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This edition of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Federal Government Contractors, which was originally issued in 1990, has been modified by the AICPA staff to include certain changes necessary because of the issuance of authoritative pronouncements since the guide was originally issued and other changes necessary to keep the guide current on industry and regulatory matters. The changes made are identified in a schedule in appendix I of the guide. The changes do not include all those that might be considered necessary if the guide were subjected to a comprehensive review and revision.
Notice to Readers

This Audit and Accounting Guide presents recommendations of the AICPA Government Contractors Guide Special Committee on the application of generally accepted auditing standards to audits of financial statements of federal government contractors. This guide also presents the committee’s recommendations on and descriptions of financial accounting and reporting principles and practices for federal government contractors. The AICPA Accounting Standards Executive Committee has found this guide to be consistent with existing standards and principles covered by Rules 202 and 203 of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. AICPA members should be prepared to justify departures from the accounting guidance in this guide, as discussed in paragraph .07 of AU section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1).

Auditing guidance included in an AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide is an interpretive publication pursuant to AU section 150, *Generally Accepted Auditing Standards* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1). Interpretive publications are recommendations on the application of Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs) in specific circumstances, including engagements for entities in specialized industries. Interpretive publications are issued under the authority of the Auditing Standards Board (ASB) after all ASB members have been provided an opportunity to consider and comment on whether the proposed interpretative

---

* In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Statement No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, which identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States (the GAAP hierarchy). The FASB concluded that the GAAP hierarchy should reside in the accounting literature established by the FASB rather than in the auditing literature established by the AICPA (for non-Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) registrants) or the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) (for SEC registrants).

FASB Statement No. 162 carries forward the GAAP hierarchy as set forth in AU section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1), subject to certain modifications that the FASB does not expect to result in changes to current practice. The modifications include, among other changes, the expansion of category (a) accounting principles to include, with one exception, all sources of accounting principles that are issued after being subject to the FASB’s due process (including, but not limited to, FASB Staff Positions and FASB Statement 133 Implementation Issues, which are currently not addressed in AU section 411). Although certain consensus positions of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) have been issued after being subjected to the FASB’s due process, the FASB decided to carry forward the categorization of EITF consensuses as presented in AU section 411, which is category (c).

FASB Statement No. 162 does not carry forward the exception permitted in ET section 203, *Accounting Principles*, of the AICPAs Code of Professional Conduct (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 2), that allows departures from the GAAP hierarchy if the member can demonstrate that, due to unusual circumstances, the financial statements would otherwise have been misleading. Therefore, an entity cannot represent that its financial statements are presented in accordance with GAAP if its selection of accounting principles departs from the GAAP hierarchy set forth in FASB Statement No. 162, and that departure has a material effect on its financial statements.

FASB Statement No. 162 is effective 60 days following the approval by the SEC of the conforming amendments included in PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 6 and conforming amendments adopted by the PCAOB on January 29, 2008. Among other significant provisions, the conforming amendments remove the GAAP hierarchy from the PCAOB’s interim auditing standards.

In response to the FASB’s release of the exposure draft of Statement No. 162 in April 2005, the AICPA issued an exposure draft of a proposed Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS), *Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for Nongovernmental Entities*, in May 2005, which deletes the GAAP hierarchy for nongovernmental entities from AU section 411. The effective dates of the AICPA, FASB, and PCAOB standards will coincide. For more information, please visit the FASB Web site at www.fasb.org.
publication is consistent with the SASs. The members of the ASB have found this guide to be consistent with existing SASs.

The auditor should be aware of and consider interpretive publications applicable to his or her audit. If an auditor does not apply the auditing guidance included in an applicable interpretive publication, the auditor should be prepared to explain how he or she complied with the SAS provisions addressed by such auditing guidance.

**FASB Accounting Standards Codification™**

On January 15, 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) launched the one year verification phase of the **FASB Accounting Standards Codification™** (codification). After the verification period, during which constituents are encouraged to provide feedback on whether the codification content accurately reflects existing U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for nongovernmental entities, the FASB is expected to formally approve the Codification as the single source of authoritative U.S. GAAP, other than guidance issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The codification includes all accounting standards issued by a standard-setter within levels A–D of the current U.S. GAAP hierarchy, including FASB, AICPA, Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF), and related literature. The codification does not change GAAP; instead it reorganizes the thousands of U.S. GAAP pronouncements into roughly 90 accounting topics, and displays all topics using a consistent structure. The SEC guidance will follow a similar topical structure in separate SEC sections.

This edition of the guide has not been conformed to the new codification. AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides, as well as other AICPA literature, will be conformed to reflect the codification after the verification phase and upon formal approval by the FASB.

**Defining Professional Requirements**

AU section 120, *Defining Professional Requirements in Statements on Auditing Standards*, and AT section 20, *Defining Professional Requirements in Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1), which were issued in December 2005, set forth the meaning of certain terms used in SASs and Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAEs), respectively, issued by the ASB in describing the professional requirements imposed on auditors and practitioners. The specific terms used to define professional requirements in these sections are not intended to apply to interpretive publications issued under the authority of the ASB because interpretive publications are not auditing or attestation standards. It is the ASB’s intention to make conforming changes to the interpretive publications over the next several years to remove any language that would imply a professional requirement where none exists.

In December 2007, the Accounting and Review Services Committee (ARSC) also issued AR section 20, *Defining Professional Requirements in Statements*
on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 2), which sets forth the meaning of certain terms used in Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (SSARSs) issued by the ARSC in describing the professional requirements imposed on accountants performing a compilation or review of a nonissuer. The specific terms used to define professional requirements in this section are not intended to apply to interpretive publications issued under the authority of the ARSC because interpretive publications are not SSARSs. It is the ARSC’s intention to make conforming changes to the interpretive publications to remove any language that would imply a professional requirement where none exists.

AU section 120, AT section 20, and AR section 20, which were effective upon issuance, define the terminology that the ASB and ARSC will use going forward to describe the degree of responsibility that the requirements impose on the auditor, practitioner, or accountant in engagements performed for nonissuers. SASs, SSAEs, and SSARSs will use the words must or is required to indicate an unconditional requirement, with which the auditor, practitioner, or accountant is required to comply. SASs, SSAEs, and SSARSs will use the word should to indicate a presumptively mandatory requirement. The auditor, practitioner, or accountant is required to comply with a presumptively mandatory requirement in all cases in which the circumstances exist to which the presumptively mandatory requirement applies; however, in rare circumstances, the auditor, practitioner, or accountant may depart from a presumptively mandatory requirement provided he or she documents the justification for the departure and how the alternative procedures performed in the circumstances were sufficient to achieve the objectives of the presumptively mandatory requirement. If a SAS, SSAE, or SSARS provides that a procedure or action is one that the auditor, practitioner, and accountant “should consider,” the consideration of the procedure or action is presumptively required, whereas carrying out the procedure or action is not.

This guide has been updated as applicable for AU section 120, AT section 20, and AR section 20. Refer to the Schedule of Changes in appendix I for additional information.
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Guidance Considered in This Edition

This guide has been modified by the AICPA staff to include certain changes necessary due to the issuance of authoritative pronouncements since the guide was originally issued. Relevant guidance contained in official pronouncements issued through May 1, 2008, has been considered in the development of this edition of the guide. This includes relevant guidance issued up to and including the following:

- FASB Statement No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133
- Revised FASB Statements issued through May 1, 2008, including FASB Statement No. 141(R), Business Combinations
- FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109
- FASB EITF consensus ratified by the FASB through May 1, 2008
- FASB Staff Positions issued through May 1, 2008
- Statement of Position (SOP) 07-1, Clarification of the Scope of the Audit and Accounting Guide Investment Companies and Accounting by Parent Companies and Equity Method Investors for Investments in Investment Companies (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, ACC sec. 10,930)
- Practice Bulletin (PB) No. 15, Accounting by the Issuer of Surplus Notes (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, PB sec. 12,150)
- Auditing Interpretation No. 1, “Communicating Deficiencies in Internal Control Over Compliance in an Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Audit” of AU section 325, Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 9325 par. .01–.04)
- SOP 07-2, Attestation Engagements That Address Specified Compliance Control Objectives and Related Controls at Entities That Provide Services to Investment Companies, Investment Advisers,
or Other Service Providers (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, AUD sec. 14,430)

- SSAE No. 14, SSAE Hierarchy (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AT sec. 50)
- Attestation Interpretation No. 6, "Reporting on Attestation Engagements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards" of AT section 101, Attest Engagements (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AT sec. 9101 par. .56–.58)
- SSARS No. 17, Omnibus Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services—2008 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 2)
- Interpretation No. 29, "Reporting on an Uncertainty, Including an Uncertainty About an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern” of AR section 100, Compilation and Review of Financial Statements (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 2, AR sec. 9100 par. .120–.129)

Users of this guide should consider pronouncements issued subsequent to those listed to determine their effect on entities covered by this guide. In determining the applicability of a pronouncement, its effective date should also be considered.

The changes made to this edition of the guide are identified in a schedule in appendix I of the guide. The changes do not include all those that might be considered necessary if the guide were subjected to a comprehensive review and revision.

Auditing Guidance Included in This Guide

Risk Assessment Standards

In March 2006, the ASB issued SAS Nos. 104–111 (the “risk assessment standards”). Collectively, the risk assessment standards establish standards and provide guidance concerning the auditor’s assessment of the risks of material misstatement (whether caused by fraud or error) in a nonissuer financial statement audit; design and performance of tailored audit procedures to address assessed risks; audit risk and materiality; planning and supervision; and audit evidence. The most significant changes to existing practice that the auditor will be required to perform are as follows:

- Obtain a more in depth understanding of the audited entity and its environment, including its internal control.
- Perform a more rigorous assessment of the risks of where and how the financial statements could be materially misstated (defaulting to a maximum control risk is not acceptable).
- Provide a linkage between the auditor’s assessed risks and the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures performed in response to those risks.
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The statements are effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2006. Early adoption is permitted. See appendix H in this guide for a more detailed comparison between the risk assessment standards and the existing standards. This guide has been conformed to the new risk assessment standards.

For additional guidance on the risk assessment standards, please refer to the AICPA Audit Guide *Assessing and Responding to Risk in a Financial Statement Audit* (product no. 012456) and the AICPA Audit Risk Alert *Understanding the New Auditing Standards Related to Risk Assessment* (product no. 022526).
Preface

Purpose and Applicability

This guide has been prepared to assist preparers of financial statements in preparing financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and to assist independent auditors in auditing and reporting on such financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in auditing and reporting on the financial statements of entities that provide goods and services to the federal government, or to prime contractors or subcontractors at any tier and for which such transactions are material to such entities’ financial statements. It describes relevant accounting practices and auditing procedures unique to these entities. The descriptions in this guide are generally oriented to the defense contracting industry; however, the provisions of this guide apply to all federal government contractors.

This guide focuses on auditing and reporting issues with respect to the financial statements of government contractors and subcontractors; however, the guide does not discuss the application of all generally accepted accounting principles and auditing standards as they pertain to the preparation and audit of such financial statements.

The guide makes reference to and highlights a number of federal government contract regulations and requirements. Independent auditors auditing relevant entities should understand the contractor’s business and consider these regulations and requirements as part of their planning and execution of their services. More specifically, independent auditors should consider the impact of these regulations and requirements with respect to the application of AU section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, and AU section 317, Illegal Acts by Clients (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1).

A number of auditing procedures are discussed, but detailed internal control questionnaires and audit programs are not included.

The nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures are a matter of professional judgment and will vary according to the size of the entity, the organizational structure, the existing internal control, and other factors.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) has an active comprehensive revenue recognition project on its agenda. The objective of this project is to develop a comprehensive statement on revenue recognition that is conceptually based and framed in terms of principles. This statement will (a) eliminate the inconsistencies in the existing authoritative literature and accepted practices, (b) fill the voids that have emerged in revenue recognition guidance in recent years, and (c) provide a conceptual basis for addressing issues that arise in the future. Although the FASB plans for this statement to apply to business entities generally, it might later decide to exclude certain transactions or industries requiring additional study. Readers should be alert to the progress of this project because it may affect the accounting described in this guide.

This guide supersedes AICPA Industry Audit Guide Audits of Government Contractors (1975).
Public Accounting Firms Registered With the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board

Subject to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) oversight, Section 103 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (act) authorizes the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) to establish auditing and related attestation, quality control, ethics, and independence standards to be used by registered public accounting firms in the preparation and issuance of audit reports as required by the act or the rules of the SEC. Accordingly, public accounting firms registered with the PCAOB are required to adhere to all PCAOB standards in the audits of issuers, as defined by the act, and other entities when prescribed by the rules of the SEC.

Effective Date and Transition

The accounting and financial reporting provisions of this guide apply to contracts entered into after December 31, 1990.

The auditing provisions of this guide are effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 1990.

References to Professional Standards

In citing the professional standards, references are made to the AICPA Professional Standards publication. In those sections of the guide where specific PCAOB auditing standards are referred to, references are made to the AICPA's PCAOB Standards and Related Rules publication. Please refer to appendix G of this guide for a summary of major existing differences between AICPA standards and PCAOB standards. Additionally, when referencing professional standards, this guide cites section numbers and not the original statement number, as appropriate. For example, SAS No. 54 is referred to as AU section 317.

Applicability of Requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Publicly held companies and other issuers (see the following definition) are subject to the provisions of the act and related SEC regulations implementing the act. Their outside auditors are also subject to the provisions of the act and to the rules and standards issued by the PCAOB.

Presented in the following paragraphs is a summary of certain key areas addressed by the act, the SEC, and the PCAOB that are particularly relevant to the preparation and issuance of an issuer’s financial statements and the preparation and issuance of an audit report on those financial statements. However, the provisions of the act, the regulations of the SEC, and the rules and standards of the PCAOB are numerous and are not all addressed in this section or in this guide.

Definition of an Issuer

The act states that the term issuer means an issuer (as defined in section 3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78c)), the securities of which are registered under section 12 of that act (15 U.S.C. 78l), or that is required to file reports under section 15(d) (15 U.S.C. 78o(d)), or that files or has filed a
registration statement that has not yet become effective under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.), and that it has not withdrawn.

Issuers, as defined by the act, and other entities when prescribed by the rules of the SEC (collectively referred to in this guide as issuers or issuer) and their public accounting firms (who must be registered with the PCAOB) are subject to the provisions of the act, implementing SEC regulations, and the rules and standards of the PCAOB, as appropriate.

Nonissuers are those entities not subject to the act or the rules of the SEC.

Guidance for Issuers

Management Assessment of Internal Control

As directed by Section 404 of the act, the SEC adopted final rules requiring companies subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, other than registered investment companies and certain other entities, to include in their annual reports a report of management on the company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Companies that are large accelerated filers or accelerated filers, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2, are required to comply with these rules for fiscal years ending on or after November 15, 2004. Foreign private issuers that are accelerated filers and that file their annual reports on Form 20-F or 40-F must begin to comply with rules for the first fiscal year ending on or after July 15, 2006. Nonaccelerated filers and foreign private issuers that are not accelerated filers must comply with the rules for the first fiscal year ending on or after December 15, 2007. See the SEC Web site at www.sec.gov/rules/final/33-8545.htm for further information.

The SEC rules clarify that management’s assessment and report is limited to internal control over financial reporting. The SEC’s definition of internal control encompasses the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) definition but the SEC does not mandate that the entity use COSO as its criteria for judging effectiveness.

The auditor’s attestation on the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting is currently required for large accelerated filers and accelerated filers. For nonaccelerated filers, the auditor’s attestation is required for annual reports for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2008.*

Select SEC Developments

The SEC posted an interpretive release, Commission Guidance Regarding Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on June 20, 2007, to provide guidance for management regarding its evaluation and assessment of internal control over financial reporting. This guidance is organized around

* On February 1, 2008, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Proposed Rule 33-8889 that, if adopted, would amend SEC Release No. 33-8760 by deferring for one year the auditor attestation requirement for nonaccelerated filers required by Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Under the proposed amendments, a nonaccelerated filer would be required to provide the auditor’s attestation report on internal control over financial reporting in an annual report filed for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2009. Until then, all nonaccelerated filers would be required to complete only management’s assessment of internal control over financial reporting. Refer to the SEC Web site at www.sec.gov for further developments on this issue.
two broad principles. The first principle is that management should evaluate whether it has implemented controls that adequately address the risk that a material misstatement of the financial statements would not be prevented or detected in a timely manner. This guidance describes a top down, risk based approach to this principle. The second principle is that management’s evaluation of evidence about the operation of its controls should be based on its assessment of risk. This guidance provides an approach for making risk based judgments about the evidence needed for the evaluation.

The SEC also posted a final rule, Amendments to Rules Regarding Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, on June 20, 2007, that provides, among other significant provisions, that a company performing an evaluation in accordance with the aforementioned interpretive guidance also satisfies the annual evaluation required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15. Among other rule changes, the SEC defined the term ‘material weakness’ and revised the requirements regarding the auditor’s attestation report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting to require the auditor to express an opinion directly on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and not on management’s evaluation process.

In a subsequent final rule, Definition of the Term Significant Deficiency, posted August 3, 2007, the SEC defined the term significant deficiency for the purpose of implementing Section 302 and Section 404 of the act. By including a definition of significant deficiency in SEC rules, in addition to the definition of material weakness, the SEC has enabled management to refer to its rules and guidance for information on the meaning of these terms rather than referring to the auditing standards. Readers should refer to the SEC Web site at www.sec.gov for more information.

Guidance for Auditors

The act mandates a number of requirements concerning auditors of issuers, including mandatory registration with the PCAOB, the setting of auditing standards, inspections, investigations, disciplinary proceedings, prohibited activities, partner rotation, and reports to audit committees, among others. The PCAOB continues to establish rules and standards implementing provisions of the act concerning the auditors of issuers.

Applicability of GAAS and PCAOB Standards

The act authorizes the PCAOB to establish auditing and related attestation, quality control, ethics, and independence standards to be used by registered public accounting firms in the preparation and issuance of audit reports for entities subject to the act or the rules of the SEC. Accordingly, public accounting firms registered with the PCAOB are required to adhere to all PCAOB standards in the audits of issuers, as defined by the act, and other entities when prescribed by the rules of the SEC.

For those entities not subject to the act or the rules of the SEC, the preparation and issuance of audit reports remain governed by GAAS as issued by the Auditing Standards Board.

Select PCAOB Developments

On May 24, 2007, the PCAOB adopted Auditing Standard No. 5, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting That Is Integrated with An Audit
of Financial Statements (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards"), and an independence rule relating to the auditor's provision of internal control related nonaudit services. Auditing Standard No. 5 supersedes PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction With an Audit of Financial Statements. The SEC approved the standard on July 25, 2007, and it is effective for audits of internal control over financial reporting required by the act for fiscal years ending on or after November 15, 2007. Earlier adoption is permitted at any point after SEC approval.

Auditing Standard No. 5 is principles based and is designed to increase the likelihood that material weaknesses in internal control will be found before they result in material misstatement of a company’s financial statements and, at the same time, eliminate procedures that are unnecessary. It focuses the auditor on the procedures necessary to perform a high quality audit and makes the audit scalable so it can change to fit the size and complexity of any company. Readers should refer to the PCAOB Web site at www.pcaob.org for more information.

Major Existing Differences Between GAAS and PCAOB Standards

The major differences between GAAS and PCAOB standards are described in both part I of volume I of the AICPA Professional Standards and in part I of the AICPA publication titled PCAOB Standards and Related Rules. Please refer to appendix G of this guide for a summary of major existing differences between AICPA standards and PCAOB standards.
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Chapter 1

Contract Procurement Process

Introduction

1.01 The federal government is among the world’s largest purchasers of goods and services. The Department of Defense (DoD) alone accounts for a substantial portion of the government’s total purchases. In addition, other federal executive departments with significant procurement authority include the following:

- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
- Department of Energy (DOE)
- Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS)
- General Services Administration (GSA); and
- Department of Homeland Security (DHS)

1.02 Companies electing to do business with the federal government will find a customer who behaves, in some significant ways, very differently from their commercial customers. This unique behavior results from the customer being a sovereign power that conducts its procurement activities under specific laws and implementing regulations, as discussed in chapter 2. These procurement statutes and regulations govern the process the federal government follows in its business dealings with private industry. They cover such critical matters as how the federal government selects, monitors, and pays its contractors.

1.03 The purpose of this chapter is to provide general background information on the federal government procurement process. This knowledge is necessary for the independent auditor with clients who are government contractors or subcontractors and for accountants employed by these enterprises. This chapter also describes the government procurement process to assist the independent auditor in understanding the financial accounting and reporting requirements unique to government contractors and subcontractors.

Procurement Overview

1.04 Many purchases made by the federal government are for standard commercial products and services where the demands and competitive forces of supply have established the market price. For these purchases, the buying agency is similar to any other customer seeking to satisfy procurement needs at the most favorable prices available in the marketplace. Under these conditions, the federal procurement process is relatively simple and straightforward. However, it is important to recognize that for a substantial amount of its purchases, the federal government is the only customer for the products and services it acquires. In these circumstances, prices have not been established by the marketplace. Therefore, the price the government pays is determined by other means, such as negotiations based on estimated or actual cost to produce, plus a consideration for profit.

1.05 Federal government policy is to procure supplies and services at fair and reasonable prices from responsible sources. Critical to accomplishing this objective is the government’s ability to make it attractive for the best-qualified
companies to devote their resources to meeting the government’s procurement needs. To that end, the profit policy emphasizes the need for federal contracting to provide companies the opportunity to earn a reasonable rate of return on investment.

1.06 Competition is used to the maximum extent practical by the government. Procedures for sealed bidding and negotiation of competitive proposals, as outlined in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Parts 14 and 15, respectively, form the basis of full and open competition. Under sealed bidding, the federal government issues an Invitations for Bids (IFB), which specifies what the government wants to buy and the terms and conditions under which it will procure. Contractors respond to the IFB with sealed bids that are opened publicly. Award is made to the responsible bidder that submitted the lowest responsive bid, unless there is a compelling reason to reject all bids and cancel the solicitation. Competitive proposals are submitted by contractors in response to the government’s Request for Proposals (RFP), Request for Quotations (RFQ), or Request for Information (RFI). Written acceptance of the contractor’s proposal in response to an RFP creates a binding contract. However, the government cannot unilaterally accept a proposal submitted in response to an RFQ or RFI, because neither response is a valid offer. For an RFQ or RFI, further negotiations occur to reach a binding agreement between the two parties. To solicit offers of items defined as commercial items, the government may use a simplified procedure, which more closely resembles the terms and conditions customarily used in the commercial marketplace (FAR Part 12). Simplified acquisition procedures are used for procurements below the threshold specified in FAR Part 13.

1.07 To accomplish its procurement objectives, the government uses a variety of pricing arrangements in its contracts. These pricing arrangements, usually referred to as contract types, reflect varying degrees of financial risk that are assumed by the parties. (See discussion of contract types later in this chapter.) For example, the contractor may accept the contractual obligation to deliver the required product or service for an established price without regard to actual costs incurred. Alternatively, the government may retain most of the financial risk by agreeing to reimburse the contractor for actual costs incurred in return for the contractor’s best efforts to achieve the contract’s objectives. In this latter instance, the contract price is established after the work is done and actual costs of performance are known. Between these two extremes are other pricing arrangements that assign varying levels of risk to the government and the contractor. In negotiated contracts, according to policy guidance for procurement officials, the type of contract is a matter on which both parties agree.

1.08 The amount of government financial review and surveillance is determined by the type of contract awarded. The government is likely to scrutinize a single-source procurement closely, regardless of contract type.

- The government has a greater interest in the company’s accounting practices where incurred costs are the primary factor in establishing the amount the contracting agency ultimately pays for the work performed. Consequently, the terms and conditions of the contract give the buying agency fairly broad rights to review the contractor’s books and records. The objectives of the government in conducting these reviews include ascertaining whether the contract pricing conforms to applicable procurement regulations and assessing the adequacy of the contractor’s financial management systems.
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- When the final contract price is unaffected by actual cost of performance, government procurement policy ordinarily requires considerably less review and oversight of the contractor's financial management activities.

1.09 The government has authorized its contracting officers to act as exclusive agents to enter into and administer contracts. When inadequate information is available to effectively evaluate proposals prior to contract award, the contracting officer may obtain assistance from administrative contracting officers, contract auditors, price analysts, quality assurance specialists, engineers, small business specialists, and attorneys. (FAR Subsection 15.404-2.)

1.10 The contract auditor provides advice to the contracting officer on whether the contractor’s cost representations are allocable, reasonable, and in compliance with applicable rules and regulations. Various statutes, regulations, and contract clauses provide the contract auditor, or the contracting officer’s representative, access rights to audit the contractor’s books and records for purposes of gathering evidential matter to form the basis for advising the contracting officer.

The Procurement Process

1.11 To achieve optimum benefit from competition, the government widely publicizes its IFBs, RFQs, RFIs, and RFPs. Interested concerns have a variety of methods to locate procurement opportunities:

- Solicitation mailing lists are maintained using paper or online electronic database files by contracting activities to ensure access to adequate supply sources.

- An applicant can register online using the Federal Business Opportunities Web site (included in appendix F of this guide).

- The government synopsizes proposed contract actions over $25,000 on the government-wide point of entry (GPE) that may be accessed via the Internet Web site (included in appendix F of this guide).

- In addition to local electronic bulletin boards, the Internet is considered a viable “public display,” and, as such, other contract actions may also be found on the Federal Business Opportunities Web site should the contracting officer seek to expand competition.

- The GSA is rapidly becoming a central purchasing point for the government. Qualified contractors can apply for a “federal supply contract” that enables them to be listed on one or more “GSA Schedules” that federal procuring activities can access.

1.12 Upon receipt of a response to a solicitation, the buying agency considers the responsiveness of the offer and the responsibility of the offeror. A responsive offer meets all the salient terms addressed in the original solicitation. Nonresponsiveness can be a basis for rejection of a bid. However, an immaterial issue of responsiveness in quotes and proposals can be resolved in negotiations. A responsible contractor is deemed capable of providing the goods or services required from both a technical capability and a financial capacity standpoint.
The concept of responsibility is contained in FAR Subsection 9.103[c] as follows:

While it is important that Government purchases be made at the lowest price, this does not require an award to a supplier solely because that supplier submits the lowest offer. A prospective contractor must affirmatively demonstrate its responsibility, including, when necessary, the responsibility of its proposed subcontractors.

1.13 The government uses the preaward survey as one tool to determine whether a contractor is both technically and financially responsible. The objective of the survey is to assess the contractor’s technical qualifications, financial soundness, and the ability of the contractor’s cost accounting systems to identify and accumulate costs by contract. To perform this effort, generally, the contracting officer will obtain support from the technical staff and the contract auditor.

1.14 Competitive source selection involves an assessment of competing proposals using methods that weigh such factors as price or cost, technical excellence, quality and past performance. When negotiations are used to establish the price, the government determines which of the offers fall within an established competitive range. At the conclusions of any discussions, each offeror still in the competitive range is given an opportunity to submit a final proposal revision. The source selection decision documents the basis for the award decision. Because the award is based on a comparative assessment of proposals against all of the source selection criteria specified in the solicitation notice, award may be made to other than the low offeror.

1.15 The threshold for submitting cost or pricing data is $650,000. Cost or pricing data must be submitted for proposals or changes to proposals exceeding that threshold unless: (1) the price is based on adequate price competition; (2) the price is based on prices set by law or regulation; (3) a commercial item is being acquired; (4) a waiver is granted; or (5) a contract or subcontract for commercial items is being modified. Cost or pricing data, which must be certified, are factual data that would reasonably be expected to affect price negotiations. Cost or pricing data are more than historical accounting; they also include such information as vendor quotes, data supporting projections, and management decisions that bear on costs. If cost or pricing data are not required, the contracting officer may still obtain “information other than cost or pricing data” to determine price/cost reasonableness or cost realism. However, such data do not require certification and are not subject to downward prices adjustments if such data are not current, accurate, or complete.

1.16 In preparing for contract negotiations, the contracting officer may request assistance from field pricing support personnel, such as the contract auditor, to evaluate cost or pricing data or information other than cost or pricing data. The evaluations may involve examining historical or forecasted cost data presented by the company and reviewing the estimating techniques used to arrive at the proposed price. The contract auditor also looks for significant inconsistencies in the methods used to estimate and accumulate costs.

**Contract Types**

1.17 Generally, contracts are divided into the following broad classifications:

a. Contracts for items to be delivered or services to be performed
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b. Research and development contracts
c. Construction contracts
d. Facilities contracts for the acquisition, construction, or operation of plant and production equipment

1.18 Within those broad classifications, the specific contract type is defined by the pricing arrangement selected. Selecting the appropriate pricing arrangement is a critical step in the government procurement process because the contract type determines the amount of risk each individual party is willing to accept. It is important to recognize that the federal procurement system is based on the premise that the greater the risk assumed by the contractor, the greater the earnings potential. Therefore, selection of the appropriate contract type for a given procurement is important to achieve a proper balance between risk and profit.

1.19 Federal contracts are generally classified as either fixed-price (per FAR 16.2) or cost-reimbursement (per FAR 16.3). Contracts awarded by sealed bids are firm-fixed-price. The type of contract selected for negotiated procurements is generally a matter for negotiation and generally requires the exercise of sound judgment. The objective is to negotiate a contract type that represents a reasonable sharing of risk, considering the nature of the work to be performed, the scope of the effort, and the performance schedule. Under the fixed-price arrangement, the company is obligated to deliver the product or service at the established price without regard to the actual cost to perform. The contractor assumes less risk under a cost-reimbursement contract, because the contract typically provides for reimbursement of allowable costs incurred plus a fee (profit). Unlike fixed-price contracts, cost-reimbursement contracts obligate the contractor only to use its best efforts to accomplish the scope of work within a specified time and stated dollar limitation; the contractor can legally stop work when all of the contract funds are spent.

1.20 Entering into a fixed-price contract without definite specifications for what is to be done may represent a significant financial exposure for the contractor. When this occurs, the government agency ordinarily should be concerned when a contractor assumes an unreasonable risk because the company may find itself financially incapable of performing the work. Consequently, it is critical that both the contracting officer and the contractor have a comprehensive understanding of the various types of contracts and the situations to which they are most appropriate. A description of the various contract types (derived from FAR Part 16) is presented in paragraphs 1.21–.36.

**Fixed-Price Contracts**

1.21 *Firm fixed-price contract.* A contract under which the contractor is paid a predetermined fixed amount for a specified scope of work and has full responsibility for the performance costs and resulting profit or loss. This contract type is used primarily when (a) the scope of work is known with relative certainty and (b) a fair and reasonable price can be established based either on adequate price competition or on a reasonable price comparison with prior purchases or available cost or pricing data that permits realistic estimates of the probable costs of performance.

1.22 *Fixed-price contract with economic price adjustment.* This contract provides for revision of the contract price based on the occurrence of specifically defined economic contingencies, for example, increases or decreases in either material prices or labor wage rates. For more detail, refer to FAR Section 16.203.
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1.23 Fixed-price incentive contract. Per FAR 16.204, this contract establishes the initial firm target cost, firm target profit, price ceiling (but not a profit ceiling or floor), and formula for establishing final profit and price based on the relationship that the final negotiated total cost bears to total target cost. The formula is typically based on the contractor and the customer sharing the benefits of cost underruns or the burden of cost overruns.

1.24 The following example is an illustration of a fixed-price incentive contract with varying cost and profit results. Fixed-price incentive (FPI) contracts establish targets based on cost with adjustments to profit based on performance. After the contract is completed, the final cost is negotiated and the final price determined through application of the formula. The formula provides greater profits when actual costs are less than the target cost and, conversely, lower profits when actual costs exceed the target cost. In this example, the contractor’s profit is adjusted by 25 percent of the difference between the target cost and actual cost.

**Sharing Formula:**
- Government’s share 75%
- Contractor’s share 25%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Results per Contract Terms</th>
<th>Actual Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Scenario 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>1,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price ceiling</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Actual profit is calculated as follows: $150,000 target profit plus 25 percent of $200,000 underrun ($1,000,000 target cost less $800,000 actual costs)

\(^2\) Actual profit is calculated as follows: $150,000 target profit less 25 percent of $200,000 overrun ($1,200,000 actual costs less $1,000,000 target cost)

\* $ thousands omitted
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1.25 Fixed-price contracts with prospective price redetermination. Per FAR 16.205, this contract establishes a firm fixed price for an initial number of units or for an initial period of performance, with prospective price redeterminations at stated intervals during the remaining period of performance.

1.26 Fixed-ceiling-price contracts with retroactive price redetermination. Per FAR 16.206, this contract establishes a ceiling price and retroactive price redetermination (within the ceiling price) after the completion of the contract, based on costs incurred, with consideration given to management ingenuity and effectiveness.

1.27 Firm fixed-price level-of-effort term contracts. Per FAR 16.207, this is a contract usually calling for investigation or study in a specific research and development area. It obligates the contractor to devote a specified level of effort over a stated period of time for a fixed dollar amount.

Cost-Reimbursement Contracts

1.28 Cost contracts. Per FAR 16.302, this contract provides for the contractor to be reimbursed for allowable incurred costs with no provision to give the contractor any profit or fee.

1.29 Cost-sharing contracts. Per FAR 16.303, this contract specifies that the contractor is reimbursed only for an agreed portion of costs incurred to perform with no provision for a contractor fee.

1.30 Cost-plus-award-fee contracts. Per FAR 16.305, a cost-reimbursement contract that provides for a fee consisting of (a) a base amount (which may be zero) fixed at inception of the contract and (b) an award amount, based upon a judgmental evaluation sufficient to provide motivation for excellence in contract performance.

1.31 Cost-plus-incentive-fee contracts. Per FAR 16.304, a cost-reimbursement contract that provides for an initially negotiated fee to be adjusted later by a formula based on the relationship of total allowable costs to total target costs.

1.32 Cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts. Per FAR 16.306, a cost-reimbursement contract that provides for payment to the contractor of a negotiated fee that is fixed at the inception of the contract. The fixed fee does not vary with actual cost, but may be adjusted as a result of changes in the work to be performed under the contract. This contract type permits contracting for efforts that might otherwise present too great a risk to contractors, but it provides the contractor only a minimum incentive to control costs. The negotiated fee arrangements are specified in cost-reimbursement contracts. Such fees have statutory limits (FAR Subsections 15.404-4(c)(4)(i) and 16.301-3).

Other Types of Contracts and Arrangements

1.33 Indefinite delivery contracts. Per FAR 16.500, these types of contracts are used when the exact time of delivery or the quantities to be delivered are unknown at the time the contract is executed. Following are three types of indefinite delivery contracts:

a. A definite quantity contract provides a specified amount of goods or services to be delivered over a fixed period, with delivery, or performance, being made at locations designated in the particular order (see FAR 16.502).
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b. A requirements contract provides for filling all actual requirements of procuring activities for supplies and services during a specified contract period. The government places a single contract and agrees that all of the requirements will be ordered from that single contract. The contract estimates total quantities of goods or services, but funds are obligated by each individual order and not by the contract itself (see FAR 16.503).

c. An indefinite quantity contract provides for an indefinite quantity of goods or services, within stated limits, during a specified period, with deliveries scheduled when orders are placed with the contractor. This type of contract is used when the government does not know in advance the precise quantities it will need and enables the government to commit itself only for a minimum quantity (see FAR 16.504).

1.34 Time and materials (T&M) and labor hour contracts. Per FAR 16.601, under a T&M contract, the contractor is paid fixed hourly rates for direct-labor hours expended under specified labor categories. The labor rates include direct-labor costs, indirect expenses, and profit. Materials or other specified costs are usually reimbursed at actual costs plus allocable indirect costs. A labor hour contract is similar to a T&M contract except that materials are not supplied by the contractor (see FAR 16.602).

1.35 Letter contracts. Per FAR 16.603, a letter contract may be used to authorize the contractor to start work before the definitive contract is awarded. The final contract should be negotiated at the earliest possible date; however, this does not generally exceed 180 days from the date of the letter contract or completion of 40 percent of the product or service covered in the contract.

1.36 Basic ordering agreements and blanket purchase agreements. Per FAR 16.703, a basic ordering agreement (BOA) is a written instrument of understanding between a contractor and a procuring agency describing the supplies or services the contractor will provide and the method for determining the price to be paid. The agreement sets forth the terms and conditions of delivery and the procuring activities that may issue purchase orders pursuant to the basic agreement. Each order incorporates, by reference, the provisions of the BOA and becomes a binding contract. A blanket purchase agreement (BPA) is a simplified method used by the government for filling anticipated repetitive needs for supplies or services by establishing a “charge account” with qualified sources of supply. Individual purchases under BPAs cannot exceed the dollar limitation for simplified acquisition and do not justify sole source purchasing. Orders issued under BPAs are considered contracts. (See FAR 13.303.)

1.37 Grants. Although the government awards contracts to acquire goods and services from commercial organizations, grant agreements are used to establish relationships with state and local governments and other not-for-profit organizations. The primary purpose of a grant is to effect a transfer of money, property, services, or anything of value from the federal government to the grantee to carry out specified programs, services, or activities. These are essentially cost-reimbursement instruments governed by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars that establish uniform cost principles (see the “Cost Principles” section in chapter 2).

1.38 Cooperative agreement. A cooperative agreement is neither a grant nor a procurement contract. Rather, it is an agreement under which the
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The government agrees to share in the responsibility for performance and cost of performance. An example of a cooperative agreement would be an agreement between the federal government and a nonfederal governmental entity to develop a project. The project requirements are jointly determined and progress is jointly monitored. Awards for work performance typically are entered into between the nonfederal entity and subrecipients. The costs may be shared, in predetermined ratios, between the federal and nonfederal entities.

