or her to believe that the interim financial information may not be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

\(^{4}\) For purposes of this section, a regulatory agency is the SEC and the following agencies with which an entity files periodic reports pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Reserve System, and Office of Thrift Supervision.

\(^{5}\) Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, outlines the application of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles to the determination of income when interim financial information is presented, provides for the use of estimated effective income tax rates, and specifies certain disclosure requirements for summarized interim financial information issued by public companies. Footnote 3 of section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, paragraph .10, indicates that, for SEC registrants, rules and interpretive releases of the SEC have an authority similar to that of category “a” accounting principles.
Establishing an Understanding With the Client

.08 The accountant should establish an understanding with the client regarding the services to be performed in an engagement to review interim financial information. Such an understanding reduces the risk that either the accountant or the client may misinterpret the needs or expectations of the other party. This understanding should include the objectives of the engagement, management’s responsibilities, the accountant’s responsibilities, and the limitations of the engagement. The accountant should document this understanding, preferably through a written communication with the client. If the accountant believes an understanding with the client has not been established, he or she should decline to accept or perform the engagement.

.09 An understanding with the client regarding a review of interim financial information generally includes the following matters:

- The objective of a review of interim financial information is to provide the accountant with a basis for communicating whether he or she is aware of any material modifications that should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
- Management is responsible for the entity’s interim financial information.
- Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting.
- Management is responsible for identifying and ensuring that the entity complies with the laws and regulations applicable to its activities.
- Management is responsible for making all financial records and related information available to the accountant.
- At the conclusion of the engagement, management will provide the accountant with a letter confirming certain representations made during the review.
- Management is responsible for adjusting the interim financial information to correct material misstatements. Although a review of interim financial information is not designed to obtain reasonable assurance that the interim financial information is free from material misstatement, management also is responsible for affirming in its representation letter to the accountant that the effects of any uncorrected misstatements aggregated by the accountant during the current engagement and pertaining to the current-year period(s) under review are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the interim financial information taken as a whole.
- The accountant is responsible for conducting the review in accordance with standards established by the AICPA. A review of interim financial information consists principally of performing analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, the accountant will not express an opinion on the interim financial information.

---

6 See Statement on Quality Control Standards (SQCS) No. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm’s Accounting and Auditing Practice, as amended, paragraph 16 [QC section 20.16].

AICPA Professional Standards

AU §722.09
A review includes obtaining sufficient knowledge of the entity’s business and its internal control as it relates to the preparation of both annual and interim financial information to:

- Identify the types of potential material misstatements in the interim financial information and consider the likelihood of their occurrence.
- Select the inquiries and analytical procedures that will provide the accountant with a basis for communicating whether he or she is aware of any material modifications that should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles.

A review is not designed to provide assurance on internal control or to identify reportable conditions. However, the accountant is responsible for communicating with the audit committee or others with equivalent authority or responsibility, regarding any reportable conditions that come to his or her attention.

The Accountant’s Knowledge of the Entity’s Business and Its Internal Control

.10 To perform a review of interim financial information, the accountant should have sufficient knowledge of the entity’s business and its internal control as they relate to the preparation of both annual and interim financial information to:

- Identify the types of potential material misstatements in the interim financial information and consider the likelihood of their occurrence.
- Select the inquiries and analytical procedures that will provide the accountant with a basis for communicating whether he or she is aware of any material modifications that should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles.

.11 In planning a review of interim financial information, the accountant should perform procedures to update his or her knowledge of the entity’s business and its internal control to (a) aid in the determination of the inquiries to be made and the analytical procedures to be performed and (b) identify particular events, transactions, or assertions to which the inquiries may be directed or analytical procedures applied. Such procedures should include:

- Reading documentation of the preceding year’s audit and of reviews of prior interim period(s) of the current year and corresponding quarterly and year-to-date interim period(s) of the prior year to the extent necessary, based on the accountant’s judgment, to enable the accountant to identify matters that may affect the current-period interim financial information. In reading such documents, the accountant should specifically consider the nature of any (a) corrected material misstatements; (b) matters identified in any summary of uncorrected misstatements; (c) identified risks of material misstatement due to
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fraud, including the risk of management override of controls; and (d) significant financial accounting and reporting matters that may be of continuing significance, such as weaknesses in internal control.

- Reading the most recent annual and comparable prior interim period financial information.
- Considering the results of any audit procedures performed with respect to the current year’s financial statements.
- Inquiring of management about changes in the entity’s business activities.
- Inquiring of management about whether significant changes in internal control, as it relates to the preparation of interim financial information, have occurred subsequent to the preceding annual audit or prior review of interim financial information, including changes in the entity’s policies, procedures, and personnel, as well as the nature and extent of such changes.

.12 In an initial review of interim financial information, the accountant should perform procedures that will enable him or her to obtain sufficient knowledge of the entity’s business and its internal control to address the objectives discussed in paragraph .07 of this section. As part of the procedures to obtain this knowledge, the accountant performing an initial review of interim financial information makes inquiries of the predecessor accountant and reviews the predecessor accountant’s documentation for the preceding annual audit and for any prior interim periods in the current year that have been reviewed by the predecessor accountant if the predecessor accountant permits access to such documentation. In doing so, the accountant should specifically consider the nature of any (a) corrected material misstatements; (b) matters identified in any summary of uncorrected misstatements; (c) identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud, including the risk of management override of controls; and (d) significant financial accounting and reporting matters that may be of continuing significance, such as weaknesses in internal control. However, the inquiries made and analytical procedures performed or other procedures performed in the initial review and the conclusions reached are solely the responsibility of the successor accountant. If the successor accountant is reporting on the review, the successor accountant should not make reference to the report or work of the predecessor accountant as the basis, in part, for the successor accountant’s own report. If the predecessor accountant does not respond to the successor accountant’s inquiries, or does not allow the successor accountant to review the predecessor accountant’s documentation, the successor accountant should use alternative procedures to obtain knowledge of the matters discussed in this paragraph.

.13 The accountant who has audited the entity’s financial statements for one or more annual periods would have acquired sufficient knowledge of an entity’s internal control as it relates to the preparation of annual financial information and may have acquired such knowledge with respect to interim financial information. If the accountant has not audited the most recent annual financial statements, the accountant should perform procedures to obtain such knowledge. Knowledge of an entity’s internal control, as it relates to the preparation of both annual and interim financial information, includes knowledge of the relevant aspects of the control environment, the entity’s risk

---

6 The accountant also may consider reviewing the predecessor accountant’s documentation related to reviews of interim period(s) in the prior year.
assessment process, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring, as those terms are defined in section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit. Internal control over the preparation of interim financial information may differ from internal control over the preparation of annual financial statements because certain accounting principles and practices used for interim financial information may differ from those used for the preparation of annual financial statements, for example, the use of estimated effective income tax rates for the preparation of interim financial information, which is prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting.

A restriction on the scope of the review may be imposed if the entity’s internal control appears to contain deficiencies so significant that it would be impracticable for the accountant, based on his or her judgment, to effectively perform review procedures that would provide a basis for communicating whether he or she is aware of any material modifications that should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles.9

Analytical Procedures, Inquiries, and Other Review Procedures

Procedures for conducting a review of interim financial information generally are limited to analytical procedures, inquiries, and other procedures that address significant accounting and disclosure matters relating to the interim financial information to be reported. The accountant performs these procedures to obtain a basis for communicating whether he or she is aware of any material modifications that should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles. The specific inquiries made and the analytical and other procedures performed should be tailored to the engagement based on the accountant’s knowledge of the entity’s business and its internal control. The accountant’s knowledge of an entity’s business and its internal control influences the inquiries made and analytical procedures performed. For example, if the accountant becomes aware of a significant change in the entity’s control activities at a particular location, the accountant may consider (a) making additional inquiries, such as whether management monitored the changes and considered whether they were operating as intended, (b) employing analytical procedures with a more precise expectation, or (c) both.

Analytical procedures and related inquiries. The accountant should apply analytical procedures to the interim financial information to identify and provide a basis for inquiry about the relationships and individual items that appear to be unusual and that may indicate a material misstatement. Analytical procedures, for the purposes of this section, should include:

- Comparing the quarterly interim financial information with comparable information for the immediately preceding interim period and the quarterly and year-to-date interim financial information with the corresponding period(s) in the previous year, giving consideration to knowledge about changes in the entity’s business and specific transactions.
- Considering plausible relationships among both financial and, where relevant, nonfinancial information. The accountant also may wish to

9 See paragraph .28 of this section.
consider information developed and used by the entity, for example, information in a director's information package or in a senior committee's briefing materials.

- Comparing recorded amounts, or ratios developed from recorded amounts, to expectations developed by the accountant. The accountant develops such expectations by identifying and using plausible relationships that are reasonably expected to exist based on the accountant's understanding of the entity and the industry in which the entity operates (see paragraph .17 of this section).

- Comparing disaggregated revenue data, for example, comparing revenue reported by month and by product line or operating segment during the current interim period with that of comparable prior periods.

See Appendix A [paragraph .54] of this section for examples of analytical procedures an accountant may consider performing when conducting a review of interim financial information. The accountant may find the guidance in section 329, Analytical Procedures, useful in conducting a review of interim financial information.

.17 Expectations developed by the accountant in performing analytical procedures in connection with a review of interim financial information ordinarily are less precise than those developed in an audit. Also, in a review the accountant ordinarily is not required to corroborate management's responses with other evidence. However, the accountant should consider the reasonableness and consistency of management's responses in light of the results of other review procedures and the accountant's knowledge of the entity's business and its internal control.10

.18 Inquiries and other review procedures. The following are inquiries the accountant should make and other review procedures the accountant should perform when conducting a review of interim financial information:

a. Reading the available minutes of meetings of stockholders, directors, and appropriate committees, and inquiring about matters dealt with at meetings for which minutes are not available, to identify matters that may affect the interim financial information.

b. Obtaining reports from other accountants, if any, who have been engaged to perform a review of the interim financial information of significant components of the reporting entity, its subsidiaries, or its other investees, or inquiring of those accountants if reports have not been issued.11

c. Inquiring of members of management who have responsibility for financial and accounting matters concerning:

- Whether the interim financial information has been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles consistently applied.

- Unusual or complex situations that may have an effect on the interim financial information. (See Appendix B [paragraph .55] of

---

10 See paragraph .22 of this section.
11 In these circumstances, the accountant ordinarily is in a position similar to that of an auditor who acts as principal auditor (see section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors) and makes use of the work or reports of other auditors in the course of an audit of financial statements.
Obtaining evidence that the interim financial information agrees or reconciles with the accounting records. For example, the accountant may compare the interim financial information to (1) the accounting records, such as the general ledger; (2) a consolidating schedule derived from the accounting records; or (3) other supporting data in the entity’s records. In addition, the accountant should consider inquiring of management as to the reliability of the records to which the interim financial information was compared or reconciled.

e. Reading the interim financial information to consider whether, based on the results of the review procedures performed and other information that has come to the accountant’s attention, the information to be reported conforms with generally accepted accounting principles.

f. Reading other information that accompanies the interim financial information and is contained in reports (1) to holders of securities or beneficial interests or (2) filed with regulatory authorities under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (such as Form 10-Q or 10-QSB), to consider whether such information or the manner of its presentation is materially inconsistent with the interim financial information.12 If

12 The principal accountant also may request other accountants involved in the engagement, if any, to read the other information.
the accountant concludes that there is a material inconsistency, or becomes aware of information that he or she believes is a material misstatement of fact, the action taken will depend on his or her judgment in the particular circumstances. In determining the appropriate course of action, the accountant should consider the guidance in section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements, paragraphs .04 through .06).

.19 Many of the aforementioned review procedures can be performed before or simultaneously with the entity's preparation of the interim financial information. For example, it may be practicable to update the understanding of the entity's internal control and begin reading applicable minutes before the end of an interim period. Performing some of the review procedures earlier in the interim period also permits early identification and consideration of significant accounting matters affecting the interim financial information.

.20 Inquiry concerning litigation, claims, and assessments. A review of interim financial information does not contemplate obtaining corroborating evidence for responses to inquiries concerning litigation, claims, and assessments (see paragraph .07 of this section). Consequently, it ordinarily is not necessary to send an inquiry letter to an entity's lawyer concerning litigation, claims, and assessments. However, if information comes to the accountant's attention that leads him or her to question whether the interim financial information departs from generally accepted accounting principles131 with respect to litigation, claims, or assessments, and the accountant believes the entity's lawyer may have information concerning that question, an inquiry of the lawyer concerning the specific question is appropriate.

.21 Inquiry concerning an entity's ability to continue as a going concern. A review of interim financial information is not designed to identify conditions or events that may indicate substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern. However, such conditions or events may have existed at the date of prior-period financial statements.14 In addition, in the course of performing review procedures on the current-period interim financial information, the accountant may become aware of conditions or events that might be indicative of the entity's possible inability to continue as a going concern. In either case, the accountant should (a) inquire of management as to its plans for dealing with the adverse effects of the conditions and events and (b) consider the adequacy of the disclosure about such matters in the interim financial information.15 It ordinarily is not necessary for the accountant to obtain evidence in support of the information that mitigates the effects of the conditions and events.

13 In accordance with APB Opinion No. 28 and Article 10 of Regulation S-X, contingencies and other uncertainties that could be expected to affect the fairness of the presentation of financial data at an interim date should be disclosed in interim reports in the same manner required for annual reports. Such disclosures should be repeated in interim and annual reports until the contingencies have been removed, resolved, or become immaterial. The significance of a contingency or uncertainty should be judged in relation to annual financial statements.

14 For purposes of this section, "conditions or events that existed at the date of prior-period financial statements" include (a) substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern that existed at the preceding year end, regardless of whether the substantial doubt was alleviated by the auditor's consideration of management's plans, or (b) conditions and events disclosed in the immediately preceding interim period.

15 Information that might be disclosed is set forth in section 341, The Auditor's Consideration of an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, paragraph .10. If the accountant determines that the disclosure about the entity's possible inability to continue as a going concern is inadequate, a departure from generally accepted accounting principles exists.
.22 Extension of interim review procedures. If, in performing a review of interim financial information, the accountant becomes aware of information that leads him or her to believe that the interim financial information may not be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in all material respects, the accountant should make additional inquiries or perform other procedures that the accountant considers appropriate to provide a basis for communicating whether he or she is aware of any material modifications that should be made to the interim financial information. For example, if the accountant’s interim review procedures lead him or her to question whether a significant sales transaction is recorded in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the accountant should perform additional procedures, such as discussing the terms of the transaction with senior marketing and accounting personnel, reading the sales contract, or both, to resolve his or her questions.

.23 Coordination with the audit. The accountant performing the review of interim financial information ordinarily will also be engaged to perform an audit of the annual financial statements of the entity. Certain auditing procedures may be performed concurrently with the review of interim financial information. For example, information gained from reading the minutes of meetings of the board of directors in connection with the review also may be used for the annual audit. Also, there may be significant or unusual transactions occurring during the interim period under review for which the auditing procedures that would need to be performed for purposes of the audit of the annual financial statements could be performed, to the extent practicable, at the time of the interim review, for example, business combinations, restructurings, or significant revenue transactions.

Written Representations From Management

.24 Written representations from management should be obtained for all interim financial information presented and for all periods covered by the review. Specific representations should relate to the following matters:

Financial Statements

a. Management’s acknowledgement of its responsibility for the fair presentation of the interim financial information in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

b. Management’s belief that the interim financial information has been prepared and presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applicable to interim financial information.

Internal Control

c. Disclosure of all significant deficiencies, including material weaknesses, in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the issuer’s ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data.

d. Acknowledgment of management’s responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent and detect fraud.

16 For additional guidance regarding written management representations, see section 333, Management Representations, paragraphs .08 through .12.
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e. Knowledge of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity involving (1) management, (2) employees who have significant roles in internal control, or (3) others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.

f. Knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others.

Completeness of Information

g. Availability of all financial records and related data.
h. Completeness and availability of all minutes of meetings of stockholders, directors, and committees of directors.
i. Communications with regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with or deficiencies in financial reporting practices.
j. Absence of unrecorded transactions.

Recognition, Measurement, and Disclosure

k. Management’s belief that the effects of any uncorrected financial statement misstatements aggregated by the accountant during the current review engagement and pertaining to the interim period(s) in the current year are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the interim financial information taken as a whole. (A summary of such items should be included in or attached to the letter.)

l. Plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets or liabilities.
m. Information concerning related-party transactions and amounts receivable from or payable to related parties.
n. Guarantees, whether written or oral, under which the entity is contingently liable.
o. Significant estimates and material concentrations known to management that are required to be disclosed in accordance with the AICPA’s Statement of Position 94-6, Disclosure of Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties.
p. Violations or possible violations of laws or regulations whose effects should be considered for disclosure in the interim financial information or as a basis for recording a loss contingency.

q. Unasserted claims or assessments that are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.
r. Other liabilities or gain or loss contingencies that are required to be accrued or disclosed by FASB Statement No. 5.
s. Satisfactory title to all owned assets, liens or encumbrances on such assets, and assets pledged as collateral.
t. Compliance with aspects of contractual agreements that may affect the interim financial information.

17 If a summary of uncorrected misstatements is unnecessary because there were no uncorrected misstatements identified, this representation should be eliminated.
Subsequent Events

The representation letter ordinarily should be tailored to include additional representations from management related to matters specific to the entity’s business or industry. Appendix C [paragraph .56] of this section presents illustrative representation letters.

Evaluating the Results of Interim Review Procedures

.25 A review of interim financial information is not designed to obtain reasonable assurance that the interim financial information is free of material misstatement. However, based on the review procedures performed, the accountant may become aware of likely misstatements. In the context of an interim review, a likely misstatement is the accountant’s best estimate of the total misstatement in the account balances or classes of transactions on which he or she has performed review procedures. The accountant should accumulate for further evaluation likely misstatements identified in performing the review procedures. The accountant may designate an amount below which misstatements need not be accumulated, based on his or her professional judgment. However, the accountant should recognize that aggregated misstatements of relatively small amounts could have a material effect on the interim financial information.

.26 Misstatements identified by the accountant or brought to the accountant’s attention, including inadequate disclosure, should be evaluated individually and in the aggregate to determine whether material modification should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles. The accountant should use his or her professional judgment in evaluating the materiality of any likely misstatements that the entity has not corrected. The accountant should consider

18 Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X states—
The interim financial information shall include disclosures either on the face of the financial statements or in accompanying footnotes sufficient so as to make the interim information presented not misleading. Registrants may presume that users of the interim financial information have read or have access to the audited financial statements for the preceding fiscal year and that the adequacy of additional disclosure needed for a fair presentation, except in regard to material contingencies, may be determined in that context. Accordingly, footnote disclosure which would substantially duplicate the disclosure contained in the most recent annual report to security holders or latest audited financial statements, such as a statement of significant accounting policies and practices, details of accounts which have not changed significantly in amount or composition since the end of the most recently completed fiscal year, and detailed disclosures prescribed by Rule 4-08 of this Regulation, may be omitted. However, disclosure shall be provided where events subsequent to the end of the most recent fiscal year have occurred which have a material impact on the registrant. Disclosures should encompass for example, significant changes since the end of the most recently completed fiscal year in such items as: accounting principles and practices; estimates inherent in the preparation of the financial statements; status of long-term contracts; capitalization including significant new borrowings or modification of existing financing arrangements; and the reporting entity resulting from business combinations or dispositions. Notwithstanding the above, where material contingencies exist, disclosure of such matters shall be provided even though a significant change since year end may not have occurred.

19 APB Opinion No. 28 describes the applicability of generally accepted accounting principles to interim financial information and indicates the types of disclosures necessary to report on a meaningful basis for a period of less than a full year. Paragraph 29 of Opinion No. 28 provides guidance on assessing materiality in interim periods. For example, the Opinion states, “In determining materiality for the purpose of reporting the cumulative effect of an accounting change or correction of an error, amounts should be related to the estimated income for the full fiscal year and also to the effect on the trend of earnings.”
matters such as (a) the nature, cause (if known), and amount of the misstatements; (b) whether the misstatements originated in the preceding year or interim periods of the current year; (c) materiality judgments made in conjunction with the current or prior year's annual audit; and (d) the potential effect of the misstatements on future interim or annual periods.20

.27 When evaluating whether uncorrected likely misstatements, individually or in the aggregate, are material, the accountant also should (a) consider the appropriateness of offsetting a misstatement of an estimated amount with a misstatement of an item capable of precise measurement and (b) recognize that an accumulation of immaterial misstatements in the balance sheet could contribute to material misstatements in future periods.

.28 When an accountant is unable to perform the procedures he or she considers necessary to achieve the objective of a review of interim financial information, or the client does not provide the accountant with the written representations the accountant believes are necessary, the review will be incomplete. An incomplete review is not an adequate basis for issuing a review report. If the accountant cannot complete the review, the accountant should communicate that information in accordance with the guidance in paragraphs .29 through .31 of this section. Nevertheless, if the accountant has become aware of material modifications that should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles, such matters should be communicated pursuant to paragraphs .29 through .31 of this section.

Communications to Management, Audit Committees, and Others

.29 As a result of conducting a review of interim financial information, the accountant may become aware of matters that cause him or her to believe that (a) material modification should be made to the interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles or (b) that the entity filed the Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB before the completion of the review. In such circumstances, the accountant should communicate the matter(s) to the appropriate level of management as soon as practicable.

.30 If, in the accountant’s judgment, management does not respond appropriately to the accountant’s communication within a reasonable period of time, the accountant should inform the audit committee or others with equivalent authority and responsibility (hereafter referred to as the audit committee) of the matters as soon as practicable. This communication may be oral or written. If information is communicated orally, the accountant should document the communication.

.31 If, in the accountant’s judgment, the audit committee does not respond appropriately to the accountant’s communication within a reasonable period of time, the accountant should evaluate whether to resign from the engagement to review the interim financial information and as the entity’s auditor. The accountant may wish to consult with his or her attorney when making these evaluations.

20 Interpretation No. 4, “Considering the Qualitative Characteristics of Misstatements,” of section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit, provides additional guidance on evaluating whether misstatements are material.
When conducting a review of interim financial information, the accountant may become aware of fraud or possible illegal acts. If the matter involves fraud, it should be brought to the attention of the appropriate level of management. If the fraud involves senior management or results in a material misstatement of the financial statements, the accountant should communicate the matter directly to the audit committee as described in section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, paragraphs .79 through .82. If the matter involves possible illegal acts, the accountant should assure himself or herself that the audit committee is adequately informed, unless the matter is clearly inconsequential.21 (See section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients, paragraph .17.)

When conducting a review of interim financial information, the accountant may become aware of matters relating to internal control that may be of interest to the audit committee. Matters that should be reported to the audit committee are referred to as reportable conditions. Reportable conditions are matters coming to the accountant’s attention that, in his or her judgment, should be communicated to the audit committee because they represent significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control that could adversely affect the organization’s ability to initiate, record, summarize, and report financial data consistent with management’s assertions in the interim financial information. The accountant also may wish to submit recommendations related to other matters that come to the accountant’s attention.22

When conducting a review of interim financial information, the accountant also should determine whether any of the matters described in section 380, Communication With Audit Committees, as they relate to the interim financial information, have been identified. If such matters have been identified, the accountant should communicate them to the audit committee or be satisfied, through discussion with the audit committee, that such matters have been communicated to the audit committee by management. For example, the accountant should determine that the audit committee is informed about the process used by management to formulate particularly sensitive accounting estimates; about a change in a significant accounting policy affecting the interim financial information; about adjustments that, either individually or in the aggregate, could have a significant effect on the entity’s financial reporting process; and about uncorrected misstatements aggregated by the accountant that were determined by management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the interim financial statements taken as a whole.23

The objective of a review of interim financial information differs significantly from that of an audit. Therefore, any communication the accountant may make about the quality, not just the acceptability, of the entity’s accounting principles as applied to its interim financial reporting generally would be limited to the effect of significant events, transactions, and changes in accounting estimates that the accountant considered when conducting the review of interim financial information. Further, interim review procedures...
do not provide assurance that the accountant will become aware of all matters that might affect the accountant’s judgments about the quality of the entity’s accounting principles that would be identified as a result of an audit.

.36 If the accountant has identified matters to be communicated to the audit committee, the accountant should attempt to make such communications with the audit committee, or at least its chair, and a representative of management before the entity files its interim financial information with a regulatory agency (such as the SEC). If such communications cannot be made before the filing, they should be made as soon as practicable in the circumstances. The communications may be oral or written. If information is communicated orally, the accountant should document the communications.

**The Accountant’s Report on a Review of Interim Financial Information**

**Form of Accountant’s Review Report**

.37 The accountant’s review report accompanying interim financial information should consist of:

a. A title that includes the word independent.

b. A statement that the interim financial information identified in the report was reviewed.

c. A statement that the interim financial information is the responsibility of the entity’s management.

d. A statement that the review of interim financial information was conducted in accordance with standards established by the AICPA.

e. A description of the procedures for a review of interim financial information.

f. A statement that a review of interim financial information is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is an expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole, and accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

g. A statement about whether the accountant is aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim financial information for it to conform with generally accepted accounting principles. The statement should include an identification of the country of origin of those accounting principles (for example, accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. generally accepted accounting principles).

h. The manual or printed signature of the accountant’s firm.

i. The date of the review report. (Generally, the report should be dated as of the date of completion of the review procedures.25)

In addition, each page of the interim financial information should be clearly marked as unaudited.

---

24 Paragraphs .37 through .46 of this section provide reporting guidance for a review of interim financial information; however, an accountant is not required to issue a report on such engagements.

25 Other reporting issues related to the dating of reports or subsequent events are similar to those encountered in an audit of financial statements. See sections 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report, and 560, Subsequent Events.
The following is an example of a review report:

Independent Accountant’s Report

We have reviewed the accompanying [describe the interim financial information or statements reviewed] of ABC Company and consolidated subsidiaries as of September 30, 20X1, and for the three-month and nine-month periods then ended. This (These) interim financial information (statements) is (are) the responsibility of the company’s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim financial information (statements) for it (them) to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Signature]
[Date]

An accountant may be engaged to report on a review of comparative interim financial information. The following is an example of a review report on a condensed balance sheet as of March 31, 20X1, the related condensed statements of income and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and a condensed balance sheet derived from audited financial statements as of December 31, 20X0, that were included in Form 10-Q.

Independent Accountant’s Report

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of ABC Company and subsidiaries as of March 31, 20X1, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 20X1 and 20X0. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company’s management.

26 If interim financial information of a prior period is presented with that of the current period and the accountant has conducted a review of that information, the accountant should report on his or her review of the prior period. An example of the first sentence of such a report follows: “We have reviewed . . . of ABC Company and consolidated subsidiaries as of September 30, 20X1 and 20X2, and for the three-month and nine-month periods then ended. . . .”

27 Regulation S-X specifies that the following financial information should be provided in filings on Form 10-Q:
   a. Interim balance sheet as of the end of the most recent fiscal quarter and a balance sheet as of the end of the preceding fiscal year that may be condensed to the same extent as the interim balance sheet.
   b. Interim condensed statements of income for the most recent fiscal quarter, for the period between the end of the preceding fiscal year and the end of the most recent fiscal quarter, and for the corresponding periods of the preceding fiscal year.
   c. Interim condensed cash flow statements for the period between the end of the preceding fiscal year and the end of the most recent fiscal quarter and for the corresponding period for the preceding fiscal year.
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We conducted our review in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet of ABC Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X0, and the related consolidated statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 15, 20X1, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 20X0, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.28

[Signature]
[Date]

.40 The accountant may use and make reference to another accountant’s review report on the interim financial information of a significant component of a reporting entity. This reference indicates a division of responsibility for performing the review.29 The following is an example of report including such a reference:

Independent Accountant’s Report

We have reviewed the accompanying [describe the interim financial information or statements reviewed] of ABC Company and consolidated subsidiaries as of September 30, 20X1, and for the three-month and nine-month periods then ended. This (These) interim financial information (statements) is (are) the responsibility of the company’s management.

We were furnished with the report of other accountants on their review of the interim financial information of DEF subsidiary, whose total assets as of September 30, 20X1, and whose revenues for the three-month and nine-month periods then ended, constituted 15 percent, 20 percent, and 22 percent, respectively, of the related consolidated totals.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information (statements) consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting

28 If the auditor’s report on the preceding year-end financial statements was other than unqualified, referred to other auditors, or included an explanatory paragraph because of a going-concern matter or an inconsistency in the application of accounting principles, the last paragraph of the illustrative report in paragraph .39 should be appropriately modified.
29 See section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors.
matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review and the report of other accountants, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim financial information (statements) for it (them) to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Signature]

[Date]

Modification of the Accountant’s Review Report

41 The accountant’s report on a review of interim financial information should be modified for departures from generally accepted accounting principles, which include inadequate disclosure and changes in accounting principles that are not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The existence of substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern or a lack of consistency in the application of accounting principles affecting the interim financial information would not require the accountant to add an additional paragraph to the report, provided that the interim financial information appropriately discloses such matters. Although not required, the accountant may wish to emphasize such matters in a separate explanatory paragraph of the report. See paragraphs .44 and .45 of this section for examples of paragraphs that address matters related to an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern.

42 Departure from generally accepted accounting principles. If the accountant becomes aware that the interim financial information is materially affected by a departure from generally accepted accounting principles, he or she should modify the report. The modification should describe the nature of the departure and, if practicable, should state the effects on the interim financial information. Following is an example of such a modification of the accountant’s report.

[Explanatory third paragraph]

Based on information furnished to us by management, we believe that the company has excluded from property and debt in the accompanying balance sheet certain lease obligations that we believe should be capitalized to conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This information indicates that if these lease obligations were capitalized at September 30, 20X1, property would be increased by $______, long-term debt by $______, and net income and earnings per share would be increased (decreased) by $______, $______, $______, and $______, respectively, for the three-month and nine-month periods then ended.

[Concluding paragraph]

Based on our review, with the exception of the matter(s) described in the preceding paragraph(s), we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim financial information (statements) for it (them) to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
Interim Financial Information

A43 Inadequate disclosure. The information necessary for adequate disclosure is influenced by the form and context in which the interim financial information is presented. For example, the disclosures considered necessary for interim financial information presented in accordance with the minimum disclosure requirements of APB Opinion No. 28, paragraph 30, which is applicable to summarized financial statements of public companies, are considerably less extensive than those necessary for annual financial statements that present financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.31 If information that the accountant believes is necessary for adequate disclosure in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles32 is not included in the interim financial information, the accountant should modify the report and, if practicable, include the necessary information in the report. The following is an example of such a modification of the accountant’s report:

[Explanatory third paragraph]

Management has informed us that the company is presently contesting deficiencies in federal income taxes proposed by the Internal Revenue Service for the years 20X1 through 20X3 in the aggregate amount of approximately $_____, and that the extent of the company’s liability, if any, and the effect on the accompanying information (statements) is not determinable at this time. The information (statements) fail(s) to disclose these matters, which we believe are required to be disclosed in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

[Concluding paragraph]

Based on our review, with the exception of the matter(s) described in the preceding paragraph(s), we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim financial information (statements) for it (them) to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A44 Going-concern paragraph was included in the prior year’s audit report; conditions giving rise to the paragraph continue to exist. If (a) the auditor’s report for the prior year end contained an explanatory paragraph indicating the existence of substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern, (b) the conditions that raised such doubt continued to exist as of the interim reporting date covered by the review, and (c) there is adequate and appropriate disclosure about these conditions in the interim financial information, the accountant is not required to modify his or her report. However, the accountant may add an explanatory paragraph to the review report, after the concluding paragraph, emphasizing the matter disclosed in the audited financial statements and the interim financial information. The following is an example of such a paragraph.

Note 4 of the Company’s audited financial statements as of December 31, 20X1, and for the year then ended discloses that the Company was unable to renew its line of credit or obtain alternative financing at December 31, 20X1. Our

31 APB Opinion No. 28, paragraph 32, states that “there is a presumption that users of summarized interim financial data will have read the latest published annual report, including the financial disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles and management’s commentary concerning the annual financial results, and that the summarized interim data will be viewed in that context.” See footnote 18 of this section for additional disclosure requirements.

32 Such disclosures include those set forth in section 341, The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern, paragraph .10. If the accountant determines that disclosure about the entity’s possible inability to continue as a going concern is inadequate, a departure from generally accepted accounting principles exists.
Auditor's report on those financial statements includes an explanatory para-
graph referring to the matters in Note 4 of those financial statements and
indicating that these matters raised substantial doubt about the Company's
ability to continue as a going concern. As indicated in Note 3 of the Company's
unaudited interim financial statements as of March 31, 20X2, and for the three
months then ended, the Company was still unable to renew its line of credit or
obtain alternative financing as of March 31, 20X2. The accompanying interim
financial information does not include any adjustments that might result from
the outcome of this uncertainty.

Going-concern paragraph was not included in the prior year's audit
report; conditions or events exist as of the interim reporting date covered by the
review that might be indicative of the entity's possible inability to continue as a
going concern. If (a) conditions or events exist as of the interim reporting date
covered by the review that might be indicative of the entity's possible inability
to continue as a going concern, and (b) there is adequate and appropriate
disclosure about these conditions or events in the interim financial informa-
tion, the accountant is not required to modify his or her report. However, the
accountant may add an explanatory paragraph to the review report, after the
concluding paragraph, emphasizing the matter disclosed in the interim finan-
cial information. The following is an example of such a paragraph.

As indicated in Note 3, certain conditions indicate that the Company may be
unable to continue as a going concern. The accompanying interim financial
information does not include any adjustments that might result from the
outcome of this uncertainty.

Subsequent Discovery of Facts Existing at the Date of the
Accountant's Report

Subsequent to the date of the accountant's review report or the
completion of the interim review procedures, if a report is not issued, the
accountant may become aware that facts existed at the date of the review
report (or the completion of the review procedures) that might have affected
the accountant's report (or conclusion, if a report is not issued) had he or she
then been aware of those matters. Because of the variety of conditions that
might be encountered, the specific actions to be taken by the accountant in a
particular case may vary with the circumstances. In any event, the accountant
should consider the guidance in section 561, Subsequent Discovery of Facts
Existing at the Date of the Auditor's Report.

Client's Representation Concerning a Review of
Interim Financial Information

If a client represents in a document filed with a regulatory agency (see
paragraph .03 of this section for the SEC requirement) or issued to stockhold-
ers or third parties, that the accountant has reviewed the interim financial
information included in the document, the accountant should advise the entity
that his or her review report must be included in the document. If the client
will not agree to include the accountant's review report, the accountant should
perform the following procedures.

- Request that the accountant's name be neither associated with the
interim financial information nor referred to in the document.
- If the client does not comply with the request, advise the client that
the accountant will not consent either to the use of his or her name or
to reference to him or her.
• When appropriate, recommend that the client consult with its legal
counsel about the application of relevant laws and regulations to the
circumstances.
• Consider what other actions might be appropriate.\(^{33}\)

.48 If a client represents in a document filed with a regulatory agency (see
paragraph .03 of this section for the SEC requirement) or issued to stockhold-
ers or third parties that the accountant has reviewed the interim financial
information included in the document, and the accountant has been unable to
complete the review of the interim financial information, the accountant
should refer to paragraph .28 of this section for guidance.

