University of Mississippi

eGrove

Association Sections, Divisions, Boards, Teams

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Historical Collection

9-1950

Analysis of the Education and Experience of 1400 Candidates Taking the November, 1949 CPA Examination

Robert L. Kane

American Institute of Accountants. Board of Examiners

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/aicpa_assoc



Part of the Accounting Commons

ANALYSIS OF THE EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF 1400 CANDIDATES TAKING THE NOVEMBER, 1949 CPA EXAMINATION

Prepared for the Board of Examiners of the American Institute of Accountants

September, 1950 Robert L. Kane, Jr.

ANALYSIS OF THE EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF 1400 CANDIDATES TAKING THE NOVEMBER, 1949 CPA EXAMINATION

This is the fourth study of the effects of education and experience on the success of candidates for the CPA certificate as shown by the results of the examination given in November 1949.

The three studies preceding this one explored the results of the examinations given in November 1946, November 1948 and May 1949.

As in the past, this study was made on only small sample groups of candidates from several states which have variant eligibility requirements.

Two separate and distinct samples are involved. One consists of 350 candidates who, according to their state boards, qualified for the CPA certificate as a result of the May 1949 examination. These wil? be referred to in this study as successful candidates. The other sample includes 1050 candidates who failed to qualify in that examination. These will be referred to as unsuccessful candidates.

These data do not cover all the states using the examination, nor do they necessarily include all of the successful or unsuccessful candidates within a particular state since all data sheets which were incomplete in any respect were excluded. Therefore, a direct comparison of the number of successful candidates with those who were not successful is not valid. However, the relationship of various subgroups to group totals should be valid and significant.

The classifications used in the present study are identical with those used in the May 1949 analysis. Statistics concerning age groups have been omitted in view of the fact that this information in the past has served only to indicate that candidates with considerable experience are generally older than those with less. This finding is neither surprising nor highly significant.

Schedules I-a. and I-b. present a classification of the candidates by both education and experience. There appears to have been very little change from prior studies in these respects. There was a slight relative increase in the number of college graduates with a corresponding percentage decrease in the number who had only a high school education.

When the experience of the candidates taking the examination in November 1949 is compared with that of the May 1949 candidates, there is a noticeable increase in the relative number who have over four years of experience and a substantial percentage decrease in the number who have no experience. Since the trends in both of these categories are the reverse of those for the next prior study, their significance is not clear and might be the result of the sample not being representative. The states included in this and the two prior studies are not identical, consequently the fluctuations might well be due to variant state experience and educational requirements. This might also be due to the fact that the growth in number of candidates taking the examination has slowed considerably.

Consideration of the combined factors of education and experience presents evidence that candidates having college degrees were considerably more successful than those having a lesser amount of education. The extent of this advantage is reflected in the fact that the college graduate group constituted 67.4% of the successful candidates but accounted for only 54.2% of those who were unsuccessful.

As shown in prior studies, the group having up to four years of public experience appears relatively more successful than those with no public experience and those with over four years of such experience. The candidates with one to four years experience accounted for 58.5% of the successful group but only 49% of the

unsuccessful ones. However, the gap between these two percentages is somewhat less than the difference in May 1949 when the corresponding figures were 58.7% and 40%.

Schedules II-a. and II-b. show the candidates classified as to the number of attempts made at the examination. About 23% of the successful candidates passed on their first attempt as compared with 26% shown by the next prior study. A total of 74.6% of those passing were successful on one of their first three attempts, with the second attempt producing the best percentage results. Schedule II-b. shows that 38.8% of the unsuccessful candidates were taking the examination for the first time. This is a substantial decrease from the corresponding figure of 51% in the study made in May 1949. Considering both successful and unsuccessful candidates, the percentage of the totals that were first-time candidates decreased from 46% to 35%.

Of the 487 candidates taking the examination for the first time, 274 or 56.3% were college graduates. Since college graduates also made up about the same percentage of the total number of candidates, there is no indication in these samples that college graduates are becoming a larger proportion of the total.

Page 2 of schedule II-b. presents the data for unsuccessful candidates classified as to experience and number of attempts at the examination. This distribution remains practically unchanged from that of the May 1949 study.

