Consolidated financial statements; Accounting Research Bulletin, no. 51

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Committee on Accounting Procedure

Accounting Research BULLETINS

★

Issued by the
Committee on Accounting Procedure
American Institute of
Certified Public Accountants
270 Madison Avenue, New York 16, N. Y.

August, 1959 No. 51

Consolidated Financial Statements

Purpose of Consolidated Statements

1. The purpose of consolidated statements is to present, primarily for the benefit of the shareholders and creditors of the parent company, the results of operations and the financial position of a parent company and its subsidiaries essentially as if the group were a single company with one or more branches or divisions. There is a presumption that consolidated statements are more meaningful than separate statements and that they are usually necessary for a fair presentation when one of the companies in the group directly or indirectly has a controlling financial interest in the other companies.

Consolidation Policy

2. The usual condition for a controlling financial interest is ownership of a majority voting interest, and, therefore, as a general rule ownership by one company, directly or indirectly, of over fifty per cent of the outstanding voting shares of another company is a condition pointing toward consolidation. However, there are exceptions to this general rule. For example, a subsidiary should not be consolidated where control is likely to be temporary, or where it does not rest with the majority owners (as, for instance, where the subsidiary is in legal reorganization or in bankruptcy). There may also be situations where the minority interest in the subsidiary is so large, in relation to the equity of the shareholders of the parent in the consolidated net assets, that the presentation of separate financial statements for the two companies would be more meaningful and useful. However, the fact that

the subsidiary has a relatively large indebtedness to bondholders or others is not in itself a valid argument for exclusion of the subsidiary from consolidation. (Also, see Chapter 12 of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43 for the treatment of foreign subsidiaries.)

3. In deciding upon consolidation policy, the aim should be to make the financial presentation which is most meaningful in the circumstances. The reader should be given information which is suitable to his needs, but he should not be burdened with unnecessary detail. Thus, even though a group of companies is heterogeneous in character, it may be better to make a full consolidation than to present a large number of separate statements. On the other hand, separate statements or combined statements would be preferable for a subsidiary or group of subsidiaries if the presentation of financial information concerning the particular activities of such subsidiaries would be more informative to shareholders and creditors of the parent company than would the inclusion of such subsidiaries in the consolidation. For example, separate statements may be required for a subsidiary which is a bank or an insurance company and may be preferable for a finance company where the parent and the other subsidiaries are engaged in manufacturing operations.

4. A difference in fiscal periods of a parent and a subsidiary does not of itself justify the exclusion of the subsidiary from consolidation. It ordinarily is feasible for the subsidiary to prepare, for consolidation purposes, statements for a period which corresponds with or closely approaches the fiscal period of the parent. However, where the difference is not more than about three months, it usually is acceptable to use, for consolidation purposes, the subsidiary's statements for its fiscal period; when this is done, recognition should be given by disclosure or otherwise to the effect of intervening events which materially affect the financial position or results of operations.

5. Consolidated statements should disclose the consolidation policy which is being followed. In most cases this can be made apparent by the headings or other information in the statements, but in other cases a footnote is required.

Consolidation Procedure Generally

6. In the preparation of consolidated statements, intercompany balances and transactions should be eliminated. This includes inter-

company open account balances, security holdings, sales and purchases, interest, dividends, etc. As consolidated statements are based on the assumption that they represent the financial position and operating results of a single business enterprise, such statements should not include gain or loss on transactions among the companies in the group. Accordingly, any intercompany profit or loss on assets remaining within the group should be eliminated; the concept usually applied for this purpose is gross profit or loss. (See also paragraph 17.) However, in a regulated industry where a parent or subsidiary manufactures or constructs facilities for other companies in the consolidated group, the foregoing is not intended to require the elimination of intercompany profit to the extent that such profit is substantially equivalent to a reasonable return on investment ordinarily capitalized in accordance with the established practice of the industry.