1.39 Other Transactions. Other transactions are transactions other than standard procurement contracts, grants or cooperative agreements. They are not subject to most procurement laws and regulations. Other transactions have been statutorily authorized for research and prototypes.

Contract Clauses

1.40 The procurement regulations require numerous clauses to be included in government contracts (FAR Subpart 52.2). Many of the clauses directly affect the amount paid by the government for the work performed. Other clauses address socioeconomic issues unrelated to the procurement function. Because many clauses are incorporated into the contract by reference, it is important for the contractor to know the requirements. A violation of a clause may have serious consequences; for example, a contract may be terminated and the contractor held liable for any related damages suffered by the government. Many of the clauses are specifically required by law. For those clauses mandated by legislation, even their omission from the contract does not release the contractor from complying with their requirements. The following are examples of types of clauses that may be included in a contract that typically would be expected to have financial statement implications:

- Allowable cost and payment. Included in cost-reimbursement contracts, this clause provides for reimbursement of incurred costs allowable under the applicable cost principles and contract terms. It also outlines the conditions under which actual payments are made (FAR Subsection 52.216-7).

- Audit and records—negotiation. This clause (FAR Subsection 52.215-2), which is included in virtually all negotiated contracts, addresses the maintenance of contractor books and records, as well as the government’s right to review such records. See paragraph 1.44 for a more complete discussion. The clause states that the contractor shall maintain books and records sufficient to allow the contracting officer to determine allowable incurred costs on cost-reimbursement contracts. It also grants the contracting officer the right to review the contractor’s books and records in order to evaluate the accuracy, completeness, and currency of any cost or pricing data submitted in connection with a pricing action. It further grants the Comptroller General the right to examine directly pertinent records involving transactions related to the contract.

- Limitation of cost (funds). These clauses state that the contractor shall notify the contracting officer of any significant cost overruns or underruns within 60 days of the time when 75 percent of the estimated cost or funds obligated have been incurred. The “Limitation of Costs” clause is used in fully funded cost reimbursement-type contracts, whereas the “Limitation of Funds” clause usually
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is inserted in incrementally funded cost reimbursement-type contracts (FAR Subsections 52.232-20 and 52.232-22).

- **Changes.** These clauses permit the government to unilaterally make alterations at any time to the contract requirements, provided the changes are within the general scope of the contract. The clauses also obligate the government to adjust the contract price or the delivery schedule to reflect the impact of the change (FAR Subsection 52.243).

- **Price reduction for defective cost or pricing data.** This clause applies when the contractor is required to submit cost or pricing data in connection with a pricing action. If the contractor fails to disclose to the government at the time of price agreement all the significant cost or pricing data used in developing the proposal, or if the data submitted were not current, accurate, and complete, the government may reduce the negotiated price to reflect this violation. This clause also mandates the contractor to certify that the requirements have been met and gives the government an administrative remedy (price adjustment) if the certification is deficient (FAR Subsections 52.215-10 and 52.215-11).

- **Termination for convenience.** These clauses (FAR Subsections 52.249-1–52.249-7 and -12) give the government the unilateral right to cancel the contract whenever the buying agency deems the cancellation is in the public interest. However, the government is obligated to reimburse the contractor for all completed units and for incurred costs on work-in-progress and "profit associated with the terminated effort."

- **Termination for default.** When the contractor fails to comply with a significant contract requirement, fails to make timely deliveries, or fails to make adequate progress so as to endanger performance, these clauses (FAR Subsection 52.249-6–52.249-10) permit the government to terminate the contract. Termination for default of a fixed-price contract may have serious implications, as further discussed in paragraph 1.64.

- **Disputes clause.** This clause provides a mechanism for timely settlements while ensuring fair and equitable treatments to both parties (FAR Subsection 52.233-1). The disputes clause implements the Contract Disputes Act of 1978, as amended (41 U.S.C. 601–613) which attempts to provide a comprehensive system of legal and administrative remedies in resolving government contract claims.

- **Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) clauses.** All contracts subject to Cost Accounting Standards Board (CASB) regulations shall include a clause that sets forth the obligations imposed on the contractor. The clauses distinguish between full coverage (FAR Subsection 52.230-2) and modified coverage (FAR Subsection 52.230-3). The CAS clause included in awards to educational institutions is found at FAR Subsection 52.230-5. The CAS administration clause (FAR Subsection 52.230-6) is included in all CAS covered contracts.

- **Penalties for unallowable costs.** Under FAR Subsection 52.242-3, a contractor may be assessed a penalty if the final indirect cost rate proposal includes expressly unallowable costs. The penalty may
equal the amount of the disallowed indirect cost allocation to the contract plus simple interest. If an indirect cost previously determined to be unallowable is included in the final indirect cost rate proposal, a penalty equal to twice the amount of the unallowable indirect cost allocated to the contract may be assessed.

- **Socioeconomic clauses.** Government contracts include certain socioeconomic clauses requiring contractors to meet specified requirements with respect to social issues often unrelated to the procurement process. Although these clauses are not directly related to procurement, they do relate to the government’s overall function; therefore, failure to comply with these clauses carries the same penalties as other violations of contract terms. Contract clauses involving social issues may have wide-ranging objectives and include such requirements as equal opportunity in employment (FAR Subsection 52.222-26), equal opportunity for disabled veterans and veterans of the Vietnam era and other eligible veterans (FAR Subsection 52.222-35), affirmative action for workers with disabilities (FAR Subsection 52.222-36), payment of at least minimum wages to service workers (FAR Subsection 52.222-41), prohibitions against the use of convicts for contract performance (FAR Subsection 52.222-3), utilization of small and small disadvantaged businesses (FAR Subsections 52.219-8 and 52.219-9, respectively—see the “Subcontracts” section of this chapter), and preferences concerning the purchase of material (FAR Subsections 52.225-1, -3, -5, -9, and -11).

1.41 **Federal supply schedule (FSS) contracting.** The government awards contracts for certain services and for goods that generally are not manufactured to government specifications but are, instead, off-the-shelf items sold in substantial quantities to the general public. The FSS Program, directed and managed by GSA, gives federal agencies a simplified process for obtaining commonly used supplies and services in varying quantities while obtaining discounts associated with volume buying. Indefinite delivery contracts are awarded competitively and provide for the government to purchase supplies and services at stated prices for given periods of time. The buying office then publishes a schedule providing the information needed by other federal agencies to place orders with the contractors. See FAR Part 8.4 and FAR Part 8.002 for additional information related to the FSS Program.

1.42 If the FSS covers contracts awarded to just one supplier, it is called a single-award schedule. A multiple-award schedule (MAS) is based on contracts awarded to more than one supplier for delivery of comparable commercial supplies and services.

1.43 Negotiated prices for MAS contracts generally are below the contractor’s established catalog prices and are not higher than the prices given to the contractor’s most favored customer (MFC) under similar terms and conditions. The “price adjustment—failure to provide accurate information clause” (General Services Administration Acquisition Manual [GSAM] Subsection 552.215-72) GSAM provides for a price reduction for failure to disclose current, complete and accurate discount data prior to award of the MAS contract. During contract performance, the price reductions clause (GSAM Subsection 552.238-75) states that the contractor shall maintain the same relative price/discount parity between the MAS contract and an identified “award class of customer.” If the
contractor changes the commercial pricing relationships with the award class of customer and thus disturbs that pricing relationship, the MAS contract is subject to a price reduction.

Government Audits and Other Reviews

1.44 One of the distinctive features of federal contracts is the audit clause that gives the contracting officer and the Comptroller General the right to review certain contractor books and records. The clause (FAR Subsection 52.215-2) in part states the following:

a. Examination of costs. If this is a cost-reimbursement, incentive, time-and-material, labor-hour, or price redeterminable contract, or any combination of these, the contractor shall maintain and the contracting officer, or an authorized representative of the contracting officer, shall have the right to examine and audit all records and other evidence sufficient to reflect properly all costs claimed to have been incurred or anticipated to be incurred directly or indirectly in performance of this contract. This right of examination shall include inspection at all reasonable times of the contractor’s plants, or parts of them, engaged in performance of the contract.

b. Cost or pricing data. If the contractor has been required to submit cost or pricing data in connection with any pricing action relating to this contract, the contracting officer, or an authorized representative of the contracting officer, in order to evaluate the accuracy, completeness, and currency of the cost or pricing data, shall have the right to examine and audit all of the contractor’s records, including computations and projections, related to (1) the proposal for the contract, subcontract, or modification; (2) the discussions conducted on the proposal(s), including those related to negotiating; (3) pricing of the contract, subcontract, or modification; or (4) performance of the contract, subcontract or modification.

c. Comptroller General. The Comptroller General of the United States, or an authorized representative, shall have access to and the right to examine any of the contractor’s directly pertinent records involving transactions related to this contract or a subcontract hereunder.

1.45 The federal government contract audit agencies, the largest of which is the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA), are responsible for providing financial and accounting advice to federal government procurement officials. Procurement officials may also call upon agency inspectors general (IGs) or CPA firms under contract to perform this service. The contracting officer may request field pricing support, which includes a government evaluation of an offeror’s proposal prior to negotiation of a contract or modification (FAR Subsection 15.404-2). The contract auditor also serves as the contracting officer’s representative in the review of contractor accounting records and provides advisory comments and recommendations to the contracting officer. While the contract audit opinions are advisory, internal government follow-up procedures have been established to assure appropriate consideration and action taken on audit recommendations.

1.46 To provide the contracting officer with financial and accounting advice, the contract auditor performs various reviews, such as the following:
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- **Preword survey.** Financial capability and accounting system surveys are performed to assess the prospective contractor’s financial soundness, as well as the adequacy of the accounting system to accumulate the type of cost information required by the contract.

- **Forward pricing proposals evaluation.** The contract auditor evaluates cost estimates in the contractor’s contract-pricing proposal for allocability, reasonableness, and allowability. These government audits may be directed at specific procurement actions or may involve prospective cost rates that may be used to estimate costs on future procurement actions.

- **Postaward review of cost or pricing data.** This is the government’s terminology for reviews intended to test compliance with PL 87-653, commonly known as The Truth in Negotiations Act. This legislation states that the contractor shall provide the government with accurate, current, and complete cost or pricing data when negotiating contracts subject to PL 87-653. To the extent that the contractor does not comply with the provisions, thereby increasing the contract price, the government is entitled to a corresponding price reduction for the so-called “defective pricing.”

- **Incurred cost audit.** This government audit focuses on the allowability of direct and indirect costs billed to the government on contracts providing for cost reimbursement or settlement of final prices based on costs incurred.

- **Cost accounting standards compliance and adequacy reviews.** The purpose of the compliance review is to determine whether the contractor’s accounting practices conform to the standards promulgated by the CASB. The adequacy reviews are designed to determine whether the description of the cost-accounting practices contained in the company’s CAS Disclosure Statement is accurate, current, and complete.

- **System reviews.** These audits cover systems related to federal contract pricing, costing, and billing, such as estimating systems, labor reporting systems and billing systems.

- **Terminated contract audits.** When a contract or subcontract is partially or completely terminated, the termination contracting officer shall submit all contractor settlement proposals over $100,000 to the appropriate audit agency for examination and recommendations concerning the allocability, allowability, and reasonableness of costs. (FAR Subpart 49.107).

- **Claim audits.** These audits include evaluations of requests for equitable adjustment and claims to be resolved under the Contract Disputes Act of 1978, as amended (41 U.S.C. 601–603).

- **Operations audits and other functional reviews.** Generally, these audit activities involve evaluating those management and operational decisions made by the contractor that affect the nature and level of costs being proposed and incurred on government contracts. These reviews usually result in the government auditor providing the company with recommendations on how to improve controls, and the economy and efficiency of contractor operations.
1.47 In summary, the fundamental purpose of a government contract audit is to determine the allowability (including reasonableness and allocability) of costs contained either in a proposed price or in a statement of costs incurred during contract performance. FAR Part 31 provides the authoritative criteria for making this determination. Furthermore, contracts provide broad access rights, and statutory inspectors general and DCAA have authority to subpoena certain contractor books, records, and other supporting documentation.

Allowability and Allocability of Costs

1.48 The concept of allowability of costs is derived primarily from the procurement regulations. For most federal agencies, FAR Part 31 contains the criteria for determining allowability, and many agencies supplement these basic criteria with FAR supplements that specify more precise rules for the respective agencies. A cost is considered allowable when it is reasonable and allocable and not prohibited by the provisions of FAR or contractual terms and conditions. (Chapter 2 discusses the concepts of allowability and allocability further.)

1.49 For many contractors, the standards promulgated by the CASB contained in 48 CFR Parts 9904 and 9905 provide the guidance for determining the allocability of costs to government contracts. FAR Part 31 also contains some basic guidance relating to allocability. Once the cost is determined to be allocable, the contract cost principles (FAR Part 31) provide the guidance for identifying which of these costs are eligible for reimbursement. Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) apply where FAR or CAS fail to address a specific element of cost. (Chapter 2 discusses both FAR and CAS more fully.)

1.50 A significant portion of the contract pricing under negotiated procurements is cost-based. Furthermore, the accounting method used in pricing negotiated contracts is full-absorption costing. Therefore, all allowable and allocable costs ordinarily should be identified in conformity with applicable procedures so that reimbursement may be obtained.

1.51 The government does not require contractors to restructure their accounting systems to accommodate the full absorption concept. Therefore, memorandum records may be used to make the allocations. For example, some companies do not include general and administrative (G&A) expenses in work-in-process inventory. Contractors are permitted to use memorandum records to make the allocation because those costs are allocable and allowable. However, the memorandum records are subject to audit and, therefore, should be reconcilable to the formal accounting records.

Financing the Contract

1.52 The need for financing is not considered a negative factor in awarding contracts. As a matter of policy, when necessary and appropriate to do so, the government provides contractors with interest-free financing. The types of financing available in a specific situation depend on the nature of the contract, the needs of the contractor, and the statutory restrictions imposed by Congress. As addressed in FAR Part 32, the most common methods of financing available are the following:

a. Progress payments. Contracts other than construction requiring the use of a significant amount of contractor working capital generally
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provide for interim payments as the work progresses. These payments are based on cost incurred. The progress payments are then liquidated against actual deliveries of contract items. This form of financing applies only to fixed-price type contracts and is, by far, the most common form of contract financing (FAR Subpart 32.5).

b. Advance payments. Advance payments are essentially loans authorized for all types of contracts and subcontracts. They are available to contractors whose developmental or preliminary costs are so significant that the contractor may face undue financial hardship before earning any return on the contract. Advance payments are available to prime contractors for the purpose of making similar advances to subcontractors (FAR Subpart 32.4).

c. Guaranteed loans. The government may enter into an agreement with a private financial institution to guarantee all or a portion of a loan made to a contractor engaged in national defense. The government becomes obligated to share with the lender any losses on the loan up to the guaranteed percentage (FAR Subpart 32.3).

d. Commercial item purchase financing. FAR Subpart 32.2 permits contract financing of commercial purchases under certain conditions. The clause in FAR Subsection 52.232-29 applies unless agency regulations permit alterations.

e. Performance-based payments. Performance based payments, outlined in FAR Subpart 32.10 are permitted for noncommercial purchases. Performance-based payments may be based on quantifiable measures of performance or results or on accomplishment of specified milestones.

1.53 Alternatively, a contractor may elect to obtain financing from a private lending institution and assign contractual payments to the financial institution, which are then repaid directly by the government. (FAR Subpart 32.8).

Profit

1.54 The government has an established policy relating to the negotiation of contract fee or profit in price negotiations whenever offerors are required to submit cost or pricing data prior to contract award. Prenegotiation fee objectives, according to the regulations, are to be used as a “motivator of efficient and effective contract performance.” Pursuant to FAR Subsection 15.404-4[b][1][i], contracting activities shall use structured approaches for determining profit or fee prenegotiation objectives in acquisitions that require cost analysis. Specific profit models are contained in the Agency FAR supplements. For example, the Department of Defense weighted-guidelines method application is outlined in Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) 215.404-71. The weighted-guidelines method is designed to tailor prenegotiated profit or fee objectives to the circumstances of each contract. It provides fairly precise factors to apply to various components of the cost proposal to develop the prenegotiated profit or fee objectives for an individual procurement. As mentioned previously, however, there are statutorily mandated fee limitations on cost-reimbursement contracts (FAR Subsection 154.404-4[c][4][i]).
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Subcontracts

1.55 Companies serving as subcontractors, at all tiers, are generally subject to the same terms and conditions that apply to the federal prime contractors. The prime contractor, or higher-tier subcontractor, is responsible for administering the respective subcontracts. This includes performing audits of subcontract prices and compliance with contractual requirements, such as CAS, cost and pricing data, and progress payment provisions.

1.56 Understandably, subcontractors are often reluctant to allow prime contractors to review their books and records. The government, in recognizing this sensitivity, may perform these reviews in lieu of the prime contractor. However, the prime contractor still remains contractually liable for its subcontractors’ compliance with applicable procurement rules and regulations. The government has the right to reduce the prime contract price for subcontractor violations. The prime contractor is then faced with obtaining indemnification from the subcontractor for losses suffered as a result of the subcontractor’s failure to comply with any procurement regulation.

1.57 Unique to government contracts is that prime contracts meeting certain dollar thresholds must contain a positive plan for awarding subcontracts to small business concerns (FAR Subpart 19.7). Additionally, the Small Business Act of 1953, as amended, established direct procurement responsibilities for each procuring agency with socially and economically disadvantaged firms under Section 8(a) of the act. The program is more commonly known as the 8(a) program. Actually, the procuring agency enters a tripartite agreement with the Small Business Administration and the socially and economically disadvantaged firm. These 8(a) procurements are, by definition, negotiated (FAR Subpart 19.8).

Contract Performance

1.58 The level of monitoring of the contractor’s day-to-day operations by the government is determined by the nature of the pricing arrangement negotiated. For fixed-price contracts, the level of government surveillance may be limited. When progress payments are made based on costs incurred, the contracting officer may exercise the contractual right to review the accounting books and records to validate the calculation of the requests for payments. Tests of compliance with CAS and the Truth in Negotiations Act also may be performed.

1.59 If a cost reimbursement arrangement covers the work, a contractor must maintain a cost accounting system that accumulates costs for the contract. The government, on an interim basis, may review the contractor’s books and records to determine the allowability of the costs being incurred and billed. This is a very important process, because the recording of actual financial transactions in the accounting system directly affects the amount the contractor will receive.

1.60 A contractor shall execute a Certification of Final Indirect Costs prior to establishing final indirect cost rates applicable to flexibly priced contracts. The certificate, contained in FAR Subsection 52.242-4, warrants that the indirect cost rate proposal has been reviewed and is believed to exclude all expressly unallowable costs.
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1.61 Contracts for major defense system acquisitions may require extensive reporting of contract performance in compliance with DoD earned value management systems criteria, as outlined in DFARS Subsection 242.1106 and DoD Instruction DoDI 5000.2 “Operation of the Defense Acquisition System.”

Contract Settlement

1.62 Normally, contracts are settled after successful completion of the required work. For flexibly priced orders, a final price is negotiated based on actual allowable costs incurred and the price-setting mechanisms (for example, incentive, cost-sharing, and price ceilings) included in the contract. To settle a cost reimbursement contract, the contracting officer obtains an opinion from the contract auditor on the allowability of the costs claimed by the company. Using the contract auditor’s report, the contracting officer, in most instances, reaches a final agreement with the contractor. If a settlement is not achieved through discussions between the contracting officer and the contractor, the contracting officer may render a unilateral decision; the contractor may then appeal that decision to the agency’s administrative contract appeals board or the federal courts.

1.63 Firm fixed-price contracts are settled after all deliveries are made and payments received consistent with the negotiated price. Incurred costs are not considered for this type of pricing arrangement.

1.64 In addition to the settlement arrangements previously discussed, termination of government contracts for either convenience of the government or default by the contractor is possible (see related discussion regarding contract termination for convenience and termination for default in the “Contract Clauses” section of this chapter). The authority to exercise these terminations stems from the termination clauses contained in the contract. Unlike commercial contracts, the government may terminate a contract for its convenience and not risk significant financial penalties, such as liability for the total original price of the terminated contract. In these situations, the contractor’s recovery rights in convenience terminations are limited usually to costs incurred and, depending on the contract type, some amount of profit. On the other hand, under fixed-price type contracts, terminations for contractor default may require the contractor to bear considerable financial hardship. For example, the contractor will be paid at the contract price only for completed and accepted items. The government will not make payment for costs incurred on undelivered work. In addition, any advances or progress payments received by the contractor on the terminated portion of the contract shall be repaid. Finally, the contractor is liable for any additional costs the government incurs in acquiring the terminated contract items from another source. Default terminations on cost-reimbursement-type contracts allow for only contractor recovery of incurred costs. The penalty is that the fee is paid only for acceptable work, and the contractor is not reimbursed for terminated settlement costs. (Chapters 2 and 3 cover regulations pertaining to contract terminations and the related accounting treatment, respectively.) To the extent that a contract termination results in exit or disposal activities, for example, if the contractor is terminated for convenience or default of the contract, the contractor may have to terminate employees or subcontracts. FASB Statement No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities.
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1.65 There are two important oversight functions related to federal government contracting: the Government Accountability Office (GAO) and the Offices of the Inspector General (OIGs). The GAO is an agent of Congress and conducts reviews necessary to evaluate all the activities in the executive departments, including procurement. GAO’s focus is to ascertain whether the executive agencies are properly implementing the laws passed by Congress. The GAO’s examination authority is granted through the audit and records-negotiation clause (FAR Subsection 52.215-2 paragraph \(d\)). The OIG’s examination authority is derived from the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended. The OIG operates as an oversight function within the agency for which it was established. In connection with its review of the procurement process, OIG has been granted administrative subpoena authority to assure access to the books and records of government contractors. Note that, for some government agencies, the OIG has contract audit responsibility.
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Chapter 2

Federal Acquisition Legislation and Regulations

Overview

Federal Procurement Statutes

2.01 After World War II various statutes were enacted to provide the general policies and procedural framework on which modern federal procurement is based. The Armed Services Procurement Act of 1947 (10 U.S.C. 62 Stat 21) governs supplies and services procured by the military services and the Coast Guard. Procurements by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) are governed by the National Aeronautics and Space Act, Public Law (PL) 85-568. The Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (PL 81-152) applies to property and services procured by executive agencies, other than Department of Defense, Coast Guard, NASA, and any other agency that has a separate procurement statute.

2.02 The Competition in Contract Act (CICA), enacted in 1985 as Title VII of PL 98-368, amended the Armed Services Procurement Act and the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act to emphasize the importance of competition. Under CICA, non-competitive proposals may be used only in the following specific circumstances:

a. Only one responsible source, or a limited number of responsible sources, are available and no other type of property or services will satisfy the agency’s needs.

b. There is such an unusual and compelling urgency for the federal government to obtain the goods or services that the number of sources must be limited.

c. The award to a particular source is necessary to 1) maintain the source in case of national emergency, 2) achieve industrial mobilization, or 3) establish or maintain an essential engineering, research or development facility.

d. The goods and services are to be provided by an international agreement that effectively requires use of other than competitive procedures.

e. Procurements from a specified source are authorized or required by federal statute.

f. It is necessary to limit the number of sources for reasons of national security.

g. Limiting the number of sources is in the public interest.

2.03 Congress annually approves the purpose and use of moneys appropriated to fund procurement.

2.04 Congress has also been quite active over the years in enacting procurement-related laws, including:
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- Truth in Negotiations Act (TINA), PL 87-653, which provides for the disclosure of current, accurate, and complete cost or pricing data prior to establishment of the contract price of certain contracts.

- Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act Amendments of 1988, PL 100-679, which contain provisions designed to apply cost accounting standards to promote consistency and uniformity in contractor cost accounting and to safeguard the integrity of the procurement process.

- Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (FASA), PL 103-355, was designed to revise the acquisition laws of the Federal government. FASA made a number of significant changes in the Federal procurement system, including an increased emphasis on the use of commercial methods for procuring goods and services and a decrease in the use of cost-type contracting, thereby reducing situations requiring the submission of cost or pricing data.

- Federal Acquisition Reform Act of 1996 (FARA), PL 104-106 (which, along with the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996 [PL 105-85] is now referred to as the Clinger-Cohen Act). FARA significantly broadened the use of price-based acquisition rather than cost-based acquisition and streamlined the procurement of commercial items.

- The Service Acquisition Reform Act of 2003, PL 108-136, was designed to promote the use of commercial practices and performance-based contracting for the acquisition of services.

The latter three statutes substantially reduced the volume of federal contracts subject to TINA, thus freeing many contractors from the regulatory obligation to submit cost or pricing data.

Acquisition Regulations

2.05 In 1949, the Department of Defense (DoD) implemented the Armed Services Procurement Act through the Armed Services Procurement Regulation. Subsequently, the Federal Procurement Regulations (FPR) were established by the Administrator of the General Services Administration to implement the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act and other procurement statutes of nondefense agencies. In 1978, the DoD changed the name of its regulation to the Defense Acquisition Regulation (DAR).

2.06 Because significant differences existed between the various regulations, the Office of Federal Procurement Policy embarked on a project in 1978 to develop a uniform acquisition regulation. Effective April 1, 1984, the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) was issued as chapter 1 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation System (Title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations [CFR]) to replace the DAR, FPR, and NASA Procurement Regulation.

2.07 The FAR process provides for two councils to be responsible for the regulations: DAR Council chaired by the DoD and the Civilian Agency Acquisition Council (CAAC) chaired by the General Services Administration (GSA). The DAR Council is responsible for the majority of the FAR parts including FAR Part 30, "Cost Accounting Standards Administration," and Part 31, "Contract Cost Principles and Procedures." The CAAC is responsible for other selected FAR sections. In addition, PL 100-679 established a FAR Council comprising
Federal Acquisition Legislation and Regulations

the administrators of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP), NASA, GSA, and the Secretary of Defense. This council oversees the FAR process and reviews procurement regulations by individual agencies to assure consistency with the FAR.

2.08 Authority and purpose. The purpose of FAR is to establish a single regulation for use by all executive agencies in their acquisition of supplies and services with appropriated funds. The major objectives of FAR are to (a) produce a clear, understandable document that maximizes uniformity in the acquisition process, (b) reduce the proliferation of agency acquisition regulations, (c) implement recommendations made by the Commission on Government Procurement, the Federal Paperwork Commission, various congressional groups, and others, and (d) facilitate agency, industry, and public participation in the development and maintenance of FAR.

2.09 The FAR is maintained jointly by DoD, GSA, and NASA under their statutory authorities for issuing procurement regulations.

2.10 Contents. The FAR contains 53 parts, grouped into the following subchapters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subchapter</th>
<th>FAR Part No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5-12</td>
<td>Competition and Acquisition Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>13-18</td>
<td>Contracting Methods and Contract Types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>19-26</td>
<td>Socioeconomic Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>27-33</td>
<td>General Contracting Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>34-41</td>
<td>Special Categories of Contracting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>42-51</td>
<td>Contract Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Clauses and Forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Forms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency Supplements

2.11 Authority and purpose. Government agencies may issue acquisition regulations that supplement FAR. They may provide agency-wide policies, procedures, contract clauses, and solicitation provisions that govern the contracting process or control the relationship between the agency and the contractors. Many agencies have issued agency supplements, a few of which are listed in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>FAR System Chapter</th>
<th>Title of Supplement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Defense</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Defense FAR Supplement (DFARS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services Administration</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>General Services Administration Manual (GSAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>AID Acquisition Regulation (AIDAR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Energy</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dept. of Energy Acquisition Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NASA FAR Supplement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Agencies are admonished not to unnecessarily repeat, paraphrase, or otherwise restate material contained in the FAR, or conflict or be inconsistent with FAR content. However, because of the proliferation of agency FAR supplements, acquisition regulations are far from uniform.

2.12 Contents. The FAR supplements contain substantial guidance beyond that found in the FAR, for example with regard to profit policy and estimating system requirements. The FAR supplements contain fewer but still significant differences with the FAR regarding cost principles. For example, DFARS contains coverage on the allowability of external restructuring costs.

Cost Accounting Standards

2.13 History. Congressional concern was first expressed in 1968 over increased defense procurement, lack of guidance on cost accounting, and inconsistencies in accounting for the cost of contracts. As a result, Congress enacted PL 90-370, an amendment of the Defense Production Act, which directed the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to study the feasibility of establishing uniform cost accounting standards (CAS) to govern the negotiation of defense contracts and subcontracts. In 1970, the GAO reported to Congress that cost accounting standards developed to achieve a higher degree of uniformity and consistency in accounting for government contracts were feasible, although a uniform accounting system was not feasible.

2.14 The original Cost Accounting Standards Board (CASB) was created in 1970 by PL 91-379, also an amendment of the Defense Production Act. The law established the CASB as an agent of Congress. The legislation mandated the CASB to develop standards increasing uniformity and consistency and to determine that the benefits from imposing standards exceeded the costs of implementing those standards. The original CASB promulgated nineteen standards covering consistency in accounting, allocation of indirect costs, fixed-asset accounting, accounting for material costs, and accounting for labor-related costs. In 1980, Congress declined to provide further funding for the CASB.

2.15 In 1988, PL 100-679 reestablished the CASB under the OFPP, with responsibility to amend, rescind, or interpret the previously promulgated standards, rules and regulations, as well as to promulgate new standards, rules, and regulations. The standards promulgated by both the original and second CASBs are intended to cover only the measurement of cost, the assignment of cost to accounting periods, and the allocation of costs to cost objectives. Therefore, they do not cover allowability, which establishes limitations on cost or defines reimbursable costs.

2.16 Applicability. The current thresholds for CAS applicability are as follows. Business units are exempt from CAS coverage unless a single CAS-covered contract or subcontract of at least $7.5 million (referred to as the trigger contract) is awarded. After receipt of the trigger contract, CAS coverage is then applied to negotiated awards over $650,000. Exempt from CAS are:

- Sealed bid awards
- Awards to small business
- Awards for which prices are based on law or regulation
- Acquisitions of commercial items awarded at a firm fixed-price or fixed-price with economic price adjustment
• Firm-fixed-price contracts or subcontracts awarded on the basis of adequate price competition without submission or cost or pricing data
• Awards to be executed and performed outside the U.S., its territories and possessions
• Awards to foreign governments or their agents or instrumentalities (except for the application of CAS 401 and CAS 402).

2.17 The regulations provide for full coverage, that is, compliance with all the promulgated standards when a covered contract of $50 million or more is received or when the business unit received $50 million or more in net CAS-covered awards in the preceding cost accounting period. Modified coverage applies if the $50 million threshold is not met.

2.18 Administration. FAR Part 30 covers the administration of CAS. It also provides guidance for applicable solicitation provisions to be included in requests for proposals. Companies shall indicate in proposals for negotiated contracts whether CAS coverage, either modified or full, is applicable. Companies shall also indicate whether a disclosure statement is required and has been filed. (See the section on disclosure statements later in this chapter.)

2.19 In addition, FAR Part 30 includes coverage on changes in cost accounting practice. CAS requires consistency in accounting practice over time; therefore, a change in cost accounting is subject to a required procedure for negotiation of the cost impact of the change. FAR Part 30 requires advance notification of a proposed change by a contractor and submission of a statement indicating the effect on covered government contracts. FAR Part 30 also provides guidance for the review of the contractor's proposed change and negotiation of the effect. The government may withhold up to 10 percent of subsequent payment requests from a contractor for not filing an impact statement.

Cost Principles

2.20 The cost principles are included in FAR Part 31. FAR Section 31.103 concludes that all contracts and contract modifications for supplies and services or experimental, developmental, or research work, negotiated on the basis of cost with commercial organizations (whether on a fixed-price or cost-plus basis), shall adhere to the cost principles. FAR Subsection 31.103 states that cost principles shall be used in pricing negotiated supply, service, experimental, developmental, and research contracts and contract modifications whenever cost analysis is to be performed in the procurement process. In addition, compliance with cost principles is mandatory when—

• Determining reimbursable costs under cost-reimbursement contracts, including any cost-reimbursement subcontracts, and the cost-reimbursement portion of time and materials contracts.
• Negotiating indirect cost rates.
• Proposing, negotiating, and determining costs under terminated fixed-price, cost-reimbursement contracts.
• Establishing the final price of fixed-price incentive contracts.
• Redetermining prices of prospective and retroactive price redetermination contracts.
• Pricing changes in contract modifications.
2.21 Guidance on cost principles applicable to contracts and subcontracts with other than commercial organizations is addressed in the appropriate Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars. Circular A-21, *Cost Principles for Educational Institutions*, provides the cost principles for educational institutions; Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments*, provides the cost principles for state and local governments; and Circular A-122, *Cost Principles for Non-Profit Organizations*, provides the cost principles for nonprofit organizations. Certain large not-for-profit organizations, particularly those receiving significant defense contracts, are exempt from the provisions of Circular A-122 but shall comply with FAR Subpart 31.2. In addition to the cost principles, Circular A-110, *Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Other Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals and Other Non-Profit Organizations*, provides uniform administrative requirements for grants to educational institutions, hospitals, and other not-for-profit organizations. 45 CFR Part 74 appendix E provides the principles for determining costs applicable to research and development under grants and contracts with hospitals.

2.22 The cost principles provide an explanation of the factors that determine allowability. Such factors include reasonableness, allocability, standards issued by the CASB, if applicable, or generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and practice, terms of the contract, and other limitations imposed by FAR Subpart 31.2. The cost principles further define reasonableness and allocability. (The effects of each of the factors on allowability are covered later in this chapter in the section on "Contract Cost Regulations."

2.23 The cost principles describe direct and indirect costs and provide guidance on the allocation of indirect costs. However, the guidance is limited because it states that indirect costs shall be accumulated in logical cost groupings and then allocated on the basis of benefits accruing to the several cost objectives. The principal criteria for selection of an allocation base are that the base should be common to all benefiting cost objectives and that it should permit allocation reflecting the beneficial relationship. The cost principles state that cost accounting standards shall be followed, when applicable. Cost accounting standards contain significantly more guidance on cost allocation than found in FAR Subpart 31.2.

2.24 FAR Section 31.205 provides guidance on the allowability and reasonableness of selected items of cost, including the following items that are unallowable:

- Airfare in excess of customary standard
- Alcoholic beverages
- Depreciation and cost of money related to asset revaluations resulting from business combinations
- Bad debts
- Contributions and donations
- Entertainment
- Executive compensation in excess of established ceilings
- Federal income taxes
- Fines and penalties
- Goodwill
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- Certain costs related to legal and other proceedings
- Interest on borrowings
- Lobbying costs
- Losses on other contracts
- Memberships in social organizations
- Organizational costs
- Patent costs not required by contract
- Certain professional services
- Certain training and education costs
- Certain idle facilities and idle capacity costs

2.25 This section also provides substantial guidance on the allowability and reasonableness of certain significant cost items, for example, compensation and related costs, fixed-asset costs, rental costs, insurance, taxes, termination costs, selling costs, bid and proposal costs, and independent research and development costs.¹

Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

2.26 OMB has several responsibilities assigned to it for the regulation and administration of government procurement. Two of its operating units are the OFPP and the Office of Federal Financial Management (OFFM).

2.27 Office of Federal Procurement Policy. This office was created to provide overall direction for government procurements and establish government-wide policies that are implemented in the FAR. It also has responsibility for reviewing proposals for changes in the FAR and may act to resolve differences among the agencies responsible for maintaining the FAR. OFPP, other than its general responsibilities and oversight of the FAR system, does not provide direct regulatory or administrative guidance affecting government contractors. The OFPP Administrator, however, serves on the FAR Council, which has direct responsibility for oversight of the FAR process. In addition, the CASB is established within the OFPP and the OFPP Administrator serves as chairman of the CASB.

2.28 Office of Federal Financial Management. This division is responsible for establishing cost principles for other than commercial organizations. Through the authority of OMB circulars, the OFFM has been responsible for promulgating and administering the cost principles for educational institutions (Circular A-21), nonprofit organizations (Circular A-122), and state and local governments (Circular A-87). OFFM also has issued OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, that implements the provisions of the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996. The circular has an annually updated Compliance Supplement, the purpose of which is to assist auditors in performing the required audits.

2.29 The OMB circulars are structured much like the cost principles for commercial organizations in the FAR and provide definitions of direct and indirect costs and guidance for the allocation of indirect costs. The guidance on

¹ Government regulations are constantly under review, and, thus, frequent changes are to be expected. Therefore, access to regulations that are up-to-date is relevant, particularly regarding Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Subpart 31.2 with its list of allowability provisions. See the Web site for FARs and Cost Accounting Standards Board listed in appendix F of this guide.
indirect cost allocation is more definitive than that found in the FAR. In some cases, specific allocation pools and bases are designated by the circulars. The circulars also contain specific guidance on the allowability and reasonableness of selected individual items of cost.

**Contract Cost Regulations**

**Cost Allowability**

2.30 Allowable costs are used in flexibly priced and cost-reimbursement contracts to determine contractor revenue, which is based on aggregate allowable costs plus contract profit or fee. Accordingly, for those types of contracts, allowable costs generally have a direct effect on financial statement amounts. The following is a list of those factors contained in FAR Subsection 31.201-2 and used to determine the allowability of an incurred cost:

- Reasonableness
- Allocability
- Cost accounting standards, if applicable
- GAAP if not specifically covered by FAR and CAS
- Terms of the contract
- Limitations on allowability in FAR

2.31 Although the term *allowability* is used broadly here to include reasonableness and allocability, it may also be used more narrowly to refer to the acceptability of specific incurred costs as contract costs. FAR Section 31.205 provides guidance for contracts awarded to commercial organizations on the allowability of types of costs based on either the purpose for which incurred or the relationship of cost to contract performance. FAR Subparts 31.3 and 31.6–31.7 provide guidance on allowability for contracts awarded to: educational institutions; state, local, and federally recognized Indian tribal governments; and nonprofit organizations, respectively. Additional guidance on allowability for contracts and grants awarded to educational institutions; state, local, and federally recognized Indian tribal governments, and nonprofit organizations are contained in OMB Circular Nos. A-21, No. A-87, and No. A-122, respectively.