Schedules III-a. and III-b. are a consolidation of figures for May 1949 and November 1949 showing the classification of the candidates taking the examination for the first time. There were only 79 candidates who were successful on their first attempt in November 1949. It might be pointed out that 57 of these, or 72% were college graduates and, of these college graduates, 29 or about 51% have at least two years of public accounting experience. Of the 1,327 taking the

examination for the first time in one of these two examination, approximately 60% were college graduates and 40% had not completed college. However, it is possible that some of those shown as College - Incomplete, were still in school. The possession of experience in public accounting appears to have been a significant factor in success for these first time candidates. This is evident from the fact that 41.8% of the mon-graduate group who were successful had two to four years of experience as compared to 27.7% of the unsuccessful candidates who had such experience.

Of the 408 unsuccessful first-time candidates in November 1949, only 217 or about 53% are college graduates and, of this group, only 78 or about 19% of the total had as much as two years of public experience. To the extent that these samples are representative, a college degree and at least two years of experience in public accounting provide an optimum background for passing the CPA examination on the first trial. This is consistent with the results of prior studies. Perhaps it is significant only in that it bears out what might be logically expected.

Schedules IV-a. and IV-b. show the partial success of the unsuccessful candidates. It can be observed from schedule IV-a. that, in general, college graduates are considerably more successful than the non-college graduates in obtaining partial credit for subjects passed. However, accounting majors were more successful than non-majors only in Practice. Since this same condition existed in the analyses of the data for November 1948 and May 1949, it does not appear justifiable to dismiss the finding as merely the result of an error in sampling. It might be well to point out that even in Practice the advantage of the accounting major over the non-major has steadily decreased over the last three

examinations. This might be accounted for by the fact that experience tends to be a vital factor in the results. While acquiring experience, many of the non-majors engage in the part-time study of accounting through evening courses or correspondence courses. This post-graduate study may be very effective for this group.

Schedule IV-b. reflects the effects of experience on the candidate's success in different parts of the examination. In Auditing the lack of experience proved to be a definite handicap. This same condition was found to exist in the studies for November 1948 and May 1949.

A careful consideration of schedule I-a. and corresponding data for the two next prior studies reveals that, of the total number of candidates in each category, college graduates who majored in accounting appear to have no advantage over non-majors as far as the overall success in the examination is concerned.

Schedules VI-a. through VIII-b. combine the samples from the May 1949 study with those of the November 1949 examination. A comparison of these schedules with corresponding schedules I-a. through II-b and IV-a. and IV-b. is not appreciably significant inasmuch as the November 1949 data are included in the combined form. However, schedules VI-a. through VIII-b. do provide a larger sample which should give more representative results than those of any one examination.

There appears to be no glaring discrepancies between the combined material and that of the November 1949 schedules. However, the accumulation of such data over several examinations might well eliminate fluctuations among different studies which can be traced to errors in small samples.

Schedule V gives comparative data from the 1946, 1948 and two 1949 studies. Some of the differences shown in this schedule probably arise from the fact that

the three studies do not include exactly the same states. Variations in experience requirements and in educational requirements between states are probably responsible for a part of these differences. The November study shows a higher percentage of college graduates who have majored in accounting than was shown by either of the prior studies. Corresponding with that is the decrease in candidates passing without having had any accounting experience. These data clearly show the effect of experience as a factor in passing Auditing. Those passing Auditing with no experience are a much smaller percentage of the total than those without experience are of the total for any other subject.

Before presenting the general conclusions that may be drawn from the study, certain limitations should be pointed out.

All four of the studies referred to herein have been based on data from only about one-half of the states and have not included more than 10% of the total number of candidates. The states that have supplied the data used for these studies may not be representative of the national situation. Even for those states submitting data, it has not been possible to include all candidates. In a number of instances, tabulation has shown that a part of the desired data was lacking, in which case the candidate could not be included. Other data have been deleted because of apparent inaccuracies.

Apart from the sampling problem, there are numerous factors, which probably have significant effects on a candidate's success or failure which have been ignored for purposes of these studies. Natural aptitute for accounting might be a more important factor than education, but that factor could not be considered. There are possible variations in the quality of experience which might exist as between different groups, and there are possible variations in the quality of education,

neither of which have been considered. Such conclusions as can be drawn from the studies are sound only on the assumption that factors not considered herein have no effect, or an equal effect, on all classifications into which these data have been grouped.