**Interim Financial Information Accompanying Audited
Financial Statements**

.49 Interim financial information may be presented as supplementary
information outside audited financial statements. In such circumstances, each
page of the interim financial information should be clearly marked as un-
audited. If management chooses or is required to present interim financial
information in a note to the audited financial statements, the information also
should be clearly marked as unaudited.

.50 The auditor ordinarily need not modify his or her report on the
audited financial statements to refer to his or her having performed a review
in accordance with this section or to refer to the interim financial information
accompanying the audited financial statements because the interim financial
information has not been audited and is not required for the audited financial
statements to be fairly stated in conformity with generally accepted accounting
principles. The auditor’s report on the audited financial statements should,
however, be modified in the following circumstances:

a. The interim financial information included in a note to the financial
statements, including information that has been reviewed in accord-
ance with this section, is not appropriately marked as unaudited. (In
these circumstances the auditor should disclaim an opinion on the
interim financial information.)

b. The interim financial information accompanying audited financial
statements does not appear to be presented in conformity with
generally accepted accounting principles (see paragraphs .42 and .43
of this section). However, the auditor need not modify his or her
report on the audited financial statements if his or her separate
review report, which refers to those circumstances, is presented with
the information.

c. The selected quarterly financial data required by item 302(a) of
Regulation S-K is omitted. The following is an example of a para-
graph that should be added to the auditor’s report if the selected
quarterly financial data required by item 302(a) is omitted.

The company has not presented the selected quarterly financial data
specified in item 302(a) of Regulation S-K that the Securities and
Exchange Commission requires as supplementary information to the
basic financial statements.

\(^{33}\) In considering what actions, if any, may be appropriate in these circumstances, the accountant
should consider consulting his or her legal counsel.
The selected quarterly financial data required by item 302(a) of Regulation S-K has not been reviewed. The following is an example of a paragraph that should be added to the auditor’s report if the selected quarterly financial data required by item 302(a) has not been reviewed.

The selected quarterly financial data on page xx contains information that we did not audit, and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion on that data. We attempted but were unable to review the quarterly data in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants because we believe that the company’s internal control for the preparation of interim financial information does not provide an adequate basis to enable us to complete such a review.

**Documentation**

.51 The accountant should prepare documentation in connection with a review of interim financial information, the form and content of which should be designed to meet the circumstances of the particular engagement. Documentation is the principal record of the review procedures performed and the conclusions reached by the accountant in performing the review.34 Examples of documentation are review programs, analyses, memoranda, and letters of representation. Documentation may be in paper or electronic form, or other media. The quantity, type, and content of the documentation are matters of the accountant’s professional judgment.

.52 Because of the different circumstances in individual engagements, it is not possible to specify the form or content of the documentation the accountant should prepare. However, the documentation should include any findings or issues that in the accountant’s judgment are significant, for example, the results of review procedures that indicate that the interim financial information could be materially misstated, including actions taken to address such findings, and the basis for the final conclusions reached. In addition, the documentation should (a) enable members of the engagement team with supervision and review responsibilities to understand the nature, timing, extent, and results of the review procedures performed; (b) identify the engagement team member(s) who performed and reviewed the work; and (c) identify the evidence the accountant obtained in support of the conclusion that the interim financial information being reviewed agreed or reconciled with the accounting records (see paragraph .18(d) of this section).

**Effective Date**

.53 This section is effective for interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2002. Earlier application of the provisions of this section is permitted.
Appendix A

Analytical Procedures the Accountant May Consider Performing When Conducting a Review of Interim Financial Information

A1. Analytical procedures are designed to identify relationships and individual items that appear to be unusual and that may reflect a material misstatement of the interim financial information. These procedures may consist of comparing interim financial information with prior period information, actual interim results with anticipated results (such as budgets or forecasts), and recorded amounts or ratios with expectations developed by the accountant. Examples of analytical procedures an accountant may consider performing in a review of interim financial information include:

- Comparing current interim financial information with anticipated results, such as budgets or forecasts (for example, comparing tax balances and the relationship between the provision for income taxes and pretax income in the current interim financial information with corresponding information in (a) budgets, using expected rates, and (b) financial information for prior periods).\(^1\)

- Comparing current interim financial information with relevant nonfinancial information.

- Comparing ratios and indicators for the current interim period with expectations based on prior periods, for example, performing gross profit analysis by product line and operating segment using elements of the current interim financial information and comparing the results with corresponding information for prior periods. Examples of key ratios and indicators are the current ratio, receivable turnover or days’ sales outstanding, inventory turnover, depreciation to average fixed assets, debt to equity, gross profit percentage, net income percentage, and plant operating rates.

- Comparing ratios and indicators for the current interim period with those of entities in the same industry.

- Comparing relationships among elements in the current interim financial information with corresponding relationships in the interim financial information of prior periods, for example, expense by type as a percentage of sales, assets by type as a percentage of total assets, and percentage of change in sales to percentage of change in receivables.

- Comparing disaggregated data. The following are examples of how data may be disaggregated.
  — By period, for example, financial statement items disaggregated into quarterly, monthly, or weekly amounts.

\(^1\) The accountant should exercise caution when comparing and evaluating current interim financial information with budgets, forecasts, or other anticipated results because of the inherent lack of precision in estimating the future and susceptibility of such information to manipulation and misstatement by management to reflect desired interim results.
Special Topics

— By product line or operating segment.
— By location, for example, subsidiary, division, or branch.

A2. Analytical procedures may include such statistical techniques as trend analysis or regression analysis and may be performed manually or with the use of computer-assisted techniques.
Appendix B

Unusual or Complex Situations to Be Considered by the Accountant When Conducting a Review of Interim Financial Information

B1. The following are examples of situations about which the accountant would ordinarily inquire of management:

- Business combinations
- New or complex revenue recognition methods
- Impairment of assets
- Disposal of a segment of a business
- Use of derivative instruments and hedging activities
- Sales and transfers that may call into question the classification of investments in securities, including management's intent and ability with respect to the remaining securities classified as held to maturity
- Computation of earnings per share in a complex capital structure
- Adoption of new stock compensation plans or changes to existing plans
- Restructuring charges taken in the current and prior quarters
- Significant, unusual, or infrequently occurring transactions
- Changes in litigation or contingencies
- Changes in major contracts with customers or suppliers
- Application of new accounting principles
- Changes in accounting principles or the methods of applying them
- Trends and developments affecting accounting estimates, such as allowances for bad debts and excess or obsolete inventories, provisions for warranties and employee benefits, and realization of unearned income and deferred charges
- Compliance with debt covenants
- Changes in related parties or significant new related-party transactions
- Material off-balance-sheet transactions, special-purpose entities, and other equity investments
- Unique terms for debt or capital stock that could affect classification

---

1 The accountant may wish to refer to the guidance in section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates, paragraphs .05 and .06.
Appendix C

Illustrative Management Representation Letters for a Review of Interim Financial Information

C1. The following illustrative management representation letters, which relate to a review of interim financial information prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, are presented for illustrative purposes only. The first letter is designed to be used in conjunction with the representation letter provided by management in connection with the audit of the financial statements of the prior year. The second illustrative representation letter may be used independently of any other representation letter.

C2. The introductory paragraph of the letters should specify the financial statements and periods covered by the accountant’s report, for example, “condensed balance sheets of XYZ Company as of June 30, 20X1 and 20X2, and the related condensed statements of income and retained earnings and cash flows for the three-month and nine-month periods then ended.” The written representations to be obtained should be based on the circumstances of the engagement and the nature and basis of presentation of the financial statements being reviewed. Appendix B, “Additional Illustrative Representations,” of section 333, Management Representations, presents examples of such representations. Illustrative representations for specialized industries are presented in AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides.

C3. If matters exist that should be disclosed to the accountant, they should be indicated by modifying the related representation. For example, if an event subsequent to the date of the balance sheet has been disclosed in the interim financial statements, the final paragraph could be modified as follows: “To the best of our knowledge and belief, except as discussed in Note X to the financial statements, no events have occurred . . . .” In appropriate circumstances, item 10 of the second illustrative representation letter could be modified as follows: “The company has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities, except for our plans to dispose of segment A, as disclosed in Note X to the interim financial information, which are discussed in the minutes of the June 7, 20X2, meeting of the board of directors (or disclosed to you at our meeting on June 15, 20X2).” Similarly, if management has received a communication regarding an allegation of fraud or suspected fraud, item 7 of the first illustrative representation letter and item 9 of the second illustrative representation letter could be modified as follows: “Except for the allegation discussed in the minutes of the December 7, 20X1, meeting of the board of directors (or disclosed to you at our meeting on October 15, 20X1), we have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the company received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others.”

C4. The qualitative discussion of materiality used in the illustrative letters is adapted from the Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 2, Qualitative Characteristics of Accounting Information.

C5. Certain terms are used in the illustrative letters that are described elsewhere in authoritative literature. Examples are fraud, in section 319, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, and related parties, in
section 334, Related Parties, footnote 1). To avoid misunderstanding concerning the meaning of such terms, the accountant may wish to furnish those definitions to management or request that the definitions be included in the written representations.

C6. The illustrative letters assume that management and the accountant have reached an understanding on the limits of materiality for purposes of the written representations. However, it should be noted that a materiality limit would not apply for certain representations, as explained in section 333.08.

1. Illustrative Short-Form Representation Letter for a Review of Interim Financial Information (Statements)

(This representation letter is to be used in conjunction with the representation letter for the audit of the financial statements of the prior year. Management confirms the representations made in the representation letter for the audit of the financial statements of the prior year end as they apply to the interim financial information, and makes additional representations that may be needed for the interim financial information.)

(Date)

To [Independent Accountant]:

We are providing this letter in connection with your review of the [identification of interim financial information (statements)] of [name of entity] as of [dates] and for the [periods] for the purpose of determining whether any material modifications should be made to the [consolidated] interim financial information (statements) for it (them) to conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We confirm that we are responsible for the fair presentation of the [consolidated] interim financial information (statements) in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered material, regardless of size, if they involve an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in the light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would be changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement.

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, [as of (date of accountant's report or completion of review)], the following representations made to you during your review.

1. The interim financial information (statements) referred to above has (have) been prepared and presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applicable to interim financial information.

2. We have made available to you:
   a. All financial records and related data.
   b. All minutes of the meetings of stockholders, directors, and committees of directors, or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared. All significant board and committee actions are included in the summaries.

3. We believe that the effects of any uncorrected financial statement misstatements aggregated by you during the current review engagement and pertaining to the interim period(s) in the current year, as summarized in the accompanying schedule, are immaterial, both indi-
1. Individually and in the aggregate, to the interim financial information (statements) taken as a whole.\(^1\)

4. There are no significant deficiencies, including material weaknesses, in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize, and report interim financial data.

5. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent and detect fraud.

6. We have no knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud affecting the company involving:
   a. Management;
   b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control; or
   c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on the interim financial information.

7. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the company in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others.

8. We have reviewed our representation letter to you dated [date of representation letter relating to most recent audit] with respect to the audited financial statements for the year ended [prior year-end date]. We believe that representations A, B, and C within that representation letter do not apply to the interim financial information (statements) referred to above. We now confirm those representations 1 through X, as they apply to the interim financial information (statements) referred to above, and incorporate them herein, with the following changes:
   [Indicate any changes.]

9. [Add any representations related to new accounting or auditing standards that are being implemented for the first time.]

To the best of our knowledge and belief, no events have occurred subsequent to the balance-sheet date and through the date of this letter that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the aforementioned interim financial information (statements).

[Name of chief executive officer and title]

[Name of chief financial officer and title]

[Name of chief accounting officer and title]

\(^1\) If a summary of uncorrected misstatements is unnecessary because no uncorrected misstatements were identified, this representation should be eliminated.
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2. Illustrative Representation Letter for a Review of Interim Financial Information (Statements)

(This representation letter is similar in detail to the management-representation letter used for the audit of the financial statements of the prior year and thus need not refer to the written management representations received in the most recent audit.)

(Date)

To [Independent Accountant]:

We are providing this letter in connection with your review of the identification of interim financial information (statements) of [name of entity] as of [dates] and for the [periods] for the purpose of determining whether any material modifications should be made to the [consolidated] interim financial information (statements) for it (them) to conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We confirm that we are responsible for the fair presentation of the [consolidated] interim financial information (statements) in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered material, regardless of size, if they involve an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in the light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would be changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement.

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, [as of (date of accountant’s report or the completion of the review)], the following representations made to you during your review.

1. The interim financial information (statements) referred to above has (have) been prepared and presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applicable to interim financial information (statements).

2. We have made available to you—
   a. All financial records and related data.
   b. All minutes of the meetings of stockholders, directors, and committees of directors, or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared. All significant board and committee actions are included in the summaries.

3. There have been no communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with or deficiencies in financial reporting practices.

4. There are no material transactions that have not been properly recorded in the accounting records underlying the interim financial information.

5. We believe that the effects of any uncorrected financial statement misstatements aggregated by you during the current review engagement and pertaining to the interim period(s) in the current year, as summarized in the accompanying schedule, are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the interim financial information (statements) taken as a whole.¹

¹ If a summary of uncorrected misstatements is unnecessary because no uncorrected misstatements were identified, this representation should be eliminated.
6. There are no significant deficiencies, including material weaknesses, in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize, and report interim financial data.

7. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent and detect fraud.

8. We have no knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud affecting the company involving:
   a. Management;
   b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control; or
   c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on the interim financial information.

9. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the company received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others.

10. The company has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities.

11. The following have been properly recorded or disclosed in the interim financial information (statements):
   a. Related-party transactions, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers, leasing arrangements, and guarantees, and amounts receivable from or payable to related parties.
   b. Guarantees, whether written or oral, under which the company is contingently liable.
   c. Significant estimates and material concentrations known to management that are required to be disclosed in accordance with the AICPA's Statement of Position 94-6, Disclosure of Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties. [Significant estimates are estimates at the balance sheet date that could change materially within the next year. Concentrations refer to volumes of business, revenues, available sources of supply, or markets or geographic areas for which events could occur that would significantly disrupt normal finances within the next year.]

12. There are no:
   a. Violations or possible violations of laws or regulations whose effects should be considered for disclosure in the interim financial information (statements) or as a basis for recording a loss contingency.
   b. Unasserted claims or assessments that are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.
   c. Other liabilities or gain or loss contingencies that are required to be accrued or disclosed by FASB Statement No. 5.

13. The company has satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets; nor has any asset been pledged as collateral.

14. The company has complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that would have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of noncompliance.

15. [Add additional representations that are unique to the entity's business or industry. See paragraph .21 of this section and section 333, Management Representations, paragraph .17.]
16. [Add any representations related to new accounting or auditing standards that are being implemented for the first time.]

To the best of our knowledge and belief, no events have occurred subsequent to the balance-sheet date and through the date of this letter that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the aforementioned interim financial information (statements).

[Name of chief executive officer and title]

[Name of chief financial officer and title]

[Name of chief accounting officer and title]
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AU Section 801

Compliance Auditing Considerations in Audits of Governmental Entities and Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance

(Supersedes SAS No. 68)

Source: SAS No. 74; SAS No. 75.

Effective for audits of financial statements and of compliance with laws and regulations for fiscal periods ending after December 31, 1994, unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction and Applicability

.01 This section\(^{[1]}\) is applicable when the auditor is engaged to audit a governmental entity under generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS), and engaged to test and report on compliance with laws and regulations under Government Auditing Standards (the Yellow Book) or in certain other circumstances involving governmental financial assistance,\(^{[2]}\)\(^{[3]}\) such as single or organization-wide audits or program-specific audits under certain federal or state audit regulations.\(^{[4]}\)

\(^{[1]}\) [Footnote deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

\(^{[2]}\) Guidance for engagements related to management’s written assertion about either (a) an entity’s compliance with the requirements of specified laws, regulations, rules, or contracts not involving governmental financial assistance, or (b) the effectiveness of an entity’s internal control structure over compliance with specified requirements is provided in AT section 601, Compliance Attestation. [Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

\(^{[3]}\) When engaged to perform an agreed-upon procedures engagement for which the objective is to report in accordance with this section, the auditor may consider the guidance in AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements. [Footnote added, effective for reports on agreed-upon procedures engagements dated after April 30, 1996, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75. Footnote revised, January 2001, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10.]

\(^{[4]}\) A single or organization-wide audit is an audit of an entity’s financial statements and of compliance with regulations relating to governmental financial assistance. Examples are audits required by the Single Audit Act of 1984 and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-128, Audits of State and Local Governments, OMB Circular A-133, Audits of Institutions of Higher Education and Other Nonprofit Institutions, or the Connecticut Single Audit Act. A program-specific audit is an audit of one governmental financial assistance program in accordance with federal or state laws, regulations or audit guides, such as the U.S. Department of Education’s Student Financial Assistance Audit Guide, or the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD’s) Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs, relative to that program. An auditor may also be engaged to test and report on compliance with other federal, state, and local laws and regulations that are beyond the scope of this section. (For additional guidance, see footnote 2.) [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]
Specifically, this section provides general guidance to the auditor to—

a. Apply the provisions of section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients, relative to detecting misstatements resulting from illegal acts related to laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts in audits of the financial statements of governmental entities and other recipients of governmental financial assistance (paragraphs .03 through .07).

b. Perform a financial audit in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (paragraphs .08 and .09).\(^6\)

c. Perform a single or organization-wide audit or a program-specific audit in accordance with federal audit requirements (paragraphs .10 through .20).

d. Communicate with management if the auditor becomes aware that the entity is subject to an audit requirement that may not be encompassed in the terms of his or her engagement (paragraphs .21 through .23).

### Effects of Laws on Financial Statements

.03 The Governmental Accounting Standards Board’s (GASB’s) Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards, section 1200.103, recognizes that governmental entities generally are subject to a variety of laws and regulations that affect their financial statements.

An important aspect of GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles) as applied to governments is the recognition of the variety of legal and contractual considerations typical of the government environment. These considerations underlie and are reflected in the fund structure, bases of accounting, and other principles and methods set forth here, and are a major factor distinguishing governmental accounting from commercial accounting.

For example, such laws and regulations may address the fund structure required by law, regulation, or bond covenant; procurement; debt limitations; and legal authority for transactions.

.04 Federal, state, and local governmental entities provide financial assistance to other entities, including not-for-profit organizations and business enterprises that are either primary recipients, subrecipients,\(^7\) or beneficiaries.

---

\(^5\) Specific guidance is provided in the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of State and Local Governmental Units, and in Statement of Position (SOP) 98-3, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Not-for-Profit Organizations Receiving Federal Awards. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]

\(^6\) In practice, Government Auditing Standards, or the Yellow Book, is sometimes referred to as generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). Government Auditing Standards includes standards for financial and performance audits. The references to Government Auditing Standards in this section encompass only the standards that apply to financial audits, not the performance audit standards. The auditor should be aware that Government Auditing Standards is revised periodically and should ensure that the currently effective version is being followed. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]

\(^7\) A subrecipient is an entity that receives governmental financial assistance when the assistance is initially received by another entity (the primary recipient) that distributes the assistance for the government program that created and provided the assistance. As used in this section, recipient means either a primary recipient or a subrecipient. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]
Among the forms of governmental financial assistance are grants of cash and other assets, loans, loan guarantees, and interest-rate subsidies. By accepting such assistance, both governmental and nongovernmental entities may be subject to laws and regulations that may have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts in their financial statements.

Management is responsible for ensuring that the entity complies with the laws and regulations applicable to its activities. That responsibility encompasses the identification of applicable laws and regulations and the establishment of controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that the entity complies with those laws and regulations. The auditor’s responsibility for testing and reporting on compliance with laws and regulations varies according to the terms of the engagement.

Section 317 describes the auditor’s responsibility, in an audit performed in accordance with GAAS, for considering laws and regulations and how they affect the audit. Thus, the auditor should design the audit to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatements resulting from violations of laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts.

The auditor should obtain an understanding of the possible effects on financial statements of laws and regulations that are generally recognized by auditors to have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts in an entity’s financial statements. The auditor should also assess whether management has identified laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts in the entity’s financial statements and obtain an understanding of the possible effects on the financial statements of such laws and regulations. The auditor may consider performing the following procedures in assessing such laws and regulations and in obtaining an understanding of their possible effects on the financial statements.

- Consider knowledge about such laws and regulations obtained from prior years’ audits.
- Discuss such laws and regulations with the entity’s chief financial officer, legal counsel, or grant administrators.
- Obtain written representation from management regarding the completeness of management’s identification.
- Review the relevant portions of any directly related agreements, such as those related to grants and loans.
- Review the minutes of meetings of the legislative body and governing board of the governmental entity being audited for the enactment of

---

8 For purposes of this section, financial assistance, as defined by the Single Audit Act of 1984 and OMB Circular A-128, does not include contracts to provide goods or services to a governmental entity or arrangements in which a nongovernmental entity purchases insurance from the government. Federal awards, as defined by OMB Circular A-133, means financial assistance and federal cost-type contracts used to buy services or goods for the use of the federal government. Federal awards do not include procurement contracts to vendors under grants or contracts used to buy goods or services. For example, financial assistance does not include a contract to design and manufacture aircraft for the U.S. Air Force or the purchase of deposit insurance by a financial institution. In addition, although Medicaid funds paid by the federal government to states constitute financial assistance, most Medicaid arrangements between the states and health-care providers are contracts for services that are not considered to be financial assistance. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76, September 1995.]
laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the
determination of amounts in the governmental entity’s financial
statements.

f. Inquire of the office of the federal, state, or local auditor, or other
appropriate audit oversight organization about the laws and regulations
applicable to entities within their jurisdiction, including statutes and uniform reporting requirements.

g. Review information about compliance requirements, such as the
information included in the Compliance Supplements issued by
OMB: Compliance Supplement for Single Audits of State and Local
Governments and Compliance Supplement for Audits of Institutions
of Higher Learning and Other Non-Profit Institutions, Catalog of
Federal Domestic Assistance, issued by the Government Printing
Office, and state and local policies and procedures.

Government Auditing Standards

.08 Government Auditing Standards contains standards for audits of
government organizations, programs, activities, and functions and of govern-
ment assistance received by contractors, not-for-profit organizations, and other
nongovernment organizations. These standards, which include designing the
audit to provide reasonable assurance of detecting material misstatements
resulting from noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements
that have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial state-
ment amounts, are to be followed when required by law, regulation, agreement,
contract, or policy.9

.09 For financial audits, Government Auditing Standards prescribes
fieldwork and reporting standards beyond those required by GAAS. The gen-
eral standards of Government Auditing Standards relate to qualifications of
the staff, independence, due professional care, and quality control.

Federal Audit Requirements

.10 Although the scope and reporting requirements of an audit of a
recipient of federal financial assistance in accordance with federal audit regu-
lations vary, the audits generally have the following elements in common.

a. The audit is to be conducted in accordance with GAAS and Govern-
ment Auditing Standards.

b. The auditor’s consideration of internal control is to include obtaining
and documenting an understanding of internal control established
to ensure compliance with the laws and regulations applicable to the
federal financial assistance. In some instances, federal audit regula-
tions mandate a “test of controls” to evaluate the effectiveness of the
design and operation of the policies and procedures in preventing or
detecting material noncompliance.

9 Some states have adopted regulations that require local governments within the states to have
their audits conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards. In addition, some states
require that recipients of state financial assistance be audited in accordance with Government
Auditing Standards. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No.
75, September 1995.]
Compliance Auditing in Audits of Governmental Entities

The auditor is to issue a report on the consideration of internal control described above.

d. The auditor is to determine and report on whether the federal financial assistance has been administered in accordance with applicable laws and regulations (that is, compliance requirements).[10]

A recipient of federal financial assistance may be subject to a single or organization-wide audit or to a program-specific audit. A number of federal audit regulations permit the recipient to “elect” to have a program-specific audit, whereas other federal audit regulations require a program-specific audit in certain circumstances. In planning the audit, the auditor should determine and consider the specific federal audit requirements[11] applicable to the engagement, including the issuance of additional reports. As noted in paragraph .10 of this section, federal audit regulations for both single or organization-wide audits and program-specific audits generally require consideration of internal control beyond what is normally required by GAAS and Government Auditing Standards and a determination of whether applicable compliance requirements have been met.

Compliance Requirements Applicable to Federal Financial Assistance Programs

Compliance requirements applicable to federal financial assistance programs are usually one of two types: general and specific. General requirements involve national policy and apply to all or most federal financial assistance programs.12

Specific requirements apply to a particular federal program and generally arise from statutory requirements and regulations. The OMB’s Compliance Supplements set forth general and specific requirements for many of the federal programs awarded to state and local governments and to not-for-profit organizations, as well as suggested audit procedures to test for compliance with the requirements.

For program-specific audits, the auditor should consult federal grantor agency audit guides to identify general requirements that are statutory and regulatory requirements pertaining to certain federal programs, specific requirements for a particular program, and suggested audit procedures to test for compliance with the requirements.

In addition to those identified in the OMB’s Compliance Supplements or federal grantor agency audit guides, specific requirements may also be enumerated in grant agreements or contracts.

Generally, the auditor is required to determine whether the recipient has complied with the general and specific requirements. The form of the

[10] [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995. Footnote deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 85.]

[11] Such requirements may be set out in an engagement letter or audit contract. In some instances, a written engagement letter is required by the federal grantor agency. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]

[12] General requirements also may be referred to as common requirements. Detailed guidance on evaluating the results of testing general requirements can be found in the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of State and Local Governmental Units, and in SOP 92-9. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]
report and the required level of assurance to be provided in the report may vary, depending on the requirements of a particular agency or program. For example, if reporting on compliance requirements, the auditor may be required to report findings relating to compliance with those requirements or the auditor may be required to express an opinion on whether the recipient has complied with the requirements applicable to its major federal financial assistance programs.14

Evaluating Results of Compliance Audit Procedures on Major Federal Financial Assistance Programs

.17 In evaluating whether an entity has complied with laws and regulations that, if not complied with, could have a material effect on each major federal financial assistance program, the auditor should consider the effect of identified instances of noncompliance on each such program. In doing so, the auditor should consider—

a. The frequency of noncompliance identified in the audit.

b. The adequacy of a primary recipient’s system for monitoring subrecipients and the possible effect on the program of any noncompliance identified by the primary recipient or the auditors of the subrecipients.

c. Whether any instances of noncompliance identified in the audit resulted in questioned costs, as discussed below, and, if they did, whether questioned costs are material to the program.15

.18 The criteria for classifying a cost as a questioned cost vary from one federal agency to another. In evaluating the effect of questioned costs on the opinion on compliance, the auditor considers the best estimate of total costs questioned for each major federal financial assistance program (hereafter referred to as likely questioned costs), not just the questioned costs specifically identified (hereafter referred to as known questioned costs). When using audit sampling, as defined in section 350, Audit Sampling, in testing compliance, the auditor should project the amount of known questioned costs identified in the sample to the items in the major federal financial assistance program from which the sample was selected.

.19 Regardless of the auditor’s opinion on compliance, federal audit regulations may require him or her to report any instances of noncompliance found and any resulting questioned costs. In reporting instances of noncompliance, the auditor should follow the provisions of Government Auditing Standards. For purposes of reporting questioned costs, the auditor is not required to report likely questioned costs; rather, the auditor should report only known questioned costs.

13 A major federal financial assistance program is defined by a federal regulation or law or by the federal grantor agency’s audit guide. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]

14 Detailed testing and reporting guidance on single or organization-wide audits and program-specific audits is provided in the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of State and Local Governmental Units and in SOP 92-9. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]

15 In auditing compliance with requirements governing major federal financial assistance programs, the auditor’s consideration of materiality differs from that in an audit of the financial statements in accordance with GAAS. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]
When evaluating the results of compliance audit procedures on federal financial assistance programs, the auditor also should consider whether identified instances of noncompliance affect his or her opinion on the entity's financial statements (see paragraph .06).

Communications Regarding Applicable Audit Requirements

.21 Management is responsible for obtaining audits that satisfy relevant legal, regulatory, or contractual requirements. Auditors should exercise due professional care in ensuring that they and management understand the type of engagement to be performed. If a proposal, contract, or engagement letter is used, an auditor should consider including in it a statement about the type of engagement and whether the engagement is intended to meet specific audit requirements.

.22 GAAS do not require the auditor to perform procedures beyond those he or she considers necessary to obtain sufficient competent evidential matter to form a basis for the opinion on the financial statements. However, if during a GAAS audit of the financial statements the auditor becomes aware that the entity is subject to an audit requirement that may not be encompassed in the terms of the engagement, the auditor should communicate to management and the audit committee, or to others with equivalent authority and responsibility, that an audit in accordance with GAAS may not satisfy the relevant legal, regulatory, or contractual requirements.16 For example, the auditor will be required to make this communication if an entity engages an auditor to perform an audit of its financial statements in accordance with GAAS and the auditor becomes aware that by law, regulation, or contractual agreement the entity also is required to have an audit performed in accordance with one or more of the following:

a. Government Auditing Standards
b. The Single Audit Act of 1984 and OMB Circular A-128, Audits of State and Local Governments
c. OMB Circular A-133, Audits of Institutions of Higher Education and Other Nonprofit Institutions
d. Other compliance audit requirements, such as state or local laws or program-specific audits under federal audit guides

.23 The communication required by paragraph .22 of this section may be oral or written. If the communication is oral, the auditor should document the communication in the audit documentation. The auditor should consider how the client’s actions in response to such communication relate to other aspects of the audit, including the potential effect on the financial statements and on the auditor’s report on those financial statements. Specifically, the auditor should consider management’s actions (such as not arranging for an audit that meets the applicable requirements) in relation to the guidance in section 317. [Revised, June 2003, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96.]

16 For entities that do not have an audit committee, “others with equivalent authority or responsibility” may include the board of directors, the board of trustees, the owner in owner-managed entities, the city council, or the legislative standing committee. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, September 1995.]

* This sentence reflects generally accepted auditing standards modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, this sentence is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

AICPA Professional Standards

AU §801.23
Effective Date

.24 The provisions of this section are effective for audits of financial statements and of compliance with laws and regulations for fiscal periods ending after December 31, 1994. Early application of this section is encouraged.
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AU Section 901

Public Warehouses—Controls and Auditing Procedures for Goods Held

Source: SAS No. 1, section 901; SAS No. 43.

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: November, 1972.

Introduction

.01 This section discusses controls of a public warehouse, the procedures of its independent auditor with respect to goods in the warehouse’s custody, and auditing procedures performed by the independent auditor of the owner of goods in the warehouse.1 [As amended, effective after August 31, 1982, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43.]

General Considerations

.02 The management of a business has the responsibility for the proper recording of transactions in its books of account, for the safeguarding of its assets, and for the substantial accuracy and adequacy of its financial statements. The independent auditor is not an insurer or guarantor; his responsibility is to express a professional opinion on the financial statements he has audited.2 [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

Summary of Recommendations

.03 The Committee recommends that the independent auditor of the warehouser:

   a. Obtain an understanding of controls, relating to the accountability for and the custody of all goods placed in the warehouse and perform tests of controls to evaluate their effectiveness.

   b. Test the warehouser’s records relating to accountability for all goods placed in his custody.

   c. Test the warehouser’s accountability under recorded outstanding warehouse receipts.

   d. Observe physical counts of the goods in custody, wherever practicable and reasonable, and reconcile his tests of such counts with records of goods stored.

---

1 Title revised, February 1997, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78.

2 This section reports the conclusions of a 1966 study of the AICPA Committee on Auditing Procedure on the accountability of warehousmen for goods stored in public warehouses. [Footnote renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

3 See section 110.
Confirm accountability (to the extent considered necessary) by direct communication with the holders of warehouse receipts.

The independent auditor should apply such other procedures as he considers necessary in the circumstances. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982. Paragraph subsequently renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48, July 1984.]

.04 Warehousing activities are diverse because the warehoused goods are diverse, the purposes of placing goods in custody are varied, and the scope of operations of warehouses is not uniform. The independent auditor has the responsibility to exercise his judgment in determining what procedures, including those recommended in this report, are necessary in the circumstances to afford a reasonable basis for his opinion on the financial statements.3 [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.05 The following sections of this report describe those aspects of warehousing operations of primary concern to independent auditors, suggest elements of internal control for warehousemen, and offer the Committee’s recommendations as to procedures of the independent auditor. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

Public Warehouse Operations

Types of Warehouses

.06 A warehouse may be described as a facility operated by a warehouseman whose business is the maintaining of effective custody of goods for others. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.07 Warehouses may be classified functionally as terminal warehouses or field warehouses:

Terminal Warehouse. The principal economic function of a terminal warehouse is to furnish storage. It may, however, perform other functions, including packaging and billing. It may be used to store a wide variety of goods or only a particular type of commodity.

Field Warehouse. A field warehouse is established in space leased by the warehouseman on the premises of the owner of the goods or the premises of a customer of the owner. In most circumstances all or most of the personnel at the warehouse location are employed by the warehouseman from among the employees of the owner (or customer), usually from among those who previously have been responsible for custody and handling of the goods. Field warehousing is essentially a financing arrangement, rather than a storage operation. The warehouse is established to permit the warehouseman to take and maintain custody of goods and issue warehouse receipts to be used as collateral for a loan or other form of credit.

Warehouses may be classified also by types of goods stored. Foods and other perishable products may be stored in refrigerated warehouses, constructed and equipped to meet controlled temperature and special handling requirements. Certain bulk commodities, such as various agricultural products and chemicals,

1 See section 326.
are stored in commodity warehouses; these warehouses often are designed and equipped to store only one commodity, and fungible goods frequently are commingled without regard to ownership. A wide variety of goods, usually not requiring special storage facilities, is stored in general merchandise warehouses. Some warehouses confine their activities to storing furniture, other household goods, and personal effects. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

**Warehouse Receipts**

.08 A basic document in warehousing is the warehouse receipt. Article 7 of the Uniform Commercial Code regulates the issuance of warehouse receipts, prescribes certain terms that must be contained in such receipts, provides for their negotiation and transfer, and establishes the rights of receipt holders. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.09 Warehouse receipts may be in negotiable form or non-negotiable form and may be used as evidence of collateral for loans or other forms of credit. Goods represented by a negotiable warehouse receipt may be released only upon surrender of the receipt to the warehouseman for cancellation or endorsement, whereas goods represented by a non-negotiable receipt may be released upon valid instructions without the need for surrender of the receipt. Other important ways in which the two kinds of receipts differ concern the manner in which the right of possession to the goods they represent may be transferred from one party to another and the rights acquired by bona fide purchasers of the receipts. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.10 Since goods covered by non-negotiable receipts may be released without surrender of the receipts, such outstanding receipts are not necessarily an indication of accountability on the part of the warehouseman or of evidence of ownership by the depositor. Since goods are frequently withdrawn piecemeal, the warehouseman’s accountability at any given time is for the quantity of goods for which receipts have been issued minus the quantities released against properly authorized withdrawals. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.11 Article 7 of the Uniform Commercial Code, in addition to provisions with respect to the issuance and contents of warehouse receipts, contains provisions with respect to, among other things, the storage and release of warehoused goods, the standard of care to be exercised by the warehouseman, warehouseman’s liability, and liens for the warehouseman’s charges and expenses and the manner in which they may be enforced. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

**Government Regulation**

.12 There are various other statutes and regulations, applicable in special situations, relating to the rights and duties of warehousemen and the operation of warehouses. Among the more important are (a) the United States Warehouse Act and the regulations adopted thereunder by the Department of Agriculture, providing for licensing and regulation of warehouses storing certain agricultural commodities, (b) the regulations adopted by commodity exchanges licensed under the United States Commodity Exchange Act, providing for issuance and registration of receipts and licensing and regulation of
warehouses, and (c) the Internal Revenue Code and the Tariff Act of 1930, and regulations adopted thereunder, relating respectively to United States Revenue Bonded Warehouses and United States Customs Bonded Warehouses, providing for licensing, bonding, and regulation of such warehouses. In addition, there are statutes and regulations in various states relating to licensing, bonding, insurance, and other matters. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

The Warehouseman

Controls

.13 Goods held in custody for others are not owned by the warehouseman and, therefore, do not appear as assets in his financial statements. Similarly, the related custodial responsibility does not appear as a liability. However, as in other businesses, the warehouseman is exposed to the risk of loss or claims for damage stemming from faulty performance of his operating functions. Faulty performance may take the form of loss or improper release of goods, improper issuance of warehouse receipts, failure to maintain effective custody of goods so that lenders' preferential liens are lost, and other forms. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.14 The recommendation herein that the independent auditor of the warehouseman obtain an understanding of relevant controls and perform tests of controls to evaluate their effectiveness is based upon the important relationship of such controls to the custodial responsibilities of the warehouseman, which are not reflected in his financial statements. Significant unrecorded liabilities may arise if these custodial responsibilities are not discharged properly. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982. Revised, April 1989, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.]

