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Abstract

This thesis details the development and programming of the processor subsystem, camera payload, and power subsystem of the Mississippi Imaging Space Satellite (MISSat-1). An overview of the hardware and software considerations necessary for the processor subsystem is discussed. An explanation of microcontroller uses as well as real time operating system fundamentals is also presented as it relates to MISSat-1. The subsystem deals with varieties of peripheral integration and communication standards among devices. The camera graphical user interface (GUI) was expanded with the addition of functions that improve CubeSat image handling. Additionally, image processing techniques and algorithms are considered to improve CubeSat images. This work continues the camera payload work undertaken by University of Mississippi electrical engineering students from previous years. This paper will then discuss the design and analysis completed thus far for the power subsystem of the MISSat-1. Such topics will include an in-depth solar panel investigation, which will lead to the selection of the solar panels that will be used on the MISSat-1. The solar panel selection, along with the other chosen subsystem components, will allow for the formation of the power budget, which shows the breakdown of power usage for each subsystem. The power budget will then be developed into a Matlab GUI. Finally, the power budget will be further analyzed by comparing it to other satellite projects.
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1. Introduction

A CubeSat is a class of small satellites with short development time and low production costs. It is designed for students of the undergraduate skill level. The satellite is 1000 cm$^3$ in size and less than 1.33 kg in weight. The Mississippi Imaging Space Satellite is being designed as a CubeSat class satellite and will be launched as a secondary payload. Its purpose is to capture terrestrial images. These images will be transferred, while the satellite is in orbit, back to the University ground station. In order to develop the CubeSat efficiently, the design was divided into its necessary subsystems and each subsystem was then assigned to a project member. The subsystems presented include the processor, payload, and power.

Figure 1.1.1: Small satellite in low earth orbit.
The primary mission of MISSat-1 is to capture images of earth and send those images to the ground station at the University of Mississippi while in orbit. In addition, the design and implementation provides an opportunity for students to practically apply theoretical knowledge. The overall hope is that the project of designing and sending a satellite into orbit can be continued in future years, building upon the knowledge obtained from the realization of MISSat-1.
2. Processor Subsystem

I. Introduction

A. Project Description and Purpose

The processor subsystem is a central component with several responsibilities to integrate all the elements of the satellite. Tasks include managing the power states, driving communication with the ground station, initiating data collection, and maintaining the system state. Within the processor subsystem is the actual microcontroller, the motherboard along with integrated peripherals, and the operating system.

Microcontrollers are self-contained systems that are often programmable for interfacing with the outside world. Embedded systems make use of microcontrollers designed to respond to environmental events by dedicating them to certain tasks such as regulating room temperature. As microcontrollers have become more sophisticated, so have the systems in which they are embedded. Microcontrollers with higher speed and larger memory can even support what can be called an operating system that is driven top down by user input and bottom up by environmental events.
One of the main objectives of MISSat-1 is to capture terrestrial images. This sensing application may employ microcontrollers to acquire data without making physical contact with the subject or harsh environment under investigation. As microcontrollers have become more complex their usage in remote sensing has increased. At remote locations data is now able to be processed and compressed by microcontrollers before it is sent back to the observer rather than being sent in bulk. With powerful microcontrollers, a plethora of applications are made more available.
II. Subsystem Overview

A. General Requirements

The components selected for the processor subsystem must meet certain unique conditions to be suitable for space applications. A lightweight, low-power device that does not sacrifice computing ability is what is needed for this particular project. With the amount of CubeSat development projects increasing, there is a large number of space proven microcontrollers from which to choose. Pumpkin, a CubeSat component provider, has designed standardized motherboards that allow arbitrary microcontrollers to firmly connect to peripherals.

Figure 2.2.1: A single board computer motherboard for harsh environments.
Many universities have chosen to use Pumpkin’s CubeSat Kit when developing small satellites in an effort to simplify peripheral integration and troubleshooting. The kit provides a standard set of connections that many devices made specifically for CubeSats follow. Among the pluggable microcontrollers are products from Microchip, Silicon Labs, and Texas Instruments that are used frequently in university focused projects.

![Figure 2.2.2: This figure shows common CubeSat Kit Pluggable Processor Module for Texas Instrument’s MSP430.](image)

Each microprocessor has certain attributes that make it unique on the market; however, the basic operation and capabilities from product to product are essentially the same. Choosing a suitable microprocessor is based on the goal of the project. For example, some products may lack in speed but triumph in durability. MISSat-1 needs a microprocessor that is lightweight, power efficient, robust, and can handle a sophisticated level of programming.

**B. Hardware Selection**

A space proven device is very important when considering what to select because it suggests other groups chose it above other microcontrollers and demonstrates the theoretical assumptions of performance may hold true. The Belgian OUFTI-1 CubeSat
project uses the TI MSP430 family of microcontrollers for their compatibility with different styles of programming [1]. For MISSat-1, this flexibility proves useful especially when considering MSP430’s ability to host a real time operating system that will be discussed further in a later section.

The 11 gram TI MSP430F1612 uses between 1.8 V to 3.6 V and 200 µA in active mode. It has a 16-bit RISC architecture with highly optimized instructions to reduce time spent computing. The TI MSP430F1612 is equipped with built in operating modes such as active mode and several levels of low power modes [2]. Depending on what state the satellite is in (e.g. high activity or low activity) the software can switch among power modes. Toggling through these modes with event driven interrupts is a key feature that will allow the satellite to far exceed its power budget allowances. This device interfaces with the rest of the subsystems through pins that lead to its many modules. The MSP430F1612 has a 12-bit analog-to-digital converter, two modules for universal synchronous/asynchronous receiver/transmitter use, and an inter-integrated circuit bus that are needed to operate the antenna deployment, communications and camera boards, and the electrical power system, respectively.

C. Software Selection

The style of operating system chosen to manage the hardware of the processor subsystem is the real-time operating system (RTOS). A key characteristic of an RTOS is its consistency in completing tasks. Salvo is such an operating system that is space proven, supports the TI MSP430F1612, and is highly configurable with header files, user
hooks, and data types included that are written in ANSI C [3]. Salvo is small and efficient allowing more data to be collected. It is also a multitasking RTOS that allows 16 levels of priority for tasks that might be as simple as telling the communication system to send out a beacon or as crucial as determining if there is enough power to capture an image. Of the four supported compilers for the Salvo RTOS, the Rowley Associates: CrossWorks for MSP430 has been chosen after gathering information from other satellite projects and receiving quotes from each retailer. This particular compiler and IDE set is ideal based on its low cost of $300 and a user license that is not limited on time.

Salvo is a multitasking real time operating system that is event-driven. The code executes several tasks sequentially; however, the context is switched at a rate that makes each task and corresponding event appear simultaneous. Programs written for Salvo do not require the user to keep multitasking in mind as Salvo automatically handles services such as task scheduling, access to shared resources, intertask communication, and interrupt control [4].
In addition to running multiple tasks, Salvo allows tasks to have assigned priorities that dictate the level of importance one has over another. Suppose there is a low-priority task A that is periodically ran along with other tasks of the same importance and a high-priority task B that is required to run every ten seconds. During task A’s execution Salvo’s scheduler may stop task A to run task B to meet that ten second deadline. After B’s execution context is switched back to A where it may continue from the point from which it was suspended. Salvo also supports interrupt service routines that may suspend any task. However, if a task must fully execute without a context switch (e.g. a task that sends a packet to the communication board) interrupts may be disabled prior to calling the non-reentrant function.

Figure 2.2.3: Real time operating system (Salvo) program execution flow chart.
Figure 2.2.4: Context switching among tasks of varying priorities.
III. Development Configuration

A. Development Board Setup

The MSP430 Development Kit comes with a few items that allow the microcontroller user to easily test and debug programs as well as work out some of the issues associated with interfacing with peripherals. The kit includes the development board, power supplies, USB wire, and a flash emulation tool. Defects from the manufacturer could easily be the cause of issues early on in the development process. This can be avoided by first powering on the development board with the +5 V standard power supply and probing test points of interest. Below are the results of probing the test points of the MISSat-1 development board after the proper jumpers were configured.

Table 2.3.1: CubeSat Kit development board’s expected and measured test point voltage values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+5V</td>
<td>TP9</td>
<td>+5V</td>
<td>+5.14V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCC</td>
<td>TP12</td>
<td>+3.3V</td>
<td>+3.31V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCC_MCU</td>
<td>TP20 TP44</td>
<td>+3.3V</td>
<td>+3.28V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCC_232</td>
<td>TP21</td>
<td>+3.3V</td>
<td>+3.28V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V+._232</td>
<td>TP19</td>
<td>&gt; +5V</td>
<td>+5.49V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-_232</td>
<td>TP22</td>
<td>&lt; -5V</td>
<td>-5.53V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5V_SW</td>
<td>TP10</td>
<td>0V</td>
<td>0V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-RST/NMI</td>
<td>TP8 TP51</td>
<td>+3.3V</td>
<td>+3.30V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Each of the measured values was close enough to what was expected that development moved forward. The next step involves installing drivers for the USB connection between the PC and development board. This is not the main connection that new programs will be loaded from; it is mainly used for I/O in conjunction with a service such as HyperTerminal. With the drivers installed, the development board may be powered on by simply connecting it via USB with or without a power supply. Test points probed without a power supply for MISSat-1 were as follows.

Table 2.3.2: USB powered CubeSat Kit development board expected and measured test point voltage values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>+5V Power Supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+5V_USB</td>
<td>TP11</td>
<td>0V/+5V</td>
<td>0V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCC_IO</td>
<td>TP13</td>
<td>0V/+3.3V</td>
<td>0V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A correctly operating MSP430 development board will have a starter programming running each time it is powered on that features a blinking yellow LED. Within this test program is a process that checks the ambient temperature of the microcontroller. As there is no screen to view this result, a HyperTerminal or other program such as TeraTerm must be set up to see the feedback from the running code.
B. PC Connection

Programs running on microprocessors may have the functionality of displaying useful information to the user on a monitor and connection software. Regularly gathered information or even input from the user may be exchanged via such a program. For MISSat-1 the computer communication software chosen for initial use is HyperTerminal. The settings that proved successful were as follows.

Table 2.3.3: HyperTerminal settings for default MSP430 program display.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits per Second</th>
<th>Data Bits</th>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Stop Bits</th>
<th>Flow Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9600</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These parameters indicate that the baud rate is 96000 with eight data bits in each character. There is no parity used for error detection, but each block of data is specified to be complete with just one bit after the 8 bits of information. Upon successful configuration of the PC, the starter programming produces an output similar to what is shown below.
Figure 2.3.1: Default program output viewed in HyperTerminal.

With everything working properly the test program uses the HyperTerminal to display the ambient temperature that it has measured repeatedly at a short interval. At this point the development board, power supply, and PC connection are verified to be working properly. The next step is installing an integrated development environment to write and debug new programs created to meet the goals of the satellite mission.
C. Integrated Development Environment

The integrated or interactive development environment allows programmers to write, test, and debug software. The environment used in this project is CrossWork’s CrossStudio for MSP430. This product provides the usual compiler, macro assembler, linker/locator, and Salvo libraries; however, what makes it unique is its core simulator and JTAG debugger. The core simulator allows programs to be uploaded to a virtual microcontroller for general testing rather than having all the physical equipment that may not be needed for troubleshooting a certain part of the program. This feature is useful in that it prevents time from being wasted dragging out the components and perhaps being damaged from movement or foreign objects. The JTAG debugger has proven essential because it allows the software developer run the program line by line on the microcontroller.

Initially CrossStudio would not identify the CubeSat Kit development board as a recognized device so a bit of troubleshooting took place to correct the issue and report the solution on various forums. To connect to a PC for use with CrossStudio, a supported flash emulation tool, MSP-FET430UIF, is used.
From there it is expected that navigating to the “Target” menu and selecting which method of connectivity to use will prepare the device for programming, but there is a flaw in how Texas Instruments has moved forward with firmware upgrades to their devices. CrossStudio initially displays the error message “Can’t connect to target USB: Could not find MSP-FET430UIF on specified COM port” which misleads the user into thinking the incorrect COM port has been assigned. Under further investigation it was determined that this development environment only communicates with the latest firmware known at the time of installation. Rather than installing a previous version the firmware of the flash emulation tool needed to be updated.

Texas Instruments endorses an open source command line programmer called MSP430 Flasher. It is downloaded and run as an executable that identifies connected
Texas Instruments devices via the flash emulation tool. During runtime, the flasher detects conflicts and prompts the user to choose a course of action.

Figure 2.3.3: MSP430 Flasher Command Line Programmer Interface.

If an outdated version of firmware is detected the flasher recommends updating by entering “Y” as a confirmation. The software then updates the firmware without any further assistance. The command line programmer can be useful in other ways as well. If there is a voltage outside the desired range when using the flash emulation tool along with the development board and PC a security fuse may be blown. This fuse can be reset with this executable as well. Another great option supported by the command line
programmer is the ability to load programs, read memory, and verify memory without the use of a development environment.

With the firmware updated and drivers installed, custom programs are ready to be built and run on the microcontroller. The properties in CrossStudio may vary from user to user. The settings that resulted in successful communication after connecting the development board can be seen in the figure below.

![CrossStudio Flash Emulation Tool connection properties](image)

Figure 2.3.4: Flash Emulation Tool connection properties for CrossStudio.
IV. Peripheral Integration

A. Serial Communication

Microcontrollers are able to control peripherals by the use of pins that send and receive signals among devices. This communication can be as simple as raising the voltage on one pin as another pin observes a voltage decrease; however, many standards have been established for efficiency. Serial communication protocols such as UART, I2C, and SPI are quite common, and many microcontrollers support multiple standards [5].

UART communication is seen often with CubeSat components because of its reconfigurable and asynchronous nature. From a physical standpoint, UART systems have four wires; ground reference, 3.3V/5V high reference, transmit line, and receive line [6]. When these four wires are connecting the two communicating devices, there is a common high and low reference. Two separate receiving and transmitting lines allow the devices to transfer information simultaneously as each store the data into buffers until it is needed.

Data sent via a UART connection follows a general character framing scheme but can be altered by adjusting control registers in the controlling microprocessor. The
character frame begins with a start bit followed by the actual data being sent. A parity bit for error detection is optional and is followed by a specified number of stop bits.

Figure 2.4.1: UART character framing scheme.