Subject to these limitations, the following general conclusions can be drawn from the studies that have been made:

- 1. Experience in public accounting up to a total of four years increases the candidate's chances of passing the CPA examination. This experience seems to be of greatest benefit in Auditing but of practically no benefit in Law.
- 2. An increased amount of education in general enhances the candidate's chances of success in the examination. This seems to apply to those in each experience group.
- 3. A combination of a college degree and at least some experience in public accounting appears to be a very effective background for candidates taking the examination.
- 4. Most candidates who qualify for the CPA certificate succeed in passing all subjects on one of their first three attempts. The second attempt produces the highest number of successful candidates.
- 5. There is a lack of substantial evidence that an accounting major in college produces much better results than a degree without such a major. This seems especially true in Auditing, Theory and Law. Even in Practice there is little support for the belief that a major in accounting is highly beneficial to the candidate. However, the possibility exists that non-majors going into accounting have taken considerable accounting and that they may be a select group. It might be found that a comparatively high percent of mediocre accounting majors attempt the examination while only exceptional men enter the profession unless they have majored in accounting.

It is contemplated that a study soon will be made of the effectiveness of various schools in producing graduates who pass the CPA examination. Undoubtedly there is considerable variation in quality of education received by the accounting major group. It is hoped to obtain some measurement of this variation since it is from the accounting major group that most employees are now being drawn by accounting firms.

NUMBER OF SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

Graduate School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	Accounting Major Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	Not Accounting Major Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates		Total Candidates Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	College Graduate (See Breakdown) Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	College Incomplete and Technical School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	Technical Accounting School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	College Incomplete Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	High School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	EDUCATION
64 84	139 4 02	49 118		350 1050	236 569	28 113	36 178	45 143	7.4 7.4	Total
÷Ν	6 29	ωο	DE	69	36 8	07		51 O	00	No Experience
87	19	10 23	AIL OF C	57 147	36 91	15 15	1 27	41	00	Non- Public
19	48 120	22 28	OLLEGE GRAI	57 82 123 147 201 314	73 160	ωN	19	6 13	10	EXPERIENCE
8 11	120 52	21 39	DUATE GROUP	123 314	84 167	38	13 45	17 53	11 4	yr
37	77	7 20		167	21 70	24	11 39	17	0	PUBLIC
Φ N	26	11		33 152	14 14	44	10 01	25 4	18	Over 6 yrs.

PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS OF SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete & Technical School College Graduate Total		rotal Total	College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete & Technical School	High School Only		EDUCATION	
13.6 16.9 10.8 54.2		100.0	10.3	1.4		Per cent of Total Sample	Group as
14.7 4.5 6.3		ν . 4 ω.) 1 1 1	85 g5		No Experience	
15.2 13.3 16.0	UNSUCCESS	16.3	26.7 28.6	B B	SUCCESS	Non- Public	
2.1 9.1 10.7 7.1 28.1	UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES	23.4	7 n w w	; ; ;	CESSFUL CANDIDATES	1-2 yrs.	EXPERIENCE
23.4 37.0 25.3 33.6 29.4	DATES	35.1	37.8 17.9	80.0	ATES	2-4 yrs.	CE PUBLIC
36.2 11.9 21.9 21.3 12.3		13.5	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	; ;		4-6 yrs.	C
38.3 17.5 22.4 21.2 7.9		9.4	27.8 14.3	20.0		Over 6 yrs.	
100.0		100.0	100.00	100.0		Total	

CANDIDATES WHO QUALIFIED IN NOVEMBER, 1949 CLASSIFIED BY EDUCATION AND TABULATED AS TO WHEN THEY PASSED

College Graduate - Not Accounting Major College Graduate - Accounting Major Graduate School Total College Graduates Total Candidates	High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School Total Non-Graduates		College Graduate - Not Accounting Major College Graduate - Accounting Major Graduate School Total College Graduates Total Candidates	High School only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School Total Non-Graduates		CLASSIFICATION
100.0	100.0		139 48 236 350	11 28 35 5 5		Total
23.6 23.8 20.8 24.2	20.0 35.6 11.1 3.6 19.3		14 33 10 57 79	16 16 16 22		1st Time
20.4 33.1 39.6 31.8 28.3	15.5 22.2 21.1		10 46 119 99	24 9 8 7 0		2nd Time
20.4	60.0 28.9 13.9 32.1 26.3		10 10 4 0 1 33 16 4 3 0 10 5 2 2 0 53 31 10 5 1 83 48 19 11 5 1 5 1 5 1	30	NUMBE	3rd Time
20.4 8.2 11.5 2.9 10.4 4.2 13.1 4.3 13.7 5.4	8.9 22.2 17.9	PERCENT	#8 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	17 5 8 4 0	R OF CA	4th Time
5 + + 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20.0 6.7 8.3 7.1	AGES	10 10 4	0 NWW L	NDIDATE	5th Time
3 2 +2:2	5.36634		11 5 23 0	6 1-000	챲	6th Time
1.4	3.6		2 1 0 0 1	± + 300		7th Time
.9 8 1.1	9 8		whono			8th Time
			w n 0 n 0	1 0 1 0 0		9th Time