.15 Whether and to what extent the suggested controls that follow may be applicable to a particular warehouse operation will depend on the nature of the operation, of the goods stored, and of the warehouseman’s organization. Appropriate segregation of duties in the performance of the respective operating functions should be emphasized.

Receiving, Storing, and Delivering Goods

Receipts should be issued for all goods admitted into storage.

Receiving clerks should prepare reports as to all goods received. The receiving report should be compared with quantities shown on bills of lading or other documents received from the owner or other outside sources by an employee independent of receiving, storing, and shipping.

Goods received should be inspected, counted, weighed, measured, or graded in accordance with applicable requirements. There should be a periodic check of the accuracy of any mechanical facilities used for these purposes.

Unless commingling is unavoidable, such as with fungible goods, goods should be stored so that each lot is segregated and identified with the pertinent warehouse receipt. The warehouse office records should show the location of the goods represented by each outstanding receipt.
Instructions should be issued that goods may be released only on proper authorization which, in the case of negotiable receipts, includes surrender of the receipt.

Access to the storage area should be limited to those employees whose duties require it, and the custody of keys should be controlled.

Periodic statements to customers should identify the goods held and request that discrepancies be reported to a specified employee who is not connected with receiving, storing, and delivery of goods.

The stored goods should be physically counted or tested periodically, and quantities agreed to the records by an employee independent of the storage function; the extent to which this is done may depend on the nature of the goods, the rate of turnover, and the effectiveness of other internal control structure policies and procedures.

Where the goods held are perishable, a regular schedule for inspection of condition should be established.

Protective devices such as burglar alarms, fire alarms, sprinkler systems, and temperature and humidity controls should be inspected regularly.

Goods should be released from the warehouse only on the basis of written instructions received from an authorized employee who does not have access to the goods.

Counts of goods released as made by stock clerks should be independently checked by shipping clerks or others and the two counts should be compared before the goods are released.

**Warehouse Receipts**

Prenumbered receipt forms should be used, and procedures established for accounting for all forms used and for cancellation of negotiable receipts when goods have been delivered.

Unused forms should be safeguarded against theft or misuse and their custody assigned to a responsible employee who is not authorized to prepare or sign receipts.

Receipt forms should be furnished only to authorized persons, and in a quantity limited to the number required for current use.

The signer of receipts should ascertain that the receipts are supported by receiving records or other underlying documents.

Receipts should be prepared and completed in a manner designed to prevent alteration.

Authorized signers should be a limited number of responsible employees.

**Insurance**

The adequacy, as to both type and amount, of insurance coverage carried by the warehouseman should be reviewed at appropriate intervals.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

**Additional Controls for Field Warehouses**

.16 As indicated earlier, the purpose of field warehousing differs from terminal warehousing. Operating requirements also may differ because a field
warehouseman may operate at a large number of locations. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.17 In field warehousing, controls are applied at two points: the field location and the warehouseman’s central office. At the field location, the controls as to receipt, storage, and delivery of goods and issuance of warehouse receipts generally will comprise the controls suggested above, with such variations as may be appropriate in light of the requirements, and available personnel, at the respective locations. Only non-negotiable warehouse receipts should be issued from field locations, and the receipt forms should be furnished to the field locations by the central office in quantities limited to current requirements. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.18 The central office should investigate and approve the field warehousing arrangements, and exercise control as to custody and release of goods and issuance of receipts at the field locations. Controls suggested for the central office are the following:

Consideration of the business reputation and financial standing of the depositor.
Preparation of a field warehouse contract in accordance with the particular requirements of the depositor and the lender.
Determination that the leased warehouse premises meet the physical requirements for segregation and effective custody of goods.
Satisfaction as to legal matters relative to the lease of the warehouse premises.
Investigation and bonding of the employees at the field locations.
Providing employees at field locations with written instructions covering their duties and responsibilities.
Maintenance of inventory records at the central office showing the quantity (and stated value, where applicable) of goods represented by each outstanding warehouse receipt.
Examination of the field warehouse by representatives of the central office. These examinations would include inspection of the facilities, observation as to adherence to prescribed procedures, physical counts or tests of goods in custody and reconciliation of quantities to records at the central office and at field locations, accounting for all receipt forms furnished to the field locations, and confirmation (on a test basis, where appropriate) of outstanding warehouse receipts with the registered holders.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

Procedures of the Independent Auditor

.19 The Committee recommends that the independent auditor of the warehouseman:

a. Obtain an understanding of controls, relating to the accountability for and the custody of all goods placed in the warehouse and perform tests of controls to evaluate their effectiveness.
b. Test the warehouseman’s records relating to accountability for all goods placed in his custody.

c. Test the warehouseman’s accountability under recorded outstanding warehouse receipts.

d. Observe physical counts of the goods in custody, wherever practicable and reasonable, and reconcile his tests of such counts with records of goods stored.

e. Confirm accountability (to the extent considered necessary) by direct communication with the holders of warehouse receipts.

The independent auditor should apply such other procedures as he considers necessary in the circumstances. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.20 The auditor’s procedures relating to accountability might include, on a test basis, comparison of documentary evidence of goods received and delivered with warehouse receipts records, accounting for issued and unissued warehouse receipts by number, and comparison of the records of goods stored with billings for storage. In some circumstances, the auditor may consider it necessary to obtain confirmation from the printer as to the serial numbers of receipt forms supplied. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.21 In the case of a field warehouseman where goods are stored at many scattered locations, the independent auditor may satisfy himself that the warehouseman’s physical count procedures are adequate by observing the procedures at certain selected locations. The amount of testing required will be dependent upon the effectiveness of both design and operation of controls. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.22 The confirmation of negotiable receipts with holders may be impracticable, since the identity of the holders usually is not known to the warehouseman. Confirmation with the depositor to whom the outstanding receipt was originally issued, however, would be evidential matter of the accountability for certain designated goods. It should be recognized, too, that as to both negotiable and non-negotiable receipts, confirmation may not be conclusive in the light of the possibility of issued but unrecorded receipts. In some circumstances, it may be desirable to request confirmations from former depositors who are not currently holders of record. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.23 The independent auditor should review the nature and extent of the warehouseman’s insurance coverage and the adequacy of any reserves for losses under damage claims. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

Controls and Auditing Procedures for Owner’s Goods Stored in Public Warehouses

.24 The following paragraphs provide guidance on the controls for the owner of the goods and on the auditing procedures to be employed by his independent
Controls

.25 The controls of the owner should be designed to provide reasonable safeguards over his goods in a warehouseman's custody. Ordinarily, the controls should include an investigation of the warehouseman before the goods are placed in custody, and a continuing evaluation of the warehouseman's performance in maintaining custody of the goods. [Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.26 Among the suggested controls that may be comprehended in an investigation of the warehouseman before the goods are placed in his custody are the following:

- Consideration of the business reputation and financial standing of the warehouseman.
- Inspection of the physical facilities.
- Inquiries as to the warehouseman's controls and whether the warehouseman holds goods for his own account.
- Inquiries as to type and adequacy of the warehouseman's insurance.
- Inquiries as to government or other licensing and bonding requirements and the nature, extent, and results of any inspection by government or other agencies.
- Review of the warehouseman's financial statements and related reports of independent auditors.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

.27 After the goods are placed in the warehouse, suggested controls that may be applied periodically by the owner in evaluating the warehouseman's performance in maintaining custody of goods include the following:

- Review and update the information developed from the investigation described above.
- Physical counts (or test counts) of the goods, wherever practicable and reasonable (may not be practicable in the case of fungible goods).
- Reconciliation of quantities shown on statements received from the warehouseman with the owner's records.
- In addition, he should review his own insurance, if any, on goods in the custody of the warehouseman.

[Paragraph renumbered by the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43, August 1982.]

Procedures of the Independent Auditor

.28 Section 331.14 describes the procedures that the auditor should apply if inventories are held in public warehouses. [As amended, effective after August 31, 1982, by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43.]
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The "Bulletins" of 1917, 1918, 1929, and 1936

In 1917, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, then known as the American Institute of Accountants, at the request of the Federal Trade Commission, prepared "a memorandum on balance-sheet audits," which the Commission approved and transmitted to the Federal Reserve Board.

The Federal Reserve Board, after giving the memorandum its provisional endorsement, published it in the Federal Reserve Bulletin of April 1917; reprints were widely disseminated for the consideration of "banks, bankers, banking associations; merchants, manufacturers, and associations of manufacturers; auditors, accountants, and associations of accountants" in pamphlet form with the title of "Uniform Accounting: a Tentative Proposal Submitted by the Federal Reserve Board."

In 1918, it was reissued under the same sponsorship, with a new title—"Approved Methods for the Preparation of Balance-Sheet Statements." There was practically no change from 1917 except that, as indicated by the respective titles and corresponding change in the preface, instead of the objective of "a uniform system of accounting to be adopted by manufacturing and merchandising concerns," the new objective was "the preparation of balance-sheet statements" for the same businesses.

In 1929, a special committee of the American Institute undertook revision of the earlier pamphlet in the light of the experience of the past decade; again under the auspices of the Federal Reserve Board, the revised pamphlet was issued in 1929 as "Verification of Financial Statements."

The preface of the 1929 pamphlet spoke of its predecessors as having been criticized, on the one hand, by some accountants for being "more comprehensive than their conception of the so-called balance-sheet audit," and, on the other hand, by other accountants because "the procedure would not bring out all the desired information." This recognition of opposing views evidenced the growing realization of the impracticability of uniform procedures to fit the variety of situations encountered in practice. Of significance is the appearance in the opening paragraph of "General Instructions" in the 1929 publication of the statement:

The extent of the verification will be determined by the conditions in each concern. In some cases, the auditor may find it necessary to verify a substantial portion or all of the transactions recorded upon the books. In others, where the system of internal check is good, tests only may suffice. The responsibility for the extent of the work required must be assumed by the auditor.

Between 1932 and 1934, there was correspondence, dealing with both accounting and auditing matters, between the Institute's special committee on cooperation with stock exchanges and the committee on stock list of the New York Stock Exchange. The views expressed were an important development in the recognition of the position of accountancy in finance and business. The series of letters was published in 1934 under the title Audits of Corporate Accounts.
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In 1936, a committee of the Institute prepared and published a further revision of the earlier pamphlets under the title of “Examination of Financial Statements by Independent Public Accountants.” The Institute availed itself of the views of persons outside the ranks of the profession whose opinions would be helpful, but the authority behind and responsibility for the publication of the pamphlet rested wholly with the Institute as the authoritative representative of a profession that had by that time become well established in the business community.

In the 1936 revision, aside from the very briefly noted “Modifications of Program for Larger or Smaller Companies,” the detailed procedures were restrictively stated to be an “outline of examination of financial statements of a small or moderate size company.” Moreover, the nature and extent of such examinations were based on the purpose of the examination, the required detail to be reported on, the type of business, and, most important of all, the system of internal control; variations in the extent of the examination were specifically related to “the size of the organization and the personnel employed” and were said to be “essentially a matter of judgment which must be exercised by the accountant.”

It is possible from the foregoing narrative to trace the development of the profession’s view of an audit based on the experience of three decades. The succession of titles is illustrative. The earliest ambition for “uniform accounting” was quickly realized to be unattainable, and the same listed procedures were related instead to “balance-sheet statements.” Then, with the gradually greater emphasis on periodic earnings, the earlier restrictive consideration of the balance sheet was superseded in the 1929 title, “Verification of Financial Statements,” by according the income statement at least equal status. When in turn the 1936 revision was undertaken, there was a growing realization that, with the complexity of modern business and the need of the independent auditor to rely on testing, such a word as “verification” was not an accurate portrayal of the independent auditor’s function. Accordingly, the bulletin of that year was stated to cover an “examination” of financial statements.

Statements on Auditing Procedure

The Committee on Auditing Procedure had its beginning on January 30, 1939, when the executive committee of the Institute authorized the appointment of a small committee “to examine into auditing procedure and other related questions in the light of recent public discussion.”

On May 9 of that year, the report “Extensions of Auditing Procedure” of this special committee was adopted by the Council of the Institute and authority given for its publication and distribution, and in the same year the bylaws were amended to create a standing Committee on Auditing Procedure.

In 1941, the executive committee authorized the issuance to Institute members, in pamphlet form, of the “Statements on Auditing Procedure,” prepared by the Committee on Auditing Procedure, previously published only in The Journal of Accountancy.

The “Statements on Auditing Procedure” were designed to guide the independent auditor in the exercise of his judgment in the application of auditing procedures. In no sense were they intended to take the place of auditing textbooks; by their very nature textbooks must deal in a general way with the
description of procedures and refinement of detail rather than the variety of circumstances encountered in practice that require the independent auditor to exercise his judgment.

Largely to meet this need, the Institute began the series of Statements on Auditing Procedure. The first of these presented the report of the original special committee, as modified and approved, at the Institute’s annual meeting on September 19, 1939, and issued under the title of “Extensions of Auditing Procedure.”

Statement No. 1 presented conclusions drawn from the experience and tradition of the profession which largely furnished the foundation for the Committee’s present structural outline of auditing standards; the other Statements on Auditing Procedure appropriately fit into that structural outline.

The “Codification of Statements on Auditing Procedure” was issued by the Committee on Auditing Procedure in 1951 to consolidate the features of the first 24 pronouncements which were of continuing usefulness.

When the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted the requirement that a representation on compliance with generally accepted auditing standards be included in the independent auditor’s report on financial statements filed with the Commission, it became apparent that a pronouncement was needed to define these standards. Accordingly, the Committee undertook a special study of auditing standards (as distinguished from auditing procedures) and submitted a report that was published in October 1947 under the title “Tentative Statement of Auditing Standards—Their Generally Accepted Significance and Scope.” The recommendations of this brochure ceased to be tentative when, at the September 1948 meeting, the membership of the Institute approved the summarized statement of auditing standards.

In 1954 the “tentative” brochure was replaced by the booklet Generally Accepted Auditing Standards—Their Significance and Scope, which was issued as a special report of the Committee on Auditing Procedure. This pronouncement also gave recognition to the approval of Statement on Auditing Procedure No. 23 (Revised), “Clarification of Accountant’s Report When Opinion Is Omitted” (1949) and the issuance of the “Codification” (1951).

Statement on Auditing Procedure No. 33 was issued in 1963 as a consolidation of, and a replacement for, the following pronouncements of the Committee on Auditing Procedure: Internal Control (1949), Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (1954), Codification of Statements on Auditing Procedure (1951), and Statements on Auditing Procedure Nos. 25–32, which were issued between 1951 and 1963. Statement No. 33 was a codification of earlier Committee pronouncements which the Committee believed to be of continuing interest to the independent auditor.

**Statements on Auditing Standards**

After issuance of Statement on Auditing Procedure No. 33, 21 additional Statements on Auditing Procedure, Nos. 34 to 54, were issued by the Committee on Auditing Procedure. In November 1972, these pronouncements were codified in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, Codification of Auditing Standards and Procedures. Also, in 1972, the name of the Committee was changed to the Auditing Standards Executive Committee to recognize its role as the AICPA’s senior technical committee charged with interpreting generally accepted auditing standards.
The Auditing Standards Executive Committee issued 22 additional statements through No. 23. These statements were incorporated in the AICPA’s looseleaf service, Professional Standards, as issued. The looseleaf service began in 1974 and is administered by the AICPA staff. It provides a continuous codification of Statements on Auditing Standards.

The Auditing Standards Board

As a result of the recommendations of the Commission on Auditors’ Responsibilities, an independent study group appointed by the AICPA, a special committee was formed to study the structure of the AICPA’s auditing standard-setting activity. In May 1978, the AICPA Council adopted the recommendations of that committee to restructure the Committee. Accordingly, in October 1978 the Auditing Standards Board was formed as the successor to prior senior technical committees on auditing matters. The Board was given the following charge:

The AICPA Auditing Standards Board shall be responsible for the promulgation of auditing standards and procedures to be observed by members of the AICPA in accordance with the Institute’s rules of conduct.

The board shall be alert to new opportunities for auditors to serve the public, both by the assumption of new responsibilities and by improved ways of meeting old ones, and shall as expeditiously as possible develop standards and procedures that will enable the auditor to assume those responsibilities.

Auditing standards and procedures promulgated by the board shall—

a. Define the nature and extent of the auditor’s responsibilities.

b. Provide guidance to the auditor in carrying out his duties, enabling him to express an opinion on the reliability of the representations on which he is reporting.

c. Make special provision, where appropriate, to meet the needs of small enterprises.

d. Have regard to the costs which they impose on society in relation to the benefits reasonably expected to be derived from the audit function.

The auditing standards board shall provide auditors with all possible guidance in the implementation of its pronouncements, by means of interpretations of its statements, by the issuance of guidelines, and by any other means available to it.

[The next page is 2071.]
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Analysis of International Standards on Auditing

This analysis was prepared by Dr. Kay Tatum, University of Miami, and the Audit and Attest Standards staff. This analysis is not authoritative and is prepared for informational purposes only. It has not been acted on or reviewed by the Auditing Standards Board.

Statements on Auditing Standards ("SASs") are issued by the Auditing Standards Board ("ASB"), the senior technical body of the AICPA designated to issue pronouncements on auditing matter. Rule 202, Compliance With Standards [ET section 202.01], of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, requires an AICPA member who performs an audit to comply with standards promulgated by the ASB.

An AICPA member practicing in the United States of America (U.S.) may be engaged to audit financial statements in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) developed by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board of the International Federation of Accountants. In those circumstances where the auditor’s report states that the audit was conducted in accordance with International Auditing Standards, the U.S. auditor should comply with both the ISAs and, as required by the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S. An engagement of this nature is normally conducted by performing an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S. plus performing the additional procedures required by the ISAs.

The purpose of this Appendix is to assist the U.S. auditor in planning and performing an engagement in accordance with the ISAs. This document identifies the sections and paragraphs, if applicable, within the ISAs that may require procedures and documentation in addition to those required by auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S. A brief description of how the international standard differs from the U.S. standard is provided. However, to fully understand how the ISA might affect the nature, timing, and extent of the procedures performed in an engagement in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S., the auditor should consider the ISAs in their entirety, by considering the basic principles and essential procedures together with the related guidance included in the ISAs.

This analysis compares the ISAs included in the 2003 edition of the Handbook of International Auditing, Assurance, and Ethics Pronouncements to the AICPA’s Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards Numbers 1 to 101. References to auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S. are made to the relevant AU sections. The ISAs are included in the AICPA’s Professional Standards, AU section 8000. References to these section numbers are included in the analysis. This analysis segregates the guidance into general, fieldwork, and reporting sections. This analysis is summarized as follows.
Introduction

ISA 220, Quality Control for Audit Work [section 8220], Compared to Section 161, The Relationship of Generally Accepted Auditing Standards to Quality Control Standards

ISA 220.04 [section 8220.04] states that the audit firm should implement quality control policies and procedures designed to ensure that all audits are conducted in accordance with ISAs or relevant national standards or procedures. Section 161.02 requires that the firm establish quality control policies and procedures to provide it with reasonable assurance that its personnel comply with generally accepted auditing standards in its audit engagements. An audit firm that is engaged to perform audits in accordance with ISAs and in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S. should establish quality control polices and procedures to provide it with reasonable assurance that its personnel comply with generally accepted auditing standards and International Standards on Auditing in its audit engagements, where applicable.

General

ISA 210, Terms of Audit Engagements [section 8210], Compared to Section 310, Appointment of the Independent Auditor

ISA 210.02 [section 8210.02] states that the auditor and the client should agree on the terms of the engagement. The agreed terms would need to be recorded in an audit engagement letter or other suitable form of contract. Section 310.05 states that the auditor should establish an understanding with the client regarding the services to be performed for each engagement. The auditor should document the understanding in the working papers, preferably through a written communication with the client. Thus, both standards require the auditor to document the understanding. However, ISA 210 [section 8210] requires that the understanding be recorded in an engagement letter or other suitable form of contract; section 310 does not require such a contract.

ISA 210.17 [section 8210.17] states that where the terms of the engagement are changed the auditor and the client should agree on the new terms. ISA 210.19 [section 8210.19] states that if the auditor is unable to agree to a change of the engagement [from an audit to a lower level of service] and is not permitted to continue the original engagement, the auditor should withdraw and consider whether there is any obligation, either contractual or otherwise, to report to other parties, such as the board of directors or shareholders, the circumstances necessitating the withdrawal. Auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S. do not include this requirement.

Field Work

ISA 240 [section 8240], The Auditor’s Responsibility to Consider Fraud and Error in an Audit of Financial Statements, Compared to Section 333, Management Representations

ISA 240.51 [section 8240.51] states that the auditor should obtain a written representation from management that it has disclosed to the auditor the results of its assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud. Section 333 does not require such a representation.
Analysis of International Standards on Auditing

ISA 250, Consideration of Laws and Regulations in an Audit of Financial Statements [section 8250], Compared to Section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients

ISA 250.02 [section 8250.02] states that when planning and performing audit procedures and in evaluating and reporting the results thereof, the auditor should recognize that noncompliance by the entity with laws and regulations may materially affect the financial statements. ISA 250.05 [section 8250.05] explains that laws and regulations vary considerably in their relation to the financial statements. Generally, the further removed noncompliance is from the events and transactions ordinarily reflected in financial statements, the less likely the auditor is to become aware of it or to recognize its possible noncompliance. However, ISA 250 [section 8250] does not distinguish between laws and regulations that have a direct versus an indirect effect on the financial statements. This approach to the auditor’s responsibilities regarding noncompliance with laws and regulations is different from the approach set forth in section 317.

ISA 260, Communications of Audit Matters With Those Charged With Governance [section 8260], Compared to Section 380, Communication With Audit Committees

ISA 260 [section 8260] establishes standards and provides guidance on communication between the auditor and those charged with governance of an entity. It requires the auditor to communicate audit matters of governance interest with those charged with governance. Audit matters of governance interest are defined as those matters that arise from the audit of financial statements and, in the opinion of the auditor, are both important and relevant to those charged with governance in overseeing the financial reporting and disclosure process. Governance is the term used to describe the role of persons entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of an entity.

Auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S. require the auditor to communicate specified matters to the audit committee or others. Section 380 is the principal section requiring such communication, although other sections also have specified communication requirements. Communications made to the audit committee or board of directors pursuant to sections 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, 317, Illegal Acts by Clients, 325, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters in an Audit, and 380 satisfy the ISA 260 [section 8260] requirement to report such matters to those charged with governance. However, ISA 260 [section 8260] requires that the communications be made in all audits of financial statements, regardless of whether there is an audit committee or another group formally designated oversight for the financial reporting process. ISA 260 [section 8260] identifies matters that ordinarily would be communicated and also requires the auditor to communicate other matters that are, in the auditor’s judgment, audit matters of governance interest. The following are matters that ordinarily are considered matters of governance interest that are not explicitly required to be communicated by auditing standards generally accepted in the U.S.:

• The general approach and overall scope of the audit, including any expected limitation thereon, or any additional requirements
• The potential effect on the financial statements of any significant risks and exposures, such as pending litigation, that are required to be disclosed in the financial statements
Appendix B

• Material uncertainties related to events and conditions that may cast
  significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern
• Expected modifications to the auditor’s report
• Questions regarding management integrity.

As part of the auditor’s communications, those charged with governance are informed that: (1) The auditor’s communications of matters include only those audit matters of governance interest that have come to the attention of the auditor as a result of the performance of the audit; (2) An audit of financial statements is not designed to identify all matters that may be relevant to those charged with governance. Accordingly, the audit does not ordinarily identify all such matters.

In addition to requiring the auditor to document the communications, ISA 260 [section 8260] requires the auditor to document any responses to the matters communicated.

ISA 300, Planning [section 8300], Compared to Section 311, Planning and Supervision

ISA 300.08 [section 8300.08] states that the auditor should develop and document an overall audit plan describing the expected scope and conduct of the audit. Similarly, section 150, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards, paragraph .02, requires the work to be adequately planned.

According to section 311.03, audit planning involves developing an overall strategy for the expected conduct and scope of the audit. Section 311.04 states that the auditor may wish to prepare a memorandum setting forth the preliminary audit plan, particularly for large and complex entities; however, the auditor is not required to do so.

ISA 510, Initial Engagements—Opening Balances [section 8510], Compared to Section 315, Communications Between Predecessor and Successor Auditors

ISA 510.06 [section 8510.06] states that when the prior period’s financial statements were audited by another auditor, the current auditor may be able to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding opening balances by reviewing the predecessor auditor’s working papers. In these circumstances, ISA 510.06 [section 8510.06] states that the current auditor also would consider the professional competence and independence of the predecessor auditor. Footnote 8 to section 315.12 states that the successor auditor may wish to make inquiries about the professional reputation and standing of the predecessor auditor; however, this guidance is not specifically directed to the circumstances described in ISA 510.06 [section 8510.06].

ISA 520, Analytical Procedures [section 8520], Compared to Section 329, Analytical Procedures

ISA 520.15 [section 8520.15] states that the extent of reliance that the auditor places on the results of analytical procedures depends on the materiality of the items involved. For example, when inventory balances are material, the auditor does not rely only on analytical procedures as substantive tests. However, the auditor may rely solely on analytical procedures for certain income and expense items when they are not individually material. In contrast, section 329.09 states that the auditor’s reliance on substantive tests to achieve
an audit objective related to a particular assertion may be derived from tests of details, from analytical procedures, or from a combination of both. The decision about which procedure or procedures to use to achieve a particular audit objective is based on the auditor's judgment on the expected effectiveness and efficiency of the available procedures. According to section 329.11, the expected effectiveness and efficiency of an analytical procedure in identifying potential misstatements depend on, among other things, . . . the precision of the expectation. The importance of the precision of the expectation is discussed in section 329.17.

ISA 570, Going Concern [section 8570], Compared to Section 341, The Auditor's Consideration of an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

ISA 570.02 [section 8570.02] states that when planning and performing audit procedures and in evaluating the results thereof, the auditor should consider the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern assumption in the preparation of the financial statements. Section 341.03 states that the auditor should evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. However, ISA 570 [section 8570] requires consideration of the going concern assumption throughout the engagement. In planning the audit, the auditor is required to consider whether there are events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to remain alert throughout the audit for evidence of such events or conditions. Section 341 does not require the auditor to design audit procedures solely to identify such events and conditions. It requires the auditor to consider whether the results of other procedures performed during the course of the engagement identify conditions and events that, when considered in the aggregate, indicate there could be substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern.

ISA 570.05 [section 8570.05] states that management has a responsibility to assess the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, regardless of whether the financial reporting framework being applied requires management to do so. In addition, ISA 570.17 [section 8570.17] requires the auditor to evaluate management's assessment. Section 341 does not contain these explicit requirements, except that in circumstances when the auditor believes there is substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, the auditor is required to consider management's plans for dealing with the adverse effects of the conditions and events that led to the auditor's belief.

The period of assessment also may be different. ISA 570 [section 8570] requires the auditor to consider the same period as that used by management in making its assessment, a period at least, but not limited to twelve months from the balance sheet date. ISA 570 [section 8570] also requires the auditor to inquire of management as to its knowledge of events or conditions beyond the period of assessment used by management that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. Section 341 requires the auditor to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, not to exceed one year beyond the date of the financial statements being audited.

When events or conditions have been identified which may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, ISA 570 [section 8570] requires the auditor to seek written representations from management regarding its plans for future action. Section 341 contains no such requirement;
however, an illustrative going concern representation is included in Appendix B of section 333, Management Representations [section 333.17].

When there is significant delay in the signature or approval of the financial statements by management after the balance sheet date, ISA 570 [section 8570] says that the auditor considers the reasons for the delay. When the delay could be related to events or conditions relating to the going concern assessment, the auditor considers the need to perform additional audit procedures and the effect on the auditor’s conclusion regarding the existence of a material uncertainty. Section 541 does not contain similar guidance.

ISA 600, Using the Work of Another Auditor [section 8600], Compared to Section 543, Part of Audit Performed by Other Independent Auditors

ISA 600.09 [section 8600.09] states that the principal auditor would obtain written representations regarding the other auditor’s compliance with the independence requirements and the accounting, auditing, and reporting requirements. Section 543.10 states that the principal auditor should obtain a representation from the other auditor that he or she is independent. In addition, the principal auditor should ascertain through communication with the other auditor that he or she is familiar with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. and with the generally accepted auditing standards promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and will conduct his or her audit and will report in accordance therewith. However, section 543 does not require written representations regarding these matters.

ISA 600.14 [section 8600.14] states that the principal auditor would document in the audit working papers the components whose financial information was audited by other auditors, their significance to the financial statements of the entity as a whole, the names of the other auditors, and any conclusion reached that individual components are immaterial. The principal auditor would also document the procedures performed and the conclusions reached. Section 543 does not set forth specific documentation requirements regarding using the work of another auditor.

ISA 610, Considering the Work of Internal Auditing [section 8610], Compared to Section 322, The Auditor’s Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in an Audit of Financial Statements

ISA 610.16 [section 8610.16] states that when the external auditor intends to use specific work of internal auditing, the external auditor should evaluate and test that work. In contrast, section 322.26 states that the auditor should test some of the internal auditors’ work related to the significant financial statement assertions. These tests may be accomplished by either (a) examining some of the controls, transactions, or balances that the internal auditors examined or (b) examining similar controls, transactions, or balances not actually examined by the internal auditors.

ISA 620, Using the Work of an Expert [section 8620], Compared to Section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist

ISA 620.05 [section 8620.05] states that when the auditor uses the work of an expert employed by the auditor, that work is used in the employee’s capacity as an expert rather than as an assistant on the audit as contemplated in ISA 220,
Quality Control for Audit Work [section 8220]. Accordingly, in such circumstances the auditor will need to apply relevant procedures (as discussed in ISA 620, paragraphs .11 through .15 [section 8620.11–.15]) to the employee’s work and findings but will not ordinarily need to assess the employee’s skills and competence for each engagement. In contrast, section 336 does not apply to situations in which a specialist employed by the auditor’s firm participates in the audit. In that situation, section 311, Planning and Supervision, applies.

ISA 800, The Auditor’s Report on Special Purpose Audit Engagements [section 8800.18–.20], Compared to Section 623, Special Reports

ISA 800.18–.20 [section 8800.18–.20] discusses a special-report engagement in which the auditor expresses an opinion on an entity’s compliance with certain aspects of contractual agreements, such as bond indentures or local agreements. Section 623.19–21 discusses a special report that an auditor may issue as a by-product of performing an audit of financial statements. The section 623 by-product report is in the form of negative assurance that is not enabled under the ISAs. Instead, Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10, chapter 6, “Compliance Attestation” [AT section 601], provides guidance for such an engagement.

Reporting

ISA 560, Subsequent Events [section 8560], Compared to Section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor’s Report

ISA 560.10 [section 8560.10] states that when management amends the financial statements for facts discovered after the date of the auditor’s report but before the financial statements are issued, the auditor would provide management with a new report. The new auditor’s report would be dated not earlier than the date the amended financial statements are signed or approved and, accordingly, the procedures referred to in ISA 560.04 [section 8560.04] and ISA 560.05 [section 8560.05] would be extended to the date of the new auditor’s report. In contrast, section 530.03 states that the date of the report ordinarily is not changed when the subsequent event requiring an adjustment to the financial statements is made without disclosure. In situations when the subsequent event requires disclosure, section 530.05 permits the auditor to dual-date the report or date the report as of the later date. In the former instance, the auditor’s responsibility for events occurring subsequent to the completion of field work is limited to the specific event referred to in the note. In the latter instance, the independent auditor’s responsibility for subsequent events extends to the date of the report and, accordingly, the procedures outlined in section 560, Subsequent Events, paragraph .12, should be extended to that date.

ISA 570, Going Concern [section 8570], Compared to Section 341, The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

ISA 570.32 [section 8570.32] states that if the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate but a material uncertainty exists, the auditor considers whether the financial statements—

a. Adequately describe the principal events or conditions that give rise to the significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue in operation and management’s plans to deal with these events or conditions
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b. State clearly that there is a material uncertainty related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and therefore, that it may be unable to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business.

Section 341.10 states that when, after considering management’s plans, the auditor concludes there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, the auditor should consider the possible effects on the financial statements and the adequacy of the related disclosure. Examples of information that might be disclosed in the financial statements are provided; however, section 341.10 does not suggest the specific statements found in ISA 570.32(b) [section 8570.32(b)]. In addition, the emphasis paragraph in the auditor’s report required by ISA 570.33 [section 8570.33] requires similar wording, which is different than the wording used in section 341.12.

ISA 620, Using the Work of an Expert [section 8620], Compared to Section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist

ISA 620.17 [section 8620.17] states that if, as a result of the work of an expert, the auditor decides to issue a modified auditor’s report, in some circumstances it may be appropriate, in explaining the nature of the modification, to refer to or describe the work of the expert. In these circumstances, the auditor would obtain the permission of the expert before making such a reference. Section 336.16 discusses situations in which it is appropriate for the auditor to reference the specialist in the auditor’s report; however, the auditor is not required to obtain the specialist’s permission.

ISA 700, The Auditor’s Report on Financial Statements [section 8700], Compared to Section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements

ISA 700.25 [section 8700.25] states that the report should name a specific location, which is ordinarily the city where the auditor maintains the office that has responsibility for the audit. Section 508 does not contain this requirement.

When the auditor’s report contains an emphasis of matter paragraph, ISA 700.30 [section 8700.30] states that the paragraph would preferably be included after the opinion paragraph and would ordinarily refer to the fact that the auditor’s opinion is not qualified in this respect. Section 508 does not contain comparable guidance on either of these matters regarding the emphasis paragraph.

