University of Mississippi

eGrove

Association Sections, Divisions, Boards, Teams

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Historical Collection

4-24-1950

Analysis of the Age, Education and Experience of 1814 Candidates Taking the May, 1949 CPA Examination

American Institute of Accountants. Board of Examiners

Robert L. Kane

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/aicpa_assoc

ANALYSIS OF THE AGE, EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF 1814 CANDIDATES TAKING THE MAY, 1949 CPA EXAMINATION

Prepared for the Board of Examiners of the American Institute of Accountants

April 24, 1950 Robert L. Kane, Jr.

THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENCES IN AGE, EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE ON CANDIDATES TAKING THE CPA EXAMINATION IN MAY 1949

This is the third study of the effects of differences in age, education and experience of candidates for the CPA certificate. The first of these studies was made of the candidates on the November 1946 examination. The second concerned candidates at the november 1948 examination. Each of these studies has been made of only a sample group of candidates. The sample for May 1949 included 1814 candidates. These candidates were from several states that have varying eligibility requirements.

The classifications used in the present study are identical with those used in the November 1948 study except that students who did not complete college, but have completed a technical school, were classified separately instead of being classified with the technical school group as they were for November 1948. One defect was found in the classification. In several instances, it appears that students who were seniors in May, but expected to graduate in June, were sometimes classified in the college incomplete group. It would be more appropriate to classify them as college graduates. How frequent this classification was made, is not readily determinable.

These data are all separated into successful and non-successful categories. A successful candidate is defined as one who is credited with having passed all subjects making up the uniform examination, whether the four subjects were passed at the May examination or some of them at prior examinations. Because of the variation in states included as well as because of deletion of the data sheets where they were incomplete in any particular, the direct comparison of figures is not considered significant as between successful and unsuccessful candidates. However, the relationships of various sub-groups to the group totals should be comparable and significant.

Schedules I-a and I-b present a classification of the candidates both by education and by experience. There was very little change from the prior study in the distribution of candidates as to their education. There was a slight increase in the relative number who were college graduates, and about an equal decrease in the number who had only a high school education. When the experience of the May 1949 candidates is compared with that of candidates taking the examination in November 1948, we find a noticeable decrease in the number of candidates who have had over four years of experience. Since the states included in the studies are not identical, that change might be related to varying state experience requirements. When the combined factors of education and experience are considered. it is found that the candidates having a college education were considerably more successful than those having a lesser amount of education. The extent of such advantage for the college group is shown by the fact that they constituted 63.9% of the successful candidates but were only 54.2% of the unsuccessful candidates. Candidates having up to 4 years of public accounting experience were also much more successful than other candidates, and especially were those candidates having from two to four years of such experience relatively successful. This last group was 34.1% of all successful candidates but only 19% of the unsuccessful candidates. These results are consistent with those shown in the previous study.

The sub-classification of the college graduate group shows that those who completed graduate school were relatively more successful than those who did not, and that those who majored in accounting were more successful than those who did not major in accounting.

Schedules II-a and II-b show the candidates classified as to the number of attempts made at the examination. Twenty-six percent (26%) of the successful candidates passed on their first attempt. This compares with 32% shown by the prior study. A total of 84.5% of those passing were successful on either their first,

second or third attempt. Schedule II-b shows that 51% of the unsuccessful candidates were taking the examination for the first time. This is quite a large increase over that shown by the previous sample. Considering both successful and unsuccessful candidates the percentage of the totals that were first-time candidates increased from 38 to 46.

Page 2 of Schedule II-b presents the data for unsuccessful candidates classified as to experience and number of attempts at the examination. A comparison of the experience of first-time candidates in this sample with that in the prior sample shows that all of the relative increase was in the classification of candidates either with no experience, non-public experience, or less than two years of public experience. There was a decrease shown for the other three classes. There was a deterioration in the preparation of the candidates as measured by experience in public accounting.

Schedules III-a and III-b show the classification of the candidates who took the examination the first time. Since there were only 92 successful candidates in this analysis, the figures for that group are hardly significant. The evidence of this study and of the prior study indicates that candidates are most successful if they have completed college and had over two years of public accounting experience. Of the 748 unsuccessful candidates, only 108 have such a desirable background. It is observed that 52.2% of the unsuccessful candidates have come into the examination without any experience or with only non-public experience. Also, of this 52.2%, it is found that 40% have not finished college.

Schedules IV-a and IV-b show the partial success of the unsuccessful candidates. They show that of the candidates classed as unsuccessful, 25.6% have passed Auditing; 49% have passed Commercial Law; 35% have passed Theory of Accounts and 24.2% have passed Accounting Practice. When the results shown in Schedule IV-a are studied, it

is found that college graduates are considerably more successful than the noncollege graduates in all of the areas. However, accounting majors were more
successful than non-majors only in problems. Since the same condition was found to
exist in the analysis of the data for November 1948, it does not appear likely that
it is an error in the sample.

