University of Mississippi

eGrove

AICPA Annual Reports

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Historical Collection

1993

AICPA campaign treasurer computer software survey compilation

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/aicpa_arprts



Part of the Accounting Commons, and the Taxation Commons

Recommended Citation

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, "AICPA campaign treasurer computer software survey compilation" (1993). AICPA Annual Reports. 164.

https://egrove.olemiss.edu/aicpa_arprts/164

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Historical Collection at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in AICPA Annual Reports by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact egrove@olemiss.edu.

AICPA CAMPAIGN TREASURER COMPUTER SOFTWARE SURVEY COMPILATION

112 POLLED/52 RESPONSES

1)	Were you a Treasurer for a car Representatives?	ididate fo	r the	U.S.	Senate	or	House	of	
	10 Senate		_42_	House)				
	* 2 people served as campaign treas	surers for l	both a	Senato	or & a Re	epre:	sentativ	æ.	
± 2)	As a Campaign Treasurer for a car specialized computer software in ma					ou ı	utilize a	มาy	
	27 YES		_25_	NO					
3)	If you answered yes above, what is	the brand	name	of the	specializ	zed s	oftwar	e?	
	* See attached sheets for answers to # 3								
	What is the name of the Manufacturer/Developer?								
	What application(s) does the soft management, wordprocessing)	ware pro	vide?	(i.e., /	Accounti	ing,	databa	1Se	
	How do you rate these applications? (Please check appropriate box)								
	E	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
	Accounting/reporting								
	Database management								
	Wordprocessing								

Does the Software prepare final FEC reports?

3) coi	nt'd.		
	Is any special hard	ware needed to run the	e software? If yes, please explain.
	Does the software	require use of a laser p	orinter?
		YES	NO
	What memory is re-	quired to run the softw	are?
	Approximate cost of	of the software?	
	Were you satisfied Treasurer?	with the software, did	d it meet your needs as a Campaign
		YES	NO
	If yes, what were th	ne positive features?	
	Would you recomm	nend the software to ot	hers?
		YES	NO
	If you were not sati	sfied, what were the pr	roblems with the software?
4)		a specialized software backage such as LOTU	e package, did you use some type of IS, etc.?
		17 YES	_7_ NO
	* See attach	ed sheets for answers	to # 4

If yes, what software package did you use?

	Were you satisfied w Treasurer?	vith the software,	did it meet you	r needs as a Campai	gr
	_	YES	NO		
	If you were not satisfic	ed what were the	problems with th	ne software?	
5)	Please provide any oth software.	her comments you	u may have regard	ding Campaign Treasu	rei
Thank	you for your assistan	ce. Please return	the survey in the	e enclosed envelope to	o:
		AICP			
		1455 Pennsylvan			
		waeninam	I N . / I N II I/A		

What applications was the software used for?

COMMENTS FROM PEOPLE USING SPECIALIZED SOFTWARE

Please send results of the survey

Would really like results of survey

Call Stuart Rosenberg 305/667-3500

This is in 1986, so it is probably out dated.

It would be much simpler if the FEC developed (maybe with our help) a common software usable by all candidates. It would also make FEC's job easier & they could include support info in the Campaign Treasurer's manual.

Please let me know the results

It needs to be networked to fully use all functions and use passwords if others have access to financial information so they don't enter the wrong information

In 5/93 we replaced TC2 with Hannibal III & are currently in "shake-down" mode with the new program. We feel it is too early to critique Hannibal but would be glad to do so in 3-4 months if you care to send additional questionnaire.

Using dBase IV, you can create any kind of output needed

COMMENTS FROM PEOPLE NOT USING SPECIALIZED SOFTWARE

Looking for something good!

This is a much needed product.

Our campaigns are relatively small. If more dollars & higher level of expenditures were involved, we would probably benefit from specialized software.

We have not tried any campaign software.

None.

In process of evaluating special software that will provide total accounting & reporting.

None.

Would like info on appropriate software.

We're currently evaluating "Frontrunner" and "Aristotle" programs.