2.32 By definition, an unallowable cost cannot be included in progress payment requests or in the aggregate cost to be reimbursed of a flexibly priced or cost-reimbursement contract. Therefore, the incurrence of unallowable costs produces no revenues and, therefore, ultimately reduces net income or increases net loss. The amount of unallowable costs will vary from company to company and may be relatively small compared to total costs; however, it can have a significant impact on a contractor’s earnings.

2.33 CAS 405 and FAR Subsection 31.201-6 state that expressly unallowable costs or mutually agreed-to unallowable costs and directly associated costs shall be separately identified and not included in billings claims or proposals to the government. In addition, costs determined to be unallowable by a contracting officer’s final decision, which a contractor is appealing, should also be identified but may be included in billings to the government. Contractors must certify that no expressly unallowable indirect costs are included in their final indirect cost rate proposals.
2.34 The signing of a Certificate of Final Indirect Costs imposes potential civil and criminal penalties for violation of the requirement to remove unallowable indirect costs from government cost or price submissions. Therefore, controls are typically the most effective means of assuring that the certifications are not being violated. (Important components of a contractor’s internal control are discussed in chapter 4.)

2.35 Reasonableness. FAR Subsection 31.201-3 states that "a cost is reasonable if, in its nature and amount, it does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person in the conduct of competitive business." Following are other factors to consider in assessing reasonableness:

- Is the cost ordinary and necessary?
- Are there any restraints or requirements imposed on the incurrence of cost?
- Does the cost represent any significant deviation from established practices?

2.36 When the government challenges costs, the burden of proof is placed on the contractor to establish that the costs are reasonable.

2.37 Allocability. FAR Subsection 31.201-4 provides that a cost is allocable to a government contract if it is assignable on the basis of relative benefits received or other equitable relationships. In the various parts of FAR Section 31.205 dealing with related items of cost, FAR provides allocation guidance on some individual elements of cost, particularly the assignment of cost to appropriate accounting periods. Some major examples of allocated cost are depreciation and amortization of the cost of fixed assets, labor-related costs, state and local income taxes, and insurance.

2.38 Cost accounting standards. CAS in 48 CFR Parts 9904–9905 address measurement, assignment, and allocation of cost and cover numerous cost accounting areas.

2.39 Disclosure statement. As stated in 48 CFR Subsection 9903.202-1(b), any business unit or segment that receives a single CAS-covered award of $50 million or more must submit a disclosure statement (CASB-DS-1) prior to award; a company that, together with its segments, received net CAS-covered awards totaling at least $50 million in its prior cost accounting period must file the statement prior to award of its first CAS-covered award in the following year (or by the end of the first quarter if the first CAS-covered award is received in the first quarter of the following year). The requirement to file applies to any segment of the company that has a contract subject to CAS, unless the contract is under $50 million and the segment’s CAS-covered awards in its last completed fiscal year were less than 30 percent of total segment sales and less than $10 million. A different disclosure statement (CASB DS-2) must be filed by any educational institution that is selected to receive a CAS-covered award of $25 million or more or whose federal contracts and financial assistance awards in the preceding cost account period were at least $25 million. Both disclosure statements cover the following areas: accounting for direct costs; allocation of indirect costs; depreciation practices; accounting for pension costs, postretirement benefits other than pension plans, and employee insurance programs; and home-office allocations. CASB-DS-1 also addresses deferred compensation, and employee stock ownership plans. The disclosure statements describe the entity’s
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accounting practices against which consistence in estimating, accounting and reporting of costs on individual contracts is measured.

2.40 The disclosure statement is reviewed by the government to determine that it is current, accurate, and complete. Therefore, changes in accounting practices shall be communicated to the government office responsible for retaining the contractor's disclosure statement. However, a determination by the government that the disclosure statement adequately describes the contractor's accounting system does not signify that the practices are in compliance with CAS and the FAR cost principles.

Changes in Cost Accounting Practices

2.41 Changes in cost accounting practices for government contracts may have a significant effect on contractors subject to CAS. The relevant regulations and effects of those changes on contract costs and pricing are discussed in the following paragraphs. (The effects of accounting changes on a contractor's financial statements are covered in chapter 3.)

2.42 Regulations. In accordance with 48 CFR Subsection 9903.201-4, the CAS contract clauses state that the contractor shall follow consistently the accounting practices used in estimating, accumulating, and reporting contract cost data for contracts covered by CAS. The clauses also state that the disclosure statement shall be amended for any change in practices and the adjustment of contract price for the effect of a change. FAR Subpart 30.6 also provides administrative guidance on the various accounting changes discussed in the section on impact proposals.

2.43 Definition. 48 CFR Subsection 9903.302-1 defines a cost accounting practice as "any disclosed or established accounting method or technique which is used for allocation of cost to cost objectives, assignment of cost to cost accounting periods, or measurement of cost." Measurement of cost includes defining the components of cost, determining the basis for cost measurement, and establishing criteria for using alternative cost measurement techniques. An example includes the use of standard cost vs. actual cost. Assignment of cost encompasses methods or techniques used to determine the amount of cost to be assigned to individual cost accounting periods, such as the use of accrual vs. cash basis accounting. Allocation of cost encompasses the method of attributing direct and indirect cost to cost objectives. An example is the method used to determine the composition of the pool and the selection and composition of a particular allocation base.

2.44 Impact proposal. After a change in cost accounting practice is agreed upon, the cognizant federal agency official (CFAO) requests a cost-impact proposal which identifies the cost effect of the change on CAS-covered awards. The government reviews the proposal and recommends adjustments as warranted. If the contractor fails to submit an impact proposal, the CFAO may withhold a percentage of subsequent payments on CAS-covered contracts.

2.45 The CFAO is responsible for analyzing the impact proposal, with the assistance of government auditors, and negotiating the contract price adjustments. If the government and the contractor do not agree on a revised price, the issue proceeds as a dispute under the normal disputes process.

2.46 When a change in accounting practice occurs, the effect of adjustment on contract costs depends on the reason for the change. If the change reflects the implementation of a new cost accounting standard, contract costs are adjusted
either up or down for the effect of the change. If the change made to correct a CAS noncompliance, the effects on individual contracts can be offset but any net increased costs to the government shall be repaid with interest. If the change is a unilateral change that is not deemed to be desirable by the government, actions are taken to preclude payment of the net increased costs, for example, through contract price adjustments. If the CFAO determines that a unilateral change is desirable and not detrimental to the interest of the government, contract costs are adjusted either up or down for the impact of the change.

2.47 Because voluntary changes include changes initiated by both the contractor and the government, the issue of desirability to the government is an important consideration. Currently, there is little guidance on this subject, aside from CAS Board Regulation 9903.201-6(c)(2) which notes that "...The cognizant Federal agency official's finding need not be based solely on the cost impact that a proposed practice change will have on a contractor's or subcontractor's current CAS-covered contracts. The change to a cost accounting practice may be determined to be desirable even though existing contract prices or cost allowances, or both, may increase. The determination that the change to a cost accounting practice is desirable, should be made on a case-by-case basis."

2.48 Applicability of GAAP. GAAP is established for financial accounting purposes and provides little guidance beyond the basic principles of accrual accounting for cost accounting purposes in the government contracting industry. Consequently, GAAP is applied only when no guidance in FAR or CAS exists. Because there are significant differences between certain FAR allocability principles and GAAP and, to a lesser extent, between CAS and GAAP, there is often the need for memorandum records or adjustments to contract cost records to reconcile those differences to the contractor's GAAP financial statements. The differences are primarily related to labor-related costs, fixed asset accounting, accounting for income taxes, and, to a lesser degree, inventory accounting, for example, LIFO adjustments.

2.49 Limitations on reimbursement. The limitation-of-cost clause set forth at FAR 52.232-20 or the limitation-of-fund clause set forth at FAR 52.232-22 state that the contractor shall notify the government and provide a revised estimate of total cost of performance when it is expects to have incurred 75 percent of the total cost of a cost-reimbursement contract within the next 60 days.

2.50 In making such notification, the contractor considers estimates of both direct and indirect costs. Often the level of effort necessary to complete the contract work can be reasonably estimated; however, the indirect costs may be a problem, particularly when future volume changes substantially affect projected overhead rates.

2.51 Unless the government acts on the notification to increase the contract's estimated cost or funds allotted, the government is ordinarily not obligated to reimburse the contractor for costs incurred in excess of the estimated cost or allotted funds. However, a contractor may be entitled to reimbursement of costs incurred in excess of the specified contract estimated costs when it is not possible to know that the limitation has been exceeded.

2.52 Although the government has no obligation to fund overruns, the contractor also has no obligation to continue to perform under the contract or incur costs in excess of the contract limitations. This is true although the overrun is not predicted or reported to the government before the ceiling is reached.
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Cost Estimation

2.53 Cost-based contracts require a system of estimating practices that provides the information for bidding. Estimating system guidelines are found at FAR 15.407-5. Specific estimating system guidelines are found at DFARS Section 215.407-5-70. As mentioned previously, cost-based contracts may be either fixed-price for which price is based on estimated costs or cost-reimbursement for which contract ceilings and fees are based on estimated costs.

2.54 Estimating practices ordinarily should produce information that is current, accurate, and complete, as well as use accounting practices consistent with those to be used during contract performance. Formal estimating practices represent a valuable function as an element of the internal control structure as well as for other management objectives and minimize conflict with government representatives regarding questions about consistency. Specifically, well-designed estimating systems provide data that—

- Support cost estimates for proposed price negotiation.
- Are required to be disclosed to the government (see section on defective pricing herein).
- Are adequate to establish a fair price.

2.55 An effective estimating system includes—

- The ability to produce acceptable forward pricing rates that include overhead, general and administrative, and labor rates. The rates ordinarily should be based on projections of level of activity expected, mix of labor categories, and expected overhead and management costs. When contracts are expected to be performed over several future periods, it may be necessary to project different rates for future periods based on current expectations of future volume, inflation, and staffing and support needs. When either party to the contract is concerned with future cost levels (primarily direct labor and materials), an economic price adjustment clause may be included to provide for adjustment of contract price based on published indices.
- A material pricing system that produces current estimates of material cost. The estimates may be developed through use of historical data, vendor quotes, and estimates of the ability to bargain for reductions in such quotes through negotiations, quantity purchases, or additional sources of supply.
- A system that assures some conformity with the past and with projections for the future. A method of assuring that future projections conform to the past is to use historical data as either a basis for estimating or a checkpoint with which to compare the estimate. Estimates are generally compared with future plans and projections to ensure that methods of performance, facilities expected to be used, and labor skills fit with other work. One way to track past and future productivity is to use efficiency measures such as improvement or learning curves.
- Provision for adequate review. Such reviews are typically performed at the levels of responsibility consistent with the significance of the individual proposal to total future work.

AAG-FGC 2.53
Defective Pricing

2.56 As set forth in FAR 15.403-4, defective pricing results from violations of the TINA, which provides for contractors to submit current, accurate, and complete cost or pricing data in connection with the negotiation of certain contracts. The act provides for the signing of a certificate at the date of agreement on price that indicates that current, accurate, and complete data were provided.

2.57 The definition of cost pricing data has broadened since the act was passed. Cost pricing data now encompass vendor quotes, labor rates, and projected indirect cost rates. The act has also been interpreted to include projections of future events, for example, business volume, projected manning charts, expected scrap, rework, and ability to negotiate lower prices on material purchases. Also included are management decisions that affect future costs, such as decisions to automate, acquire businesses, change employee benefits, and change tax accounting methods.

2.58 If the failure to provide current, accurate, and complete cost or pricing data resulted in the negotiation of a higher price, the contracting officer may reduce the contract price. The downward adjustment to contract price is the amount that would have been negotiated if the data had been known to the government. However, potential contract price increases and decreases may be offset in arriving at an adjusted contract price.

2.59 Because the potential exists for defective pricing and a subsequent adjustment in contract prices, contractors ordinarily should employ estimating systems that produce current, accurate, and complete data for contract pricing purposes. In addition, the contractor ordinarily should have adequate controls to ensure that the system is working effectively (see chapter 4). One significant effect of weaknesses in the system is a potential reduction in contract revenues and income. (The potential effect of fraudulent activities in estimating and negotiating contract prices is later discussed in the section on suspension and debarment herein.)

Contract Claims

2.60 A number of events in the performance of a contract may lead to claims for compensation by a contractor. The changes clauses set forth in FAR Subparts 52.243-1–52.243-5 give the government a unilateral right to impose revisions as long as those revisions are within the general scope of the contract. A change order is a directive by the government to perform work not called for in the original contract or at a time or in a manner that is inconsistent with the terms of the original contract. A contractor is required to proceed with the changed work, but is entitled to recovery of increased costs of performance or schedule relief, or both, attributable to the change. If the contractor fails to proceed with the changed work, the government may terminate the contract for default.

2.61 Under the changes clause, the contractor is entitled to an equitable adjustment to the contract price, the delivery schedule, or both. The equitable adjustment covers both direct and indirect impact-type costs. Indirect costs can be the most difficult costs to quantify.

2.62 By virtue of the flow-down provision typically included in subcontracts, the subcontractor is required to comply with the provisions of most of the clauses included in the prime contractor’s contract with the government.
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However, the subcontractor has no privity of contract with the government, although the subcontractor is required to comply with the changes clause. The subcontractor’s right of recourse is principally with the prime contractor or, in the case of a second-tier subcontractor, with the contracting party.

2.63 Government contract change orders present problems similar to those experienced by commercial contractors. However, the nature of the government procurement process typically results in more frequent change orders (formal and constructive), particularly when contracts cover technologically advanced and sophisticated products. In addition, government regulations require contractors to provide detailed cost or pricing data as the basis for negotiating the price of the change with the government.

2.64 Other contractor claims may occur from a number of different causes, including government actions, such as providing defective specifications, making late delivery of government-furnished materials, and not making facilities available in a timely fashion. Contractors are entitled to claim the cost effect on performance under the contract due to such government actions.

2.65 The contractor is responsible for submitting a request for equitable adjustment proposal (REA) and, if proposal negotiations are not successful, for converting the REA into a timely claim. For changes in performance, the REA or claim reflects the difference in cost between expected performance cost under the contract and the expected cost under the revised performance requirements. Other REA or claims proposed by the contractor should reflect the expected cost effects of the changed circumstances.

2.66 In the event of a disagreement between the contractor and the government over the contractor’s legal entitlement to an equitable adjustment or the amount of the adjustment, the disputes clause of the contract requires the contractor to submit its claim in writing to the contracting officer for equitable adjustment. If the claim exceeds the amount specified in the regulations, the contractor is required to certify the following: the claim is made in good faith, the supporting data are accurate and complete to the best of the contractor’s knowledge and belief, and the amount claimed accurately reflects the contract adjustment for which the contractor believes the government is liable.

2.67 Accounting and auditing guidance related to claims are covered in chapters 3 and 4, respectively.

Terminations

2.68 As discussed in the “Contract Clauses” section of chapter 1, there are two principal types of terminations: termination for convenience and termination for default. Termination for convenience is similar in concept to a contract change because the government has the right to change the scope of the contract by terminating all or a portion of the remaining work under the contract. As with other changes, the contractor is entitled to the cost attributable to the termination action. However, the contractor has additional rights under a termination for convenience not pertaining to a change. For this reason, there may be disagreements between the government and the contractor concerning the distinction between changes and partial terminations for convenience.

2.69 Terminations for default occur when contractors default on some term, condition, or requirement of the contract, such as failure to meet the contract delivery schedule, failure to progress on the contract so as to endanger contract performance, or failure to comply with a material requirement of
the contract. Before terminating a contract for default, the contracting officer generally issues a cure notice that provides the contractor with a stated period of time to correct the deficiency. If the contractor does not take appropriate corrective action, the government can terminate the contract and reprocure the contracted effort from another source. In the case of fixed-price contract terminations, the terminated contractor becomes liable for payment to the government of the excess reprocurement cost (that is, the amount by which the aggregate of reprocurement costs and costs previously paid to the contractor exceed the price specified in the terminated contract).

2.70 In a termination for convenience, the contractor generally is entitled to recover all costs incurred to the termination date, plus other costs not recovered at termination (such as start-up costs and ongoing costs not able to be discontinued, for example, rental costs) as well as an allowance for profit or fee. However, if a terminated fixed price contract would have incurred a loss had it been completed, the settlement will be adjusted downward for the effect of the loss. In addition, the contractor is entitled to settlement costs related to the contractor’s duties and responsibilities under a termination, including the preparation and the submission of a termination settlement proposal. All costs incurred in connection with the settlement of the termination, including the effort to inventory and control property under the contract, to terminate subcontracts, and to prepare and submit the settlement proposals, are treated as direct costs of the settlement. Many of the costs incurred are normally indirect or administrative in nature, including legal, accounting, and other professional services; consequently, accurate records ordinarily should be kept of the time spent and costs incurred.

2.71 In terminations for convenience, allowable costs are established by FAR Subsection 31.205–242, "Termination Costs," and other parts of the FAR cost principles. Profit is allowed on the costs incurred on unfinished work that has been terminated. No profit is allowed on settlement costs. Anticipated profits on work not performed due to termination are not reimbursed.

2.72 Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, includes guidance regarding the definition of contract termination costs and their reporting and disclosures. To the extent that a contract termination results in exit or disposal activities (for example, if the contractor is terminated for convenience or default and, as a result, has to terminate employees or subcontracts), then the contractor should consider the guidance in this statement.

2.73 Additional guidance relating to exit or disposal activities can be found in FASB Staff Position FAS 146-1, Determining Whether a One-Time Termination Benefit Offered in Connection with an Exit or Disposal Activity Is, in Substance, an Enhancement to an Ongoing Benefit Arrangement.

Fraudulent Activity

2.74 Cost mischarging and defective pricing are often pursued by the government as allegations of fraud, if the suspected noncompliance is believed to have been deliberate or intentional. Other kinds of fraudulent activity include kickbacks, product substitution, and offering bribes and gratuities.

2.75 When fraud is discovered, the cost to a contractor is significant. In addition to legal fees and price reductions, significant civil and criminal fraud penalties can be imposed.
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2.76 AU section 316, *Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1), is the primary source of authoritative guidance about auditor’s responsibilities concerning the consideration of fraud in a financial statement audit. See chapter 4 (paragraphs 4.128–153) of this guide.

Suspension and Debarment

2.77 In addition to the significant civil and criminal penalties associated with fraud convictions, contractors are subject to suspension or debarment proceedings. Debarment proceedings can be instituted against a contractor who has either been convicted of a criminal offense or lost a civil fraud case. However, a contractor may be debarred for an alleged violation of a contract that is so serious and compelling in nature that it affects a contractor’s responsibility without a prior criminal conviction or civil judgment.

2.78 Suspension or debarment generally will preclude the awarding of new contracts or extension of existing contracts. For contractors performing a significant amount of business with the government, suspension or debarment probably will have a substantial effect on earnings capacity and may affect the ability of the enterprise to continue in business.

Contractor Code of Business Ethics and Conduct

2.79 Effective December 24, 2007, contractors must establish codes of business ethics and conduct, and display fraud hotline posters in accordance with FAR Subpart 3.10.
Chapter 3

Financial Reporting Considerations

Income Determination

3.01 Because there are many unique aspects to long-term government contracts, it is especially important to be cognizant of the related accounting principles to properly recognize revenues and expenses in a consistent manner for financial reporting purposes. This chapter summarizes the basic accounting principles and practices related to the recognition of revenues and expenses for government contracts in general and other types of contractual arrangements involving contractors and the government.

Revenue Elements

3.02 Revenue generally is recognized when the activity surrounding the sale of products or rendering of services is complete or virtually complete and an exchange has taken place. However, revenue is sometimes recognized as performance progresses. This exception is based on the consensus that a better measurement of periodic income results. Chapter 11 section A paragraph 13 of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 43, Restatement and Revision of Accounting Research Bulletins, states the following:

It is, however, a generally accepted accounting procedure to accrue revenues under certain types of contracts [costs-plus-fixed-fee (CPFF)] and thereby recognize profits, on the basis of partial performance, where the circumstances are such that total profit can be estimated with reasonable accuracy and ultimate realization is reasonably assured. Particularly where the performance of a contract requires a substantial period of time from inception to completion, there is ample precedent for pro-rata recognition of profit as the work progresses, if the total profit and the ratio of the performance to date to the complete performance can be computed reasonably and collection is reasonably assured. Depending upon the circumstances, such partial performance may be established by deliveries, expenditures, or percentage of completion otherwise determined. This rule is frequently applied to long-term construction and other similar contracts; it is also applied in the case of contracts involving deliveries in installments or the performance of services. However, the rule should be dealt with cautiously and not applied in the case of partial deliveries and uncompleted contracts where the information available does not clearly indicate that a partial profit has been realized after making provisions for possible losses and contingencies.

3.03 In addition to the accounting for government contracts covered in ARB No. 43, AICPA Statement of Position (SOP) 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, ACC sec. 10,330) (included as appendix C of this guide), which applies to government contractors, contains specific guidance on accounting for certain types of long-term contracts. Paragraphs .53–.67 of SOP 81-1 cover the major factors and the recommended procedures for estimating, measuring, and accounting for contract revenue. As noted in paragraph .54,
Major factors that must be considered in determining total estimated revenue include the basic contract price, contract options, change orders, claims, and contract provisions for penalties and incentive payments, including award fees and performance incentives. [Furthermore, all] those factors and other special contract provisions must be evaluated throughout the life of a contract in estimating total contract revenue to recognize revenues in the periods in which they are earned under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting.

3.04 In some instances, direct sales to foreign governments may be denominated in a currency other than the U.S. dollar. Estimated revenues at completion should consider actual exchange rates for amounts billed to date under the contract and the current exchange rates as of the balance sheet date for revenues to be billed in estimating contract value at completion.

Cost Elements

3.05 Paragraph 80 of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts (SFAC) No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements—a replacement of FASB Concepts Statement No. 3 (incorporating an amendment of FASB Concepts Statement No. 2), states that expenses are "outflows or other using up of assets or incurrence of liabilities (or a combination of both) from delivering or producing goods, rendering services, or carrying out other activities that constitute the entity's ongoing major or central operations. [footnote deleted]" Although G&A costs generally would be classified as expenses under this definition, government contractors frequently allocate G&A costs to government contract inventories as indirect costs to the extent such costs are allowable under government procurement regulations.

3.06 Support for allocation of G&A costs in this manner is contained in paragraph 10 of ARB No. 45, Long-Term Construction-Type Contracts, which concludes the following:

When the completed-contract method is used, it may be appropriate to allocate general and administrative expenses to contract costs rather than to period income. This may result in a better matching of costs and revenues than would result from treating such expenses as period costs, particularly in years when no contracts were completed. It is not so important, however, when the contractor is engaged in numerous projects and, in such circumstances, it may be preferable to charge those expenses as incurred to periodic income. In any case, there should be no excessive deferring of overhead costs, such as might occur if total overhead were assigned to abnormally few or abnormally small contracts in process.

3.07 Another exception to the definition of expenses contained in paragraph 80 of SFAC No. 6 may occur in cost-reimbursement contracts when reimbursable costs, including G&A expenses and fees, are the determinants for the amount of recorded revenue. In those cases, all allowable unbilled costs are often included in contract costs rather than some portion of unbilled costs being charged to period income.

3.08 Maintaining a reasonable degree of accuracy in identifying, estimating, and accumulating contract costs is essential in determining the amount of income earned. Although the systems and procedures used to account for costs are diverse, the objective of each system or each set of procedures should be to accumulate costs consistently in a manner permitting the identification
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of contract revenues and related costs. Contract costs represent all direct costs and certain indirect costs incurred in the performance of a contract. Paragraphs .68–.72 of SOP 81-1 address the accounting for costs incurred pursuant to a contract.

3.09 Precontract costs, or costs incurred in anticipation of a contract, may arise in a variety of situations, including those in the following list:

- Engineering, design, mobilization, or other services performed on the basis of commitments or other such indications of interest
- Costs for production equipment and materials relating to specific anticipated contracts
- Costs incurred to produce goods in excess of contractual requirements in anticipation of follow-on orders or undefinitized options
- Start-up or learning costs incurred for anticipated but unidentified contracts

3.10 Paragraph .75 of SOP 81-1, as amended by SOP 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, ACC sec. 10,750) (included as appendix E of this guide), provides guidance on the accounting for precontract costs. SOP 98-5 amends paragraph .75a of SOP 81-1 by requiring precontract costs that are start-up costs to be expensed as incurred. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 31.205-32 prescribes the recoverability of precontract costs as allowable under U.S. Government contracts.

Determining a Basic Accounting Policy for Government Contracts

Selection of Accounting Policy

3.11 Paragraph .21 of SOP 81-1 states that “the basic accounting policy decision is the choice between the two generally accepted methods: the percentage-of-completion method, including units-of-delivery, and the completed-contract method. [Furthermore, the] determination of which of the two methods is preferable should be based on a careful evaluation of the circumstances because the two methods should not be acceptable alternatives for the same circumstances.”

3.12 Percentage-of-completion method. Under this method of accounting, revenues and costs associated with a contract are recognized as work on the contract progresses. In this regard, paragraph .22 of SOP 81-1 concludes the following:

The percentage-of-completion method recognizes the legal and economic results of contract performance on a timely basis. Financial statements based on the percentage-of-completion method present the economic substance of a company’s transactions and events more clearly and more timely than financial statements based on the completed-contract method, and they present more accurately relationships between gross profit from contracts and related period costs. The percentage-of-completion method informs the users of the general purpose financial statements of the volume of economic activity of a company.
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3.13 Paragraphs .23–.25 and .26–.29, respectively, of SOP 81-1 discuss the circumstances appropriate to selection of the percentage-of-completion method and the hazards involved in developing reasonable contract estimates.

3.14 Completed-contract method. As stated in paragraph .30 of SOP 81-1, "Under the completed-contract method, income is recognized only when a contract is completed or substantially completed." Accordingly, "billings and costs are accumulated on the balance sheet [during the period of performance], but no profit or income is recorded before completion or substantial completion of the work." Consequently, this method "precludes reporting on the performance that is occurring under the enforceable rights of the contract as work progresses."

3.15 The circumstances leading to the use of the completed-contract method are discussed in paragraphs .31–.33 of SOP 81-1. In addition, paragraph .52 of the SOP sets forth recommendations on procedures for determining when a contract is substantially completed under the completed-contract method.

3.16 Measures of progress. In applying the percentage-of-completion method, paragraph .43 of SOP 81-1 states that "meaningful measurement of the extent of progress toward completion is essential since this factor is used in determining the amounts of estimated contract revenue and estimated gross profit that will be recognized as earned in any given period." Paragraphs .44–.45 of SOP 81-1 describe several acceptable methods for measuring progress toward completion. They include the cost-to-cost method, variations of the cost-to-cost method, efforts-expended method, units-of-delivery method, and units-of-work-performed method. A careful evaluation of the circumstances will help in the determination of which method is preferable. Criteria for selecting those methods are discussed in paragraphs .46–.51 of SOP 81-1, which groups the various methods into input and output measures. As stated in paragraph .51 of SOP 81-1, "The acceptability of the results of input or output measures deemed to be appropriate to the circumstances should be periodically reviewed and confirmed by alternative measures that involve observation and inspection". In accordance with SOP 81-1 paragraph .45 "the methods or methods selected should be applied consistently to all contracts having similar characteristics."

3.17 As noted in paragraph .44 and footnote 8 of SOP 81-1, paragraph 4 of ARB No. 45 provides guidance about the recognition of income under the percentage-of-completion method. However, ARB No. 45 also indicates a possible need to adjust costs if alternative (a) of paragraph 4 of the ARB is used. The ARB qualifies the statement relative to costs incurred to date by concluding in paragraph 4:

Costs as here used might exclude, especially during the early stages of a contract, all or a portion of the cost of such items as materials and subcontracts if it appears that such an exclusion would result in a more meaningful periodic allocation of income.

3.18 The previous qualification takes into account situations in which substantial quantities of materials may have been acquired but not used. For example, materials acquired to date to perform under a fixed-price contract may represent 15 percent of total estimated costs. In terms of work performed, however, the contract may only be 5 percent complete. In these circumstances, income recognized as allocable to the period should be related to only 5 percent of the total, not 15 percent.
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3.19 On the other hand, alternative (b) of paragraph 4 permits the use of an alternative measure of progress when a more meaningful income allocation would result. For example, the stage of completion of engineering contracts may be more appropriately measured by engineering estimates of progress than the relationship of costs incurred to total estimated costs.

3.20 Under an output measure, such as units-of-delivery, unit sales values and costs are used to record sales and cost of sales. A number of practices in determining sales may be used to apply this measure of contract progress. For example, sales may be recorded as deliveries are made based on the unit sales value stated in the contract. Sales also may be recorded based on an average unit sales value determined by dividing the contract sales value by the number of units called for by the contract. Cost of sales for units delivered may be based on actual unit cost or average cost, which is usually determined by dividing total estimated cost at completion by the number of units to be produced. In the performance of production contracts, it is common for the actual cost per unit to decline over the life of the contract. For this reason, some contractors prefer the average-unit-cost approach. Others prefer to use actual costs for purposes of determining cost of sales. If, in the early stage of deliveries, actual unit cost is higher than unit sales value, unit cost of sales sometimes is recorded at an amount not in excess of unit sales price. The excess of actual costs over recorded sales is deferred and spread over units remaining after the break-even point is reached. However, an estimated loss on the overall contract should be recognized in the period in which it becomes evident, according to paragraph .85 of SOP 81-1 (also see paragraph 3.36 herein).

3.21 The costs of various operating activities are often treated differently within a contract. For example, manufacturing or assembly costs may be charged according to the actual costs of identified units, whereas the cost of engineering, manufacturing, planning, and tooling considered applicable to all units may be allocated ratably over all units to be produced. Although the variations that may be encountered are too numerous to cover in this chapter, the independent auditor should be aware of the existence of such differences and obtain a comprehensive understanding of the contractor’s cost accounting practices.

3.22 Price-redeterminable and economic-price-adjustment contracts provide for price adjustments based on cost or other considerations. The probable effects of adjustments should be reflected in total revenue estimates as the estimates are revised based on current information and expectations.

Change in Accounting Policy

3.23 When a contractor changes from the completed-contract method to the percentage-of-completion method, or vice versa, the change in accounting policy, if material, should be accounted for in conformity with FASB Statement No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections—a replacement of

1 A member in public practice shall be independent in the performance of professional services pursuant to Rule 101, Independence, of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 2, ET sec. 101 par. .01). Other applicable independence rules and regulations may also apply to members and accountants while performing attest engagements (for example, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, Government Accountability Office, and state licensing boards).

AAG-FGC 3.23
40  
Federal Government Contractors

APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3, which requires retrospective application to prior years' financial statements. In addition, a change in the application of a policy (for example, a change from the cost-to-cost method to the units-of-delivery method) also represents a change in accounting principle to be reported in conformity with FASB Statement No. 154. The section on contract cost estimates also discusses accounting for changes in estimated contract costs.

Combining and Segmenting Contracts\(^2\)

3.24 To recognize the appropriate amount of income in a given accounting period, consideration also should be given to combination and segmentation of contracts. The criteria for combining and segmenting contracts are set forth in paragraphs .34—.42 of SOP 81-1.

3.25 The issue of combining or segmenting contracts may go beyond the contract level, per se, and needs to be addressed at the change order, option, delivery order, or addition level. For example, production-type contracts may have follow-on provisions in the form of options. In such cases, an analysis should be performed in light of the criteria outlined in SOP 81-1 to determine the propriety of combining these contract addendums for profit recognition purposes. Segmenting the option from the basic contract may be required if the product to be provided pursuant to the contract option, or the contract option price and cost relationship, differ significantly from those of the existing contract. Under indefinite quantity contracts, the government is required only to order the specified minimum quantity. Accordingly, the minimum quantity should be utilized in estimating revenue at completion. Additional orders under the contract should be treated as change orders. Accounting for change orders and contract options and additions is discussed in paragraphs .61—.64 of SOP 81-1.

3.26 For gross profit on contracts to be appropriately and consistently reported in conformity with SOP 81-1, it is important that the criteria for combining and segmenting contracts be applied consistently to contracts with similar characteristics in similar circumstances.

\(^2\) Readers may refer to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-21, “Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables” for additional guidance. EITF Issue No. 00-21 describes three categories into which higher-level literature falls and the application of its consensus or the higher-level literature in determining separate units of accounting and allocating arrangement consideration. Specifically, paragraph 4a(ii) indicates that if higher-level literature provides guidance requiring separation of deliverables within the scope of higher-level literature from deliverables not within the scope of higher-level literature, but does not specify how to allocate arrangement, consideration to each separate unit of accounting, such allocation should be performed on a relative fair value basis using the entity’s best estimate of the fair value of the deliverable(s) within the scope of higher-level literature and the deliverable(s) not within the scope of higher-level literature.

Footnote 4 continues to state, in part:

“For example, SOP 81-1 provides separation and allocation guidance (segmentation provisions) for deliverables within its scope. However, SOP 81-1 does not provide separation and allocation guidance between SOP 81-1 deliverables and non-SOP 81-1 deliverables. Consider an arrangement that includes designing complex electronic equipment, manufacturing complex electronic equipment (both SOP 81-1 deliverables), and providing the service of running the equipment for a fixed period of time once the equipment is designed, manufactured, and placed in service (a non-SOP 81-1 deliverable). This Issue would be applied to identify separate units of accounting and to allocate arrangement consideration to those separate units of accounting.” EITF No. 00-21 was effective for revenue arrangements entered into in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2003.
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3.27 Government contracts may contain certain incentives and award fees that provide for increasing (upward adjustment) or decreasing (downward adjustment) the fee for cost-reimbursement contracts or the target profit for fixed-price-type contracts. These provisions for incentives and award fees are generally based on (a) the relationship of actual contract costs to an agreed-upon target cost or (b) some measure of contract performance (for example, speed, distance, or accuracy) in relation to agreed-upon performance targets. Consequently, the contractor’s profit allowance is adjusted upward (increased) when actual costs are less than agreed-upon cost targets. Similarly, the profit allowance is adjusted upward (increased) when actual performance (in terms of speed, distance, accuracy, and so forth) exceeds agreed-upon performance targets. Conversely, the contractor’s profit allowance is adjusted downward (decreased) when actual results (in terms of either cost or performance targets) do not meet the established cost or performance targets.

3.28 A basis frequently exists for the reasonable prediction of performance in relation to established targets. In those circumstances, the effect of the upward or downward incentive adjustment should be recorded in a manner consistent with the accounting method used for the contract. Situations when performance may not be reasonably predictable may involve either a single opportunity to accomplish a test or a demonstration in accordance with established performance criteria or award fees that may be both determined solely by the government and subject to retroactive adjustment after evaluation of the contractor’s performance.

3.29 The recognition of revenue on contracts containing provisions for incentives and award fees should be in conformity with SOP 81-1. Paragraph .23 of the SOP concludes that “the use of the percentage-of-completion method depends on the ability [of the contractor] to make reasonably dependable estimates.” Furthermore, as noted in paragraph 3.03 of this guide, all components of contract revenue—including basic contract price, contract option, change orders, claims, and incentive payments, such as award fees and performance incentives—should be considered in determining total estimated revenue. Thus, contractors must be able to make reasonably dependable estimates of incentives or award fees to consider them in determining total estimated contract revenue. However, the mere existence of provisions for incentives or award fees should not be considered presumptive evidence that such incentives or award fees are to be included automatically in determining total estimated revenue. In some instances, the contractor may not be able to reliably predict whether performance targets will be met and, consequently, will be unable to reasonably estimate the amount to be received under the incentive or award-fee provisions. In such cases, revenues related to the performance incentives or award fees should be excluded from the determination of total estimated revenue.

3.30 In the case of cost incentives, an assessment of whether actual costs will meet targeted cost objectives is based on the contractor’s ability to make reasonably dependable estimates of costs to complete, which, as noted in paragraph 3.29, is one of the factors to be considered for using the percentage-of-completion method. In the case of performance incentives, assessing whether actual performance will produce results that meet targeted performance objectives may require substantial qualitative judgment and experience with the types of activities covered by the contract. However, these estimations of
expected performance vis-à-vis targeted performance are not unlike the processes used to estimate percentage-of-completion and, therefore, are consistent with the concept of accounting for contracts under the percentage-of-completion method prescribed in SOP 81-1.

Contract Cost Estimates

3.31 The task of estimating total costs to be incurred in the completion of a contract requires evaluation of all available data and is affected by many factors including, but not limited to, the following:

- Current actual costs of contract performance
- Changes in cost of materials not covered by firm purchase orders to be purchased in the future
- Changes in cost of labor, including fringe benefits, that may be experienced in the future
- Changes in indirect costs, such as manufacturing and engineering overhead and general and administrative expenses
- Advance agreements and cost-sharing arrangements with the government
- Production efficiencies, in other words, the effects of the learning curve
- Fluctuations in the total production activity and the resulting effect on allocation bases for the various indirect costs
- Specific contract provisions, such as performance requirements, warranties, and damages
- Changes in the cost of subcontracts
- Technical problems encountered in performing the contract
- Contract changes

3.32 Because of the complexities involved in estimating contract costs, the participation of financial, engineering, manufacturing, and other technical departments normally will be necessary to determine the remaining costs to be incurred in the performance of the contract. In the case of more complex contracts, computer-based simulation models are sometimes used to support contract cost estimates. Paragraphs .69–.72 of SOP 81-1 discuss the general principles of accounting for contract costs.