Schedule IV-b confirms the overall results as to the effect of experience. Candidates with from 2 to 4 years of experience in public accounting have the best percentage of passing on all subjects. In Auditing the lack of experience proved to be a definite handicap to candidates. This same condition was also found to exist in the sample for November 1948.

Schedules V-a, V-b, V-c and V-d present analyses of the age of candidates according to various classifications. There is a positive correlation between age and experience as might be expected. Candidates with considerable experience are older than those without experience or with little experience. The classification by age and education shows that college graduates take the examination sooner than non-graduates, having evidently offset the time devoted to schooling which presumably was spent by non-graduates in working.

Schedule VI gives comparative data from the 1946, 1948 and 1949 studies. Some of the differences shown in this schedule probably arise from the fact that the three studies do not include exactly the same states. Variations in experience requirements and in educational requirements between states probably have caused a part of these differences. The May study shows a much higher percentage of college graduates who have majored in accounting than was shown by either of the prior studies. Corresponding with that is the increase of candidates passing without having had any accounting experience. The previous evidence indicates that graduates do tend to pass with less experience than do non-graduates, therefore these results are consistent with what should be anticipated. These data clearly show the effect

of experience as a factor in passing Auditing. Those passing Auditing with no experience are a much smaller percentage of the total than those without experience are of the total for any other subject.

Before presenting the general conclusions that may be drawn from the study, certain limitations should be pointed out.

All three of the studies referred to herein, have been based on data from only about one-half of the states and have not included more than 10% of the total number of candidates. The states that have supplied the data used for these studies may not be representative of the national situation. Even for those states submitting data, it has not been possible to include all candidates. In a number of instances tabulation has shown that a part of the desired data was lacking, in which case the candidate could not be included. Other data have been deleted when it appeared to be inaccurate.

Apart from the sampling problem, there are a number of factors, which probably have significant effects on a candidate's success or failure, that have been ignored for purposes of these studies. Natural aptitude for accounting might be a more important factor than education, but that factor could not be considered. There are possible variations in the quality of experience which might exist as between different groups and there are possible variations in the quality of education, neither of which have been considered. Such conclusions as can be drawn from the studies are sound only on the assumption that factors not considered herein have no effect, or an equal effect, on all classifications into which these data have been grouped.

Subject to these limitations, the following general conclusions can be drawn from the studies that have been made:

- 1. Experience in public accounting up to a total of 4 years increases candidates' chances of passing the CPA examination. This experience seems to be of the greatest benefit in Auditing but of no benefit in Law.
- 2. An increased amount of education increases the chances of a candidate passing the examination. This increased chance of passing seems to apply to candidates in each experience group.
- 3. College education with a major in accounting appears to be most helpful to candidates in passing Accounting Practice. It is of less benefit to candidates in Law. Theory and Auditing.
- 4. A combination of college training in accounting and more than 2 years of experience in public accounting appears to be a very effective background for candidates taking the examination.
- 5. Most candidates succeed in passing all subjects on one of their first three attempts if they pass at all. The second attempt produces the highest number of successful candidates.
- 6. Age is not a material factor affecting results for most candidates.

NUMBER OF SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

| Graduate School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | Accounting Major Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | Not Accounting Major Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | | Total Candidates Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | College Graduate (See Breakdown) Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | College Incomplete And Technical School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | Technical Accounting School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | College - Incomplete Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | High School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates | EDUCATION |
|---|--|--|------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|-------------------------|
| 32 52 | 152 455 | 39 287 | <u> </u> | 349 1465 | 223 794 | 19 102 | 42 230 | 53 272 | 12 67 | Total |
| 44 | . 14 86 | 62 2 | DETAIL OF COLLE | 31 231 | 18 152 | 3 H | 1 18 | 11 57 | ۰ 0 | No Experience |
| 13 | 21 97 | 8 73 | GE GRADU | 49 353 | 33 183 | 323 | 5 <u>1</u> | 83 | 44 | Non- Public |
| 13 18 | 41 131 | 10 58 | COLLEGE GRADUATE GROUP | 86 307 | 64 207 | 4 00 | 38 | 14 45 | 9 1 | EXPER |
| 7 10 | 60 79 | 12 | | 119 279 | 79 145 | 5 21 | 17 50 | 12 46 | 6 17 | LIENCE 1 2-4 yrs. |
| ა თ | 12 34 | 23 3 | | 41 160 | 23 62 | 2 2 2 | 10 39 | 20 5 | 1 17 | VBLIC 4-6 yrs. |
| 20 | 28 4 | 2 15 | | 23 135 | 4 5 | 5 2 4 21 22 16 | 34 8 | 21 3 | 2 19 | Over 6 yrs. |

PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS OF SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

| Total | and Technical School College Graduate | High School Only College - Incomplete Technical Accounting School College - Incomplete | | Total | | and Technical School College Graduate | | High School Only College - Incomplete | | EDUCATION | |
|--------------|--|--|---------------------|-------|---|---------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 100.0 | 6.9 5 4. 2 | 4.6 18.6 15.7 | | 100.0 | | 63 . 9 | 12.0 | 3.4 15.2 | | of Total of Sample | Percent Group is |
| <u>15.</u> 8 | 2.9 19.1 | 1.5 21.0 7.8 | | 8.9 | - | 8.1 8.1 | 2.4 | 20.8 | | No Experience | - |
| 24.1 | 31.4 23.0 | 6.0 30.5 22.2 | UNSUCCES | 14.0 | | 15.8 14.8 | 7.1 | 16.7 15.1 | SUCCESS | Non- Public | |
| 21.0 | 7.9 26.1 | 13.4 16.5 16.5 | UNSUCCESSFUL CANDID | 24.6 | | 21.0 28.7 | 7.1 | 8.3 26.4 | SUCCESSFUL CANDIDA | 1-2 yrs. | EXPERIENCE |
| 19.0 | 20.6 | 25.4 16.9 21.7 | IDATES | 34.1 | | 26.3 35.4 | 40.5 | 50.0 22.6 | DATES | 2-4 yrs. | |
| 10.9 | 21.5 | 25.4 7.4 17.0 | | 11.8 | 1 | 10.5 | 23.8 | 9.3 | | PUBLIC -4 yrs. 4-6 yrs. | |
| 9.2 | 15.7 5.7 | 28.3 7.7 14.8 | | 6.6 | | 21.1 2.7 | 19.1 | 16.7 5.7 | | Over 6 yrs. | |
| 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | 100.0 | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | Total | |

CANDIDATES THAT QUALIFIED IN MAY 1949
CLASSIFIED BY EDUCATION AND
TABULATED AS TO WHEN THEY PASSED
(SAMPLE FROM MAY 1949 EXAMINATION)

| Total Candidates | Total College Graduates | Graduate School | Graduate | Total Non-College Graduates | ical School | Technical Acctg. School College - Incomplete and | High School Unly College - Incomplete | | TOTAL Candidates | | Total College Graduates | | (I) (A) | College - Acctg. Major | College Gradusta | | Total Non-College Graduates | College - incomplete and Technical School | Acctg. Sch | College - Incomplete | High School Only | | CLASSIFICATION | |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----|------------|------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|
| 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | . | 343 | 340 | 223 | | 32 | 152 | 39 | 1 | 126 | 19 | 42 | 53 | 12 | | Total | |
| 26.3 | 31.8 | 40.6 | 25.6 | 16.7 | 21.0 | 14.3 | 20.8 | | 11 % | 3 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 4 8 | 10 | 1 | 21 | 4 | 6 | Ħ | | | 1st Time | |
| 36.7 | 38.1 | 34.4 | 43.6 | 34.1 | 36.8 | 23.8 | 33.4 41.5 | 3 | 140 | 130 | 85 | | Ħ | 57 | 17 | I | 43 | 7 | 10 | 22 | 4 | NO. | 2nd Time | |
| 21.5 | 21.1 | 15.6 | 18.0 | 22.2 | 21.0 | 23.8 | 33.4 18.9 | PERCENTAGES | 0 | 7. | 47 | 1 | ζι | 35 | 7 | 1 | 28 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | NUMBER OF C | 3rd Time | |
| 5.7 | 4.5 | 6,3 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 16.6 9.4 | AGES | 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 8 | į | 10 | F | 2 | (J) | 2 | CANDIDATE | 4th Time | : |
| 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 5.6 | | 11 5 | 1 1 | 10 | . 1 | ۲ | 2 | ω | 1 | 9 | ا | Ċħ | ω | ! | હી | 5th Time | \ ; |
| 3.4 | 1.3 | | . I | 7.1 | 5.3 | 16.6 | ا م |) | 11 5 | 3 | ω | 1 | ł | ω | ı | 1 | 9 | h | 7 | t | L | | Time | • |
| | 1 | | ł | 1.6 | 1 | I | 1.9 |)) | | ا د | | l | ł | ŧ | ł | I | 2 | 11 | ı | ; سر | – | | 7th Time | <u> </u> |
| .9 | .5 | 1 : | 1. | 1.6 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 1 1 | | 110 | ا د |), µ | . ! | ł | ۳ | ı | I | 2 | F | , | Į. | ţ | | 8th Time |) |
| .6 | | | ł | 1.6 | | 2.4 | 1.9 | | | ا د | | l | 1 | ŧ | ļ | 1 | 2 | 11 | ب | μ | i | | 9th Time | • |