Elimination of Intercompany Investments

7. Where the cost to the parent of the investment in a purchased[1] subsidiary exceeds the parent's equity in the subsidiary's net assets at the date of acquisition, as shown by the books of the subsidiary, the excess should be dealt with in the consolidated balance sheet according to its nature. In determining the difference, provision should be made for specific costs or losses which are expected to be incurred in the integration of the operations of the subsidiary with those of the parent, or otherwise as a result of the acquisition, if the amount thereof can be reasonably determined. To the extent that the difference is considered to be attributable to tangible assets and specific intangible assets, such as patents, it should be allocated to them. Any difference which cannot be so applied should be shown among the assets in the consolidated balance sheet under one or more appropriately descriptive captions. When the difference is allocated to depreciable or amortizable assets, depreciation and amortization policies should be such as to absorb the excess over the remaining life of related assets. For subsequent treatment of intangibles, see Chapter 5 of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43.

8. In general, parallel procedures should be followed in the reverse type of case. Where the cost to the parent is less than its equity in the net assets of the purchased subsidiary, as shown by the books

[1] See Accounting Research Bulletin No. 48, *Business Combinations,* for the difference in treatment between a purchase and a pooling of interests.

of the subsidiary at the date of acquisition, the amount at which such net assets are carried in the consolidated statements should not exceed the parent's cost. Accordingly, to the extent that the difference, determined as indicated in paragraph 7, is considered to be attributable to specific assets, it should be allocated to them, with corresponding adjustments of the depreciation or amortization. In unusual circumstances there may be a remaining difference which it would be acceptable to show in a credit account, which ordinarily would be taken into income in future periods on a reasonable and systematic basis. A procedure sometimes followed in the past was to credit capital surplus with the amount of the excess; such a procedure is not now considered acceptable.

9. The earned surplus or deficit of a purchased[1] subsidiary at the date of acquisition by the parent should not be included in consolidated earned surplus.

10. When one company purchases two or more blocks of stock of another company at various dates and eventually obtains control of the other company, the date of acquisition (for the purpose of preparing consolidated statements) depends on the circumstances. If two or more purchases are made over a period of time, the earned surplus of the subsidiary at acquisition should generally be determined on a step-by-step basis; however, if small purchases are made over a period of time and then a purchase is made which results in control, the date of the latest purchase, as a matter of convenience, may be considered as the date of acquisition. Thus there would generally be included in consolidated income for the year in which control is obtained the postacquisition income for that year, and in consolidated earned surplus the postacquisition income of prior years, attributable to each block previously acquired. For example, if a 45% interest was acquired on October 1, 1957 and a further 30% interest was acquired on April 1, 1958, it would be appropriate to include in consolidated income for the year ended December 31, 1958, 45% of the earnings of the subsidiary for the three months ended March 31, and 75% of the earnings for the nine months ended December 31, and to credit consolidated earned surplus in 1958 with 45% of the undistributed earnings of the subsidiary for the three months ended December 31, 1957.

---

[1] See Accounting Research Bulletin No. 48, *Business Combinations,* for the difference in treatment between a purchase and a pooling of interests.

11. When a subsidiary is purchased during the year, there are alternative ways of dealing with the results of its operations in the consolidated income statement. One method, which usually is preferable, especially where there are several dates of acquisition of blocks of shares, is to include the subsidiary in the consolidation as though it had been acquired at the beginning of the year, and to deduct at the bottom of the consolidated income statement the preacquisition earnings applicable to each block of stock. This method presents results which are more indicative of the current status of the group, and facilitates future comparison with subsequent years. Another method of prorating income is to include in the consolidated statement only the subsidiary's revenue and expenses subsequent to the date of acquisition.

12. Where the investment in a subsidiary is disposed of during the year, it may be preferable to omit the details of operations of the subsidiary from the consolidated income statement, and to show the equity of the parent in the earnings of the subsidiary prior to disposal as a separate item in the statement.

