

University of Mississippi

eGrove

---

Meeting Minutes

Faculty Senate

---

9-11-2018

**September 11, 2018**

University of Mississippi. Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: [https://egrove.olemiss.edu/facsen\\_minutes](https://egrove.olemiss.edu/facsen_minutes)

---

#### **Recommended Citation**

University of Mississippi. Faculty Senate, "September 11, 2018" (2018). *Meeting Minutes*. 178.  
[https://egrove.olemiss.edu/facsen\\_minutes/178](https://egrove.olemiss.edu/facsen_minutes/178)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in Meeting Minutes by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact [egrove@olemiss.edu](mailto:egrove@olemiss.edu).

## Faculty Senate Agenda – September 11, 2018

Present:

Absent: Susan Hannah Allen

- **Call Meeting to Order**
  - 6:00 pm
- **Approval of May 8 2018 Minutes**
  - Motion: Breese Quinn
    - Second: Brad Jones
    - Discussion
      - None
  - Pass by acclimation
- **Next meeting notes**
  - October meeting
    - Chancellor and Provost to present state of the University details
  - November meeting
    - Katie Busby to discuss QEP launch
  -
- **Committee Reports**
  - Academic Instructional Affairs (Corina Petrescu)
    - No report
  - Academic Conduct (Vivian Ibrahim)
    - No report
  - Finance & Benefits (Phillis George)
    - No report
  - Development & Planning (Mary Roseman)
    - No report
  - Governance (April Holm)
    - No report
  - Research & Creative Achievement (Thomas Peattie)
    - No report

- University Services (Brad Jones)
  - No report
- Executive Committee (Brice Noonan)
  - Vice Chancellor for Research & Sponsored Programs search
    - Brice on search committee
    - Four videos for candidates are now available
      - Provide feedback (September 11, 2018)
    - Comment: Can the videos of candidates talks be sent out earlier in the process so that everyone has the chance to review and make comments on them in the future?
    - Comment: Concern from Sociology and Anthropology that some of the candidates did not address the research interests of the social sciences and humanities faculty on campus.
      - R: 3 of the 4 candidates were asked about the importance and place of the Arts and Humanities within the institution, so see the videos for those responses.
  - Chief of Staff search
    - Sue Kaiser is retiring, but will stay to train the new people that will be hired.
    - The people short-listed for the position are coming starting next Monday. The candidates have a great deal of administrative experience. This person will fill in and speak for the Chancellor when he is away.
    - The candidates have a lot of experience and it looks like a good pool
    - Questions
      - Q: How many faculty members on the search committee?
        - R: There are five people on the committee and the senate represents 20% of the members
  - Dean searches (Engineering, Applied Sciences, Graduate School)
    - Engineering position filled
    - The senate has representatives on the two open position committees
      - Please go to the presentations if you are available
  - Addition of A+ to grading scale

- The ASB is pushing for the addition of an A+ to the university grading system
  - This system came into action in 2010
    - Faculty can decide whether or not the system is implemented in their course
  - The first problem is that GPA can't be higher than 4.0,
    - The proposal is that the 4.3 of an A+ would cancel out lower grades, but two A+s would still be a 4.0
  - Faculty and administration are more concerned with C-
  - Assigning this to academic and instructional affairs committee
  - This will be a particular concern related to grade inflation
  - Questions:
    - Q: Why can't we have higher than a 4.0?
      - A (provost): Largely because we have a published 0-4.0 scale within our computer system. Weighted GPAs are accepted by some universities, but that has not been our experience. Many graduate and professional programs will not accept anything higher than a 4.0.
  - Brice to distribute ASB report to committee
- Leave Policy FAQ
  - In 2015 the senate asked for a transparent leave policy to be developed
  - All of the policies are available online and much of it is subjective
  - Request was for HR to come up with a FAQ to assist faculty members with navigating this material
  - ASK: Please poll faculty members to review this FAQ and let us know where things can be improved. The Senate will collate these comments and get them back to HR. – <http://hr.olemiss.edu/benefits/leave/>
  - Comment/question: Is there anything that prevents the University from going above and beyond the minimum policy?
    - R (provost): My current understanding from HR is that we are governed by both the federal and state agencies and the

state agencies prevent us from being more progressive in this regard. I would also suggest utilizing career navigators to assist with this process. Career connectors can meet with potential candidates that are outside of the search process.

- Q: Are the chairs aware of these?
  - A: They have been told and the deans have also been made aware. It has been about a year since these roles have been developed.
  - F/U: One of the issues faculty have faced is particularly with dealing with the birth of a child, wherein they have to deal largely with the chair, who often doesn't know the process.
- Move of the Employee health center from campus to the site of the old hospital.
  - We are still working on the specific details of this move and will get back to the senate with details as they become available.

- **Old Business**

- **No old business**

- **New Business**

- Comment: with respect to university wide committees will non-tenure faculty be eligible to serve?
  - A: There were a few documents that still stated that non-tenure faculty were in-eligible to serve. Those discrepancies have been addressed and there are no limitations for things like Chancellors standing committee. As the senate is asked to representatives I don't see there being any reason to prevent them from serving. I think that Departments are currently trying to figure out how to deal with that on a case-by-case basis.
    - F/U: I am assuming that you are talking specifically about committees that are not making decisions around thing like tenure and promotion, wherein we need to have appropriate faculty making those decisions.
      - F/U: The CoLA is currently reviewing chair hiring committee process and there is discussion about adding a non-tenure track person on the search committees.

- **Adjournment**

- Motion:

- Vivian Ibrahim

- Second:

- April Holmes

- Vote:

- All in favor