ISA 700.32 [section 8700.32] states the auditor should consider modifying the auditor’s report by adding a paragraph if there is a significant uncertainty (other than a going concern), the resolution of which is dependent upon future events and which may affect the financial statements. Section 508.30 states that if . . . the auditor concludes that sufficient evidential matter supports management’s assertions about the nature of a matter involving an uncertainty and its presentation or disclosure in the financial statements, an unqualified opinion ordinarily is appropriate. Although section 508.19 permits the auditor to add a separate paragraph to the auditor’s report emphasizing a matter such as an uncertainty, the auditor is not required to do so.

ISA 700.41 [section 8700.41] states that when a limitation on the scope of the auditor’s work in the terms of a proposed engagement is such that the auditor
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ISA 800, The Auditor’s Report on Special Purpose Audit Engagements [section 8800.01–.11], Compared to Section 623, Special Reports

ISA 800.05(g) [section 8800.05(g)] states that the auditor’s report on a special-purpose audit engagement should include the auditor’s address. Section 623 does not contain this requirement.

ISA 800, The Auditor’s Report on Special Purpose Audit Engagements [section 8800.12–.17], Compared to Section 623, Special Reports

ISA 800.15 [section 8800.15] states that the auditor would advise the client that the auditor’s report on a component of financial statements is not to accompany the financial statements of the entity. Section 623 does not contain guidance regarding this matter.

ISA 800, The Auditor’s Report on Special Purpose Audit Engagements [section 8800.21–.25], Compared to Section 552, Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data

ISA 800.22 [section 8800.22] and .23 [section 8800.23] state that: (1) summarized financial statements need to clearly indicate the summarized nature of the information, and (2) summarized financial statements need to be appropriately titled to identify the audited financial statements from which they have been derived. Section 552 does not contain this guidance.

ISA 800.25 [section 8800.25] states that the auditor’s report on summarized financial statements should include the following basic elements that are not included in section 552:

a. Addressee

b. A statement, or reference to the note within the summarized financial statements, which indicates that for a better understanding of an entity’s financial performance and position and of the scope of the audit performed, the summarized financial statements should be read in conjunction with the unabridged financial statements and the audit report thereon

c. Auditor’s address

[The next page is 2091.]
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### Cross-Reference Table for Auditing Interpretations

*(Sections of the text are cross-referenced to Auditing Interpretations)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150.02</td>
<td>Auditor's Identification With Condensed Financial Data (No. 4)</td>
<td>9504.15-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220.04</td>
<td>Applicability of Guidance on Reporting When Not Independent (No. 5)</td>
<td>9504.19-.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Responsibility of Assistants for the Resolution of Accounting and Auditing Issues (No. 3)</td>
<td>9311.35-.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311.04</td>
<td>Communications Between the Auditor and Firm Personnel Responsible for Non-Audit Services (No. 1)</td>
<td>9311.01-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311.14</td>
<td>Responsibility of Assistants for the Resolution of Accounting and Auditing Issues (No. 3)</td>
<td>9311.35-.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>Quantitative Measures of Materiality in Evaluating Audit Findings (No. 3)</td>
<td>9312.10-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>The Nature and Extent of Auditing Procedures for Examining Related Party Transactions (No. 6)</td>
<td>9334.16-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.04</td>
<td>The Meaning of the Term <em>Misstatement</em> (No. 1)</td>
<td>9312.01-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.10</td>
<td>Quantitative Measures of Materiality in Evaluating Audit Findings (No. 3)</td>
<td>9312.10-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.10</td>
<td>Considering the Qualitative Characteristics of Misstatements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9312.15-.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.11</td>
<td>Considering the Qualitative Characteristics of Misstatements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9312.15-.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.34</td>
<td>Quantitative Measures of Materiality in Evaluating Audit Findings (No. 3)</td>
<td>9312.10-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.34</td>
<td>Considering the Qualitative Characteristics of Misstatements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9312.15-.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.35</td>
<td>The Meaning of the Term <em>Misstatement</em> (No. 1)</td>
<td>9312.01-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.36</td>
<td>The Meaning of the Term <em>Misstatement</em> (No. 1)</td>
<td>9312.01-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.36</td>
<td>Evaluating Differences in Estimates (No. 2)</td>
<td>9312.05-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>Evidential Matter for an Audit of Interim Financial Statements (No. 1)</td>
<td>9326.01-.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>313.02</td>
<td>Evidential Matter for an Audit of Interim Financial Statements (No. 1)</td>
<td>9326.01-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>Reporting as Successor Auditor When Prior-Period Audited Financial Statements Were Audited by a Predecessor Auditor Who Has Ceased Operations (No. 15)</td>
<td>9508.60-.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>Material Weaknesses in Internal Control and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (No. 2)</td>
<td>9317.03-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>Management Representations on Violations and Possible Violations of Laws and Regulations (No. 1)</td>
<td>9333.01-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317.22</td>
<td>Material Weaknesses in Internal Control Structure and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (No. 2)</td>
<td>9317.03-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>Clarification in the Audit Report of the Extent of Testing of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Accordance With Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (No. 17)</td>
<td>9508.85-.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.06</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.07</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.09</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.10</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.11</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.12</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.13</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.14</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.15</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.16</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Cross-Reference Table for Auditing Interpretations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>324.17</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.29g</td>
<td>Statements About the Risk of Projecting Evaluations of the Effectiveness of Controls to Future Periods (No. 5)</td>
<td>9324.38-.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.32</td>
<td>Responsibilities of Service Organizations and Service Auditors With Respect to Forward-Looking Information in a Service Organization's Description of Controls (No. 4)</td>
<td>9324.35-.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.38</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.38</td>
<td>Statements About the Risk of Projecting Evaluations of the Effectiveness of Controls to Future Periods (No. 5)</td>
<td>9324.38-.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.44f</td>
<td>Describing Tests of Operating Effectiveness and the Results of Such Tests (No. 1)</td>
<td>9324.01-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.44f</td>
<td>Statements About the Risk of Projecting Evaluations of the Effectiveness of Controls to Future Periods (No. 5)</td>
<td>9324.38-.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.48</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.54</td>
<td>Service Organizations That Use the Services of Other Service Organizations (Subservice Organizations) (No. 2)</td>
<td>9324.04-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324.54</td>
<td>Statements About the Risk of Projecting Evaluations of the Effectiveness of Controls to Future Periods (No. 5)</td>
<td>9324.38-.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>Reporting on the Existence of Material Weaknesses (No. 1)</td>
<td>9325.01-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325.17</td>
<td>Other References by Management to Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Including References to the Independent Auditor (No. 3)</td>
<td>9550.12-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326.03</td>
<td>Auditor's Consideration of the Completeness Assertion (No. 3)</td>
<td>9326.24-.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326.11</td>
<td>Evidential Matter for an Audit of Interim Financial Statements (No. 1)</td>
<td>9326.01-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326.17</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2)</td>
<td>9326.06-.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AICPA Professional Standards**

**Appendix C**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>326.21</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326.25</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2).</td>
<td>9326.06-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331.09</td>
<td>Report of an Outside Inventory-Taking Firm as an Alternative Procedure for Observing Inventories (No. 1)</td>
<td>9508.01-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331.10</td>
<td>Report of an Outside Inventory-Taking Firm as an Alternative Procedure for Observing Inventories (No. 1)</td>
<td>9508.01-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331.11</td>
<td>Report of an Outside Inventory-Taking Firm as an Alternative Procedure for Observing Inventories (No. 1)</td>
<td>9508.01-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331.12</td>
<td>Report of an Outside Inventory-Taking Firm as an Alternative Procedure for Observing Inventories (No. 1)</td>
<td>9508.01-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2).</td>
<td>9326.06-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>Management Representations on Violations and Possible Violations of Laws and Regulations (No. 1)</td>
<td>9333.01-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.06</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2).</td>
<td>9326.06-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.08</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2).</td>
<td>9326.06-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.13</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2).</td>
<td>9326.06-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>The Nature and Extent of Auditing Procedures for Examining Related Party Transactions (No. 6)</td>
<td>9334.16-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334.04</td>
<td>Exchange of Information Between Principal and Other Auditor on Related Parties (No. 4)</td>
<td>9334.12-.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Interpretation Subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334.06</td>
<td>The Nature and Extent of Auditing Procedures for Examining Related Party Transactions (No. 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334.07</td>
<td>Exchange of Information Between Principal and Other Auditor on Related Parties (No. 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334.07</td>
<td>The Nature and Extent of Auditing Procedures for Examining Related Party Transactions (No. 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334.09</td>
<td>Examination of Identified Related Party Transactions With a Component (No. 5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334.12</td>
<td>Management’s and Auditor’s Responsibilities for Related Party Disclosures Prefaced by Terminology Such As “Management Believes That” (No. 7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336.01</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336.06</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336.09</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336.13</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.05</td>
<td>Documents Subject to Lawyer-Client Privilege (No. 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.05</td>
<td>Client Has Not Consulted a Lawyer (No. 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.05</td>
<td>Client Has Not Consulted a Lawyer (No. 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>337.06</td>
<td>Client Has Not Consulted a Lawyer (No. 6) . . .</td>
<td>9337.15-.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.06</td>
<td>Use of the Client’s Inside Counsel in the Evaluation of Litigation, Claims, and Assessments (No. 8) . . .</td>
<td>9337.24-.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.07</td>
<td>Client Has Not Consulted a Lawyer (No. 6) . . .</td>
<td>9337.15-.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.08</td>
<td>Use of the Client’s Inside Counsel in the Evaluation of Litigations, Claims, and Assessments (No. 8) . . .</td>
<td>9337.24-.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.09</td>
<td>Alternative Wording of the Illustrative Audit Inquiry Letter to a Client’s Lawyer (No. 5) . . .</td>
<td>9337.10-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.09</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer’s Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7) . . .</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.13</td>
<td>Documents Subject to Lawyer-Client Privilege (No. 4) . . .</td>
<td>9337.08-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.14</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer’s Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7) . . .</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337A</td>
<td>Relationship Between Date of Lawyer’s Response and Auditor’s Report (No. 2) . . .</td>
<td>9337.04-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337A</td>
<td>Form of Audit Inquiry Letter When Client Represents That No Unasserted Claims and Assessments Exist (No. 3) . . .</td>
<td>9337.06-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337A</td>
<td>Alternative Wording of the Illustrative Audit Inquiry Letter to a Client’s Lawyer (No. 5) . . .</td>
<td>9337.10-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337C</td>
<td>Alternative Wording of the Illustrative Audit Inquiry Letter to a Client’s Lawyer (No. 5) . . .</td>
<td>9337.10-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337C</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer’s Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7) . . .</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337C</td>
<td>Use of Explanatory Language Concerning Unasserted Possible Claims or Assessments in Lawyers’ Responses to Audit Inquiry Letters (No. 10) . . .</td>
<td>9337.31-.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2) . . .</td>
<td>9326.06-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339.11</td>
<td>Providing Access to or Photocopies of Working Papers to a Regulator (No. 1) . . .</td>
<td>9339.01-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341.06</td>
<td>Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph from a Reissued Report (No. 1) . . .</td>
<td>9341.01-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341.07</td>
<td>Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph from a Reissued Report (No. 1) . . .</td>
<td>9341.01-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341.08</td>
<td>Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph from a Reissued Report (No. 1) . . .</td>
<td>9341.01-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341.09</td>
<td>Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph from a Reissued Report (No. 1) . . .</td>
<td>9341.01-.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Cross-Reference Table for Auditing Interpretations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>341.10</td>
<td>Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph From a Reissued Report (No. 1)</td>
<td>9341.01-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341.11</td>
<td>Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph From a Reissued Report (No. 1)</td>
<td>9341.01-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350.01</td>
<td>Applicability (No. 1)</td>
<td>9350.01-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>Applicability of Section 380 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9380.01-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of Management’s Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Transactions or Events (No. 3)</td>
<td>9411.11-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.01</td>
<td>Applicability of Section 380 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9380.01-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.08</td>
<td>Evaluating Differences in Estimates (No. 2)</td>
<td>9312.05-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.11</td>
<td>Evaluating Differences in Estimates (No. 2)</td>
<td>9312.05-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of Management’s Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Transactions or Events (No. 3)</td>
<td>9411.11-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411.04</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of Management’s Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Transactions or Events (No. 3)</td>
<td>9411.11-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411.04</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Appropriateness of Informative Disclosures in Insurance Enterprises’ Financial Statements Prepared on a Statutory Basis (No. 12)</td>
<td>9623.60-.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411.09</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of Management’s Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Transactions or Events (No. 3)</td>
<td>9411.11-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411.11</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of Management’s Transactions or Events (No. 3)</td>
<td>9411.11-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411.13</td>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of Management’s Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Transactions or Events (No. 3)</td>
<td>9411.11-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>The Effect on the Auditor’s Report of an Entity’s Adoption of a New Accounting Standard That Does Not Require the Entity to Disclose the Effect of the Change in the Year of Adoption (No. 12)</td>
<td>9420.69-.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420.02</td>
<td>The Effect of APB Opinion No. 28 on Consistency (No. 2)</td>
<td>9420.11-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420.02</td>
<td>The Effect of Accounting Changes by an Investee on Consistency (No. 8)</td>
<td>9420.52-.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420.02</td>
<td>The Effect on the Auditor’s Report of an Entity’s Adoption of a New Accounting Standard That Does Not Require the Entity to Disclose the Effect of the Change in the Year of Adoption (No. 12)</td>
<td>9420.69-.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Appendix C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>420.05</td>
<td>The Effect on the Auditor's Report of an Entity's Adoption of a New Accounting Standard That Does Not Require the Entity to Disclose the Effect of the Change in the Year of Adoption (No. 12)</td>
<td>9420.69-.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420.06</td>
<td>Auditors' Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies' Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420.15</td>
<td>Auditors' Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies' Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420.17</td>
<td>Change in Presentation of Accumulated Benefit Information in the Financial Statements of a Defined Benefit Pension Plan (No. 10)</td>
<td>9420.64-.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420.22</td>
<td>Impact on the Auditor’s Report of FIFO to LIFO Change in Comparative Financial Statements (No. 3)</td>
<td>9420.16-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.03</td>
<td>Auditor's Identification With Condensed Financial Data (No. 4)</td>
<td>9504.15-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.10</td>
<td>Applicability of Guidance on Reporting When Not Independent (No. 5)</td>
<td>9504.19-.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>Reports on Audited Financial Statements (No. 12)</td>
<td>9508.51-.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>Reporting on Audits Conducted in Accordance With Auditing Standards Generally Accepted in the United States of America and in Accordance With International Standards on Auditing (No. 14)</td>
<td>9508.56-.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>Reference to PCAOB Standards in an Audit Report of a Nonissuer (No. 18)</td>
<td>9508.89-.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.16</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.16</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.17</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.17</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.18</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.18</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.19</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.19</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Cross-Reference Table for Auditing Interpretations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation No.</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>508.19</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8) . .</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.20</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer’s Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7) . . . . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.20</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8) . .</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.21</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer’s Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7) . . . . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.21</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8) . .</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.22</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4) . .</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.22</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1) . . . . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.22</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer’s Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7) . . . . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.22</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8) . .</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.23</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4) . .</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.23</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1) . . . . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.23</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer’s Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7) . . . . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.23</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8) . .</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.24</td>
<td>The Effect of an Inability to Obtain Evidential Matter Relating to Income Tax Accruals (No. 2) . . . . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>9326.06-.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.24</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4) . .</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.24</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1) . . . . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>508.24</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.24</td>
<td>Report on an Outside Inventory-Taking Firm as an Alternative Procedure For Observing Inventories (No. 1)</td>
<td>9508.01-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.24</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.25</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.25</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.26</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.26</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.26</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.26</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.26</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.26</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.27</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.27</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.27</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.28</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.28</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C  Copyright © 2003, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
Cross-Reference Table for Auditing Interpretations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation No.</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>508.28</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>508.28</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.29</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>508.29</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.29</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>508.29</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.30</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>508.30</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.30</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>508.30</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.30</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>508.30</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.31</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>508.31</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.31</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>508.31</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.31</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>508.31</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.32</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>508.32</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.32</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>508.32</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.32</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>508.32</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.33</td>
<td>Reporting on Financial Statements Prepared on a Liquidation Basis of Accounting (No. 8)</td>
<td>508.33</td>
<td>9508.33-.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.33</td>
<td>Assessment of a Lawyer's Evaluation of the Outcome of Litigation (No. 7)</td>
<td>508.33</td>
<td>9337.18-.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.33</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>508.33</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.35</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>508.35</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.35</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>508.35</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>508.35</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-[.89]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.36</td>
<td>Considering the Qualitative Characteristics of Misstatements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9312.15-.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.36</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.36</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.36</td>
<td>Effect on Auditor’s Report of Omission of Schedule of Investments by Investment Partnerships That Are Exempt From Securities and Exchange Commission Registration Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (No. 16)</td>
<td>9508.76-.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.36</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-[.89]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.37</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.37</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.37</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-[.89]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.38</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.38</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Cross-Reference Table for Auditing Interpretations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>508.38</td>
<td>Effect on Auditor’s Report of Omission of Schedule of Investments by Investment Partnerships That Are Exempt From Securities and Exchange Commission Registration Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (No. 16)</td>
<td>9508.76-.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.38</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.39</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.39</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.39</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.40</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.40</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.40</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.41</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.41</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Interpretation Subject</td>
<td>Interpretation No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.41</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor's Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement's Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.41</td>
<td>Effect on Auditor's Report of Omission of Schedule of Investments by Investment Partnerships That Are Exempt From Securities and Exchange Commission Registration Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (No. 16)</td>
<td>9508.76-.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.41</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.42</td>
<td>Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9326.28-.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.42</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.42</td>
<td>Effect on Auditor's Report of Omission of Schedule of Investments by Investment Partnerships That Are Exempt From Securities and Exchange Commission Registration Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (No. 16)</td>
<td>9508.76-.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.42</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.43</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.43</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</td>
<td>Interpretation Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.44</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.44</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.45</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.46</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.47</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.48</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.49</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>508.50</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.51</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.52</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.53</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.54</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.55</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.56</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management’s Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>508.57</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.58</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.58</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-[.89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.59</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.59</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-[.89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.60</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.60</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-[.89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.61</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1)</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>508.62</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1).</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.63</td>
<td>The Use of Legal Interpretations As Evidential Matter to Support Management's Assertion That a Transfer of Financial Assets Has Met the Isolation Criterion in Paragraph 9(a) of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 140 (No. 1).</td>
<td>9336.01-.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.74</td>
<td>Reporting as Successor Auditor When Prior-Period Audited Financial Statements Were Audited by a Predecessor Auditor Who Has Ceased Operations (No. 15).</td>
<td>9508.60-.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530.05</td>
<td>Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph From a Reissued Report (No. 1).</td>
<td>9341.01-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>Financial Statements for General Use Only Outside of the United States in Accordance With International Accounting Standards and International Standards on Auditing (No. 1).</td>
<td>9534.01-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534.09</td>
<td>Financial Statements for General Use Only Outside of the United States in Accordance With International Accounting Standards and International Standards on Auditing (No. 1).</td>
<td>9534.01-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543.03</td>
<td>Inquiries of the Principal Auditor by the Other Auditor (No. 2).</td>
<td>9543.04-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543.10</td>
<td>Specific Procedures Performed by the Other Auditor at the Principal Auditor's Request (No. 1).</td>
<td>9543.01-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543.12</td>
<td>Examination of Identified Related Party Transactions With a Component (No. 5).</td>
<td>9343.14-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543.12</td>
<td>Application of Additional Procedures Concerning the Audit Performed by the Other Auditor (No. 6).</td>
<td>9543.18-.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Responsibilities of Service Organizations and Service Auditors With Respect to Forward-Looking Information in a Service Organization's Description of Controls (No. 4).</td>
<td>9324.35-.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 550     | Performance and Reporting Guidance Related to Fair Value Disclosures (No. 1).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 9342.01-.10           
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## Cross-Reference Table for Auditing Interpretations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Reports by Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (No. 2)</td>
<td>9550.07-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Other References by Management to Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, Including References to the Independent Auditor (No. 3)</td>
<td>9550.12-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Other Information in Electronic Sites Containing Audited Financial Statements (No. 4)</td>
<td>9550.16-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550.02</td>
<td>Reports by Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (No. 2)</td>
<td>9550.07-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550.02</td>
<td>Other References by Management to Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, Including References to the Independent Auditor (No. 3)</td>
<td>9550.12-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550.06</td>
<td>Reports by Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (No. 2)</td>
<td>9550.07-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550.06</td>
<td>Other References by Management to Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, Including References to the Independent Auditor (No. 3)</td>
<td>9550.12-.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551.12</td>
<td>Performance and Reporting Guidance Related to Fair Value Disclosures (No. 1)</td>
<td>9342.01-.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551.13</td>
<td>Performance and Reporting Guidance Related to Fair Value Disclosures (No. 1)</td>
<td>9342.01-.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552.08</td>
<td>Subsequent Events Procedures for Shelf Registration Statements Updated After the Original Effective Date (No. 1)</td>
<td>9711.01-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>Supplementary Oil and Gas Reserve Information (No. 1)</td>
<td>9558.01-.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560.05</td>
<td>The Impact on an Auditor’s Report of an FASB Statement Prior to the Statement’s Effective Date (No. 3)</td>
<td>9410.13-.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560.10</td>
<td>Relationship Between Date of Lawyer’s Response and Auditor’s Report (No. 2)</td>
<td>9337.04-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560.11</td>
<td>Relationship Between Date of Lawyer’s Response and Auditor’s Report (No. 2)</td>
<td>9337.04-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560.12</td>
<td>Relationship Between Date of Lawyer’s Response and Auditor’s Report (No. 2)</td>
<td>9337.04-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560.12</td>
<td>Eliminating a Going-Concern Explanatory Paragraph From a Reissued Report (No. 1)</td>
<td>9341.01-.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject</th>
<th>Interpretation No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>Reporting as Successor Auditor When Prior-Period Audited Financial Statements Were Audited by a Predecessor Auditor Who Has Ceased Operations (No. 15)</td>
<td>9508.60-.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>Auditor Association With Subsequently Discovered Information When the Auditor Has Resigned or Been Discharged (No. 1)</td>
<td>9561.01-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>Reports on the Financial Statements Included in Internal Revenue Form 990, &quot;Return of Organizations Exempt From Income Tax&quot; (No. 10)</td>
<td>9623.47-.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.02</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Appropriateness of Informative Disclosures in Insurance Enterprises' Financial Statements Prepared on a Statutory Basis (No. 12)</td>
<td>9623.60-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.04</td>
<td>The Meaning of the Term Misstatement (No. 1)</td>
<td>9312.01-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.04</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Appropriateness of Informative Disclosures in Insurance Enterprises' Financial Statements Prepared on a Statutory Basis (No. 12)</td>
<td>9623.60-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.08</td>
<td>Reports on the Financial Statements Included in Internal Revenue Form 990, &quot;Return of Organizations Exempt From Income Tax&quot; (No. 10)</td>
<td>9623.47-.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.09</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Appropriateness of Informative Disclosures in Insurance Enterprises' Financial Statements Prepared on a Statutory Basis (No. 12)</td>
<td>9623.60-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.10</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Appropriateness of Informative Disclosures in Insurance Enterprises' Financial Statements Prepared on a Statutory Basis (No. 12)</td>
<td>9623.60-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.10</td>
<td>Evaluating the Adequacy of Disclosure in Financial Statements Prepared on the Cash, Modified Cash, or Income Tax Basis of Accounting (No. 14)</td>
<td>9623.90-.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.11</td>
<td>Auditors' Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies' Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.12</td>
<td>Auditors' Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies' Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.13</td>
<td>Auditors' Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies' Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Interpretation Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>623.14</td>
<td>Auditors’ Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies’ Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.15</td>
<td>Auditors’ Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies’ Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.16</td>
<td>Auditors’ Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies’ Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.17</td>
<td>Auditors’ Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies’ Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.18</td>
<td>Auditors’ Special Reports on Property and Liability Insurance Companies’ Loss Reserves (No. 9)</td>
<td>9623.40-.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.22</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.23</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.24</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.25</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.26</td>
<td>Reporting on a Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in an Incomplete Presentation But is Otherwise in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (No. 13)</td>
<td>9623.82-.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.12</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.31</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.33</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Interpretation Subject (Interpretation No.)</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>634.55</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.55</td>
<td>Commenting in a Comfort Letter on Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk Made in Accordance With Item 305 of Regulation S-K (No. 3)</td>
<td>9634.13-.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.56</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.57</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.57</td>
<td>Commenting in a Comfort Letter on Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk Made in Accordance With Item 305 of Regulation S-K (No. 3)</td>
<td>9634.13-.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.58</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.59</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.60</td>
<td>Letters to Directors Relating to Annual Reports on Form 10-K (No. 1)</td>
<td>9634.01-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.64</td>
<td>Commenting in a Comfort Letter on Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk Made in Accordance With Item 305 of Regulation S-K (No. 3)</td>
<td>9634.13-.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711.05</td>
<td>Subsequent Events Procedures for Shelf Registration Statements Updated After the Original Effective Date (No. 1)</td>
<td>9711.01-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711.10</td>
<td>Subsequent Events Procedures for Shelf Registration Statements Updated After the Original Effective Date (No. 1)</td>
<td>9711.01-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711.11</td>
<td>Subsequent Events Procedures for Shelf Registration Statements Updated After the Original Effective Date (No. 1)</td>
<td>9711.01-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711.12</td>
<td>Subsequent Events Procedures for Shelf Registration Statements Updated After the Original Effective Date (No. 1)</td>
<td>9711.01-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711.13</td>
<td>Subsequent Events Procedures for Shelf Registration Statements Updated After the Original Effective Date (No. 1)</td>
<td>9711.01-.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides and Statements of Position

Audit and Accounting Guides

Agricultural Producers and Agricultural Cooperatives, Agribusiness Special Committee; Statement of Position, Accounting by Agricultural Producers and Agricultural Cooperatives, Accounting Standards Executive Committee and the Agribusiness Special Committee, April 1985.


Audit Sampling

Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities, AICPA Financial Instruments Task Force.

Auditing Revenue in Certain Industries, AICPA Auditing Revenues Steering Task Force.

Audits of State and Local Governmental Units (Non-GASB 34 Edition), Government Accounting and Auditing Committee; Statement of Position, Accounting for Costs of Activities of Not-for-Profit Organizations and State and Local Governmental Entities That Include Fund Raising, Accounting Standards Executive Committee and the Not-for-Profit Organizations Committee, March 1998; Statement of Position, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Not-for-Profit Organizations Receiving Federal Awards, AICPA Single Audit Working Group, March 1998.

Brokers and Dealers in Securities, Stockbrokerage and Investment Banking Committee.

Casinos, Gaming Industry Special Committee; Statement of Position, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities, Accounting Standards Executive Committee and the Start-Up Costs Task Force, April 1998.

Common Interest Realty Associations, Common Interest Realty Associations Task Force; Statement of Position, Reporting on Required Supplementary Information Accompanying Compiled or Reviewed Financial Statements of Common Interest Realty Associations, Accounting and Review Services Committee, April 1993.

Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, Control Risk Audit Guide Revision Task Force.

Construction Contractors, Construction Contractor Guide Committee; Statement of Position, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts, Accounting Standards Executive Committee and
Audit and Accounting Guides—Continued


Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities, Oil and Gas Committee.


Guide for the Use of Real Estate Appraisal Information, Real Estate Committee.

Health Care Organizations, Health Care Committee and the Health Care Audit Guide Task Force; Statement of Position, Accounting for Costs of Activities of Not-for-Profit Organizations and State and Local Governmental Entities That Include Fund Raising, Accounting Standards Executive Committee and the Not-for-Profit Organizations Committee, March
Audit and Accounting Guides—Continued


Audit and Accounting Guides—Continued


Not-for-Profit Organizations, Not-for-Profit Organizations Committee; Statement of Position, Accounting for Costs of Activities of Not-for-Profit Organizations and State and Local Governmental Entities That Include Fund Raising, Accounting Standards Executive Committee and the Not-for-Profit Organizations Committee, March 1998; Statement of Position, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Not-for-Profit Organizations Receiving Federal Awards, AICPA Single Audit Working Group, March 1998.


Audit and Accounting Guides—Continued


Service Organizations: Applying SAS No. 70, as Amended, AICPA SAS No. 70 Task Force.

State and Local Governments, State and Local Government Audit Guide Revision Task Force; Statement of Position, Accounting for Costs of Activities of Not-for-Profit Organizations and State and Local Governmental Entities That Include Fund Raising, Accounting Standards Executive Committee and the Not-for-Profit Organizations Committee, March 1998; Statement of Position, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Not-for-Profit Organizations Receiving Federal Awards, AICPA Single Audit Working Group, March 1998.

Statements of Position—Auditing and Attestation

Confirmation of Insurance Policies in Force ............................ 8/78
Auditing Property and Liability Reinsurance ......................... 10/82
Auditing Life Reinsurance .................................................. 11/84
Reports on Audited Financial Statements of Investment Companies .................................................. 1/89
Questions Concerning Accountants’ Services on Prospective Financial Statements .................................. 4/89
Report on the Internal Control Structure in Audits of Investment Companies ........................................... 12/89
Accountants’ Services on Prospective Financial Statements for Internal Use Only and Partial Presentations .......... 1/89
Report on the Internal Control Structure in Audits of Futures Commission Merchants .............................. 2/90
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### Statements of Position—Auditing and Attestation—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question/Issue</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Questions and Answers on the Term Reasonably Objective Basis and Other Issues Affecting Prospective Financial Statements</td>
<td>2/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing Insurance Entities’ Loss Reserves</td>
<td>5/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing Property/Casualty Insurance Entities’ Statutory Financial Statements—Applying Certain Requirements of the NAIC Annual Statement Instructions</td>
<td>10/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting on Required Supplementary Information Accompanying Compiled or Reviewed Financial Statements of Common Interest Realty Associations</td>
<td>4/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Auditor’s Consideration of Regulatory Risk-Based Capital for Life Insurance Enterprises</td>
<td>12/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiries of State Insurance Regulators</td>
<td>4/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters for State Insurance Regulators to Comply With the NAIC Model Audit Rule</td>
<td>11/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditor’s Reporting on Statutory Financial Statements of Insurance Enterprises</td>
<td>12/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting on Management’s Assessment Pursuant to the Life Insurance Ethical Market Conduct Program of the Insurance Marketplace Standards Association</td>
<td>4/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidance to Practitioners in Conducting and Reporting on an Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagement to Assist Management in Evaluating the Effectiveness of Its Corporate Compliance Program</td>
<td>5/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing Health Care Third-Party Revenues and Related Receivables</td>
<td>3/00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements That Address Internal Control Over Derivative Transactions as Required by the New York State Insurance Law</td>
<td>6/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting Pursuant to the Association for Investment Management and Research Performance Presentation Standards</td>
<td>11/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements That Address Annual Claims Prompt Payment Reports as Required by the New Jersey Administrative Code</td>
<td>5/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attest Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Emissions Information</td>
<td>9/03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Statements of Position—Accounting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question/Issue</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Practices of Real Estate Investment Trusts</td>
<td>6/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Practices for Certain Employee Stock Ownership Plans</td>
<td>12/76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures</td>
<td>12/78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts</td>
<td>7/81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting and Financial Reporting for Personal Financial Statements</td>
<td>10/82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statements of Position—Accounting—Continued

Deferral of the Effective Date of a Provision of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition 3/98
Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities 4/98
Deposit Accounting: Accounting for Insurance and Reinsurance Contracts That Do Not Transfer Insurance Risk 10/98
Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions 12/98
Accounting for and Reporting of Postretirement Medical Benefit (401(h)) Features of Defined Benefit Pension Plans 7/99
Accounting for and Reporting of Certain Defined Contribution Plan Investments and Other Disclosure Matters 9/99
Accounting by Producers or Distributors of Films 6/00
Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Demutualizations and Formations of Mutual Insurance Holding Companies and for Certain Long-Duration Participating Contracts 12/00
Amendment to Scope of Statement of Position 95-2, Financial Reporting by Nonpublic Investment Partnerships, to Include Commodity Pools 3/01
Accounting and Reporting by Health and Welfare Benefit Plans 4/01
Amendments to Specific AICPA Pronouncements for Changes Related to the NAIC Codification 12/01
Accounting by Certain Entities (Including Entities With Trade Receivables) That Lend to or Finance the Activities of Others 12/01
Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities by Not-for-Profit Health Care Organizations, and Clarification of the Performance Indicator 12/02
Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Nontraditional Long-Duration Contracts and for Separate Accounts 7/03
Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer 12/03
Reporting Financial Highlights and Schedule of Investments by Nonregistered Investment Partnerships: An Amendment to the Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Investment Companies and Statement of Position 95-2, Financial Reporting by Nonpublic Investment Partnerships 12/03
Financial Highlights of Separate Accounts: An Amendment to the Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Investment Companies 12/03

[The next page is 2141.]
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**Schedule of Changes in Statements on Auditing Standards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Par.</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Date of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110^1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110^1</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended^2</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110^1</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 78</td>
<td>February, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110^1</td>
<td>.06-.09</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 16</td>
<td>January, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 95</td>
<td>December, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 25</td>
<td>November, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
<td>Headnote amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 5</td>
<td>July, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td>Title amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>February, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>.01-.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 41</td>
<td>April, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>.05-.12</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 99</td>
<td>October, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
<td>Title amended by SAS 83</td>
<td>October, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 45</td>
<td>August, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 45</td>
<td>August, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>.05-.09</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 45</td>
<td>August, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>.05-.07</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 83</td>
<td>October, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 89</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 47</td>
<td>December, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 77</td>
<td>November, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311^3</td>
<td>.09-.10</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended^2</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>.02-.04</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.05-.08</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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### Section Par. Changes Date of Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Par.</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Date of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.16–.18</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.35–.36</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^4</td>
<td>.38–.39</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^5</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 96</td>
<td>January, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^5</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 82</td>
<td>January, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312^5</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 84</td>
<td>October, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 93</td>
<td>October, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 93</td>
<td>October, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 99</td>
<td>October, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 56</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 78</td>
<td>December, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 94</td>
<td>May, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 3</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.33–.34</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.50–.56</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.57–.58</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.59–.62</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.68</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 20</td>
<td>August, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 30</td>
<td>July, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 23</td>
<td>October, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 39</td>
<td>June, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 9</td>
<td>December, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 55</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Par.</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Date of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>320A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 39</td>
<td>June, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320B</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 39</td>
<td>June, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 48</td>
<td>July, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 55</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 65</td>
<td>April, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 24</td>
<td>March, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 30</td>
<td>July, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 30</td>
<td>July, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 30</td>
<td>July, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 60</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 70</td>
<td>May, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Title amended by SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 78</td>
<td>February, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Deleted by SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.09-.10</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 78</td>
<td>February, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 78</td>
<td>February, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.57-.60</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 78</td>
<td>February, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 78</td>
<td>February, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 87</td>
<td>February, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 80</td>
<td>December, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 48</td>
<td>August, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>.12-.13</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 80</td>
<td>December, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 80</td>
<td>December, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>.16-.17</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 80</td>
<td>December, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 80</td>
<td>December, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>.19-.22</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 80</td>
<td>December, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 80</td>
<td>December, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 30</td>
<td>July, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 53</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 54</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 96</td>
<td>January, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 31</td>
<td>August, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAS 67 added</td>
<td>November, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Par.</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Date of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 67</td>
<td>November, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>.03–.08</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 67</td>
<td>November, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 89</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>Deleted by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 92</td>
<td>September, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 89</td>
<td>October, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 89</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Revised by SOP 93-3</td>
<td>June, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 45</td>
<td>August, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 90</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 89</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 89</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 45</td>
<td>August, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>June, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 45</td>
<td>August, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 90</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 89</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 89</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 90</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.14–.15</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 91</td>
<td>April, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 91</td>
<td>April, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 88</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Par.</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Date of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42016</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42017</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>Added²</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42017</td>
<td>.10–.11</td>
<td>Deleted by SAS 88</td>
<td>December, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42017</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>Added²</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42017</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42017</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>Deleted²</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42017</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>Deleted by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42017</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>Deleted²</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42017</td>
<td>.24–.25</td>
<td>Added²</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 21</td>
<td>December, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 32</td>
<td>October, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43518</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rescinded by the Auditing Standards Board</td>
<td>April, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 35</td>
<td>April, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 72</td>
<td>March, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 34</td>
<td>March, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>.13–.15</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 26</td>
<td>November, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 58</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 93</td>
<td>October, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 79</td>
<td>December, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50819</td>
<td>.16–.33</td>
<td>Deleted by SAS 79</td>
<td>December, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50819</td>
<td>.29–.32</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 79</td>
<td>December, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50819</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 79</td>
<td>December, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50819</td>
<td>.45–.49</td>
<td>New paragraphs added by issuance of SAS 79</td>
<td>December, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50819</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50819</td>
<td>.70–.71</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 79</td>
<td>December, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 85</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50819</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 64</td>
<td>February, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50819</td>
<td>.83–.85</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 79</td>
<td>December, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 21</td>
<td>December, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 5</td>
<td>July, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 26</td>
<td>November, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 15</td>
<td>December, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 58</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>513</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>514</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Par.</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Date of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 13</td>
<td>May, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 24</td>
<td>March, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>.11–.12</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 15</td>
<td>December, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 21</td>
<td>December, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 26</td>
<td>November, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 26</td>
<td>November, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 14</td>
<td>December, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 26</td>
<td>November, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 24</td>
<td>March, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>.03–.05</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Deleted by SAS 93</td>
<td>October, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>Deleted by SAS 93</td>
<td>October, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534</td>
<td>.09–.10</td>
<td>Amended²</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542</td>
<td>.01–.04</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 58</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>New footnote added</td>
<td>June, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 64</td>
<td>February, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 7</td>
<td>November, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 14</td>
<td>December, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 77</td>
<td>November, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 14</td>
<td>December, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 77</td>
<td>November, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 21</td>
<td>December, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 21</td>
<td>December, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 58</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 58</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 2</td>
<td>December, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 52</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551²⁰</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended²</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 33</td>
<td>October, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 29</td>
<td>December, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 29</td>
<td>December, 1980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Par.</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Date of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>553</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 52</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>554</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 52</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555</td>
<td>.01–.06</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 45</td>
<td>August, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 52</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 52</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>.10–.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 12</td>
<td>January, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>.01–.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 98</td>
<td>September, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 29</td>
<td>December, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 14</td>
<td>December, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 35</td>
<td>April, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td>.15–.17</td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 35</td>
<td>April, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 63</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622</td>
<td></td>
<td>Withdrawn by SAS 93</td>
<td>October, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 77</td>
<td>November, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 77</td>
<td>November, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 97</td>
<td>June, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 97</td>
<td>June, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 97</td>
<td>June, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 97</td>
<td>June, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>.05–.07</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 97</td>
<td>June, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>.09–.11</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 97</td>
<td>June, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 38</td>
<td>April, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 49</td>
<td>September, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 72</td>
<td>March, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 76</td>
<td>September, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 76</td>
<td>September, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>New paragraph added by issuance of SAS 76</td>
<td>September, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 86</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 86</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 86</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.33–.34</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 86</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 86</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 86</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Par.</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Date of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.64</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 76</td>
<td>September, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634.64</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 86</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640 .01</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 20</td>
<td>August, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640 .12–.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 20</td>
<td>August, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 30</td>
<td>July, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 30</td>
<td>July, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642 .02</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 44</td>
<td>December, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642 .47–.53</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 60</td>
<td>April, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 44</td>
<td>December, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SSAE 2</td>
<td>June, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710 .11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 15</td>
<td>December, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 37</td>
<td>April, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720 .22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 13</td>
<td>May, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 24</td>
<td>March, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>721 .02</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 26</td>
<td>November, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>721</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 36</td>
<td>April, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>722</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 100</td>
<td>November, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730</td>
<td></td>
<td>Withdrewn by Auditing Standards Board</td>
<td>April, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>801</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superseded by SAS 74</td>
<td>February, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>801</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Amended by SAS 75</td>
<td>September, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901 .01</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901 .02–.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>Deleted by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901 .14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended²</td>
<td>April, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901 .24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901 .28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amended by SAS 43</td>
<td>August, 1982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Section 110 paragraphs .02 through .09 renumbered .03 through .10 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.