13. Shares of the parent held by a subsidiary should not be treated as outstanding stock in the consolidated balance sheet.

Minority Interests

14. The amount of intercompany profit or loss to be eliminated in accordance with paragraph 6 is not affected by the existence of a minority interest. The complete elimination of the intercompany profit or loss is consistent with the underlying assumption that consolidated statements represent the financial position and operating results of a single business enterprise. The elimination of the intercompany profit or loss may be allocated proportionately between the majority and minority interests.

15. In the unusual case in which losses applicable to the minority interest in a subsidiary exceed the minority interest in the equity capital of the subsidiary, such excess and any further losses applicable to the minority interest should be charged against the majority interest, as there is no obligation of the minority interest to make good such losses. However, if future earnings do materialize, the majority interest should be credited to the extent of such losses previously absorbed.

Income Taxes

16. When separate income tax returns are filed, income taxes usually are incurred when earnings of subsidiaries are transferred to the parent. Where it is reasonable to assume that a part or all of the undistributed earnings of a subsidiary will be transferred to the parent in a taxable distribution, provision for related income taxes should be made on an estimated basis at the time the earnings are included in consolidated income, unless these taxes are immaterial in amount when effect is given, for example, to dividend-received deductions or foreign-tax credits. There is no need to provide for income tax to the parent company in cases where the income has been, or there is evidence that it will be, permanently invested by the subsidiaries, or where the only likely distribution would be in the form of a tax-free liquidation.

17. If income taxes have been paid on intercompany profits on assets remaining within the group, such taxes should be deferred or the intercompany profits to be eliminated in consolidation should be appropriately reduced.

Stock Dividends of Subsidiaries

18. Occasionally, subsidiary companies capitalize earned surplus arising since acquisition, by means of a stock dividend or otherwise. This does not require a transfer to capital surplus on consolidation, inasmuch as the retained earnings in the consolidated financial statements should reflect the accumulated earnings of the consolidated group not distributed to the shareholders of, or capitalized by, the parent company.

Unconsolidated Subsidiaries in Consolidated Statements

19. There are two methods of dealing with unconsolidated subsidiaries in consolidated statements. Whichever method is adopted should be used for all unconsolidated subsidiaries, subject to appropriate modification in special circumstances. The preferable method, in the view of the committee, is to adjust the investment through income currently to take up the share of the controlling company or companies in the subsidiaries' net income or net loss,

except where the subsidiary was excluded because of exchange restrictions or other reasons which raise the question of whether the increase in equity has accrued to the credit of the group. (Adjustments of the investment would also be made for "special" debits or credits shown on the income statements of the unconsolidated subsidiaries below the net income for the period, and for similar items shown in the schedule of earned surplus.) The other method, more commonly used at present, is to carry the investment at cost, and to take up income as dividends are received; however, provision should be made for any material impairment of the investment, such as through losses sustained by the subsidiaries, unless it is deemed to be temporary. When the latter method is followed, the consolidated statements should disclose, by footnote or otherwise, the cost of the investment in the unconsolidated subsidiaries, the equity of the consolidated group of companies in their net assets, the dividends received from them in the current period, and the equity of the consolidated group in their earnings for the period; this information may be given in total or by individual subsidiaries or groups of subsidiaries.

20. Whichever method of dealing with unconsolidated subsidiaries is followed, if there is a difference between the cost of the investment and the equity in net assets at the date of acquisition, appropriate recognition should be given to the possibility that, had the subsidiaries been consolidated, part of such difference would have been reflected in adjusted depreciation or amortization. Also, appropriate recognition should be given to the necessity for an adjustment for intercompany gains or losses on transactions with unconsolidated subsidiaries. If sales are made to unconsolidated subsidiaries and the investment in the subsidiaries is carried at cost plus the equity in undistributed earnings, an elimination of unrealized intercompany gains and losses should be made to the same extent as if the subsidiaries were consolidated. The same applies where intercompany sales are made by the unconsolidated subsidiaries. If, however, the investment is carried at cost, it is not necessary to eliminate the intercompany gain on sales to such subsidiaries, if the gain on the sales does not exceed the unrecorded equity in undistributed earnings of the unconsolidated subsidiaries. If such gain is material, it should be appropriately disclosed. Where the sales are made by the unconsolidated subsidiaries to companies included in the consolidated group, the intercompany gains or losses should be eliminated in arriving at the amount of the equity in the undistributed earnings of the un-

consolidated subsidiaries which will be disclosed in a footnote or otherwise. (See paragraph 19.)