SUMMARY OF ATTEMPTS AT THE EXAMINATION BY UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

High School College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School College Graduate - Non-Major College Graduate - Accounting Major Graduate School Total	High School College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School College Graduate - Non-Major College Graduate - Accounting Major Graduate School Total	EDUCATION
100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	47 143 178 113 118 402 49	Total
##.7 51.0 35.9 39.8 32.6	73 64 33 41 160 160	NUM First Attempt
14.9 19.6 23.6 22.1 26.3 28.6 24.9	28 42 25 31 115 13 13	NUMBER OF CAND t Second pt Attempt
23.4 8.4 12.4 16.9 13.9 14.9	11 12 22 31 20 56 4	Third Attempt
12,9 8,9 8,5 7,5 12,9	23 23 10 10 30 11 97	O HAVE COM
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	117 59 14 4 4	APLETED THE Fifth Attempt
23.4 4.3 8.5 4.2 8.4 7.7 6.3 7.0 12.4 12.9 6.2 9.0 16.9 8.5 4.2 9.0 13.9 7.5 4.2 6.0 14.9 9.2 5.6 6.6	10 16 16 24 1	Over Fifth Attempt

SUMMARY OF ATTEMPTS AT THE EXAMINATION BY UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

No Experience Non-Public Experience Public, 1-2 years Public, 2-4 years Public, 4-6 years Public, 0ver 6 years Public, Over 6 years	No Experience Non-Public Experience Public, 1-2 years Public, 2-4 years Public, 4-6 years Public, 0ver 6 years Public, 0ver 6 years	EXPERIENCE
100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	69 147 201 314 167 152	Total
76.8 15.1 15.6 28.8	53 688 145 23 23	NUM First Attempt
20.3 31.3 28.9 27.4 19.7 15.8	261 243 868 874	NUMBER OF CAND
1.5 12.2 13.4 13.0 27.5 15.1 14.9	1 1 0 0 18 10 4 1 27 17 8 3 41 22 9 11 46 29 17 11 23 18 21 43 156 97 59 69	Third Attempt
1.4 6.8 8.4 7.0 17.4 11.9	1 10 17 22 29 18	Fourth Attempt
13.88	0 4 8 8 17 21 59	PLETED THE Fifth Attempt
28.555	66 ±3 11 3 0	Over Fifth Attempt

CLASSIFICATION AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF THE CANDIDATES TAKING THE EXAMINATION FOR THE FIRST TIME

(MAY AND NOVEMBER, 1949)

College Graduates: Not Accounting Major Accounting Major Graduate School Total College Graduates Total Candidates	High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School Total Non-College Graduates	Not Accounting Major Accounting Major Graduate School Total College Graduates Total Candidates	High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School Total Non-College Graduates College Graduates	CLASSIFICATION
210 406 49 665	43 217 152 79 491	24 81 23 128	1 27 10 5	Total
57 85 7 149 227	57 15 78	11 16 23	70070	No Experience
58 91 12 161 285	756 388 288 124	15 15 3 19 25	0 1000	Non- Public
42 113 14 169 239	UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES 4 14 34 52 27 44 5 26 70 136	17 13 34 39	N H O F O	EXPERIENCE 1-2 yrs. 2-4 SUCCESSFUL CANDI
43 94 8 145 281	CANDIDATI 14 52 44 26 136	13 29 63	111 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	PUB 2-4 yrs. CANDIDATE
16 7 30 78	13 11 17 7 48	11 2 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1-6 yrs.
46 11 12 13	10 7 11 7 35	1400NH	10010	Over 6 yrs.