2 Paragraphs reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62.

3 Section 311 paragraphs .09 through .13 renumbered .11 through .15 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48.

4 Section 312 paragraphs .05 through .11 renumbered .09 through .15, .12 through .32 renumbered .19 through .39, and .33 renumbered .41 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 82.

5 Section 312 paragraphs .40 and .41 renumbered .41 and .42 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96.

6 Section 324 paragraphs .57 through .59 renumbered .61 through .63 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.

7 Section 326 paragraphs .12 through .23 renumbered .13 through .24 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 48.

8 Section 326 paragraphs .14 through .16 renumbered .15 through .17, and .17 through .24 renumbered .19 through .26 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 80.

9 Section 329 paragraphs .22 and .23 renumbered .23 and .24 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96.

10 Section 331 paragraph .16 (as amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 2) renumbered .15 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43.

11 Section 341 paragraph .17 renumbered .18 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 96.

12 Section 350 paragraph .32 added to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 53. Section 350 paragraphs .32 through .47 renumbered .33 through .48.

13 Section 380 paragraphs .10 through .15 renumbered .11 through .16 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 89.

14 Section 380 paragraphs .11 through .16 renumbered .12 through .17 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 90.

15 Section 411 paragraphs .14 through .16 renumbered .16 through .18 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 91.

16 Section 420 paragraphs .08 through .24 renumbered .09 through .25 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.

17 Section 420 paragraphs .18 through .22 renumbered .17 through .21 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43. Section 420 paragraphs .10 through .12 renumbered .11 through .13, and paragraphs .13 through .21 renumbered .15 through .23 to reflect the conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards Nos. 53 through 62. Section 420 paragraphs .08 through .24 renumbered .09 through .25 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 88.

18 The Auditing Standards Board (ASB) has rescinded Statement on Auditing Standards No. 21, effective for audits of financial statements to which Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, has been applied. FASB Statement No. 131 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997, with earlier application encouraged. The Audit Issues Task Force of the ASB has issued an interpretation of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 31, Evidential Matter, entitled “Applying Auditing Procedures to Segment Disclosures in Financial Statements” to provide guidance for audits of financial statements of entities that have implemented FASB Statement No. 131. The auditing interpretation appears in section 9326, Evidential Matter: Auditing Interpretations of Section 326, paragraphs .28 through .41.
Section 508 paragraphs 34 through 46 renumbered 16 through 28, 47 through 58 renumbered 33 through 44, and 59 through 85 renumbered 50 through 76 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 79.

Section 551 paragraphs 16 through 22 renumbered 17 through 23 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.

Section 558 paragraphs 09 and 10 renumbered 10 and 11 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 98.

The ASB has withdrawn Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75 and its auditing interpretation, effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after June 30, 2001, in order to consolidate the guidance applicable to agreed-upon procedures engagements in professional standards. For guidance relating to performing and reporting on agreed-upon procedures engagements, practitioners should refer to AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements.

Section 625 paragraph 02 renumbered 03 and 03 through 09 renumbered 05 through 11 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 97.

Section 634 paragraphs 10 through 63 renumbered 11 through 64 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 76.

Section 901 paragraphs 06 through 32 renumbered 02 through 28 by issuance of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 43.
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The following appendix reflects generally accepted auditing standards issued or modified by the AICPA after April 16, 2003. As such, the following appendix is not part of the standards adopted or established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

This listing identifies “Other Auditing Publications” published by the AICPA as of January 1, 2004 that have been reviewed by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff and are therefore presumed to be appropriate as defined in section 150, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards, paragraphs .07 and .08. Product numbers are included where applicable to help practitioners obtain these products. Products may be obtained through www.cpa2biz.com.

Auditing Practice Releases

(available in hard copy)

- The Information Technology Age: Evidential Matter in the Electronic Environment (1997) (product no. 021068)
- Audit Implications of EDI (1995) (product no. 021060)
- Confirmation of Accounts Receivable (1995) (product no. 021064)

AICPA Technical Practice Aids, Accounting and Auditing Publications Technical Questions and Answers

- TIS section 8000, Audit Field Work
- TIS section 9000, Auditors’ Reports

(available in hard copy) (product no. 005143)

Current AICPA Audit Risk Alerts

Audit Risk Alert—2003/04 (product no. 022334)
Auto Dealership Industry Developments—2003/04 (product no. 022444)
Bank, Credit Union, and Other Depository and Lending Institution Industry Developments—2003/04 (product no. 022294)
Common Interest Realty Associations Industry Developments—2003/04 (product no. 022464)
Construction Contractors Industry Developments—2003/04 (product no. 022314)
Employee Benefit Plans Industry Developments—2003 (product no. 022413)
Health Care Industry Developments—2003/04 (product no. 022344)
High-Technology Industry Developments—2003/04 (product no. 022404)
Appendix F

Professional Issues Task Force (PITF) Practice Alerts

(Copies of these practice alerts can be downloaded from the AICPA’s Web site. They can also be found in the AICPA publication Technical Practice Aids.)

Note: This is not an all-inclusive list of Practice Alerts available on the AICPA Web site as certain Practice Alerts pertain to topics other than auditing.

- Practice Alert 03-2, Journal Entries and Other Adjustments (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,250)
- Practice Alert 03-1, Audit Confirmations (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,240)
- Practice Alert 02-3, Reauditing Financial Statements (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,230)
- Practice Alert 02-2, Use of Specialists (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,220)
- Practice Alert 01-2, Audit Considerations in Times of Economic Uncertainty (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,200)
- Practice Alert 00-3, Auditing Construction Contracts (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,170)
- Practice Alert 00-2, Quality of Accounting Principles—Guidance for Discussions with Audit Committees (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,160)
- Practice Alert 99-2, How the Use of a Service Organization Affects Internal Control Considerations (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,140)
- Practice Alert 98-3, Revenue Recognition Issues (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,120)
- Practice Alert 98-2, Professional Skepticism and Related Topics (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,110)
- Practice Alert 98-1, The Auditor’s Use of Analytical Procedures (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,100)
- Practice Alert 97-3, Changes in Auditors and Related Topics (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,090)
- Practice Alert 97-2, Audits of Employee Benefit Plans (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,080)
Other Auditing Publications

- Practice Alert 94-1, Dealing with Audit Differences (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, vol. 2, sec. 16,010)

Other Publications

Accounting and Auditing for Related Parties and Related Party Transactions Toolkit (available for download at www.aicpa.org/news/relpty1.htm)

Auditing Estimates and Other Soft Accounting Information (product no. 010010)

Auditing Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures: A Toolkit for Auditors (available for download at www.aicpa.org/members/div/auditstd/fasb123002.asp)

Audits of Futures Commission Merchants, Introducing Brokers, and Commodity Pools (product no. 006600)

Fraud Detection in a GAAS Audit: SAS No. 99 Implementation Guide (product no. 006613)

Applying OCBOA in State and Local Governmental Financial Statements (product no. 006614)
References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.
Section numbers in the 9000 series refer to Auditing Interpretations.

ACCOUNTABILITY
- Management 711.01

ACCOUNTING
- Alternative Principles—See Alternative Accounting Principles
  - Basis Other Than GAAP 410.02; 623.02–.10
- Changes—See Changes, Accounting
- Control—See Internal Control
- Guides—See Accounting Guides, Industry
  - Management Responsibility 110.03
- Policies—See Policies, Accounting
- Principles—See Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
- Records—See Records
  - Related Parties 334.02–.03
  - Transfer of Assets 9336.01–.21

ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES—See Estimation

ACCOUNTING GUIDES, INDUSTRY
- Source of Business Information 311.08
  - Use of Reports 544.02–.04; 9623.51

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE—See Payables

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE—See Receivables

ACCRUAL BASIS OF ACCOUNTING
- Income Tax Accruals 9326.06–.23

ACQUISITION OF A BUSINESS—See Business Combinations

ACTUARIES
- Use of Work by Auditors 336.02; 336.07

ADDRESSEE OF AUDITOR’S REPORT
- Audited Financial Statements 508.09
  - Letters for Underwriters 634.03; 634.19;
  - Restricted Use of Report 532.04

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL—See Internal Control

ADVERSE OPINIONS—continued
  - Condensed Financial Statements 552.07
  - Departure From GAAP 334.12; 336.14;
    - 508.35–.36; 508.41;
    - 508.45–.46; 508.48–.49;
    - 508.68–.69; 9336.21;
    - 9508.76–.84; 9623.53
  - Derecognition of Transferred
    - Assets 9336.21
  - Description 508.58
  - Disclosure 508.59; 552.07
  - Elements of Financial Statements 623.14
  - Fair Presentation 508.58–.59
  - Illegal Acts by Clients 317.18
  - Illustration 508.60; 552.07;
    - 9508.76–.84
  - Inadequate Disclosure 431.03; 508.41;
    - 508.46; 9410.15
  - Individual Financial Statement 508.05
  - Lack of Conformity
    - With GAAP 508.58; 544.02–.04
  - Material Misstatements 312.38;
    - 9312.01–.04
  - Matters Requiring
    - Specialists 336.14; 9336.04
  - Nonprofit Organizations 9623.53
  - Piecemeal Opinion 508.64
  - Prescribed Accounting Practices 544.02
  - Reasons for Opinion 508.59
  - Regulated Companies 544.02–.04
  - Related Parties 334.12
  - Reports With Differing Opinions 508.67–.69
  - Service Organizations 9324.13
  - Subsequent Events 530.03–.04
  - Supplementary Data 544.02; 951.10

AFFILIATED COMPANIES
- Related Parties 334.01
- Work of Other Auditors 543.01–.02;
  - 543.06

AGGREGATION—See Summarization

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
- Agreement on and Sufficiency
  - of Procedures 532.04
  - Internal Control Reporting 325.07–.08
  - Letters for Underwriters 634.35; 634.44;
    - 634.55
  - Restricted Use of Report 532.04
  - Service Organization 324.19

AGREEMENTS—See Contracts

AICPA Professional Standards
ALLOCATED COST
- Assertions by Management . . . . 326.03–.07
- Auditing Procedures . . . . 316.35; 329.01–.24;
- Nonfinancial Data . . . . . 329.02; 329.08
- Objective . . . . . 329.06; 329.23
- Overall Review . . . . . 329.23
- Planning . . . . . 329.01–.24
- Precision of Expectations . . . . 329.17–.19
- Purpose . . . . . 329.06
- Reliability of Data . . . . . 329.16

ALTERNATIVE ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES
- Criteria for Application . . . . 9410.14–.15

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION
- Policy on Audit Inquiries . . . . 337.12; 337C;
- Professional Responsibilities
  of Inside v. Outside Council . . 9337.25

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAs
- Approval of Standards by
  Membership . . . . . 150.02
- Auditing Publications . . . . 150.05–.08
- Competence of Other Auditors . . 543.10
- Compliance With Standards . . . . 150.03–.04
- Conduct—See Conduct, Code of
  Professional
- Division for CPA Firms . . . . 543.10
- Interpretive Publications . . . . 150.05–.06
- Professional Standards . . . . 504.18
- Quality Control Standards
  Committee . . . . . 161.01

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES
- Accounting
  Estimates . . . . . 342.08–.14; 9312.04–.09
- Audit Procedures . . . . 316.35; 329.01–.24;
  . . . . . 9312.04–.09
- Audit Sampling . . . . 9350.02
- Availability of Data . . . . 329.15
- Definition . . . . . 329.02
- Effectiveness . . . . 329.04; 329.09–.12
- Efficiency . . . . . 329.09–.12
- Evidential Matter . . 329.19–.20; 329.02–.23
- Examples . . . . . 329.04–.05; 329.07;
  . . . . . 329.12
- Expectations . . . . . 329.03; 329.05;
  . . . . . 329.11; 329.14–.18
- Illegals Acts by Clients . . . . 317.07–.11
- Investigating Significant
  Differences . . . . . 329.20–.21
- Materiality . . . . . 329.20
- Misstatements, Evaluating
  Effects of . . . . . 312.34–.41
- Nature of Assertion . . . . 329.12
- Nonfinancial Data . . . 329.02; 329.08
- Objective . . . . . 329.06; 329.23
- Overall Review . . . . . 329.23
- Planning . . . . . 329.01–.24
- Precision of Expectations . . . . 329.17–.19
- Purpose . . . . . 329.06
- Reliability of Data . . . . . 329.16

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES—continued
- Review of Interim
  Information . . . . . 722.07; 722.09–.12;
  . . . . . 722.15–.23; 722.38–.40;
  . . . . . 722.54
- Risk of Misstatement . . . . . 312.35; 350.08
- Substantive Tests . . . . . 329.04–.05; 329.09–.11;
  . . . . . 329.22
- Substantive Tests at
  Interim Dates . . . . . 313.02; 313.08

ANNUAL REPORTS—See Reports to
Stockholders

APPRAISERS
- SEC Filings . . . . . . 711.02
- Use of Work by Auditors . . . . 336.02; 336.07

ASB—See Auditing Standards Board

ASSESSMENT
- Adequacy of Legal Opinion . . . . 9336.09–.17
- Analytical Procedures . . . 316.02; 316.28–.30
- Audit Procedures . . . . . 333.06;
  . . . . . 337.05–.07; 9337.15–.17;
  . . . . . 9337.24–.27
- Client Has Not Consulted a
  Lawyer . . . . . 9337.15–.17
- Consideration of Results of Analytical
  Procedures Performed in Planning
  the Audit . . . . . 316.28–.30
- Differences in Accounting
  Estimates . . . . . 9312.04–.09
- Fraud Procedures . . . . . 316.51–.56
- Identified Risks . . . . . 316.51–.56
- Inquiries of Client’s
  Lawyers . . . . . 337.08–.11; 722.20;
  . . . . . 9337.01–.32
- Internal v. Outside Lawyers . . 9337.24–.27
- Legal Isolation Criterion . . 9336.06; 9336.14
- Limitations on Lawyer’s
  Responses . . . . . 337.12–.14
- Management Override of
  Controls . . . . . 316.57–.67
- Management
  Representations . . . . . 333.06
- Misappropriations of Assets . . . . 316.55–.56
- Misstatements . . 313.09; 316.50–.56
  . . . . . 313.15–.17
- Qualitative Characteristics . . . . 312.10–.14
- Quantitative Measures . . . . 312.10–.14
- Overide of Controls . . . . . 316.57–.67
- Response to Results . . . 316.02; 316.45–.67;
  . . . . . 316.76
- Responses to Fraud Risks . . . . 316.50–.67
- Risk . . . . . 312.28–.33; 313.04–.07;
  . . . . . 330.05; 330.07–.10
- Risk of Material Misstatement
  Due to Fraud . . . . . 312.16; 316.02;
  . . . . . 316.13; 316.45;
  . . . . . 316.50; 316.61;
  . . . . . 316.76

ALL
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# AU Topical Index

References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.

## AUDIT ENGAGEMENT—continued

- Change of Auditors .................. 315.01–25
- Change to Compilation Engagement .............................................. 508.62
- Change to Review Engagement .................................................. 508.62
- Communication of Internal Control Matters .......................... 325.01–21; 9325.01–06
- Communication When No Audit Committee Exists ...................... 9380.02–03
- Communication With Audit Committee .......................... 316.79–82; 380.04; 380.09–10
- Communication With Management ........................................... 316.79–82
- Communication With Third Parties About Fraud .................. 316.79–82
- Compliance Auditing Applicable to Governmental Entities—See Compliance Auditing
  - Conduct ........................................ 310.07
  - Consideration of Fraud ........................................ 316.01–86
  - Current-Value Financial Statements Supplementing Historical-Cost Financial Statements ........................................ 9623.55–59
  - Difficulties in Performing Audit ........................................ 380.16
  - Documenting Consideration of Fraud .................................. 316.83
  - EDI Applications ........................................ 311.09–10
  - Effect of Internal Auditor’s Work ...................................... 322.12–27
  - Elements of Financial Statements ..................................... 623.11–18
  - Entity With Operations in Multiple Locations or Components ........................................ 312.18
  - Evaluating Evidence of Fraud ........................................ 316.68–78
  - Evidential Matter—See Evidential Matter
  - Fair Value—Evaluating Results of Audit Procedures .......... 328.47
  - Financial Statements Prepared for Use Outside U.S. .......... 534.03–15; 9534.01–04
  - First Year Audits ........................................ 420.24–25
  - Fraud Considerations in a Financial Statement Audit .......... 316.01–86
  - GAAS and PCAOB Standards ........................................... 9508.89–92
  - Going Concern Evaluation ............................................ 341.01–18;
  - Illegal Acts by Client ........................................ 9341.01–02
  - Indefinite Duration ........................................ 317.01–25;
  - Internal Audit Function ............................................ 9317.01–06;
  - Internal Control Considerations ..................................... 9333.01–04
  - Judgments About Risk of Material Misstatements
    - Due to Fraud ........................................ 316.17; 316.24;
    - 316.27; 316.32;
    - 316.40; 316.45;
    - 316.61; 316.68;
    - 9317.71; 9316.74

## AUDIT ENGAGEMENT—continued

- Letters—See Engagement Letters
- Limited Reporting Objectives ....................................... 508.33–34
- Limited Response .................................................. 315.10
- Misstatements Due to Fraud ........................................... 316.21;
  - 316.31; 316.35–40;
  - 316.42; 316.50;
  - 316.53; 316.81; 316.85
- Misstatements, Evaluating Effects of .................................. 316.71;
- Objective—See Objectives of Audit
- Omitted Auditing Procedures .................................. 390.01–08
- Other Information in Documents ....................................... 380.12; 550.03–04;
  - 551.04; 558.02
- Planning the Audit .................................................. 310.04;
  - 310.03–10;
  - 312.01; 312.12–33;
  - 316.12–19;
  - 316.28–30; 316.69;
  - 9311.01–03
- Professional Skepticism .................................................. 316.12;
  - 316.13–19;
  - 316.46–67
- Quality Control .................................................. 161.01–03
- Responsibility of Audit .................................................. 316.79–82
- Reports—See Reports
  - Representation Letters ........................................ 310.06; 333.05
  - Responding to Assessment of Fraud .......................... 316.46–67
  - Responsibilities of Assistant for Audit Disagreements ........................................ 9311.35–37
  - Responsibilities of Auditor ........................................ 110.01–05;
  - 110.10; 310.06;
  - 324.57–61; 9324.35–40;
  - 9504.19–22
  - Review of Form 10-K .............................................. 9634.01–09
- Scope—See Scope of Audit
  - Service Organizations ........................................... 324.01–63;
  - 9324.01–40
- Supervision .................................................. 311.11–14; 312.17;
  - 316.50
- Supplementary Information ........................................ 551.01–29;
  - 558.02
- Supplementary Information Required by GAAP ........................................ 558.02
- System of Quality Control—See System of Quality Control
  - Timing of Audit Work ........................................ 310.04; 312.33
- Use of Legal Interpretations to Support That Transfer of Assets Has Met Isolation Criteria in FASB Statement No. 140 ........................................ 9336.01–21
- Use of Work of Specialists ........................................ 336.01;
  - 336.06–23;
  - 9336.01–21
- Weaknesses in Internal Control ...................................... 9317.03–06
  - Withdrawal by Auditor ........................................ 316.49; 316.78;
  - 317.20; 317.22;
  - 504.13
  - Work of Other Auditors ........................................ 543.01–17;
  - 9543.18–24
- Working Papers—See Working Papers

## AUD
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AUDIT SAMPLING—continued

- Tolerable Misstatement ............. 350.18; 350.21–23; 350.26
- Tolerable Rate ............. 350.34–35; 350.38; 350.41; 350.44
- Uncertainties ............. 350.07–11

AUDIT TESTS

- Compliance—See Compliance Tests
- Evidential Matter ............. 326.01–26
- Examination at Interim Dates .... 313.01–10; 316.57–67
- Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures ............. 328.23–42
- Fraud ............. 311.03; 316.51–56
- Illegal Act Detection ............. 9317.01–02; 9333.01–04
- Information Provided to Specialist ............. 9336.09–17
- Management Override of Controls ............. 316.57–67
- Material Misstatements ............. 316.35; 316.50–56
- Misappropriations of Assets ............. 316.55–56
- Override of Controls ............. 316.57–67
- Planning of Audit Work ............. 311.03
- Prior to Balance Sheet Date ............. 313.01–10
- Related Party Disclosures ............. 334.11–12; 9334.22–23
- Related Party Transactions ............. 311.03; 334.01–12; 9334.16–21
- Relation to Internal Control ............. 9317.01–02
- Representation Letters ............. 333.01–18
- Responses to Fraud Risk ............. 316.50–67
- Risk of Material Misstatement .... 316.50
- Sampling—See Audit Sampling
- Service Organizations—See Service Organizations
- Substantive—See Substantive Tests
- Use of Findings of Specialists ............. 336.12
- Work of Other Auditors ............. 543.13

AUDIT GUIDES, INDUSTRY

- Planning—See Planning
- Objectives of Audit ............. 110.01; 722.07; 350.02
- Internal Audit ............. 9623.47
- Nonprofit Organizations ............. 9623.47
- Representation Letters ............. 333.07
- Source of Business Information .... 311.08
- Source of Established Principles .... 9623.47
- Use of Reports ............. 544.02–04; 9623.51

AUDIT GUIDES, INDUSTRY

- Objectives of Audit ............. 110.01; 722.07
- Internal Audit ............. 322.04–08
- Objectives of Audit ............. 322.04–08
- Introduction .......... 722.02; 722.35; 722.37–40
- Planning—See Planning

AUDIT RISK—See Risk

- Analytical Procedures ............. 9350.02
- Applications ............. 9350.01–02
- Background Information ............. 350.01–06
- Cost ............. 350.07; 350.46
- Cutoff Tests ............. 9350.02
- Definition ............. 350.01; 9350.02
- Design of Sample ............. 350.05–06; 350.44;
- Dual-Purpose Samples ............. 350.46
- Effectiveness ............. 350.46
- Efficiency ............. 350.05; 350.13;
- Errors or Irregularities—See Fraud
- Evidential Matter ............. 350.03–06; 350.16;
- Fraud—See Fraud
- Illustrations ............. 350.17; 350.26;
- Interim Information ............. 350.41; 350.48
- Internal Control ............. 350.31–43; 9350.02
- Inventories ............. 9350.02
- Material Misstatements ............. 350.09;
- Model ............. 350.48
- Nonstatistical—See Nonstatistical Sampling
- Objectives of Audit ............. 350.02; 350.05;
- Planning ............. 350.02–03; 350.15–23;
- Questioned Costs ............. 801.18
- Risk—See Risk
- Sample Evaluation ............. 350.25–30; 350.40–43;
- Sample Selection ............. 350.24; 350.39
- Size of Sample ............. 350.19–23; 350.38;
- Standards of Field Work ............. 350.04; 350.19
- Statistical—See Statistical Sampling
- Substantive Tests ............. 350.12–30; 350.43;
- Tests of Controls ............. 350.08–10; 350.12–14;
- Use of Reports ............. 544.02–04; 9623.51

AICPA Professional Standards

AUD

AUDIT TESTING

- Use of Reports ............. 544.02–04; 9623.51

AUDIT GUIDES, INDUSTRY

- Objectives of Audit ............. 110.01; 722.07
- Internal Audit ............. 9623.47
- Nonprofit Organizations ............. 9623.47
- Representation Letters ............. 333.07
- Source of Business Information .... 311.08
- Source of Established Principles .... 9623.47
- Use of Reports ............. 544.02–04; 9623.51
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AU Topical Index</th>
<th>References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUDITOR, INDEPENDENT</strong></td>
<td><strong>continued</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Accounting Estimates</td>
<td>▪ Evidential Matter ... 312.12; 312.19–20; 312.36–38; 322.02; 326.01–26; 9326.01–41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Adequate and Appropriate Disclosure</td>
<td>▪ Expertise in EDP ... 311.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Analytical Procedures 316.02; 316.28–30; 329.01–24</td>
<td>▪ Firm—See Firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Appointee at Year End 310.04</td>
<td>▪ First Year Audits ... 420.24–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Association With Financial Statements 504.01–20</td>
<td>▪ Fraud ... 316.01–86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Audit Conducted in Accordance With GAAS and PCAOB Standards ... 9508.89–92</td>
<td>▪ Functions ... 110.01–05; 110.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Audit Risk and Materiality 312.01–42</td>
<td>▪ GAAP—Sources ... 411.05–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Audit Sampling ... 350.01–48; 9350.01–02</td>
<td>▪ Going Concern Assumption ... 341.01–18; 9341.01–02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Basis of Accounting Other Than GAAP ... 316.05; 316.11</td>
<td>▪ Illegal Acts by Client ... 312.05; 316.01; 316.82; 316.86; 317.01–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Change of Auditors ... 315.01–25</td>
<td>▪ Incomplete Special-Purpose Financial Presentation ... 9623.82–86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Comment on Audit ... 551.21</td>
<td>▪ Independence ... 220.01–07; 504.08–10; 634.31–32; 9504.19–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Communication Regarding Applicable Audit Requirements ... 801.21–23</td>
<td>▪ Internal Audit Function Considerations ... 322.01–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Communication When No Audit Committee Exists ... 9380.02–03</td>
<td>▪ Internal Auditor Competence and Objectivity Assessment ... 322.09–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Communication With Audit Committees ... 380.01–17; 722.29–36; 801.22; 9411.15</td>
<td>▪ Internal Auditor, Relationship ... 311.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Comparative Financial Statements ... 504.14–17</td>
<td>▪ Internal Control Considerations ... 319.01–110; 801.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Completeness Assertion ... 9326.24–27</td>
<td>▪ Internal Control Reports—See Reports on Internal Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Compliance Auditing ... 801.01–24</td>
<td>▪ Judgment—See Judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Compliance Reports ... 623.19–21; 9634.01–09</td>
<td>▪ Knowledge of GAAP ... 722.07; 722.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Condensed Financial Information ... 9504.15–18</td>
<td>▪ Legal Advice Regarding Access to Audit Documentation by Regulator ... 9339.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Condensed Financial Statements ... 552.01–08</td>
<td>▪ Legal Liability ... 230.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Confirmation Process ... 330.01–36</td>
<td>▪ Letters for Underwriters ... 634.01–64; 9634.01–09; 9634.13–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Consideration of Management’s Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Transactions or Events ... 9411.11–15</td>
<td>▪ Litigation, Claims, and Assessments ... 337.01–14; 9337.01–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Consideration of the Results of Analytical Procedures Performed in Planning the Audit ... 316.28–30</td>
<td>▪ Loss Reserves (Insurance) ... 9623.40–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Continuing Auditor ... 508.65</td>
<td>▪ Management Representations—See Representation Letters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Current-Value Financial Statements ... 9623.55–59</td>
<td>▪ Merger of Accounting Firms ... 508.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Date of Engagement Acceptance ... 310.04</td>
<td>▪ Objective of Audit ... 110.01; 326.09–14; 326.22; 326.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Design of Audit ... 801.06; 801.08</td>
<td>▪ Observation of Inventories ... 310.04; 331.01–02; 331.09–12; 331.14; 9508.01–06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Design of Substantive Tests ... 313.08</td>
<td>▪ Oil and Gas Reserve Information ... 9558.01–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Determination of Intent ... 316.05; 316.11</td>
<td>▪ Omitted Auditing Procedures ... 390.01–08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Direct v. Indirect Knowledge ... 326.21</td>
<td>▪ Other Information in Electronic Sites Containing Audited Financial Statements ... 9550.16–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Distribution by Client of Restricted Use Report ... 532.18</td>
<td>▪ Planning of Audit Work ... 311.01; 312.01; 312.12–33; 801.11; 9311.01–34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Due Professional Care ... 230.01–13</td>
<td>▪ Predecessor—See Predecessor Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Estimation of Future Events ... 312.36</td>
<td>▪ Preparation of Statements ... 110.03; 431.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Evaluating Audit Test Results ... 316.68–78</td>
<td>▪ Principal Auditor ... 543.01–17; 9543.18–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Evaluating Results of Compliance Audit Procedures on Major Federal Financial Assistance Programs ... 801.17–20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AUD**
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AU Topical Index

AUDITOR, INDEPENDENT—continued

- Professional Skepticism ........... 342.04; 342.07–14
- Proficiency—See Proficiency of Auditor
- Public Warehouses, Procedures ... 901.01; 901.04; 901.24; 901.28
- Publicly-Traded Companies ... 9304.01–.07
- Qualifications .................. 110.04–05; 337.06
- Quality Control Standards ....... 161.01–03
- Reasonable Investigation ....... 634.02; 634.12; 711.03
- Registration Statements ......... 711.01–13; 9711.01–11
- Related Parties ............. 334.01; 334.04; 334.09–10; 9334.12–23
- Report—See Auditors' Reports
- Reporting on Internal Control ... 325.01–21; 9325.01–06
- Resignation and Subsequent Discovery of Facts ........ 9561.01–02
- Responses to Assessment of the Risk of Material Misstatement ......... 316.54
- Responses to Assessment of the Risk of Material Misstatement Arising From Misappropriation of Assets ......... 316.55–56
- Responses to Assessment of the Risk of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud ........ 316.52–53; 9550.16–18
- Responsibilities and Functions ..... 110.01–05; 110.10; 230.01–13; 310.06; 311.02; 311.10; 312.05; 312.08; 316.01–86; 317.05; 322.02; 322.19–22; 324.22–62; 336.03; 336.06–17; 341.02–04; 342.04; 380.06; 532.04; 532.15–16; 532.18; 551.04–11; 558.04–05; 801.01–24; 9336.01–21; 9339.01–15; 9341.01–02; 9380.01–03; 9550.07–18
- Responsibilities of Assistant for Audit Disagreements ........... 9311.35–37
- Responsibility to Profession ........ 110.10
- Responsibility With Respect to Evaluating Audit Adjustments ........ 312.34–41
- Risk Assessment—Internal Audit Function ........... 322.14–16
- Risk Assessment—Response to Results of Assessment of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud ........ 316.46–67
- Role of Auditor ............ 110.04; 322.02
- SEC Filings ........... 711.01–13; 9711.01–17
- Segment Information ........... 9326.28–41
- Selected Financial Data ....... 552.01–02; 552.05; 552.09–12
- Service Organizations ........... 324.01–63; 9324.01–40
- Special Reports—See Special Reports
- Special-Purpose Financial Presentations ........... 623.22–30; 9623.82–86
- Successor—See Successor Auditor
- Supervision of Audit Work ........... 311.01
- Supplementary Information ........... 551.01–23; 958.01–11; 9558.01–05
- Training—See Training and Education
- Understanding Financial Statement Effects of Laws on Governmental Entities ........ 801.07
- Understanding Internal Audit Function ........... 322.04–08
- Understanding Internal Control ........... 319.25–61; 322.13
- Understanding Transactions ........... 311.06;
- Understanding With Client ........... 532.18; 9339.04; 9339.12–13
- Use of Legal Interpretations to Support That Transfer of Assets Has Met Isolation Criteria in FASB Statement No. 140 ........... 9336.01–21
- Use of Work of Specialists ........... 311.10;
- Withdrawal From Audit Engagement ........... 316.49; 316.78;
- Weaknesses in Internal Control ........... 9325.01–06
- Working Papers—See Working Papers AUDITOR, INDEPENDENT—continued
- Review of Form 10-K ........... 9634.01–09
- Review of Intern Report—See Audit Information
- Risk Assessment—Internal Audit Function ........... 322.14–16
- Risk Assessment—Response to Results of Assessment of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud ........ 316.46–67
- Role of Auditor ............ 110.04; 322.02
- SEC Filings ........... 711.01–13; 9711.01–17
- Segment Information ........... 9326.28–41
- Selected Financial Data ....... 552.01–02; 552.05; 552.09–12
- Service Organizations ........... 324.01–63; 9324.01–40
- Special Reports—See Special Reports
- Special-Purpose Financial Presentations ........... 623.22–30; 9623.82–86
- Successor—See Successor Auditor
- Supervision of Audit Work ........... 311.01
- Supplementary Information ........... 551.01–23; 958.01–11; 9558.01–05
- Training—See Training and Education
- Understanding Financial Statement Effects of Laws on Governmental Entities ........ 801.07
- Understanding Internal Audit Function ........... 322.04–08
- Understanding Internal Control ........... 319.25–61; 322.13
- Understanding Transactions ........... 311.06;
- Understanding With Client ........... 532.18; 9339.04; 9339.12–13
- Use of Legal Interpretations to Support That Transfer of Assets Has Met Isolation Criteria in FASB Statement No. 140 ........... 9336.01–21
- Use of Work of Specialists ........... 311.10;
- Withdrawal From Audit Engagement ........... 316.49; 316.78;
- Weaknesses in Internal Control ........... 9325.01–06
- Working Papers—See Working Papers AUDITOR, INDEPENDENT—continued
- Auditor's Understanding of Function ........... 322.04–08
- Competence ........... 322.09; 322.11
- Directly Assisting Auditor ........... 322.27
- Effect on the Audit ........... 322.12–27
- Functions ........... 322.03
- Internal Control ........... 322.13
- Objectivity ........... 322.03; 322.10–11