21. Where the unconsolidated subsidiaries are, in the aggregate, material in relation to the consolidated financial position or operating results, summarized information as to their assets, liabilities and operating results should be given in the footnotes or separate statements should be presented for such subsidiaries, either individually or in groups, as appropriate.

Combined Statements

22. To justify the preparation of consolidated statements, the controlling financial interest should rest directly or indirectly in one of the companies included in the consolidation. There are circumstances, however, where combined financial statements (as distinguished from consolidated statements) of commonly controlled companies are likely to be more meaningful than their separate statements. For example, combined financial statements would be useful where one individual owns a controlling interest in several corporations which are related in their operations. Combined statements would also be used to present the financial position and the results of operations of a group of unconsolidated subsidiaries. They might also be used to combine the financial statements of companies under common management.

23. Where combined statements are prepared for a group of related companies, such as a group of unconsolidated subsidiaries or a group of commonly controlled companies, intercompany transactions and profits or losses should be eliminated, and if there are problems in connection with such matters as minority interests, foreign operations, different fiscal periods, or income taxes, they should be treated in the same manner as in consolidated statements.

Parent-Company Statements

24. In some cases parent-company statements may be needed, in addition to consolidated statements, to indicate adequately the position of bondholders and other creditors or preferred stockholders of the parent. Consolidating statements, in which one column is used for the parent company and other columns for particular subsidiaries

or groups of subsidiaries, often are an effective means of presenting the pertinent information.

The statement entitled "Consolidated Financial Statements" was unanimously adopted by the twenty-one members of the committee, of whom nine, Messrs. Bedford, Dunn, Graese, Graham, Halvorson, Hoyler, Kent, Powell, and Werntz, assented with qualification.

Mr. Bedford objects to the provision in paragraph 2 that ownership of over fifty per cent of the outstanding voting stock is the general rule governing consolidation policy. He believes the over fifty per cent ownership requirement is at best only one of several criteria evidencing the existence of a consolidated entity.

Messrs. Graese and Hoyler do not agree with the statement made in the last sentence of paragraph 8. Mr. Graese believes there are cases in which the crediting of a capital surplus account with the "excess credit" will result in a more appropriate presentation of consolidated operations and financial position, particularly in (but not limited to) situations where the acquisition of control of the subsidiary has been accomplished over an extended period of time or where there are acquisitions of minority interest at a date considerably after obtaining control. Mr. Hoyler is of the opinion that there have been, and probably will be, circumstances under which credits to capital surplus of the excesses referred to in this paragraph will be appropriate.

Messrs. Halvorson and Werntz object to the relative emphasis given to the recommendations in paragraph 10, which they believe should be reversed. They believe that the date of the purchase which results in control should generally be considered to be the date of acquisition; however, if a limited number of purchases are made over a period of time pursuant to a plan or program which culminates in control, they agree that the earned surplus of the subsidiary at acquisition may be determined on a step-by-step basis.