CLASSIFICATION AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF THE CANDIDATES TAKING THE EXAMINATION FOR THE FIRST TIME

PERCENTAGES

(MAY AND NOVEMBER, 1949)

College Graduates: Not Accounting Major Accounting Major Graduate School Total College Graduates Total Candidates	High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School Total Non-College Graduates	College Graduates: Not Accounting Major Accounting Major Graduate School Total College Graduates Total Candidates	High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School Total Non-College Graduates	CLASSIFICATION
100.0	100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0	Total
27.1 20.9 14.3 22.4	26.3 9.9 7.6	12.5 13.6 8.7 12.5	25.9	No. Experience
27.6 24.5 24.7 24.7	UNSUCCE 4.7 25.8 25.0 35.4 25.3	4.1 18.5 13.0 14.8 14.6	SUCCES 11.1 20.0 20.0 14.0	Non Public
20.0 27.8 28.6 25.4	9.3 15.7 17.8 6.3 14.3	16.7 54.3 21.0 35.8 56.6 13.0 26.6 35.2 22.8 36.8	20.0 11.6	EXPERIENCE
20.5 23.2 21.8 21.8	DIDATES 32.6 23.9 28.9 32.9	54.3 35.8 35.2 36.8	100.0 40.8 30.0 60.0 41.8	ENCE PU 2-4 yrs.
		10.08.63		PUBLIC s. 4-6 yrs.
1.5 1.8 2.0 1.7	7.0 87.3 3.0 9.2 2.2 2.0	η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η	N 1 W	Over 6 yrs.

DATA ON UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES SHOWING THE NUMBER WHO HAVE PASSED AND FAILED EACH SUBJECT CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION

Candidates who pa Candidates who di Percent of passed	Accounting Practice:	Candidates who pa Candidates who di Percent of passed	Theory of Accounts:	Candidates who pass Candidates who did Percent of passed t	Commercial Law:	Candidates who pa Candidates who di Percent of passed	Auditing:	SUBJECT
who passed who did not pass passed to total		passed did not pass sed to total		passed did not pass sed to total		who passed who did not pass passed to total		
11 36 23.4		10 37 21.3		21 26 44.7		14 33 29.8		High School Only
34 109 23 _° 8		39 104 27.3		44°1 80 83		444 99 30.8		College Incomplete
34 144 19.1		38 140 21.3		77 101 43.3		47 131 26 _° 4		CLASSIFIE Technical Accounting School
21 92 18.6		29 84 25.7		59 52°5		28 85 24.8		CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION chnical College counting Incomplete & N chool Tech. School M
22 96 18,6		45°8 64 24 ·		73 45 61.9		46 72 39.0		on-
90 312 22.4		163 239 40.5		246 156 61.2		124 278 30.8		COLLEGE GRADUATES Gradu r Major Scho
9 40 18,4		22 27 114.9		32 17 65.3		15 34 30.6		DUATES Graduate School
221 829 21.0		355 695 33.8		571 479 54°4		318 732 30.3		Total

DATA ON UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES SHOWING THE NUMBER WHO HAVE PASSED AND FAILED EACH SUBJECT CLASSIFIED AS TO EXPERIENCE

Accounting Practice: Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total	Theory of Accounts: Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total	Commercial Law: Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total	Auditing: Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total	SUBJECT
17 52 24.6	17 52 24.6	38 31 55.1	9 60 13.0	No Experience
29 118 19.7	47 100 32.0	87 60 59.2	19 1 28 12.9	Non- Public
43	67	120	39	CLA:
158	134	81	162	
21.4	33.3	59.7	19.4	
73	111	158	119	CLASSIFIED AS
241	203	156	195	
23.2	35 _° 4	50.3	37.9	
38	51	83	69	ED AS TO EXPERIENCE PUBLIC yrs. 4-6 yrs. Ove
129	116	84	98	
22.8	30.5	49.7	41.3	
21 131 13.8	62 90 40.8	85 67 55°9	63 41°4	Over 6 yrs.
221	355	4°45	318	Total
829	695	624	732	
21.0	33.8	125	30.3	

COMPARISON OF THE EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE OF CANDIDATES PASSING EACH SUBJECT AS SHOWN BY THE STUDIES FOR NOVEMBER, 1946, NOVEMBER, 1948 AND MAY AND NOVEMBER, 1949 ALL DATA REDUCED TO PERCENTAGES