3) Softwares used by Campaign Treasurers

(5) Hannibal (7) Campaign Manager II One-Write+, G12, Paradox

TC-2 Party Organizer Cmpgn Software, Aristotle,

Hannibal, One-Write+

Landslide Political Cmpgn Mgmnt System Campaign Software

Pragmatix Name not provided (2) Political Cmpgn Mgmnt

Paradox Q&A dBase III

dBase IV The Election Machine 4.7

HANNIBAL

Manufacturer - Hannibal Software Inc.

Applications - Database Management & FEC reporting

Database

Accounting, reporting, database mgmnt, wordprocessing links w/WP

Accounting, database, wordprocessing

Accounting, database (dBase III), wordprocessing (Wordstar)

Applications rating

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Accounting/reporting	1	3		1
Database management		2	3	
Wordprocessing	1	2	2	

FEC reports Yes 3

No response 1

Comment* 1 (see below & attachment)

I verify the information which is prepared by Hannibal by summarizing transactions from deposits, checks, invoices, etc., and this information is linked to the first two pages of the FEC form template for automatic updating and printing. While the form is not an exact duplicate of the official FEC form, it is close; they have never questioned it. (And I did not go through the formal process of pre-approval of substitute forms.)

^{*} The Hannibal software is kept on campaign computers in our permanent campaign office, and is managed and updated by paid staff. This software prepares the FEC filing on plain paper. While I use Hannibal's detailed schedules of receipts and disbursements in the FEC filing, the two top pages of the filing are prepared on my Macintosh, utilizing Excel and a laser printer. (See attached sample)

HANNIBAL

No - 2 Special Hardware

Yes, wordprocessing software to run mail letters

2 - IBM compatible micro-computer

Laser Printer

No - 4 Yes - 1

Memory

3 - No response

512K 640K

Cost

1 - No response \$1500.00 yearly

\$2000.00 No idea

\$30,000 (including hardware in 1987)

Satisfied

Yes - 4 No - 1

Positive features

The support is very good, and it is user friendly Very functional, bug-free, versitile, user-friendly Fairly easy to use. Prepares "thank you" letters to

contributors. Good support available.

2 - No response

Recommend

Yes - 4 No - 1

Problems

Version 3 is a disaster so far

Deletions not possible if person contributed until year end. Complicates mailings if person is deceased or requests removal of name from list. With over 5000 names on list the purging has to be

done manually.

One problem was in preparing a complete report for filing -- see attached. Also, some reports require a long time to compile -- the computer is tied up for several hours at a time.

CAMPAIGN MANAGER II

Manufacturer -

Aristotle Industries

Applications -

Database/Spreadsheet

Database Management/Accounting as applies to FEC reporting

automated merge with Word Perfect

Accounting/Database Management/Wordprocessing

Accounting/FEC reporting/Direct mail fundraising/List mgmnt Wordprocessing/Public opinion polling/Precinet targeting Press releases/Candidate scheduling/Opposition research

Budgeting/Voter contact

All

Most FEC report accounting & reporting/Database/Wordprocessing

Accounting/Database mgmnt/Wordprocessing/FEC reports

Applications rating

	Excellent		Good	Fair	Poor	
Accounting/reporting	2	1	2	1		
Database management			4	1	1	
Wordprocessing		1	3	1		

FEC reports Yes - 5

Yes* - 1

No - 1

* All but first 2 pages

Special Hardware No - 4

No* - 1 (*Up to date equipment is best)

Yes - 2

Hard drive

IBM PC, AT or OS/2 with hard disk

Laser Printer

No - 4

Yes - 3

Memory

640K - 3

4 Meg+ recommended (we're running on 2 Meg w/no problem)

No response - 3

CAMPAIGN MANAGER II

Cost

\$4,500

\$2,000

\$3,000 & annual update/support fee

\$3,500

No response - 3

Satisfied

Yes - 6

No - 1

Positive features

User friendly, adaptable to individual campaign needs, excellent

support, good sub-file, list manipulation capabilities.