SUMMARY OF ATTEMPTS AT THE EXAMINATION BY UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND AS TO EXPERIENCE

| TOTAL | | Graduate School | College Graduate - Acctg. Major | College Graduate - Non-Major | Technical School | Incomplete and | Technical Accounting School | College - Incomplete | High School Only | | TOTAL | | School | College Graduate - Acctg. Major | College Graduate - Non-Major | 1 School | Incomplete | Technical Accounting School | College - Incomplete | High School Only | CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION |
|-------|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|----|--------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 100.0 | į | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | 1,466 | | 52 | 455 | 287 | 103 | | 230 | 272 | 67 | Total |
| 51.0 | | 63.5 | 54.1 | 58.9 | 44.7 | | 38.3 | 53.0 | 32.8 | | 748 | 1. | 33 | 246 | 169 | 46 | | 88 | 144 | 22 | NUMBER C First Attempt |
| 22.5 | | 13.5 | 23.7 | 20.2 | 25.2 | | 24.3 | 19.5 | 32.8 | PERC | 330 | 1 | 7 | 108 | 58 | 26 | | 56 | 53 | 22 | OF CANDIDATES Second Th |
| 11.7 | Ì | 11.5 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 8.7 | | 17.8 | 14.7 | 10.4 | PERCENTAGES | 171 | į | 6 | 44 | 24 | 9 | | 41 | 8 | 7 | TES THAT Third Attempt |
| 5.3 | | 5,8 | ູ້ | 4.9 | 8.7 | | 4.8 | 3°3 | 9.0 | | 77 | 1 | w | 25 | 14 | 9 | | ㅂ | 9 | 6 | HAVE COMP Fourth Attempt |
| 3,5 | | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 4.9 | | 2.6 | 4.0 | 9.0 | | 52 | 1 | ۲ | 16 | 7 | Съ | | 6 | ㅂ | Ø | Fifth Attempt |
| 6.0 | | 3.8 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 7.8 | | 12.2 | 5.5 | 6.0 | | % | 1 | 2 | . 16 | 15 | 00 | | 28 | 15 | 4 | Third Fourth Fifth More Than Attempt Attempt Attempt Fifth Attempt |

SUMMARY OF ATTEMPTS AT THE EXAMINATION BY UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND AS TO EXPERIENCE

| TOTAL | No Experience Non-Public Experience Public, 1-2 years Public, 2-4 years Public, 4-6 years Public, 0ver 6 years | | TOTAL | ` | No Experience Non-Public Experience Public, 1-2 years Public, 2-4 years Public, 4-6 years Public, 0ver 6 years | CLASSIFIED AS TO EXPERIENCE |
|-------|--|-------------|-------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| 100.0 | 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 | | 1,466 | | 231 353 306 280 160 | Total |
| 51.0 | 75.3 61.5 49.7 49.6 27.5 | | 748 | 1 | 174 217 152 139 44 22 | NUMBER OF First S Attempt 1 |
| 22.5 | 12.1 15.9 29.7 29.0 28.1 21.3 | PERC | 330 | ŀ | 28 91 81 45 29 | F CANDIDATES Second Th Attempt Att |
| 11.7 | 8.2 10.5 11.4 12.1 18.1 12.5 | PERCENTAGES | 171 | | 19 37 35 34 29 | |
| 5.3 | 2.2 5.4 3.6 2.5 10.7 | H | 77 | i | 5 11 7 17 | HAVE COMP Fourth Attempt |
| 3.5 | 0.9 2.0 8.8 8.8 | 11 | 52 | l | 112 122 123 | Fifth Attempt |
| 6.0 | 1.3 3.7 3.6 8.1 28.0 | 11 | 88 | i | 19 5 2 3 37 19 11 13 35 11 6 11 34 7 9 10 29 17 12 13 17 18 12 38 | IR More Than Fifth Attempt |

CLASSIFICATION AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF THE CANDIDATES TAKING THE EXAMINATION FOR THE FIRST TIME Schedule III-a

(MAY 1949 EXAMINATION)

| Tetal Candidates | Total College Graduates | Graduate School | Accts. Major | College Graduates: | | Tetal New-Callege Graduates | Technical School | College - Incomplete and | Acctg. Sch | Cellege - Incomplete | High School Only | | | Total Candidates | Tetal Certege Graduates | Bot-1 College Candington | Graduate School | Acctg. Majer | Net Acctg. Majer | Cellege Graduates: | 1 | Tetal Nem-Cellege Graduates | Technical School | College - Incomplete and | | College - Incomplete | High School Only | | CLASSIFICATION | | |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|---|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| 748 | 4 | 33 | 246 | 169 | | <u>بر</u> | & | | 88 | 144 | 22 | | | 92 | = | ا د | 13 | 48 | 10 | • | | 21 | 4 | | 6 | 11 | 1 | | Tetal | | |
| 174 | 123 | 4 | 65 | л 4 | | 5 | <u>س</u> | • | 10 | 38 | 1 | | | 18 | = | ا : | ۳ | 7 | ယ | , | İ | 7 | 11 | | ł | 7 | 1 | | Experience | N | |
| 217 | 1 | α (| 58 6 | 48 | | 103 | 23 | · · | 28 | 50 | 2 | UNSU | H | 13 | | ا : | 2 | 9 | . ! | | | 2 | 1 | | 2 | i | 1 | SU | Public | Non- | |
| 151 | ë | 10 | 62 | <u>س</u> | ; | 4 | ا د | , | | 25 | ω | UNSUCCESSFUL C | | 21 | 13 | | 9 | | N | | | 12 | | ı | l | ٢ | ł | SUCCESSFUL C | 1-2 yrs. | | EXI |
| 136 | ₈ | 4 | 47 | 29 | 1 | 56 | 5 | 1 | 18 | 21 | 7 | ANDIDATES | H | 26 | 1 | <u></u> | - | 16 | 4 | • | | Сī | ω (| | ı | 2 | I | ANDIDATES | 2-4 Yrs. | PI | MUNALUM |
| 47 | 2 | 7 | ပ္ (| Ún. | | 26 | 4 | • | 9 | 6 | 7 | | | 13 | ۱. | . | ł | 7 | , ,_ | ı | İ | Сл | 1 | | 4 | بر | 1 | | 4-6 yrs. | UBLIC . | |
| 47 23 | 7 | 1 | Cr t | 2 | | 16 | سا | • | O | 4 | ω | | | _ | | _ | ł | J | . 1 | | l | ł | 1 | | ł | ł | ! | | Over 6 yrs. | | |