NOTES:	TOTAL	None Non-Public Public, 1 - 2 years Public, 2 - 4 years Public, 4 - 6 years Public, 0ver 6 years	EXPERIENCE	EDUCATION High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Graduate - Non Major College Graduate - Major Graduate School TOTAL
	100.0	37.4 37.4 12.4 21.1 12.3 13.6		(1) Nov. 1946 7.1 22.0 19.4 26.0 5.2
	100.0	9.4 9.4 19.2 31.3 17.3		AUDITING (2) (2) (7) (8.3 1948 1948 195.7 1 155.7 1 125.8 3 14.7 100.0 10
	100.0	8.4 15.5 20.7 31.5 14.1		May 1949 3.7 15.7 19.6 16.9 37.7 6.4
	100.0	2.5 11.4 18.1 36.2 17.4 14.4		1949 2.9 13.3 20.8 14.2 39.4 9.4
	100.0	35.4 14.9 21.8 12.6		Nov. 1946 6.2 20.1 23.5 19.0 100.0
	100.0	7.5 19.2 19.2 18.9		COMMERCIAL LAW Nov. May 1948 1949 8.1 3.8 17.8 17.3 14.4 19.0 29.4 17.8 26.0 36.4 4.3 5.7 100.0 100.0
	100.0	15.2 21.1 23.3 21.8 9.9		May 1949 3.8 17.3 19.0 17.8 36.4 5.7
	100.0	5.0 15.6 21.9 30.5 14.1		Nov. 1949 2.8 11.7 21.8 13.2 41.8 8.7
	100.0	34.7 13.8 21.7 13.7 12.7		7.3 20.2 23.4 19.6 25.4 4.1
	100.0	5.3 9.2 18.0 30.3 17.2		Nov. May 1948 1949 9.4 3.6 15.2 17.2 14.8 19.5 29.0 16.6 26.7 36.8 4.9 6.3 100.0 100.0
	100.0	13.5 18.0 20.9 26.8 10.5		May 1949 3.6 17.2 19.5 16.6 36.8 6.3
	100.0	13.9 13.9 13.9		Nov. 1949 2.1 11.9 18.6 14.6 14.6 9.9
	100.0	2.6 41.7 10.2 19.6 15.1		Nov. 1946 18.8 26.3 4.6
	100.0	30.3 13.3 13.5		Nov. 1948 6.5 15.5 13.5 13.5 26.7 34.5 3.3
	100.0	12.6 17.5 22.6 28.7 11.5		NOV. May 1949 15.5 18.0 13.5 18.8 26.7 13.9 34.5 39.1 100.0 100.0 100.0
	100.0	15.1 21.9 34.3 14.9		Nov. 1949 2.8 13.8 20.9 12.4 40.1 100.0

⁽F) These percentages are based on data reported in "Challenges to the Accounting Profession 1947" published by the American Institute of Accountants. The figures are for candidates who passed the subject in November, 1946 in contrast with the November, 1948 and May, 1949 figures which include all candidates who were credited with having passed the subject on those or on prior examinations. The 1948 and 1949 figures give cumulative effect to past examinations, while the 1946 figures do not include such cumulative credit.

⁽²⁾ These percentages are the result of using the figures for the 366 successful candidates as reported in Schedules I-a and I-b combined with the figures in Schedules III-a and III-b of the 1948 study.

⁽³⁾ These percentages are the result of using figures for the 349 successful candidates combined with the figures in Schedules IV-a and IV-b of the 1949 study.

^{(&}lt;del>‡) These percentages are the result of combining the figures in Schedules I-a and IV-a of this study.

NUMBER OF SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

(MAY AND NOVEMBER, 1949)

Graduate School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	Accounting Major Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	Not Accounting Major Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	Total Candidates Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	College Graduate (See Breakdown) Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	College Incomplete and Technical School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	Technical Accounting School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	College Incomplete Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	High School Successful candidates Unsuccessful candidates	EDUCATION
80 101	291 857	88 405	699 2515	459 1363	47 215	78 408	98 415	17 114	Total
æ +	20 115	2 65	39 300	26 188	1 7	26 26	11 78	1 0	No Experience
11	40 157	18 96	106 500	69 274	11 47	4 78	20 97	W 74	Non- Public
36 36	89 251	COLLEGE GRA		137 367	16	4 57	20 58	10	EXPERIENCE 1-2 yrs. 2-4
18 18	112 199	GRADUATE GROUP 33 95	242 593	163 312	10 59	30 95	29 99	10 28	yrs
13 8	19 81	12 43	88 327	132 132	94 11	21 78	11 37	34	PUBLIC 4-6 yrs.
10 2	11 54	7 26	56 287	90 90	04 8	18 74	77	37	Over 6 yrs.

PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS OF SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

Total	High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete & Technical School College Graduate		Total	High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete & Technical School College Graduate		EDUCATION
100.0	54.2 54.5 54.5		100.0	2.4 14.0 11.1 6.7 65.8		Percent Group is of Total of Sample
11.9	18.9 6.4 3.3 4.3 83.3		5.6	11.2 1.3 2.1		No Experience
19.9	3.5 23.4 19.1 21.9 20.1	UNSUCCESS	15.2	11.8 20.4 5.1 23.4 15.0	SUCCES	Non- Public
20.2	8.8 14.0 14.0 7.4 26.9	SSFUL CANI	24.0 34.6	5.9 20.4 5.1 29.8	SUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES	EXPERIENCE
23.6	21.6 23.9 23.3 27.4 22.9	DATES	34.6	58.8 29.6 38.5 21.3 35.5	DATES	4 yrs.
13.0	29.8 8.9 19.1 21.4 9.7		12.6	5.9 26.9 23.4 9.6		PUBLIC 4-6 yrs.
11.4	32.4 11.0 18.6 6.6		8.0	17.6 7.2 23.1 17.0 4.4		Over 6 yrs.
100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		Total

CANDIDATES WHO QUALIFIED IN MAY AND NOVEMBER, 1949 CLASSIFIED BY EDUCATION AND TABULATED AS TO WHEN THEY PASSED

High School Only: College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School Total Non-Graduates College Graduate - Not Accounting Major College Graduate - Accounting Major Graduate School Total College Graduates Total Candidates	High School Only College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School Total Non-Graduates College Graduate - Not Accounting Major College Graduate - Accounting Major Graduate School Total College Graduates Total Candidates	CLASSIFICATION
100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	17 78 78 88 88 291 88 699	Total
5.9 27.6 10.6 17.9 27.3 27.8 27.9	1 27 10 27 10 128 81 23 128	1st Time
23.5 29.6 29.6 27.9 37.5 37.5	160 160 160 160	2nd Time
19.2 19.2 27.7 24.2 19.3 23.4 21.8	100	3rd Time
PERCENTAGES 11.8 5.9 9.2 6.1 12.8 10.3 12.8 6.4 11.3 7.5 13.6 8.0 7.6 2.1 8.8 3.8 8.9 3.5	EER OF CANDIDATES 2 1 1 9 6 2 10 8 10 6 3 2 27 18 15 12 7 0 22 6 6 6 7 3 2 141 16 8 68 34 23	4th Time
7.5 8.0 2.1 3.8 4.9	1 1 6 8 8 1 1 8 1 1 6 6 7 7 6 6 1 1 6 6 1 1 6 6 1 1 6 6 1 1 6 6 1 1 6 6 1	5th Time
3.3 7.7 6.3 2.00	15 23 8 8 23 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	6th Time
1.0 2.1 2.5 2.1	7 1 0 0 1 6 1 3 1 1	7th Time
2.6	6 2 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	8th Time
1.0 2.6	2 N 0 N 0 N H 0	9th Time

SUMMARY OF ATTEMPTS AT EXAMINATION BY UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

NUMBER
P)
CANDIDATES
OHW W
HAVE
COMPLETED
THEIR

Technical Accounting School 100.0 37.3 24.0 15.4 College Incomplete and Technical School 100.0 36.6 23.6 18.5 College Graduate - Non-Major 100.0 51.9 22.0 10.9 College Graduate - Accounting Major 100.0 47.4 26.0 11.7 Graduate School 100.0 48.5 19.8 9.9	100.0 37.7 25.4 100.0 52.3 19.5	TOTAL 2516 1156 591 327	High School College Incomplete College Incomplete Technical Accounting School College Incomplete and Technical School College Graduate - Non-Major College Graduate - Accounting Major Graduate School H15 217 81 227 81 52 98 63 34 17 44 17 44 16 17 College Graduate - Non-Major College Graduate - Accounting Major 857 406 223 100 55 33 40 Graduate School	EDUCATION Total Attempt Attempt Attempt
	ᄪ			d d
	7.0 4.8	174	19 24 25 25 25 26 27 26 27	d Fourth
+ 1.0000 1.0000	88.	∦ E	10 20 17 10 16 33	Fifth t Attempt
6.00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	5.13	157	3 44 44 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Over Fifth Attempt