Reporting on FEC format

We could design forms on file to record all info. we wanted and could print reports pulling different categories. LOTUS was used as

a check & cross reference

Recommend

Yes - 6

No

Maybe - 1

Problems

Has a very poor bookeeping procedure. We've printed the same report 2x and gotten 2 different reports. I think it's a file mgmnt problem. We use QUICKEN to reconcile accounting info in this software & write our checks. It's hard to get the company to make

changes we've recommended, I realize it's not a big market.

Satisfied, but it has no mail suppres & housholding feature is not the best. This software is new to us this campaign and is used for all aspects of the campaign as relates to fundraising & reporting.

THE ELECTION MACHINE

Bill Tudor called Tom Higginbotham and informed him that this is a great package.

13 VARIOUS PACKAGES

Brand	Name	(follow corresponding symbol)
		Campaign Software, Hannibal, One Write+, Word Perfect
	♦	dBASE III, Professional Write/Word Perfect, Platinum-Acctg
	\triangle	dBase IV
		Q&A (off the shelf program to assist in recording keeping/reporting
	•	Paradox
	‡	Pragmatix
	0	TC-2
	*	Software developed by Patricia Phillips
	7	Name not provided
	/	Campaign Software
	I .	PCMS - Political Campaign Mgmnt. System Landslide Software
	Ψ	One Write+, Paradox
	*	Party Organizer
	\$ ∮	Political Campaign Management Software
	•	1 Ontola Campaign Management Contware
Manuf	acturer	
		Aristotle, Hannibal
	♦	Self developed over 12 years
	\triangle	Ashton-Tate a Borland Co.
		Symantec Corporation
	•	Developed in-house
	₩	Custom made
	0	Headlee Systems
	/	Campaign Software, Inc.
	1	Sal Guzetta (800) 562-6624
	•	Landslide Software
	∮	Campaign Software
	√	Political Publishing
Applic	ations	
		FEC, accounting, wordprocessing
	♦	Database, accounting, wordprocessing
	♦	Accounting, database mgmnt, wordprocessing
		Accounting, database, wordprocessing
	•	Database with FEC reporting with link to wordprocessing software
		(PFS write or Microsoft Word)
	✡	Accounting, database mgmnt, wordprocessing
	0	Accounting, mail/database mgmnt
	*	Word Perfect access, database mgmnt, accounts for indiv. line totals for
		FEC reports, SIC code analysis, contribution list by county or size of gift
		or others
	1	Database management, FEC reporting format

Applic	ations	cont'd.							
	/	Accounting, public disclosure rpts, database							
	1	Reporting, Wordprocessing							
	•	Accounting, reporting to FEC, wordprocessing & database mgmnt							
	\$ ∮ _	We also use Word Perfect for letters, merging etc.							
	9_	Accounting, database mgmnt, wordprocessing							
	✓	FEC reports, list mgmnt, budget mgmnt, scheduling, wordprocessing							
Applic	ations	rating							
, (ppc		Excellent Good Fair Poor							
Accou	ıntina/	reporting $\Diamond \triangle \Diamond $							
Datab	ase ma	anagement ♦ △ • ❖ • \$ □ o ፆ √ □ ★ ✔ 1							
Word	oroces	anagement ♦ △ • ❖ • ‡ □ ★ ✓ □ ★ ✓ □ ★ ✓ □ ★ ✓ □							
FEC r	eports	Yes □ ◆ • * * o * • * 1 ◆ √							
		No							
		Parts /							
*	Excer	otion of summary page							
**		l if programed							
***		t summary pages we prepared manually							
	, DC	t sammary pages we propared managing							
Specia	al Harc	lware							
•		486 120M Hard 8 Meg RAM min. with large databases, one needs hard disk							
		space for both program and data. A fast CPU and RAM to do sorts quickly							
		In the mid 80's, sorts could take hours, now a few minutes.							
	_	IDM Composible							
	•	IBM Compatible							
	√	PC - 286 or higher							
	=								
	No								