The rate at which devices communicate is referred to as the baud rate, or symbols transferred per second. Both devices must have the same baud rate to work together which may also be specified via microprocessor control registers. The baud rate is limited in speed and accuracy by the available clocks of the controlling microprocessor. Programmers divide system clocks of a microcontroller to generate UART baud rate. Different baud rates may be selected depending on the particular application. Some uses call for faster communication, but higher baud rates have higher error rates.
Table 2.4.1: Commonly used baud rates, settings, and errors [7].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRCLK (Baud)</th>
<th>[Baud]</th>
<th>UCBRx</th>
<th>UCBRSx</th>
<th>UCBRFx</th>
<th>Max. TX Error (%)</th>
<th>Max. RX Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32,768</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0x000B</td>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32,768</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0x000D</td>
<td>0x0006</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32,768</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0x000E</td>
<td>0x0007</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32,768</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0x003B</td>
<td>0x0003</td>
<td>-21.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,048,576</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0x000D</td>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,048,576</td>
<td>19200</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0x003B</td>
<td>0x0005</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,048,576</td>
<td>38400</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0x001B</td>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,048,576</td>
<td>57600</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0x0012</td>
<td>0x0006</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,048,576</td>
<td>115200</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0x0009</td>
<td>0x0001</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,048,576</td>
<td>128000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0x0009</td>
<td>0x0001</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,048,576</td>
<td>256000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0x0009</td>
<td>0x0001</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0x000B</td>
<td>0x0001</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>19200</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0x0034</td>
<td>0x0000</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>38400</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0x001A</td>
<td>0x0000</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>57600</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0x0011</td>
<td>0x0007</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>115200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0x0009</td>
<td>0x0008</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>128000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0x0009</td>
<td>0x0008</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>256000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0x0003</td>
<td>0x0007</td>
<td>-29.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>0x010A</td>
<td>0x0006</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>19200</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0x0030</td>
<td>0x0003</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>38400</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0x0039</td>
<td>0x0011</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>57600</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0x0047</td>
<td>0x0004</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>115200</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0x0022</td>
<td>0x0008</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>128000</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0x001F</td>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>256000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0x000F</td>
<td>0x0005</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above demonstrates how certain baud rate clocks (BRCLK) of the MSP430 family may be divided to obtain desired baud rates. This information is provided by Texas Instruments to help users choose baud rates wisely. It can be seen that for a specific clock the baud rate is a factor for the error percentage.

B. Payload

The payload for MISSat-1 consists of terrestrial images captured by an onboard camera. It is important that this device is understood so that commands and data can be efficiently passed to and from the camera. A JPEG Module handles the compression of images captured by the camera and hosts a serial interface featuring a UART core.
Figure 2.4.2: On board camera pin layout.

The figure above shows the pins available for interfacing the camera board. Because this camera is widely used and not just for CubeSat projects, it does not feature CubeSat Kit bus connectors for simplified interfacing. The four wires of the camera’s UART connection are instead directed to where they can connect to the microprocessor’s UART module via a protoboard.
Figure 2.4.3: Protoboard that converts arbitrary devices to use the CubeSat Kit bus connector scheme.

It is important to rout these wires to pins that lead to one of the UART modules designated for general use. Example code from Salvo designates that the communication board should use UART module 1 while other peripherals may use UART module 0. The pins for UART 0 are specified on the CubeSat Kit motherboard datasheet.

The camera board initiates communication by listening for a synchronization signal. Those working on the camera subsystem have developed a program that sends this signal to the camera board until a confirmation signal is received or a set number of attempts have been reached for timeout purposes. The synchronization signal in the figure below is sent from a laptop to the camera. The signal is five bytes long, and a positive confirmation was received from the camera in return.
Figure 2.4.4: Synchronization signal sent from a laptop and correctly received by the camera board.

The first step for the processor subsystem to control the camera module is ensuring that the transmitted signals are understood by each device. If the processor can receive a confirmation from the camera after sending the synchronization signal then a positive handshake has occurred. This means the two devices are using the same character framing scheme and baud rate. All other commands to and from the camera will be understood once effective communication is established.

To ensure the processor has the ability to send and receive arbitrary signals a quick test was conducted. In this setup the transmitting pin of the UART module is directly connected to the same module’s receiving pin. With the CrossStudio development environment in debug mode the received information can be seen through the IDE’s ability to view registers and buffers in real time.
The block diagram of Figure 4.5 helps to visualize the way this particular test is wired. The synchronization signal is sent using CubeSat Kit Salvo commands and the incoming characters are received into an array. The user may toggle whether or not the wire between the UART TX and RX pins are connected. Receipt of expected signals is indicated with an onboard LED. Successful operation results in the LED being on when the pins are connected and off otherwise. The task code written for this may be found in the appendix section.
Figure 2.4.6: CrossStudio interface displaying successful receipt of the synchronization signal.

The figure above demonstrates what is expected when a signal is correctly passed from the TX to RX pin. In CrossStudio variables may be displayed in the debugging process. Here it can be seen that the “input” array was filled with the “0xAA01B00005AA” signal that was transmitted. Because the information now stored in the array matches what was sent out, the section of code that illuminates the LED is entered.

As mentioned before, the camera board does not feature CubeSat Kit bus connectors so other means of connecting the processor must be used. There are just four wires that these two devices must share, but there are many points of failure that can occur between them. Loose connections are the main issue with a temporary connection
such as this. A solderless breadboard is used to hold the reference for ground and +5V. As camera control is further developed an established work station with probing points for a multimeter and oscilloscope has been made.

Figure 2.4.7: Camera interfacing work station.

At all times the developer can read the UART reference voltage (e.g. +5.06V is the reference here) and using the Analog Discovery USB oscilloscope the sent signals are viewed. No switching connections or change in probe locations is needed with such an extensive work station.
Figure 2.4.8: Synchronization signal sent from the development board to the camera module.

The signal in the figure above is an attempt at synchronizing with the camera module using a custom-made Salvo task. The correct baud rate and character frame options were chosen and applied to the control registers of the processor’s UART module. In comparison to what a laptop used in developing the payload subsystem sends as a synchronization as seen in Figure 4.4, the development board’s signal is sent over a longer period of time. Each frame is sent at the correct baud rate, but the spacing between characters in Figure 4.8 prevents the camera from properly synchronizing.

C. Electrical Power System

The power subsystem has been designed in such a way that its components are easily incorporated into MISSat-1 via CubeSat Kit bus connectors. The electrical power
system board is stacked along with other peripherals to fit neatly within the allowable
dimensions of the CubeSat [8].

![Figure 2.4.9: Electrical power system CubeSat Kit bus connection pins.](image)

Communication between the processor and EPS is driven by an I2C bus. No
adjustments have to be made to the CubeSat Kit bus connector because there are no other
peripherals in this project that use the serial data line and serial clock line of the I2C bus.
The only issue with using this specific EPS board is that the microcontroller within the
device operates on data differently than the processor subsystem microcontroller. The
difference is the order of storing bytes of a data word in either big or little endianness.
The EPS is big endian while the microcontroller of the processor subsystem is little
 endian.
The term “endian” is an allusion to a great war in *Gulliver’s Travels*, but the issue is resolved with simple conversion code. Beyond the data transfer between the EPS board and processor subsystem is the use of the real time operating system to manage power in a safe way.

**D. Communication Board**

The radio has the responsibility of communicating with the ground station while the satellite is in orbit. Information such as subsystem statuses must be collected during flight and continue to be transmitted throughout the life of MISSat-1. The communication board chosen for MISSat-1 is of the AstroDev Helium Radio product line and adheres to the CubeSat Kit standards on size and bus connection [9]. Like the payload, the communication board will make use of one of the UART modules of the processor to send and receive data. Unlike the EPS board, this radio is built around the same microcontroller as the processor subsystem so no endianness issues will be found.
Figure 2.4.11: AstroDev Helium Radio product line board.

The communication board relays information between the processor subsystem and the ground station as well as broadcasts information periodically to meet satellite regulations. The radio sends and receives information in the form of packets. The processor subsystem has the option of either having the radio pass entire packets directly to the microcontroller or just the payload. To save processing resources, it has been decided that the communication board will only pass the important payload information to the processor.
Figure 2.4.12: Packet structure for commands and data (top) and packet header description (bottom).

With this decision in place, the radio will take on a portion of the responsibilities associated with processing incoming and outgoing data. All information exchanged between MISSat-1 and the ground station will be in the AX.25 link-layer protocol specification because of its widespread use in the small satellite community.
V. Conclusion

The goal of this section has been to lay the initial foundation for further development of MISSat-1 as it pertains to the microcontroller that directs all of the satellite’s functionality. Both hardware and software considerations have been documented as well as the reasons for specific product selection. Using this paper as a guide, groups may begin to develop their own processor subsystems without repeating some of the troubleshooting issues explored during this project. Programs used regarding MISSat-1’s operating system are available through purchasing Pumpkin’s Salvo RTOS.
3. Payload Subsystem

I. Introduction

A. Project Description and Purpose

Communication between the camera microcontroller and the satellite processor will be explored, with the goal of successful commands sent and received from the processor. Communication attempts in the past between camera and processor development board have been unsuccessful. Upon successful communication with the processor via the UART interface, functionality designed by recent University of Mississippi graduates will be implemented with the processor.

In previous years students have designed a GUI to interface and experiment with camera functions and settings through a computer. The final configuration must take the camera functionality and camera-computer interactions developed by past students and implement it with the processor. Additional functionality was added such as are obtaining storage and file information, luminance, and deleting files on the camera. Resolution and compression ratio must be able to be adjusted to ensure that the images will be able to be transmitted when the satellite passes over the ground station. The satellite will have a 10
minute window to transmit images while in low earth orbit. Testing will also be conducted to determine the best initial capture settings of the camera before being launched into space. Images were captured to test the effects of various lighting and distances.

Prior work on the camera payload included selection of the camera and programming of an interface that communicates directly with the camera microcontroller from the user’s computer. The C6820 Enhanced JPEG Module manufactured by COMedia was selected as the camera subsystem based on weight, size, image compression, and power usage considerations. Additionally, an interface was programmed in C# to communicate with the camera board directly from the user’s computer through a serial connection.

B. Background Information

Often times CubeSats choose a camera as their primary payload system. Camera payloads can be used for weather forecasting, space imagining, and surveillance systems. These images are usually low resolution due to the mass, power, and bandwidth constraints of CubeSats [10].

In previous years, University of Mississippi students designed a GUI to interface and experiment with camera functions and settings through a personal computer. The final configuration must take all of the camera functionality and computer interactions developed and implement it with the CubeSat processor. Additional functionality was added such as obtaining storage and file information, observing luminance, and deleting
files on the camera. The old code was also modified to ensure proper exception handling and bugs presented were fixed. Functions to adjust image resolution and compression ratio were implemented to ensure that the images will be able to be transmitted when the satellite passes over the ground station, as the satellite will only have a 10 minute window per orbit to transmit images while in low earth orbit.

Smaller images were taken to ensure that images can be successfully transmitted in the window. Testing was conducted to determine the best initial capture settings of the camera before being launched into space. Special considerations for space imaging (lighting conditions in space, camera orbital speed, etc.) were researched and taken into account in the programming of the camera subsystem. Images were captured to test the effects of various lighting and distances.
II. CubeSat Cameras

A. Survey of CubeSat Cameras

Due to power, mass, and bandwidth constraints, CubeSat camera payloads generally take low resolution images. Most CubeSats use either a charge coupled device (CCD) or complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) image sensor. Generally, CCD cameras retrieve data more quickly and consume more power than CMOS cameras. However, CMOS cameras are still an evolving technology, whereas CCD is a mature technology. The general trend of CubeSat cameras is towards CMOS because it consumes less power and lasts longer in space [10].

The durability of CMOS image sensors is due to the fact that all functions can be integrated in the chip, which minimizes leads and solder joints; the leading cause of circuit failure in harsh environments. CCD sensors however, have functions integrated on the printed circuit board.

CMOS imagers provide superior integration, power dissipation, and size, at the expense of low flexibility and image quality, especially in low light. This makes CMOS technology ideal for space-constrained applications where image resolution is of no consequence, such as security cameras, PC videoconferencing, and wireless handheld devices.
CCD imagers offer higher image resolution and flexibility, at the expense of device size. Flexibility means that the user can achieve greater system differentiation with a CCD sensor than with a CMOS sensor. This makes CCD sensors ideal for applications where high quality images are necessary, such as digital photography and broadcast television. The cost of these two sensors are comparable [11].

For our CubeSat, we chose to use a CMOS sensor due to our size and power constraints, the details of which will be discussed in the following sections. In the “Space Imaging Conditions” section, we determine the luminance of space to better understand the conditions our camera will be performing under. This is necessary to determine the proper settings to take pictures in space, and to see if the CMOS sensor has poor resolution in different lighting situations, as mentioned previously.

**B. Camera Specifications**

We have chosen to use the C6820 Enhanced JPEG Module in our CubeSat, which was also used as payload in the F-1 CubeSat designed at FPT University in Vietnam [12]. The C6820 has a CMOS image sensor and has the ability to adjust resolution, compression ratio and many other values necessary for space imaging applications. The camera specifications can be found in Figure 3.2.1.
**Module Specifications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Image Sensor</td>
<td>3M CMOS sensor OV3620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image Processor</td>
<td>ZORAN COACH-6E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Board Memory</td>
<td>128 Mb (8 MBytes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>External memory card up to 2GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident 32MB NAND Flash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display Connector</td>
<td>Composite video out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video Capture</td>
<td>640 x 480 (30 fps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>320 x 240 (30 fps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unlimited Motion JPEG capturing time depends on available memory space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo Resolution</td>
<td>1280 x 960, 640 x 480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JPEG format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Balance</td>
<td>Normal / Daylight / Tungsten / Fluorescent / Cloudy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UART Baud Rate</td>
<td>115200 / 57600 bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV out</td>
<td>NTSC / PAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USB Interface</td>
<td>USB 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass storage mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supported OS: Win2000 / XP / ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>DC 5V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.2.1: C6820 Module Specifications [13]

**Mechanical Dimension**

![Main Board Diagram]

![Sensor Board Diagram]

Figure 3.2.2: C6820 Dimensions (In Centimeters) [13]

**Table 3.2: C6820 Power Consumption**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage Draw</th>
<th>5V Input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.27 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Draw</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capture Mode</td>
<td>.245 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Download Mode</td>
<td>.235 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Mode</td>
<td>.187 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.2.3: C6820 power measurements [13]
III. Previous Work

A. Camera Selection

The weight restriction for CubeSats is 1.33 kg for the entire satellite. The frame of the satellite takes up a third of the allotted weight, so the camera must weigh less than 10g to ensure there is enough weight leftover for the components of the other subsystems. The volume of the entire CubeSat is restricted to a 10cm x 10cm x 10cm cube. The camera should occupy less than 1cm³ to ensure there is room for larger components, such as batteries and processors.