CLASSIFICATION AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF THE CANDIDATES TAKING THE EXAMINATION FOR THE FIRST TIME PERCENTAGES

(MAY 1949 EXAMINATION)

| Tetal Camdidates | Tetal Cellege Graduates | Net Acctg, Majer Acctg, Majer Graduate Scheel | Tetal Nen-Cellege Graduates | al Scheel | Technical Acetg. School | • | | Total Candidates | Tetal Cellege Graduates | Graduate School | Net Acctg. Majer Acctg. Majer | Cellege Graduates: | Tetal Nem-Cellege Graduates | Technical School | F | | High School Only | | CLASSIFICATION |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 300 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.00T | 100.0 | ŀ | | Tetal |
| 23.2 | 27.5 | 32.0 26.4 12.1 | 17.0 | 6.5 | 11.4 | 3 | | 19.6 | 15.5 | 7.7 | 14.6 | 3 | 33.4 | 1 | ł | 63.6 | 1 | | No Experience |
| 29.0 | 25.4 | 28.4 23.6 24.3 | 34.3 | 50.0 | 31.8 | 9.2 | | 14.1 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 18.7 | | 9.5 | 1 | 33.3 | 3 1 | ł | <u>suc</u> | N⊕m- Public |
| 20.2 | 23.0 | 18,3 25,2 30,3 | 16.0 | | 19.3 | | UNSUCCESSFUL | 22.8 | 26.8 | | 16.7 | | 9.5 | 25.0 | ł | 9.1 | i | SUCCESSFUL CA | PE 1-2 yrs. |
| 18.2 | 17.8 | 17.2 19.1 12.1 | 18.7 | 21.8 | 20,5 | 31.8 | CANDIDATES | 28.3 | 29.6 | 7.7 | 33.3 3 | | 23.8 | 75.0 | ł | 18.2 | ; | CANDIDATES | PERCENTAGES 2-4 Yrs. |
| | | 3.0 3.7 21.2 | | | | | , | | | | | | | | | 9,1 | | | PUBLIC 4-6 Yrs. |
| 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | ۍ. س | 6.5 | Ø 0 | 13.6 | | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1 | 2.1 | | 1 | 1:1 | ł | 1 | 1 | | Over 6 vrs. |

DATA ON UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES
SHOWING THE NUMBER THAT HAVE PASSED AND FAILED EACH SUBJECT
CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND AS TO EXPERIENCE

| Candidates that passed Candidates that did not pass Percent of passed to total | Candidates that passed Candidates that did not pass Percent of passed to total Accounting Practice | Candidates that passed Candidates that did not pass Percent of passed to total Theory of Accounts: | Candidates that passed Candidates that did not pass Percent of passed to total Commercial Law: | SUE JECT Auditing: |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| 14 | 19 | 29 | 15 | High |
| 53 | 48 | 38 | 52 | School |
| 20.9 | 28.4 | 43.3 | 22,4 | Only |
| 74 198 27.2 | 95 177 34.9 | 132 140 48.5 | 61 211 22.4 | College Incomplete |
| 47 | 70 | 95 | 52 | CLASSIFIE Technical Accounting In School In |
| 183 | 160 | 135 | 178 | |
| 20°4 | 30,4 | 41,3 | 22.6 | |
| 24 | 37 | 46 | 29 | College Incomplete & Non- Tech, School Majo |
| 78 | 65 | 56 | 73 | |
| 23.5 | 36°3 | 45°1 | 28,4 | |
| 59 228 20.6 | 104 183 36.2 | 151 136 52.6 | 83 204 28.9 | Non- Majo |
| 123 | 165 | 236 | 121 | Major |
| 332 | 290 | 219 | 334 | |
| 27.0 | 36.3 | 51.9 | 26.6 | |
| 14 38 26,9 | 23 29 44.2 | 29 55°8 | 14 38 26.9 | COLLEGE GRADUATES Graduate Major School |
| 355 | 513 | 718 | 375 | Total |
| 1,110 | 952 | 747 | 1,090 | |
| 24.2 | 35.0 | 49.0 | 25.6 | |