AICPA Professional Standards

AUD
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AU Topical Index</th>
<th>References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUDITORS', REPORTS—continued</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Planning of Audit Work</td>
<td>311.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Relationship to Independent Auditors</td>
<td>311.04; 322.01–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Relevance of Function to Audit of Entity's Financial Statements</td>
<td>322.06–08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Risk Assessment</td>
<td>322.14–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Substantive Procedures</td>
<td>322.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUDITORS', OPINIONS—See Opinions, Auditors'</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Accounting Changes</td>
<td>9410.13–18; 9420.52–54; 9420.69–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Address of Report</td>
<td>508.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Adverse Opinion</td>
<td>508.10; 508.58–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Applicability of Guidance</td>
<td>508.01–03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Audit Risk and Materiality</td>
<td>312.01–02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Audited Financial Statements</td>
<td>508.01–76; 508.15–64; 9508.56–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Auditor-Submitted Documents</td>
<td>551.01–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Auditor's Consideration of Fraud</td>
<td>316.01–86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Availability to Public</td>
<td>9623.47–53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Basis of Accounting Other Than GAAP</td>
<td>410.02; 623.02–10; 9508.33–37; 9623.47–53; 9623.90–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Change in Pension Plan</td>
<td>9420.64–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Clarification of Extent of Testing of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</td>
<td>9508.85–88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Classification and Reclassification</td>
<td>420.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Client Distribution of Restricted-Use</td>
<td>532.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Communication With Audit Committee</td>
<td>316.79–82; 380.03–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Communication With Management</td>
<td>316.79–82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Communication With Third Parties About Fraud</td>
<td>316.79–82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Comparability of Financial Statements</td>
<td>420.02; 420.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Comparative Financial Statements</td>
<td>504.14–17; 508.08; 508.65–74; 711.11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Compilation—See Compilation of Financial Statements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Compliance Reports</td>
<td>9623.40–46; 9634.03–09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Compliance With U.S. and International Standards</td>
<td>9508.56–59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Condensed Financial Statements</td>
<td>552.01–08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consideration of Fraud</td>
<td>316.01–86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consistency</td>
<td>420.01–24; 508.03; 508.16–18; 623.31; 9420.20; 9420.69–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consolidating Information</td>
<td>551.17–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Controls—Service Organizations</td>
<td>332.14; 332.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Current-Value Financial Statements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supplementing Historical Cost Financial Statements</td>
<td>9623.55–59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AUDITORS' REPORTS—continued**

- Date of Issuance of Related Financial Statements | 530.03–05                                           |
- Date of Report                                  | 508.65; 508.01–08; 711.05–06; 711.12                |
- Degree of Responsibility Stated                 | 550.07                                              |
- Departure—See Departure From Standard Report     |                                                     |
- Departure From GAAP                             | 431.03; 508.14–15; 508.35–60; 711.13; 722.21; 722.41–43; 9508.76–84 |
- Differing Opinions                              | 508.67–69                                           |
- Disclaimer of Opinion                            | 508.10                                              |
- Documentation: Consideration of Fraud           | 316.83                                              |
- Documentation Regarding Interim Financial Report | 722.51–52                                           |
- Effect of Internal Audit Function                | 322.19–22                                           |
- Effect of Specialists' Work                     | 336.13–14                                           |
- Emphasis of a Matter                            | 508.19                                              |
- Evaluating Evidence of Fraud                    | 316.68–78                                           |
- Expanding the Report Based Upon Supplementary Information | 558.09                                             |
- Explanatory Language Added                      | 508.10–19; 532.19; 623.31; 9508.88; 9508.92; 9508.10 |
- Explanatory Paragraph                           | 341.12–13; 9341.01–02                                 |
- Expression of Opinion                           | 110.01; 504.01                                       |
- Fair Presentation                               | 110.01; 411.01–08                                    |
- FIFO to LIFO Change                             | 9420.16–20                                          |
- Financial Statements for Use Outside U.S.       | 534.01–16; 9534.01–04                                |
- Foreign Country's Auditing Standards            | 9508.56–59                                          |
- Form for Qualified Opinion                      | 508.21                                              |
- Form of Accountant's Review Report              | 722.37–41                                           |
- Form of Standard Report                         | 508.07                                              |
- Fraud Risk Factors                              | 316.31–42; 316.85                                    |
- General Use                                     | 532.02                                              |
- Going Concern Assumption                        | 341.03–04; 341.12–16                                 |
- Guarantee Not Provided                          | 9341.01–02                                          |
- Illustrations—See Illustrations                 | 230.13                                              |
- Incomplete Special-Purpose Financial Presentation | 9623.82–86                                         |
- Interim Financial Information                   | 711.01; 711.03; 711.06; 711.09; 711.13; 722.37–46 |
- Internal Control—See Reports on Internal Control |                                                     |
- Introductory Paragraph                          | 508.06; 508.12                                       |
- Lack of Independence                            | 504.08–10                                           |
- Letters for Underwriters                        | 634.27–30                                           |
- Litigation, Claims, and Assessments             | 337.01–14; 9337.01–32                                |

**References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.**
References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.

AUDITORS' REPORTS—continued

- Management Representations
  - Regarding Fraud... 333.06; 333.16
- Management Responsibility for Financial Statements... 9508.51–52
- Modification of Accountant's Review Report... 722.42–45
- Negative Assurance... 504.18–19
- Omission of Schedule of Investments... 9508.76–84
- Omitted Auditing Procedures... 390.01–08
- One Financial Statement Only... 508.33–34
- Opinion Paragraph... 508.12
- Other Auditors—See Reports, Other Auditors
  - Other Information, Auditor Expressing an Opinion on... 550.07
  - Other Information in Documents... 550.01–07; 551.04; 722.18
  - Other Information in Electronic Sites Containing Audited Financial Statements... 9550.16–18
  - Other Information Provided by Service Auditor... 9324.35–40; 324.57–61
  - Planning of Audit Work... 311.03–04; 311.13
  - Prescribed Forms... 623.32–33
  - Prior Year's Statements... 504.15–17; 711.11–13
  - Qualified Opinion... 508.10; 508.20–57
- Reference to PCAOB Standards
  - in a Report of Nonissuer... 9508.89–92
  - Reference to Specialists... 336.15–16
  - Regulated Companies... 544.02–04
- Reissued—See Reissued Reports
  - Report Form of a Foreign Country... 534.07–08; 534.11–12; 534.15
  - Reputation and Standing... 543.01
  - Responding to Assessment of a Material Misstatement... 9508.17
  - Restricted Use... 532.01–22; 9623.85
  - Addition of Other Parties... 532.14–17
  - By-Product of Financial Statement Audit... 532.04; 532.07–11; 532.15
  - Circumstances Resulting in... 532.03–11
  - Combined Reports... 532.12
  - Inclusion in Same Document With General Use Report... 532.13
  - Specified Parties Accept Responsibility for Sufficiency of Procedures Performed... 532.04
  - Subject Matter or Presentations Based on Measurement or Disclosure Criteria in Contractual Agreements or Regulatory Provisions... 532.04–05; 532.16
  - Review of Form 10K... 9634.01–09
  - Review Reports—See Review Reports
  - Revision for Subsequent Discovery... 561.06–09

AUDITORS' REPORTS—continued

- Scope Limitation... 333.13–14; 508.22–34; 9326.06–23; 9337.17; 9508.01
- SEC Filings... 311.03; 504.14; 530.02; 530.06; 711.01–13; 722.03; 722.05–06; 722.49–50; 7971.03; 9711.09; 9711.12–17
- Segment Information... 9326.28–41
- Selected Financial Data... 552.01–02; 552.05; 552.09–12
- Service Organizations—See Service Organizations
  - Special Reports—See Special Reports
  - Special Purpose Financial Presentations... 623.22–30; 9623.82–86
  - Standards of Reporting... 150.02; 431.01–04; 508.03–05
  - Statutory Reporting—See Statutory Reporting Requirements
  - Subsequent Discovery of Facts... 561.01–10; 722.46; 9561.01–02
  - Subsequent Discovery of Material Misstatement... 230.13
  - Successor Auditor When Prior-Period Audited Financial Statements Were Audited by Predecessor Auditor Who Has Ceased Operations... 9508.60–74
  - Summarized Comparative Information... 508.65
  - Supplementary Information... 551.01–23; 552.05; 558.01–11; 9558.01–05
  - Third-Party Additions... 532.14–17
  - U.S. Report Form on Foreign Country's Accounting Principles... 534.07–10
  - U.S. Report Form on Foreign Country's Financial Statements... 534.14–15
  - Unaudited Financial Statements... 504.05–19; 508.02
  - Uncertainties... 337.14; 341.12–13; 508.29–32; 508.67; 9337.17
  - Unqualified Opinion... 508.10
  - Updated Reports... 508.65–66; 508.68–69

AUTHORITIES, REGULATORY—See Regulatory Agencies

AUTHORIZATION
  - Client’s Permission to Predecessor Auditor... 315.08; 315.11; 315.24–25

BALANCE SHEETS—See Statements of Financial Position

BANKERS
  - Inquiries Concerning Other Auditors... 543.10
Changes, Accounting—continued

- Correction of Error 420.12
- Cumulative Effect Adjustment 508.56
- Definition 420.04
- Departure From GAAP 508.55
- Disclosure 9420.19–20; 9420.69–72
- Effects on Subsequent Years 508.18
- Elements of Financial Statements 9623.45–46
- Estimates 420.15
- Future Change in Principle 9410.15–18
- Illustrations of Reporting 508.17; 508.52;
- Inventory Pricing Methods 9420.16–20
- Investees 9420.52–54
- Lacking Conformity With GAAP 508.50–51
- Management Justification 508.50–52;
- Materiality 420.05; 420.20
- Pension Plans 9420.64–65
- Presentation of Cash Flows 420.14
- Qualified Opinion 508.50; 508.52–57;
- Reporting Entity 420.07–10
- Reporting in Subsequent Years 508.18
- Restatement 508.56
- Subsequent Years Reporting 508.53–57
- Year of Adoption 9420.69–72

Changes, Price Level—See Price Level Changes

Claims
- Audit Procedures 333.06
- Client Has Not Consulted a Lawyer 9337.15–17
- Inquiries of Client’s Lawyers 337.08–11;
- Internal v. Outside Lawyers 9337.24–27
- Limitations on Lawyer’s Responses 337.12–14
- Management Representations 333.06;
- 333.16–17

Classification
- Adequate Disclosure 431.02
- Comparability and Consistency 420.17
- Investments 332.49; 332.57
- Management Representations 326.03–08;
- Pension Plans 9420.64–65

Clients
- Auditor-Submitted Documents 551.04;
- Authorization to Predecessor Auditor 315.08; 315.11;
- Authorization to Provide Audit Documentation to Regulator 9339.04–06;
- 9339.12–13

References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.

AICPA Professional Standards

CLI
Clients—Continued

- Use of Accountant’s Name
  504.06; 9504.15–18; 9550.14–15
- Use of Explanatory Language About Attorney-Client Privilege
  9337.28–30
- Working Papers
  310.07

Closely Held Companies

- Related Parties
  334.07

Code of Conduct—See Conduct, Code of Professional

Collateral

- Management Representations
  333.06; 333.09; 333.17

Collusion—See Fraud

Combined Financial Statements

- Supplementary Information
  551.17–20

Comfort Letters—See Letters for Underwriters

Committee

- Audit—See Audit Committee
- Directors—See Board of Directors
- Quality Control Standards
  161.01

Common Carriers—See Regulated Industries

Communication—Continued

- Illegal Acts
  317.17; 722.32
- Interim Financial Information
  722.08; 722.29–36
- Lawyer-Client
  337.13; 9337.10–27
- Management Consultation With Other Accountants
  380.14
- Management Judgments Regarding Accounting Estimates
  380.08
- Material Weaknesses in Internal Control
  9325.01–06
- Nonaudit Personnel
  9311.01–03
- Objectives to EDP Specialists
  311.10
- Other Information in Documents
  380.12
- Position Papers
  625.06
- Principal and Other Auditor
  543.10; 543.17; 9334.12–15; 9343.01–06
- Principal and Reporting Accountant
  625.09
- Related Party Disclosure
  —Terminology
  9334.22–23
- Reportable Conditions
  325.02–03; 325.06;
  325.09–12; 722.33
- Reporting on Internal Control
  325.01–21;
  9325.01–06
- Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
  9550.07–15
- Responsibilities—See Representation Letters
- Responsibilities of Assistant for Audit
- Disagreements
  9311.35–37
- Service Organizations
  324.23
- Timing Considerations
  315.04
- To Audit Committee About Fraud
  316.79–82
- To Management About Fraud
  316.79–82
- To Third Parties About Fraud
  316.79–82
- Use of Accountant’s Name
  504.03; 504.06; 9504.15–18
- Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
  9550.11; 9550.15

Comparability

- Analytical Procedures
  722.15–16; 722.54
- Changes, Accounting
  420.02; 420.04;
  508.16–18; 508.54–55;
  9420.16; 9420.52–54;
  9420.69–72
- Classification and Reclassifications
  420.04; 420.17
- Condensed Financial Statements
  552.05; 552.08
- Emphasis in Auditor’s Report
  508.19
- Explanatory Language Added to Auditor’s Standard Report
  508.16–18
- Factors Affecting
  420.04–05
- Interim v. Annual Data
  313.08; 9420.14
- Pooling of Interests
  420.07–09
- Reissuance of Financial Statements
  560.08
- Relation to Consistency
  420.03; 552.05; 9420.20; 9420.52–54
COMPARATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
- Auditors’ Reports ............... 508.65–.74
- Changes, Accounting ........ 508.54; 508.57;
- Condensed Financial Statements .... 552.08
- Consistency .................. 420.08–.09; 9420.20
- Consistency Standard .......... 9420.69–.72
- Going Concern Assumption .... 341.15–.16
- Illustration of Auditor’s Report .. 508.08
- Interim Financial Information .. 722.15–.16
- Liquidation Basis of Accounting .. 9508.35
- Predecessor Auditor’s Report ... 508.70–.74;
- Report Requirements .......... 9420.20;
- Reports With Differing ...... 9420.69–.72
- SEC Filings .................. 504.14; 711.11–.12
- Successor Auditor’s Reports ... 9508.60–.74
- Unaudited Financial Statements . 504.14–.17

COMPENSATING BALANCES
- Management Representations .... 333.17
- Related Party Transactions ..... 334.08

COMPETENCE
- Assistants to Auditor .......... 311.10–.11
- Auditor, Independent ........ 110.04–.05; 150.02;
- Evidence Matter ............... 321.12; 326.01–.02;
- Internal Auditors ............ 322.09; 322.11
- Legal Matters ................ 337.06
- Other Auditors ............. 543.05; 543.10–.11
- Specialists .................. 336.08; 9326.06–.23

COMPILATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
- Change From Audit Engagement . 508.61
- Departures From Established ..
  Principles .................... 552.02
- Omission of Disclosures ..... 552.02
- Subsequent Period Audited .... 504.17

COMPLETENESS
- Assertions by Management .... 319.62;
  326.03–.05;
  326.09–.11; 9326.24–.27
- Consideration by Auditor ...... 330.13–.14;
  332.01;
  332.22–.24; 9326.24–.27
- Evidence Matter ............... 330.13–.14; 332.01;
  9326.24–.27
- Management’s Disclosures .... 9326.28–.41
- Substantive Tests .......... 313.05; 9326.24–.27

COMPLIANCE AUDITING—continued
- Common Elements in Audit of Recipient of Federal Financial Assistance .. 801.10
- Communications Regarding Applicable Audit Requirements .......... 801.21–.23
- Compliance Requirements Applicable to Federal Financial Assistance Programs ... 801.12–.16
- Compliance Supplements Requirements ........... 801.13
- Design ..................... 801.06; 801.08
- Effects of Laws on Financial Statements of Governmental Entities ... 801.03–.07
- Evaluating Results of Compliance Audit Procedures on Major Federal Financial Assistance Programs .. 801.17–.20
- Federal Audit Requirements .. 801.10–.20
- Forms of Federal Financial Assistance ........................................ 801.04
- Government Auditing Standards Requirements ........................... 801.08–.09
- Internal Control Considerations . 801.10–.11
- Management Representations .................................................. 801.07;
- Management Responsibilities .......... 801.05;
- Noncompliance .......... 801.17; 801.19–.20
- Office of Management and Budget Standards and Requirements .. 801.07;
- 801.13–.15;
- 801.22
- Organization-Wide v. Program-Specific ................................. 801.11; 801.14
- Planning ......................... 801.11
- Procedures for Assessing Management Identification of Laws .... 801.07
- Questioned Costs ............. 801.17–.19
- Terminology .................. 801.01; 801.04;
  801.08–.09; 801.12–.13
- Tests of Compliance .......... 801.13–.14; 801.18
- Types of Compliance Requirements ............................................. 801.12–.15
- Use of Audit Sampling ........ 801.18
- Workpaper Documentation .... 801.23

COMPLIANCE REPORTS—See Special Reports

COMPLIANCE TESTS
- Compliance Auditing Applicable to Governmental Entities—See Compliance Auditing
- Reports—See Reports on Internal Control

COMPONENTS OF A BUSINESS
- Communication Between Auditors ........... 543.10; 9543.01–.17
- Consolidating Information .......... 551.17–.20
- Emphasis in Auditor’s Report ..... 508.19
- Management ........................ 543.13
- Related Party Transactions .... 334.07–.08;
  9334.14–.15
- Uniformity ...................... 543.10
- Work of Other Auditors .......... 543.01–.02;
  543.06; 543.10
2314

AU Topical Index
References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.

COMPREHENSIVE BASIS OF ACCOUNTING
. Adequacy and Appropriateness of
Disclosure . . . . 623.09–.10; 9623.60–.81;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9623.90–.95
. Audit Risk and Materiality . . . . . . . . . . 312.03
. Basic Financial Statements . . . . . . . . 551.02
. Definition . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 623.04
. Financial Statements Not Meeting
Criteria . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 623.06
. Form of Auditor’s Report . . . . . . . . . . 623.05
. Illustrative Reports . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 623.08
. Omitted Auditing Procedures . . . . . . . 390.01
. Regulatory Agencies . . . . . . . . . . . . . 411.08
. Relation to Evidential Matter. . . . . . . . 326.25
. Reports on Application of
Principles . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 625.01–.11
. Restricted-Use Reports . . . . . . . . . . 532.02;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 532.04–.05;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 623.05
. Special Reports . . . . . . 410.02; 623.02–.10;
. . . . . . . . . . . 9623.60–.81; 9623.90–.95
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION
. Identification of Auditor . . . . . . 9504.15–.18
. Letters for Underwriters—See
Letters for Underwriters
. Parent Company . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.05
CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
. Adverse Opinion. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.07
. Auditor’s Report . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.01–.08
. Comparability—See Comparability
. Comparative—See Comparative Financial
Statements
. Consolidated—See Consolidated Financial
Statements
. Date of Auditor’s Report. . . . . . . . . . . 552.05
. Disclosure . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.03–.04
. Filing With a Regulatory
Agency . . . . . . . . . . . 552.07–.08; 9711.07
. Financial Position . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.03–.04
. Generally Accepted Accounting
Principles . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.03–.04
. Illustrations . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.06–.08
. Interim Periods . . . . . . . . . . 552.01; 552.08
. Letters for Underwriters—See
Letters for Underwriters
. Qualified Opinion . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.05
. Reference to Another Auditor . . . . . . . 552.05
. Results of Operations. . . . . . . . . 552.03–.04
. Review Report . . . . . . . . . . 552.08; 9711.09
. SEC—See Securities and Exchange
Commission
. Segments of a Business—See Segment
Information
. Shelf Registration Statements . . . . . 9711.09
. Unqualified Opinion . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.06
. Use of Auditor’s Name . . . . . . . . . . . . 552.07

COM

CONDUCT, CODE OF PROFESSIONAL
. Departures From GAAP. . . . . . . . 508.14–.15
. Independence . . . . . . . 220.04; 9504.19–.22
. Rules of Conduct—See Rules of Conduct
CONFIDENTIAL CLIENT INFORMATION
. Audit Documentation . . . . . . . . . 339.10–.13;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9339.03; 9339.05–.06;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9339.10; 9339.13
. Disclosure of Information . . 315.06; 315.08;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 315.25; 431.04
. Explanatory Language in Audit Inquiry
Letter . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9337.28–.30
. Fraud . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 316.82
. Illegal Acts by Client . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 317.23
. Lawyer-Client
Communications . . . 337.13; 9337.08–.09
. Subsequent Discovery of Facts. . . . . . 561.02
CONFIRMATIONS
. Alternative Procedures . . . . . . . . 330.31–.32
. Assertions . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.11–.14
. Compensating Balance
Arrangements . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 334.08
. Completeness . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.13–.14
. Definition . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.04
. Design . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.16–.27
. Evaluation of Results . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.33
. Evidential Matter. . . . . . . . . 150.02; 326.17;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.06–.14; 330.29;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.33; 332.16
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 350.04
. Guarantees Shown on Bank
Confirmations . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 337.07
. Inventories . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 331.09–.13
. Investments . . . . . . . . . . . . 332.16; 332.21;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 332.27
. Maintaining Control Requests and
Responses . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.28–.30
. Nature of Information . . . . . . . . . 330.24–.25
. Negative. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.20–.21
. Positive . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.17–.19
. Prior Experience . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.23
. Procedures . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.04
. Process . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.01–.36
. Professional Skepticism . . . . 330.15; 330.27
. Public Warehouses . . . . . . . 331.14; 901.03;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 901.19–.20; 901.22
. Receivables . . . . . . . . 330.34–.35; 9508.01
. Related Party Transactions . . . . . 334.08–.10
. Relation to Risk
Assessment . . . . . . . 330.05; 330.07–.10;
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.20–.22
. Reliability . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.16–.27
. Respondent . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 330.26–.27
. Scope Limitation . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 508.24
CONFLICT OF INTERESTS
. Management Statements . . . . . . . . . . 334.08
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# AU Topical Index

References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.

## CONSISTENCY
- Accounting Changes—See Changes, Accounting
  - Accounting Records .................. 326.19
  - Application of GAAP .................. 508.67; 508.69
  - Auditor’s Report With Differing
    Opinion ................................ 508.67; 508.69
  - Change of Auditors ................... 315.12–13
  - Condensed Financial Statements .... 552.05
  - Departure From Standard Report ... 9623.52
  - Disclosure ......................... 420.14–19; 508.17–18;
    ........................................ 9420.69–72
  - Elements of Financial Statements .... 9623.45–46
  - Error Corrections .................... 420.16
  - Explanatory Paragraph ............... 508.52
  - FIFO to LIFO Change ................. 9420.20
  - First Year Audits .................... 420.24–25
  - Form 990 (Internal Revenue) ....... 9623.52
  - Illustrative Auditor’s Report ...... 508.17
  - Inconsistency ....................... 508.16–48
  - Interim Financial Information ...... 722.17; 722.41
  - Interim v. Annual Data .............. 9420.11–15
  - Objective ............................ 420.02; 9420.53;
    ........................................ 9420.69–72
  - Other Information in Documents .. 550.04–07
  - Other Information in Electronic Sites
    Containing Audited Financial
    Statements ............................. 9550.16–18
  - Periods to Which Standard
    Relates .................................. 420.22; 9420.20
  - Pooling of Interests ................. 420.08–09
  - Qualified Opinion ................... 508.52
  - Relation to Comparability ........... 420.03; 552.05
  - Responsibilities of Auditor ......... 110.01
  - Review of Form 10K ................. 9634.04
  - Standard of Reporting,............. 150.02; 420.01–24;
    ........................................ 508.03; 9420.69–72
  - Supplementary Information .......... 558.07

## CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
- Auditor’s Opinion ..................... 551.20
- Basis of Accounting ................... 534.02
- Communication Between Auditors ...... 9543.01–17
- Consolidating Information .......... 551.17–20
- Financial Statements Prepared for
  Use Outside U.S. ...................... 534.02
  - Illustrations ........................ 552.07; 552.10
  - Letters for Underwriters ............ 634.18, 634.53
  - Management Representations ....... 333.16
    - ....................................... 333.18
  - Planning of Audit Work ............. 311.03
  - Related to Condensed Financial
    Statements ............................. 552.05–07
  - Selected Financial Data ............. 552.10
  - Supplementary Schedules .......... 552.05

## CONSOLIDATING INFORMATION
- Auditor’s Opinion ..................... 551.17–20

## CONSULTATION
- Planning of Audit Work .............. 311.04

## CONTINGENCIES
- Definition ............................ 337B
- Fines for Illegal Acts ................. 9317.06
- Gain—See Gain Contingencies
  - Income Taxes ....................... 9326.06–23
  - Litigation, Claims, and Assessments 337.03; 337B;
    ........................................ 9337.07; 9337.11;
    ........................................ 9337.19
  - Loss—See Loss Contingencies
  - Management Representations . 333.06–08;
    ........................................ 333.16–17;
    ........................................ 9333.01–04;
    ........................................ 9337.16
  - Review by Successor Auditor ...... 315.11
  - Standards of Financial Accounting . 337.03;
    ........................................ 337B;
    ........................................ 9337.07

## CONTINGENT LIABILITIES
- Disclosure ........................... 317.06–07; 317.14–15
- Lawyers’ Letters ..................... 337.08–11; 560.12;
  - ........................................ 9337.01–09
  - Subsequent Events ................... 561.03

## CONTINUING AUDITOR
- Application of Accounting Principles . 625.05
- Definitions ........................... 508.65

## CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL
  EDUCATION—See Training and Education

## CONTRACTS
- Compliance Reports .................. 623.19–21
- Estimates ............................. 311.06
- Evidential Matter .................... 326.17
- Illustrative Auditor’s Report ....... 623.26
- Litigation, Claims, and Assessments 337.07
- Matters Requiring Specialists ...... 336.02
  - ........................................ 336.07
  - Representation Letters .......... 333.06–07;
    ........................................ 333.10
  - ........................................ 336.02
  - ........................................ 336.07
- Special-Purpose
  Financial Presentations .......... 623.22–30;
  - ........................................ 9623.82–86
- Underwriting Agreement ............ 634.14–17;
  - ........................................ 634.19;
  - ........................................ 634.23;
  - ........................................ 634.35;
  - ........................................ 634.51

## CONTROL
- Audit Risk ........................... 312.27–33; 313.03–07
- Internal—See Internal Control
  - Management .......................... 110.03
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL—continued</td>
<td>334.01; 334.04; 334.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL RISK</td>
<td>312.27–33; 319.64; 319.70; 319.80–83; 319.85; 319.90–104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Assessment by Auditor</td>
<td>312.30–31; 319.02–05; 319.27; 319.40; 319.62–89; 319.104; 322.14–16; 324.11–16; 326.14; 350.12–14; 350.33; 350.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Below the Maximum Level</td>
<td>319.70–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Conclusion</td>
<td>319.80–82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Documentation</td>
<td>319.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Further Reduction in the Assessed Level</td>
<td>319.87–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Specific Controls Relevant to Specific Assertions</td>
<td>319.71–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tests of Controls</td>
<td>319.70; 319.75–79; 326.14; 350.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Completeness Assertion</td>
<td>350.26.24–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Considerations in Assessing</td>
<td>319.45–57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Correlation With Detection Risk</td>
<td>319.79–82; 326.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Definition</td>
<td>319.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Detection Risk—Correlation With Control Risk</td>
<td>319.105–108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Documentation of Assessed Level</td>
<td>319.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Dual-Purpose Samples</td>
<td>350.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Entity's Process for Determining Fair Value</td>
<td>328.09–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Evidential Matter</td>
<td>312.30; 319.80; 319.86–104; 324.12; 326.11–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Interrelationship</td>
<td>319.101–104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Source</td>
<td>319.94–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Timeliness</td>
<td>319.96–99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Type</td>
<td>319.92–93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Factor in Planning Audit</td>
<td>311.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Financial Statement Assertions</td>
<td>319.64; 319.81; 319.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Internal Audit Considerations</td>
<td>322.14–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Internal Control and Control Risk</td>
<td>319.84–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Maximum Level</td>
<td>319.64; 319.70; 319.83; 319.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reducing Control Risk</td>
<td>319.73; 319.87–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Service Organizations</td>
<td>324.11–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tests of Controls</td>
<td>319.70; 319.75–79; 326.14; 350.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CORRECTION OF ERROR**

- Application of Principle | 420.12 |
- Change to GAAP From Other Basis | 420.12 |
- Management Responsibilities | 324.45 |
- Mathematical Mistakes | 420.16 |

**COST**

- Allocation—See Allocation of Cost |
- Assertions About an Investment | 332.08 |
- Audit Sampling | 350.07; 350.46 |
- Entity’s Experience With an Investment | 332.08 |
- Historical—See Historical Cost |
- Interim Financial Information | 722.08 |
- Research and Development—See Research and Development Costs |
- Valuation of Investments | 332.26–27; 332.35; 332.47 |

**COST-BENEFIT RELATIONSHIPS**

- Audit Sampling | 350.07; 350.46 |
- Evidential Matter | 326.23–24 |
- Internal Control | 319.23 |
- Quality Control Policies and Procedures | 161.02 |
- Substantive Tests at Interim Dates | 313.04 |
- Weaknesses in Accounting Control | 9317.03–06 |
- Weaknesses in Internal Control | 9317.03–06 |

**COST METHOD**

- Reports, Other Auditors | 543.14 |

**CREDITORS**

- Inquiries Concerning Other Auditors | 543.10 |

**CURRENT LIABILITIES**

- Refinancing Short-Term Obligations | 333.17 |

**CURRENT-VALUE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

- Disclosure | 9623.59 |
- Supplement to Historical-Cost Financial Statements | 9623.55–59 |

**CUTOFF DATES**

- Audit Sampling | 9350.02 |
- Examination of Data | 313.07; 560.11 |
- Letters for Underwriters | 634.23–24 |
- Substantive Tests at Interim Dates | 313.07; 313.09–10 |

**D**

**DATA**

- Audit Risk and Materiality | 312.36 |
- Audit Sampling | 350.07 |
- Auditor’s Opinion on Supplementary Data | 544.02; 551.10 |
- Availability | 311.09 |
- Cutoffs | 313.07; 560.11 |
- Fair Value—Underlying Data | 328.26–39 |
- Interim Financial Information | 313.01–10; 722.36–41 |
- Letters for Underwriters | 504.18 |
- Pro Forma Financial Data | 560.05 |
- Relation to Basic Financial Statements | 551.03 |
DIS
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DISCLOSURE—continued

- Management Representations—See Representation Letters
- Market Risk........................492.11–13; 508.27–28; 9623.60–66
- Material Concentrations ........ 333.06
- Notes to Financial Statements .... 508.21; 9623.61–66; 9623.90–95
- Oil and Gas Reserves .......... 9558.01–05
- Omission of Schedule of Investments .... 9508.76–84
- Omission of Statements ........ 508.43–44
- Omitted Auditing Procedures ....... 390.02
- Other Auditors—See Reports, Other Auditors' Documents ........ 550.01–07
- Other Information in Electronic Sites Containing Audited Financial Statements .......... 9550.16–18
- Other Information Provided by Service Organizations ........ 324.57–61; 9324.35–40
- Pension Plans ........ 9420.64–65
- Pooling of Interests .......... 420.08–09
- Privileged Communication .... 315.06; 315.08; 315.12; 431.04
- Pro Forma Financial Data ........ 560.05
- Qualified Opinion Illustrations .......... 508.39–40;
- Qualified Opinions ........ 508.42
- Reissuance of Auditors' Reports ........ 520.06–08
- Related Parties ........ 334.01; 334.04; 334.11–12; 9334.17; 9334.22–23
- Revision of Auditors' Reports .... 561.06–09
- Risk of Projecting Effectiveness of Controls to Future Periods .......... 9324.38–40
- Segment Information ........ 9326.28–41
- Service Organizations .......... 324.57–61; 9324.35–40
- Service Organizations That Use the Services of Subservice Organizations .......... 9324.10–13
- Side Agreements ........ 230.12
- Significant Estimates .......... 333.06
- Standard of Reporting .......... 150.02; 431.01
- Statutory Basis—Insurance Enterprises ........ 9623.60–81
- Subsequent Discovery of Facts .......... 561.06–09; 711.12–13; 722.46
- Subsequent Discovery Prior to Issuance of Financial Statements .......... 561.01
- Subsequent Events—See Subsequent Events
- Successor Auditor When Prior-Period Audited Financial Statements Were Audited by Predecessor Auditor Who Has Ceased Operations .......... 9508.60–74
- Supplementary Information .......... 551.22; 9558.01–05

DISCLOSURE—continued

- Titles of Financial Statements .......... 623.07
- Uncertainties ........ 341.12; 508.45–46
- Updated Auditor's Report .......... 508.69
- Use of Findings of Specialists .......... 336.15–16
- Violations of Laws and Regulations .......... 333.06; 333.16
- Written Representations From Management .......... 722.24