The entire satellite runs at a very low power and must be able to recharge itself with solar panels attached to the sides of the satellite. At full charge, the batteries hold about 10Wh, so an ideal camera would use less than 1W of power. The camera uses the most power while capturing an image, and uses nearly no power in while it is in idle mode.

The C6820 Enhanced JPEG Module, manufactured by COMedia, was chosen because it met all weight and size requirements of MISSat-1 and can be easily integrated into the satellite. An image of the C6820 can be found below in Figure 3.2.3. Integration of the C6820 is simpler because it comes with an evaluation kit. The evaluation kit complies with the MISSat-1 size constraints and includes an on-board JPEG compressor, on-board
memory, and the option to attach an external SD memory card. In this way, we no longer need a separate microcontroller for the camera.

![Diagram of camera components](image)

**Figure 3.3.1: COMedia C6820 [14]**

**B. Existing Code**

Notable functions completed by former University of Mississippi students included on prior iterations of the camera GUI include: Synchronization between camera and computer, switching between various camera modes, taking images, downloading images, and setting image capture parameters (Exposure Value, Color, etc.) [15].
The camera synchronization function is used to open the communication port on the computer and send the proper synchronization signal to activate the camera. The function repeatedly sends this signal until the camera responds with the proper hexadecimal values to indicate the camera has been synchronized or until the function times out.

The “change camera modes” function has also been implemented in past years. The camera has three modes: idle, capture, and playback. The latter mode will not be used on the MISSat-1, as it is only for video recordings. The idle mode allows users to manipulate images currently stored on the camera, while the capture mode allows images to be taken. In idle mode, the camera’s capture parameters can be adjusted, such as the exposure value and color properties.

The download image function operates by sending the camera a series of bytes indicating a download request and the file to be downloaded. The camera replies with a series of bytes that specify the image’s file size, the number of packets the image has been broken down to, and the filename. The camera GUI uses this information to loop through the packets being sent to process the image and store it as a JPEG file.

The following section details the improvements added to the previous version of the camera GUI.


IV. Programming the Camera Interface

A. Camera Operation

The camera GUI operates by sending bytes of information to the camera microcontroller and waiting for response. Typically, the sent code from the GUI to the camera is an array of five hexadecimal bytes, bookended by the bytes “0xAA.” The GUI then waits for an array of hexadecimal bytes from the camera. This received array usually consists of six hexadecimal bytes, bookended by the bytes “0xAA.” This returned array contains valuable information as to the success or failure of the operation. For more complex functions such as downloading an image or synchronization with the camera, a series of arrays may be returned, all of which contain information regarding the status of the camera. One major improvement of the camera GUI discussed in the next section is the analysis of the received byte array in order to ensure the stability of the GUI and exception handling.

B. Improvements and Modifications

The window to download images from the satellite is approximately 10 minutes every day. Thus, communication with the satellite can be quite cumbersome and proper file management is needed to ensure that all processes are executed efficiently during the 10 minute window. The following functions were added to the existing camera GUI to
ensure that there would be no confusion when attempting to access camera files. Each of these functions rely on low-level exception handling to ensure the program does not crash.

**Delete Function**

The delete command deletes files directly from the camera memory. However, there is no way to directly observe the contents of the camera memory. As a result, testing of the delete function is limited to taking a picture, downloading the picture, deleting the picture, then verifying that the deleted picture cannot be downloaded again, or, taking a picture, deleting the picture, taking a new picture, and verifying that the old picture filename now holds the new picture. The delete function makes use of the ID and Parameter commands of the camera. Testing of the delete function revealed various bugs in the camera GUI. Attempts to download nonexistent pictures resulted in an “IndexOutOfBoundsException” exception. Handling of these exceptions is done through low level checking of bytes returned from the camera microcontroller, instead of higher level exception handling such as try-catch blocks. This and other modifications to the code are discussed in later sections.

**Memory Management**

In order to allow for greater user control over file management and downloading of images, additional functionality was added to the GUI to work in conjunction with the delete function.

A memory function was implemented to display the memory available on the camera in megabytes, the number of files on the camera and the number of images that can be taken given the current settings. Testing of the function consisted of running the function to observe the current memory state, deleting a file, and running the function a
second time to confirm that the total number of files has decreased by one, and that the available memory has increased. This also verifies the functionality of the Delete function implemented last week. Because the memory information is obtained by sending a sequence of bytes to the camera microcontroller, the memory update function cannot update in real-time. Instead, a button must be pressed to refresh the memory data.

**Low-Level Exception Handling**

Many of the camera functions on the existing GUI had no exception-handling. These functions were updated to take advantage of the return bytes from the camera to better understand the camera processes. These function now interpret the return bytes and can stop the program from crashing if a function is not successful. Most notably, the download function implemented in past years can now operate fully without crashing. The prior version of the download function would crash the program if an error occurred, or if the file could not be found. With low-level exception handling, this can be avoided.

**Resolution and Compression Ratio**

A resolution and compression ratio setting was implemented in order to manage the size of the images taken. Because there is a short window of opportunity to transmit images from the satellite to the ground station, achieving an appropriate image size is imperative. The MISSat-1 can take pictures in 1280x960 and 640x480 resolution and a compression ratio between 1 and 45. Many universities choose to take images with 640x480 resolution. The COMPASS-1 FH Aachen University in Germany used a very similar camera as the MISSat-1 and only takes pictures in the lowest resolution to improve transmission time. Testing of the compression ratio and resolution setting shows that the addition of these operations allow the user to significantly decrease the size of the
image to be downloaded. Assuming a 640x480 pixel RGB image will be taken, with three bytes per pixel, the image size can be calculated as follows:

\[ 640 \times 480 \times 3 = 921,600 \text{ Bytes} \]

The transmission rates available are: 9600 baud/s, 4800 baud/s, 2400 baud/s, and 1200 baud/s. The amount of time needed to transmit an image at each of the transmission rates are given in Figure 3.4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TX Rate</th>
<th>TX Time (1 image)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9600 baud/s</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4800 baud/s</td>
<td>1536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400 baud/s</td>
<td>3072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200 baud/s</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.4.1: Table of transmission times. [15]

Optimistically, the satellite pass over time will be around ten minutes. From the Figure 3.4.1, it is evident that even the fastest transmission rate cannot transmit an image without compression. Assuming a transmission rate of 2400 baud/s, a pass time of eight minutes, and a file size of 921,600 bytes (calculated above), a compression ratio of 1.4:1 is needed. Further testing must be conducted to determine the optimum compression ratio for implementation. An image of the GUI can be found in Appendix II.
V. Integration of Camera Interface into MISSat-1

A. Space Imaging Conditions

While in space, MISSat-1 will be subject to extremely harsh lighting conditions. These conditions need to be accounted for and simulated to ensure quality images of the Earth are taken. Many of the resources for taking pictures of space are written by astrophotographers, who primarily take pictures of Earth from the International Space Station. While this information is helpful in understanding the imaging conditions of space, the cameras used for these applications are very high quality DSLR cameras with highly tunable exposure and aperture values. In order to understand how space affects CMOS image sensors, further investigation is needed.

CubeSats with similar camera payloads have been launched by the University of Michigan and VIT University in India. These two universities provide extensive documentation of their camera testing and settings. Both universities believe that it is important to test the functionality of the camera in the extreme cold temperatures and radiation of space. Additionally, both universities believe it is important to protect the camera lens from exposure to the sun.

The University of Michigan CubeSat uses a CMOS camera and a compression ratio of about 10 \([16]\). They tested their camera settings by simulating Earth’s luminosity in
lab, taking pictures of modulation transfer function test charts, and testing the necessary exposure time for blur free photos.

VIT University’s VITSAT-1 also uses a CMOS camera manufactured by OmniVision. They cite the following as difficulties that arise when attempting to take pictures in space: high power density of sunlight, low temperature of space, high radiation intensities, directional stability, power consumption, and weight of the camera [17]. Because CubeSats have a short pass time, low resolution images must be taken to ensure the image can be transmitted in a reasonable amount of time. CubeSat cameras also require adjustable exposure settings. Because most camera modules are designed to be used for terrestrial applications such as mobile phones and webcams, an adjustable exposure is needed to ensure the camera can take quality images of the Earth under the harsh luminance conditions of space. Figure 3.5.1 shows images taken in space using the same camera module as the VITSAT-1, taken by a University of Tokyo CubeSat at the Intelligent Space Systems Laboratory.

![Figure 3.5.1](image)

Figure 3.5.1: Intelligent Space Systems Laboratory, University of Tokyo

To illustrate the importance of adjustable camera settings for space imaging, Figure 3.5.2 contains images taken from a similar OmniVision CMOS camera taken by COMPASS-1 of FH Aachen University of Applied Sciences in Germany. COMPASS-1 uses a camera module with an automatic exposure setting [18]. Because of the strong
illumination in space, the automatic exposure cannot adjust to a proper setting to take proper images of Earth. The COMPASS-1 also has a neutral density filter installed. Neutral density filters are used to reduce all wavelengths of light equally. In doing so, a longer exposure time can be used, without oversaturating the images. The use of the filter provided satisfactory images on Earth, but failed when implemented in space. Despite the use of a filter, the images are still incredibly saturated, which speaks to the importance of properly testing camera settings on Earth.

Figure 3.5.2: Images taken by the COMPASS-1 CubeSat. The satellite antenna and the contour of the Earth can be seen in some of the images.

The MISSat-1 camera has an adjustable exposure value with range -2 to 2. The camera settings and the testing of the camera is detailed in the following section.
B. Testing of Camera Settings

While in orbit, the MISSat-1 payload will be subject to harsh conditions that must be accounted for prior to launch. Calculations of the luminance and irradiance experienced by a CubeSat in Low Earth Orbit have been conducted by Aalborg University [19]. The report gives suggestions for reducing the effects of space on the payload. However, communication attempts with the Aalborg satellite have failed and there is no way of verifying their considerations for space imaging. These calculations can be found in Figure 3.5.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Light reflected from earth (albedo):</th>
<th>30%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variation in reflection:</td>
<td>Max 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light intensity from the sun outside the atmosphere:</td>
<td>1370W/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light intensity from the sun at the surface of the earth:</td>
<td>1000W/m² (clear day at noon, <em>source</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity loss in the atmosphere: (1370 - 1000)/1370 = 27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light intensity seen from CubeSat: (0.3<em>1000W/m²)</em>(1-0.27)= 219 W/m² = 16425lux</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal light intensity indoor:</td>
<td>200 - 500lux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.5.3: Calculated light intensity values seen by CubeSats [19].

Aalborg calculated the luminance seen from the CubeSat to be 16425 lux. In comparison, typical indoor luminance is 200-500 lux. Various camera lighting configurations were tested in an anechoic chamber. These configurations attempted to simulate the high intensity, high contrast scenes that the CubeSat would be capturing.
C. Image Processing

In order to improve the quality of images taken in space, various image processing techniques were explored. Two filtering techniques were considered: Laplacian Filtering and Highboost Filtering. Both Laplacian and Highboost filtering are spatial filtering techniques.

Laplacian Filtering

Laplacian filtering is a spatial filtering technique to sharpen images by creating a filter mask based on the discrete formulation of the Laplacian operator. The discrete formulation of the Laplacian for a function of two variables is:

$$\nabla^2 f(x, y) = f(x + 1, y) + f(x - 1, y) + f(x, y + 1) + f(x, y - 1) - 4f(x, y)$$

Where the second order derivative in the $x$-direction and $y$-direction is:

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} = f(x + 1, y) + f(x - 1, y) - 2f(x, y)$$

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dy^2} = f(x, y + 1) + f(x, y - 1) - 2f(x, y)$$

The filter mask constructed from these equations is given in Figure 3.5.4.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.5.4: Laplacian filter mask used for image sharpening.
The corresponding filter mask gives the second-order derivative in the horizontal and vertical directions. The convolution of the filter mask with the original image yields the edges of the original image. The edges can be added to the original image to yield a sharpened version of the original image. It is important to note that the above filter mask considers only the horizontal and vertical changes in an image. To account for diagonal changes in intensity, the center value of the mask is replaced by -8 and the four terms that are currently set to 0 are replaced by 1 [20]. The results of Laplacian filtering can be seen in Figure 3.5.5.

![Figure 3.5.5: Original image, Laplacian mask, and sharpened image](image)

**Highboost Filtering**

Highboost filtering is a spatial filtering technique where the filter mask is obtained by subtracting a blurred version of the image from the original image. The mask is then scaled by a constant factor and added to the original image. Figure 3.5.6 shows two different kernels used to blur the image. The averaging filter blurs the image by setting pixel values to the average intensity of its surrounding neighbors. The Gaussian filter blurs images in a similar manner, but weights the pixels differently based on a Gaussian distribution. The coefficients are necessary to preserve the overall image intensity. The effects of the averaging mask can be seen in Figure 3.5.7 and the effects of the Gaussian mask can be seen in Figure 3.5.8.
Figure 3.5.6: Averaging and Gaussian filter mask used for image blurring, respectively.

Figure 3.5.7: Original image, image blurred with averaging mask, and sharpened image, respectively.

Figure 3.5.8: Image blurred with Gaussian mask, and sharpened image, respectively

**High Dynamic Range Imaging**

Traditional cameras take photographs with a limited exposure range. This results in a loss of detail in bright or dark sections of a photograph. Additionally, the radiance values captured by the image sensor are not the “true” values of radiance of the scene.
Thus, there exists a nonlinear mapping between radiance values in a scene and pixel values in an image.

High Dynamic Range (HDR) imaging improves detail in images by capturing multiple photographs at varying exposure levels and merge them to create a broader tonal range. Typically, HDR imaging is used for enhancing images and exaggerating contrast for artistic effects. Images taken in space are often subjugated to a larger than normal dynamic range, which makes HDR imaging a viable option for images taken by the MISSat-1.

The implementation of HDR imaging is split into three components:

1. A radiance map must be constructed from multiple images of the same scene taken with different exposure values.
2. The HDR image must be reconstructed from the radiance map.
3. The image must be converted into a suitable display image through tone mapping.

The last step is necessary to reduce the contrast of the HDR image to ensure proper display on devices with lower dynamic range. There exists a number of local tone mapping procedures that exist, and the proper tone mapping algorithm must be determined.