3.33 Contract cost estimates change as contract modifications occur, as more experience is acquired, and as additional information is obtained. Therefore, accounting estimates should be reviewed and updated regularly over the term of contract performance. The updates may vary in degree from refining estimates at the major cost element level to generating a completely revised contract cost estimate at the cost-center level. The frequency of such review and updating may depend on financial, contractual, or other reporting requirements.

3.34 Assuming the percentage-of-completion method is used, a change in the estimate of total contract cost could cause a change in the fee-accrual rate for a cost-reimbursement contract or a change in the profit-accrual rate for a fixed-price contract for financial reporting purposes. Paragraphs .82–.84 of SOP 81-1 discuss accounting for such changes, and such changes should be
accounted for in conformity with FASB Statement No. 154. Consequently, the cumulative effect of the change should be included in the accounting period in which the change is made. This is accomplished by adjusting the total amount of fee or profit recorded to date to bring that amount into agreement with the amount that would have accrued had the newly determined fee or profit rate been applied in all earlier accounting periods. Under this method, the amount of accrued fee or profit at any point in time should be in conformity with the current cost estimate for that contract. This method is consistent with the percentage-of-completion method based on the relationship of incurred costs to estimated total costs after giving effect to estimates based on the most recent information.

Provisions for Anticipated Losses on Contracts

3.35 The following section summarizes the recommended accounting for provisions for anticipated losses on contracts contained in paragraphs .85–.89 of SOP 81-1. The major provisions of those paragraphs require losses on contracts to be

- accrued when the losses become evident, regardless of the method of accounting for the contract. If the range of loss is estimated but no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, the minimum amount in the range should be accrued.
- computed on the basis of the total estimated cost to complete the contract and should reflect all elements of costs included in contract costs in conformity with paragraph .72 of SOP 81-1.
- deducted first from any related accumulated costs included in the balance sheet and the balance, if any, shown separately as a liability.
- included in the income statement as an element of contract costs rather than as a reduction of contract revenue.

3.36 As noted previously, losses on contracts should not be allocated to future periods by spreading them over the remaining life of the contract. Furthermore, losses should not be deferred simply based on the expectation of future or follow-on contracts or in anticipation that the customer will exercise options for the delivery of additional units, components, or spare parts. Thus, in those cases in which it is probable that an unexercised contract option or additional delivery under an indefinite quantity contract will be exercised and a loss will be incurred in connection with the performance thereof, a provision for loss should be recorded. However, determining whether a contract loss provision should be recorded can be significantly affected by the definition of the profit center for revenue recognition purposes and the application of the contract combination and segmentation criteria of paragraphs .34–.42 of SOP 81-1.

3.37 When a contractor manufactures quantities for inventory in excess of contract requirements, the costs of production should be allocated appropriately between the contract and other inventory costs. The contractor should assess the recoverability of the costs of producing the inventory in determining whether such costs should be classified as assets under SFAC No. 6.

3.38 Some government contractors may incur research and development costs in connection with products that may be sold both commercially and under government contracts. FASB Statement No. 2, Accounting for Research and
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Development Costs, requires all research and development costs not directly reimbursable by others or Research and Development (R&D) costs indirectly reimbursable under the terms of a contract (for example, allowable In-process Research and Development costs) to be charged to expense when incurred. Consequently, a contractor should determine whether R&D costs allocable to government products are allowable under terms of the contract.

Contract Terminations

3.39 Government contract termination clauses are unique and, therefore, involve special accounting and reporting considerations. FASB Statement No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, includes guidance regarding the definition of contract termination costs and their reporting and disclosure, among other things. To the extent that a contract termination results in exit or disposal activities (for example, if the contractor is terminated for convenience or default and, as a result, has to terminate employees or subcontracts), then the contractor should consider the guidance in this statement. (See related information in the “Terminations” section of chapter 2.)

Termination for Convenience

3.40 Accounting for convenience terminations should reflect the rights established in the contract and in the procedural rules for such terminations. Such rights result in claims under the contract requiring recognition for accounting purposes in a manner substantially equivalent to the percentage-of-completion method.

3.41 The income effects of a termination for convenience should be recognized when the amounts associated with the contract can be reasonably determined. Both allowable and unallowable costs (as defined in the applicable procurement regulations and discussed in chapter 2) should be charged to expense. Revenue from the claim should be recognized on the basis of allowable costs only.

3.42 Subcontractor and other vendor claims should be recorded as liabilities at the estimated amounts payable in conformity with the provisions of FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. To the extent such amounts are recoverable by the prime contractor, they should be included as part of the claim.

Termination for Default

3.43 The rights of the contracting parties in a default termination of a fixed-price contract differ significantly from those in a convenience termination; consequently, the accounting must reflect these differences. Accordingly, contractors should record, in addition to normal contract liabilities, those liabilities arising from a default termination (for example, damages, excess procurement costs, and progress payments to be repaid). Termination for default may result in a reduction of previously recorded earnings. In such cases, adjustments of prior-period amounts are not appropriate. Instead, the resulting income effect should be included in the loss on termination of the contract in

3 Additional guidance relating to exit or disposal activities can be found in FASB Staff Position FAS 146-1, Determining Whether a One-Time Termination Benefit Offered in Connection with an Exit or Disposal Activity Is in Substance, an Enhancement to an Ongoing Benefit Arrangement.
the current period as a change in an accounting estimate in conformity with FASB Statement No. 154. If material in amount, such loss should be reported as a separate item in the income statement or otherwise disclosed in the notes to the financial statements in conformity with FASB Statement No. 5.

Financial Statement Presentation

3.44 Generally, the effect of a contract termination should be reflected in the financial statements of the contractor in the period in which the termination occurs, or earlier if the termination is a subsequent event occurring prior to issuance of the financial statements and attributable to conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet. However, if sufficient information is not available to predict the effect of a very recent termination, then the best information available should be disclosed in the notes to financial statements in conformity with FASB Statement No. 5.

3.45 Significant items of a known controversial nature also should be disclosed in the notes to financial statements, although estimates of ultimate amounts to be realized may not be determinable. The government contractor is subject to a degree of risk different from its commercial counterpart because of the unilateral contract right of the government to terminate a contract. When there are indications that a contract termination may occur and the termination would have a material effect on the contractor's operations, disclosure of the circumstances and the potential effects should be made in the notes to financial statements. According to paragraph 20 of FASB Statement No. 146, disclosure about a contract termination should include, among other things, a description of the facts and circumstances leading to termination and information related to each major type of cost associated with the contract termination. Indicators of a potential contract termination include notice of a possible termination, contract performance problems, procurement cutbacks, and so forth.

3.46 Significant uncertainties may exist about the recoverability of costs in a termination claim, particularly in cases of termination for default. Such termination may create additional uncertainties regarding possible liabilities for damages or excess reprocurement costs. As required by paragraphs 8–10 of FASB Statement No. 5, a determination should be made about the probability that a loss has been incurred and whether an amount can be estimated. Based on this determination, such liabilities should be recorded or disclosed.

Other Contracts, Arrangements, and Related Accounting Considerations

3.47 Under some contracts, the contractor may act in an agency or similar administrative capacity that makes it inappropriate to report reimbursable costs as sales. For example, a contractor may operate a government-owned facility in an agency capacity for which the contractor will be reimbursed for costs of operations and receives a fee for performing the management service. In such situations, chapter 11 section A paragraphs 19–20 of ARB No. 43 would require the contractor to record only the fee in sales or revenues.

3.48 Contracts requiring the government to furnish (or requiring the contractor to act as agent for the government to purchase) materials or equipment may also raise questions about whether such costs of such items furnished
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should be included in the contractor’s sales and cost of sales. Paragraph .60 of SOP 81-1 indicates that, as a general rule, revenues and costs should include all items for which the contractor has an associated risk, including items on which its contractual fee is based.

3.49 Given the general rule that recognition of sales and cost of sales is based upon the contractor’s assumption of risk, questions of accounting may arise when subcontract costs or the cost of special equipment to perform the contract, even though included in the contract price, represent such a substantial amount in relation to the contractor’s other costs of performance that inclusion of such costs in sales and costs of sales might distort significantly the contractor’s volume of activity. For example, the contractor may be under contract to produce specific goods for which unique facilities also need to be constructed under separate contract with the government. In this case, it may be preferable to exclude such costs from sales and costs of sales, as the construction of facilities is not the contractor’s normal activity and the costs are not a part of the production contract. How those costs should be reported by the contractor should be based on the terms of the contractual arrangements and the approach providing the more useful financial information. In those instances in which such costs are appropriately excluded from sales and cost of sales, the general nature of the related transactions (if material) and the accounting policy applied thereto should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Fixed-Price Best-Efforts R&D Cost-Sharing Arrangements

3.50 Contractors also may enter into contractual arrangements in which the customer agrees to share the estimated costs of certain R&D activities, for example, the development of a prototype for new or advanced weapons systems. The discussion and conclusions of this section relate only to arrangements in which the federal government is the sole or principal expected ultimate customer (including foreign military sales) for the research and development or for products directly resulting from the R&D activity subject to the arrangement, and do not relate to projects in which federal government funding is incidental. Under the types of arrangements discussed herein, the contractor is obligated contractually to perform only on a best-efforts basis to achieve the agreed-upon objectives of the research and development activity; that is, a product (or service) meeting certain defined performance or other (such as design) specifications is not required to be delivered under the contractual arrangement. In addition, the parties to the contract anticipate that the aggregate costs of the R&D activity specified in the agreement will exceed the amounts funded by the customer. In arrangements to perform on a best-efforts basis, the contractor benefits from both lower net R&D costs and the retention of rights to the R&D results. The knowledge gained from such R&D activities may be used by the contractor in future production activities, including follow-on contracts for full-scale production of products based on the prototypes or models developed during the R&D phase. At the same time, the customer benefits from the arrangement by receiving a nonexclusive right to the results of the R&D effort. Consequently, the customer is able to encourage the contractor to focus its R&D efforts on activities important to the customer’s long-range, strategic objectives in areas such as national defense.

3.51 Paragraph 8 of FASB Statement No. 2 defines research and development as follows:
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a. Research is planned search or critical investigation aimed at discovery of new knowledge with the hope that such knowledge will be useful in developing a new product or service (hereinafter product) or a new process or technique (hereinafter process) or in bringing about a significant improvement to an existing product or process.

b. Development is the translation of research findings or other knowledge into a plan or design for a new product or process or for a significant improvement to an existing product or process whether intended for sale or use. It includes the conceptual formulation, design, and testing of product alternatives, construction of prototypes, and operation of pilot plants. It does not include routine or periodic alterations to existing products, production lines, manufacturing processes, and other ongoing operations even though those alterations may represent improvements, and it does not include market research or market testing activities.

Paragraph 9 of FASB Statement No. 2 provides the following examples of the various types of activities that "typically would be included in research and development in accordance with paragraph 8 [of FASB Statement No. 2]":

a. Laboratory research aimed at discovery of new knowledge
b. Searching for application of new research findings or other knowledge
c. Conceptual formulation and design of possible product or process alternatives
d. Testing in search for or evaluation of product or process alternatives
e. Modification of the formulation or design of a product or process
f. Design, construction, and testing of preproduction prototypes and models
g. Design of tools, jigs, molds, and dies involving new technology
h. Design, construction, and operation of a pilot plant that is not of a scale economically feasible to the enterprise for commercial production
i. Engineering activity required to advance the design of a product to the point that it meets specific functional and economic requirements and is ready for manufacture.

3.52 Although accounting for the costs of R&D activities conducted for others under a contractual arrangement is not covered by FASB Statement No. 2, the types of activities (and contractual arrangements) described in paragraph 3.51 are not performed for the purposes of designing, engineering, fabricating, constructing, and manufacturing tangible assets (product). Instead, such activities are undertaken with the expectation that the results of the R&D effort may be used in future production applications.

3.53 The committee believes that certain arrangements are different from production-type contracts covered in SOP 81-1 and that such activities are research and development as previously defined in paragraph 3.51. Consequently, the committee believes that contract accounting principles do not apply to those arrangements meeting all of the following conditions:

a. Activities performed in connection with the contractual arrangement qualify as research and development as defined by FASB Statement No. 2.
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b. The contractor retains a right to the data and results of the research and development activities.

c. The contractual arrangement obligates the contractor to perform only on a best-efforts basis to achieve the agreed-upon objectives of the research and development activity, rather than to deliver a product or service meeting defined performance or other (such as design) specifications.

d. At the inception of the contract, the contractor and the customer enter into the arrangement with the expectation that costs will be incurred in excess of amounts to be funded. This condition will be met if contractual or other documentation specifically evidences acknowledgment of this expectation by both the contractor and the customer. Implicit in this condition is the existence of significant uncertainty at the date the contractor enters into the arrangement regarding the likelihood of successfully securing follow-on contracts related to the research and development activity.

e. The research and development arrangement is not combined with other contracts or segmented in accordance with paragraphs .35–.42 of SOP 81-1.

f. The federal government is the sole or principal expected ultimate customer (including foreign military sales) for the research and development activity or products directly resulting from the R&D activity subject to the arrangements.

3.54 The types of arrangements described in paragraph 3.50 should be recognized as R&D expense as incurred in conformity with FASB Statement No. 2. Furthermore, because of the cost-sharing nature of these fixed-price R&D arrangements, the amounts funded by the customer should be recognized as an offset to the contractor’s aggregate R&D expense rather than as contract revenues. The following simplified example illustrates the accounting entries for recording these types of transactions.

Assumptions

- Estimated aggregate cost to be incurred by contractor in the specified R&D activity: $150
- Anticipated reimbursement from customer: $100
- As of the current reporting date 50 percent of the estimated aggregate costs have been incurred
- Customer has paid 30 percent of its pro rata funding

Accounting Entries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D Expense</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To record expenditures for R&amp;D in connection with the XYZ project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivable</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D Expense</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To record amounts receivable and collections of receivables from customer in connection with the XYZ project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.55 If any of the conditions described in paragraph 3.53 are not met, the types of arrangements described in paragraph 3.50 should be accounted for
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in conformity with the guidance contained in the section of this chapter titled "Determining a Basic Accounting Policy for Government Contracts." In other words, contracts to deliver a product or perform a service to the buyer's specifications should be accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method or, if appropriate, the completed-contract method of accounting. Provisions for losses from such arrangements should be made in the period in which they become evident. In those instances where this method of accounting is appropriate, the auditor should consider the audit considerations relating to billed and unbilled receivables as discussed in paragraphs 4.193–199.

3.56 Financial statement disclosures for the types of research and development arrangements described in paragraph 3.50 should provide sufficient information to enable financial statement users to assess the potential impact of future commitments upon the earnings and cash flows of current and future accounting periods.

3.57 Such disclosures should include—

a. Significant terms and conditions of the research and development arrangements (including estimated total contract expenditures and customer funding), a general description of the activities to be performed under the arrangement, any unusual contractual commitments or funding contingencies, and a description of the accounting policy for such research and development arrangements.

b. The net amount of research and development costs incurred for such arrangements and the amount of customer funding recorded as an offset to such costs for each period an income statement is presented.

Program Accounting

3.58 Program accounting is a method of accounting for the costs of certain products manufactured for delivery under production-type contracts. Under this method, costs are accumulated and accounted for by programs rather than by individual units or individual contracts. A program consists of the estimated number of units of a product to be produced by an enterprise in a continuing, long-term production effort for delivery under existing and anticipated contracts. The program is used as the accounting cost center for accumulating costs and allocating costs to cost of sales.

3.59 In practice, the program method of accounting has had very limited applications, such as in major commercial aircraft production sold to commercial (or, in some cases, commercial and government) customers. It is not often used because of (a) the significant uncertainties associated with making reasonably dependable estimates of the total number of units to be produced and sold, (b) the length of time to produce and sell them, and (c) the associated production costs and selling prices.

3.60 The unique aspects of the government procurement process make estimating the market and timing of deliveries extremely difficult. For example, estimating quantities and prices of future purchases by the government would be affected directly by the amount and timing of funding allocated to the program. If funding were reduced or eliminated, the effect on the program could be substantial.
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3.61 In addition, the government, rather than the contractor, often retains rights to tooling and design data. This limits the potential market of the contractor and increases the risk of estimating the total market for a program by narrowing its potential customer base. Therefore, the program method of accounting is not appropriate for government contracts or subcontracts except as provided in paragraph 3.59.

Contract Costs Included in Inventory

3.62 Practice varies among government contractors concerning the extent to which costs are included in inventory. Some contractors include in inventory all direct costs and only certain indirect costs—for example, allocated manufacturing and engineering overhead expenses. This practice is consistent with the belief of many accountants that certain expenditures do not fall within the definition contained in ARB No. 43 that defines inventory costs "generally as the price paid or consideration given to acquire an asset." Chapter 4 of ARB No. 43 also concludes that "general and administrative expenses should be included as period charges, except for the portion of such expenses that may be clearly related to production and thus constitute a part of inventory costs (product charges)."

3.63 Other contractors record as inventory all costs identified with the contract, including an allocation of general and administrative, independent research and development, and bidding and proposal expenses. This practice derives its support from the concept of matching revenues and expenses inasmuch as the negotiation of the price of a fixed-price contract specifically includes allocable costs deemed allowable under government procurement regulations. Therefore, many accountants believe that costs incurred pursuant to a government contract are associated directly with the contract’s revenue, and both should be recognized in the same period. Additionally, any costs anticipated to be allocated to contract inventory should be included in the determination of the contract’s estimated profit or loss. Periodic income should be approximately the same under either approach when the cost-to-cost or other similar input measure of the percentage-of-completion method is used. Theoretically, this will also be true for the completed-contract method. However, certain output measures of the percentage-of-completion method may result in substantially different periodic income under each of the practices previously described. A contractor’s accounting practices with respect to costs included in inventory should be disclosed in the notes to financial statements.

Financial Statement Reporting and Disclosure

3.64 Financial statement reporting and disclosure requirements of government contractors generally do not differ from the requirements for other business enterprises. This section discusses reporting and disclosure practices unique to government contractors. These practices apply when sales or revenues made under government contracts and subcontracts constitute an important portion of the contractor’s operations.

3.65 In addition to the authoritative pronouncements of the FASB, the AICPA, and regulatory agencies providing general standards of financial statement disclosure requirements, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Accounting Series Release No. 138 and No. 164 and Financial
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Reporting Release No. 32. These SEC reporting policies provide specific guidance to publicly held companies regarding (a) long-term contracts and programs, (b) extraordinary, or material, unusual charges and credits to income, and (c) material provisions for losses.

3.66 According to ARB 43 chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," inventories are based on the principle that the primary basis of accounting for inventory is cost. ARB 43 also requires that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and spoilage be recognized as current-period charges. FASB Statement No. 151, Inventory Costs—an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, improves financial reporting by amending ARB 43 chapter 4 to clarify that abnormal amounts of costs should be recognized as period costs.

Accounting Policies

3.67 Disclosure of significant accounting policies is required in the presentation of financial statements in conformity with APB Opinion No. 22, Accounting Policies. In adhering to this requirement, disclosures by government contractors include a description of the following accounting practices:

- Basis for stating amounts related to contracts in progress (including practices with respect to accounting for indirect costs)
- Methods of determining revenues and related costs (including the policies with respect to combining and segmenting contracts and the recognition of contract incentives)
- Methods of measuring extent of progress toward completion (when the percentage-of-completion method is used)
- Specific criteria used to determine when a contract is substantially complete (when the completed-contract method is used)

Receivables

3.68 Receivables from the U.S. government may include billed and unbilled amounts. Unbilled amounts arise when sales or revenues, though appropriately recorded, cannot be billed yet under terms of the contract or when unit prices for items shipped have not been determined. Government contract receivables, if material, usually are shown separately from other receivables in the balance sheet (or otherwise disclosed). Unbilled amounts (net of unliquidated progress payments) should be stated separately when the amounts constitute a significant portion of the U.S. government contract receivables. The amount of progress payments offset against unbilled receivables should also be disclosed, if material. See SOP 01-6, Accounting by Certain Entities (Including Entities With Trade Receivables) That Lend to or Finance the Activities of Others (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, ACC sec. 10,850), which includes guidance regarding recognition, presentation, and disclosure principles for trade receivables not held for sale.

3.69 Accounts receivable from customers other than the government often arise from prime contractor-subcontractor activity in connection with government contracts. These receivables require many of the same considerations

---

4 The SEC subsequently incorporated certain Accounting Series Releases (ASRs) into the Codification of Financial Reporting Policies (CFRP). ASR No. 138 was codified as section 216 of the CFRP, Disclosure of Unusual Charges and Credits to Income, and ASR No. 164 was codified as section 206 of the CFRP, Disclosures Related to Defense and Other Long-Term Contract Activities.
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Concerning allowable costs, billable amounts, and other related matters as do receivables from the government under prime contracts. However, receivables of a subcontractor from a higher-tier contractor will also involve the usual credit and collection problems (which typically do not exist with respect to amounts receivable from the government) associated with normal commercial receivables.

Inventories and Partial Payments

3.70 In general, inventories related to government contracts represent costs accumulated under fixed-price contracts accounted for under the completed-contract method and certain output measures of the percentage-of-completion method. Costs under cost-reimbursement contracts or fixed-price contracts accounted for under most input measures of percentage-of-completion methods (such as costs-to-cost), even though accumulated in contract inventory-type accounts, are generally classified as unbilled receivables.

3.71 Under government procurement regulations, certain costs, such as postretirement benefit obligations, cannot be allocated to contracts in the same period in which they are recorded under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). In accordance with paragraphs .69–.72 of SOP 81-1, certain contractors inventory these costs to the extent that the costs will be recoverable under government contracts. Other contractors, however, charge these costs to expense as incurred. Assets recorded related to timing differences between the recognition of costs under contract costing purposes and under GAAP should only be recorded to the extent that recovery is probable.

3.72 Most contractors bill contract amounts as promptly as practicable. Costs incurred under cost-reimbursement contracts usually are reimbursable and, therefore, are billed as incurred. In many fixed-price contract situations, the contractor receives some payment as work progresses. Such payments may be in the form of progress payments, payments made on the basis of partial delivery, performance payments, or advance payments. Procurement regulations and contract provisions govern the form and timing of the payments.

3.73 Predominant practice among government contractors provides that progress payments received on fixed-price contracts are usually applied (by individual contract) first to amounts carried in unbilled receivables, with any remainder applied to accumulated costs of contracts in progress (inventories). This practice is based on the view that, pursuant to the standard progress payments clause contained in most government contracts, legal title to the related inventories vests with the U.S. government on the contractor’s receipt of progress payments. However, some legal controversy exists: Some contractors believe that the standard progress payment clause entitles the U.S. government only to a lien or secured interest in the related inventory. If this controversy is resolved by a legal determination that the U.S. government receives only a secured interest in contract inventories, progress payments received should be accounted for as a financing transaction. Amounts representing progress payments billed but not yet received by the contractor are not shown in the balance sheet because it would be improper to show uncollected progress payments as an offset to inventories. Although advance payments differ from progress payments in that they are not related to progress of work on a contract, they are reported in a manner similar to progress payments. However, advance payments received in excess of unbilled receivables and accumulated costs are classified as a liability; if material, they are described typically by such captions as "advance
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payments on U.S. government contracts” or “amounts received in excess of costs incurred under U.S. government contracts.”

3.74 If progress or advance payments have been received on contracts, the nature, the amount, the classification, and the existence of protective title to inventories under the contracts to which the payments relate are usually disclosed in the financial statements.

Balance Sheet Classification of Contract-Related Assets and Liabilities

3.75 The predominant practice among government contractors is to present classified balance sheets on the basis of one year or the operating cycle (if it exceeds one year).

3.76 For most contractors, the operating cycle is difficult to measure with precision because it is determined by contracts of varying durations. Chapter 3 of ARB No. 43 defines the operating cycle as “the average time intervening between the acquisition of materials or services entering [the production] process and the final cash realization.”

3.77 The operating cycle of a contractor is determined by a composite of many individual contracts in various stages of completion. Thus, the operating cycle of a contractor is measured by the duration of contracts, that is, the average time intervening between the inception of contracts and the substantial completion of those contracts.

3.78 Chapter 3 of ARB No. 43 defines current assets and current liabilities in relation to the operating cycle. In applying these definitions, the predominant practice for contractors whose operating cycle exceeds one year is to classify all contract-related assets and liabilities as current under the operating cycle concept and to follow the more specific guidance in ARB No. 43 in classifying other assets and liabilities. To promote uniformity of presentation and to narrow the range of variations in practice, contractors should follow the predominant practice in applying ARB No. 43. The following table, while not all inclusive, is a list of assets and liabilities generally considered to be contract-related and classified as current under the operating cycle concept.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract-Related Assets and Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable on contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(including retentions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbilled contract receivables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs in excess of billings and estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>earnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other deferred contract costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment and tooling specifically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purchased for, and expected to be used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solely on, an individual contract or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group of related contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.79 From time to time, government contractors might need to address the issue of asset impairment and disposal. Toward this end, they can look to FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, for guidance. In part, FASB Statement No. 144

- Includes requirements for recognition and measurement of an impairment loss for long-lived assets to be held and used. That includes (a) recognizing an impairment loss only if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable from its undiscounted cash flows and (b) measuring an impairment loss as the difference between the carrying amount and fair value of the asset.

- Indicates that long-lived assets to be disposed of other than by sale (abandonment, exchange, or spin-off) be considered held and used until disposed of.

- Indicates that the accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale be used for all such long-lived assets, whether previously held and used or newly acquired. The model requires the measure of a long-lived asset held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell and to cease depreciation. Thus, discontinued operations are no longer measured on a net realizable value basis, and future operating losses are no longer recognized before they occur.

3.80 Impairment losses are treated as unallowable costs for government contract costing purposes (FAR 31.205-16(g)). Also, depreciation for impaired assets will be allowed as if the asset values had not been written down according to FAR 31.205-11(g)(2). A change in depreciation method is permitted from other causes, such as changes in estimates of service life, consumption of service, or residual value. Thus, the contractor will be obligated to keep a duplicate set of records in this instance—one set for government contract cost accounting and another for financial reporting purposes.

**Income Statement Classification and Disclosures**

3.81 The form and content (including descriptive captions) of the income statement for enterprises involved in government contracting are the same as for other business enterprises. Revenues, costs, and expenses are not segregated in the income statement between government and nongovernment business. However, certain other matters related to the operations of an enterprise involved in long-term government contracts may require disclosure in the notes to financial statements. These disclosures are covered in paragraphs 3.86–94.

3.82 The government contractor may be faced with significant problems in performing long-term contracts and estimating contract costs, profits, and losses. Those problems are often more severe for the contractor performing contracts that call for complex systems or involve significant technological advances. Although it is not possible to describe the many situations that may be encountered or indicate the specific financial statement disclosures that may be appropriate in each instance, the obligation exists to disclose information affecting the conclusions formed by a reasonably informed reader, including contingencies. Following are situations and types of information that affect income statement comparability and, therefore, may indicate a need for amplification in the notes to financial statements:

- Unusual or infrequent contract price adjustments
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• Substantial provisions for loss
• Material changes in contract estimates
• Substantial incentive income
• Significant claims revenues
• Significant problems encountered in the performance of contracts materially affecting operations

Presentation of Statement of Cash Flows


3.84 The form and content (including descriptive captions) of the statement of cash flows for government contractors are similar to those for other business enterprises. In the statement of cash flows, cash receipts and payments should be classified as relating to operating, investing, or financing activities. FASB Statement No. 95 provides definitions of each of these categories and encourages enterprises to report cash flows from operating activities directly by showing major classes of operating receipts and payments (the direct method). However, enterprises may report cash flows from operating activities by adjusting net income to reconcile it to net cash provided from operating activities (the indirect method). Many government contractors use the indirect method of reporting cash flows.

3.85 In reporting cash flows from operating activities, progress and advance payments received on contracts should be reported gross, regardless of whether those payments have been applied against unbilled contract receivables or accumulated costs of contracts in progress (inventories) in the balance sheet. Accordingly, government contractors that use the direct method in reporting cash flows from operating activities should show progress and advance payments received on contracts, if significant, as a separate major class of cash receipts. Government contractors that use the indirect method should show progress and advance payments, if significant, as a separate adjustment in reconciling net income to net cash provided for operating activities. If progress and advance payments are accounted for as borrowings, such amounts should be reported as cash received from financing activities.

Other Reporting Matters

3.86 Additional disclosures of significant information may be appropriate with respect to government contract activities and should be considered in light of the circumstances and conditions that may arise from time to time. Some of those matters are discussed in paragraphs 3.87–.95.

3.87 Defective pricing. As discussed in chapter 2, the Truth in Negotiations Act permits the government to make contract price reductions if a contractor fails to submit certified accurate, current, and complete cost or pricing data before award of certain negotiated contracts or contract amendments. When defective pricing exists, contract prices, including profit or fee, may be adjusted, and disclosure should be made if the amounts are material. Instances may occur when defective pricing may be alleged by the government but disputed by the contractor. In these cases, consideration of the circumstances (including consultation with legal counsel) and judgment is required. If the potential amounts...
involved are material, disclosure in the notes to financial statements should be made in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5.

3.88 Contract claims. Contract claims, either against the contractor or the government, often arise in connection with government contracts and subcontracts. Generally, those situations are considered to be a normal consequence of business and not sufficiently important to warrant disclosure except when amounts involved become significant to the overall financial statements. The accounting and reporting of claims is prescribed in paragraphs .65–.67 of SOP 81-1. (Common audit procedures related to claims transactions are covered in chapter 4.)

3.89 An important consideration in reporting contract claims is the determination of costs associated with a claim. Frequently, costs associated with a claim are not accumulated in separate accounts during the performance of a contract but are identified only at a later date. In those cases, the basis for a claim may be derived from internal management reports or subsequent studies of costs incurred. The studies, data, and estimates used to establish the value of the recorded assets in assessing the recoverability of claim-related assets should be reconcilable to the accounting records and attributable to the claim to satisfy the requirements of the SOP. In the event this condition is not met, no deferral of claim-related costs or accrual of revenue from claims should be recorded. Furthermore, paragraph .65 of SOP 81-1 precludes recognition of claim revenue in excess of contract costs incurred and concludes that recognition of “contract revenue relating to claims is appropriate only if it is probable that the claim will result in additional contract revenue and if the amount can be reliably estimated.” Material amounts of claims revenue should be disclosed in the notes to financial statements.

3.90 Unusual contracts and provisions. A government contractor may enter into a contract or subcontract so different in type, amount, or other condition from other contracts in which the contractor is involved that disclosure might be warranted. For example, a contractor may customarily engage in firm fixed-price contracts that are relatively consistent in amount. However, if the contractor undertakes a fixed-price-incentive-type contract much greater in amount and subject to substantial added risks, additional disclosure about the new contract may be warranted. On the other hand, the terms of a contract might be sufficiently unique to warrant specific disclosure. For example, disclosure of a substantial award fee or penalties to be determined at the conclusion of the contract may be warranted.

3.91 Cost Limitations. Fully funded cost-reimbursement contracts contain a clause entitled "Limitation of Cost" (FAR subsection 52.232-20). If the total estimated cost and fee is funded incrementally by the government, a cost-reimbursement contract contains a clause entitled "Limitation of Funds" (FAR subsection 52.232-22). Pursuant to these clauses, the contractor shall notify the contracting officer of the need to increase the contract’s estimated cost or funds allotted. Unless the contracting officer acts on the notification to increase the estimated cost or funding, the government is not obligated to reimburse the contractor for costs incurred in excess of the estimated cost or allotted funds; conversely, the contractor is not obligated to continue performance once costs up to the level of the estimated cost (for fully funded contracts) or allotted funds (for incrementally funded contracts) have been incurred. Therefore, a contractor incurs costs in excess of the amount funded by the government at its own risk. Costs in excess of amounts funded should be expensed as incurred unless
the facts and circumstances clearly support the classification of such costs as assets, as defined in paragraphs 25–34 of SFAC No. 6. If material, the amount of capitalized costs in excess of funding should be disclosed in the notes to financial statements.

3.92 Disclosure of information about major customers. For public registrants, information about the contractor’s major customers should be disclosed in conformity with FASB Statement No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*. Although disclosure of the amounts of U.S. government and foreign military sales or sales by type of contract and government agency may not be required by the statement, some contractors consider it to be additional useful information.

3.93 Capitalization of interest costs. Some government contracts may appear to qualify for capitalization of interest costs in conformity with FASB Statement No. 34, *Capitalization of Interest Cost*. However, FASB Statement No. 34 paragraph 10 proscribes interest capitalization for assets employed in the earnings activities of an enterprise. Because many contractors recognize revenue under the percentage-of-completion method as the related asset is being constructed, the asset is considered to be employed in the earnings activities of the contractor. Further, many production-type contracts require the manufacture of inventories that are routinely manufactured or otherwise produced in large quantities on a repetitive basis, for which interest capitalization is considered to be inappropriate. Accordingly, the predominant practice among government contractors is to exclude long-term contracts accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method from the interest capitalization provisions of FASB Statement No. 34.

3.94 As previously discussed, revenue under the completed-contract method is recognized only when a contract is completed, and costs are accumulated on the balance sheet during the period of performance. Therefore, costs incurred on a discrete project are qualifying assets when activities are underway to bring the asset to the condition and location necessary for its intended use. When a contractor bills all costs currently, its investment in the asset would be limited to uncollected receivables. Such uncollected amounts would need to be reduced by related noninterest-bearing liabilities, such as accounts and wages payable and accrued payroll taxes. Significant fluctuations in both outstanding receivables and accrued costs may require accumulation for each contract on a daily basis to reasonably determine the amount of such costs qualifying for interest capitalization. The FASB explicitly sought to alleviate unnecessary administrative burdens in applying the requirements for interest capitalization. Therefore, it may be acceptable to exclude such projects from interest capitalization when all costs are being billed and collected currently.

3.95 Financial reporting and changing prices. For those contractors electing to disclose supplementary information on the effects of changing prices in accordance with FASB Statement No. 89, *Financial Reporting and Changing Prices*, the following matters should be taken into consideration when calculating the purchasing power gain or loss on net monetary items:

- In practice, contract inventories typically are not considered to be monetary assets. This approach is based on the view that, in most cases...
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cases, the negotiated price of the contract under which the inventories are produced provides for the estimated effects of inflation during the period of contract performance.

- Paragraph 96 of FASB Statement No. 89 concludes that advances related to fixed-price contracts, although considered current liabilities, should be classified as nonmonetary items because they represent the government’s claims to nonmonetary goods or services; in other words, these advances are not rights to receive money. Additionally, obligations under warranties should be classified as nonmonetary items because they obligate the contractor to furnish goods or services at future prices.

- Accrued losses on contracts should be classified as monetary items because they are, in essence, future accounts payable.

Accounting for Pensions

3.96 FASB Statement No. 87, Employers’ Accounting for Pensions, as amended, establishes standards of financial accounting and reporting for an employer that offers pension benefits to its employees. FASB Statement No. 132 (R), Employers’ Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits—an amendment of FASB Statements Nos. 87, 88, and 106, as amended, addresses employers’ disclosures about pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans. Although FASB Statement No. 87, as amended, sought to standardize pension accounting among companies, the required accounting may frequently result in a difference between annual pension expense reported in a company’s financial statements and annual pension funding. This difference results from using one actuarial cost method for financial reporting purposes (for example, the projected-unit-credit method) and a different method for funding and tax purposes. Differences may also exist between pension expense reported

---

6 In February 2007, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 158-1, Conforming Amendments to the Illustrations in FASB Statements No. 87, No. 88, and No. 106 and to the Related Staff Implementation Guides. FSP FAS 158-1 updates the illustrations contained in appendix B of FASB Statement No. 87, Employers’ Accounting for Pensions, appendix B of FASB Statement No. 88, Employers’ Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, and appendix C of FASB Statement No. 106, Employers’ Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, to reflect the provisions of FASB Statement No. 158, Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, and 106, and 132(R). This FSP also amends the questions and answers contained in FASB Special Reports, A Guide to Implementation of Statement 87 on Employers’ Accounting for Pensions, A Guide to Implementation of Statement 88 on Employers’ Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, and A Guide to Implementation of Statement 106 on Employers’ Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, and incorporates them into FASB Statements Nos. 87, 88, and 106 as appendixes E, C, and F, respectively. This FSP supersedes those FASB special reports. Finally, this FSP makes conforming changes to other guidance and technical corrections to FASB Statement No. 158. This FSP does not provide additional implementation guidance for FASB Statement No. 158 beyond the conforming changes, nor does it change any of the provisions of FASB Statement No. 158.

4 In March 2008, the FASB issued proposed FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 132(R)-a, Employers’ Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets. The proposed FSP would amend FASB Statement No. 132(R), Employers’ Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits—an amendment of FASB Statements Nos. 87, 88, and 106, so as to improve a public and nonpublic employer’s disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. Additionally, this proposed FSP includes a technical amendment to FASB Statement No. 132(R) that would require a nonpublic entity to disclose net periodic benefit cost. The disclosures about plan assets required by this proposed FSP would be applied on a prospective basis for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. Earlier application of the provisions of this proposed FSP would not be permitted. The technical amendment to FASB Statement 132(R) would be effective upon issuance of the final FSP.
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in a contractor's financial statements and annual pension cost allowable for government contract costing purposes.