DISCOVERY

- Communication Between Auditors .......... 9543.15–17
- Comparison With Subsequent Events .......... 561.03
- Date of Existence of Facts .......... 561.04–05
- Disclosure of Subsequent Discovery .......... 561.06–09; 711.12–13
- Financial Statements of Predecessor .......... 315.21
- Illegal Acts—See Illegal Acts
- Lawyer's Advice ........ 315.22; 561.02; 9508.76–84;
- Review of Interim Information .......... 711.13
- Subsequent Discovery of Facts .......... 530.02; 561.01–10;
- Updated Auditor's Report .......... 634.62; 722.46;
- Written Representations From Management .......... 9508.60–74;
- Written Representations From Management .......... 9561.01–02
- Subsequent Discovery of Material Misstatement .......... 230.13

DIVISION FOR CPA FIRMS (AICPA)

- Inquiries Concerning Firms .......... 543.10
- Peer Review Reports .......... 543.10

DOCUMENTATION—See Audit Documentation

DUE PROFESSIONAL CARE

- Definition .......... 230.05
- Fraud ........ 230.10; 230.12–13;
- General Standard ........ 150.02; 230.01–13
- Judgment ........ 230.11
- Knowledge .......... 230.06–07
- Professional Skepticism .......... 230.07–09
- Reasonable Assurance .......... 230.10–13
- Responsibilities of Assistant for Audit Disagreements .......... 9311.35–37
- Subsequent Discovery of Facts .......... 230.13

EARNED SURPLUS—See Retained Earnings

EARNINGS

- Forecast .......... 334.06

ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

- Relation to Audit Objectives .......... 326.09

ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

- Inventory Valuation Methods .......... 9420.16
- Planning of Audit Work .......... 311.07
- Timing of Substantive Tests .......... 313.06

AICPA Professional Standards
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDP—See Data Processing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP SERVICE CENTER—See Service Organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION AND TRAINING—See Training and Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFECTIVENESS</td>
<td>350.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Audit Sampling</td>
<td>350.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Auditing Procedures</td>
<td>350.13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Control Environment</td>
<td>319.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Financial Statements, Unaudited</td>
<td>504.05–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Internal Control</td>
<td>312.27; 312.30; 319.03–04; 319.06; 319.12; 319.24; 319.27; 319.44; 319.64–66; 319.68; 319.70; 319.73; 319.75–77; 319.79; 319.83; 319.85–86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Evidential Matter</td>
<td>319.92–96; 319.98; 319.100; 319.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Information Technology</td>
<td>319.18; 319.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</td>
<td>9550.07–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Inventory Counting Procedures</td>
<td>9508.05–06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Substantive Tests</td>
<td>313.05–06; 326.11; 350.13; 9326.01–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFICIENCY</td>
<td>350.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Audit Sample</td>
<td>350.05; 350.13; 350.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- EDP Applications</td>
<td>311.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Substantive Tests</td>
<td>326.11; 9326.01–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRONIC SITE—See Information Technology (IT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELEMENTS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</td>
<td>310.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Adequacy of Disclosure in Statements Prepared on Cash, Modified Cash, or Income Tax Basis of Accounting</td>
<td>9623.90–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Applicability of Auditing Standards</td>
<td>552.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Based Upon Net Income or Stockholders' Equity</td>
<td>623.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Departure From Standard Report</td>
<td>623.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures—See Agreed-Upon Procedures Examples</td>
<td>623.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Form of Auditor's Report</td>
<td>623.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Illustrations</td>
<td>623.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Loss Reserves (Insurance)</td>
<td>9623.40–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Materiality</td>
<td>623.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Piecemeal Opinions</td>
<td>623.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Restricted-Use Reports</td>
<td>532.04; 532.07–11; 532.15; 623.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Special Reports</td>
<td>623.11–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPHASIS OF A MATTER</td>
<td>9410.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Accounting Change</td>
<td>9410.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Explanatory Language</td>
<td>508.19; 623.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Financial Statements</td>
<td>508.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unqualified Opinion</td>
<td>508.19; 9342.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYEES</td>
<td>317.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Communication of Audit Disagreements</td>
<td>9311.35–37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Definition</td>
<td>311.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fraud</td>
<td>316.07–11; 316.20; 316.35; 316.72; 316.76; 316.79; 316.86; 333.06; 333.08; 333.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Illegal Acts</td>
<td>317.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Internal Auditors</td>
<td>322.01–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Legal Counsel</td>
<td>337.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nonaudit Services</td>
<td>9311.01–03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pensions—See Pension Plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Planning of Audit Work</td>
<td>311.04; 9311.01–03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Source of Audit Information</td>
<td>311.04; 311.08; 324.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supervision of Audit Information</td>
<td>311.01–02; 311.11–14; 9311.35–37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supplementary Information</td>
<td>951.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGAGEMENT</td>
<td>625.01–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Attestation—See Attest Engagements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Audit—See Audit Engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reports on Application of Accounting Principles</td>
<td>625.01–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reports on Condensed Financial Statements</td>
<td>552.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reports on Selected Financial Data</td>
<td>552.09–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Service Organizations—See Service Organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGAGEMENT LETTERS</td>
<td>722.08–09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Elements of Financial Statements</td>
<td>310.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Matters Communicated in</td>
<td>310.05–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance</td>
<td>310.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Review of Interim Information</td>
<td>310.05; 722.08–09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGINEERS</td>
<td>722.08–09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SEC Filings</td>
<td>711.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Use of Work by Auditors</td>
<td>336.02; 336.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS</td>
<td>336.02; 336.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Use of Work by Auditors</td>
<td>336.02; 336.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUITY METHOD</td>
<td>336.02; 336.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Investments, Auditing</td>
<td>332.04; 332.28–29; 332.34; 332.56–57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Related Parties</td>
<td>334.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reports, Other Auditors</td>
<td>543.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unaudited Information</td>
<td>508.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR CORRECTION—See Correction of Error</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERRORS—See Fraud</td>
<td>342.08–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTIMATION</td>
<td>342.08–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Analytical Procedures</td>
<td>342.08–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Audit Risk</td>
<td>312.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Auditor's Professional Skepticism</td>
<td>342.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EVIDENTIAL MATTER—continued
- Judgment . 350.06
- Legal v. Audit Evidence . 326.02
- Litigation, Claims, and Assessments . 337.04; 337.08; 337.11–37.11; 9337.08–99;
- Material Misstatements . 312.19–20; 312.33; 312.36–38; 326.11;
- Materiality . 312.12; 312.19–20; 326.11; 326.16–20; 9326.01–23
- Nature . 326.15–20
- Omitted Auditing Procedures . 390.03–07
- Planning . 312.12–20; 312.33
- Professional Skepticism . 230.07–09
- Qualified Opinion . 326.25; 508.20;
- Reasonable Assurance . 326.06–23
- Receivables . 326.16–20
- Related Party Transactions . 334.09–11
- Record . 326.15–22
- Report, Other Auditors . 543.14;
- Source . 9543.18–20
- Substantive Tests . 336.13–14; 326.22–24; 350.46; 9336.09–17
- Tests of Controls . 326.16–17; 324.27
- Time Line . 319.94–95
- Standards of Field Work . 150.02; 326.01;
- Statements From Management . 326.03–08;
- Restricted Use . 333.02–04; 326.06–27
- Scope Limitation . 508.22–29; 508.39–32;
- Segment Information . 326.28–41
- Service Organizations . 324.10; 342.12;
- Source . 324.26; 350.16–30
- Sufficiency . 326.26; 350.16–30
- Tests of Controls . 319.04; 319.75–76;
- Time Line . 319.96–99; 326.02;
- Transfer of Financial Assets . 9336.01–21
- Type . 319.92–93
- Uncertainties . 337.14
- Use of Legal Interpretations to Support
  That Transfer of Assets Has Met Isolation Criteria in FASB
  Statement No. 140 . 9336.01–21
- Use of Work of Specialists . 336.03;
- Work of Internal Auditors . 326.12–22
- Working Papers . 326.16–20

EXAMPLES—See Illustrations

EXCEPT FOR OPINION—See Qualified Opinion

EXPENSES
- Assertions by Management . 326.07
- Going Concern Assumption . 341.07

EXPERTS—See Specialists

EXPLANATORY LANGUAGE
- Attorney-Client Privilege . 9337.28–32
- Auditor’s Reports . 341.13; 508.11–19
- Basis of Accounting Other Than GAAP . 9508.35–37
- Change in Accounting Principle . 420.05–06
- Compliance With U.S. and International Standards . 341.13
- Conditional Language . 341.13
- Consistency . 420.08–09; 508.16–18;
- Explanatory Language . 508.19–20; 9508.36–37
- Going Concern Assumption . 341.13
- Illustrations . 508.13; 508.17;
- Opinion . 9326.06–23; 9508.36–37; 9508.59;
- Other Auditors . 9508.88; 9508.92
- Report Language . 9508.11–15
- Departures From GAAP . 9508.14–15
- Emphasis of a Matter . 508.14
- Change in Estimate . 9508.17
- Change in Presentation of Financial Reporting . 9508.19
- Change in Presentation of Financial Statements . 9508.57–59
- Change in Presentation of Financial Statement . 9508.60–74
- Change in Presentation of Financial Statements . 508.12–13;
- Change in Presentation of Financial Statements Were Audited
  by Predecessor Auditor Who Has Ceased Operations . 9508.15
- Reports, Other Auditors . 341.13
- Special Reports . 623.31; 9623.45–46
- Time Lag in Reporting . 341.13

EXTERNAL AUDITOR—See Auditor, Independent

EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS
- Assertions by Management . 326.08
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FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—continued

- Audit Risk and Materiality . . . . . . . . . . . . . 312.01–42
- Audited . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 504.04; 504.14–17; 504.01–76; 9508.56–74; 9550.16–18
- Auditor’s Consideration of Internal Audit Function . . . . . . . 322.01–29
- Auditor’s Responsibilities . . . . . . . . . . . . . 110.02–03; 504.01–04; 508.04–05; 550.04; . . 551.04–11; 558.04–05; 9550.16–18
- Audits at Interim Dates . . . . . . . . . . . . . 313.01–02
- Balance Sheets—See Statements of Financial Position
- Basic . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 508.06; 551.02; . . . . 508.56–59
- Basis of Accounting
  Other Than GAAP . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 623.02–10; . . 9623.47–53; 9623.90–95
- Cash Basis Statements—See Special Reports
- Change of Auditors . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 315.01–25
- Changes, Accounting—See Changes, Accounting
- Co-Existing Statements . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 551.22
- Combined—See Combined Financial Statements
- Comparability—See Comparability
- Comparative—See Comparative Financial Statements
- Compliance Reports . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 623.19–21
- Compliance With U.S. and International Standards . . . . . 9508.56–59
- Components . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 543.01–02; 551.17–20; . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9543.04–07
- Condensed—See Condensed Financial Statements
- Conformity With GAAP . . . . . . . . . . . . . 326.25; . . . 411.01–18; 9623.49
- Conformity With SEC Requirements . . . . . . 9634.03
- Consistency—See Consistency
- Consolidated—See Consolidated Financial Statements
- Consolidating—See Consolidating Financial Statements
- Current Value—See Current-Value Financial Statements
- Date of Auditor’s Report . . . . . . . . . . . . . 530.01–08
- Date of Issuance of Related Financial Statements . . . . . 530.03–05
- Definition . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 623.02
- Departure From GAAP . . . . . . . . . . . . . 508.14–15; . . . 508.20; 508.68–69; . . . . . 544.02–04; 9623.47–53
- Disclosure—See Disclosure
- Effect of Laws on Governmental Entity . . . . . . 801.03–07
- Effect of Misstatements . . . . . . . . . . . . . 312.04
- Effects of EDP on Audit . . . . . . . . . . . . . 311.09
- Effects of Substantial Doubt in Going Concern Assumption . . . 341.10–11
- Estimated Amounts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9312.04–09

FIN
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FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—continued

- Prepared for Use in Another Country ................. 534.01–.16;
- Prescribed Forms .................................... 623.32–.33
- Prior Period Audited by Predecessor Auditor ....... 9508.60–.74
- Prior Period Compiled .................................. 504.17
- Prior Period Reviewed .................................. 504.17
- Prior Year’s Departure From GAAP ..................... 508.68–.69
- Planning of Audit Work .................................. 312.23
- Predecessor Auditor ........................................ 508.70–.74
- Responsibility Assumed ..................................... 504.16
- Pro Forma—See Pro Forma Financial Statements
- Prospective—See Prospective Financial Statements
- Publicly-Traded Companies .............................. 9504.01–.07
- Reissuance of Report ........................................ 508.70–.74; 530.06–.08; 560.08; 561.06
- Related Party Transactions ............................... 334.01;
- Reliance on Statements ....................................... 312.10;
- Restatement—See Restatements
- Revisions Required ......................................... 561.06–.09
- SEC Filings ..................................................... 311.03; 504.14;
- Segments of a Business—See Segment Information
- Special Reports—See Special Reports
- Special-Purpose ............................................. 623.22–.30;
- Statements of Retained Earnings—See Statements of Retained Earnings
- Stockholders’ Equity Changes ............................ 508.06
- Subsequent Discovery of Facts ............................ 561.01–.10;
- Subsequent Discovery Prior to Issuance of Financial Statements .... 561.01
- Subsequent Events Prior to Issuance of Financial Statements .... 561.01
- Subsequent Events—See Subsequent Events
- Subsequent Period Unaudited ............................. 504.16
- Subsidiaries ..................................................... 333.11
- Supplementary Information—See Supplementary Financial Information
- Titles of Statements .......................... 623.07; 623.24
- Types ......................................................... 623.02

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—continued

- Unaudited—See Unaudited Financial Statements
- Unaudited Information—See Unaudited Information
- Uncertainty—See Uncertainties
- Updating Reports ................................. 508.65–.66; 508.68–.69
- Use of Accountant’s Name ............................. 504.03–.04
- Use of Findings of Specialists .................. 336.12–.16
- Weaknesses in Internal Control ......................... 9317.03–.06
- Written Representations From Management ................ 722.24

FINES—See Penalties

FIRM

- Income Tax Accruals .................................. 9326.06–.23
- Inquiries Concerning Firms ............................ 543.10
- Merger With Another Firm ............................. 508.65; 508.74
- Personnel—See Employees
- Quality Control ............................................ 161.01–.03
- Work of Other Auditors ............................... 543.05

FISCAL PERIOD

- Interim—See Interim Financial Statements

FIXED ASSETS—See Property

FOOTNOTES—See Notes to Financial Statements

FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT OF 1977

- Compliance Reports ................................. 9634.03
- Scope of Audit ............................................. 9317.01–.02
- Weaknesses in Internal Control ......................... 9317.03–.06

FOREIGN COUNTRY

- Accounting Principles ............................... 534.01–.16;
- Auditing Standards ................................. 9534.01–.04
- Compliance With U.S. and International Standards ........................ 9508.56–.59
- Reporting on Financial Statements ........ 534.01–.16; 9354.01–.04
- Use of Specialist ................................. 534.05–.06; 534.12

FORGERY—See Fraud

FORM 10-K (SEC)

- Auditor’s Report ........................................ 9508.60–.74;
- Negative Assurance ...................................... 9634.06
- Regulation S.K. ......................................... 9634.06
- Shelf Registration Statements .................. 9711.07;
- Shelf Registration Statements .................. 9711.08–.11

FORM 10-Q (SEC)

- Condensed Financial Statements ........ 552.08
- Review of Interim Information .................. 711.09; 722.05
- Shelf Registration Statements .................. 9711.08–.11
AU Topical Index

GENERALLY ACCEPTED AUDITING STANDARDS—continued

- Audit of Financial Statements for Use
  Outside U.S. ........................................ 534.03–13
- Audit Risk and Materiality ......................... 312.01–02
- Auditor’s Report ..................................... 508.22; 508.35;
  ....................................................... 9508.56–74
- Authentication of Documentation .................. 230.12
- Basis for Opinion ................................... 110.05
- Clarification in Audit Report of Extent of Testing of Internal
  Control Over Financial Reporting ................. 9508.85–88
- Communication of Auditor’s Responsibility ........ 380.06
- Compliance With U.S. and International
  Standards ......................................... 9508.56–59
- Consistency ........................................ 315.12–13
- Deficiencies in Firm’s Policies ..................... 161.03
- Degree of Risk .................................... 150.04
- Disagreement With Management .................... 315.07;
  ........................................................ 315.09
- Documentation, Authentication of ................. 230.12
- Elements of Financial Statements ................. 9623.41
- Foreign Country’s Auditing Standards .......... 9508.56–59
- General Standards .................................. 150.02
- Governmental Auditing Standards—See
  Governmental Auditing Standards ............... 9508.56–59
- Intercompany Transactions ......................... 9543.01–03
- Internal Control ................................... 9317.01–02
- Interpretive Publications—See
  Interpretive Publications ......................... 9508.56–74
- Lack of Independence .............................. 504.09
- Litigation, Claims, and Assessments .............. 337.01
- Loss Reserves (Insurance) ......................... 9623.41
- Material Misstatements ........................... 9312.01–17
- Materiality ........................................ 150.04
- Noncompliance With a Firm’s Policies ............ 161.03
- Planning of Audit Work ............................ 311.01; 311.06
- Related Parties .................................... 334.01; 334.04;
  ........................................................ 334.10
- Relation to Quality Control Standards .......... 161.01–03
- Reports, Other Auditors ............................ 110.10; 543.10;
  ...................................................... 801.06–08; 9543.18–20
- Required Supplementary Information ............... 551.15–16
- Responsibilities of Auditor ....................... 110.01–05;
  ...................................................... 110.10
- Review of Form 10-K ................................ 9634.04
- Review of Interim Information ...................... 722.01;
  ...................................................... 722.07; 722.09; 722.37–40
- SEC Filings ........................................ 711.04
- Special Reports .................................... 623.05
- Standards of Field Work ........................... 9317.01–02

GENERALLY ACCEPTED GOVERNMENTAL
AUDITING STANDARDS—See
Governmental Auditing Standards

GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

- Segment Information—See Segment Information

GEOLOGISTS

- Use of Work by Auditors .......................... 336.02; 336.07

GOING CONCERN

- Auditors’ Report Explanatory Language ......... 341.13; 623.31;
  ....................................................... 9341.01–02
- Auditors’ Reports ................................ 341.03; 9341.01–02
- Auditors’ Responsibility ........................... 341.02–04;
  ...................................................... 9341.01–02
- Comparative Financial Statements ............... 341.15–16
- Conditions and Events ............................. 341.06
- Contrary Information ............................... 341.01
- Disclaimer of Opinion ............................. 341.12
- Disclosure ........................................ 341.10–11; 341.14
- Effect on Auditors’ Reports ....................... 341.12–16
- Financial Statement Effects ....................... 341.10–11
- Indications of Financial Difficulties .............. 341.06
- Management Plans ................................ 341.03; 9341.07–09
- Negative Trends ................................... 341.06
- Prospective Financial Information ............... 341.09–10
- Uncertainties ..................................... 623.31

GOVERNMENTAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS
BOARD

- Supplementary Information ......................... 551.15; 558.01–11

GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES

- Disclosure of Illegal Acts ......................... 317.23
- Information ................................. 337.07
- Information ................................. 337.07
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ILLUSTRATIONS—continued

- Explanatory Paragraph for Uncertainty
  341.13
- Explanatory Paragraphs
  558.08
- FIFO to LIFO Change
  9420.19
- Going Concern Explanatory Paragraph
  341.13
- Illegal Acts by Clients
  317.09; 317.14
- Income Tax Basis Statements
  623.08
- Independent Accountant's Report
  722.38–40
- Inquiry Letter to Legal Counsel
  337.05; 337A.01;
  9337.04–07; 9337.10–16
- Inquiry Letter to Other Auditor
  9543.08–10
- Lawyer's Evaluation of Pending Litigation
  9337.20–23
- Letter to Regulator
  9339.06
- Letters for Underwriters
  634.16; 634.19;
  634.26; 634.31–33;
  634.61; 634.64;
  9634.29
- Liquidation Basis Reports
  9508.36–37
- Loss Reserves
  9623.42
- Management Plans Relating to Going Concern Assumption
  341.07
- Management Representations Regarding Fraud
  333.06; 333.16
- Modification of the Accountant's Review Report
  722.42–45
- Oil and Gas Reserve Information
  9508.05
- Predecessor's Report Not Presented
  508.74
- Principal Auditor
  543.09; 543.16
- Profit Participation Report
  623.18
- Qualified Opinions
  - Accounting Change
    508.52
  - Departure From GAAP
    508.39–40
  - Inadequate Disclosure
    508.42
  - Omission of Statement of Cash Flows
    508.44
  - Scope Limitation
    508.26
  - Regulatory Agency Report
    623.08; 623.26;
    9623.42
- Related Parties
  334.01; 334.03
- Rental Computation Report
  623.18
- Report Based on Compliance With Contractual Agreement
  623.26
- Reporting on Internal Control
  325.12; 325.16;
  9325.04
- Reporting on Supplementary Information
  551.12–16; 558.08
- Reports Following Pooling of Interests
  543.16
- Reports on Application of Accounting Principles
  625.11
- Reports on Comparative Financial Statements
  508.08
- Reports on Current-Value Financial Statements Supplementing Historical-Cost Financial Statements
  9623.58

IMPAIRMENT

- Losses, Investments
  332.26–27; 332.31;
  332.33; 332.46–48
- Objectivity of Specialist
  336.10–11
- Substantive Tests
  313.05

INCOME STATEMENTS—See Statements of Income

INCOME TAX RETURNS—See Tax Returns

INCOME TAXES

- Basis of Accounting
  9623.47–53
- Evidential Matter
  9326.06–23
- Form 990—See Form 990 (Internal Revenue)
- Interim Financial Information
  9326.01–05
- Rates—See Rates (Income Taxes)
- Special Reports
  9623.47–53
- Technical Training
  9326.06–23
- Uncertainties
  9326.06–23

INDEPENDENCE

- Client's Legal Counsel
  337.08
- General Standard
  150.02; 220.01–07;
  504.08
- Lack of Independence
  504.08–10
- Other Auditors
  543.04; 543.10
- Qualifications of Auditor
  634.18; 634.31–32

ILLUSTRATIONS—continued

- Reports on Financial Statements for Use Outside United States
  534.10
- Reports Prepared Pursuant to Loan Agreements
  623.30
- Reports With Differing Opinions
  508.67; 508.69
- Representation Letters
  333.18–19
- Response to Inquiry by Principal Auditor
  9543.11–14
- Review of Interim Information
  552.08; 711.09;
  722.38–40; 722.42; 722.45; 722.56
- Royalties Report
  623.18
- Selected Financial Data
  552.10
- Service Organization Reports
  324.38–40; 324.54–56;
  9324.16; 9324.18
- Special Purpose Financial Statements
  623.26; 9623.52
- Special Reports
  623.08; 623.18;
  623.21; 623.26; 623.30
- Standard Report
  508.08; 9508.59
- Substantive Tests
  334.02
- Successor Auditor When Prior-Period Audited Financial Statements Were Audited by Predecessor Auditor Who Has Ceased Operations
  9508.60–74
- Transfer of Assets Under Receivables Under FDIC
  9336.14
- Transfer of Assets Under U.S. Bankruptcy Code
  9336.13
- Unqualified Opinion
  508.08; 508.34;
  508.69; 552.06

References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.
INDEPENDENCE—continued

- Reporting Requirements .............. 9504.19–.22
- SEC Requirements ..................... 9634.09
- Specialist Employed by Auditor’s Firm .............. 336.03

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR—See Auditor, Independent

INDUSTRY ACCOUNTING GUIDES—See Accounting Guides, Industry

INDUSTRY AUDIT GUIDES—See Audit Guides, Industry

INDUSTRY PRACTICES

- Relation to Evidential Matter .............. 326.09

INFORMATION

- Availability .......................... 326.12
- Communication Between Auditors .............. 934.01–.17
- Communication When No Audit Committee Exists .............. 9380.02–.03
- Communication With Audit Committee .............. 380.01–.17
- Confidential—See Confidential Client Information
- Consistency of Other Information .............. 550.04–.07; 9550.13; 9634.04
- Consolidating—See Consolidating Information
- Description of Tests of Operating Effectiveness and the Results of Such Tests .............. 9324.01–.03
- Discovery ............................ 561.04–.05
- Discovery by Successor Auditor .............. 315.21
- Electronic Form ........................ 326.14
- Essential for Fair Presentation .............. 508.41–.42; 9410.15–.16
- Evidential Matter ......................... 326.15–.22
- Fair Statement of Certain Other Information, Opinion on .............. 550.07
- Illegal Acts by Clients .............. 9333.01–.04
- Income Tax Accruals .............. 9326.06–.23
- Interim—See Interim Financial Information
- Legal Advice ......................... 550.05–.07
- Litigation, Claims, and Assessments .............. 337.01–.32
- Material Misstatements—See Material Misstatement
- Oil and Gas Reserves .............. 9558.01–.05
- Omission by Management .............. 431.03
- Other Information in Electronic Sites Containing Audited Financial Statements .............. 9550.16–.18
- Planning of Audit Work .............. 311.03–.10; 312.33
- Prescribed Report Forms .............. 623.05; 623.15; 623.20; 623.25; 623.29; 623.32–.33

INFORMATION—continued

- Related Parties ......................... 334.11
- Relationship to Audited Financial Statements .............. 508.11; 550.01–.04
- Reliability .............. 550.04–.07; 561.04–.05;
- Reporting Requirements .............. 550.02
- Reports to Stockholders .............. 550.02
- Segment—See Segment Information
- Sources—See Sources of Information
- Subsequent Discovery of Facts .............. 561.01–.10
- Subservice Organization .............. 9324.08–.13
- Supplementary—See Supplementary Financial Information
- Supplementary Information Required by GAAP .............. 558.02
- Systems—See Data Processing
- Unaudited—See Unaudited Information
- Voluntary Disclosure .............. 558.02

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT)

- Auditor’s Responsibilities .............. 3550.16–.18
- Communication .............. 319.47–.52
- Communication System .............. 319.110
- Internal Control .............. 319.16–.20;
- Internet Control .............. 319.21; 319.26;
- Internal Control .......... 319.30–.32; 319.36;
- Internal Control .......... 319.39; 319.43–.44;
- Internal Control .......... 319.46; 319.50; 319.51; 319.57;
- Internal Control .......... 319.61; 319.67; 319.69; 319.78–.79;
- Internal Control .......... 319.85; 319.110
- Effect on Auditor’s Considerations .............. 319.02; 319.16–.20
- Other Information in Electronic Sites Containing Audited Financial Statements .............. 9550.16–.18

INQUIRIES

- Analytical Procedures .............. 329.21
- Audit Procedure .............. 333.02–.03; 333.06
- Change of Client’s Lawyers .............. 337.11
- Competence of Other Auditors .............. 934.10–.11
- Current Business Developments .............. 331.04; 311.08
- Evidence Material .............. 150.02; 326.17–.20;
- Evidence Material .............. 350.04; 9326.06–.23
- Illegal Act Detection .............. 9317.03–.06;
- Illegal Act Detection .............. 9333.01–.04
- Illegal Acts by Clients .............. 317.08; 317.10
- Illusory Inquiry
- Letter to Legal Counsel .............. 337A.01;
- Letter to Legal Counsel .............. 9337.04–.07
- Internal Audit Function .............. 322.05
- Letter to Other Auditor .............. 9543.08–.10
- Letters for Underwriters .............. 634.23–.24;
- Letters for Underwriters .............. 634.45
- Litigation, Claims, and Assessments .............. 337.01–.14; 722.20;
- Related Parties .............. 9334.12–.13
- Related Party Transactions .............. 9334.12–.13
INQ
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## INTERNAL CONTROL

- **Reportable Conditions**
  - 325.02–.03;
  - 325.06;
  - 722.09;
  - 722.33

- **Reports—See Reports on Internal Control**

- **Responding to Assessment of Fraud**
  - 316.46–.67

- **Review of Interim Information**
  - 722.09–.12;
  - 722.14–.15;
  - 722.17;
  - 722.19;
  - 722.33;
  - 722.50

- **Risk Assessment**
  - 319.07;
  - 319.37–.40;
  - 319.110

- **Risk of Material Misstatement**
  - 312.27;
  - 312.30–.31;
  - 350.08;
  - 350.11–.14;
  - 350.20;
  - 350.35–.36;
  - 9312.01–.17

- **Safeguarding of Assets**
  - 319.13

- **Segregation of Duties**
  - 319.110

- **Service Organizations—See Service Organizations**

- **Special Reports—See Reports on Internal Control**

- **Standards of Field Work**
  - 150.02;
  - 319.01;
  - 319.105

- **Substantive Tests—See Substantive Tests**

- **Tests of Controls—See Tests of Controls**

- **Tolerable Rate**
  - 350.34–.35;
  - 350.38

- **Understanding**
  - 316.25;
  - 316.43–.44;
  - 316.86;
  - 319.25–.29;
  - 319.33–.42;
  - 319.47–.49;
  - 319.53–.56;
  - 319.84–.89;
  - 322.04;
  - 322.13

- **Work of Other Auditors**
  - 543.12

- **Written Representations From Management**
  - 722.24

## INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

- **Audit Manual**
  - 9326.06–.23

- **Form 990 (Internal Revenue)**
  - 9623.47–.53

## INTERNATIONAL AUDITING STANDARDS

- **Compliance With U.S. and International Standards**
  - 9508.56–.59

## INTERPRETATIONS, AUDITING

- **Auditing Interpretations**

## INTERPRETIVE PUBLICATIONS

- **AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides**
  - 150.05

- **AICPA Auditing Statements of Position**
  - 150.05

- **AICPA Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs)**

- **Appendices to the SASs**
  - 150.05

- **Auditing Interpretations of SASs**
  - 150.05

## INVENTORIES

- **Alternative Procedures**
  - 9508.01–.06

- **Change in Pricing Methods**
  - 9420.16–.20

- **Comparison With Records**
  - 9508.03

- **Completeness**
  - 326.09

- **Counted by Outside Firm**
  - 9508.01;
  - 9508.05–.06

- **Disclaimer of Opinion**
  - 508.67

- **Evidential Matter**
  - 331.01–.02;
  - 331.09–.14;
  - 9508.02

## INVENTORIES

- **First-in, First-out**
  - 9420.16–.20

- **Illustrative Audit Objectives**
  - 326.26

- **Last-in, First-out**
  - 9420.16–.20

- **Management Representation**
  - 326.04;

- **Matters Requiring Specialists**
  - 336.07

- **Observation by Auditor**
  - 310.04;
  - 9350.02

- **Public Warehouses**
  - 331.14;
  - 901.01–.28

- **Role of Auditor**
  - 310.04;
  - 508.24;

- **Substantive Tests**
  - 326.26

- **Valuation**
  - 110.04;
  - 311.06

## INVESTESSEES

- **Accounting Changes**
  - 9420.52–.54

- **Equity Method**
  - 543.14

- **Interim Financial Information**
  - 722.18

- **Scope Limitation**
  - 508.24

- **Unaudited Information**
  - 508.27

## INVESTMENTS

- **Auditing Procedures**

- **Design Based on Risk Assessments**
  - 332.19–.58

- **Skills and Knowledge Required**
  - 332.02–.03

- **Audit Risk**
  - 332.07–.18

- **Auditor’s Report**
  - 508.24;
  - 543.14;
  - 9420.52–.54

- **Derivative Instruments**
  - 332.02–.03

- **Equity Method**
  - 543.14

- **Financial Statement Assertions**
  - 332.02–.03

- **Hedging Activities**
  - 332.02–.03

- **Unaudited Information**
  - 332.56–.57

## INVESTORS

- **Equity Method**
  - 543.14

- **Unaudited Information**
  - 508.27

## IRREGULARITIES

- **See Fraud**
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### AU Topical Index

**References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIABILITIES</th>
<th>326.04–07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Assertions by Management</td>
<td>326.04–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Contingent—See Contingent Liabilities</td>
<td>337.09; 337.14;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Estimation</td>
<td>342.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Examination at Interim Dates</td>
<td>313.03–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Litigation, Claims, and Assessments</td>
<td>337.11; 9337.01–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Loss Reserves (Insurance)</td>
<td>9623.42–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Management Plans</td>
<td>333.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LICENSES**

- Specialists                                                                | 336.08     |

**LIMITED REVIEW—See Review of Interim Financial Information**

**LINE OF CREDIT**

- Management Representations                                               | 333.17     |

**LINES OF BUSINESS—See Segment Information**

**LIQUIDATION**

- Basis of Accounting                                                       | 9508.33–37 |
- Financial Statements                                                      | 9508.33–37 |
- Generally Accepted Accounting Principles                                  | 9508.34–37 |
- Uncertainties                                                            | 9508.34     |

**LITERATURE—See Publications**

**LITIGATION**

- Audit Procedures                                                         | 337.05–07; 9337.15–17; 9337.24–27 |
- Client Has Not Consulted a Lawyer                                        | 9337.15–17 |
- Disclosure of Illegal Acts                                               | 317.23     |
- Inquiries From Successor Auditor                                         | 315.05; 315.07–10; 315.12; 315.14–15; 315.20; 315.24 |
- Inquiries of Client’s Lawyers                                            | 337.08–11; 722.20; 9337.01–32 |
- Internal v. Outside Lawyers                                              | 9337.24–27 |
- Limitations on Lawyer’s Responses                                        | 337.12–14  |
- Loss Contingencies                                                       | 9333.01–04; 9337.11; 9337.17 |
- Related Parties                                                          | 334.06     |
- Stockholders v. Management                                               | 334.06     |
- Uncertainties—See Uncertainties                                          | 9337.17     |

**LOAN AGREEMENTS—See Borrowing Contract**

**LOSS CONTINGENCIES**

- Definitions                                                               | 337B       |
- Disclosure                                                               | 9333.01–04; 9337.11; 9337.17 |
- Estimation                                                               | 337.09; 337.14; 337B; 508.48–49; 9337.12; 9337.17 |

**LOSS RESERVES (INSURANCE)**

- Illustration of Auditor’s Report                                         | 9623.42     |
- Special Reports                                                          | 9623.40–.46 |
- Specialists                                                              | 9623.40     |
- Subsequent Events                                                        | 9623.42     |

**LOSSES**

- Commitments                                                              | 333.17     |
- Estimation of Potential Losses                                           | 337.09; 337.14; 337B; 9337.17; 9337.12; |
- Illegality                                                                | 9623.40     |
- Insurance Companies                                                      | 9623.40–.46 |