We are currently in the process of testing and implementing HDR imaging to see if it is a viable option for CubeSat images. In order to recover the response function of the imaging process, we propose using the algorithm outlined by Debevec [21], which proposes a technique to construct the response function based on a collection of images of the same scene captured at different known exposures. With the function in hand, the
pixel values of the pictures with varying exposures can be used to construct a radiance map, which covers the entire dynamic range of the scene.

**Response Function Recovery**

When a digital image is taken, the exposure $X$ is given as the product of $E$, the irradiance of the film and $\Delta t$, the exposure time. After digitizing and processing, the exposure $X$ becomes a new number, $Z$, a nonlinear mapping of the exposure at each pixel. This transformation is the characteristic curve of the film and encompasses the irregularities introduced by processing the image. The above can be expressed as such:

$$Z_{ij} = f(E_i\Delta t_j)$$

This equation can be rewritten as:

$$g(Z_{ij}) = \ln f^{-1}(Z_{ij}) = \ln E_i + \ln \Delta t_j$$

where $i$ is the pixel index and $j$ is image exposure index. In this equation, $E_i$ and $\Delta t$ are known and the function $g$ and $Z_{ij}$ are the desired values. Debevec includes a smoothing factor and a weighting term to more accurately fit the data, and solves the overdetermined system [21]. With a recovered $g$, the pixel values can be converted to the appropriate radiance values with given $\Delta t$ for any image taken. Figure 3.5.9 shows the process of constructing the mapping between exposure and pixel value given three images. Debevec’s code can be found in Appendix III.
Figure 3.5.9: Pixel value plotted as a function of log exposure given three images [22].
VI. Conclusion

A. Future Work

After successful construction of the radiance map of a series of images, tone mapping must be performed in order to properly display the HDR image. There are a variety of tone mapping techniques that exist, the simplest of which consists of applying a global transfer curve. However, when the image has an unusually high exposure range, global tone mapping techniques fail to preserve exposure details. Instead, local tone mapping is needed. Durand [23] details a local tone mapping technique to display HDR images while preserving edge details. The process works by decomposing the HDR image into a base layer and a detail layer. The base layer has contrast reduced, thus preserving details in the displayed image. A bilateral filter is used to obtain the detail layer due to its edge-preserving properties.

Because HDR images use multiple images of the same scene with different exposures, it is imperative that the camera remain relatively steady during the imaging process. A camera function should be implemented that iterates through a series of exposure values and takes images automatically at each of them, as manually taking and adjusting exposure values is too timely to produce quality images for HDR imaging.

Additionally, further testing of the behavior of the CubeSat in space conditions must be conducted. The possibility of a neutral density filter and other mechanical
techniques to improve imaging should be explored. Currently, the camera has a “measure luminance” function, which should be implemented to provide insight on image scenes. Further investigation must be conducted to determine the best configuration to simulate space conditions on Earth.
4. Power Subsystem

I. Introduction

A. Project Description and Purpose

Of the subsystems of the MISSat-1, the power subsystem will be the main focus of the last few sections of this paper. The power subsystem is responsible for managing the power for the entire satellite. The main function of the power subsystem is to collect, store and distribute power. Once launched, if the satellite is in the sun, power for the satellite is collected through the solar panels surrounding the exterior of the CubeSat which is then transferred to the battery and the other subsystems that require it. When the satellite is in the dark, the battery will transfer power to the subsystems that require it. Therefore, the power subsystem that is selected for the MISSat-1 must be able to integrate seamlessly with the other subsystems of the satellite.
II. Solar Panels

A. Solar Cell Degradation

One of the initial responsibilities of the power subsystem is the selection of both an electrical power system (EPS) and solar panels that will be best suited for the MISSat-1. With regards to solar panels, in order to make a well-informed decision, it is essential to first become familiar with not only how solar panels function but how they are affected by a space environment. A space environment is known to negatively impact the lifespan of a satellite and, in most cases, the typical lifespan of a CubeSat only ranges from 3 to 9 months. Maximizing the lifetime of the CubeSat would be a great advantage since it would allow the satellite a longer time period in which to collect data. Therefore, some time was spent investigating the main causes of solar cell degradation. This topic is of particular interest for the MISSat-1 because it not only influences the overall lifespan of the satellite but the power intake as well. On this topic, a term to be familiar with is beginning of life (BOL). BOL power for a solar panel refers to the amount of power the panel can take in before it has been exposed to a space environment, at the start of a satellite’s mission [24]. Another important term is solar cell efficiency, which simply refers to how much solar power can be converted to power for the satellite.

After looking into the issue, it was found that solar cells can be degraded in space due to three main reasons: exposure to atomic oxygen, thermal cycling and ultraviolet
radiation. Atomic oxygen itself is a result of bursts of ultraviolet radiation that cause the breakdown of molecular oxygen. According to the European Space Agency, the concentration of atomic oxygen in space is dependent upon both the satellite’s altitude and the current solar activity that the satellite is exposed to [25]. Atomic oxygen is notably a concern for satellites in low earth orbit (LEO), which is where the MISSat-1 will be orbiting. Prolonged exposure negatively impacts the satellite by eroding the satellite’s surfaces including the solar cells. Eroded solar cells result in drastically reduced solar cell efficiencies. However, the susceptibility of solar cells to atomic oxygen is more of an issue for silicon solar panels. The second cause for solar cell breakdown, thermal cycling, is a result of the satellite cycling through two extreme temperatures. The temperatures experienced by satellites in LEO can range from -100°C to 120°C [26]. This temperature cycling causes damage to both solar cells and their connectors. Finally, ultraviolet radiation exposure darkens the solar cells cover glass which in turn reduces the amount of solar energy that is transmitted to the cells. These three factors all contribute to the eventual degradation of the solar panels and therefore result in a substantial decrease in power absorbed for the satellite. Since solar panels are the only source to replenish the satellite’s power, it is crucial that they are kept in optimal shape.

After acquiring this information, the next step was to see to what degree satellites are affected by solar cell degradation and to find the best methods to avoid degradation. Research was done on past satellite projects, while specifically looking for ones that had provided a good timeline of degradation that can be used as a basis for comparison. One specific satellite group collected data over a period of 1067 orbits. This mission, known as the High Efficiency Solar Panel (HESP) Experiment, was flown as a part of the
CRRES (Combined Release and Radiation Effects Satellite) Mission [27]. Since this group did not fly in LEO, one orbit for the CRRES Mission lasted for almost 600 minutes (versus LEO which is about 90 minutes per orbit). Therefore, their total satellite mission lasted for about 440 days. The HESP satellite group focused their time on the degradation of solar panels. During these 1067 orbits, the group researched combinations of solar cell materials and cover glass thicknesses that would be most beneficial for satellites to use to maximize their power intake and to minimize the effects of degradation. The HESP group compared two solar cell materials in particular: silicon (Si) and gallium arsenide germanium (GaAs/Ge). Additionally, for the Si material, the group tested with two different cell efficiencies. From their studies they were able to plot the percentage of remaining BOL power over 1067 orbits which can be seen in Fig. 4.2.1. The efficiencies and cover glass thicknesses used for the solar cells in Fig. 4.2.1 can be seen in Table 4.2.1. Upon examination of Fig. 4.2.1, there is a rapid drop in the percent of BOL power in both of the Si cell types between orbits 0 and 300. According to the HESP group, this initial drop is caused by radiation damage to the solar cells. As stated previously, radiation can cause problems for the satellite’s silicon solar panels by reducing their power intake. By the end of orbit 1067 the GaAs/Ge cells continue to take in about 87% of their initial BOL power. On the other hand, the Si Reference cells take in 84% while the thin Si cells only take in 78%. Therefore, it can be concluded that the GaAs/Ge solar cells perform better than the Si solar cells over a longer period of time. For this reason, the HESP group suggested that GaAs/Ge cells were the best choice for future satellites to use. Further research confirmed that Si panels do not work as effectively as GaAs/Ge panels do. In fact, the majority of solar panels today are made with GaAs/Ge solar cells.
whereas Si solar cells are less frequently used. Si panels are used in satellites to reduce costs or for low power missions. Another advantage with using GaAs/Ge as opposed to Si is the increased cell efficiency that GaAs/Ge provides. It is important for solar cell efficiency to be as high as possible so that more light can be eventually converted to power for the satellite.

![Figure 4.2.1: Comparison of Solar Cell Materials [27]](image)

Table 4.2.1: Solar Cell Data used in the HESP Experiment [27]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Si Reference K4-3/4</th>
<th>Thin Si K7-3/4</th>
<th>GaAs/Ge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Cell Efficiency</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>18.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover Glass Thickness</td>
<td>12 mils</td>
<td>12 mils</td>
<td>12 mils</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.2.2: Comparison of Solar Cell Thicknesses [27]

B. EPS and Solar Panel Selection

With these requirements in mind, some research was done to find a dependable solar panel provider with an accompanying EPS. There were three main suppliers of CubeSat panels that seemed appropriate for the MISSat-1. First, GomSpace has a supply of solar panels available for purchase. GomSpace provides panels fitted with solar cells from AZUR SPACE which have a 30% cell efficiency [28]. A single GomSpace side panel is priced at $2700. GomSpace also sells top and bottom panels, power systems and battery boards for CubeSats. Another seller, ISIS, provides panels which also use solar cells from AZUR SPACE, but they have a slightly reduced solar cell efficiency of 28% [29]. Furthermore, ISIS sells one side panel at a steeper price, $3500. They do provide top and bottom panels as well, but they do not provide their own power boards or
batteries. Because of this, ISIS products are not only compatible with their other products but with some GomSpace products as well. Therefore, it is possible to purchase ISIS solar panels and use them in conjunction with a GomSpace EPS. Finally, Clyde Space offers solar panels with a 28.3% cell efficiency with each side panel priced at $2600 [30]. They use solar cells outfitted from Spectrolab and provide their own EPS and batteries for purchase. A summarized form of the solar panel provider information can be seen in Table 4.2.2.

All the panels shown in Table 4.2.2 are space qualified and designed for LEO missions. They also all follow the CubeSat standard. The solar cells are all made with the same GaAs/Ge material. As for cover glass, the panels sold by GomSpace, ISIS and Clyde Space come fitted with it. However, the glass thickness is not customizable. The panels also all come with the option of including magnetorquers, for Attitude Determination and Control System (ADCS) purposes, embedded in the panel for an additional price.

After comparing these power systems and solar panels, the power system that was chosen for the MISSat-1 was the Clyde Space 1U EPS and a 10 Whr battery along with the Clyde Space high efficiency solar panel set. The Clyde Space power system has sufficient solar cell efficiency while keeping costs and overall weight relatively low. The battery is expected to allow ample storage for the power required for the MISSat-1. Finally, Clyde Space products have been included in numerous other CubeSat projects and have yielded positive results.
Table 4.2.2: Comparison of One Side Solar Panel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GomSpace [28]</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>29 g</td>
<td>2.27 W</td>
<td>$2700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISIS [29]</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>50 g</td>
<td>2.30 W</td>
<td>$3500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clyde Space [30]</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>42 g</td>
<td>2.08 W</td>
<td>$2600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Overview of the EPS

There are three major components to the power subsystem of the MISSat-1: the power board, the battery board and the solar panels. The power board of the Clyde Space EPS is in charge of battery management for the satellite. The power board is embedded with three battery charge regulator (BCR) modules with built-in maximum power point trackers (MPPTs). The MPPTs are designed to check with each of the six solar panels every 2.5 seconds and draw power from the three panels that are receiving the most sunlight at that time. The three panels chosen will each be from one direction: +x or -x, +y or −y, and +z or -z. The power board also has safeguards that provide over-current and under-voltage protection. The power board uses I2C serial communication, which is a
master-slave type of communication. This form of communication is compatible with the selected processor subsystem of the MISSat-1. The board is also compatible with the chosen MISSat-1 pumpkin skeleton shell structure. The power board is fairly low weight, at about 170 grams which includes the weight of the battery.

The Clyde Space power board has an integrated 10 Whr battery board with a cover. The layout of power and battery board can be seen in Fig. 4.2.3. Additional battery packs may be purchased if it is required by the power budget and can simply be stacked within the satellite. One benefit of the selected power and battery board is that it has the flexibility to be positioned anywhere inside the satellite, which will be helpful when adjusting to the proper center of mass. The Clyde Space battery also has a built in heater to optimize satellite performance in colder temperatures. The heater works automatically, turning on when the temperature of the battery drops below 0°C and shuts off when the temperature rises above 5°C. The operations of the heater can be overridden if desired by the satellite’s processor. Keeping the battery temperature from getting too cold is crucial to maintaining maximum battery capacity.

Six solar panels will be purchased in total; five of these include three side panels and two top/bottom panels all of which are fitted with two large solar cells. In addition, one front solar panel equipped with six small solar cells will also be purchased. As previously stated, the cells all have an efficiency of 28.3%. The panels themselves are covered with cover glass and have insulation that allows the satellite to contain its heat. The combined weight of the panels is about 250 grams. The solar panel set also comes with a connection harness.
Table 4.2.3 lists the components included in the power subsystem and their specifications. An effective and efficient power subsystem, along with the other subsystems, will help to ensure mission success for the MISSat-1.

![Power Board and Battery Layout](image)

**Figure 4.2.3: Layout of Power Board and Battery [30]**

**Table 4.2.3: Power Subsystem Components and Specifications [30]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (qty)</th>
<th>Total Mass (g)</th>
<th>Total Price ($)</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPS Board &amp; Battery (1)</td>
<td>169.0</td>
<td>4250.00</td>
<td>EPS with an integrated 10 Whr Lithium Ion battery board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side Solar Panels (3)</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>7800.00</td>
<td>2 large area cells with 28.3% cell efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top/Bottom Solar Panels (2)</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>5200.00</td>
<td>2 large area cells with 28.3% cell efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Solar Panel (1)</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>2950.00</td>
<td>6 cells with 28.3% cell efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>417.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>20200.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Power Budget

A. Solar Panel Calculations

Now that the power subsystem equipment has been selected, it must be verified to provide adequate power storage for the MISSat-1. The power budget will therefore be one of the most important tools for the CubeSat. It will show how much power each subsystem will consume under different circumstances. One of the intentions with the power budget is to determine how best the satellite should respond when faced with a certain situation while in orbit. For example, if the satellite becomes critically low on power, what should the satellite do to continue functioning? The power budget will help to foresee and also to avoid these types of problems. It provides the ability to plan ahead in case such a situation does arise. The power budget also verifies that the satellite does not use more power than is available. The power budget that has been calculated for the MISSat-1 includes the activities of the solar panels, transceiver, payload, processor and EPS.