DATA ON UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES
SHOWING THE NUMBER THAT HAVE PASSED AND FAILED EACH SUBJECT
CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND AS TO EXPERIENCE

| | | | CILA | CLASSIFIED AS | TO EXPERIENCE | ENCE | |
|---|------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-------|
| SUBJECT | No Experience | Non- Public | 1-2 yrs. | 2-4 yrs. | PUBLIC 4-6 Yrs. | Over 6 yrs. | Total |
| Auditing: | | | | | | | |
| Candidates that passed | 30 | 63 | 6.4 | 109 | 61 | 4 8 | . 375 |
| that | 201 | 290 | 243 | 170 | 99 | 87 | 1,090 |
| Percent of passed to total | 13.0 | 17.8 | 20.8 | 39.1 | 38.1 | 35.6 | 25.6 |
| Commercial Law: | | | | • | | | |
| Candidates that passed | 131 | 176 | 163 | 114 | 64 | 70 | 718 |
| Candidates that did not pass | 100 | 177 | 144 | 165 | 96 | 65 | 747 |
| Percent of passed to total | 7.95 | 49.9 | 53.1 | 40.9 | 40.0 | 91.9 | 49.U |
| Theory of Accounts: | | | | | , | | |
| Candidates that passed | 85 | 106 | 94 | 112 | 50 | 66 | 513 |
| Candidates that did not pass | 146 | 247 | 213 | 167 | 110 | 69 | 952 |
| | 36.8 | 30.0 | 30.6 | 40.1 | 31.3 | 48.9 | 35.0 |
| Accounting Practice: | | | | | | | |
| Candidates that passed | 58 | 74 | 73 | 83 | 4 | 27 | 355 |
| Candidates that did not pass Percent of passed to total | 173 25 0 | 279 21 0 | 234 23 8 | 196 29. 7 | 120 | 30 0 801 | 1,110 |
| THE ST PROPERTY OF TARGET | | | | • | | • | |

SUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO AGE AND EXPERIENCE

(SAMPLE FROM MAY 1949 EXAMINATION)

EXPERIENCE

| | No | Non- | | · PI | UBLIC | . / - | |
|-------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| AGE | Experience | Public | 1-2 yrs. | 2-4 yrs. | 4-6 yrs. | Over 6 yrs. | Total |
| | | | NUMBER (| OF CANDIDAT | <u>es</u> | | |
| Under 25 | · 7 | 5 | 10 | * 2 | 1 | 0 1 | 25 136 |
| 25 - 29 | 16 | 16 | 49 | 45 | 9 | | 122 |
| 30 - 34 | 6 1 | 11 | 20 | 59 | 18 | 8 3 | 36 |
| 35 - 39 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 8 5 | 10 3 | 11 | 30 |
| 40 and Over | 1 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 77 | 30 |
| | ********* | | | | | | |
| Total | 31 ' | 49 | 86 | 119 | 41 | 23 | 349 |
| | | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | PER | CENTAGES | | | |
| Under 25 | 22.6 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | | 7.2 |
| 25 - 29 | 51.6 | 32.6 | 57.0 | 37.8 | 22.0 | 4.4 | 39.0 |
| 30 - 34 | 19.4 | 22.5 | 23.3 | 49.6 | 43.9 | 34.8 | 34.9 |
| 35 - 39 | 3.2 | 16.3 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 24.4 | 13.0 | 10.3 |
| 40 and Over | 3.2 | 18.4 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 47.8 | 8.6 |
| | | | | | | | 300.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | , 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | |

SUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO AGE AND EDUCATION

| Under 25 25 - 29 30 - 34 35 - 39 40 & Over | Cat | 7 + - | Under 25 25 - 29 30 - 34 35 - 39 40 & Over | | Total | 35 = 39 40 & Over | Under 25 25 - 29 30 - 34 | | AGE | |
|--|------------|-------------|--|-------------|-------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 33.3 66.6 75.0 100.0 | | | 33.3 8.4 25.0 | | 12 | ω – | 044 | | High School Only | |
| 13.2 52.8 83.0 94.3 100.0 | | 100.0 | 13.2 39.6 30.2 11.3 5.7 | | 53 | ယတ | 7 21 16 | | College Incomplete | NOT CC |
| 4.8 26.2 61.9 83.3 100.0 | | 100-0 | 4.8 21.4 35.7 21.4 16.7 | | 42 | 7 | 15 15 | | Tech. Acctg. School | COLLEGE GRA |
| 5.3 15.8 63.2 79.0 100.0 | | 100-0 | 5.3 10.5 47.4 15.8 21.0 | | 19 | w 4 | 9 2 | | College Incomplete & Tech. School | GRADUATES |
| 7.9 36.5 71.4 86.5 100.0 | <u>8</u> | 100.0 | 7.9 28.6 34.9 15.1 13.5 | PEI | 126 | 19 17 | 10 44 | NUMBER | Total Not Graduates | , |
| 41.0 69.2 89.7 100.0 | COMULATIVE | 100.0 | 41.0 28.2 20.5 | PERCENTAGES | 39 | ∞ 4 | 10 0 15 36 16 72 44 11 55 | OF CANDI | Acctg. Majors | |
| 9.9 57.3 93.5 96.1 100.0 | | 100.0 | 9.9 47.4 36.2 2.6 3.9 | • | 152 | 4 0 | 15 72 55 | DATES | Acctg. | COT |
| 37.5 75.0 90.6 100.0 | | 100.0 | 37.5 37.5 15.6 9.4 | | 32 | ယ ဟ | 120 | | Graduate School | COLLEGE GRADUATES |
| 6.7 51.6 86.6 94.2 100.0 | | 100.0 | 6.7 44.9 35.0 7.6 | | 223 | 17 13 | 15 100 78 | | Total Graduates | ATES |
| 7.1 46.1 81.1 91.4 100.0 | | 100.0 | 7.1 39.0 35.0 10.3 8.6 | | 349 | 36 30 | 25 136 122 | | Total Candidates | ¢ |

UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO AGE AND EXPERIENCE

(SAMPLE FROM MAY 1949 EXAMINATION)

EXPERIENCE

| | No | Non- | | P | UBLIC | | |
|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| AGE | Experience | Public | 1-2 yrs. | 2-4 yrs. | 4-6 yrs. | Over 6 yrs. | Total |
| | | | NUMBER (| OF CANDIDATI | ES | | |
| • • | | | | | | | |
| Under 25 | 84 | 27 | 48 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 166 |
| 25 - 29 | 109 | 110 | 147 | 84 | 34 | 8 | 492 |
| 30 - 34 | 21 | 96 | 69 | 110 | 57 | 26 | 379 |
| 35 - 39 | 12 | 73 | 27 | 35 | 35 | 27 | 209 |
| 40 and Over | 5 | 46 | 17 | 47 | 31 | 73 | 219 |
| Total | 231 | 352 | 308 | 279 | 160 | 135 | 1,465 |
| | | PER | CENTAGE OF | EXPERIENCE | TOTALS | | |
| | | 2.22 | CONTRACT OF | 234,2-21,000 | 202,000 | • | |
| Under 25 | 36.3 | 7.7 | 15.7 | 1.1 | 1.9 | .7 | 11.3 |
| 25 - 29 | 47.2 | 31.2 | 47.7 | 30.1 | 21.2 | 5.9 | 33.8 |
| 30 - 34 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 22.4 | 39.4 | 35.6 | 19.3 | 25.8 |
| 35 - 39 | 5.2 | 20.7 | 8.7 | 12.5 | 21.9 | 20.0 | 14.2 |
| 40 and Over | 2.2 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 16.9 | 19.4 | 54.1 | 14.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | PERCENTAGE | OF AGE TOTA | uls | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Under 25 | 50.6 | 16.3 | 28.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | ۰6 | 100.0 |
| 25 - 29 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 29.9 | 17.1 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| 30 - 34 | 5.5 | 25 .3 | 18.2 | 29.0 | 15.0 | 6.9 | 100.0 |
| 35 - 39 | 5.7 | 34.9 | 12.9 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 12.9 | 100.0 |
| 40 and Over | 2.3 | 21.0 | 7.7 | 21.5 | 14.2 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
| | | | | 30.0 | 3.0.0 | | |
| Total | 15.8 | 24.0 | 21.1 | 19.0 | 10.9 | 9.2 | 100.0 |

UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO AGE AND EDUCATION

| Under 25 25 - 29 30 - 34 35 - 39 40 & Over | | Tetal | Under 25 25 - 29 30 - 34 35 - 39 40 & Over | | Tetal | Under 25 25 - 29 30 - 34 35 - 39 40 & Over | | AGE | |
|--|------------|-------|--|-------------|-------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1.5 23.9 46.3 65.7 100.0 | | 100.0 | 1.5 22.4 22.4 19.4 34.3 | | 67 | 15 15 13 23 | | High Scheel Only | |
| 10.7 42.3 68.4 87.9 100.0 | | 100.0 | 10.7 31.6 26.1 19.5 12.1 | | 272 | 29 71 53 33 | | Cellege Incemplete | NOT |
| 4.3 30.0 57.4 75.2 100.0 | | 100.0 | 4.3 25.7 27.4 17.8 24.8 | | 230 | 10 59 63 41 57 | | Tech. Acctg. Scheel | COLLEGE |
| 1.0 19.4 52.4 68.9 100.0 | | 100.0 | 1.0 18.4 33.0 16.5 31.1 | | 103 | 19 34 17 32 | | College Incomplete & Tech. School | GRADUATES |
| 6.1 32.7 59.9 78.4 100.0 | CUM | 100,0 | 6.1 26.6 27.2 18.5 21.6 | PER | 672 | 41 179 183 124 145 | NUMBER OF CANDI | Tetal Net Graduates | |
| 15.7 51.6 72.5 87.1 100.0 | CUMULATIVE | 100,0 | 15.7 35.9 20.9 14.6 12.9 | PERCENTAGES | 287 | 45 103 60 42 37 | OF CANDII | Net Acctg. Majers | |
| 16.9 58.9 85.7 93.8 100.0 | | 100,0 | 16.9 42.0 26.8 8.1 6.2 | | 455 | 77 191 122 37 28 | ATES | Acctg. Majers | 00LLEGE |
| 5.8 44.3 71.2 82.7 100.0 | | 100.0 | 5.8 38.5 26.9 11.5 17.3 | | 52 | 3 14 9 | | Graduate Scheel | COLLEGE GRADUATES |
| 15.7 55.3 79.0 90.7 100.0 | | 100.0 | 15.7 39.6 24.7 10.7 9.3 | | 794 | 125 314 196 85 74 | | Tetal Graduates | |
| 11.3 44.9 70.8 85.1 100.0 | | 100.0 | 11,3 33,6 25,9 14,3 14,9 | | 1,466 | 166 493 379 209 219 | | Tetal Candidates | |