3.97 In accordance with paragraph 35 of FASB Statement No. 87, as amended by FASB Statement No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)*, if the projected benefit obligation exceeds the fair value of plan assets, the employer shall recognize in its statement of financial position a liability that equals the unfunded projected benefit obligation. If the fair value of plan assets exceeds the projected benefit obligation, the employer shall recognize in its statement of financial position an asset that equals the overfunded projected benefit obligation. However, FASB Statement No. 158 did not change the FASB Statement No. 87 requirements for computing net periodic benefit costs. Instead, FASB Statement No. 158 requires entities to recognize the gains and losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period, but that are not included in net periodic benefit costs for the period, as a component of other comprehensive income. Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, including the gains and losses, prior service costs or credits, and the transition asset or obligation remaining from the initial application of FASB Statement No. 87, are adjusted as they are subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs. Some accountants believe that, since the government indirectly funded the pension plan via the allocation of allowable pension costs to government contracts, the total amount of any net gains in accumulated other comprehensive income will not be fully recoverable by the contractor should the plan be terminated. This view postulates that, should a plan be terminated and excess pension plan assets revert to the contractor, the government will likely assess the claim against the contractor for reimbursement of its share of the excess. Accordingly, under this assumption, the amount of the amortization of any net gains in accumulated other comprehensive income as a component of net periodic benefit cost should not exceed the net excess expected to be realized from the pension plan termination after the government’s recovery. Other accountants believe that, in the absence of management's intention to terminate a pension plan, no accounting recognition of a possible reimbursement to the government for a share of the excess assets realized by the contractor in a pension plan termination should be given in a contractor's financial statements. Contractors should consider the effect, if any, of the government’s rights with respect to any excess pension plan assets in the event of a plan termination upon the financial statements and notes thereto.

Income Taxes

3.98 Government contractors may report periodic contract income using different accounting methods for financial reporting and tax purposes, resulting in temporary differences. Such differences, as well as others, result from specific and complex tax laws pertaining to long-term contracts. Accordingly, the financial statements of government contractors should include a provision for deferred income taxes.

Intangible Assets

3.99 Government contract clauses are in many cases very different from those found in the commercial marketplace. Accordingly, contractors generally should carefully evaluate their government contracts and legal rights arising from those contracts when identifying intangible assets under the purchase
method of accounting. Paragraph 39 of FASB Statement No. 141, Business Combinations, requires intangible assets acquired in a business combination to be recognized apart from goodwill if the intangible asset (a) arises from a contractual or other legal right or (b) is separable, that is, is capable of being separated or divided from the acquired entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged. Appendix A to FASB Statement No. 141 provides additional guidance relating to the recognition of acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill. Accounting for intangible assets upon acquisition when the intangibles are acquired individually or with a group of other assets (but not those intangibles acquired in a business combination) follows guidance in FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. FASB Statement No. 142 indicates that goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives will not be amortized but, rather, will be tested at least annually for impairment. Intangible assets with finite useful lives will continue to be amortized over their useful lives, but without the constraint of an arbitrary useful life "ceiling."

3.100 FAR 31.205-52 limits allowable amortization of intangible assets resulting from a business combination to the amount that would have been allowed had the combination not occurred. The impact of this regulation, if any, ordinarily should be considered in determining the estimated fair value of any identified intangible assets.

---

1 In December 2007, FASB issued Statement No. 141(R), Business Combinations. The objective of FASB Statement No. 141(R) is to improve the relevance and representational faithfulness of an entity's financial statements when reporting a business combination. FASB Statement No. 141(R) establishes the following requirements:

1. Use of the acquisition method of accounting for all business combinations.
2. Recognize and measure goodwill acquired in a business combination, or from a bargain purchase
3. Determine what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the combination.

FASB Statement No. 141(R) defines the key terms associated with a business combination (for example, acquiree). The statement also requires entities to record assets acquired and liabilities assumed as a result of a business combination at fair value, as defined by FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. The effective date of FASB Statement No. 141(R) is for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with early adoption prohibited.

7 Paragraph 11 of FASB Statement No. 142 has been amended by FSP FAS 141-1 and FAS 142-1, Interaction of FASB Statements No. 141 and No. 142 and EITF Issue No. 04-2.
Chapter 4 *

Auditing Considerations

Introduction

4.01 In accordance with AU section 150, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), an independent auditor plans, conducts, and reports the results of an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS). Auditing standards provide a measure of audit quality and the objectives to be achieved in an audit. This section provides guidance to the independent auditor in performing audits of financial statements of government contractors. Audits of government contractors often involve unique and complex problems, principally because of the environment in which contractors operate and the accounting methods used. Specifically, this section discusses the following:

- Management’s responsibility for establishing and maintaining internal control and some of the factors that contribute to effective internal control for government contractors;
- The auditor’s risk assessment process and other general auditing considerations, including the auditor’s consideration of fraud; and
- Major auditing procedures for government contractors.

4.02 As is the case in all audits, designing the audit plan and determining the audit procedures that respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement is a matter of professional judgment. Accordingly, this section is not intended to mandate auditing procedures to be applied in every audit of a government contractor. Nor is the discussion of management’s responsibility for internal control intended to prescribe the types of controls to be implemented by government contractors in all circumstances. Indeed, the types of controls required and the audit procedures performed by the independent auditor will vary from contractor to contractor depending on the nature of the contractor’s operations and the auditor’s assessment of the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and at the relevant assertion level related to classes of transaction, account balances, and disclosures.

Factors Unique to Government Contractors

4.03 Almost every industry includes companies that are government contractors. However, there are certain industries that are more heavily involved in providing goods and services to the government. These industries include manufacturing, architect-engineering, professional services, construction, aerospace, shipbuilding, and high technology. Consequently, companies engaged in government contracting are subject to the risks associated with

---

* Refer to the preface of this guide for important information about the applicability of the professional standards to audits of issuers and nonissuers (see definitions in the preface).

1 A member performing an attest engagement shall be independent pursuant to Rule 101, Independence (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 2, ET sec. 101 par. .01), of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. Other applicable independence rules/regulations may also apply to members and accountants while performing attest engagements (for example, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), Government Accountability Office, or state licensing boards).
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their respective industries, as well as additional risks that generally are not encountered by other business enterprises in the commercial sector. These additional risks are caused principally by the highly regulated environment in which government contractors operate. Such risks include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:

- Contractors are subject to extensive and complex cost accounting and other regulations, and in some cases, significant penalties even for violations of relatively immaterial dollar amounts (such as penalties under the False Claims Act).
- Business and accounting practices are subject to frequent scrutiny by the government.
- The government has unilateral rights not found in commercial relationships.
- When contracts or contract changes are negotiated based on cost, cost accounting considerations play a vital role in pricing and administering government contracts and, consequently, determining the contractor’s reported financial position and results of operations.

4.04 The operating environment of government contractors vis-à-vis most commercial enterprises also includes the following characteristics:

- Financing in the form of advance payments, progress payments, and the like on fixed-price contracts, and reimbursement of allowable incurred costs on cost-reimbursement contracts
- Cash flow deficiencies if payments requested under public vouchers or progress payment are withheld or retentions are increased because of contract disputes, noncompliances with regulations, or other problems
- Government audit and other oversight of the contractor’s operations that may lead to recommendations for avoiding costs
- Reduced risks relating to excess and obsolete stock
- Unilateral contract changes or claims affecting revenues
- Contract losses, if the contractor is unable to meet its contractual obligations requiring design or manufacture of complex or state-of-the-art products
- Potential for unrealizable investments in equipment and facilities and a general reduction in business activity if government programs and funding policies change
- Possible allegations of defective pricing for failure to submit current, accurate, and complete cost or pricing data
- Possible allegations of cost mischarging for failure to charge direct costs to the proper contract
- Possible allegations of fraud that can lead to loss of contracts, debarment, loss of reputation, or penalties assessed against the contractor, and/or responsible management

4.05 The focus in auditing a government contractor is usually on accounting systems and individual contracts that represent the profit centers for recording cost and recognizing revenue. Because government contractors operate in a highly regulated environment, the extent to which the contractor is complying
with those regulations that have a direct and material effect in determining the amounts in the contractor’s financial statements is a critical audit consideration. Therefore, in addition to the usual audit considerations, it is important for the auditor to focus on the contractor’s policies and practices ensuring compliance with such regulations.

Management’s Responsibility for Internal Control

4.06 This section describes management’s responsibility for maintaining internal control and describes some specific control activities that are typically found in an entity whose internal control is well-designed. The auditor’s responsibility obtaining an understanding of internal control and performing tests of controls is discussed later.

4.07 Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control. For government contractors, internal control encompasses controls governing aspects of the business that are particularly important to contractors, such as estimating and proposal preparation, as well as controls over other segments of the operations typically found in other business enterprises.

4.08 As described in AU section 314, Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), internal control is a process—effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel—designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following categories: (a) reliability of financial reporting, (b) effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and (c) compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Internal control consists of the following five interrelated components:

   a. Control environment
   b. Risk assessment
   c. Control activities
   d. Information and communication systems
   e. Monitoring

The following factors, some of which are unique to government contractors, are particularly important components of a contractor’s internal control:

• Systems for monitoring compliance with government procurement regulations
• Estimating systems and proposal preparation practices
• Contract cost accounting practices
• Contract revenue recognition practices
• Billing procedures and controls
• Change order identification, pricing, and reporting
• Claims processing and reporting
• Inventory costing and control
• Purchasing systems and control
• Government-furnished property
• Cost aspects of related-party and interorganizational transactions
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As directed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) adopted final rules requiring companies subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, other than registered investment companies, to include in their annual reports a report of management on the company's internal control over financial reporting. See the SEC Web site at www.sectiongov/rules/final/33-8238.htm for the full text of the regulation.

The SEC rules clarify that management's assessment and report is limited to internal control over financial reporting. Management is not required to consider other aspects of control, such as controls pertaining to operating efficiency. The SEC's definition of internal control encompasses the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) definition but the SEC does not mandate that the entity use COSO as its criteria for judging effectiveness.

Annual Reporting Requirements

Under the SEC rules, the company's annual Form 10-K must include:

1. Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. This report on the company's internal control over financial reporting should contain:
   a. A statement of management's responsibilities for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting.
   b. A statement identifying the framework used by management to evaluate the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting.
   c. Management's assessment of the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the most recent fiscal year, including a statement as to whether or not internal control over financial reporting is effective. This discussion must include disclosure of any material weakness in the company's internal control over financial reporting identified by management. Management is not permitted to conclude that the registrant's internal control over financial reporting is effective if there are one or more material weaknesses in the company's internal control over financial reporting.
   d. A statement that the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) registered public accounting firm audited the financial statements included in the annual report and issued an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting.

2. Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm. This report is the registered public accounting firm's attestation report on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting.

3. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. This report must disclose any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect the company's internal control over financial reporting.
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The SEC rules also require management to evaluate any change in the entity’s internal control that occurred during a fiscal quarter and that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the entity’s internal control over financial reporting.

Additionally, management is required to evaluate the effectiveness of the entity’s disclosure controls and procedures and issue a report as to their effectiveness on a quarterly basis. With these rules, the SEC introduced a new term, disclosure controls and procedures, which is different from internal controls over financial reporting and much broader.

As defined, disclosure controls and procedures encompass the controls over all material financial and nonfinancial information in Exchange Act reports. Information that would fall under this definition that would not be part of an entity’s internal control over financial reporting might include the signing of a significant contract, changes in a strategic relationship, management compensation, or legal proceedings.

Control Environment

4.09 The control environment sets the tone of an organization, influencing the control consciousness of its people. It is the foundation for all other components of internal control, providing discipline and structure. Those charged with governance and management create the overall environment that establishes the attitudes of the entire organization and develop the controls intended to provide continued and effective monitoring of the organization’s operations. The auditor should obtain sufficient knowledge of the control environment to understand the attitudes, awareness, and actions of those charged with governance concerning the entity’s internal control and its importance in achieving reliable financial reporting.

4.10 Because internal control in areas such as progress billing and compliance with government regulations is significant to government contractors, management’s attitude toward controls is an important consideration. Without the overall guidance and involvement of top management, there is less assurance that controls will operate effectively and that errors and irregularities material to the financial statements will be prevented or, at a minimum, detected and corrected on a timely basis.

4.11 Control environment factors include the following:
   a. Integrity and ethical values
   b. Commitment to competence
   c. Participation of those charged with governance
   d. Management philosophy and operating style
   e. Organizational structure
   f. Assignment of authority and responsibility
   g. Human resource policies and practices

Systems for Monitoring Compliance With Government Procurement Regulations

4.12 Government contractors should implement controls designed to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with applicable regulations and to
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provide the capability for identifying and responding to changes in those regulations. Besides noncompliance, inadequate controls in those areas can result in loss of revenues, penalties, and, in some cases, suspension or debarment (as discussed in chapter 2). The operating environment includes regulations that are expected to change frequently and regulations that are often subject to stringent interpretation and application.

4.13 Contractors with substantial cost-based business with the government are generally required to comply with Cost Accounting Standards (CAS). However, for those contractors not required to comply, the CAS may provide guidance in the area of cost accounting. In addition, as a contractor’s volume of cost-based government work increases and full CAS compliance becomes imminent, an understanding and preparation for CAS may facilitate a more effective transition to full CAS compliance when required.

4.14 Government contractors are required to comply with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and certain FAR supplements. As discussed in the “Acquisition Regulations” section of chapter 2, the FAR is a compendium of rules governing essentially all aspects of the acquisition process. Included in the FAR is a detailed set of cost principles, an understanding of which is critical to a contractor’s ability to properly account for contract costs and to price contracts knowledgeably. Understanding and complying with the cost principles and other applicable provisions of the FAR are essential to a contractor’s success in doing business with the government.

4.15 Contractor controls to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with applicable government regulations may include the following procedures:

- Ensure that all personnel performing functions requiring compliance with government regulations are adequately trained and supervised
- Establish and communicate compliance controls
- Monitor compliance with such controls
- Monitor changes in regulations
- React to and communicate results of government audits and reviews
- Encourage communication with top management regarding potential compliance problems
- Document support for reasonableness of significant cost elements
- Ensure that documents filed with the government, such as the CAS Disclosure Statement, are properly revised as changes are made to systems and procedures

Estimating Systems and Proposal Preparation

4.16 Controls in this area are necessary to produce reliable cost estimates in support of contract proposals, to identify the sources of factual data used in developing such cost estimates, and to provide reasonable assurance that the cost or pricing data submitted are accurate, current, and complete. In general, lack of control over the estimating system and the proposal preparation process can increase management’s risk of: experiencing contract overruns due to underestimations of cost; or violating the Truth in Negotiations Act (TINA), where overestimations of cost result in noncurrent, inaccurate, or incomplete cost or pricing data.
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4.17 A contractor’s estimating system and proposal preparation controls should provide reasonable assurance that

- written estimating controls are maintained.
- estimates are consistently prepared.
- prices and quantities are obtained from approved sources.
- forward pricing and provisional overhead rates are based on current financial data and consistent with the latest management plan.
- estimates are adequately documented and include support for any management pricing decisions reflected in the final proposal.
- changes in cost or pricing data are communicated so that proposals and updates to proposals reflect accurate, current, and complete data.
- subcontract proposals are reviewed by the contractor; updated by the subcontractor as appropriate; and, if subject to TINA, certified by the subcontractor when subcontract prices are definitive.
- materials and subcontracts are obtained at advantageous prices, reflecting appropriate use of competitive acquisition techniques.
- estimates are mathematically accurate and independently reviewed by appropriate levels of management knowledgeable of government contracting requirements.
- cost estimates comply with applicable FAR cost principles and CAS.

Contract-Cost Accounting Practices

4.18 A contractor uses contract-cost information to control costs, evaluate the status and profitability of contracts, and in some cases, prepare customer billings. Therefore, the importance of accurate cost information cannot be overemphasized.

4.19 Contract-cost records should be designed to facilitate comparisons of actual costs with estimated costs. The records should provide for the classification and summarization of costs into appropriate categories, such as materials, subcontract charges, labor, labor-related costs, equipment costs, and overhead, in a manner consistent with the applicable regulations, such as CAS 401. The accounting system should provide for the periodic submission of detailed cost reports to management and to project managers. Their review of these reports serves to identify potential operational and financial problems on contracts, check on the reasonableness of the cost records, and reduce the possibility of having inappropriate costs charged to the contract.

4.20 Although recommended controls over the recognition, distribution, and accumulation of contract costs of government contractors are similar to those for commercial contractors, due to the unique features of the government environment, government contractors ordinarily should give special attention to establishing and maintaining controls to provide reasonable assurance that

- costs are accurately distributed to the contract(s) or other cost objective(s) (for example, an independent research and development project) for which they are incurred.
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- costs are reasonable and in accordance with the specific contract provisions.
- the cost accounting system segregates unallowable costs or has the capability of providing sufficient detail to do so.
- practices used to allocate costs to contracts are reasonable, reflect the beneficial or causal relationship between costs and cost objectives, and are in conformity with the contractor's established practices and applicable FAR cost principles and CAS.
- costs are estimated and accumulated in a manner consistent with the contractor's established practices and applicable FAR cost principles and CAS.
- memorandum records, if any, used by the contractor are reconciled periodically to the contractor's formal accounting records.
- costs incurred on all projects or contracts during the period are reconciled to the costs reflected in the financial statements.
- costs associated with contracts that have not been formally funded by the government are evaluated for recoverability. A contractor's prior experience with work on unfunded contracts is an important consideration in the contractor's evaluation.

Contract-Revenue Recognition Practices

4.21 A contractor's internal control over contract revenues should be designed to provide reliable information on the amount and timing of contract-revenue recognition. The nature of these controls depends on the method of revenue recognition used by the contractor.

4.22 Contract revenues (but not necessarily the timing of revenue recognition) are a function of the terms of the contract, and generally bear a relationship, at least indirectly, to contract costs. Revenues are related directly to the costs incurred on cost-reimbursement contracts. Revenues on fixed-price contracts may be a function of costs incurred (for example, fixed-price-incentive contracts) or may be independent of costs incurred (for example, firm-fixed-price contracts). Noncompliance with applicable FAR cost principles, CAS, and TINA may have a direct effect on the amount of revenue recognized, as a result of cost disallowances or downward price adjustments. In other words, recoverability of cost affects revenue. Regardless of the extent to which contract costs directly determine total contract revenue, contract costs often serve as the basis for estimating contract progress and, therefore, may directly affect revenue recognition. A government contractor should establish control activities to provide reasonable assurance that

- estimates of contract revenues and costs are updated periodically and reported to the appropriate levels of management.
- revenue recognition is based on current estimates of progress in terms of cost incurred, physical completion in relation to contract statement of work, or another appropriate measure.
- recorded contract revenues are periodically compared with contract terms for compliance.
- change order and claim revenues are recognized in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
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- adjustments to previously recognized contract profits resulting from management decisions are thoroughly documented.
- revenues recognized on all contracts during the period are reconciled to the total revenues reflected in the financial statements.
- management reporting of estimate updates provide sufficient information to allow for the determination of whether a contract loss reserve is required.

Billing Procedures and Controls

4.23 Billing procedures for government contractors may differ substantially from those of other business enterprises. For example, most contractors submit progress billings (interim payment requests) during contract performance based on either costs incurred (public vouchers for cost-reimbursement contracts or requests for progress payments for fixed-price contracts) or predetermined performance milestones (performance-based billing). In addition, the contractor may submit billings in advance of performance (requests for advance payment).

4.24 FAR Part 32, "Contract Financing," sets forth the recordkeeping and reporting requirements covering advance, progress, and performance-based billings. FAR Subpart 42.7 addresses the requirements related to billing rates and final indirect cost rates. The allowable cost and payment clause (FAR Subsection 52.216-7) and payments under time and material (T&M) and labor-hour contracts clause (FAR Subsection 52.232-7) provide the record keeping and reporting requirements for cost-reimbursement contracts and T&M and labor-hour contracts, respectively. The contractor’s internal control should provide reasonable assurance that the personnel responsible for billing the government receive adequate training in the applicable regulations and are provided with timely and accurate billing-related information for each contract. Procedures may include ensuring that the method of billing is in accordance with the specific contract terms and is reviewed and approved at an appropriate level of management.

Change Order Identification, Pricing, and Reporting

4.25 Because of the frequency of change orders and the stringent requirements for obtaining an equitable price adjustment for a change, it is important for government contractors to have in place effective controls over the identification, negotiation, and processing of changes.

4.26 In the case of formal change orders, entitlement is acknowledged by the government. Accordingly, formal changes present fewer problems to contractors in terms of revenue recognition and cost recovery than constructive change orders. Because constructive changes normally are not accompanied by concurrent negotiation between the parties, they are frequently identified only after the work has begun or occasionally after it has been completed. This makes determination of entitlement more difficult. Consequently, costs may have already been incurred and often have not been segregated in the cost records before the contractor realizes a constructive change order has occurred. At that point, it is often difficult to quantify the actual cost of the constructive change order. This is particularly true for complex contracts or projects where delays and disruptions in any one phase of the project may create a ripple effect that negatively affects other phases of the project not directly affected by the change. The contractor’s internal control generally includes control activities providing
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for communication between contract management and the estimating and accounting functions. Such procedures minimize the risks of failing to produce reasonable estimates and documentation of the impact of a constructive change order. Constructive change orders, by their nature, are difficult to identify; however, frequent communication and status updates may enable management to more quickly identify events that represent, or lead, to constructive change orders.

4.27 In addition to control activities for identifying change orders, contractors may also consider the need for control activities over the segregation of costs incurred for work performed on change orders when changes have occurred. Establishing a new job or activity code for each change order on a contract may assist management in monitoring and controlling performance of the change. For constructive changes, the lack of early identification may complicate the accurate and complete capturing of all incurred costs. In this event, the contractor might use the cost records, to the maximum extent practical, to estimate costs of the change, including delay and disruption costs. The contractor might also consider maintaining memorandum records, as allowed by FAR and CAS, in support of the change.

Claims Processing and Reporting

4.28 Claims may arise from disputes over change orders or constructive change orders. (See paragraphs 4.202–.203 for a further discussion of constructive change orders.) Like change orders, claim revenues and costs often determine the ultimate profitability of a contract. For this reason, it is important for the contractor to have controls in place to provide reasonable assurance that

- potential claim conditions are identified on a timely basis.
- the government is notified on a timely basis when there is evidence that a potential claim exists.
- the basis for entitlement and the costs relating to the changed conditions are fully documented.
- the impact of the claims on reported contract revenues and costs are appropriately recognized.
- costs associated with the claim are segregated.

4.29 The preceding discussion applies equally to subcontractor claims against the prime contractor; however, there is generally no privity of contract between the subcontractor and the government. Therefore, when evaluating realization of the subcontractor’s claims, both the subcontractor and the subcontractor’s auditors will generally focus on the contract with the prime contractor and the prime contractor’s ability to pay or willingness to sponsor the subcontractor’s claim with the government. Frequently, subcontractor claims are the result of actions by the government that form the basis for a claim by the prime contractor against the government. In these cases, recovery of the subcontractor’s claim may be delayed pending the settlement of the prime contractor’s claim against the government.

Inventory Costing and Control

4.30 From a government contractor’s perspective, the principal risk involving inventories is realization. However, unlike a commercial concern that acquires materials and produces inventory for the general market, a government contractor typically is producing inventory for a specific customer under
specific contracts. Therefore, when a government contract is complete, evaluating the realization of any remaining inventory is often relatively straightforward. Indeed, such inventory, if any, may belong to the government. For these reasons, the government contractor’s risks relating to excess and obsolete stock may be less than those of a commercial enterprise. However, the use of a borrow/payback system in which inventory is transferred between contracts may present the risk that inventory could be transferred at an incorrect cost, that credit for the inventory transferred may not be applied to the proper contracts, and that inventory transfers may be made without proper government approvals, where required, or in violation of other applicable contract provisions or regulations such as those governing the acceptability of the contractor’s material management and accounting systems (MMAS). MMAS requirements for defense contractors are outlined in Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Subpart 242.72 and the contract clause, material management and accounting systems (DFARS Subsection 252.242-7004). MMAS standards require

- adequate policies, procedures, and operating instructions, including those related to transfer methodologies and loan/payback techniques;
- cost allocations based on valid time-phased requirements;
- accuracy standards for bills of material, master production schedules, and inventories;
- identification of system control weaknesses and manual overrides; and
- adequate audit trails.

4.31 A defense contractor’s failure to comply with the MMAS standards can result in the reduction or suspension of progress payments. Consequently, defense contractors ordinarily should have controls in place to assure that any MMAS deficiencies are promptly identified and corrected.

Government-Furnished Property

4.32 Many government contractors use property furnished by the government. Consequently, they are subject to specific requirements regarding control over and accountability for such property. Government-furnished property includes equipment used in the operation of the business as well as materials included in the final product. FAR Part 45 describes the contractor’s responsibilities for government-furnished property, including regulations covering

- safeguarding government-furnished assets.
- recordkeeping and reporting the use and the disposal of the property.
- periodic substantiation and accounting for the property.

4.33 The contractor ordinarily should have controls in place to ensure that government-furnished property is neither charged to contracts nor included in the contractor’s assets for financial reporting purposes.

Cost Aspects of Related-Party and Interorganizational Transfers

4.34 Controls over interorganizational transfers generally should be designed to ensure that such transactions are identified and accounted for appropriately. In most cases, for both financial reporting and government contract
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costing purposes, transfers of property and purchases and sales of materials and services between divisions, subsidiaries, and affiliates of the contractor should be recorded at the transferor’s cost. However, transfers at a price other than cost are permitted when: it is the contractor’s established practices to price interorganizational transfers at other than cost for commercial work; the price is deemed reasonable; and the item being transferred meets one of the exceptions to the submission of cost or pricing data, as outlined in paragraph 1.15 of chapter 1 (see FAR Subsection 31.205-26).

Planning and Other Auditing Considerations

4.35 The objective of an audit of a government contractor’s financial statements is to express an opinion on whether the entity’s financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with GAAP. To accomplish that objective, the independent auditor’s responsibility is to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance (a high, but not absolute, level of assurance) that material misstatements, whether caused by errors or fraud, are detected. This section addresses general planning considerations and other auditing considerations relevant to government contractors.

Audit Planning

4.36 The first standard of field work states, "The auditor must adequately plan the work and must properly supervise any assistants." AU section 311, Planning and Supervision (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), establishes requirements and provides guidance on the considerations and activities applicable to planning and supervision of an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, including appointment of the independent auditor; preliminary engagement activities; establishing an understanding with the client; preparing a detailed, written audit plan; determining the extent of involvement of professionals with specialized skills; and communicating with those charged with governance and management. Audit planning also involves developing an overall audit strategy for the expected conduct, organization, and staffing of the audit. The nature, timing, and extent of planning vary with the size and complexity of the entity, and with the auditor’s experience with the entity and understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control.

4.37 Paragraph .03 of AU section 311 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) states that the auditor must plan the audit so that it is responsive to the assessment of the risks of material misstatement based on the auditor’s understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control. Planning is not a discrete phase of the audit, but rather an iterative process that begins with engagement acceptance and continues throughout the audit as the auditor performs audit procedures and accumulates sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support the audit opinion.

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB Standards

Paragraph .01 of AU section 311, Planning and Supervision (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended), states that when performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with PCAOB Standards, refer to paragraph 9 of Auditing Standard No. 5, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting That
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*Is Integrated with An Audit of Financial Statements* (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards"), regarding planning considerations in addition to the planning considerations discussed in AU section 311 (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended).

4.38 In planning the audit of a government contractor's financial statements, the independent auditor usually performs a preliminary review of financial data and reviews internal audit reports, reports of examinations by government audit agencies, and related correspondence.

4.39 AU section 380, *The Auditor's Communication With Those Charged With Governance* (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), states the auditor should communicate with those charged with governance on a sufficiently timely basis to enable those charged with governance to take appropriate action. The auditor should communicate with those charged with governance an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. However, it is important for the auditor not to compromise the effectiveness of the audit, particularly where some or all of those charged with governance are involved in managing the entity.

4.40 Paragraphs .04 and .06 of AU section 329, *Analytical Procedures* (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), specify that the auditor should apply analytical procedures in planning the audit. The purpose of such procedures is to assist in understanding the entity and its environment and to identify areas that may represent specific risks relevant to the audit. This understanding allows the auditor to plan the nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures that will be used to obtain audit evidence for specific account balances or classes of transactions. Thus, the objective of the procedures is to identify matters (for example, unusual trends or transactions) that may have financial statement and audit planning ramifications. AU section 329 provides further requirements and guidance in this area, including, among other things, the documentation requirement regarding substantive analytical procedures. In addition, paragraphs .28–.30 of AU section 316, *Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit* (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), discuss the use of analytical procedures in planning the audit to help identify risks of material misstatement due to fraud. See paragraphs 4.128–153 for a detailed discussion of the provisions of AU section 316. Paragraphs .06 and .09 of AU section 314 discuss the performance of analytical procedures as risk assessment procedures to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control.

Establishing an Understanding With the Client

4.41 AU section 311 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) states the auditor should establish an understanding with the client regarding the services to be performed for each engagement. This understanding should be documented through a written communication with the client in the form of an engagement letter. The understanding should include the objectives of the engagement, the responsibilities of management and the auditor, and any limitations of the engagement. AU section 311 also identifies specific matters that ordinarily would be addressed in the understanding with the client, and other contractual matters an auditor might wish to include in the understanding.
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4.42 The nature, timing, and extent of the audit procedures to be performed and the resulting reports to be issued are determined by the independent auditor based on the assessed risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level. Depending on the assessed risks of material misstatement, the independent auditor may determine that a significant amount of the audit can be performed at an interim date. In such cases, AU section 318, Performing Audit Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks and Evaluating the Audit Evidence Obtained (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), includes factors to be considered in the timing of audit procedures. Paragraph .16 of AU section 318 establishes requirements and provides guidance on extending audit conclusions to the balance-sheet date. As discussed later in this chapter, AU section 318 provides guidance about the timing of tests of controls.

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB Standards

Paragraph .01 of AU section 313, Substantive Tests Prior to the Balance-Sheet Date (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended), states that when performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, refer to paragraphs 52–53 of Auditing Standard No. 5, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting That Is Integrated with An Audit of Financial Statements (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards") regarding the timing of test of controls. Guidance concerning the timing of tests of controls is also provided in paragraph .99 of AU section 319, Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended).

Audit Risk

4.43 AU section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), states that audit risk is a function of the risk that the financial statements prepared by management are materially misstated and the risk that the auditor will not detect such material misstatement. The auditor should consider audit risk in relation to the relevant assertions related to individual account balances, classes of transactions, and disclosures and at the overall financial statement level.

4.44 At the account balance, class of transactions, relevant assertion, or disclosure level, audit risk consists of (a) the risk of material misstatement (consisting of inherent risk and control risk) and (b) the detection risk. Inherent risk and control risk differ from detection risk in that they exist independently of the audit of financial statements, whereas detection risk relates to the independent auditor's procedures and can be changed at his or her discretion. Detection risk should bear an inverse relationship to the risks of material misstatement. The less the risks of material misstatement the independent auditor believes exists, the greater the detection risk he or she can accept. Conversely, the greater the risks of material misstatement the independent auditor believes exists, the less the detection risk he or she can accept. Paragraph .23 of AU section 312 states that auditors should assess the risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level as a basis for further audit procedures (tests of controls or substantive procedures). Therefore, it is not acceptable to simply default to the
maximum. This assessment may be in qualitative terms such as high, medium, and low, or in quantitative terms such as percentages.

4.45 In considering audit risk at the overall financial statement level, the auditor should consider risk of material misstatement that relate pervasively to the financial statements taken as a whole and potentially affect many relevant assertions. Risks of this nature often relate to the entity’s control environment and are not necessarily identifiable with specific relevant assertions at the class of transactions, account balance, or disclosure level. Such risks may be especially relevant to the auditor’s consideration of the risks of material misstatement arising from fraud, for example, through management override of internal control.

Planning Materiality

4.46 The auditor’s consideration of materiality is a matter of professional judgment and is influenced by the auditor’s perception of the needs of users of financial statements. Materiality judgments are made in light of surrounding circumstances and necessarily involve both quantitative and qualitative considerations.

4.47 The determination of what is material to the users is a matter of professional judgment. The auditor often may apply a percentage to a chosen benchmark as a step in determining materiality for the financial statements taken as a whole.

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB Standards

Paragraph .03 of AU section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended), states that when performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, refer to paragraph 20 of Auditing Standard No. 5 regarding materiality considerations.

Tolerable Misstatement

4.48 The initial determination of materiality is made for the financial statement taken as a whole. However, the auditor should allow for the possibility that some misstatements of lesser amounts than the materiality levels could, in the aggregate, result in a material misstatement of the financial statements. To do so, the auditor should determine one or more levels of tolerable misstatement. Paragraph .34 of AU section 312 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) defines tolerable misstatement (or tolerable error) as the maximum error in a population (for example, the class of transactions or account balance) that the auditor is willing to accept. Such levels of tolerable misstatement are normally lower than the materiality levels.

Qualitative Aspects of Materiality

4.49 As indicated in the previous paragraph, judgments about materiality include both quantitative and qualitative information. As a result of the interaction of quantitative and qualitative considerations in materiality judgments, misstatements of relatively small amounts that come to the auditor’s attention could have a material effect on the financial statements. For example, cost mischargings and other violations of government regulations that may be immaterial in amount may lead to penalties, criminal charges, and, possibly,
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suspension or debarment. Thus, any of these actions can significantly affect
the contractor's financial statements, as well as its reputation and ability to
obtain future business. Therefore, the independent auditor may consider the
possibility that such violations could occur.

4.50 Qualitative considerations also influence the auditor in reaching a
conclusion about whether misstatements are material. Paragraph 60 of AU
section 312 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) provides qualitative factors
that the auditor may consider relevant in determining whether misstatements
are material.

Consideration of the Internal Audit Function

4.51 AU section 322, The Auditor's Consideration of the Internal Audit
Function in an Audit of Financial Statements (AICPA, Professional Standards,
vol. 1), establishes requirements and provides guidance on considering the work
of internal auditors and on using internal auditors to provide direct assistance
to the auditor in an audit performed in accordance with GAAS. The types of ac-
tivities performed by internal auditors at government contractors may include
reviews of labor and materials charging practices, allowability of indirect costs,
estimating systems and procedures, travel and expense reporting, purchasing
systems and procedures, billing practices and procedures, accounting for and
controlling government-furnished property and material management account-
ing systems and practices. The auditor should perform procedures to evaluate
the quality and effectiveness of the internal auditor's work that significantly
affects the nature, timing, and extent of his or her own procedures.

Use of Service Organizations

4.52 AU section 324, Service Organizations (AICPA, Professional Stan-
ards, vol. 1), establishes requirements and provides guidance on the factors
an auditor should consider when auditing the financial statements of an entity
that uses a service organization to process certain transactions.2

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB
Standards

Paragraph 01 of AU section 324, Service Organizations (AICPA,
PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As
Amended), states that when performing an integrated audit of financial
statements and internal control over financial reporting, refer to
paragraphs B17–B27 in appendix B of Auditing Standard No. 5 re-
garding the use of service organizations.

Also, the AICPA's Audit Guide Service Organizations: Applying SAS No. 70,
as Amended is designed to provide guidance to auditors engaged to audit the
financial statements of entities that use service organizations.

---

2 Two auditing interpretations of AU section 324, Service Organizations (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) relate to how service auditors perform and report on service organization exami-
nations: (1) Auditing Interpretation No. 4, “Responsibilities of Service Organizations and Service Auditors With Respect to Forward-Looking Information in a Service Organization’s Description of Controls” (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 9324 par. 35–37) and (2) Auditing Inter-
### Use of Assertions in Obtaining Audit Evidence

4.53 Paragraphs .14–.19 of AU section 326, *Audit Evidence* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1), discuss the use of assertions in obtaining audit evidence. In representing that the financial statements are fairly presented in accordance with GAAP, management implicitly or explicitly makes assertions regarding the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of information in the financial statements and related disclosures. Assertions used by the auditor fall into the following categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of Assertions</th>
<th>Description of Assertions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Classes of Transactions and Events During the Period</strong></td>
<td><strong>Account Balances at the End of the Period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence and Existence</td>
<td>Transactions and events that have been recorded have occurred and pertain to the entity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights and Obligations</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>All transactions and events that should have been recorded have been recorded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy and Valuation and Allocation</td>
<td>Amounts and other data relating to recorded transactions and events have been recorded appropriately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-off</td>
<td>Transactions and events have been recorded in the correct accounting period.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
4.54 The auditor should use relevant assertions for classes of transactions, account balances, and presentation and disclosures in sufficient detail to form a basis for the assessment of risks of material misstatement and the design and performance of further audit procedures. The auditor should use relevant assertions in assessing risks by considering the different types of potential misstatements that may occur, and then designing further audit procedures that are responsive to the assessed risks.

4.55 Specific audit objectives and procedures that are unique to government contractors and that may be developed to evaluate the audit evidence supporting management’s assertions in the financial statements are covered in other sections of this chapter.

Understanding the Entity, Its Environment, and Its Internal Control

4.56 AU section 314 establishes standards and provides guidance about implementing the second standard of field work, which is stated as:

The auditor must obtain a sufficient understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, to assess the risk of material misstatement of the financial statements whether due to error or fraud, and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures.

4.57 Obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, is a continuous, dynamic process of gathering, updating, and analyzing information throughout the audit. AU section 314 should be applied in conjunction with the standards and guidance provided in other AU sections, in particular, AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1). See paragraphs 4.128–.153 for additional guidance pertaining to AU section 316.