Beginning with the solar panels, the panel calculations that are presented are based on similar power budget calculations that were done by the Satellite Solutions CubeSat Design Team at the University of Texas at Austin [31]. The power budget was calculated while assuming a LEO with 93 minutes per orbit. It was also estimated that the satellite will spend 55.8 of those minutes in the sun (or for 60% of an orbit). The full
surface area of a 1U CubeSat is known to be 600 cm$^2$, but the effective area will vary depending on the chosen solar panels. In one orbit, there is a maximum 10 minutes available for data transmission from the MISSat-1 to the ground station and vice versa. It is also important to note that the power budgets were calculated under a worst case scenario.

For the solar panels, the power produced per orbit was found using Eq. 4.3.1. In Eq. 4.3.1, $A_{sc}$ represents the surface area of the CubeSat that the solar cells cover, and $\eta_{sc}$ is the efficiency of the solar cells. These values were found using Clyde Space solar panel datasheets [30]. The coefficient of average area is given by $\alpha$. This is a value that was calculated by the University of Texas at Austin [31]. $\phi$ is the percentage of the orbital period that the CubeSat is in the sun which was previously stated as being 60%. Finally, $\psi$ is the solar constant in units of W/cm$^2$. Table 4.3.1 summarizes these solar panel parameters and provides the values that were used to calculate the power draw per orbit from the solar panels of the MISSat-1.

$$\text{Solar Panel Power} = A_{sc} \eta_{sc} \alpha \psi \phi \quad \text{Eq. 4.3.1}$$

From Eq. 4.3.1 and Table 4.3.1, the solar panels of the MISSat-1 are projected to produce 1.944 W of power per orbit. This value is a result of the solar panels producing 3.24 W of power 60% of the time (when the satellite is in the sun) and 0 W during the other 40% of the time (when the satellite is not in the sun). When the satellite is in an eclipse, the only source of power is from the batteries. The solar panels need to draw in enough power when the satellite is in the sun so that the batteries can sustain the satellite during the dark period. Calculating the remaining parts of the power budget can confirm whether this is the case.
### Table 4.3.1: Solar Panel Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Additional Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A&lt;sub&gt;sc&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>338.5 cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The surface area of the CubeSat that the solar cells cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η&lt;sub&gt;sc&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>The efficiency of the solar cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>The coefficient of average area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>The percentage of the orbital period that the CubeSat is in the sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ψ</td>
<td>0.1353 W/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The solar constant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Modes of Operation

For the remaining subsystems of the satellite, their behavior will most likely rely on the battery level. Depending on the current state of the battery, the satellite can be in three different modes of operation: low power mode, standard mode or transmitting a picture mode. Low power mode is defined for the MISSat-1 as when the battery charge drops below 40%, whereas either standard power mode or transmitting a picture mode can be maintained as long as the battery level is above 40%.

There are two forms of power consumption for each subsystem: high power and low power. High power is used by a subsystem when it is in an active state. Low power is used by a subsystem when it is in an idle state. Equation 4.3.2 was used to calculate the power consumed by each subsystem per orbit. In Eq. 4.3.2, $H_p$ is the amount of power that is used by the subsystem when it is using high power and $\%_H$ is the percentage per
orbit that the subsystem is using high power. Conversely, \( L_p \) is the amount of power that is used by the subsystem when it is using low power and \( \%L \) is the percentage per orbit that the subsystem is using low power. The summation of \( \%H \) and \( \%L \) should be 100% for each individual subsystem as shown in Eq. 4.3.3. This essentially means that during one orbit, a subsystem can either be using high power or low power.

\[
\text{Subsystem Power Draw} = (H_p)(\%H) + (L_p)(\%L) \quad \text{Eq. 4.3.2}
\]

\[
\text{Total \% of Subsystem Used Per Orbit} = \%H + \%L = 100\% \quad \text{Eq. 4.3.3}
\]

Once these equations were derived, the subsystems were then individually analyzed, for each of the three possible modes of operation, to calculate the amount of power that each subsystem would use. The high power draw \((H_p)\) values and the low power draw \((L_p)\) values for each subsystem are found from the user manuals of the respective products that the MISSat-1 will use. The percent that each subsystem is in high power mode \((\%H)\) or in low power mode \((\%L)\) will depend on how the subsystem is used during an orbit.

So, the next step is to figure out how often the subsystems will be used during an orbit. The transceiver can be used during the 10 minutes that it passes over the ground station, which is known as the transmit window period. During this period, images that have been taken by the satellite will be sent down to the ground station. The transceiver also includes the beacon usage. The beacon sends vital details about the satellite back down to Earth. The beacon is a 10 second signal sent out every 110 seconds. Therefore, the beacon uses high power for about 7 minutes per orbit of the orbit. So, in total, there is high power usage for 18% of the orbit for the transceiver during standard power mode.
For the remaining 82% of the orbit, the transceiver will be using low power. On the other hand, in low power mode, there is a need to conserve the battery power, therefore, the transmit window period will not be used. In this case, the transceiver will only be used as a beacon and thus will be on high power for 8% of the total orbit. During the other 92% of the orbit, the transceiver will be using low power. During transmitting a picture mode, the transceiver usage will be about 18% for high power, since the transmit window period will be used to transfer the photos taken. It was decided that the processor subsystem will always be active and will therefore always be using high power, regardless of the mode of operation. Although, since the selected processor uses a very small amount of power, using high power 100% of the time does not negatively impact the satellite’s total power.

The EPS will also always be on. However, when the satellite is in the dark, the EPS will most likely be using a heater as well. The EPS takes additional battery power to control the heater. Therefore, in all three modes of operation, the EPS will use high power (EPS power + heater power) for 40% of the time, which coincides with when the satellite is in an eclipse. Then, for the remaining 60% of the time, the EPS will use low power (only EPS power). During standard power mode, the payload will use high power for the few minutes that it takes a picture during an orbit, which roughly comes out to be 5% of the orbit. During low power mode, the payload will not be used to save power. During transmitting a picture mode, the payload will be used more often. This is because the payload is needed to work with the transceiver and processor to send the photos that were taken back down to the ground station.

The final values, used in Eqs. 4.3.2 and 4.3.3, can be seen in Tables 4.3.2, 4.3.3 and 4.3.4. Table 4.3.2 shows the power budget for the MISSat-1 when it is operating
under standard power mode and Table 4.3.3 shows the power budget for the MISSat-1 when it is operating under low power mode. When the satellite is operating under transmitting a picture mode, Table 4.3.4 gives the representation of that power budget.

Table 4.3.2: Standard Power Mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>High Power Generation/Usage (Hp)</th>
<th>% Hp on during Orbit (%H)</th>
<th>Low Power Generation/Usage (Lp)</th>
<th>% Lp on during Orbit (%L)</th>
<th>Power Generation/Usage per Orbit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Panels</td>
<td>+ 3.24 W</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ 1.944 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transceiver</td>
<td>– 6.0 W</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>– 0.20 W</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>– 1.244 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload</td>
<td>– 0.12 W</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>– 0.06 mW</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>– 6.057 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>– 0.726 mW</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– 0.726 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>– 0.30 W</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>– 0.20 W</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>– 0.24 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ 0.453 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3.3: Low Power Mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>High Power Generation/Usage (Hp)</th>
<th>% Hp on during Orbit (%H)</th>
<th>Low Power Generation/Usage (Lp)</th>
<th>% Lp on during Orbit (%L)</th>
<th>Power Generation/Usage per Orbit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Panels</td>
<td>+ 3.24 W</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ 1.944 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transceiver</td>
<td>– 6.0 W</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>– 0.20 W</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>– 0.664 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload</td>
<td>– 0.12 W</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>– 0.06 mW</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>– 0.06 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>– 0.726 mW</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– 0.726 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>– 0.30 W</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>– 0.20 W</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>– 0.24 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ 1.039 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.3.4: Transmitting a Picture Mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>High Power Generation/Usage (H_p)</th>
<th>% H_p on during Orbit (%H)</th>
<th>Low Power Generation/Usage (L_p)</th>
<th>% L_p on during Orbit (%L)</th>
<th>Power Generation/Usage per Orbit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Panels</td>
<td>+ 3.24 W</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ 1.944 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transceiver</td>
<td>– 6.0 W</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>– 0.20 W</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>– 1.244 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload</td>
<td>– 0.12 W</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>– 0.06 mW</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>– 0.0133 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>– 0.726 mW</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– 0.726 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>– 0.30 W</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>– 0.20 W</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>– 0.24 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from Table 4.3.2 that while in standard power mode, the MISSat-1 produces about 0.453 W of power per orbit. However, as expected, when the satellite is acting in low power mode, there is a higher net yield of 1.039 W of power per orbit. Since all three of the total values in Tables 4.3.2-4.3.4 are positive, this confirms that the solar panels can support the batteries enough to last through an eclipse. Table 4.3.2 also shows that even though the subsystems are actively being used at their maximum levels, the satellite still manages to produce power.

### C. Battery Charging

If the satellite is in low power mode, the time that it takes to recharge the battery back to 100% or at least back to standard power mode (battery level > 40%) can also be calculated. Using Table 4.3.3 and Eq. 4.3.4, the values shown in Table 4.3.5 were
calculated. In Eq. 4.3.4, $P_L$ is the total power produced by the MISSat-1 in low power mode (1.039 W) and $\%_B$ is the percentage of battery that remains to be charged.

From Table 4.3.5, it can be seen that if the battery level of the satellite is ever at 0%, then it would take about 6.2 orbits of the satellite running in low power mode for the battery level to reach 100%. Likewise, it would take approximately 3.1 orbits to reach 50% battery capacity when starting at 0%.

\[
\text{# of Orbits Until 100\%} = \frac{10\text{Whr}/P_L}{\%_B}\text{(1 orbit/1.55 hour)} \tag{Eq. 3.3.4}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Battery Percentage Available</th>
<th>Number of Orbits until Battery has reached 50%</th>
<th>Number of Orbits until Battery has reached 100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.105</td>
<td>6.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.484</td>
<td>5.589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>1.863</td>
<td>4.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>4.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>3.726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. Power Budget Simulations

A. Matlab Programming

Once the power budget was finalized, a Matlab program was written to form a visual representation of the power budget. The program starts by initializing a set of input data. The input data includes information such as the maximum battery level, the amount of time in an orbit, the high and low power draw of each subsystem, etc. With these variables, the subsystem usage for each second of the orbit would be calculated. Then, the battery level would be totaled by adding in the solar cell power draw and subtracting out the other subsystem’s power usages. This provides an array of battery power values for the battery for each second in time, which is then plotted. A full flowchart of this program can be seen in Fig. 4.4.1. Additionally, each subsystem has its own Matlab function that calculates its total power usage. A flowchart of one of these written Matlab functions can be seen for the solar panels in Fig. 4.4.2. From the final program, a partial output can be seen in Fig. 4.4.3. Figure 4.4.3 shows an example of how a battery level might look for a satellite over one orbit. The fluctuations that are seen are caused by the activities of other subsystems. The program is also able to plot each of the subsystem’s power levels for an orbit as well. These Matlab graphs allow for analysis of the second by second changes in the satellite’s available power. Therefore, this program provides an
important tool to help predict the behavior of the satellite’s power which can be used to avoid potential complications during orbit.

Figure 4.4.1: Flowchart of the Matlab Program
Figure 4.4.2: Flowchart of the Matlab Function

Figure 4.4.3: Output of the Matlab Program
B. GUI Introduction

Although the Matlab program was effective, it was not however, very user friendly. If other satellite groups wish to replicate the power budget analysis done thus far in a short amount of time, they might struggle at first to find the results they desire. Changing even the smallest variables requires reading through a lengthy amount of code, which might be difficult for someone who is new to the code. Therefore, the Matlab program was further developed into a graphical user interface (GUI) using Matlab’s Guide. The purpose behind creating a GUI was to create a visual representation of a CubeSat’s power budget with the added benefit that it would be easy to modify for different situations. The GUI would therefore allow for quick comparison between outcomes when some of the variables are changed. Figure 4.4.4 shows the basic layout of the developed GUI. It contains two plots, two panels, two pushbuttons and one dropdown menu. The top plot will display the battery level of the satellite, while the bottom plot will display a specific subsystem’s power usage. Both plots use the number of orbits as the x-axis variable and power as the y-axis variable. The bottom plot can actually graph more than one subsystem at a time. The specific subsystem graphed in the lower plot area can be selected from the checkboxes in the lower panel titled ‘Subsystem Usage Display’. With Fig. 4.4.4 shown as is, only the solar cell activity will be plotted in the bottom graph, after pushing the ‘PLOT’ pushbutton. However, the ‘Battery Level Display’ plot will still include the addition and subtraction of all of the subsystems that have been checked in the upper panel titled ‘Parameter Input: Overview’. The dropdown menu, near the top left, allows for different variables to be entered into the program and later edited as needed. Depending on what is selected from this menu, followed by pushing ‘Go’, a
different set of parameters will be displayed for the user to input. Currently in Fig 4.4.4, the ‘Overview’ panel is shown. With the ‘Parameter Input: Overview’ panel, users can select what subsystems they wish to include when calculating the battery level of the satellite. For example, since the MISSat-1 has only one payload (the camera) and uses a passive ADCS, Payload 2 and ADCS have been deselected from the top panel, as seen in Fig. 4.4.4.

![Figure 4.4.4: Layout of the Matlab GUI](image)

Each subsystem has its own specific options and input variables in the ‘Parameter Input’ panel. Figure 4.4.5 shows the options available for Payload 1. These options include the high and low power draw, the payload usage time, the offset time and the frequency of usage per orbit. Once these parameters are set to their desired values, pushing ‘PLOT’ will then reflect the changes in both the battery plot and the subsystem plot. For example, the frequency per orbit of Payload 1 in Fig. 4.4.5 is set at four and the
‘Payload 1 Usage’ graph confirms this is true. Four spikes can be seen in the ‘Payload 1 Usage’ plot, each of which last exactly five minutes (equivalent to the usage time). Furthermore, when the initial battery level starts at 5 Whr and the ‘Active Power Draw’ for the payload is set at 10 W, the four points in the payload graph coincide with the dips seen in the battery plot. Also, since the ‘Offset Time’ is set at five minutes, the first peak in the ‘Payload 1 Usage’ graph occurs five minutes after the orbit begins.