COMPARISON OF THE EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF CANDIDATES PASSING EACH SUBJECT AS SHOWN BY THE NOVEMBER 1946 STUDY, AS SHOWN BY THE NOVEMBER 1948 STUDY, AND AS SHOWN BY THE MAY 1949 STUDY. ALL DATA REDUCED TO PERCENTAGES.

| | | AUDITING | (3) | CO | COMMERCIAL LAW | ¥ | THEO | THEORY OF ACCOUNTS | UNTS | ACCO | ACCOUNTING PRACTICE | TICE |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------------------|----------|
| EDUCATION | Nov. 1946 | Nov. 1948 | May 1949 | Nov. 1946 | Nov. 1948 | May 1949 | Nov. 1946 | Nov. 1948 | May 1949 | Nov. 1946 | Nov. 1948 | Мау 1949 |
| High School Only | 7.1 | & | 3.7 | 6.2 | 8.1 | ა 8 | 7.3 | 9.4 | ა 6 | 5.6 | 6.5 | ω |
| College Incomplete | 22.0 | 16.7 | 15.7 | 20.1 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 20.2 | 15.2 | 17.2 | 18.8 | 15.5 | 18. |
| Technical Accounting School | 19.4 | 15.7 | 19.6 | 23.5 | 14.4 | 19.0 | 23.4 | 14.8 | 19.5 | 26.3 | 13.5 | 18. |
| College Graduate-Not Major | 20.3 | 28.8 | 16.9 | 19.0 | 29.4 | 17.8 | 19.6 | 29.0 | 16.6 | 18.4 | 26.7 | 13. |
| College Graduate - Major | 26.0 | 25.8 | 37.7 | 26.3 | 26.0 | 36.4 | 25.4 | 26.7 | 36.8 | 26.3 | 34.5 | 39, |
| Graduate School | 5.2 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 6 |
| | | İ | | ļ | | | | | | | | Ì |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| EXPERIENCE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| None | 3.2 | 4.6 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 15.2 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 13.5 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 12. |
| Non-Public | 37.4 | 9.4 | 15.5 | 35.4 | 9.2 | 21.1 | 34.7 | 9.2 | 18.0 | 41.7 | 9.3 | 17. |
| Public, 1 - 2 years | 12.4 | 19.2 | 20.7 | 14.9 | 19.2 | 23.3 | 13.8 | 18.0 | 20.9 | 10.2 | 26.9 | 22. |
| Public, 2 - 4 years | 21.1 | 31.3 | 31.5 | 21.8 | 29.8 | 21.8 | 21.7 | 30.3 | 26.8 | 19.6 | 30.3 | 28. |
| Public, 4 - 6 years | 12.3 | 17.3 | 14.1 | 12.6 | 15.9 | 9.9 | 13.7 | 17.2 | 10.5 | 15.1 | 13.3 | 11. |
| Public, Over 6 years | 13.6 | 18.2 | 9.8 | 11.4 | 18.4 | 8.7 | 12.3 | 20.0 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 13.5 | 7. |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTES: | • | • | | | | | | | • | | • | |

These percentages are based on data reported in "Challenges to the Accounting Profession 1947" published by the American Institute of Accountants. The figures are for candidates who passed the subject in November, 1946 in contrast with the November, 1948 and May, 1949 figures which include all candidates who were credited with having passed the subject on those or on prior examinations. The 1948 and 1949 figures give cumulative effect to past examinations, while the 1946 figures do not include such cumulative credit.

⁽²⁾ These percentages are the result of using the fugures for the 366 successful candidates as reported in Schedule I-a and I-b combined with the figures in Schedules III-a and III-b of the 1948 study.

ω These percentages are the result of using figures for the 349 successful candidates combined with the figures in Schedules IV-a and IV-b of the