Risk Assessment Procedures

4.58 As described in AU section 326, audit procedures performed to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, and to assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial
statement and relevant assertion levels are referred to as risk assessment procedures. Paragraph .21 of AU section 326 states that the auditor must perform risk assessment procedures to provide a satisfactory basis for the assessment of risks at the financial statement and relevant assertion levels. Risk assessment procedures by themselves do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base the audit opinion and must be supplemented by further audit procedures in the form of tests of controls, when relevant or necessary, and substantive procedures.

4.59 In accordance with paragraph .06 of AU section 314, the auditor should perform the following risk assessment procedures to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control:

a. Inquiries of management and others within the entity
b. Analytical procedures
c. Observation and inspection

See paragraphs .06–.13 of AU section 314 for additional guidance on risk assessment procedures.

Discussion Among the Audit Team

4.60 In obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, AU section 314 states that there should be discussion among the audit team. In accordance with paragraph .14 of AU section 314, the members of the audit team, including the auditor with final responsibility for the audit, should discuss the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatements. This discussion could be held concurrently with the discussion among the audit team that is specified by AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) to discuss the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to fraud.

Understanding the Entity and Its Environment

4.61 Paragraph .03 of AU section 314 states that obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment is an essential aspect of performing an audit in accordance with GAAS. In accordance with paragraph .04 of AU section 314, the auditor should use professional judgment to determine the extent of the understanding required of the entity and its environment, including its internal control. The auditor’s primary consideration is whether the understanding that has been obtained is sufficient (1) to assess risks of material misstatement of the financial statements and (2) to design and perform further audit procedures (tests of controls and substantive tests).

4.62 The auditor’s understanding of the entity and its environment consists of an understanding of the following aspects:

a. Industry, regulatory, and other external factors
b. Nature of the entity
c. Objectives and strategies and the related business risks that may result in a material misstatement of the financial statements
d. Measurement and review of the entity’s financial performance
e. Internal control, which includes the selection and application of accounting policies (see the following section for further discussion)
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Refer to appendix A of AU section 314 for examples of matters that the auditor may consider in obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment relating to categories (a)–(d).

4.63 Examples of information that may be obtained, as appropriate, and considered by the auditor in obtaining an understanding of the contractor and its environment include the following:

- The contractor’s products and services, including the relationship of those products and services to major government procurement programs
- The nature, size, and location of the contractor’s operations (for example, principal manufacturing operations and major vendors)
- Mix of government and commercial business
- Competition in the industry
- Government departments and agencies representing the contractor’s customers (for example, the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy)
- Methods of obtaining contracts (for example, sealed bidding and competitive negotiation)
- Types of contracts (for example, firm fixed-price, fixed-price incentive, and cost-plus-fixed-fee)
- The contractor’s contract-related accounting policies and procedures
- Key data for significant contracts, including the following:
  - Government agency or department
  - Type of contract
  - Contract price
  - Revenues, costs, and profit/loss recognized to date
  - Estimated revenues, costs, and profit/loss at completion
  - Incentive, escalation, or other relevant contract provisions
  - Associated balance sheet amounts (for example, receivables, inventories, and reserves)
- Significant government regulations affecting contract accounting, such as the FAR, FAR supplements, and CAS, with which the contractor must comply, including major changes in the current year
- Anticipated changes in government programs or funding levels that may impact the contractor’s operations
- The contractor’s contract backlog
- Key changes during the current period in operations, systems, or segments (subsidiaries, divisions, and product lines) of the business
- CAS Disclosure Statement and revisions
- Key information-processing systems
- Related-party and interorganizational transactions
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- Litigation, claims, and disputes with the government
- Reports issued by the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA), Government Accountability Office (GAO), and other government oversight organizations
- Reports issued by the contractor’s internal audit department
- Compliance issues raised in audit reports
- Prior audited financial statements
- If applicable, prior filings with the SEC, such as Form 10-K, proxy statements, and the like
- Minutes of the meetings of those charged with governance
- Federal income tax returns

4.64 In obtaining an understanding of the contractor and its environment, the auditor may also consider the following principal risk factors inherent in the government contracting environment and their potential audit impact.

**Government Spending**

4.65 The elimination or severe reduction in spending on government programs in specific areas can severely affect a contractor’s business. This is particularly true for enterprises that are dependent on government work for a significant portion of their business, especially if they depend on a few government programs or have only a small number of contracts. In such cases, the loss of a single contract may result in reduced utilization of personnel and substantial excess capacity and, in some cases, may raise doubts as to the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern. (See paragraph 4.100 for additional guidance.)

**Technology and Obsolescence**

4.66 Government contracting, particularly military procurement, often involves activities in which technological advances are frequent. Those contractors unable to keep up with these changes and to stay on the “cutting edge” may find themselves unable to maintain growth and eventually unable to compete for contracts. Although the ultimate risk is failure of the company, short-term realization problems may arise with respect to facilities, equipment, and inventory.

**Competition**

4.67 The government procurement process has largely moved from non-competitive, sole-source procurements to sealed-bid and competitively negotiated procurements. A significant business risk exists if a company cannot effectively compete in this environment. Competition requires a contractor to assure that its cost estimating system accurately estimates product costs; competition also may require a contractor to offer lower estimated profit margins to gain acceptance of bids on certain contracts. This environment increases the potential for cost overruns, which may result in contract losses.

**Laws and Regulations**

4.68 Enterprises doing business with the government are subject to various risks unique to the government contracting environment. These risks include: downward price/cost adjustments for failure to comply with applicable
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FAR or CAS requirements; partial or full termination of contracts or subcontracts either for convenience of the government or for default because of unsatisfactory performance; changes in government program requirements and budgetary constraints; unilateral contract changes that may result in disputes or claims; and increased or unexpected costs causing losses or reduced profits under fixed-price and T&M contracts.

4.69 Additional risk may also result from the applicability of certain laws that provide for potentially significant penalties to be assessed if the contractor violates them. For example, a contractor that submits a false invoice or a false request for progress payment (that is, a false claim) to the government may be subject to penalties ranging from a monetary penalty for each false claim submitted, to suspension or debarment.

Contract Type

4.70 The level of risk to a contractor varies depending on the type of contract. Although all contract situations involve some degree of risk, a firm fixed-price contract poses the highest level of risk for a contractor because any overruns directly affect the contractor’s profitability. The contractor’s ability to estimate costs accurately is especially critical for fixed-price contracts. Therefore, the auditor’s emphasis for this type of contract may be on the reasonableness of estimated costs and the potential need to provide for future losses.

4.71 Cost-reimbursement contracts usually require the government to compensate the contractor for allowable costs (generally up to a contractual limit) plus a fee. As a result, the contractor’s risk of loss on this type of contract is lower than on a fixed-price contract. The audit emphasis for a cost-reimbursement contract may be on cost allowability and the determination of whether costs actually recorded and estimated through completion are in excess of cost limitations. If a contractor incurs costs in excess of the cost limitation specified in the contract, recovery of those costs may be jeopardized. One area that can be particularly vulnerable in this regard is indirect cost recovery. Contractors use “provisional” or estimated rates for periodic billings. If actual indirect cost rates are significantly higher than the provisional rates, the contractor may encounter difficulty recovering the difference.

4.72 When a contractor has a mix of both fixed-price and cost-reimbursable contracts, the potential exists for improper allocation of costs among the different types of contracts. The risk of mischarging is increased when contract funding is delayed or when a fixed-price contract is at or approaching a cost overrun. While on the surface these inherent risks may be high, management’s response to these risks in terms of its attitude toward the control environment and control activities in place can help to significantly mitigate these risks. Consequently, in assessing the risk of material misstatement, the independent auditor should consider the effects that internal control may have on mitigating these risks when determining the timing, nature, and extent of his or her auditing procedures.

Status of Significant Contracts

4.73 Another important audit consideration is the status of significant contracts in process and any problems encountered on those contracts. The following items may be considered as potential risk areas:

- Pending claims, change orders, or options
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• Performance problems, for example, technical or scheduling problems
• Incentive provisions
• Disputes with subcontractors or vendors
• Realization of precontract or other deferred costs
• Warranty obligations
• Technical complexity
• Unresolved matters related to government compliance audits
• Termination claims

Understanding of Internal Control3

4.74 Paragraph .40 of AU section 314 states that the auditor should obtain an understanding of the five components of internal control sufficient to assess the risk of material misstatement of the financial statements whether due to error or fraud, and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures. The auditor should obtain a sufficient understanding by performing risk assessment procedures to

a. evaluate the design of controls relevant to an audit of financial statements, and
b. determine whether they have been implemented.

4.75 The auditor should use the understanding to

• identify types of potential misstatements.
• consider factors that affect the risks of material misstatement.
• design tests of controls, when applicable, and substantive procedures.

4.76 Obtaining an understanding of the internal controls is different from testing the operating effectiveness of internal control. The objective of obtaining an understanding of internal controls is to evaluate the design of controls and determine whether they have been implemented for the purpose of assessing the risk of material misstatement. In contrast, the objective of testing the operating effectiveness of internal controls is to determine whether the controls, as designed, prevent or detect a material misstatement.

4.77 As discussed earlier, paragraph .41 of AU section 314 defines internal control as, "a process—effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel—designed to provide reasonable assurance about the achievement of the entity’s objectives with regard to reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with

3 For additional nonauthoritative guidance pertaining to internal control, refer to the following Technical Questions and Answers (TIS) sections (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids):

• TIS section 8200.05, "Testing the Operating Effectiveness of Internal Control"
• TIS section 8200.06, "The Meaning of Expectation of the Operating Effectiveness of Controls"
• TIS section 8200.07, "Considering a Substantive Audit Strategy"
• TIS section 8200.08, "Obtaining an Understanding of the Control Environment"
• TIS section 8200.09, "Assessing Inherent Risk"
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applicable laws and regulations." Internal control consists of five interrelated components:

a. The control environment
b. Risk assessment
c. Information and communication systems
d. Control activities
e. Monitoring

4.78 The control environment has already been discussed with regard to management’s responsibility for internal control (paragraph 4.09). Risk assessment is the entity’s identification and analysis of relevant risks to achievement of its objectives, forming a basis for determining how the risks should be managed. Control activities, whether automated or manual, are the policies and procedures that help ensure that management directives are carried out. Information and communication systems support the identification, capture, and exchange of information in a form and time frame that enable people to carry out their responsibilities. Monitoring is a process that assesses the quality of internal control performance over time. Refer to paragraphs .40–.101 of AU section 314 for a detailed discussion of the internal control components.

4.79 During the performance of the audit, the independent auditor may become aware of deficiencies in internal control. In accordance with paragraph .09 of AU section 325, Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), the auditor must evaluate identified control deficiencies and determine whether these deficiencies, individually or in combination, are significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. AU section 325 establishes requirements and provides extensive guidance about communicating matters related to an entity’s internal control.

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB Standards

AU section 325, Communications About Control Deficiencies in an Audit of Financial Statements (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended), states that in an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, refer to paragraphs 78–84 of Auditing Standard No. 5.

In an audit of financial statements only, conducted in accordance with PCAOB standards, refer to AU section 325 (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended).

Use of Information Technology

4.80 An entity’s use of IT may affect any of the five components of internal control relevant to the achievement of the entity’s financial reporting, operations, or compliance objectives, and its operating units or business functions. AU section 314 states that, in obtaining an understanding of internal control sufficient to assess the risk of material misstatement, the auditor should consider how an entity’s use of IT and manual procedures may affect controls relevant to the audit. Information technology encompasses automated means of originating, processing, storing, and communicating information, and includes recording devices, communication systems, computer systems and other electronic devices. The auditor is primarily interested in the entity’s use of IT to initiate, authorize, record, process, and report transactions or other financial data. An entity’s use of IT may affect any of the 5 components of internal control
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relevant to the achievement of the entity’s financial reporting, operations, or compliance objectives, and its operating units of business functions. Paragraph .119 of AU section 314 provides guidance to auditors in auditing the financial statements of entities for which significant information is transmitted, processed, maintained, or accessed electronically.

Risk Assessment and the Design of Further Audit Procedures

4.81 As discussed previously, risk assessment procedures allow the auditor to gather the information necessary to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control. This knowledge provides a basis for assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements. These risk assessments are then used to design further audit procedures, such as tests of controls, substantive tests, or both. This section provides guidance on assessing the risks of material misstatement and how to design further audit procedures that effectively respond to those risks.

Assessing the Risk of Material Misstatement

4.82 Paragraph .102 of AU section 314 states that the auditor should identify and assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and at the relevant assertion level related to classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures. For this purpose, the auditor should:

a. Identify risks throughout the process of obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including relevant controls that relate to the risks, and considering the classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures in the financial statements.

b. Relate the identified risks to what can go wrong at the relevant assertion level.

c. Consider whether the risks are of a magnitude that could result in a material misstatement of the financial statements.

d. Consider the likelihood that the risks could result in a material misstatement of the financial statements.

4.83 The auditor should use information gathered by performing risk assessment procedures, including the audit evidence obtained in evaluating the design of controls and determining whether they have been implemented, as audit evidence to support the risk assessment. The auditor should use the assessment of the risk of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level as the basis to determine the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures to be performed.

Identification of Significant Risks

4.84 As part of the assessment of the risks of material misstatement, the auditor should determine which of the risks identified are, in the auditor’s judgment, risks that require special audit consideration (such risks are defined as significant risks). One or more significant risks normally arise on most audits. In exercising this judgment, the auditor should consider inherent risk to determine whether the nature of the risk, the likely magnitude of the potential misstatement including the possibility that the risk may give rise to multiple misstatements, and the likelihood of the risk occurring are such that they
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require special audit consideration. In assessing significant risks, the auditor may consider those factors unique to federal government contractors discussed in paragraphs 4.03–.05. Refer to paragraphs .45 and .53 of AU section 318 for further audit procedures pertaining to significant risks.

Designing and Performing Further Audit Procedures

4.85 AU section 318 provides guidance about implementing the third standard of field work, as follows:

The auditor must obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence by performing audit procedures to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit.

4.86 To reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level, the auditor (1) should determine overall responses to address the assessed risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and (2) should design and perform further audit procedures whose nature, timing, and extent are responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level. The purpose is to provide a clear linkage between the nature, timing, and extent of the auditor’s further audit procedures and the assessed risks. The overall responses and the nature, timing, and extent of the further audit procedures to be performed are matters for the professional judgment of the auditor and should be based on the auditor’s assessment of the risks of material misstatement.

Overall Responses

4.87 The auditor’s overall responses to address the assessed risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level may include emphasizing to the audit team the need to maintain professional skepticism in gathering and evaluating audit evidence, assigning more experienced staff or those with specialized skills or using specialists, providing more supervision, or incorporating additional elements of unpredictability in the selection of further audit procedures to be performed. Additionally, the auditor may make general changes to the nature, timing, or extent of further audit procedures as an overall response, for example, performing substantive procedures at period end instead of at an interim date.

Further Audit Procedures

4.88 Further audit procedures provide important audit evidence to support an audit opinion. These procedures consist of tests of controls and substantive tests. The nature, timing, and extent of the further audit procedures to be performed by the auditor should be based on the auditor’s assessment of risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level.

4.89 In some cases, an auditor may determine that performing only substantive procedures is appropriate. However, the auditor often will determine that a combined audit approach using both tests of the operating effectiveness of controls and substantive procedures is an effective audit approach.

4.90 The auditor should perform tests of controls when the auditor’s risk assessment includes an expectation of the operating effectiveness of controls or when substantive procedures alone do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence at the relevant assertion level.
4.91 Regardless of the audit approach selected, the auditor should design and perform substantive procedures for all relevant assertions related to each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure.

4.92 The auditor’s substantive procedures should include the following audit procedures related to the financial statement reporting process:

- Agreeing the financial statements, including their accompanying notes, to the underlying accounting records; and
- Examining material journal entries and other adjustments made during the course of preparing the financial statements.

The nature and extent of the auditor’s examination of journal entries and other adjustments depend on the nature and complexity of the entity’s financial reporting system and the associated risks of material misstatement.

4.93 Refer to the section entitled “Major Auditing Procedures for Government Contractors” in the later part of this chapter for specific guidance on the more significant auditing procedures that the independent auditor may consider in the audits of government contractors.

Evaluating Misstatements

4.94 Based on the results of substantive procedures, the auditor may identify misstatements in accounts or notes to the financial statements. Paragraph .42 of AU section 312 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) states that auditors must accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that the auditor believes are trivial and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. AU section 312 further states that auditors must consider the effects, both individually and in the aggregate, of misstatements (known and likely) that are not corrected by the entity. This consideration includes, among other things, the effect of misstatements related to prior periods.

4.95 AU section 312 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) and AU section 326 establish standards and provide guidance on evaluating audit findings and audit evidence, respectively.

Other Audit Considerations

Accounting Estimates

4.96 The use of accounting estimates is an integral part of the preparation of financial statements. Management is responsible for making the accounting estimates used in the financial statements. When auditing accounting estimates, the independent auditor’s objective is to obtain sufficient evidence to provide reasonable assurance that all material accounting estimates have been developed, that the accounting estimates are reasonable in the circumstances, and that the accounting estimates are in accordance with applicable accounting principles. Guidance for addressing estimates is included in AU section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1); the AICPA Practice Aid Auditing Estimates and Other Soft Information;
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and AICPA Statement of Position (SOP) 94-6, Disclosure of Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, ACC sec. 10,640).4

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB Standards

Paragraph .10 of AU section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended), states that when performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, in accordance with PCAOB standards, the work that the auditor performs, as part of the audit of internal control over financial reporting should necessarily inform the auditor’s decisions about the approach he or she takes to auditing an estimate because, as part of the audit of internal control over financial reporting, the auditor would be required to obtain an understanding of the process management used to develop the estimate and to test controls over all relevant assertions related to the estimate.

4.97 In the case of government contractors, accounting estimates are used to estimate, among other things, revenues recognized under the percentage-of-completion method, profit or loss at completion of a contract, revenues recognized on contractor claims against the government and the financial exposure, if any, arising from government claims against the contractor. Further guidance on auditing accounting estimates unique to government contractors is included later in this chapter.

Going Concern Considerations

4.98 As part of the audit, the independent auditor should evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, not to exceed one year beyond the date of the financial statements being audited. The evidence necessary to make this evaluation may be obtained through the auditor’s planned audit procedures including review of compliance with debt agreements, analytical procedures, review of subsequent events, and so on. If after considering the identified conditions and events in the aggregate, the auditor believes that there is substantial doubt about the ability of an entity to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, he or she should consider management’s plans to mitigate the current conditions and events, the effect on the financial statements and the related disclosures, and the effect on his or her audit report. AU section 341, The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), establishes standards and provides guidance in this area. Paragraph .13 of AU section 324 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) includes an example of the language that the auditor should include in an explanatory paragraph that describes his or her substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern.

4.99 When auditing a government contractor, the independent auditor could consider, for example, the impact that reduced government spending, possible fines or penalties (for example, suspension or debarment) resulting from

---

4 Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position (FSP) SOP 94-6-1, Terms of Loan Products That May Give Rise to a Concentration of Credit Risk, is effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 19, 2005. The FSP was issued in response to inquiries from constituents and discussions with the SEC staff and regulators of financial institutions.
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violations of government regulations, or the loss of a significant contract may have on the contractor’s ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

Government Compliance Auditing

4.100 Government contractors are subject to examination by the DCAA, GAO, Inspectors General, and other agencies or departments of the government. The audit process and the resolution of significant related matters, including disputes between the contractor and the government regarding cost allowability, are often not finalized until several years after cost incurrence or contract completion. As a result, estimating the potential effects of these examinations is difficult and requires the exercise of substantial judgment by the contractor’s management and, likewise, by the independent auditor when reviewing management’s judgments and conclusions in this area. Areas of government audit emphasis may change from year to year. Instances of alleged fraud are often submitted to the U.S. Department of Justice as criminal or civil fraud matters. The independent auditor should review the government’s written audit reports and any related correspondence between the contractor and the government regarding the various audit issues. The independent auditor should discuss these matters with management and review management’s evaluation of the impact of these matters on the financial statements. Further guidance on the types of procedures to be performed by the independent auditor in this regard can be found in AU section 337, Inquiry of a Client’s Lawyer Concerning Litigation, Claims, and Assessments (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1).

Management Representations

4.101 AU section 333, Management Representations (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), states that written representations from management should be obtained for all financial statements and periods covered by the auditor’s report. Paragraph .06 of AU section 333 also provides guidance concerning the representations that should be obtained, including, among other things (1) management’s acknowledgment of its responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent and detect fraud and (2) knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others. In addition, appendix A of AU section 333 contains an illustrative management representation letter. The auditor may consider certain other representations related to a government contractor’s operations that include, but are not necessarily limited to, representations concerning the following:

- Propriety of the method of income recognition used by the contractor
- Profit recognition and accounts receivable related to change orders and claims
- Reasonableness of assumptions underlying estimates at completion
- Profits recognized related to contract incentive clauses

4.102 In addition, AU section 337 states that the independent auditor should obtain audit evidence relevant to the following factors:
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a. The existence of a condition, situation, or set of circumstances indicating an uncertainty about the possible loss to an entity arising from litigation, claims, and assessments

b. The period in which the underlying cause for legal action occurred
c. The degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome
d. The amount or range of potential loss

4.103 AU section 337 also concludes that a “letter of inquiry to the client’s lawyer is the [independent auditor’s] primary means of obtaining corroboration of the information furnished by management concerning litigation, claims, and assessments.” In addition to the matters generally covered in a letter of inquiry contained in paragraph .09 of AU section 337 the independent auditor may consider the following inquiries related to government contractors:

• Claims involving the government and the subcontractors
• Defective pricing claims
• Contract terminations
• The potential impact of any other government reviews or investigations

Audit Documentation—Audits Conducted in Accordance With GAAS

4.104 AU section 339, Audit Documentation (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), establishes standards and provides guidance on audit documentation. The auditor must prepare audit documentation in connection with each engagement in sufficient detail to provide a clear understanding of the work performed (including the nature, timing, extent, and results of audit procedures performed), the audit evidence obtained and its source, and the conclusion reached. Audit documentation

a. provides the principal support for the representation in the auditor’s report that the auditor performed the audit in accordance with generally acceptable auditing standards.
b. provides that principal support for the opinion expressed regarding the financial information or the assertion to the effect that an opinion cannot be expressed.

4.105 Audit documentation is an essential element of audit quality. Although documentation alone does not guarantee audit quality, the process of preparing sufficient and appropriate documentation contributes to the quality of an audit.

4.106 Audit documentation is the record of audit procedures performed, relevant audit evidence obtained, and conclusions the auditor reached. Audit documentation, also known as working papers or workpapers, may be recorded on paper or on electronic or other media. When transferring or copying paper documentation to another media, the auditor should apply procedures to generate a copy that is faithful in form and content to the original paper document.

4.107 Audit documentation includes, for example, audit programs, analyses, issues memoranda, summaries of significant findings or issues, letters of confirmation and representation, checklists, abstracts or copies of important documents, correspondence (including e-mail) concerning significant findings or issues, and schedules of the work the auditor performed. Abstracts or copies
of the entity’s records (for example, significant and specific contracts and agreements) should be included as part of the audit documentation if they are needed to enable an experienced auditor to understand the work performed and conclusions reached. The audit documentation for a specific engagement is assembled in an audit file.

**Audit Documentation—Audits Conducted in Accordance With PCAOB Standards**

4.108 For audits conducted in accordance with PCAOB standards, Auditing Standard No. 3, *Audit Documentation* (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards"), established general requirements for documentation the auditor should prepare and retain in connection with engagements conducted pursuant to PCAOB standards. Audit documentation is the written record of the basis for the auditor’s conclusions that provides the support for the auditor’s representations, whether those representations are contained in the auditor’s report or otherwise. Audit documentation also facilitates the planning, performance, and supervision of the engagement, and is the basis for the review of the quality of the work because it provides the reviewer with written documentation of the evidence supporting the auditor’s significant conclusions. This standard provides specific audit document requirements, provides guidance on documentation of specific matters, and retention of and subsequent changes to audit documentation.

**Auditors’ Reports**

4.109 The types of reports to be issued are based on the scope of services the contractor is requesting. The independent auditor should establish an understanding with the contractor regarding the services to be performed. The following are some typical services the independent auditor may be engaged to perform:

- Expressing an opinion with respect to an entity’s financial statements
- Assisting management in fulfilling its responsibilities by performing agreed-upon procedures, such as compliance reviews
- Reporting on internal control
- Reporting on contractors’ codes of ethics
- Reporting on the contractor’s indirect cost rates

† On January 29, 2008, the PCAOB adopted Auditing Standard No. 6, *Evaluating Consistency of Financial Statements*. This standard was issued in light of FASB Statement 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*—a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. The standard also replaces the board’s current interim standard, AU section 420, *Consistency of the Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards"). While the key concepts of AU Section 420 were retained, this standard updates and clarifies the auditor’s responsibilities with respect to evaluating and reporting on matters relating to the consistency of financial statements. This standard also proposes various amendments to the PCAOB’s interim standards, including amendments to AU section 308, *Reports on Audited Financial Statements* (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended) to improve auditor reporting requirements by clarifying that the auditor’s report should indicate whether an adjustment to previously issued financial statements results from a change in accounting principle or the correction of a misstatement. This standard is not effective until approved by the SEC, which was still pending at the time of this publication. Once approved by the SEC, this standard will become effective 60 days from that date. Readers should refer to the PCAOB Web site at www.pcaob.org for more information.
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4.110 AT section 101, Attest Engagements (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), defines an attest engagement as "one in which a certified public accountant in the practice of public accounting ... is engaged to issue or does issue an examination, a review, or an agreed-upon procedures report on subject matter, or an assertion about the subject matter ... that is the responsibility of another party."

4.111 Similar to Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs), the attestation standards deal with the need for technical competence, independence, due professional care, adequate planning and supervision, sufficient evidence, and appropriate reporting. However, attestation standards are much broader in scope than the SASs (see AT sections 101–701). These standards apply to an array of attest services including, for example, reports on compliance with statutory, regulatory, and contractual requirements, among others. Attestation standards, therefore, have been developed to be responsive to a changing environment and to the demands of society.

4.112 The auditor's standard report on the financial statements of a contractor is the same as that used for other business enterprises. In addition, a number of situations may arise when the issuance of a standard unqualified report may be inappropriate. The types of circumstances that may lead to the addition of explanatory language to the auditor's standard report without affecting the auditor's unqualified opinion, or that may lead to a qualified or adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion are described in AU section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1). (See paragraphs 4.116–117 for additional discussion on reports issued under the guidance of AU section 508 and related PCAOB requirements.)

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB Standards

For audits conducted in accordance with PCAOB standards, PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 1, References in Auditors’ Reports to the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, “Standards”), requires registered public accounting firms to include in their reports on engagements performed pursuant to the PCAOB’s auditing and related professional practice standards, a reference to the standards of the PCAOB. On May 14, 2004, the SEC issued an interpretive release to help with the implementation of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 1. See SEC Release No. 33-8422 for more information. The release specifies that effective May 24, 2004, references in SEC rules and staff guidance and in federal securities laws to GAAS or to specific standards under GAAS, as they relate to issuers, should be understood to mean the standards of the PCAOB, plus any applicable rules of the SEC.

4.113 In June 2004, the Auditing Standards Board (ASB) issued two interpretations of AU section 508 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) that provide reporting guidance for audits of nonissuers. The PCAOB establishes standards for audits of issuers, as that term is defined (see definition in the preface) by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or whose audit is prescribed by the rules of the section. Other entities are referred to as nonissuers. AU section 508 and Auditing Interpretation No. 17, "Clarification in the Audit Report of the Extent of Testing of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Accordance With Generally Accepted Auditing Standards," of AU section 508 (AICPA,
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Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 9508 par. .85–.88) address how auditors may expand their independent auditor’s report to explain that their consideration of internal control was to provide the auditor sufficient understanding to plan the audit and determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed, but was not sufficient to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control. Auditing Interpretation No. 18, “Reference to PCAOB Standards in an Audit Report on a Nonissuer,” of AU section 508 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 9508 par. .89–.92) provides guidance on the appropriate referencing of PCAOB auditing standards in audit reports when an auditor is engaged to perform the audit in accordance with both GAAS and PCAOB auditing standards. The ASB also has undertaken a project to determine what amendments, if any, should be made to AU section 508. See the AICPA Web site at www.aicpa.org/Professional+Resources/Accounting+and+Auditing/Audit+and+Attest+Standards/ for more information.

4.114 An independent auditor may be involved with information other than the financial statements. AU section 558, Required Supplementary Information (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), establishes requirements and provides guidance on reporting on supplementary information required by GAAP, among other things.

4.115 Except for any special requirements of the entity, the independent auditor’s responsibility for reporting on information contained in documents outside the basic financial statements that the auditor submits to the client or to others is specified in AU section 551, Reporting on Information Accompanying the Basic Financial Statements in Auditor-Submitted Documents (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1). When the auditor’s standard report is included in a client-prepared document, and when the independent auditor is not engaged to report on information accompanying the basic financial statements, the independent auditor’s responsibility with respect to such information is described in AU section 550, Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1).

Additional Guidance When Performing Integrated Audits of Financial Statements and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

4.116 Paragraph .01 of AU section 508, Reports on Audited Financial Statements (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended), states that when performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB, the auditor may choose to issue a combined report or separate reports on the company’s financial statements and on internal control over financial reporting. Refer to paragraphs 85–98 and appendix C of Auditing Standard No. 5 for direction about reporting on internal control over financial reporting. In addition, see paragraphs 86–88 of Auditing Standard No. 5, which includes an illustrative combined audit report.

4.117 Paragraph .08 of AU section 508 (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended) states when performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB, if the auditor issues separate reports on the company’s financial statements and on internal control over financial reporting, the following paragraph should be added to the auditor’s report on the company’s financial statements: “We also have audited, in
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accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of X Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 20X5, based on [identify control criteria] and our report dated [date of report, which should be the same as the date of the report on the financial statements] expressed [include nature of opinions].”

4.118 Paragraph .01 of AU section 530, Dating of the Independent Auditor's Report (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended), states that when performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB, the auditor’s report on the company’s financial statements and on internal control over financial reporting should be dated the same date.

Additional Reporting Guidance

4.119 In addition, AU section 552, Reporting on Condensed Financial Statements and Selected Financial Data (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), provides guidance on reporting in a client-prepared document on condensed financial statements and selected financial data that are derived from audited financial data.

4.120 In addition, AU section 623, Special Reports (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), applies to independent auditors' reports issued in connection with the following:

- Financial statements prepared in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP
- Specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement
- Compliance with aspects of contractual agreements or regulatory requirements related to audited financial statements
- Special-purpose financial presentations to comply with contractual agreements or regulatory provisions
- Financial information presented in prescribed forms or schedules

4.121 An independent auditor may also be engaged to perform only certain specified procedures. AT section 201, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), sets forth attestation standards and provides guidance concerning performance and reporting in all agreed-upon procedures engagements.

4.122 A contractor may also request an independent auditor to report on the contractor's internal control over financial reporting. AT section 501, Reporting on an Entity’s Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), provides guidance to the practitioner who is engaged to examine and report on the effectiveness of an entity's internal control over financial reporting, or management's assertion thereon, as of a point in time.

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB Standards

Auditing Standard No. 5 establishes requirements and provides direction that applies when an auditor is engaged to perform an audit of management’s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control
over financial reporting ("the audit of internal control over financial reporting") that is integrated with an audit of the financial statements.

Illegal Acts

4.123 AU section 317, *Illegal Acts by Clients* (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), prescribes the nature and extent of the considerations the independent auditor should give to the possibility of illegal acts by clients. Illegal acts may vary considerably in their relation to the financial statements. The auditor considers laws and regulations that are generally recognized by auditors to have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. For example, applicable laws and regulations regarding TINA cost and CAS may affect the amount of revenue and costs accrued under government contracts depending on the type of contracts involved. AU section 317 provides that the independent auditor's responsibility to detect and report misstatements resulting from illegal acts that have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts is the same as that for errors and fraud as described in AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1).

4.124 Government contractors may also be affected by many other laws or regulations, including those related to securities trading, occupational safety and health, environmental protection, equal employment and price-fixing and other antitrust violations. AU section 317 recognizes that these laws and regulations generally relate more to an entity's operating aspects than to its financial and accounting aspects, and their financial statement effect is indirect. Normally, an audit in accordance with GAAS does not include audit procedures specifically designed to detect these types of illegal acts. However, the independent auditor may become aware of the possibility of such acts during the course of performing procedures for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements. AU section 317 provides guidance with respect to the independent auditor's response to such possible illegal acts.

Communication With Those Charged With Governance

4.125 AU section 380 establishes standards and provides guidance on the auditor's communication with those charged with governance in relation to an audit of financial statements. Paragraph .03 of AU section 380 defines those charged with governance as the person(s) with responsibility for overseeing the strategic direction of the entity and obligations related to the accountability of the entity. This includes overseeing the financial reporting process. In some cases, those charged with governance are responsible for approving the entity's financial statements (in other cases management has this responsibility). For entities with a board of directors, this term encompasses the term board of directors or audit committee used elsewhere in generally accepted auditing standards. Note that this section does not establish requirements regarding the auditor's communication with an entity's management or owners unless they are also charged with a governance role. Paragraph .22 of AU section 380 provides that, when all of those charged with governance are involved in managing the entity, the auditor should consider whether communication with person(s) with financial reporting responsibilities adequately informs all of those with whom the auditor would otherwise communicate in their governance capacity. The auditor should communicate the following matters to those charged with governance:
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4.126 Paragraph .09 of AU section 380 states, "Although the auditor is responsible for communicating specific matters in accordance with this section, management also has a responsibility to communicate matters of governance interest to those charged with governance. Communication by the auditor does not relieve management of this responsibility."

4.127 Paragraphs .79–.82 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) establishes standards and provides guidance to auditors regarding communicating about possible fraud to management, the audit committee, and others. (See paragraphs 4.128–153 to this chapter of this guide for a summary of the provisions of AU section 316.)

Consideration of Fraud

4.128 AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) is the primary source of authoritative guidance about an auditor’s responsibilities concerning the consideration of fraud in a financial statement audit. AU section 316 establishes standards and provides guidance to auditors in fulfilling their responsibility to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether caused by error or fraud, as stated in paragraph .02 of AU section 110, Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1).

Considerations for Audits Performed in Accordance with PCAOB Standards

Paragraph .01 of AU section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended), states that when performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with PCAOB standards, refer to paragraphs 14–15 of Auditing Standard No. 5 regarding fraud considerations, in addition to the fraud considerations set forth in AU section 316 (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, PCAOB Standards, As Amended).

4.129 There are two types of misstatements relevant to the auditor’s consideration of fraud in a financial statement audit:

- Misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting
- Misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets

4.130 Three conditions generally are present when fraud occurs. First, management or other employees have an incentive or are under pressure, which provides a reason to commit fraud. Second, circumstances exist—for example, the absence of controls, ineffective controls, or the ability of management to override controls—that provide an opportunity for a fraud to be perpetrated. Third, those involved are able to rationalize committing a fraudulent act.
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The Importance of Exercising Professional Skepticism

4.131 Because of the characteristics of fraud, the auditor’s exercise of professional skepticism is important when considering the risk of material misstatement due to fraud. Professional skepticism is an attitude that includes a questioning mind and a critical assessment of audit evidence. The auditor should conduct the engagement with a mindset that recognizes the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could be present, regardless of any past experience with the entity and regardless of the auditor’s belief about management’s honesty and integrity. Furthermore, professional skepticism requires an ongoing questioning of whether the information and evidence obtained suggests that a material misstatement due to fraud has occurred.

Discussion Among Engagement Personnel Regarding the Risks of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

4.132 Members of the audit team should discuss the potential for material misstatement due to fraud in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs .14–.18 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1). The discussion among the audit team members about the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatement due to fraud should include a consideration of the known external and internal factors affecting the entity that might (a) create incentives or pressures for management and others to commit fraud, (b) provide the opportunity for fraud to be perpetrated, and (c) indicate a culture or environment that enables management to rationalize committing fraud. Communication among the audit team members about the risks of material misstatement due to fraud also should continue throughout the audit.

4.133 The following are potential fraud risk factors specific to or significant for federal government contractors. This listing does not include all government-contractor-specific fraud factors, and does not list many fraud factors that might exist in any entity (such as adverse relationships between the entity and employees with access to cash or other assets susceptible to theft). They include incentives and pressures to commit fraud, opportunities that might allow fraud to occur, and attitudes and rationalizations that might accommodate the existence of fraud.

Incentives or Pressures

• There are or are expected to be laws or regulations that impose constraints on the contractor.
• The contractor is subject to pressure from its customers to provide more goods or services with fewer dollars.
• There have been or are expected to be funding cuts from funding agencies, prime contractors, and other resource providers.
• The contractor is experiencing a declining demand for its goods or services.
• The contractor is unable to generate sufficient cash flows to cover expenditures.

5 The brainstorming session to discuss the entity’s susceptibility to material misstatements due to fraud could be held concurrently with the brainstorming session required under AU section 314, Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), to discuss the potential of the risk of material misstatement.
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- The contractor is experiencing rapid growth or unusual contract budget growth.
- Federal funding agencies have unduly aggressive or unrealistic expectations about performance, budgeted results, or program or schedule achievements.
- The contractor is having difficulty meeting contractual objectives/requirements within the amount allotted for the contract due to either anticipated revenue shortfalls or projected excessive expenditures.
- Management expects adverse consequences on significant pending transactions, such as a contract award or modification, if it reports poor financial or program performance and is determined to not be a financially responsible contractor.
- The entity’s bond rating has recently been downgraded or suspended.
- There is a high degree of competition for government contract funding accompanied by declining sources or availability of funding.
- There have been significant declines or increases in government programs or appropriations.
- Assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses, or indirect cost allocations are (1) based on estimates that involve subjective judgments or uncertainties or (2) subject to potential significant change in the near term that could affect the entity financially, budgetarily, or programmatically.
- There is a threat of imminent or anticipated program termination, significant reduction in scope, or other program legislative changes.
- Contractor management compensation is based in part on government contract performance, achievements, or results.
- The contractor has competitive contracts bid with tight or unrealistic budgets.