![Cubesat Power Usage](image)

Figure 4.4.5: Payload 1 Output of the Matlab GUI

**C. Simulation Results**

Once the GUI was functioning accurately, it was time to test out the power budget of the MISSat-1 to see what changes will occur in the battery level after multiple orbits. The power budget data for the standard power mode, given in Table 4.3.2, was inputted
into the GUI and the outcome is shown in Fig. 4.4.6. Figure 4.4.6 shows the battery level and the solar panel production for 5 orbits, when the MISSat-1 is operating in standard power mode. As the top left panel shows in Fig. 4.4.6, an orbit is considered to be 93 minutes with 60% of that time spent in the sun. As the battery level graph indicates, the MISSat-1’s battery should remain near full capacity, ranging between 9 and 10 Whr. There are some small dips that occur in the battery level however, which are due to two reasons. One reason is because the satellite is no longer in the sun, which means that the solar cells have stopped taking in solar power to contribute to the battery. This effect can be verified when comparing the top graph (battery level) to the bottom one (solar panel power production) in Fig. 4.4.6. Another reason for the drops in the battery level is caused by the transceiver and beacon using 6 W of power when active, which has a significant effect on the battery. The other subsystems are either not used often enough or do not have large high power draws to affect the battery level.

The power budget data for the low power mode, shown in Table 4.3.3, was also placed into the GUI. The outcome is shown in Fig. 4.4.7. Compared to Fig 4.4.6, Fig 4.4.7 has fewer drops in the battery level and maintains almost a 10 Whr battery level throughout the five orbits shown. Again, this is due to the fact that the transmit window is no longer being used and therefore there is less transceiver high power usage during an orbit. Therefore, the dips that occur in Fig. 4.4.7 are only a result of the satellite being away from the sun.
Figure 4.4.6: MISSat-1 Standard Power Mode GUI Output

Figure 4.4.7: MISSat-1 Low Power Mode GUI Output
V. Power Budget Comparison to Other Satellites

A. Presentation of Power Budgets

After developing the MISSat-1 power budget and its GUI, it is apparent that the next task would be to see how the MISSat-1 power budget compares with other CubeSat projects. Therefore, research was done on the power budgets of other universities and satellite groups. There are four in particular that have been outlined in this paper: The Institute of Space Technology in Pakistan, The Polytechnic University of Catalonia in Spain, The University of Adelaide in Australia, and The University of Michigan. These universities were selected because they had some shared similarities with the MISSat-1, which included a camera acting as their primary payload. For each of these universities, the power budget was first laid out, based on the information they had provided. Once this was complete, this information was then incorporated into the GUI to see how successful these satellite missions would be and to compare with the MISSat-1. The final outputs from the Matlab GUI for each satellite group show the battery level of each of the four universities over the course of 5 orbits, to match that of Table 4.4.6.

The Institute of Space Technology in Pakistan is developing a CubeSat called ICUBE-1 [32]. The major goals of this group include launching ICUBE-1 successfully while subsequently building working communications with their ground station. Their
secondary objective, like the MISSat-1, is to take photos of the Earth and then transfer them back to Earth. Therefore, the payload of the ICUBE-1 is a camera sold by Omnivision. ICUBE-1’s other major subsystems include their solar panels, transceiver, processor and EPS. ICUBE-1 uses a passive ADCS which simply makes use of a permanent magnet and two hysteresis rods to help align the satellite. The power budget for ICUBE-1 is shown in Table 4.5.1. The power budget information was then incorporated into the GUI, the output of which is shown in Fig. 4.5.1.

The Polytechnic University of Catalonia in Spain is also developing a picosatellite by the name of UPCSat-1 [33]. Their mission was similar to ICUBE-1’s, in that they wanted to observe the Earth through photos taken by their payload camera. UPCSat-1 did have an active ADCS, however, which consisted of a gyroscope. Other subsystems that are included in their power budget are an EPS, a processor, solar panels and a transceiver. The power budget for UPCSat-1 is shown in Table 4.5.2 and the corresponding GUI output is shown in Fig. 4.5.2.

Another researched satellite was the AUSAT which was created by a team at the University of Adelaide [34]. They also had a payload that consisted of a camera and an active ADCS made up of magnetorquers. In addition to their one payload and ADCS, AUSAT also has a transceiver system, processor subsystem, EPS and solar panels. The power budget that AUSAT calculated can be seen in Table 4.5.3. The GUI representation of their power budget can be seen in Fig. 4.5.3.
Table 4.5.1: Power Budget of ICUBE-1 [32]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>High Power Generation/Usage (W)</th>
<th>Low Power Generation/Usage (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Cells</td>
<td>+3.24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transceiver</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload 1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.5.1: GUI Output of ICUBE-1
Table 4.5.2: Power Budget of UPCSat-1 [33]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>High Power Generation/Usage (W)</th>
<th>Low Power Generation/Usage (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Cells</td>
<td>+2.149</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transceiver</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload 1</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCS</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.5.2: GUI Output of UPCSat-1
Table 4.5.3: Power Budget of AUSAT [34]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>High Power Generation/Usage (W)</th>
<th>Low Power Generation/Usage (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Cells</td>
<td>+1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transceiver</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload 1</td>
<td>-0.485</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCS</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.5.3: GUI Output of AUSAT

Finally, the last researched satellite was M-Cubed by the University of Michigan [35]. M-Cubed had two payloads, where their primary payload was a camera. For their
secondary payload, they had a CubeSat On-board processing Validation Experiment (COVE) board. The COVE board is used to optimize data processing and transfer. However, in order to do this, the COVE board requires a sizable amount of battery power. For this reason, M-Cubed designed and selected the remaining subsystems because of their relatively low power usages. For example, they chose to use passive attitude control to conserve battery life. The power budget for M-Cubed has been laid out in Table 4.5.4. The GUI plots for M-Cubed can be seen in Fig. 4.5.4.

Table 4.5.4: Power Budget of M-Cubed [35]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>High Power Generation/Usage (W)</th>
<th>Low Power Generation/Usage (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Cells</td>
<td>+2.01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transceiver</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-0.6165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload 1</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload 2</td>
<td>-5.0885</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>-0.2925</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>-1.254</td>
<td>-0.2275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Figs 4.5.1-4.5.4, two of the satellites, AUSAT and M-Cubed, showed a steadily declining power level. However, this might not be a cause for concern for those satellites as some assumptions were made when inputting the parameters into the GUI due to a lack of data. Some of these assumptions include the maximum battery capacity, duration of some of the subsystems, etc. However, ICUBE-1 and UP-CSat-1 both maintained a steady and high battery level. ICUBE-1 performed well because it coupled high solar panel power production with relatively low power usage subsystems, except, of course, for the transceiver.
In fact, when examining all the power budgets, the transceiver is consistently the most draining subsystem of the satellite. The same can also be said about the MISSat-1. Actually, compared to these four satellites, the MISSat-1 has the highest transceiver active power draw. Therefore, once in orbit, the usage of the transceiver must be closely monitored, since it will be the most likely cause for the battery to hit 0%. On the other hand, when comparing solar cell power production values, the MISSat-1 has a higher intake than most others. This is most likely due to the efficiency of the chosen solar cells. Slightly lower solar cell efficiencies can greatly affect the overall power produced. However, it is also important to keep in mind that along with higher cell efficiency there comes a larger price tag on the solar panels. For the AUSAT (who has a solar panel active power draw of 1.0 W), it is possible that the costs of the panels outweighed the benefits.

When comparing the other subsystems of the MISSat-1 to these four satellites, there are more similarities. The chosen MISSat-1 payload high power draw is fairly similar to the other satellites. The EPS values are very much alike as well. The processor selected for the MISSat-1, however, has a much lower high power draw than the other satellites. So, with the exception of the transceiver, the subsystem power usages seem fairly consistent between the MISSat-1 and the other satellites. This consistency helps to confirm the validity of the MISSat-1’s power budget.
VI. Conclusion

A. Future Work

Now that all the calculations for the power subsystem have been completed and the power budget has been tested, in the future, the next step would be to purchase the EPS and solar panels so that they can be tested with the other components of the MISSat-1. As for the GUI, one of the original intentions was to make it accessible to any CubeSat, not just the MISSat-1. In fact, this is why the Payload 2 subsystem and the ADCS were incorporated into the GUI. Therefore, future satellite groups could replicate the power budget analysis that has been done for the MISSat-1. The code for the GUI has been included in the Appendix for this purpose.
5. Appendix
I. Salvo RTOS Sending and Receiving String Task

```c
#include "csk_io.h"
#include "csk_uart.h"
// Pumpkin Salvo headers
#include "salvo.h"
#include "io.h"
#include "csk_led.h"
#define LED_ON_TIME 20

void task_exercise_io(void) {
    int input[6];
    int i;
    csk_led_status_open();

    while (1) {
        uart_uart1_open(USART_UART_115200_N81_SMCLK); // Initialize UART1
        OS_Delay(20);

        csk_uart1_putchar(0xAA); csk_uart1_putchar(0x01); csk_uart1_putchar(0xBF); // Fill TX Buffer
        csk_uart1_putchar(0x00); csk_uart1_putchar(0x05); csk_uart1_putchar(0xAA); // Fill TX Buffer
        OS_Delay(20);
        csk_uart1_putchar(); // Output contents of TX Buffer to TX pin
        OS_Delay(20);

        for (i = 0; i < 6; i++) // Fill input array with RX bytes
            input[i] = csk_uart1_getchar(); // Retrieve first byte in RX buffer

        i = 0;
        OS_Delay(20);

        if (input[0] == 0xAA && input[1] == 0x01 && input[2] == 0xBF &&
            input[3] == 0x00 && input[4] == 0x05 && input[5] == 0xAA) {
            // Compare received signal to sync signal
            csk_led_status_on(); // Indicate positive receipt with LED flash
            OS_Delay(40);
            csk_led_status_off();
        } // Shut down UART module
    } /* while */
}
```
II. Camera GUI Code (C#)

Delete Function

```csharp
private void buttonDelete_Click(object sender, EventArgs e)
{
    byte[] pic_num = new byte[1];
    pic_num[0] = Convert.ToByte(textBox3.Text.ToString());
    byte[] checksum = new byte[1];
    int a = pic_num[0] + 0xaa + 0xaa;
    checksum = BitConverter.GetBytes(a);
    // id command
    byte[] DeletePic = new byte[5] { 0xaa, 0x02, 0x7a, 0xd0, 0xaa };
    // parameters command
    byte[] PicID = new byte[5] { 0x0a, 0x00, pic_num[0], checksum[0], 0xaa };

    // Note: Program is currently set to download picture number 30. To change the
    // picture the third byte of PicID should be reset to the desired picture number
    // (in hex) and the // checksum byte (fourth byte) must be reset. Instructions for
    // determining the checksum byte value are in the C6820 user manual
    // Change this to increase wait times
    int sleeptime = 10000;

    // Now Select File Number and Wait for ACK with file data
    serialPort1.Write(DeletePic, 0, DeletePic.Length);
    textBox1.Text += "Sending: " + BitConverter.ToString(DeletePic) + "\n";
    System.Threading.Thread.Sleep(sleeptime / 10);
    serialPort1.Write(PicID, 0, PicID.Length);
    textBox1.Text += "Sending: " + BitConverter.ToString(PicID) + "\n";
    System.Threading.Thread.Sleep(sleeptime / 10);

    int btr = serialPort1.BytesToRead;
    // No response received
    if (btr < 1)
    {
        textBox1.Text += "Download ACK Error - Exiting Call\n";
        return; // This should exit out
    }
    byte[] receivedData = new byte[btr];
    serialPort1.Read(receivedData, 0, receivedData.Length);
    if (receivedData[3] == 0x09)
    {
        textBox1.Text += "File Not Found\n";
    }
    buttonStart.Enabled = false;
    buttonStop.Enabled = true;
    buttonDownload.Enabled = true;
    Memory.Enabled = true;
    comboMode.Enabled = true;
    Memory.Enabled = true;
}
```
Memory Management

private void Memory_Click(object sender, EventArgs e)
{
    byte[] Mem = new byte[5] { 0xaa, 0x00, 0x66, 0xba, 0xaa };
    int sleeptime = 10000; //Change this to increase wait times

    // Now Select File Number and Wait for ACK with file data

    serialPort1.Write(Mem, 0, Mem.Length);
    textBox1.Text += "Sending: " + BitConverter.ToString(Mem) + "{\r\n";
    System.Threading.Thread.Sleep(sleeptime / 10);

    int btr = serialPort1.BytesToRead;
    // No response received
    if (btr < 1)
    {
        textBox1.Text += "Download ACK Error - Exiting Call\r\n";
        return; // This should exit out
    }

    // Response received
    byte[] receivedData = new byte[btr];
    serialPort1.Read(receivedData, 0, receivedData.Length);
    float MemLeft = receivedData[3]*0x1000000 + receivedData[4]*0x10000 +
    receivedData[5]*0x100 + receivedData[6];
    int NumFiles = receivedData[7] * 100 + receivedData[8];
    float NumPics = receivedData[9] * 100 + receivedData[10];

    MemInfo.Text += "Memory Available: " + MemLeft/(1024*1024) + " Mb\r\n";
    MemInfo.Text += "Files in Memory: " + NumFiles + "\r\n";
    MemInfo.Text += "Pics left w/current settings: " + NumPics + "\r\n";
    MemInfo.Text += "\r\n";

    buttonStart.Enabled = false;
    buttonStop.Enabled = true;
    buttonDownload.Enabled = true;
    buttonDelete.Enabled = true;
    comboMode.Enabled = true;
    Memory.Enabled = true;
}

Resolution and Compression Ratio

```csharp
private void snapshotRes_Click(object sender, EventArgs e)
{
    byte[] res = new byte[1];
    byte[] CR = new byte[1];
    int checksumNum = 0;

    // ------------------- Resolution -------------------------------
    // 00 = 1280 x 960, 01 = 640 x 480, CR from 1 to 45
    res[0] = Convert.ToByte(comboResolution.SelectedIndex.ToString());
    CR[0] = Convert.ToByte(CompR.Text.ToString());

    checksumNum = res[0] + 0xaa + 0xaa + CR[0]; // CHANGE 0x18 for cr!!!!
    byte[] checksum = BitConverter.GetBytes(checksumNum);
    //id command
    byte[] resConfig = new byte[5] { 0xaa, 0x02, 0x32, 0x88, 0xaa };
    //parameter command
    byte[] resParam = new byte[5] { 0xaa, res[0], CR[0], checksum[0], 0xaa };

    // Send the id and parameter command
    serialPort1.Write(resConfig, 0, resConfig.Length);
    textBox1.Text += "Sending: " + BitConverter.ToString(resConfig) + "\n";
    System.Threading.Thread.Sleep(100);
    serialPort1.Write(resParam, 0, resParam.Length);
    textBox1.Text += "Sending: " + BitConverter.ToString(resParam) + "\n";
    System.Threading.Thread.Sleep(100);

    int btr = serialPort1.BytesToRead;
    // No response received
    if (btr < 1)
    {
        textBox1.Text += "Download ACK Error - Exiting Call\n";
        return; // This should exit out
    }

    // Response received
    byte[] receivedData = new byte[btr];
    serialPort1.Read(receivedData, 0, receivedData.Length);
    textBox1.Text += "Received: " + BitConverter.ToString(receivedData) + "\n";
}
```
III. Camera GUI Image