**M**

**MANAGEMENT**

- Accounting Changes                                                       | 508.50–52; 508.57 |
- Acknowledgement of Fraud Prevention Duty                                  | 333.06; 333.16 |
- Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Transactions or Events          | 9411.11–.15 |
- Advisory Services                                                         | 9311.01–.03 |
- Antifraud Guidance                                                       | 316.86     |
- Antifraud Programs and Controls                                          | 316.86     |
- Assertions                                                               | 326.03–08; 9326.01–27; 9334.17–.18 |
- Auditor Difficulties in Performance of Audit                              | 380.16     |
- Auditor’s Comments                                                       | 551.21     |
- Communication About Fraud                                                | 316.79–82; 333.06; 333.16 |
- Communication Regarding Audit Requirements                               | 801.21     |
- Communication Regarding Interim Financial Information                    | 722.24; 722.29–36 |
- Communication With Audit Committee                                       | 380.05     |
- Components of a Business                                                 | 543.13     |
- Conflict-of-Interests Statements                                         | 334.08     |
- Consultation With Other Accountants                                      | 380.14     |
- Control Environment                                                      | 319.24; 319.29; 319.34–36; 319.110 |
- Control Objectives                                                       | 324.53     |
- Deficiencies in Design of Controls                                       | 9324.35–37 |
- Description of Risk of Projecting Effectiveness of Controls              | 9324.38–40 |
- Disagreement With Auditor                                               | 315.07; 315.09; 380.13; 504.13 |
- Estimation of Future Events                                              | 337.14; 380.08 |

---

LIA
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AU Topical Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textbf{MATERIALITY—continued}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Factor in Planning Audit \ldots 311.03; 312.01; 312.12–25; 312.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fair Presentation \ldots 312.03–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fraud \ldots 316.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Generality of Internal Control \ldots 312.12–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Illegal Acts \ldots 317.13–15; 317.18–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Inquiries of Client's Lawyers \ldots 337.09; 337.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Internal Control—See Internal Control \ldots 312.24–27; 312.32–41; 326.11; 350.11–14; 350.18; 350.25; 350.30; 350.48; 550.05–06; 551.09; 634.60; 9312.01–17; 9550.09; 9550.11; 9550.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Correction of Error \ldots 420.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Reports, Other Auditors \ldots 543.07; 543.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MEASUREMENT}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fair Value Audit Considerations \ldots 328.01–51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fair Value—Testing Entity's Measurements and Disclosures \ldots 328.23–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Standards for Fair Presentation \ldots 411.02–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Supplementary Information \ldots 551.08; 558.06–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MEMBERSHIP}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item American Institute of CPAs \ldots 543.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MISSTATEMENTS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Changing Business Conditions \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Characteristics of Fraud \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Collusion \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Disagreement With Predecessor Auditor \ldots 315.21–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Federal Securities Regulations \ldots 711.02–03; 711.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Interim v. Year-End Testing \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Misstatements by Management \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Rule 203, Accounting Principles \ldots 508.14–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Subsequent Discovery of Facts \ldots 561.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Subsequent Events \ldots 711.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Substantive Tests of Interim Information \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MISAPPROPRIATION—See Fraud}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MISLEADING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Changing Business Conditions \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Characteristics of Fraud \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Collusion \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Disagreement With Predecessor Auditor \ldots 315.21–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Federal Securities Regulations \ldots 711.02–03; 711.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Interim v. Year-End Testing \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Misstatements by Management \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Rule 203, Accounting Principles \ldots 508.14–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Subsequent Discovery of Facts \ldots 561.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Subsequent Events \ldots 711.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Substantive Tests of Interim Information \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MISSTATEMENTS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Analytical Procedures \ldots 316.28–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Characteristics of Fraud \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Consideration of Results of Audit \ldots 316.28–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fraud Risk Factors \ldots 316.20–27; 316.31–42; 316.51–56; 316.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Improper Revenue Recognition \ldots 316.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Inquiring About Risks of Fraud \ldots 316.20–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Interim Financial Information \ldots 722.09–12; 722.16; 722.18; 722.24–27; 722.32; 722.34; 722.54; 722.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MATERIALITY—continued}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Auditor \ldots 312.12–25; 312.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MEASUREMENT}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fair Value Audit Considerations \ldots 328.01–51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fair Value—Testing Entity's Measurements and Disclosures \ldots 328.23–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Standards for Fair Presentation \ldots 411.02–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Supplementary Information \ldots 551.08; 558.06–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MEMBERSHIP}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item American Institute of CPAs \ldots 543.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MISSTATEMENTS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Changing Business Conditions \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Characteristics of Fraud \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Collusion \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Disagreement With Predecessor Auditor \ldots 315.21–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Federal Securities Regulations \ldots 711.02–03; 711.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Interim v. Year-End Testing \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Misstatements by Management \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Rule 203, Accounting Principles \ldots 508.14–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Subsequent Discovery of Facts \ldots 561.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Subsequent Events \ldots 711.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Substantive Tests of Interim Information \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MISSTATEMENTS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Analytical Procedures \ldots 316.28–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Characteristics of Fraud \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Consideration of Results of Audit \ldots 316.28–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fraud Risk Factors \ldots 316.20–27; 316.31–42; 316.51–56; 316.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Improper Revenue Recognition \ldots 316.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Inquiring About Risks of Fraud \ldots 316.20–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Interim Financial Information \ldots 722.09–12; 722.16; 722.18; 722.24–27; 722.32; 722.34; 722.54; 722.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MATERIALITY—continued}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Auditor \ldots 312.12–25; 312.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MEASUREMENT}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fair Value Audit Considerations \ldots 328.01–51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fair Value—Testing Entity's Measurements and Disclosures \ldots 328.23–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Standards for Fair Presentation \ldots 411.02–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Supplementary Information \ldots 551.08; 558.06–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MEMBERSHIP}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item American Institute of CPAs \ldots 543.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MISSTATEMENTS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Changing Business Conditions \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Characteristics of Fraud \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Collusion \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Disagreement With Predecessor Auditor \ldots 315.21–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Federal Securities Regulations \ldots 711.02–03; 711.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Interim v. Year-End Testing \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Misstatements by Management \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Rule 203, Accounting Principles \ldots 508.14–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Subsequent Discovery of Facts \ldots 561.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Subsequent Events \ldots 711.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Substantive Tests of Interim Information \ldots 313.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item \textbf{MISSTATEMENTS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\begin{itemize}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Analytical Procedures \ldots 316.28–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Characteristics of Fraud \ldots 230.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Consideration of Results of Audit \ldots 316.28–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Fraud Risk Factors \ldots 316.20–27; 316.31–42; 316.51–56; 316.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Improper Revenue Recognition \ldots 316.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Inquiring About Risks of Fraud \ldots 316.20–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\item Interim Financial Information \ldots 722.09–12; 722.16; 722.18; 722.24–27; 722.32; 722.34; 722.54; 722.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MISSTATEMENTS—continued

- Lack of Detection Due to Fraud .... 230.12
- Management Override of Controls .......... 316.42; 316.57–67
- Material Misstatements Due to Fraud .... 316.34–42; 316.50
- Other Information as it Relates to Fraud ........ 316.34
- Planning the Audit .................. 316.28–30
- Presumption of Fraud Risk ........ 316.41
- Responses to Risks of Misstatements .... 316.55–56
- Risk Factors Relating to .... 316.31–33; 316.35–42; 316.50; 316.85
- Subsequent Discovery ........ 230.13

MISTAKES—See Fraud

NATIONAL REVIEW BOARD
- Inquiries Concerning Members .... 543.10

NEGATIVE ASSURANCE
- Compliance Reports .......... 623.19–21
- Definition .................. 634.12
- Disclaimer of Opinion .......... 504.18
- Letters for Underwriters—See Letters for Underwriters

NEGligence
- Legal Liability .................. 230.03
- Mitigated by Fraud ........ 230.12–13

NET INCOME
- Effect of Change to LIFO .... 9420.18–19

NET REALIZABLE VALUE
- Accounts Receivable ........ 326.07

NONAUDIT SERVICES
- Relation to Audit Planning .... 9311.01–03

NONBUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS
- Departures From Established Principles .......... 9623.47–53
- Form 990 (Internal Revenue) .... 9623.47–53
- Other Information in Documents .... 550.02
- Summarized Prior Period Information ........ 508.65

NONCLIENTS
- Addressee of Report ........ 508.09

NONCURRENT LIABILITIES
- Assertions by Management .......... 326.08

NONMONETARY TRANSACTIONS
- Related Parties ........ 334.03

NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS—See Nonbusiness Organizations

NONSTATISTICAL SAMPLING
- Approach to Audit Sampling .... 350.03;
  350.45–46
- Evidential Matter .... 350.03–06; 350.45–46

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
- Accounting Changes .......... 9410.17
- Basis of Presentation .... 504.07
- Consistency Standard .... 9420.69–72
- Consolidating Financial Statements .... 551.20
- Disclosure ........ 420.08–09; 431.02;
  623.09–10; 9508.60–74;
  9623.59; 9623.61;
  9623.65–66; 9623.90–95
- Interim Financial Information ........ 722.49–50
- Pension Plans ........ 9420.64–65
- Qualified Opinion ........ 508.21; 508.25;
  508.38; 508.40
- Relation to Basic Financial Statements ........ 551.02
- Subsequent Discovery of Facts .... 561.06
- Subsequent Events .......... 508.28; 530.04–05;
  530.08; 711.11
- Unaudited Information .... 504.07; 508.27–28

NOTIFICATION
- Illegal Acts by Clients .... 317.17

OBJECTIVES OF AUDIT
- Audit Sampling ........ 350.02; 350.05;
  350.16–22; 350.25
- Auditor’s Expression of Opinion .. 110.01;
  310.06; 310.09; 310.11;
  310.13; 310.15; 310.16;
  310.33; 522.08;
  558.04; 558.09;
  722.07; 722.09; 722.37–40
- Effects of Information Technology .... 326.12
- Evidential Matter ........ 326.09–13; 326.22;
  326.25–26; 9326.01–05
- Inventories ........ 326.26
- Relation to Substantive Tests .... 313.08;
  326.10–14; 326.26
- Supplementary Information .... 551.05
- Understanding With Client .... 310.05–07

OBJECTIVITY
- Evidential Matter ........ 326.02
- Internal Auditors ........ 322.10–11
- Specialists ........ 336.10–11

OBLIGATIONS
- Assertions by Management .... 326.03–06
- Evidential Matter ........ 326.08

AICPA Professional Standards
References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGISTRATION STATEMENTS—continued</th>
<th>REGULATORY AGENCIES—continued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsibilities of Accountant</td>
<td>Special Purpose Financial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelf Registration Statements</td>
<td>Supplementary Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsequent Events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGULATED INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>REISSUED REPORTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis of Accounting</td>
<td>Auditors’ Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departure From GAAP</td>
<td>Audit Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of Illegal Actions</td>
<td>Audit Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Companies</td>
<td>Communication Between Auditors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Utilities—See Utilities,</td>
<td>Conditions Underlying Transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards of Reporting</td>
<td>Confirmations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplementary Data</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGULATIONS</td>
<td>RELATED PARTIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form 10-K (SEC)</td>
<td>Accounting Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Audit Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form 10-Q (SEC)</td>
<td>Audit Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 305 of Regulation S-K (SEC)</td>
<td>Communication Between Auditors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conditions Underlying Transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation S-K (SEC)</td>
<td>Confirmations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Parties</td>
<td>UNEQUALIZED REPORTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGULATORY AGENCIES</td>
<td>REGULATORY AGENCIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis of Accounting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPRESENTATION LETTERS—continued

- Communication When No Audit
  Committee Exists 325.02–.03; 325.07–.08
- Content of Report 325.10
- Definition 325.02
- Description 325.21
- Examples 325.21
- Form of Reporting 325.09
- Interim Communication 325.18
- Internal Control 325.02–.03; 325.07–.08
- Judgment 325.02–.05; 325.07–.08
- Limited Use of Report 325.10
- Management 325.06; 325.10
- Material Weakness 325.15; 325.18
- Reports on Internal Control 325.09–.16
- Service Organizations 324.20

REPORTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL

- Agreed-Upon Criteria 325.07–.08
- Auditor’s Responsibility 9550.07–.15
- By-Product of Financial Statement Audit 532.04; 532.07–.11;
  532.15
- Communication 325.02–.03; 9325.01–.06
- Examples 325.12; 325.16; 9325.04
- Form of and Level of Assurance in 801.16
- Illustrations—See Illustrations
- Restricted Use 532.04; 532.07–.11; 532.15
- Service Organizations 324.02; 324.12;
  324.16; 324.18–.21; 324.24–.56
- Users 9550.14–.15
- Weaknesses in Internal Control Over
  Financial Reporting 9550.11; 9550.15

REPORTS, OTHER AUDITORS

- Affiliated Companies 543.01–.02; 543.06;
  543.10
- Audit Program 543.12
- Basis for Opinion 508.12
- Condensed Financial Statements 552.05
- Cost Method 543.14
- Decision Not to Make
  Reference 508.71; 508.74;
  543.04–.05; 543.12–.13;
  9334.14–.15; 9543.18–.20

RELATIVITY OF OTHER REPORTS

- Auditors—See Auditors’ Reports
- Departure From Standard—See Departure From Standard Report
- Internal Control—See Reports on Internal Control
- Other Auditors—See Reports, Other Auditors’ Reports
- Reissued—See Reissued Reports
- Review Reports—See Review Reports
- Special—See Special Reports
- Standard—See Auditors’ Reports
- Special—See Auditor’s Reports
- Stockholders—See Reports to Stockholders

REPORTS

- Agreed-Upon Criteria 325.07–.08
- Auditor’s Responsibility 9550.07–.15
- By-Product of Financial Statement Audit 532.04; 532.07–.11;
  532.15
- Communication 325.02–.03; 9325.01–.06
- Examples 325.12; 325.16; 9325.04
- Form of and Level of Assurance in 801.16
- Illustrations—See Illustrations
- Restricted Use 532.04; 532.07–.11; 532.15
- Service Organizations 324.02; 324.12;
  324.16; 324.18–.21; 324.24–.56
- Users 9550.14–.15
- Weaknesses in Internal Control Over
  Financial Reporting 9550.11; 9550.15

REPORTS, OTHER AUDITORS

- Affiliated Companies 543.01–.02; 543.06;
  543.10
- Audit Program 543.12
- Basis for Opinion 508.12
- Condensed Financial Statements 552.05
- Cost Method 543.14
- Decision Not to Make
  Reference 508.71; 508.74;
  543.04–.05; 543.12–.13;
  9334.14–.15; 9543.18–.20

RELATIVITY OF OTHER REPORTS

- Agreed-Upon Criteria 325.07–.08
- Auditor’s Responsibility 9550.07–.15
- By-Product of Financial Statement Audit 532.04; 532.07–.11;
  532.15
- Communication 325.02–.03; 9325.01–.06
- Examples 325.12; 325.16; 9325.04
- Form of and Level of Assurance in 801.16
- Illustrations—See Illustrations
- Restricted Use 532.04; 532.07–.11; 532.15
- Service Organizations 324.02; 324.12;
  324.16; 324.18–.21; 324.24–.56
- Users 9550.14–.15
- Weaknesses in Internal Control Over
  Financial Reporting 9550.11; 9550.15

REPORTS, OTHER AUDITORS

- Affiliated Companies 543.01–.02; 543.06;
  543.10
- Audit Program 543.12
- Basis for Opinion 508.12
- Condensed Financial Statements 552.05
- Cost Method 543.14
- Decision Not to Make
  Reference 508.71; 508.74;
  543.04–.05; 543.12–.13;
  9334.14–.15; 9543.18–.20
SECURITIES—See Investments

SECURITIES ACT OF 1933
- Filings Under Federal Securities Statutes . . . . . 530.02; 711.01–13; 971.01–17
- Letters for Underwriters—See Letters for Underwriters
- Other Information in Documents . . . . . 550.03
- Shelf Registration Statements . . . . . 971.01–11
- Subsequent Discovery of Facts . . . . . 561.03

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
- Condensed Financial Information . . . . . 552.05
- Condensed Financial Statements . . . . . 552.07–08
- Consent to Use of Audit Report in Offering Document . . . . . 971.12–17
- Disclosure of Illegal Acts . . . . . . . 317.23
- Filings Under Securities Statutes . . . 311.03; 543.07; 552.05; 552.07–09; 561.03; 711.01–13; 9634.01–09; 9711.01–17
- Form 10-K—See Form 10K (SEC)
- Form 10-Q—See Form 10Q (SEC)
- Independence Requirements . . . . . . 220.05; 543.10; 9634.09
- Interim Financial Information . . . . . . 508.11; 552.08; 722.03; 722.05–06; 722.36; 722.47–48
- Letters for Underwriters—See Letters for Underwriters
- Negative Assurance . . . . . . . 504.19
- Oil and Gas Reserves . . . . . . . 9558.01–05
- Prospectus—See Prospectuses
- Registration Exemption Under Investment Company Act of 1940 . . . . . 9508.76–84
- Reissuance of Auditor’s Report . . . . . 530.06
- Reissuance of Financial Statements . . . 560.08
- Related Parties . . . . . . . 334.07–08
- Responsibilities of Accountant . . . 711.02–13; 9711.01–17
- Responsibilities of Management . . . 711.01; 711.08
- SEC Engagement . . . . . . . 380.01
- Selected Financial Data . . . . . . . 552.09
- Shelf Registration Statements . . . . . 971.01–11
- Subsequent Discovery of Facts . . . . . 561.06–08
- Unaudited Financial Statements . . . . . 504.14

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
- Foreign Corrupt Practices Act . . . . 9317.01–02
- Letters for Underwriters—See Letters for Underwriters
- Other Information in Documents . . . . . 550.02
- Review of Interim Information . . . . . 722.05; 722.18; 722.32
- Shelf Registration Statements . . . . . 9711.05

SEGMENT INFORMATION
- Allocation of Revenue, Expenses, Assets Among Operating Segments . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Auditing Procedures . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Auditor’s Objective . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Departures From Established Principles . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Disclosure . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Evidential Matter . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Geographic Areas . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Letters for Underwriters . . . . . . . 634.59
- Major Customers . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Materiality . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Misstatement or Omission . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Planning Considerations . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Prior Periods . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Products and Services . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Reportable Operating Segments . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Scope Limitation . . . . . . . 9326.28–41
- Subsequent Changes in . . . . . . 9326.28–41

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA
- Auditor’s Report . . . . . . . 552.01–02; 552.05; 552.09–12
- Consolidated Financial Statements . . . . . 552.10
- Disclaimer of Opinion . . . . . . . 552.11
- Filing With a Regulatory Agency . . . . . 552.09
- Illustrations . . . . . . . 552.10
- Letters for Underwriters . . . . . . . 634.64
- Other Auditor’s Report . . . . . . . 552.05; 552.09

SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS
- Adverse Opinion . . . . . . . 9324.13
- Agreed-Upon Procedures . . . . . . . 324.19
- Alternative Methods of Presenting Controls and Subservice Organization Functions . . . . . 9324.12–18
- Carve-Out Method . . . . . . . 9324.12; 9324.15–16
- Change in Controls Prior to Beginning of Field Work . . . . . 324.28; 324.43
- Contingency Planning Not Performed . . . . . 9324.35–37
- Control Objectives . . . . . . . 324.35–36; 324.38–39; 324.50–51; 324.53
- Control Risk Assessment . . . . . . . 324.11–16; 9324.05
- Deficiencies in Design or Operation of Controls . . . . . 324.32; 324.40; 324.47; 9324.35–37
- Definition . . . . . . . 324.02
- Description of Relevant Controls . . . . . 324.26; 324.30; 324.33; 324.42; 324.45; 9324.08–13
- Description of Risk of Projecting Effectiveness of Controls . . . . . 9324.38–40
- Description of Tests of Operating Effectiveness and the Results of Such Tests . . . . . 9324.01–03
- Disaster Recovery Not Adequate . . . . . . . 9324.35–37

SEC
Copyright © 2004, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
### SPECIAL REPORTS—continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basis of Accounting Other Than GAAP</td>
<td>504.07; 623.02–10; 9623.47–53; 9623.60–81; 9623.90–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>532.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Reports.</td>
<td>311.03; 532.07–11; 532.15; 623.19–21; 9623.40–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current-Value Financial Statements Supplementing Historical-Cost Financial Statements</td>
<td>9623.55–59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departure From Standard Report</td>
<td>623.17; 9623.45–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements of Financial Statements</td>
<td>532.04; 532.07–11; 532.15; 551.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanatory Language</td>
<td>532.19; 623.31; 9623.45–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Statements Not Meeting Criteria for Basis of Accounting Other Than GAAP</td>
<td>623.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form 990—See Form 990 (Internal Revenue)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of Report for Application of Accounting Principles</td>
<td>625.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illustrations</td>
<td>623.08; 623.18; 623.21; 623.26; 623.30; 625.11; 9623.52; 9623.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete Financial Presentation</td>
<td>9623.82–86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Reserves (Insurance)</td>
<td>9623.40–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprofit Organizations</td>
<td>9623.47–53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Auditor’s Reports</td>
<td>954.01–03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Information in Documents</td>
<td>550.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed Forms</td>
<td>623.05; 623.15; 623.20; 623.25; 623.29; 623.32–33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualified Opinions</td>
<td>623.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Use</td>
<td>532.04; 532.07–11; 532.15; 623.05; 623.15; 623.20; 623.29;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9623.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope Limitations</td>
<td>623.05; 623.15; 623.25; 623.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special-Purpose Financial Statement That Results in Incomplete Presentation But Is Otherwise in Conformity With GAAP</td>
<td>9623.82–86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special-Purpose Presentations to Comply With Contractual Agreements or Regulatory Provisions</td>
<td>623.22–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third-Party Additions</td>
<td>532.14–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titles of Financial Statements</td>
<td>623.07; 623.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Financial Statements</td>
<td>623.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Work of Specialists</td>
<td>336.01–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Work by Auditors</td>
<td>336.01–17; 9336.01–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Experience</td>
<td>336.08–09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work to Be Performed</td>
<td>336.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPECIALISTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Estimates</td>
<td>342.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuaries—See Actuaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision to Use Work</td>
<td>336.06–07; 9336.03–08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>336.01; 9326.06–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP Applications</td>
<td>311.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects of Work on Auditors’ Reports</td>
<td>336.13–14; 9336.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineers—See Engineers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidential Matter Relating to Tax Contingency Accruals</td>
<td>336.06–230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples of Specialists</td>
<td>336.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Value Measurements, Using in Auditing of</td>
<td>328.20–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Country’s Accounting Principles</td>
<td>534.05; 534.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Country’s Auditing Standards</td>
<td>534.06; 534.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illegal Acts by Clients</td>
<td>317.03; 317.10–12;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>317.22–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>110.04; 9508.01; 9508.05–06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>332.06; 332.08; 332.40; 332.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawyers—See Lawyers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>9336.03; 9336.06; 9336.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Opinions—Transfer of Assets</td>
<td>9336.13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Reserves (Insurance)</td>
<td>336.07; 9623.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matters Requiring Specialists</td>
<td>336.06–07; 9336.01–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectivity</td>
<td>336.10–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and Gas Reserves</td>
<td>9558.02; 9558.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning of Audit Work</td>
<td>336.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualifications</td>
<td>336.08–09; 9558.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference in Auditors’ Reports</td>
<td>336.15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship With Clients</td>
<td>336.10–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibilities</td>
<td>336.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC Filings</td>
<td>711.02–03; 9711.08; 9711.12–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant Influence</td>
<td>336.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers of Assets</td>
<td>9336.01–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Legal Interpretations to Support That Transfer of Assets Has Met Isolation Criteria in FASB Statement No. 140</td>
<td>9336.01–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Work by Auditors</td>
<td>336.01–17; 9336.01–21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STANDARD REPORTS—See Auditors’ Reports
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION—continued</th>
<th>TERMINOLOGY—continued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Limited Procedures  551.06–11; 558.08</td>
<td>• Completion of Fieldwork, Date of 508.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Material Misstatements  551.09</td>
<td>• Components of a Business 9334.14–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Materiality—See Materiality</td>
<td>• Comprehensive Basis of Accounting 623.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oil and Gas Reserve</td>
<td>• Confirmations 330.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information 9558.01–05</td>
<td>• Conflict-of-Interests Statements 334.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Omission of Information 551.15–16; 558.08</td>
<td>• Contingencies 337B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Other Information Provided by the</td>
<td>• Continuing Accountant 625.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Auditor 324.57–61;</td>
<td>• Continuing Auditor 508.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9324.35–40</td>
<td>• Contractual Agreement 623.22; 9623.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Piecemeal Opinion 551.06; 551.10;</td>
<td>• Control Activities 319.07; 319.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551.13</td>
<td>• Control Environment 319.07; 319.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Qualified Opinion 551.10; 551.14</td>
<td>• Control Risk 319.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Regulated Industries 544.02; 551.06;</td>
<td>• Derivative 332.02–03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551.10</td>
<td>• Detection Risk 319.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relation to GAAP 544.02; 551.03;</td>
<td>• Disclosure 431.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551.07; 551.10; 551.20</td>
<td>• Due Professional Care 230.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Required by GAAP 551.15–16</td>
<td>• Electronic Site 950.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEM OF QUALITY CONTROL</td>
<td>• Errors 312.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Deficiencies in 161.03</td>
<td>• Evidential Matter 326.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Definition 161.02</td>
<td>• Federal Awards 801.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Engagement Performed in Accordance</td>
<td>• Financial Assistance 801.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With GAAS 161.03</td>
<td>• Financial Statements 623.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Noncompliance With 161.03</td>
<td>• Fraud 316.05–06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEMATIC AND RATIONAL ALLOCATION</td>
<td>• Gain Contingencies 337B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cost of Property 326.07</td>
<td>• General Requirements 801.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>• General Use 532.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX RATES—See Rates (Income Taxes)</td>
<td>• Generally Accepted Accounting Principles 411.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX RETURNS</td>
<td>• Government Auditing Standards 801.05–09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Accountant’s Responsibility 504.03</td>
<td>• Hypothetical Transaction 625.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAXES</td>
<td>• Illegal Acts 317.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Advisers 9326.06–23</td>
<td>• Information and Communication 319.07; 319.47–48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Income—See Income Taxes</td>
<td>• Inherent Risk 319.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Uncertainties 337.07</td>
<td>• Interim Information 722.01–02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAXPAYERS</td>
<td>• Internal Control 319.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Income Tax Accruals 9326.06–23</td>
<td>• Interpretive Publications 150.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERMINOLOGY</td>
<td>• Issuers 9508.85–88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Accountant 532.01</td>
<td>• Known Questioned Costs 801.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Accounting Estimates 342.01</td>
<td>• Legal Specialist 9336.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Analytical Procedures 329.02</td>
<td>• Letters for Underwriters 364.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assessed Level of Control Risk 319.80</td>
<td>• Likely Questioned Costs 801.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assessing Control Risk 319.64</td>
<td>• Loss Contingencies 337B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assistants 311.02</td>
<td>• Management 380.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Audit Adjustments 380.09–10</td>
<td>• Material Misstatement 219.46; 9312.01–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Audit Committee 380.01</td>
<td>• Material Weakness 325.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Audit Documentation 339.01</td>
<td>• Materiality 312.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Audit Risk 312.02; 312.27–28; 350.08–09; 350.48</td>
<td>• Maximum Level 319.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Audit Sampling 350.30; 9350.02</td>
<td>• Misstatement 9312.01–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Auditor 311.02</td>
<td>• Misstatement, Known 312.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Capsule Information 634.39</td>
<td>• Misstatement, Likely 312.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Characteristics of Fraud 230.12</td>
<td>• Misstatement in a Financial Statement Assertion 319.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Common Requirements 801.12</td>
<td>• Misstatements Arising From Fraudulent Financial Reporting 316.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AU Topical Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TERMINOLOGY</strong>—continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Operating Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Organization-Wide Audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Placed in Operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Predecessor Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Professional Skepticism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Program-Specific Audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Public Business Enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Public Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Public Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Public Record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Quality Control, System of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Reasonable Assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Reaudit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Recipient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Registrar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Related Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Report on Controls Placed in Operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Reportable Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Reporting Accountant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Restricted Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Risk Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Sampling Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· SEC Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Service Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Service Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Single Audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Specific Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Subrecipient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Subsequent Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Subsequent Events for Service Organizations—Two Types Defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Successor Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Test of Controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Tolerable Misstatement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Tolerable Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· U.S. Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Uncertainties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Underwriters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· User Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· User Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Working Papers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Written Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TESTS OF CONTROLS</strong>—continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Assessing Control Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Audit Sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Designing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Dual-Purpose Samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Effectiveness of Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Evidential Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Fair Value Audit Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Financial Statement Assertions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Modifying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Objective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Operating Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Performing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Risk Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Service Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Use of IT Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIME PERIODS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Letters for Underwriters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIMELINESS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Audit Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Audit Risk and Materiality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Communication Between Auditors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Communication With Audit Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Evidential Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Interim Dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Lawyers’ Responses to Inquiries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Scheduling Audit Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Standard of Field Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Substantive Tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAINING AND EDUCATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· General Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Income Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Loss Reserve Matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Qualifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Statistical Sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRANSACTONS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Analytical Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Assertions by Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Audit Risk and Materiality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Auditor’s Consideration of Management’s Adoption of Accounting Principles for New Types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Estimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Fair Value, Subsequent Review of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Intercompany—See Intercompany Transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Interim Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Knowledge of Transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Material Misstatements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Quality Control, System of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Reporting Accountant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Reportable Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Related Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Subsequent Events for Service Organizations—Two Types Defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Successor Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Test of Controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Tolerable Misstatement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Tolerable Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· U.S. Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Uncertainties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Underwriters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· User Auditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· User Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Working Papers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Written Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU Topical Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRANSACTIONS</strong>—continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Prior Periods .................. 315.12; 315.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Related Parties—See Related Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sampling—See Audit Sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Substance v. Form .................. 334.02; 411.06–07; 411.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Substantive Tests .................. 312.32; 313.02; 313.07–08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tests of Details .................. 313.02; 313.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Understanding Business Purpose .................. 334.09–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unrecorded .................. 313.09; 333.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unusual Items—See Unusual Items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRIAL BOARD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Inquiries Concerning Members ........... 543.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRUSTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Related Parties ........... 334.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNASSERTED CLAIMS—See Claims</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Accounting and Review Services ........ 504.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Departure From GAAP ........... 504.11–13; 711.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Disclaimer of Opinion ........... 504.05–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fourth Quarter Interim Data ........... 9504.01–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lack of Independence ........... 9504.19–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Letters for Underwriters—See Letters for Underwriters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Long-Term Investments ........... 508.24; 508.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Negative Assurance ........... 504.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Presented With Audited Statements ........... 504.14–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SEC Filings ........... 504.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Use of Accountant’s Name ........ 504.04; 9504.15–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNAUDITED INFORMATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Accounting and Review Services ........ 504.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Business Combinations ........... 508.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Condensed Financial Information ........... 9504.15–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Departure From GAAP ........... 711.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fair Value Disclosures ........... 9342.08–09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Interim Financial Information ........... 504.04; 722.37; 722.44; 722.49–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Letters for Underwriters—See Letters for Underwriters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Subsequent Events ........... 332.33; 508.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supplementary Information ........... 551.04; 551.13; 558.01–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNCERTAINTIES—continued</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Compliance Reports ........ 623.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Definition ........ 508.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Estimation—See Estimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Explanatory Language in Auditor’s Report ........... 341.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Financial Statements ........... 337.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Going Concern Assumption ........... 341.12–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Illustrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Auditors’ Reports ........... 341.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lawyers’ Response to Audit Inquiry ........... 9337.20–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Letter to Client’s Lawyer ........... 9337.10–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Income Taxes ........... 9326.06–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Liquidation Basis of Accounting ........... 9508.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Litigation, Claims, and Assessments ........... 337.04; 337.14; 9337.06–07; 9337.17–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Loss Contingencies ........... 9333.01–04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Materiality Considerations ........... 508.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Opinion on Illegal Acts ........... 317.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reporting ........... 508.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Resolution ........... 508.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Violation of Debt Covenant ........... 9410.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNDERWRITERS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Definition ........... 634.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Letters—See Letters for Underwriters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNIFORMITY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Components of a Business ........... 543.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNITED STATES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reports, Other Auditors ........... 543.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNQUALIFIED OPINION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Balance-Sheet-Only Audit ........... 508.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Condensed Financial Statements ........ 552.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Departure From GAAP ........... 508.14–15; 508.68–69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Emphasis of a Matter ........... 508.19; 9342.03; 9410.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Explanatory Language Added ........ 508.11–19; 9342.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fair Presentation ........ 411.01–19; 9342.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fair Value Disclosures ........ 9342.03–07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Illustrations ........ 324.46; 508.69; 552.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Individual Financial Statement ........... 508.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nonprofit Organizations ........... 9623.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Precluded by Lawyer’s Refusal ........ 337.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reference to Specialists ........... 336.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reports With Differing Opinions ........ 508.67–69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reports, Other Auditors ........ 508.12–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Scope Limitation ........ 333.13–14; 508.22; 508.30; 9326.06–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Uncertainties ........ 508.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Updated Reports ........ 508.68–69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNUSUAL ITEMS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Analytical Procedures ........ 329.02; 329.06; 329.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.**
AU Topical Index

References are to AU section and paragraph numbers.

UNUSUAL ITEMS—continued
  · Illegal Acts by Clients . . . . . . . 317.01–.25; 933.01–.04
  · Interim Financial Information . . . . 313.07–.08; 9326.01–.05
  · Related Party Transactions . . . . . 334.08; 9334.14–.15; 9543.04–.07

USEFULNESS
  · Evidential Matter . . . . . . . 326.21; 326.24; 9326.01–.05

USERS
  · Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting . . . 9550.14–.15
  · Service Organizations . . 324.02; 324.05–.21

UTILITIES, PUBLIC
  · Departures From GAAP . . . . . 544.02–.04

VALIDITY—See Representational Faithfulness

VALUATION—Also see Fair Value
  · Assertions by Management . . . . . 326.03–.07
  · Disclosure . . . . . . . . . . . . 332.08
  · Evidential Matter . . . . . . . 332.01
  · Fair Value Disclosures . . . . 328.01–.51; 9342.01–.10
  · Fair Value Measurements . . . 328.01–.51
  · Fair Value Model . . . . . . . 328.26–.39
  · Fair Value of Securities . . . 332.05; 332.17
  · Investments . . . . . . . . . . . 332.08; 332.17; 332.21; 332.26–.48
  · Matters Requiring Specialists . . . . . . . . . . . . . 336.07

VIOLATIONS OF LAW—See Illegal Acts

W

WAREHOUSES—See Public Warehouses

WARRANTIES—See Guarantees and Warranties

WITHDRAWAL FROM AUDIT ENGAGEMENT—See Audit Engagement

WORKING CAPITAL
  · Lack of Sufficient Capital . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 334.06

WORKING PAPERS—Also see Audit Documentation
  · Access to Other Auditor’s . . . 9334.14–.15
  · Assessed Level of Control Risk . . . . . 319.83
  · Documentation of Oral Communication . . . . . 380.03; 801.23
  · Evidential Matter . . . . . . . 326.16–.20
  · Internal Control . . . . . . . . 319.61
  · Letters for Underwriters . . . . . 634.20
  · Litigation, Claims, and Assessments . . . 337.10
  · Nonaudit Services . . . . . . . 9311.01–.03
  · Omitted Auditing Procedures . . . . . . 390.04
  · Planning of Audit Work . . . . . 311.04; 311.08; 9311.01–.03
  · Related Parties . . . . . . . 334.07
  · Review . . . . . . . . . . . . 311.04; 311.08
  · Review by Predecessor Auditor . . . 508.72
  · Review by Principal Auditor . . . 543.12
  · Review by Successor Auditor . . 315.11–.13; 315.15;
  · . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 315.19–.20; 315.24–.25
  · Understanding With Client . . . . 310.05

[The next page is 2401.]