Opportunities

- The contractor does not have a code of conduct.
- The contractor’s management or board of directors (board) are inexperienced or unqualified.
- Those charged with governance accept management’s recommendations and actions without inquiry or debate.
- The contractor has financially significant transactions for which there are no or unclear GAAP.
- There is high incidence of patronage employment in positions with significant authority or responsibility.
- There have been or are expected to be changes in contractor management or body composition.
- The contractor lacks a formal budgeting or planning process.
- The contractor’s budgets are not accurate enough to allow the contractor to detect significant misstatements in the financial statements.
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- The contract budgets are not prepared by the appropriate level of management or are prepared without the input of employees who have a thorough understanding of the estimating aspects of the contractor’s activities.
- There is uncontrolled access to cash or cash equivalents.
- The contractor awards financially significant contracts without competitive bids, or without due consideration of vendor qualifications.
- The contractor gives departmental or project manager’s authority to expend certain monies outside of the budgetary process.
- Assets that do not qualify for capitalization for financial reporting purposes are not marked with identification tags, listed on asset inventory records, or subject to periodic physical inspections or other physical safeguards.
- The contractor has reimbursable contracts that could be charged unallowable direct or indirect costs, or costs from other programs.
- Personnel do not prepare adequate source documentation for time spent on government contract programs. For example, reimbursement claims may reflect budgeted or proposed cost levels rather than the cost of the actual time spent on the reimbursable contract.
- There are significant subcontractor or joint venture relationships for which there appears to be no clear programmatic or business justification.
- There is a mix of fixed price and cost reimbursable contract types and a mix of government contract and commercial work that creates opportunities to shift costs or otherwise manipulate accounting transactions.
- There are unusual or an unusual number of cost accounting transfers among different government contract programs or between commercial and government programs.
- There has been a significant or unexpected change in entity management or government contract or program management or potential for such changes to occur during the next year.
- There are contract estimates that are not accurate enough to be meaningful monitoring methods that will detect significant misstatements in the financial statements.
- Appropriate levels of management do not closely monitor variations from contract estimates and do not obtain plausible explanations for significant fluctuations on a timely basis.
- The entity does not maintain consistency between cost accounting practices used to estimate and accumulate reimbursable contract costs. For example, the costs of activities proposed as indirect charges are subsequently charged as a direct charge to the contract.
- Management has failed to address known reportable conditions, compliance, or questioned cost findings on a timely basis or management lack of concern about deficiencies in contract cost accounting systems or internal control weaknesses.
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- There is evidence or indications of lax oversight or the perception of lax oversight by program management, or program oversight officials.
- Contractor management has failed to establish procedures to ensure compliance with laws and regulations related to government contract programs.
- Contractor management has failed to establish procedures relative to the prevention of illegal acts related to government contracts.
- There are inadequate resources to assist government contract personnel in performing their duties.
- There has been a failure to assign a specific individual or group of individuals the responsibility of overseeing and coordinating all aspects of the entity's government contracts compliance efforts.
- There are indications that key personnel dealing with government contract programs are not sufficiently competent to perform their assigned responsibilities.
- Contractor management has failed to establish or failed to adhere to policies requiring thorough background checks before hiring key management, accounting, or government contract personnel.
- The contractor does not maintain a hotline or other method to report violations of laws, company policies and procedures, or other ethical violations.
- The contractor's programs include mandatory quality inspection/testing requirements which are costly to perform but perceived by the contractor as adding little value.

Attitude or Rationalization

- There is an excessive interest by contractor management in meeting debt covenant requirements.
- Those charged with governance or management lack support for public accountability or programmatic efficiencies.
- Those charged with governance or management display a significant disregard for regulatory, legal, or oversight requirements or who are charged with implementing these requirements.
- There is evidence of nonfinancial management’s excessive participation in, or preoccupation with, the selection of contract accounting principles or the determination of significant estimates, such as decisions related to contract cost classifications or indirect cost allocations.
- There is a known history of violations of government contract compliance requirements or of laws and regulations, or claims against the contractor, its senior management, or board members alleging fraud or violations of laws and regulations.
- There is an excessive interest by contractor management in maintaining or increasing the contractor's contract funding levels.
- There is an excessive interest in meeting or exceeding the contractor's budgetary targets through the use of unusually aggressive accounting practices.
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• Contractor management has displayed or conveyed an attitude of disinterest regarding strict adherence to government contract rules and regulations.
• There is evidence of the use of unusual or often questioned accounting policies, cost allocation methods, or cost allowability determinations.
• There have been attempts by management to justify inappropriate contract cost accounting on the basis of materiality.
• There has been a strained relationship between contractor management and the current or predecessor financial statement auditor, contract funding agency auditors, or government program oversight monitors.

Obtaining the Information Needed to Identify the Risks of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

4.134 AU section 314 establishes requirements and provides guidance about how the auditor obtains an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control for the purpose of assessing the risk of material misstatement. In performing that work, information may come to the auditor’s attention that should be considered in identifying risks of material misstatement due to fraud. As part of this work, the auditor should perform the following procedures to obtain information that is used to identify the risks of material misstatement due to fraud (as described in paragraphs .35–.42 of AU section 316 [AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1]).

a. Make inquiries of management and others within the entity to obtain their views about the risks of fraud and how they are addressed. (See paragraphs .20–.27 of AU section 316.)

b. Consider any unusual or unexpected relationships that have been identified in performing analytical procedures in planning the audit. (See paragraphs .28–.30 of AU section 316.)

c. Consider whether one or more fraud risk factors exist. (See paragraphs .31–.33 of AU section 316.)

d. Consider other information that may be helpful in the identification of risks of material misstatement due to fraud. (See paragraph .34 of AU section 316.)

4.135 In planning the audit, the auditor also should perform analytical procedures relating to revenue with the objective of identifying unusual or unexpected relationships involving revenue accounts that may indicate a material misstatement due to fraudulent financial reporting. For example, for government contractors, the following unusual or unexpected relationships relating to revenue may indicate a material misstatement due to fraud:

• Actual contract revenues at significant variance from originally budgeted revenues
• Actual contract revenues at significant variance from prior-period actual revenues, without similar changes in the revenue base or rates
• A significant increase in actual contract revenues over those of the prior period that is inconsistent with other contract statistics, such as units delivered or direct labor hours charged to contracts
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- Large amounts of miscellaneous income
- New contract revenue sources, or the elimination of a long-standing contract revenue source
- Expenditure-driven contract revenue without offsetting contract expenditures
- Programs with unusual profit margins

4.136 Even if specific risks of material misstatement due to fraud are not identified by the auditor, there is a possibility that management override of controls could occur, and accordingly the auditor should address that risk apart from any conclusion regarding the existence of more specifically identifiable risks. As part of this process, the auditor might evaluate whether such an override might have allowed adjustments outside of the normal period-end financial reporting process to have been made to the financial statements. Such adjustments might have resulted in artificial changes to the financial statement relationships being analyzed, causing the auditor to draw erroneous conclusions. For this reason, substantive analytical procedures alone are not well suited to detecting fraud.

Considering Fraud Risk Factors

4.137 As indicated in item c in paragraph 4.134, the auditor may identify events or conditions that indicate incentives or pressures to perpetrate fraud, opportunities to carry out the fraud, or attitudes or rationalizations to justify a fraudulent action. Such events or conditions are referred to as fraud risk factors. Fraud risk factors do not necessarily indicate the existence of fraud; however, they often are present in circumstances where fraud exists.

4.138 AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) provides fraud risk factor examples that have been written to apply to most enterprises. Paragraph 4.133 lists examples of federal government contractor-specific fraud risk factors and other conditions that may indicate the presence of a material misstatement due to fraud. Remember that fraud risk factors are only one of several sources of information an auditor considers when identifying and assessing risk of material misstatement due to fraud.

Identifying Risks That May Result in a Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

4.139 In identifying risks of material misstatement due to fraud, it is helpful for the auditor to consider the information that has been gathered in accordance with paragraphs .19–.34 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1). The auditor’s identification of fraud risks may be influenced by characteristics such as the size, complexity, and ownership attributes of the entity. In addition, the auditor should evaluate whether identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud can be related to specific financial-statement account balances or classes of transactions and related assertions, or whether they relate more pervasively to the financial statements as a whole. Certain accounts, classes of transactions, and assertions that have high inherent risk

---

6 Paragraph .102 of AU section 314 states that the auditor should identify and assess the risk of material misstatement at the financial statement level and at the relevant assertion level related to classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures. This requirement provides a link between the auditor’s consideration of fraud and the auditor’s assessment of risk and the auditor’s procedures in response to those assessed risks.
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because they involve a high degree of management judgment and subjectivity also may present risks of material misstatement due to fraud because they are susceptible to manipulation by management. With respect to federal government contractors, such accounts, classes of transactions, and assertions may include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:

- The valuation of receivable allowances and reserves for cost disallowances
- The valuation of excessive or obsolete inventory
- Long-outstanding intercontract receivables or payables, which may not represent valid rights and obligations or cost allocations
- The valuation of the recourse liability for receivables sold with recourse
- The valuation of liabilities for compensated absences, claims and judgments (including risk financing liabilities), and special termination benefits
- The amount at which a pension benefit obligation is disclosed
- Depreciation on capital assets, especially those with long, useful lives
- The valuation of unbilled receivables
- The valuation of undefinitized contract amounts such as change orders and claims for financial reporting purposes
- The valuation and amortization of costs deferred to future contracts

A Presumption That Improper Revenue Recognition is a Fraud Risk

4.140 Material misstatements due to fraudulent financial reporting often result from an overstatement of revenues (for example, through premature revenue recognition or recording fictitious revenues) or an understatement of revenues (for example, through improperly shifting revenues to a later period). Therefore, the auditor should ordinarily presume that there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition. (See paragraph .41 of AU section 316 [AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1].) For example, a federal government contractor might

- recognize claims as revenues without considering the applicable criteria in SOP 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, ACC sec. 10,330).
- improperly combine or segment contracts.
- over-recognize revenue using the cost-to-cost method of completion by ordering materials early.
- fail to assess using the appropriate method to measure progress under the percentage of completion method.

7 FASB revised FASB Statement No. 132 (revised 2003), Employers’ Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, and 106, to address perceived deficiencies in disclosures about defined benefit pension plans. FASB recently issued Statement No. 158, Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R).
A Consideration of the Risk of Management Override of Controls

4.141 Even if specific risks of material misstatement due to fraud are not identified by the auditor, there is a possibility that management override of controls could occur, and accordingly, the auditor should address that risk (refer to paragraph .57 of AU section 316 [AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1]) apart from any conclusions regarding the existence of more specifically identifiable risks. Specifically, the procedures described in paragraphs .58–.67 of AU section 316 should be performed to further address the risk of management override of controls. These procedures include: (a) examining journal entries and other adjustments (especially contract cost accounting adjustments) for evidence of possible material misstatement due to fraud, (b) reviewing accounting estimates for biases that could result in material misstatement due to fraud, and (c) evaluating the business rationale for and contract allowability of significant unusual transactions.

Key Estimates

4.142 For federal government contractors, key estimates involve costs to complete contracts, probability of contract option exercise, claim recovery, reserves for government cost disallowances, award fee assumptions, among others.

Assessing the Identified Risks After Taking Into Account an Evaluation of the Entity’s Programs and Controls That Address the Risks

4.143 Paragraphs .43–.45 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) establish requirements and provide guidance concerning an entity’s programs and controls that address identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud. Examples of programs and controls that auditors might find in federal government contractors include the following:

- Wide internal disclosure and distribution of the entity’s code of conduct
- A competitive bidding process
- A toll-free hotline for the public and contractor employees to report suspected fraud
- A process for checking whether job applicants have arrest records and for making periodic checks to see whether current personnel have arrest records
- Requirements that, periodically, employees and directors disclose conflicts of interest and other exceptions to the code of conduct
- Termination of employees found to have committed fraud
- Periodic timekeeping “floor check” audits

4.144 The auditor should consider whether such programs and controls mitigate the identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud or whether specific control deficiencies exacerbate the risks. After the auditor has evaluated whether the entity’s programs and controls have been suitably designed and placed in operation, the auditor should assess these risks taking into account that evaluation. This assessment should be considered when developing the auditor’s response to the identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud.
Responding to the Results of the Assessment

Paragraphs .46–.67 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) provide requirements and guidance about an auditor’s response to the results of the assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud. The auditor responds to risks of material misstatement due to fraud in the following three ways:

a. A response that has an overall effect on how the audit is conducted—that is, a response involving more general considerations apart from the specific procedures otherwise planned (see paragraph .50 of AU section 316).

b. A response to identified risks involving the nature, timing, and extent of the auditing procedures to be performed (see paragraphs .51–.56 of AU section 316). For example, in federal government contractor audits, the auditor might

• hold program reviews on contracts with high degrees of risk and large contracts with program management and financial personnel.
• confirm compensated absences balances with employees.
• observe capital assets that do not qualify for capitalization for financial reporting purposes.
• scan cost accounting entries for unusual items or allocations.
• obtain letters from counsel regarding significant claims.
• reconcile unbilled to earned value analysis or billings, or both.

c. A response involving the performance of certain procedures to further address the risk of material misstatement due to fraud involving management override of controls, given the unpredictable ways in which such override could occur (see paragraphs .57–.67 of AU section 316) and paragraph 4.135).

Evaluating Audit Evidence

Paragraphs .68–.78 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) provide requirements and guidance for evaluating audit evidence. The auditor should evaluate whether analytical procedures that were performed as substantive tests or in the overall review stage of the audit indicate a previously unrecognized risk of material misstatement due to fraud. The auditor also should consider whether responses to inquiries throughout the audit about analytical relationships have been vague or implausible, or have produced evidence that is inconsistent with other audit evidence accumulated during the audit.

---

Paragraph .03 of AU section 318, Performing Audit Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks and Evaluating the Audit Evidence Obtained (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), states that to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level, the auditor should determine overall responses to address the assessed risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and should design and perform further audit procedures whose nature, timing, and extent are responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level. See paragraphs .04 and .07 of AU section 318. This requirement provides a link between the auditor’s consideration of fraud and the auditor’s assessment of risk and the auditor’s procedures in response to those assessed risks.
4.147 At or near the completion of fieldwork, the auditor should evaluate whether the accumulated results of auditing procedures and other observations affect the assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud made earlier in the audit. As part of this evaluation, the auditor with final responsibility for the audit should ascertain that there has been appropriate communication with the other audit team members throughout the audit regarding information or conditions indicative of risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

Responding to Misstatements That May Be the Result of Fraud

4.148 When audit test results identify misstatements in the financial statements, the auditor should consider whether such misstatements may be indicative of fraud. See paragraphs .75–.78 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) for requirements and guidance about an auditor’s response to misstatements that may be the result of fraud. If the auditor believes that misstatements are or may be the result of fraud, but the effect of the misstatements is not material to the financial statements, the auditor nevertheless should evaluate the implications, especially those dealing with the organizational position of the person(s) involved.

4.149 If the auditor believes that the misstatement is or may be the result of fraud, and either has determined that the effect could be material to the financial statements or has been unable to evaluate whether the effect is material, the auditor should:

a. Attempt to obtain audit evidence to determine whether material fraud has occurred or is likely to have occurred, and, if so, its effect on the financial statements and the auditor’s report thereon.9

b. Consider the implications for other aspects of the audit (see paragraph .76 of AU section 316 [AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1]).

c. Discuss the matter and the approach for further investigation with an appropriate level of management that is at least one level above those involved, and with senior management and those charged with governance.10

d. If appropriate, suggest that the client consult with legal counsel.

4.150 The auditor’s consideration of the risks of material misstatement and the results of audit tests may indicate such a significant risk of material misstatement due to fraud that the auditor should consider withdrawing from the engagement and communicating the reasons for withdrawal to those charged with governance. The auditor may wish to consult with legal counsel when considering withdrawal from an engagement.

Communicating About Possible Fraud to Management, Those Charged With Governance

4.151 Whenever the auditor has determined that there is evidence that fraud may exist, that matter should be brought to the attention of an

---


10 If the auditor believes senior management may be involved, discussion of the matter directly with those charged with governance may be appropriate. The auditor may also consider consulting with their own legal counsel.
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appropriate level of management. See paragraphs .79–.82 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) for further requirements and guidance about communications with management, the audit committee, and others.

Documenting the Auditor’s Consideration of Fraud

4.152 Paragraph .83 of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) lists certain items and events that should be documented by the auditor.

Available Practical Guidance

4.153 The AICPA Practice Aid Fraud Detection in a GAAS Audit—Revised Edition provides a wealth of information and help on complying with the provisions of AU section 316 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1). This practice aid is an other auditing publication as defined in AU section 150. Other auditing publications have no authoritative status; however, they may help the auditor understand and apply SASs.

Major Auditing Procedures for Government Contractors

4.154 This section provides guidance on the more significant auditing procedures that the independent auditor may consider in the audits of government contractors. In accordance with paragraph .07 of AU section 318, the nature, timing, and extent of these procedures should be based on the auditor’s assessment of the risks of material misstatements at the assertion level.

Contracts in Process

4.155 The primary focus of government contract audits is on the profit centers (usually individual contracts) for recognizing revenues, accumulating costs, and estimating and measuring income. The independent auditor should obtain an understanding of the more significant contracts in process, and the audit procedures should be related to those contracts. Evaluation of the profitability of contracts or profit centers is central to both the audit process and the evaluation of compliance with GAAP.

4.156 As noted previously, chapter 3 discusses the general applicability of SOP 81-1 to the unique aspects of government contract accounting and identifies several key determinations to be considered with respect to contracts in process. The following paragraphs discuss those determinations and the relevant auditing considerations and procedures.

4.157 Method of accounting. For the contracts covered by SOP 81-1, paragraphs 4.21–.42 provide recommendations on evaluating the acceptability of a contractor’s basic policy for income recognition. As discussed in SOP 81-1, the basic policy decision is the choice between the two generally accepted methods: the percentage-of-completion method, including units of delivery, and the completed-contract method. The determination of which of the two methods is preferable should be based on a careful evaluation of circumstances because the two methods should not be acceptable alternatives for the same circumstances.

4.158 The percentage-of-completion method. The independent auditor’s primary objectives in examining contracts accounted for by the percentage-of-completion method are to determine that the income recognized during the current period is based (a) on the total profit projected for the contract at completion and (b) on the work performed to date, and that the amount of anticipated
losses on uncompleted contracts is recognized in the current period. Total contract profit is generally derived from an estimate of final contract price adjusted for incentive fees and similar provisions (such as economic price adjustment clauses) less contract costs to date and estimated cost to complete. The auditor may test each of those components in connection with the auditing procedures discussed later.

4.159 The independent auditor should be satisfied that, in relation to the type of contract, the method used by the contractor to measure progress (for example, measures based on engineering estimates, labor hours, machine hours, units produced or units shipped, or the cost-to-cost method) produces a reasonable measurement of the work performed to date and does not inappropriately combine or segment contracts for profit recognition purposes. Information obtained from contract cost records or correspondence files may be useful in reviewing costs incurred to date when the cost-to-cost method is used. That information may indicate the need to disregard certain costs (for example, advance billings by subcontractors or costs of undelivered or uninstalled materials) to more accurately measure the work performed to date. Occasionally, contract billings to customers may approximate the physical percentage of completion if the contract provisions require that billings be commensurate with progress on the contract.

4.160 The completed-contract method. The primary objective of the independent auditor in examining contracts accounted for by the completed-contract method is to determine (a) the proper amount and timing of profit recognition for completed contracts, (b) the amount of anticipated losses on uncompleted contracts, which should be recognized in the current period, and (c) consistency in designating when contracts are considered complete.

4.161 The independent auditor may review events, contract costs, and contract billings subsequent to the end of the accounting period to obtain additional assurance that all contract revenues and costs are included in the period in which the contracts are deemed to be substantially complete. As a general rule, a contract may be regarded as substantially complete if remaining cost and potential risks are not significant in relation to total contract costs.

4.162 Profit center. As stated in paragraph .34 of SOP 81-1, the basic presumption should be that each contract is the profit center for revenue recognition, cost accumulation, and income measurement. That presumption may be overcome only if a contract or a series of contracts meets the conditions for combining or segmenting contracts. A group of contracts (combining), and a phase or segment of a single contract or of a group of contracts (segmenting) may be used as a profit center in some circumstances. For those contracts covered by SOP 81-1, the criteria to be satisfied in combining and segmenting contracts are contained in paragraphs .35–.42 of SOP 81-1. Because there are numerous practical implications of combining or segmenting contracts, evaluation of the circumstances, contract terms, and management intent are essential in determining contracts that may be accounted for on those bases.

4.163 In conjunction with the testing of contract revenues, it is common for the independent auditor to also perform his or her auditing procedures on billed and unbilled receivables. Billed and unbilled receivables and the related audit procedures are discussed later in this chapter.

4.164 Determination of income. The determination of income on a contract requires aggregating the following amounts for each profit center:
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- Accumulated costs to date
- Estimated costs to complete
- Estimated revenues at completion
- Revenues earned to date

4.165 The audit considerations involving both the accumulated cost to date and the estimated cost to complete are discussed in the following paragraphs.

4.166 Costs incurred to date. The independent auditor should be satisfied that the contractor’s costs incurred to date have been properly recorded. In addition, the auditor should be satisfied that accumulated contract costs include identifiable direct and indirect costs and an acceptable and consistent allocation of manufacturing overhead and local (or plant level) general and administrative (G&A) costs. Many contractors include local G&A expenses in their allocations to contracts in progress because the allocation of local G&A expenses is generally convenient to do, and these expenses are both recoverable under contracts and considered to be relevant for determining contract results for management purposes. Therefore, many contractors also allocate these expenses to contracts in progress. However, many contractors do not record, in their actual job-cost records, allocated home office G&A expenses. Instead, they reflect those allocations in memorandum records as permitted by FAR and CAS. The auditor should obtain reasonable assurance that the memorandum records are reconciled to the actual cost records. Practice varies for including home office overhead in inventory, but the auditor may focus on the recoverability of those costs. For cost-reimbursement contracts, the independent auditor should be satisfied that the contractor has not recognized unallowable costs in determining contract revenues. The auditor should design and perform substantive procedures to be responsive to the related assessment of the risk of material misstatement.

4.167 The following paragraphs discuss some specific considerations for auditing incurred costs.

4.168 Labor costs. Labor is often a significant element of contract cost and is the basis for allocating significant indirect costs. Therefore, the proper accounting for labor is critical.

4.169 The nature, timing, and extent of substantive testing applied to payroll costs should be responsive to the auditor’s assessment of the risk of material misstatement associated with the contractor’s payroll accounting and contract cost accumulation system. Tests of controls in the payroll and contract cost accumulation area may include testing the contractor’s procedures for charging labor costs to contracts or other cost objectives, such as independent research and development projects.

4.170 In determining the extent of testing of particular categories of labor, consideration may be given to the types of labor when the risk of potential mischarging is higher. For example, the likelihood of nonproduction direct-charge personnel mischarging time between contracts may be greater than production laborers because production laborers are more likely to work on one contract at a time and for longer periods and, therefore, are less likely to mischarge time. On the other hand, the time of nonproduction direct-charge personnel, for example, engineers and other support functions, may be allocated daily to a number of different contracts. This example, however, is not meant to diminish the potential risks of mischarging by production personnel. These risks may
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be evaluated on a case-by-case basis giving due consideration to the nature of the contractor's operations and the adequacy and effectiveness of its controls. Controls over time card entries may also be given careful consideration.

4.171 Material costs. Materials may be purchased for specific contracts or purchased on the basis of total requirements for all contracts. The contractor's procedures are reviewed and tested to obtain evidence concerning proper receipt and allocation to contracts. A comparison of the quantities of major materials used on a contract with the quantities estimated in the bid is a useful audit procedure for testing material costs. Significant transfers of material between contracts may be tested for compliance with applicable MMAS requirements.

4.172 The independent auditor should also obtain evidence as to whether material-related variances have been properly accounted for in conformity with GAAP and CAS, if applicable. Furthermore, the interorganizational transfers may be required under applicable FAR cost principles to be charged to contracts at the transferor's allowable cost. The auditor should evaluate the contractor's compliance with these regulations where interorganizational transfers are material to the financial statements.

4.173 Other direct costs. In addition to direct labor and material, which can be readily identified with a specific contract, there are other types of expenses that may be charged directly to a specific job. These other direct costs include: packaging and packing, consultants' fees, outbound freight, expediting, royalties, and travel.

4.174 The independent auditor can usually audit these costs using customary audit techniques and assess the propriety of the charges to specific contracts by reviewing the provisions of the contract.

4.175 Overhead and general and administrative expenses. The auditor's considerations with respect to overhead and G&A expenses include the following:

- The costs allocated to government contracts are allowable pursuant to the FAR cost principles, other applicable regulations, and contract terms.
- The methods used to allocate these costs to government contracts, including compliance with CAS, are appropriate.
- The bases used to apportion indirect costs are appropriate.
- Policies and procedures are applied consistently among government contracts and other contracts and cost objectives.
- The computed amount of allocated indirect costs is mathematically accurate.

Also, refer to paragraphs 3.05–.06, where inventoriable costs are discussed further.

4.176 Audit procedures related to overhead and G&A expenses may include

- reviewing the composition of the various indirect cost pools to determine that the cost elements included in each pool are allowable and logically grouped and have a beneficial and causal relationship reflected by the bases used for allocating such expenses to contracts.
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- selecting for review and analysis specific indirect cost accounts, based on the significance of account balances and the potential for accounts to contain elements of unallowable costs.
- evaluating the contractor’s bases for allocating each of the indirect cost pools to operations, inquiring whether any of the contractor’s bases have been changed since the prior audit and, if so, inquiring whether the changes were disclosed in a CAS Disclosure Statement.

4.177 In performing these procedures, guidance can be found in CAS, the contractor’s CAS Disclosure Statement, and the FAR cost principles, as applicable.

4.178 Subcontractors. Most significant government contracts involve the work of subcontractors. The auditor may consider testing significant subcontracts. In certain circumstances, the auditor may need to consider the financial viability of the subcontractor. Tests may include reviewing the accuracy of the cutoff of subcontractors’ billings to determine that all billings for work performed have been included in contract costs. The auditor may also consider confirming billings to date and balances due, including retainers, for significant subcontractors.

4.179 Another important consideration relates to the prime contractor’s general practice of flowing down the applicable contract clauses to all subcontractors. In the event that the prime contractor has not effectively transferred the appropriate contract clauses to the subcontractors, the prime contractor may be contingently liable for the actions of the subcontractor. The auditor may examine significant subcontracts to assist in identifying the potential for contingent liabilities.

4.180 Estimated-cost-to-complete. The testing of estimated costs to complete is often a complex aspect of auditing government contractors. The estimate, by its very nature, involves expectations of future performance. It is, therefore, highly subjective and involves certain representations of management. Furthermore, the data available to test these representations may be limited. For example, the periods covered in the estimate to complete may extend beyond the contractor’s current labor union agreement or the estimates may include management’s assumptions with respect to the impact of inflation. In such cases, the auditor should exercise his or her judgment as to whether such estimates are reasonable. The auditor may consider comparing management’s estimates with external data, industry, and other projections.

4.181 The initial step in reviewing the estimate-to-complete is to assess the relative risk factors of the contracts. This involves assessing both the performance risk and the risk associated with the contract terms. For example, the financial exposure from an inaccurate estimate-to-complete is typically greater for fixed-price contracts than for cost-reimbursement contracts. In addition, the exposure generally is greater on fixed-price contracts for new, sophisticated products because of the uncertainty of technological feasibility than on contracts for products that the contractor has successfully produced before. In evaluating the reasonableness of an estimate, the auditor normally concentrates on key factors and assumptions and should obtain an understanding of how the estimate was developed. For example, what are the significant assumptions? How sensitive is the estimate to variations in the assumptions? Are the assumptions consistent with or do they deviate from historical patterns? Was
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the estimate prepared by someone who is knowledgeable of and accountable for contract performance? Does the estimate give consideration to actual experience to date and reasonably anticipated changes in costs, labor productivity, scrap factors, and so on? Another important consideration is the accuracy of the contractor estimates-to-complete for the current and similar previous contracts.

4.182 In determining the extent of substantive procedures of the contractor's estimate-to-complete, the independent auditor considers his or her assessment of the risk of material misstatement associated with the preparation of such estimates. AU section 342 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) provides guidance to the independent auditor in reviewing and testing management's estimating process. A history of estimating accuracy and favorable results of his or her tests of controls may allow the auditor to reduce the level of detailed substantive procedures, which may include the following audit procedures:

- Determine the product design status to effectively evaluate the overall estimates-to-complete as well as the specific material and labor estimates. The various stages of design completion include
  - design not complete,
  - preliminary design complete,
  - preproduction units not tested, and
  - production unit tested but major specification deviations exist.

- Inquire of engineers or other contract managers about the status of contract performance. This knowledge will assist the independent auditor in evaluating the reasonableness and reliability of the current engineering estimates and provide background information useful in evaluating the reasonableness of labor, material, and overhead included in the estimate-to-complete. Because of the background information that this evaluation provides, it might be one of the first reviews performed.

- Compare material costs incurred to date, plus estimated material costs-to-complete, with the original bid or proposal estimate, and obtain explanations of unusual variances and changes in trends.

- For labor hour estimates, compare the actual labor hours experienced on the contract to date with the estimated future labor hours, and obtain explanations for significant variations in labor productivity. For example, management's estimate-to-complete may contemplate improved labor productivity due to learning or other factors. The auditor may review and test learning curve assumptions, and the like, that may be available to assess the reasonableness of the estimate.

- Review historical labor rate changes as a reasonableness test of expected increases when the labor rates used for the estimate-to-complete are based on actual rates, adjusted for anticipated wage changes (for example, those changes provided by union contract). Where applicable, compare the estimated labor rates to the current union contract schedules.

- Consider whether all overhead costs (including G&A costs when the contractor's policy is to capitalize such costs) are included in the estimate-to-complete and that projections of future business
volume are comparable to production levels used to calculate current overhead rates. In addition, the auditor may consider evaluating the reasonableness of the overhead costs included in the estimate-to-complete by comparing these with the contractor’s operating budgets.

- Obtain a summary of work performed and consider whether management’s estimate of costs-to-complete includes change orders, price and quantity increases, anticipated penalties for late completion, warranties and similar items that may eventually affect the profitability of the contract.
- Review project engineers’ reports and interim financial data, including reports and data issued after the balance sheet date, and obtain explanations for unusual variances from the estimates used in preparing the financial statements.
- Review information received from customers or other third parties in confirmations and in correspondence about disputes, performance problems, and so on, that may affect total contract revenues and estimated cost-to-complete.

4.183 In addition, the auditor may consider whether design changes have been reflected in the estimate-to-complete purposes. Equally important is the potential for future design changes. Situations when the current performance capability of the product is substantially less than the requirements prescribed by the contract may indicate that substantial design changes will be required. These design changes could have a significant impact on the ultimate contract costs.

4.184 The complexity of the contractor’s work may cause the auditor to consider the need to consult with specialists, such as engineers and architects, to obtain competent advice or opinions regarding project progress and the estimated cost-to-complete. As noted previously, AU section 336, Using the Work of a Specialist (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), provides guidance in this area.

4.185 Total estimated revenues. The estimate of total contract revenues to be used in conjunction with the estimated cost-at-completion for determination of the estimated profit on the contract will ordinarily include the basic contract price, plus or minus the effects of change orders, claims, contract options, incentives, and award fees. The contract price may also be affected when the contract contains specific provisions for economic price adjustments, which are designed to give at least partial consideration to unanticipated increases or decreases in costs in determining the ultimate contract price. To assess the reasonableness of management’s current estimate of contract revenues, the auditor reviews the contract and related correspondence files, giving particular attention to the various clauses and provisions noted previously.

4.186 Typically, economic price adjustment clauses in government contracts provide for adjustment to the contract price, subject to certain limitations or ranges, if the relevant indices experienced during contract performance change from the indices specified in the contract. To evaluate the effects of the potential adjustments on the estimated total contract revenues, the auditor should be familiar with the applicable clause(s), which describes the method(s) to be used to compute the adjustment.
Federal Government Contractors

4.187 Many government contracts contain cost- or performance-incentive provisions. The evaluation of whether such incentives or award fees have been or will be earned may have a material effect on the amount and timing of revenue recognized. The auditor should obtain an understanding of the contract incentive provisions and consider whether, based on that understanding and the underlying facts and circumstances as discussed in paragraphs 3.27–3.30, the contractor has considered incentives in its estimates of total contract revenues.

4.188 **Review of earned revenue.** Most contractors, particularly those using the percentage-of-completion method, periodically prepare and review internal management reports on the status of significant contracts for the purpose of evaluating earned revenue. In connection with these reviews, the contractor obtains current estimates of percentage-of-completion and revenues, costs, and gross margin. The status of other matters that may potentially impact contract gross margin, such as incentives, award fees, and change orders, also is updated. These data typically are obtained from the personnel responsible for the particular projects and summarized to facilitate management’s revenue recognition decisions. The auditor may consider this information in assessing the reasonableness of management’s judgments in this area.

4.189 To test the contractor’s contract status report, the independent auditor may consider performing procedures, such as, but not necessarily limited to, the following:

- Trace the data to their sources, such as contract cost records, engineer’s estimates of progress, or project managers’ status reports.
- Compare current results to prior reports for unusual trends.
- Discuss with project managers and other knowledgeable personnel the status of the project.
- Compare the contract status reports to other contract records and documentation (for example, correspondence with the government) for consistency.
- Physically observe the status of the project, that is, visit the project site.

4.190 **Analysis of gross profit margins.** Lastly, the independent auditor may consider analyzing estimated gross profit margins on significant contracts and obtaining explanations for contracts with unusually high or low estimated profit margins in light of present and past experience on similar contracts. Procedures to be considered include comparison of both the profit margins recognized on open contracts with the final results on similar closed contracts and the final profit on closed contracts with the estimated profit on those contracts in the prior year.

4.191 **Losses on contracts.** As noted in chapter 3, anticipated losses on contracts, including contracts on which work has not commenced, should be recognized when they become probable. In addition, the contractor generally should consider the need for accruals to recognize other contract costs or revenue adjustments, such as warranties, penalties for late completion, loss contracts with firm fixed-price options, and foreseeable losses arising from terminated contracts. The auditor should then evaluate management’s decision in view of facts and circumstances.
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Accounts Receivable

4.192 A government contractor's receivables may include billed and unbilled amounts, retentions, and unapproved change orders and claims. Ordinarily, a contractor's records include separate accounts for each type of receivable. As discussed earlier, each contract will specify the billing terms, which may vary by contract, to be followed by the contractor. The independent auditor's procedures related to billed and unbilled receivables usually are performed as part of his or her testing of contract revenues.

4.193 Billed receivables. Billed receivables under government contracts differ from commercial trade receivables in certain respects. Amounts due from the government under a prime contract may ordinarily be considered collectible or realizable from the standpoint of the customer's ability to pay. Nevertheless, the auditor ordinarily undertakes procedures to determine that the amount included in the contractor's records represents the amount billed to the procurement office but not yet collected under the contract. Because government disbursement offices rarely reply to an auditor's accounts receivable confirmation requests, confirmation is unlikely to be successful. Accordingly, the auditor should consider the use of alternate procedures to satisfy himself or herself regarding the amounts owed the contractor.

4.194 An effective alternative to direct confirmation is examination of subsequent payments received from the disbursing office, remittance advices, bank statements, and so forth. It may also be appropriate to compare billed receivables with approved billing documentation, shipping records, delivery schedules, government inspection and acceptance documents, and the like.

4.195 In commercial enterprises, these procedures would ordinarily serve to satisfy the auditor that the billed amounts were appropriate because it is reasonable to conclude that confirmation or payment would not be forthcoming from the customer if the receivables were incorrect. This is not always true with the government, however, because confirmation or payment does not necessarily mean the amount is correct but only that the amount has been billed. For example, the contractor may have billed the government using its actual overhead rate when the contract calls for a specific, provisional, agreed-upon rate to be used for billing purposes. In addition, FAR Subsection 42.703-2 requires contractors to certify that indirect cost proposals exclude all expressly unallowable costs. Accordingly, audit procedures should be designed and performed to obtain reasonable assurance that the billed receivables

- have been billed in accordance with the specific terms of the contract.
- include only costs that are allowable and allocable under applicable regulations.

4.196 The independent auditor also may consider discussing any other information relevant to assessing the possibility of billing adjustments (for example, contract correspondence and government audit reports) with the contractor's management personnel and, in certain circumstances, the appropriate ACO and DCAA representatives.

4.197 For subcontractors, the existence of billed receivables due from prime contractors may generally be evaluated by direct confirmation. In the absence of replies to requests for direct confirmation, alternative procedures can be applied similar to those employed for prime contracts. However, the
government’s credit standing does not pass to the prime contractor or higher tier subcontractor; therefore, each contractor’s creditworthiness should be evaluated independently.

4.198 Unbilled receivables. Unbilled receivables arise when sales or revenues have been recorded but not billed currently under the contract terms. The receivables may represent (1) unbilled amounts arising from the use of the percentage-of-completion or other method of recognizing revenue that differs in terms of timing from the contractual billing terms; (2) costs incurred to be billed under cost-reimbursement contracts; and (3) differences between provisional overhead billing rates and actual allowable overhead rates.