Graphical User Interface for Camera Operation
IV. Image Processing Code (Matlab)

Image Sharpening (Laplacian, Highboost Filtering)

```matlab
imagel = imread('sat1.jpg');
imagel = rgb2gray(imagel);

% Create Kernels
laplacian = [0, 1, 0; 1, -4, 1; 0, 1, 0];
gauss = [0, 1, 0; 1, 8, 1; 0, 1, 0];
gauss = gauss./12;
ave = [1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1];
ave = ave./9;

% Laplacian sharpening with scaling
LAP = convolve(laplacian, imagel);
for i=1:length(LAP(:,1))
    for j=1:length(LAP(1,:))
        final_LAP(i,j) = (imagel(i,j) - LAP(i,j));
    end
end

% Highboost Filtering - Average
HBFa = convolve(ave, imagel);
for i=1:length(HBFa(:,1))
    for j=1:length(HBFa(1,:))
        final_HBFa(i,j) = imagel(i,j) + 4*(imagel(i,j) - HBFa(i,j));
    end
end

% Highboost Filtering - Gaussian
HBFg = convolve(gauss, imagel);
for i=1:length(HBFg(:,1))
    for j=1:length(HBFg(1,:))
        final_HBFg(i,j) = imagel(i,j) + 4*(imagel(i,j) - HBFg(i,j));
    end
end

figure
subplot(1,3,1), imshow(imagel);
subplot(1,3,2), imshow(uint8(LAP));
subplot(1,3,3), imshow(uint8(final_LAP));

figure
subplot(1,3,1), imshow(imagel);
```
subplot(1,3,2), imshow(uint8(HBFa));
subplot(1,3,3), imshow(uint8(final_HBFa));

figure
subplot(1,3,1), imshow(image1);
subplot(1,3,2), imshow(uint8(HBFg));
subplot(1,3,3), imshow(uint8(final_HBFg));
Response Function for HDR Imaging (Debevec ‘97)

% % gsolve.m - Solve for imaging system response function
% % Given a set of pixel values observed for several pixels in several
% % images with different exposure times, this function returns the
% % imaging system’s response function g as well as the log film
% % irradiance
% % values for the observed pixels.
% % Assumes:
% % Zmin = 0
% % Zmax = 255
% % Arguments:
% % Z(i,j) is the pixel values of pixel location number i in image j
% % B(j) is the log delta t, or log shutter speed, for image j
% % l is lambda, the constant that determines the amount of smoothness
% % w(z) is the weighting function value for pixel value z
% % Returns:
% % g(z) is the log exposure corresponding to pixel value z
% % lE(i) is the log film irradiance at pixel location i

function [g,lE]=gsolve(Z,B,l,w)

n = 256;
A = zeros(size(Z,1)*size(Z,2)+n+1,n+size(Z,1));
b = zeros(size(A,1),1);
% Include the data?fitting equations
k = 1;
for i=1:size(Z,1)
    for j=1:size(Z,2)
        wij = w(Z(i,j)+1);
        A(k,Z(i,j)+1) = wij; A(k,n+i) = -wij; b(k,1) = wij * B(i,j);
        k=k+1;
    end
end
% Fix the curve by setting its middle value to 0
A(k,129) = 1;
k=k+1;
% Include the smoothness equations
for i=1:n-2
    A(k,i)=l*w(i+1); A(k,i+1)=-2*l*w(i+1); A(k,i+2)=l*w(i+1);
    k=k+1;
end
% Solve the system using SVD
x = A\b;
g = x(1:n);
lE = x(n+1:size(x,1));
V. Matlab GUI Code

```matlab
function varargout = power_gui(varargin)

if nargin && ischar(varargin{1})
    gui_State.gui_Callback = str2func(varargin{1});
end

if nargout
    [varargout{1:nargout}] = gui_mainfcn(gui_State, varargin{:});
else
    gui_mainfcn(gui_State, varargin{:});
end

function power_gui_OpeningFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles, varargin)
    handles.output = hObject;
guidata(hObject, handles);

function varargout = power_gui_OutputFcn(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    varargout{1} = handles.output;

function plot_button_Callback(hObject, eventdata, handles)
    p = pow;

%%%Creates VARIABLES with the DATA from the GUI

%%%Orbital Variables
    orbit1 = str2double(get(handles.orbit_range2,'String'));
    orbit2 = str2double(get(handles.orbit_range1,'String'));
    sunlength = str2double(get(handles.suntime,'String'));
    sunoffset = str2double(get(handles.suntime_offset,'String'));
    orbitlength = str2double(get(handles.total_time,'String'));

%%%Battery Variables
```
bat1 = str2double(get(handles.battery_max,'String'));
bat2 = str2double(get(handles.battery_start,'String'));
bat_plot1 = str2double(get(handles.bat_range1,'String'));
bat_plot2 = str2double(get(handles.bat_range2,'String'));

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%Solar Cell Variables
sc_test = get(handles.sc_check,'Value');

%% If UNCHECKED turn off SUBSYSTEM
if sc_test == 1
    solar1 = str2double(get(handles.sc_active,'String'));
else
    solar1 = 0;
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%Transceiver Variables
beacon1 = str2double(get(handles.beacon_on,'String'));
beacon2 = str2double(get(handles.beacon_off,'String'));
window = str2double(get(handles.transmit_time,'String'));
camoffset = str2double(get(handles.transmit_offset,'String'));
transceiver_test=get(handles.transceiver_check,'Value');

%%% If UNCHECKED turn off SUBSYSTEM
if transceiver_test==1
    trans1 = str2double(get(handles.transceiver_active,'String'));
    trans2 = str2double(get(handles.transceiver_low,'String'));
else
    trans1 = 0;
    trans2 = 0;
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%Payload 1 Variables
camlength1 = str2double(get(handles.payload_time,'String'));
camoffset1 = str2double(get(handles.payload_offset,'String'));
camfreq1 = str2double(get(handles.payload_freq,'String'));
payload_test = get(handles.payload_check,'Value');

%%% If UNCHECKED turn off SUBSYSTEM
if payload_test == 1
    cam1 = str2double(get(handles.payload_active,'String'));
    cam2 = str2double(get(handles.payload_low,'String'));
else
    cam1 = 0;
    cam2 = 0;
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%Payload 2 Variables
camlength2 = str2double(get(handles.secondary_time,'String'));
camoffset2 = str2double(get(handles.secondary_offset,'String'));
camfreq2 = str2double(get(handles.secondary_freq,'String'));
secondary_test = get(handles.secondary_check,'Value');

%%%If UNCHECKED turn off SUBSYSTEM
if secondary_test==1
    cam12 = str2double(get(handles.secondary_active,'String'));
    cam22 = str2double(get(handles.secondary_low,'String'));
else
    cam12 = 0;
    cam22 = 0;
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%  
%%%Processor Variables
processor_test = get(handles.processor_check,'Value');

%%%If UNCHECKED turn off SUBSYSTEM
if processor_test==1
    processor1 = str2double(get(handles.processor_active,'String'));
    processor2 = str2double(get(handles.processor_low,'String'));
else
    processor1 = 0;
    processor2 = 0;
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%  
%%%EPS Variables
eps_test = get(handles.eps_check,'Value');

%%%If UNCHECKED turn off SUBSYSTEM
if eps_test==1
    eps1 = str2double(get(handles.eps_active,'String'));
    eps2 = str2double(get(handles.eps_heater,'String'));
else
    eps1 = 0;
    eps2 = 0;
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%  
%%%ADCS Variables
adcslength = str2double(get(handles.adcs_duration,'String'));
adcsoffset = str2double(get(handles.adcs_offset,'String'));
adcsfreq = str2double(get(handles.adcs_freq,'String'));
adcs_test = get(handles.adcs_check,'Value');

%%%If UNCHECKED turn off SUBSYSTEM
if adcs_test==1
    adcs1 = str2double(get(handles.adcs_active,'String'));
    adcs2 = str2double(get(handles.adcs_low,'String'));
else
    adcs1 = 0;
    adcs2 = 0;
end
adcs2 = 0;

%%%CALCULATE the POWER levels for each subsystem

p.calculation (p, orbit1, sunlength, sunoffset, orbitlength, bat1, bat2, solar1, camlength1, camlength2, camoffset, cam1, cam2, camoffset1, camfreq1, beacon1, beacon2, trans1, trans2, processor1, processor2, eps1, eps2, adcs1, adcs2, adcslength, adcsoffset, adcsfreq, window, cam12, cam22, camoffset2, camfreq2)

tt = (p.time_start-1:p.time_stop)./p.time_orbit;

%%%PLOTS the BATTERY

%%%Battery Plot
plot(handles.battery_axes,tt,p.battery, 'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color', 'red')
set(handles.battery_axes,'XGrid','on','YGrid','on','ZGrid','on')
set(handles.battery_axes,'XLim',[orbit2, orbit1])
set(handles.battery_axes,'YLim',[bat_plot1, bat_plot2])
set(handles.battery_text,'String','Battery Level')
grid on
xlabel(handles.battery_axes, 'Number of Orbits')
ylabel(handles.battery_axes, 'Power (Whr)')

%%%PLOTS the individual SUBSYSTEMS

%%%Solar Cell Plot
    sc_value = get(handles.sc_box, 'Value');
    sc_line = plot(handles.subsystem_axes,tt,(3600*p.sc_power), 'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color', 'blue');
grid on
xlabel(handles.subsystem_axes, 'Number of Orbits')
ylabel(handles.subsystem_axes, 'Power (W)')
hold on

if sc_value == 1
    set(sc_line,'Visible','on');
    set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (1+solar1)]);
else
    set(sc_line,'Visible','off');
end

%%%Transceiver Plot
r_value = get(handles.r_box, 'Value');
r_line = plot(handles.subsystem_axes,tt,(3600*p.r_power),
'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color', 'red');
grid on
xlabel(handles.subsystem_axes, 'Number of Orbits')
ylabel(handles.subsystem_axes, 'Power (W)')
hold on

if r_value == 1
    set(r_line,'Visible','on');
    set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (1+trans1)]);
else
    set(r_line,'Visible','off');
end

%%%Payload 1 Plot
c_value = get(handles.c_box, 'Value');
c_line = plot(handles.subsystem_axes,tt,(3600*p.cam_power),
'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color', 'red');
grid on
xlabel(handles.subsystem_axes, 'Number of Orbits')
ylabel(handles.subsystem_axes, 'Power (W)')
hold on

if c_value == 1
    set(c_line,'Visible','on');
    set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (0.1+cam1)]);
else
    set(c_line,'Visible','off');
end

%%%Payload 2 Plot
c_value2 = get(handles.c_box2, 'Value');
c_line2 = plot(handles.subsystem_axes,tt,(3600*p.cam_power2),
'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color', 'red');
grid on
xlabel(handles.subsystem_axes, 'Number of Orbits')
ylabel(handles.subsystem_axes, 'Power (W)')
hold on

if c_value2 == 1
    set(c_line2,'Visible','on');
set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (0.1+cam12)]);
else
    set(c_line2,'Visible','off');
end

%%%Processor Plot

p_value = get(handles.p_box,'Value');
p_line = plot(handles.subsystem_axes,tt,(3600*p.p_power),
'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color','red');
grid on
xlabel(handles.subsystem_axes,'Number of Orbits')
ylabel(handles.subsystem_axes,'Power (W)')
hold on

if p_value == 1
    set(p_line,'Visible','on');
    if processor1 >= 1
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (1+processor1)]);
    elseif processor1 > 0.1 || processor1 < 1
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (0.5+processor1)]);
    else
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (0.001+processor1)]);
    end
else
    set(p_line,'Visible','off');
end

%%%EPS Plot

eps_value = get(handles.eps_box,'Value');
eps_line = plot(handles.subsystem_axes,tt,(3600*p.eps_power),
'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color','red');
grid on
xlabel(handles.subsystem_axes,'Number of Orbits')
ylabel(handles.subsystem_axes,'Power (W)')
hold on

if eps_value == 1
    set(eps_line,'Visible','on');
    set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (0.1+eps2)]);
else
    set(eps_line,'Visible','off');
end

%%%ADCS Plot

adcs_value = get(handles.adcs_box,'Value');
adcs_line = plot(handles.subsystem_axes,tt,(3600*p.adcs_power),
'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color','red');
grid on
xlabel(handles.subsystem_axes,'Number of Orbits')
ylabel(handles.subsystem_axes,'Power (W)')
hold off

if adcs_value == 1
    set(adcs_line,'Visible','on');
set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, (0.5+adcs1)]);
else
    set(adcs_line,'Visible','off');
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%
%%%Displays the TITLE for the SUBSYSTEM Plot
%%%
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

scl=get(handles.sc_box,'value');
r1=get(handles.r_box,'value');
c1=get(handles.c_box,'value');
c2=get(handles.c_box2,'value');
p1=get(handles.p_box,'value');
ep1=get(handles.eps_box,'value');
ad1=get(handles.adcs_box,'value');