Laser Printer

☐ HP IV you need extra ram in the printer Yes - ♦ ❖ ♦

Yes - ▼ ♥ ▼ No - △ ■ ● o ★ ↗ l ♦ √

Memo	ory											
		Depen meg)	ds on V	Vindows,	, but 8	meg n	nin.,	preferrable	more	(we	ordered	16
	♦	640K										
	\triangle	2mg										
	•	1meg										
	*	512K										
	P	640K										
	•		am with	1MG into	ernal							
	\$	2										
	Ť	640K										
Cost		\$ 3,000)									
	♦	\$ 2,200)									
	\triangle	\$ 550										
	0	•) in 198	9								
	*	\$ 7,000)									
	/	\$ 1,900										
	1	\$ 800										
	♦	\$ 1,810	0.75									
	∯	\$ 600										
	√	\$ 3,500	כ									
Satisfi	ed		•									
	Yes		• 🌣 .	7 1/11	\$							
	No	★ √										
				th Hanni could no				provided ata				
Positiv	ve featu	ıres										
	☐ Eas	se of us	se, spee	d of pro	cessing	J						
				& FEC r								
				of repor			kane	(Paradox	١			
				d suppor					,			
								pabilities				
Recor	nmend					7 + {):					
			No - o	*~	√							

Problems

- Does not do accounting. I have been involved since 1979 when I attended the AICPA FEC training in DC. We first were manual, then a local vendor developed software. In about 1984, we moved to campaign software. We utilized it until 1990, then Aristotle bought out campaign software. We used several packages until Hannibal 3. The problems I see are as follows: In Senate races the cycle is every six years. In House races, every two years. The state party and other federal races are also present. If possible, compatability is important. Any campaign software will be limited. compaines are on a shoe string. Stan Huckaby has several stories. The software has alimited market. Yet, to manage a large database and win elections, you need software to manage the database and prepare FEC reports. In addition, you need an accounting package to prepare financial statements, budgets, etc. in order for the campaign financing to be addressed timely and quickly. You need word processing to respond to the volume of letters, etc. In Idaho, we find \$500,000+ for a congressional race and \$1,500,000 in a senatorial or governatorial race. In one senatorial race the expenditures were compressed to a ten month period. The state party accounting has special problems because of federal vs. state funds. My recommendation has been and continues to be for the AICPA to provide training and a core for elections, because any program needs continuety and stability. I would be glad to discuss this with you further. Richard W. Jackson
- O Headlee entered the market in 1985 but lost interest in 1990 and began focusing on non-political applications. TC-2 was too memory intensive and as more data was added, it began breaking down & Headlee was not issuing upgrades. I don't think they even market TC-2 currently.
 - In 5/93 we replaced TC-2 with Hannibal III and are currently in "SHAKE-DOWN" mode with the new program. We feel it is too early to critique Hannibal but would be glad to do so in 3-4 months if you care to send additional questionnaire. Gerard McHale, Jr.
- ★ Did not go far enough in providing totals that fit directly into FEC Form 3 and also into 1120-POL. It would be much simpler if the FEC (maybe with our help) a common software usable by all candidates. It would also make FEC's job easier & they could include support info. in the Campaign Treasurer's Manual.
- ✓ This was in 1986 so it is probably outdated.
- Memory, Support, Bugs!

Problems

- Paradox needs to be created on the database. I'd like to use a single software for limits on contrib./word proc. & FEC filing.
- The program is not longer supported nor superceeded to my knowledge. Lacked bells & whistles to warn of excess contributions in a specific campaign phase. I would want: accounting/reporting, database mgmnt, wordprocessing, FEC reports, campaign phase monitoring.
- √ Not integrated with the general ledger or accounts payable control. Processing of the FEC report is extremely slow.
- 4) Treasurers using a standard package along with specialized software

5 - Yes

22 - No / N/A / No response

Package Used

Applications

Wordstar 6.0

Excel for Macintosh

Wordprocessing

Summarizing transactions, preparing report

PFS File & LOTUS 123

Spreadsheet

One Write+, Word Perfect

Accounting, Wordprocessing

LOTUS for Voter Analysis

Satisfied

5 - Yes