SUMMARY OF ATTEMPTS AT EXAMINATION BY UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

		NUN	NUMBER OF CANDI	DIDATES WE	DATES WHO HAVE COMPLETED THEIR	PLETED THE	IR
							Over
		First	Second	Third	Fourth		Fifth
EXPERIENCE	Total	Attempt	Attempt	Attempt	Attempt	Attempt	Attempt
No Experience	300	227	24	20	6		ω
Non-Public	500	285	102	55	29		14
Public, 1-2 years	507	240	149	62	28		14
Public, 2-4 years	594	284	767	75	29		.21
Public, 4-6 years Public, Over 6 years	288 288	,	53 3	5 0	36 36		81 24 24
•			;		.		
Total	2516	1156	591	327	174	111	157
				PERCENTAGES	TAGES		
No Experience	100.0	75.7	14.0	6.7	2.0	°6	1.0
Non-Public	100.0	57.0	20.4	11.0	5 _. 8	3.0	2.8
Public, 1-2 years	100.0	47.3	29.4	12.2	5.5	ಬ್ಹಿ	ູດ
Public, 2-4 years	100.0	47.8	28.1	12.6	4.9	<u>0</u> 0	3.6
Public, 4-6 years	100.0	22.9	23.9	22.9	14.1	8.9	7.3
Public, Over 6 years	100.0	15.6	18.4	13.9	12.5	11.5	28.1
Total	100.0	45.9	23.5	13.0	6.9	4.4	6.3

DATA ON UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES SHOWING THE NUMBER WHO HAVE PASSED AND FAILED EACH SUBJECT CLASSIFIED AS TO EDUCATION

Candidates Candidates Percent of	Candidates Candidates Percent of Accounting Pr	Candidates who Candidates who Percent of pass Theory of Accounts	Candidates we Candidates we Percent of progression Commercial Law:	SUE Auditing:
ates who passed ates who did not pass t of passed to total	Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total Accounting Practice:	ates who passed ates who did not pass t of passed to total Accounts	Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total mercial Law:	SUBJECT
25 89 21.9	25°+	43.9 46 40 40	25. 4	High School Only
108 307 26.0	134 281 32.3	195 220 47.0	105 310 25.3	College Incomplete
81 327 19.9	108 300 26.5	172 236 42.2	99 309 24.3	CLASSIFIED Technical Accounting School
45 170 20.9	66 149 30.7	105 110 48.8	57 158 26.5	AS TO EDUCATION College Incomplete & Tech. School
81 324 20.0	158 247 39.0	224 181 55.3	129 276 31.9	Non- Majo
213 644 24.9	328 529 38.3	482 375 56.2	245 612 28.6	COLLEGE GRADUATES Gradu r Major Scho
23 78 22.8	95 44 54	4°09 04 19	29 72 28.7	ate ol
576 1939 22.9	868 1647 34.5	1289 1226 51.3	693 1822 27.6	Total

DATA ON UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES
SHOWING THE NUMBER WHO HAVE PASSED AND FAILED EACH SUBJECT
CLASSIFIED AS TO EXPERIENCE

Accounting Practice: Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total	Theory of Accounts: Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total	Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total	Auditing: Candidates who passed Candidates who did not pass Percent of passed to total	SUBJECT
75 225 25.0	102 198 34.0	169 131 56.3	39 261 13.0	No Experience
103 397 20.6	153 347 30.6	263 237 52.6	82 418 16.4	Non- Public
116	161	283	103	CLASSI
392	347	225	405	
22.8	31.7	55•7	20.3	
156	223	272	228	CLASSIFIED AS TO EXPERIENCE PUBLIC 4-6 yrs. 4-6 yrs.
437	370	321	365	
22.5	32.2	39.2	32.9	
78 249 23.9	101 226 30.9	147 180 45.0	130 197 39.8	PUBLIC 4-6 yrs.
48	128	155	111	Over 6 yrs.
239	159	132	176	
16.7	44.6	54.0	38.7	
576	868	1289	693	Total
1939	1647	1226	1822	
22.9	34.5	51.3	27.6	