%%%Use Solar Cell Title
if scl == 1 && r1 == 0 && c1 == 0 && c2 == 0 && p1 == 0 && ep1 == 0 && ad1 == 0
    set(handles.subsystem_text,'String','Solar Panel Power Production')
%%%Use Transceiver Title
elseif scl == 0 && r1 == 1 && c1 == 0 && c2 == 0 && p1 == 0 && ep1 == 0 && ad1 == 0
    set(handles.subsystem_text,'String','Transceiver Usage')
%%%Use Payload 1 Title
elseif scl == 0 && r1 == 0 && c1 == 1 && c2 == 0 && p1 == 0 && ep1 == 0 && ad1 == 0
    set(handles.subsystem_text,'String','Payload 1 Usage')
%%%Use Payload 2 Title
elseif scl == 0 && r1 == 0 && c1 == 0 && c2 == 1 && p1 == 0 && ep1 == 0 && ad1 == 0
    set(handles.subsystem_text,'String','Payload 2 Usage')
%%%Use Processor Title
elseif scl == 0 && r1 == 0 && c1 == 0 && c2 == 0 && p1 == 1 && ep1 == 0 && ad1 == 0
    set(handles.subsystem_text,'String','Processor Usage')
%%%Use EPS Title
elseif scl == 0 && r1 == 0 && c1 == 0 && c2 == 0 && p1 == 0 && ep1 == 1 && ad1 == 0
    set(handles.subsystem_text,'String','EPS Usage')
%%%Use ADCS Title
elseif scl == 0 && r1 == 0 && c1 == 0 && c2 == 0 && p1 == 0 && ep1 == 0 && ad1 == 1
    set(handles.subsystem_text,'String','ADCS Usage')
%%%Use Generic Title
else
    set(handles.subsystem_text,'String','Subsystem Usages')
end
Calculates the Y-AXIS LIMITS for the SUBSYSTEM Plot

Get Y-Max Values

\[
y_{\text{values}} = [(1 + \text{solar1}) \ \text{sc\_value}; (1 + \text{trans1}) \ \text{r\_value}; (0.1 + \text{cam1}) \ \text{c\_value}; (0.1 + \text{cam12}) \ \text{c\_value2}; (0.001 + \text{processor2}) \ \text{p\_value}; (0.1 + \text{eps2}) \ \text{eps\_value}; (0.5 + \text{adcs1}) \ \text{adcs\_value}]
\]

Sort based on checkbox AND max Y value

\[
y_{\text{sort}} = \text{sortrows}(y_{\text{values}}, [2 \ 1])
\]

Use Solar Cell Y-lim

\[
\text{if} \ (y_{\text{sort}}(7) == 1 + \text{solar1}) \ \text{&&} \ (\text{sc\_value} == 1)
\]

\[
\text{if} \ \text{solar1} >= 1
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 1+\text{ceil}(\text{solar1})])}
\]

\[
\text{else}
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 1])}
\]

\[
\text{end}
\]

Use Transceiver Y-lim

\[
\text{elseif} \ (y_{\text{sort}}(7) == 1 + \text{trans1}) \ \text{&&} \ (\text{r\_value} == 1)
\]

\[
\text{if} \ \text{trans1} >= 1
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 1+\text{ceil}(\text{trans1})])}
\]

\[
\text{else}
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 1])}
\]

\[
\text{end}
\]

Use Payload 1 Y-lim

\[
\text{elseif} \ (y_{\text{sort}}(7) == 0.1 + \text{cam1}) \ \text{&&} \ (\text{c\_value} == 1)
\]

\[
\text{if} \ \text{cam1} >= 1
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 1+\text{ceil}(\text{cam1})])}
\]

\[
\text{elseif} \ (\text{cam1} < 1 \ \text{&&} \ \text{cam1} >= 0.5)
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 1])}
\]

\[
\text{elseif} \ (\text{cam1} < 0.5 \ \text{&&} \ \text{cam1} > 0.1)
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 0.5])}
\]

\[
\text{else}
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 0.1 + \text{cam1})])}
\]

\[
\text{end}
\]

Use Payload 2 Y-lim

\[
\text{elseif} \ (y_{\text{sort}}(7) == 0.1 + \text{cam12}) \ \text{&&} \ (\text{c\_value2} == 1)
\]

\[
\text{if} \ \text{cam12} >= 1
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 1+\text{ceil}(\text{cam12})])}
\]

\[
\text{elseif} \ (\text{cam12} < 1 \ \text{&&} \ \text{cam12} >= 0.5)
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 1])}
\]

\[
\text{elseif} \ (\text{cam12} < 0.5 \ \text{&&} \ \text{cam12} > 0.1)
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 0.5])}
\]

\[
\text{else}
\]

\[
\text{set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim',[0, 0.1 + \text{cam12})])}
\]

\[
\text{end}
\]

Use Processor Y-lim
elseif (ysort(7) == 0.001+processor2) && (p_value == 1)
    if processor1 >= 1
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 1+ceil(processor1)]);
    elseif (processor1 < 1 && processor1 >= 0.5)
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 1]);
    elseif (processor1 < 0.5 && processor1 >= 0.1)
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 0.5]);
    elseif (processor1 < 0.1 && processor1 >= 0.01)
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 0.1]);
    else
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 8e-4]);
    end

elseif (ysort(7) == 0.1+eps2) && (eps_value == 1)
    if eps2 >= 1
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 1+ceil(eps2)]);
    elseif (eps2 < 1 && eps2 >= 0.5)
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 1]);
    elseif (eps2 < 0.5 && eps2 > 0.1)
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 0.5]);
    else
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 0.1+eps2]);
    end

elseif (ysort(7) == 0.5+adcs1) && (adcs_value == 1)
    if adcs1 >= 1
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 1+ceil(adcs1)]);
    elseif (adcs1 < 1 && adcs1 >= 0.5)
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 1]);
    elseif (adcs1 < 0.5 && adcs1 > 0.1)
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 0.5]);
    else
        set(handles.subsystem_axes,'YLim', [0, 0.1+adcs1]);
    end
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%The DROPDOWN List Controlled by the 'GO BUTTON'

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
X=get(handles.menu,'string'); %menu string in it entirety
Y=get(handles.menu,'value'); %chosen value
Z=X(Y,:); %chosen string

%%%Overview Panel
if strcmp(Z,'Overview') == 1
    set(handles.overview_panel,'Visible','on')
    set(handles.orbital_panel,'Visible','off')
    set(handles.bat_panel,'Visible','off')
    set(handles.sc_panel,'Visible','off')
    set(handles.t_panel,'Visible','off')
end
set(handles.beacon_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.cam_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.secondary_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.processor_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.eps_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.adcs_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.parameter_text,'String','Parameter Input: Overview')

%%%Orbital Panel
elseif strcmp(Z,'Orbital') == 1
set(handles.overview_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.orbital_panel,'Visible','on')
set(handles.bat_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.sc_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.t_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.beacon_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.cam_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.secondary_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.processor_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.eps_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.adcs_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.parameter_text,'String','Parameter Input: Orbital')

%%%Battery Panel
elseif strcmp(Z,'Battery') == 1
set(handles.overview_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.orbital_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.bat_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.sc_panel,'Visible','on')
set(handles.t_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.beacon_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.cam_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.secondary_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.processor_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.eps_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.adcs_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.parameter_text,'String','Parameter Input: Battery')

%%%Solar Cells Panel
elseif strcmp(Z,'Solar Cells') == 1
set(handles.overview_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.orbital_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.bat_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.sc_panel,'Visible','on')
set(handles.t_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.beacon_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.cam_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.secondary_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.processor_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.eps_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.adcs_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.parameter_text,'String','Parameter Input: Solar Cells')

%%%Transceiver Panel
elseif strcmp(Z,'Transceiver') == 1
set(handles.overview_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.orbital_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.bat_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.sc_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.t_panel,'Visible','on')
set(handles.beacon_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.cam_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.secondary_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.processor_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.eps_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.adcs_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.parameter_text,'String','Parameter Input: Transceiver')

%%%Beacon Panel
elseif strcmp(Z,'Beacon') == 1
set(handles.overview_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.orbital_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.bat_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.sc_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.t_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.beacon_panel,'Visible','on')
set(handles.cam_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.secondary_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.processor_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.eps_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.adcs_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.parameter_text,'String','Parameter Input: Beacon')

%%%Payload 1 Panel
elseif strcmp(Z,'Primary Payload') == 1
set(handles.overview_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.orbital_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.bat_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.sc_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.t_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.beacon_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.cam_panel,'Visible','on')
set(handles.secondary_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.processor_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.eps_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.adcs_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.parameter_text,'String','Parameter Input: Payload 1')

%%%Payload 2 Panel
elseif strcmp(Z,'Secondary Payload') == 1
set(handles.overview_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.orbital_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.bat_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.sc_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.t_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.beacon_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.cam_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.secondary_panel,'Visible','on')
set(handles.processor_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.eps_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.adcs_panel,'Visible','off')
set(handles.parameter_text,'String','Parameter Input: Payload 2')
elseif strcmp(Z, 'Processor') == 1
    set(handles.overview_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.orbital_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.bat_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.sc_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.t_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.beacon_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.cam_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.secondary_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.processor_panel, 'Visible', 'on')
    set(handles.eps_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.adcs_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.parameter_text, 'String', 'Parameter Input: Processor')

elseif strcmp(Z, 'EPS') == 1
    set(handles.overview_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.orbital_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.bat_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.sc_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.t_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.beacon_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.cam_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.secondary_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.processor_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.eps_panel, 'Visible', 'on')
    set(handles.adcs_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.parameter_text, 'String', 'Parameter Input: EPS')

elseif strcmp(Z, 'ADCS') == 1
    set(handles.overview_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.orbital_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.bat_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.sc_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.t_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.beacon_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.cam_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.secondary_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.processor_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.eps_panel, 'Visible', 'off')
    set(handles.adcs_panel, 'Visible', 'on')
    set(handles.parameter_text, 'String', 'Parameter Input: ADCS')

else
    disp ('Check: Go Button Panel')
end
VI. Matlab GUI Class Code

classdef pow < handle

properties
    sih % seconds in an hour
    orbit_num % orbit1: number of orbits
    sun_time % sunlength: minutes spent in sun
    sunTime_offset % sunoffset: offset for time in sun
    orbit_time % orbitlength: orbital time
    time_start % start at 2 seconds
    time_orbit % number of seconds in an orbit
    time_stop % number of seconds in X orbits
    sun_start % sun start (0 seconds)
    sun_stop % sun stop time
    bat_max % bat1: 10 Watt Hour Max
    bat_start % bat2: battery start power
    sc_active
    r_start % beacon1: radio start time
    r_stop % beacon2: radio stop time
    r_active % trans1: radio active power usage
    r_low % trans2: radio low power usage
    window_open % transmit window open time
    window_close % transmit window close time
    window_offset % transmit window offset
    max_transmit % window: transmit window duration
    img_start % transmit image begins
    cam_time % camlength1: total image time
    img_stop % transmit image ends
    cam_offset % camoffset: offset start time
    cam_active % cam1: camera active power usage
    cam_low % cam2: camera low power usage
    cam_start % camera start time
    cam_stop % camera stop time
    offset_c1
    freq_c1
    secondary_active
    secondary_low
    cam_time2
    cam_start2
    img_stop2
    cam_stop2
method (Static)

function calculation (p, orbit_num, sun_time, suntime_offset, orbit_time, bat_max, bat_start, sc_active, cam_time, cam_time2, window_offset, cam_active, cam_low, offset_c1, freq_c1, r_start, r_stop, r_active, r_low, p_active, p_low, eps_active, eps_heat, adcs_active, adcs_low, adcs_duration, offset_adcs, freq_adcs, max_transmit, secondary_active, secondary_low, offset_c2, freq_c2)

p.sih = 60*60;

p.time_start = 2;
p.time_orbit = orbit_time * 60;
p.time_stop = orbit_num * p.time_orbit;

p.sun_start = 60 * suntime_offset;
p.sun_stop = (sun_time + suntime_offset) * 60;

p.window_open = (0 + window_offset) * 60;
p.window_close = (max_transmit + window_offset) * 60;

p.img_start = 0;

p.img_stop = cam_time * 60;
p.cam_start = (0 + offset_c1) * 60;
p.cam_stop = p.img_stop + (offset_c1 * 60);
```plaintext
p.img_stop2 = cam_time2 * 60;
p.cam_start2 = (0 + offset_c2) * 60;
p.cam_stop2 = p.img_stop2 + (offset_c2 * 60);

p.adcs_start = (0 + offset_adcs) * 60;
p.adcs_stop = (adcs_duration * 60) + (offset_adcs * 60);

p.battery(1) = bat_start;
p.sc_power(1) = sc_active/p.sih;
p.r_power(1) = r_active/p.sih;
p.cam_power(1) = cam_low/p.sih;
p.cam_power2(1) = secondary_low/p.sih;
p.p_power(1) = p_active/p.sih;
p.eps_power(1) = eps_active/p.sih;
p.adcs_power(1) = adcs_low/p.sih;

for time = p.time_start:p.time_stop
  orbit = mod(time, p.time_orbit);
  radio_time = mod(time, r_start+r_stop);
  cam1_time = (p.time_orbit/freq_c1);
  cam1_time1 = mod(time, cam1_time);
  cam2_time = (p.time_orbit/freq_c2);
  cam2_time2 = mod(time, cam2_time);
  adcs_time = (p.time_orbit/fradcs);
  adcs_time1 = mod(time, adcs_time);
  %calculate solar cell
  if ((orbit > p.sun_start) && (orbit < p.sun_stop))
    p.sc_power(time) = sc_active/p.sih;
  else
    p.sc_power(time) = 0;
  end

  %calculate transceiver (radio & camera)
  if ((orbit > p.window_open) && (orbit < p.window_close)) ||
      (radio_time <= r_start)
    p.r_power(time) = r_active/p.sih;
  else
    p.r_power(time) = r_low/p.sih;
  end

  %calculate camera
  if ((cam1_time1 > p.cam_start) && (cam1_time1 <
      p.cam_stop))
    p.cam_power(time) = cam_active/p.sih;
  else
    p.cam_power(time) = cam_low/p.sih;
  end

  %calculate secondary payload
  if ((cam2_time2 > p.cam_start2) && (cam2_time2 <
      p.cam_stop2))
    p.cam_power2(time) = secondary_active/p.sih;
  else
    p.cam_power2(time) = secondary_low/p.sih;
```
end

%calculate processor
p.p_power(time) = p_active/p.sih;

%calculate eps
if (p.sc_power(time) ~= 0)
  p.eps_power(time) = eps_active/p.sih;
else
  p.eps_power(time) = eps_heat/p.sih;
end

%calculate adcs
if ((adcs_time1 > p.adcs_start) && (adcs_time1 < p.adcs_stop))
  p.adcs_power(time) = adcs_active/p.sih;
else
  p.adcs_power(time) = adcs_low/p.sih;
end

%calculate total charge
p.battery(time) = p.battery(time-1) + p.sc_power(time) - p.r_power(time) - p.cam_power(time) - p.p_power(time) - p.eps_power(time) - p.adcs_power(time) - p.cam_power2(time);

%battery check
if (p.battery(time) > bat_max)
  p.battery(time) = bat_max;
end
if (p.battery(time) < 0)
  p.battery(time) = 0;
end
6. List of References