Mr. Halvorson disagrees with the recommendation in paragraph 18. In his view, the usual subsidiary is a closely held corporation, and consequently is under no pressure to declare stock dividends and is under no compulsion to follow the "fair value" method of accounting for them if it does. If it does capitalize earned surplus by means of a stock dividend or otherwise, particularly "otherwise," he feels that it must have been done with a purpose relating to its financial position, at the direction of, and with the acquiescence of, the parent company, and that the capitalization should carry through into the consolidated surplus accounts. If the subsidiary is one in which there is a publicly held minority interest, and a stock dividend is issued and accounted for on a fair-value basis in the manner of an independent publicly owned corporation, the accounting for earned surplus in respect of the majority interest would be the same as that for the minority interest, and again he believes that the capitalization should follow through into the consolidated surplus accounts. Mr. Powell also disagrees with the conclusion expressed in this paragraph. He believes that if a parent causes a subsidiary to freeze a part or all of its earned surplus through the payment of a stock dividend or otherwise, thus making such surplus unavailable for ordinary dividends, it should follow a similar procedure on consolidation.

Mr. Kent believes the consolidation policy section is deficient since it fails to restrict the increasing practice of not including certain subsidiaries in consolidated financial statements. He suggests that the bulletin may possibly result in further increasing such practice as a consequence of the preference expressed in paragraph 19 for the inclusion of the equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries in consolidated statements. It is his belief that in the usual situation a full consolidation policy as implied in paragraph 1 is generally preferable, supplemented by such summarized financial information, in footnotes or otherwise, as may be appropriate.

Messrs. Dunn and Graham believe that the "preferable" method in paragraph 19 should be recognized as the only acceptable method of dealing with unconsolidated subsidiaries in consolidated statements, and that the method which carries the investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries at cost, and takes up as income only the dividends received, should be discontinued as rapidly as is practicable. They feel that the "preferable" method conforms to the purpose of consolidated statements as set forth in paragraph 1 — to present the results of operations and the financial position essentially as if the group were a single company, and that its uniform adoption would increase the comparability of the financial statements of different companies, and would avoid the possibility of manipulation of reported consolidated earnings through the control of dividends received by the parent.

Mr. Dunn believes that paragraph 20 should require the elimination of intercompany gain on sales to unconsolidated subsidiaries if the failure to do so would have a material effect on the reported consolidated income, regardless of whether the gain on intercompany sales exceeds the unrecorded equity in undistributed earnings of the unconsolidated subsidiaries.

NOTES

(See Introduction to *Accounting Research Bulletin* No. 43.)

1. Accounting Research Bulletins represent the considered opinion of at least two-thirds of the members of the committee on accounting procedure, reached on a formal vote after examination of the subject matter by the committee, the technical services department, and the director of research. Except in cases in which formal adoption by the Institute membership has been asked and secured, the authority of the bulletins rests upon the general acceptability of opinions so reached.

2. Opinions of the committee are not intended to be retroactive unless they contain a statement of such intention. They should not be considered applicable to the accounting for transactions arising prior to the publication of the opinions. However, the committee does not wish to discourage the revision of past accounts in an individual case if the accountant thinks it desirable in the circumstances. Opinions of the committee should be considered as applicable only to items which are material and significant in the relative circumstances.

3. It is recognized also that any general rules may be subject to exception; it is felt, however, that the burden of justifying departure from accepted procedures must be assumed by those who adopt other treatment. Except where there is a specific statement of a different intent by the committee, its opinions and recommendations are directed primarily to business enterprises organized for profit.

COMMITTEE ON ACCOUNTING PROCEDURE (1958-59)

WILLIAM W. WERNTZ, Chairman	CHARLES A. HOYLER	SAMUEL L. READY
NORTON M. BEDFORD	DONALD R. JENNINGS	WALTER R. STAUB
GARRETT T. BURNS	RALPH E. KENT	WILLIAM J. von MINDEN
KEITH W. DUNN	GEORGE W. LAFFERTY	EDWARD B. WILCOX
CARL M. ESENOFF	JOHN F. MACHA	DELMAR G. WILSEY
CLIFFORD E. GRAESE	JOHN K. McCLARE	
WILLARD J. GRAHAM	HERBERT E. MILLER	CARMAN G. BLOUGH
NEWMAN T. HALVORSON	WELDON POWELL	Director of Research