4.199 Because direct confirmation of an unbilled receivable is not possible and because confirmation or payment by the government of a billed receivable would not necessarily mean that the amount is correct but only that the amount has been billed or paid, alternative auditing procedures should be applied. In addition to evaluating the unbilled information on the basis of accumulated cost data, these procedures may include examination of subsequent billings and, if applicable, cash collections. The auditor should also evaluate management’s consideration and treatment of costs included in billed and unbilled receivables that may be subject to dispute between the contractor and the government and, therefore, may potentially be unrecoverable. The auditor may also consider discussing the status of overhead cost determination and other matters affecting the realizability of unbilled receivables with the appropriate ACO and DCAA representatives. Furthermore, the auditor should consider the length of time the receivable has remained unbilled because this may indicate the existence of disputed costs, potential or unrecorded contract modifications, or other matters affecting ultimate collectibility.

4.200 Retentions. Retained amounts may be included in contract provisions permitting the government to withhold a defined amount or percentage of a contract price until certain conditions have been satisfactorily met. These conditions may relate to uncompleted overhead rate negotiations, disposal of government-owned materials, fulfillment of contract guarantees or warranties, or substantial completion of contract performance. In some instances, the duration may be lengthy; therefore, the auditor should understand the basis for significant retentions and identify the conditions giving rise to the retained amounts to evaluate whether the contractor is making sufficient progress in satisfying the conditions necessary to ensure ultimate realization of the retained amounts.

4.201 Change orders. There are two broad types of change orders—formal change orders and constructive change orders. A formal change order is a written document issued by the government stating that, pursuant to the changes clause, specific changes to the contract are being made. Because the government acknowledges that a change is being made, only the amount of the equitable adjustment in terms of contract price or delivery schedule is likely to be disputed.

4.202 Constructive change orders are often subtle and difficult to identify, document, and quantify because they may represent an informal action, failure to act, or omission on the part of the government. Constructive change orders may be either written or oral directives or requests. Nonetheless, they have the same force and effect as formal change orders. In addition, constructive change orders frequently result in disputes regarding the contractor’s right
or entitlement to equitable adjustments because the government and the contractor often will disagree that the informal act or omission constitutes a valid contract change.

4.203 To evaluate the contractor’s estimate of the effect of change orders on both the costs to complete the contract and the amount of profit or loss to be recognized during the period, the auditor may consider—

a. Evaluating whether the estimated contract revenue and costs are adjusted to reflect approved change orders.

b. Evaluating the reasonableness of the estimated costs of performing the change order just as other contract costs are evaluated for reasonableness. Particular attention may be given to impact costs (for example, inefficiencies created by delays and disruptions to the work schedule) that contractors sometimes fail to consider when estimating change order costs.

c. Examining the signed change order document for any terms and conditions affecting the contractor’s recovery under the change order and note approval of the change by all parties involved.

4.204 With respect to unpriced change orders, the appropriate accounting is largely dependent on the probability of cost recovery as measured by the likely occurrence of future events. Some of the factors to be considered in evaluating probability of recovery are

- the customer’s written approval of the scope of the change order.
- the separate documentation for identifiable and reasonable change order costs.
- the contractor’s historical experience in negotiating change orders, particularly the specific type of contract and change order being evaluated.

4.205 Nonetheless, probability of recovery depends on the unique facts and circumstances of each situation and the following tests may be considered by the independent auditor:

a. Inquire as to why the change order remains unpriced. If the price or scope of the change order is formally in dispute, the change order should be evaluated as a claim (see paragraph 4.206).

b. Evaluate the probability that change order costs will be reimbursed by the government. The contractor’s past recovery experience may be considered in this evaluation, together with the reasonableness, allowability, and allocability of the contractor’s actual and estimated costs of the change order.

c. Examine documentation, for example, correspondence files, that may provide additional evidence regarding the probability of the contractor’s ability to recover the cost of the changed work.

d. Inquire about the probability of recovery with appropriate contractor personnel and legal counsel.

4.206 Claims. Accounting for and auditing contractor claims against the government (or a subcontractor’s claim against a prime contractor) is a complex matter that requires the exercise of substantial judgment by both contractors and independent auditors. The factors affecting the realization of claimed amounts recorded by a contractor often are very complex. Disputed matters
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may take several years to resolve, during which time management may make decisions regarding settlement, litigation strategy, and so forth. This may result in recovery of amounts sometimes substantially different from those originally anticipated and recorded by the contractor.

4.207 Claims process. A claim against the government generally arises from some action, failure to act, or omission on the part of the government that the contractor perceives as requiring the performance of work different from the original contract and that results in the contractor’s incurring costs in excess of those contemplated under the original contract. In effect, a claim is a disputed change order. Furthermore, claims often develop from constructive change orders. The dispute may concern, among other things, the contractor’s legal entitlement to an equitable adjustment in contract price or delivery schedule.

4.208 The document submitted to the government in support of the contractor’s claim should be well-organized and prepared in an objective manner. The facts should be presented in sufficient detail to allow for a full understanding of the basis of the claim, and all information contained in the claim should be verifiable. A typical claim document is generally organized in the following manner:

a. Summary of the claim
b. Description of the original contract requirements
c. Description of actions, failures to act, or omissions of the government resulting in the claim
d. Description of the additional work performed by the contractor
e. Summary of the increased costs of performing the additional work

4.209 The pricing of the claim should be consistent with the description of the additional work performed by the contractor. Detailed working papers and other documentation generally should be prepared and maintained by the contractor in support of the amounts claimed. See also Section 604 of the Contract Disputes Act for additional information about unsupported contractor claims.

4.210 The typical government contract includes a changes clause and a disputes clause. As previously discussed, the changes clause entitles the contractor to an equitable adjustment in contract price or delivery schedule for the work the government directs—either formally or constructively—the contractor to perform differently from the original contract requirements. The disputes clause provides the mechanism for resolving disputes regarding the contractor’s right to an equitable adjustment. Therefore, the major determinants in evaluating the probability of claim recovery is the contractor’s legal entitlement to recovery of its increased costs pursuant to the changes clause or other applicable clauses. Legal entitlement depends principally on the contractor’s ability to prove that the government changed the original contract requirements. Whether the contractor is able to meet its burden of proof in this regard is a legal matter; therefore, the independent auditor should request the contractor to send a letter of inquiry to those lawyers with whom the management consulted, as discussed in the section on management representations.

4.211 In addition to entitlement, the reasonableness of the pricing methodology used and the accuracy of the amounts claimed by the contractor are critical factors in determining the realizability of the contractor’s claim.
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4.212 Although the appropriateness of the contractor's pricing methodology will depend on the applicable regulations and the facts and circumstances of each case, acceptable claim pricing is also determined, to a large extent, by a vast body of case law.

4.213 Recognition of claim revenues and costs. As discussed in chapter 3, accounting for the recognition of claim revenues is covered in paragraph .65-.67 of SOP 81-1. Specifically paragraph .65 of SOP 81-1 concludes that recognition of "contract revenue relating to claims is appropriate only if it is probable that the claim will result in additional contract revenue and if the amount can be reliably estimated." Those two requirements are generally satisfied by the existence of all four conditions specified in paragraph .65 and discussed in the following list:

- **Condition 1**—The contract or other evidence provides a legal basis for the claim; or a legal opinion has been obtained, stating that under the circumstances there is a reasonable basis to support the claim. An effective means of obtaining evidence about management's compliance with this criterion is to obtain from the contractor's legal counsel an opinion on both the legal basis of the claim and the probability of recovery. Other evidence that may contribute to satisfying this criterion will depend on the circumstances of each case but may include explicit provisions of the contract, information obtained by the contractor during the course of discovery in the case, and other relevant data. The existence of the changes clause in the contract does not provide an absolute legal basis for a claim.

- **Condition 2**—Additional costs are caused by circumstances that were unforeseen at the contract date and are not the result of deficiencies in the contractor's performance. The contractor is generally considered responsible for cost increases caused simply by underestimating the original work, contractor inefficiencies, and similar factors that are not the government's responsibility and, therefore, generally do not provide a basis for a claim against the government. The additional costs mentioned in this condition are generally costs incurred because of constructive or formal change orders issued by the government.

- **Condition 3**—Costs associated with the claim are identifiable or otherwise determinable and are reasonable in view of the work performed. Once those changes giving rise to a claim are recognized, contractors should attempt to segregate the costs associated with changes in contract scope or method of performance. If the formal accounting system does not permit this segregation, memorandum records should be used. In some cases, however, the basic nature of the change may be identified, but its impact may be so pervasive that easy identification of the related increased costs is very difficult. This is particularly true in the case of certain constructive changes, including defective government specifications, which often require the contractor to remove or alter work previously performed or change the sequence in which work is to be performed. In these circumstances, the contractor would have to estimate or reconstruct the costs of the changed work, including delay and disruption costs, using the cost records, man-hour...
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estimates by the employees who performed the changed work, and quantitative methods, such as learning curve analyses.

- **Condition 4—The evidence supporting the claim is objective and verifiable, not based on management's feel for the situation or on unsupported representations.** In assessing the contractor's satisfaction of this condition, the independent auditor may consider the factors discussed previously in connection with the first three conditions. The specificity of the information contained in the claim document and the adequacy and completeness of the working papers and other documentation underlying the claim are critical to this process. The independent auditor's knowledge of the government contracting industry and the contractor's performance history with respect to contracts of this type are among the additional factors to be considered in evaluating the proper recognition of claim revenue.

4.214 Auditing procedures. The procedures to be performed in evaluating whether the four conditions cited in the previous paragraph have been met vary depending on the circumstances. Following are some of the procedures that may be performed by the independent auditor (the conditions of paragraph .65 of SOP 81-1 to which the procedures relate are noted parenthetically):

- Read the contractor's claim document submitted to the government to obtain an understanding of the basis for the claim and the pricing methodology used. Evaluate whether the document is well-organized, describes the legal basis for the claim, appears to contain all relevant information, and appears to be capable of audit by the government. Determine whether the claim has been properly certified by the contractor as required by the Contract Disputes Act (41 USC 601). If the claim is not properly certified and exceeds $100,000, it is generally not a claim under the law; therefore, the validity of the claim should be resolved before it is included in revenues (*Conditions 1–4*).

- Make inquiries of the contractor's legal counsel regarding the contractor's entitlement to recovery and an estimate of such a recovery. The contractor's legal entitlement to an equitable adjustment is based primarily on the ability to prove that the government altered the contract requirements. Because this is principally a legal issue, an opinion from legal counsel is essential. A written opinion generally should be obtained with respect to all material claims, and the independent auditor should be prepared to justify any departures from this procedure (*Conditions 1 and 2*).

- Through discussions with legal counsel, determine the stage of the disputes process the claim is in and review the related documentation, for example, the contracting officer's final decision and decisions by Boards of Contract Appeals, the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Although the contractor is entitled to pursue his or her rights through the appeals process, the independent auditor should consider the rulings and decisions issued by these boards and courts in assessing the probability of the contractor's recovery of claimed amounts. Furthermore, consideration should be given to the likelihood that the government may prevail in an appeal of a favorable
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decision on behalf of the contractor. As previously noted, the auditor may wish to consult a specialist in these types of matters (Conditions 1 and 4).

- Review the contract terms to identify the specific provisions of the contract relating to matters involved in the claim. Consider the effect of contract terms precluding the contractor’s filing a claim under certain circumstances or specifically excluding certain costs, for example, consequential damages and general administrative expenses (Condition 1).

- Review the pricing methodology and supporting documentation underlying the claim. As previously mentioned, the lack of early identification of constructive change orders generally will preclude the segregation of changed work costs as they occur. In many cases, the claim pricing documentation may include working papers and analyses that should be reconcilable to the contractor’s formal contract-cost records. In evaluating the appropriateness of the pricing methodology and related documentation, consider consulting with specialists knowledgeable with the acceptable methods of pricing claims and the strengths or weaknesses of various methods, for example, the disadvantage of the so-called total cost approach to claim pricing (Conditions 3 and 4).

- Evaluate the claim pricing for compliance with the FAR cost principles and CAS (Conditions 3 and 4).

4.215 If the independent auditor concludes that it is appropriate for the contractor to recognize revenue related to the claim, the auditor should determine that the revenue recognized is limited to contract costs incurred in connection with the claim. (See the section on contract claims in chapter 3.) In evaluating the amount of revenue to be recorded, the auditor should recognize that claims, in particular claims for constructive change orders, are routinely negotiated and settled with the government on a basis that differs from, sometimes significantly, the original amount claimed. Furthermore, the auditor should recognize that negotiations are often involved in the claims settlement process and that appropriate reserves against claims receivable may be necessary to reflect the estimated net realizable amount even if the claim is adequately supported from a cost standpoint. The contractor’s past history in negotiating similar claims can be considered in this regard. The auditor also should determine that the recorded amounts, if material, are disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Progress Payments

4.216 The government typically finances contractor performance of fixed-price-type contracts by means of progress payments. (See the section on “Financing the Contract” in chapter 1.) Audit procedures performed in this area may include a review of

- subsequent cash receipts, including a comparison of requests for payment with the terms of the contract.

- the contractor’s progress payment requests to obtain reasonable assurance that costs included in the billings are paid on a timely basis.
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- activity in the progress payment accounts, including analysis of progress billings (interim payment requests) by the contractor, progress payments received from the government, and liquidation of the progress payment account upon shipment or other appropriate basis. In some cases, the independent auditor may consider confirming specific progress payments. The independent auditor should be aware, as previously stated in paragraph 4.193, that the government does not always respond to auditors’ confirmations.

- the contractor’s estimate of cost at completion included in the request for progress payment. This should approximate closely management’s most current estimate of cost at completion for revenue recognition purposes.

4.217 The government recoups progress payments from contractors through a process known as liquidation. The amount of the liquidation is generally calculated as the contract price of delivered items multiplied by the liquidation rate prescribed in the contract. This amount is then deducted from the contractor’s invoices for delivered items. The liquidation rate is usually, but not always, the same as the progress payment rate. While a contract is in process, a balance of unliquidated progress payments usually exists. Audit procedures ordinarily performed to test the reasonableness of the balance of unliquidated progress payments include the following:

- Reviewing and vouching progress payments received during the year
- Reviewing and vouching payments received for completed contract items and recomputing the liquidation amounts
- Reconciling the balance of unliquidated progress payments for a contract to the most recent request for progress payment

Property and Equipment

4.218 As discussed earlier, contractors may use company-owned property and equipment and property furnished by the government. For property owned by the contractor, customary audit procedures may be followed. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, applies to “legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, or development and (or) the normal operation of a long-lived asset, except as explained in paragraph 17 for certain obligations of leases.” However, when the contractor uses government-furnished property, the independent auditor should consider obtaining evidence as to whether assets of the government are excluded from the contractor’s financial statements.

4.219 The FASB released FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143. This interpretation clarifies the term “conditional asset retirement obligation” as used in FASB Statement No. 143. FASB Statement No. 143 refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing or method of settlement. In issuing the interpretation the FASB hopes to
increase consistency in the recognition of liabilities relating to asset retirement obligations.

Contract-Related Liabilities

4.220 Contract-related liabilities typically include payables to vendors and subcontractors (including retained amounts), advance payments received from the government, and guaranteed loans. Amounts due to subcontractors, like other accounts payable, usually are evidenced by invoices and other appropriate documentation. In some instances, contractors retain a portion of amounts due subcontractors until work is completed and accepted. The retained amount may be a percentage of the subcontract price or a fixed amount, as provided in the subcontract. In these cases, the independent auditor should consider confirming those amounts directly with the subcontractor.

4.221 The contractor may also establish other reserves for known or contingent liabilities, for example, government claims, contract terminations, and subcontractor claims. The specific audit procedures relating to these types of liabilities are discussed in subsequent paragraphs. In addition, the independent auditor may also consider discussing the status of claims, disputes, or audit findings directly with the appropriate ACO and the contract auditor(s).

Government Claims

4.222 Government claims generally involve one of the following five issues:

1. Unallowable costs
2. Mischarged costs
3. Defective pricing
4. Contract terminations
5. False claims

4.223 Cost allowability is governed by the applicable procurement regulations, and the interpretation of these regulations has been a significant source of disputes between contractors and the government. Cost mischarging occurs when costs are not properly allocated to contracts or other cost objectives. Defective pricing arises when the contractor has failed to comply with the Truth in Negotiations Act.

4.224 For each of these issues, asserted and unasserted claims may have a significant effect on a contractor’s financial statements. Management is responsible for evaluating the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and the amount or range of potential loss relating to asserted and unasserted claims. The independent auditor should review management’s evaluation for reasonableness in light of the particular facts and circumstances. The independent auditor’s procedures to be performed in making this review are discussed in AU section 337. Additional guidance is provided in AU section 342 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1). In some cases, the auditor’s review may also include issues of a legal or contract nature that will require the use of lawyers or other specialists.

4.225 In addition, asserted and unasserted claims by the government against the contractor may involve illegal acts, errors, or fraud, and in such cases, the independent auditor must follow the requirements and guidance in
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AU section 316\textsuperscript{11} and AU section 317 in evaluating the impact, if any, that these matters have on the financial statements and his or her report.

4.226 The independent auditor should also be assured that those charged with governance, including regulatory agencies, or others with equivalent authority and responsibility, are adequately informed of any illegal acts or fraud of which the auditor becomes aware during the audit unless such matters are clearly inconsequential.

4.227 The following paragraphs discuss each of the areas dealing with the identification and evaluation of unasserted claims.

4.228 Unallowable costs. Historically, government contractors may have evaluated unasserted claims for unallowable costs based largely on their past experience plus consideration of specifically identified or disputed items. The independent auditor should assess the continuing reasonableness of this approach in light of such factors as changes in the contractor's procedures for accumulating and reporting allowable and unallowable contract costs. In some cases, the auditor may conclude that increased testing of specific elements of contract cost is necessary to obtain sufficient evidence about their allowability under the applicable cost regulations if the risk of disallowance of such costs is significant.

4.229 Mischarged costs. Although the risk can vary depending on the company and the nature of its operations, perhaps the greatest exposure for cost mischarging is in the labor area, although the potential for mischarging in the areas of material cost and overhead allocation may also be substantial.

4.230 Because of this exposure, the auditor obtains sufficient evidence as to whether costs have not been incorrectly charged in amounts material to the financial statements by (a) considering the effectiveness of the controls that management has established to ensure that costs are charged to the appropriate contracts and other cost objectives and (b) performing substantive tests of recorded costs.

4.231 Defective pricing. Defective pricing may result in a reduction in the price of the contract in question and result in the imposition of interest charges and penalties; therefore, the independent auditor should be aware of the possibility that defective pricing may have occurred. Procedures applied for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements may raise concerns that defective pricing has occurred. When the independent auditor becomes aware of information concerning asserted or unasserted defective pricing, the auditor should obtain an understanding of the nature of the act, the circumstances in which it occurred, and other sufficient information to evaluate the effect on the financial statements. For asserted claims, the procedures performed generally are similar to those associated with the audit procedures applied to other contingencies.

4.232 If the independent auditor's inquiries indicate that controls are not designed to provide reasonable assurance that defective pricing will be

\textsuperscript{11} Additional guidance on AU section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), is included as paragraphs 4.128–.153 to this chapter.
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prevented or detected on a timely basis, then the auditor may consider making additional inquiries, such as

- an inquiry about significantly lower actual cost of individual items and cost elements *vis-à-vis* amounts included in the contractor's proposal.
- an inquiry about operations not actually performed or items of cost not incurred, although included in the contractor's proposal.
- an inquiry about items of direct cost included in the contract pricing proposal at prices higher than appear to be justified based on the contractor's actual cost experience either before or after agreement on contract price. In this regard, the contract price is not defective solely because subsequent market price declines enabled the contractor to obtain, for example, lower material prices than the quotations available at the date of agreement with the government on contract price. Likewise, actual costs as experienced prior to agreement on contract price that are less than the estimated costs included in the contractor's pricing proposal and disclosed to the government are not necessarily determinative of the existence of defective pricing.
- a review of records of management decisions prior to agreement on price, for example, minutes of meetings of those charged with governance and special committees, that have a significant effect on contract costs, and subsequent to award, and an inquiry about whether the results of those decisions were communicated to the government.
- a consultation with the contractor's legal counsel, as appropriate.

4.233 Contract termination. In the event that a contract is terminated for the convenience of the government, the independent auditor should review the status of costs and revenues on the contract to obtain reasonable assurance that revenue has been recorded in the proper periods. Audit procedures and considerations for termination claims against the government are similar to those enumerated in the preceding section on claims. (See related information in the "Contract Terminations" section of chapter 3.)

4.234 A contract terminated for the convenience of the government does not typically result in a substantial loss to the contractor because the government is responsible for the payment of the contractor's costs incurred plus a reasonable profit on the work performed before termination. However, a contract terminated for default by the contractor will generally result in a loss to the contractor. Therefore, the auditor should obtain sufficient evidence as to whether appropriate accruals have been made to recognize the following items:

- Unrecoverable costs incurred under the contract
- Reprocurement costs incurred or to be incurred by the government and reimbursed by the contractor

4.235 Subcontractor claims. Auditors of prime contractors should be alert for, and consider the financial statement effect of, claims by subcontractors.
These claims should be reviewed by the auditor to evaluate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and the amount or range of potential loss, if any, to the prime contractor.

**CAS Disclosure Statement**

4.236 As previously discussed, contractors meeting certain criteria are required to file with the government a CAS Disclosure Statement that describes their cost accounting practices on CAS-covered contracts. Not all contractors subject to CAS are required to file a CAS Disclosure Statement; however, those contractors that may be required to file more than one CAS Disclosure Statement shall update each one as changes occur in their cost accounting practices. Regardless of whether a CAS Disclosure Statement has been filed, a contractor shall inform the government of the cost impact of cost accounting practice changes on its CAS-covered contracts.

4.237 Failure to consistently follow the disclosed cost accounting practices in pricing contract proposals and in accumulating and reporting contract cost data, may result in a downward adjustment to contract prices. Accordingly, the independent auditor may consider reviewing the contractor’s CAS Disclosure Statement for consistency with his or her understanding of the contractor’s accounting policies and practices.

**Independent Research and Development (IR&D) and Bid and Proposal (B&P) Costs**

4.238 Because IR&D and B&P costs are recovered from the government, there are two important issues that may have a direct and material effect on the amounts reported in the contractor’s financial statements. These issues are as follows:

- **Contract requirements.** Costs related to activities required in connection with an existing contract must not be allocated to IR&D and B&P. This distinction is often difficult to make and has frequently been the subject of disputes between contractors and the government. The independent auditor should be aware of contract activities closely related to IR&D and B&P, and may consider performing tests to obtain evidence as to whether contract-specific and IR&D and B&P activities are properly accounted for by the contractor.

- **Proper expense identification.** IR&D and B&P costs must be accurately charged. Other indirect costs that are closely related but not the same must be properly segregated, for example, selling costs, manufacturing, and production engineering costs.

**Classified Contracts**

4.239 Government contractors may have contracts involving classified government programs. Access to certain financial and other data about classified contracts generally requires some level of security clearance from the government. Consequently, in auditing the financial statements of government
contractors that have significant classified contracts, the independent auditor generally seeks appropriate security clearance. Because the length of time to obtain such clearance varies from as little as a few months to more than a year, it is advisable to arrange for clearance as early in the engagement as possible, and if available, obtain assistance from internal auditors with appropriate clearances in audits of sensitive areas.

4.240 The independent auditor should review the contractor’s controls with respect to classified programs and accounting for the financial consequences of such contracts in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The control environment should include the following:

- Senior management having the requisite access and clearance to classified contracts to provide appropriate review and oversight
- Appropriate access and clearance by financial accounting and internal audit personnel
- A system to communicate contract financial status information, such as cost and technical performance, award performance, or incentive fee data
- Adequacy of the controls management has in place to properly safeguard classified information.

4.241 If the independent auditor obtains clearance, he or she performs the same types of auditing procedures to test classified contracts that are used to test nonclassified contracts. If, however, clearance cannot be obtained in time to perform the audit, or clearance is denied, the procedures are likely to be limited to inquiry of management about the classified contracts.

4.242 The independent auditor’s decision about the effect of this scope limitation on the auditor’s report depends on the auditor’s assessment of the materiality of, and audit risk associated with, classified contracts. In assessing materiality and audit risk, the auditor may consider the following:

a. Management’s representations about matters indicative of the materiality of classified contracts, such as amounts awarded, revenues, and profitability

b. Management’s representations about matters indicative of inherent risk associated with classified contracts, such as contract type (cost or fixed-price), existence of claims, or incentive or award fee provisions

c. Matters affecting the risk of management misrepresentation about classified contracts, such as the contractor’s control environment

d. Results of other auditing procedures designed to provide evidence corroborating management’s representations about classified contracts, such as (1) analysis of cost flow to contract or other cost objectives for which access to underlying supporting documentation has been denied, (2) inquiry of contract auditor(s) and other government or customer personnel who monitor the contractor’s performance of classified contracts and (3) inquiry of internal auditors

4.243 The procedures described in the preceding paragraph are intended to provide a basis for assessing materiality and audit risk associated with classified contracts. The performance of these procedures alone would not provide
sufficient, appropriate audit evidence about classified contracts that are mate-
rial to the financial statements. If the independent auditor concludes that the
results of these procedures do not provide a sufficient basis for assessing the
materiality of classified contracts or the audit risk associated with them, he
or she should modify the auditor’s report with either a qualified opinion or a
disclaimer of opinion, as appropriate, because of a scope limitation. Even if the
independent auditor does have a basis for assessing materiality and audit risk
of classified contracts, he or she generally would modify the report because of a
scope limitation, unless that basis clearly indicates that they are immaterial.
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Notice of Adoption of Amendments to Forms 8-K, 10-K, 12-K, S-1, S-7, S-8, S-9, S-11, 10 and 12 Requiring Increased Disclosure of Unusual Charges and Credits to Income.

The Securities and Exchange Commission today adopted amendments to its registration and reporting forms to require more detailed and timely reporting, and timely review by independent accountants of extraordinary or material unusual charges and credits to income or material provisions for losses effected by registrants. Proposals to amend these forms, as well as Forms 7-Q and 10-Q, for these purposes were published for comment in Securities Act Release No. 5313 (Securities Exchange Act Release No. 9801) on October 2, 1972. Form 8-K is the form for reporting certain specified material events and transactions pursuant to Sections 13 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act); Forms 10-K and 12-K are the forms for annual reports pursuant to those sections of the Exchange Act; Forms S-1, S-7, S-8, S-9, and S-11 are forms for registration of securities pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933; and Forms 10 and 12 are forms for registration of securities pursuant to the Exchange Act.

The Commission noted when it proposed amendments to these forms that it had observed an increasing number of large charges to income which often appeared without warning and were not generally understood by investors. The Commission is concerned that this trend seems to have accelerated in recent months. While many of such charges result from an identifiable event, many also appear to be made on the basis of a discretionary decision to dispose of marginal facilities or operations or to write off deferred development or excess production costs. In the latter situations, where facilities or operations gradually deteriorate or the outlook for a contract or program gradually worsens to the point where a write-off is deemed necessary, registrants have an obligation to forewarn public investors of the deteriorating conditions which unless reversed may result in a subsequent write-off. This includes an obligation to provide information regarding the magnitude of exposure to loss.

The Commission, therefore, reiterates its view that registrants should make special efforts to recognize incipient problems that might lead to such charges and to identify them clearly at the earliest possible time in financial statements and other forms of public disclosure, including public reports filed with the Commission, so that public investors may recognize the risks involved. In this connection, registrants should consider disclosure of the investment involved in divisions operating at a loss; the undepreciated cost of plant and equipment currently considered to be obsolete or of marginal utility; the extent of deferred research and development costs incurred in connection with products whose success is not reasonably assured; and other similar items where significant uncertainties exist as to realization.

The Commission has previously urged more comprehensive disclosure of progress and problems encountered in defense and other long-term contracts which may also give rise to major charges against income (Securities Act
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In addition to disclosure of incipient problems, the Commission believes that substantial additional disclosure in regard to extraordinary items and material unusual charges and credits to income or major provisions for loss is necessary to enable public investors to assess the impact of such items. This would include transactions that are classified as extraordinary items under generally accepted accounting principles and other unusual or nonrecurring material transactions or provisions for loss, such as (but not restricted to) material write-downs of inventories, receivables, or deferred research and development costs, provisions for loss on major long-term contracts or purchase commitments, and losses on disposition of assets or business segments. The release of October 2 (33-5313 and 34-9801) contained proposals for such disclosure. The comments received on these proposals have been given careful consideration in determining the amendments to adopt.

The Commission has determined not to adopt the proposed amendment calling for pro forma statements to reflect allocation of charges and credits to prior years since, on the basis of comments received, it concluded that the proposed pro forma disclosure might leave the improper implication that past historical statements were in error as well as imposing substantial clerical burdens on registrants. The amendments adopted herein call for disclosure of the years in which the costs being included in the charge were or are expected to be incurred and the amount of cost in each year by major category of cost.

The Commission has further determined not to adopt the proposed amendments to Forms 7-Q and 10-Q and other related amendments which would have required an estimate of losses by quarters and a subsequent quarterly reconciliation of reserves provided. Comments indicated that quarterly estimates and reconciliations would be difficult to make within acceptable limits of accuracy, would not supply significant data for investors, and would impose a clerical burden on registrants. The amendments adopted herein require an estimate of losses by year and a subsequent annual explanation of differences between estimated and actual amounts and a reconciliation of any reserve provided.

In addition, the Commission has determined to omit the definition of “material” contained in the proposed note to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K. Comments indicated that a definition which relates materiality to a criterion based on separate reporting of an item to stockholders might have the effect of discouraging such disclosure rather than improving the quality thereof. Materiality, therefore, must be considered within the context of the definition contained in Rule 1-02 of Regulation S-X.

The text of the amendments follows:

A. Form 8-K

I. The caption of Item 10 and paragraph (a) have been amended as follows:


(a) If there have been any extraordinary item charges or credits, any other material charges or credits to income of an unusual nature, or any material
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provisions for loss, the following shall be furnished for each such charge, credit, or provision:

1. The date of the registrant’s determination to make the charge, credit, or provision;
2. A statement of the reasons for making the charge, credit, or provision;
3. An analysis of the components (in dollar amounts) of the charge, credit, or provision, which includes
   i. A description of the various types of items written down or off;
   ii. A description of any provision for losses on liquidation of assets or for other losses including a detailed schedule showing the components of any losses provided for, which schedule shows the amount of administrative and fixed costs, if any, allocated to the loss;
   iii. A description of any estimated recoveries or costs netted against the charge or credit;
4. A statement setting forth the years in which costs being reflected in the charge (or net credit) being described were or are expected to be incurred and the amount of cost for each year by major category (e.g., fixed assets, research and development costs, operating losses);
5. A statement setting forth the estimated amount of net cash outlays (or in-flows) associated with the charge (or credit) in the year the charge (or credit) is made and in each subsequent year in which such estimate of the cash amount differs from the amount of total costs stated in part (4) for that year;
6. A description of the accounting principles or practices followed and any changes therein or in the methods of applying such principles or practices which was made in connection with the transaction;
7. A report from the registrant’s independent accountants in which they state that they have read the description in the Form 8-K of the facts set forth therein and of the accounting principles applied and whether they believe that on the basis of the facts so set forth that such accounting principles are fairly applied in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or, if not, the respects in which they believe the principles do not conform to generally accepted accounting principles.

II. The following new instruction 8 has been added under EXHIBITS of Form 8-K.

8. Reports from the independent accountants furnished pursuant to Item 10.

B. Form 10-K

A new instruction (6) has been added to the instructions to Item 2, Summary of Operations, as follows:

6. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was estimated to be incurred in the fiscal year being reported on or the prior fiscal year, summarize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated cost and the amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons
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for differences between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a
detailed reconciliation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided.

C. Form 12-K

A new instruction 6 has been added to the INSTRUCTIONS AS TO EXHIBITS,
as follows:

6. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be
reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was
estimated to be incurred in the fiscal year being reported on or the prior fiscal
year, summarize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated
cost and the amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons
for differences between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a
detailed reconciliation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided.

D. Form S-1

A new instruction 7 has been added to Item 6, Summary of Earnings, as follows:

7. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be
reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was
estimated to be applicable to any of the fiscal years being reported on, sum-
marize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated cost and the
amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons for differences
between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a detailed reconcil-
iation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided. If the issuer
was not a registrant prior to the filing of this registration statement, this in-
struction shall apply to any transaction subsequent to January 31, 1973 which
would have been required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K
had the issuer been a registrant and in which an amount of cost was estimated
to be applicable to the fiscal years being reported on.

E. Form S-7

Instruction 8 of Item 6, Statement of Income, has been changed to number 9
and a new instruction 8 has been added, as follows:

8. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be
reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was
estimated to be applicable to any of the fiscal years being reported on, sum-
marize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated cost and the
amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons for differences
between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a detailed reconcil-i-
ation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided.

F. Form S-8

Instruction 4 of Item 19, Summary of Earnings, has been changed to number 5
and a new instruction 4 has been added, as follows:

4. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be
reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was
estimated to be applicable to any of the fiscal years being reported on, sum-
marize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated cost and the
amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons for differences
between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a detailed reconcil-i-
ation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided.
G. Form S-9
Instruction 6 to part (a) of Item 3, Statements of Income, has been changed to number 7 and a new instruction 6 has been added, as follows:

6. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was estimated to be applicable to any of the fiscal years being reported on, summarize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated cost and the amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons for differences between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a detailed reconciliation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided.

H. Form S-11
A new instruction 7 has been added to part (a) of Item 6, Summary Financial Data, as follows:

7. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was estimated to be applicable to any of the fiscal years being reported on, summarize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated cost and the amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons for differences between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a detailed reconciliation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided. If the issuer was not a registrant prior to the filing of this registration statement, this instruction shall apply to any transaction subsequent to January 31, 1973 which would have been required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K had the issuer been a registrant and in which an amount of cost was estimated to be applicable to the fiscal years being reported on.

I. Form 10
A new instruction 5 has been added to Item 2, Summary of Operations, as follows:

5. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was estimated to be applicable to any of the fiscal years being reported on, summarize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated cost and the amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons for differences between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a detailed reconciliation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided. If the issuer was not a registrant prior to the filing of this registration statement, this instruction shall apply to any transaction subsequent to January 31, 1973 which would have been required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K had the issuer been a registrant and in which an amount of cost was estimated to be applicable to the fiscal years being reported on.

J. Form 12
A new instruction 9 has been added to the INSTRUCTIONS AS TO EXHIBITS, as follows:

9. For any event subsequent to January 31, 1973, which was required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K in which an amount of cost was estimated to be applicable to any of the fiscal years being reported on, summarize such transaction and state the amounts of such estimated cost and the amounts of the actual cost incurred in such periods, the reasons for differences
between estimated and actual amounts, if any, and provide a detailed reconciliation showing all charges and credits to any reserve provided. If the issuer was not a registrant prior to the filing of this registration statement, this instruction shall apply to any transaction subsequent to January 31, 1973 which would have been required to be reported pursuant to Item 10(a) of Form 8-K had the issuer been a registrant and in which an amount of cost was estimated to be applicable to the fiscal years being reported on.

* * * *

The foregoing amendments are adopted pursuant to Sections 6, 7, 8, 10 and 19(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 13, 15(d) and 23(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The amendments shall be effective with respect to reports on Form 8-K and registration statements on Forms S-1, S-7, S-8, S-9, S-11, 10 and 12, and with respect to annual reports on Forms 10-K and 12-K filed on or after February 28, 1973.

By the Commission.

Ronald F. Hunt
Secretary
Appendix B

Securities and Exchange Commission,
Accounting Series Release No. 164 of
November 21, 1974

Notice of adoption of amendments to Regulation S-X to provide for improved disclosures related to defense and other long-term contract activities.

A. INTRODUCTION

The Securities and Exchange Commission has long been concerned about the quality of disclosures made by registrants engaged in defense and other long-term contract activities because these activities involve inventories and receivables with unique risk and liquidity characteristics. After initially urging corporate managers to review their disclosure policies with respect to such contracting activities,1 the Commission published for comment proposed amendments to Rules 5-02.3 and 5-02.6 of Regulation S-X.2

As noted in its release proposing these amendments, the Commission believes that it is necessary and appropriate to expand these Rules to require disclosure of greater detail in certain critical areas of long-term contract activity, particularly with respect to the nature of costs accumulated in inventories, the effect of cost accumulation policies on cost of sales, and the effect of revenue recognition practices on receivables and inventories.

The proposed amendments elicited numerous letters of comment which have been duly considered by the Commission in the formulation of the amendments specifically adopted in this release. The following discussion outlines the Commission’s responses to certain of these comments as reflected in the adopted rules on receivables and inventories.

Comments on Disclosure of Receivables—Rule 5-02.3

Paragraph (b). Several commentators pointed out that the proposed amendment could be broadly construed to require additional disclosure for receivables other than those arising from long-term contract activities. At the present time the Commission intends only to improve disclosures related to long-term contract activities. Consequently, the amendment to this paragraph has been deleted and the proposed disclosure of collection expectations has been incorporated in the amendments addressed specifically to receivables arising from such activities.

Paragraph (e). Some commentators suggested that the retainage disclosure should be limited to amounts not expected to be collected within one year. Due to the unique liquidity characteristics of retainage, the Commission believes that any material amount of retainage should be disclosed no matter when such amount is expected to be collected. However, the Commission also believes that the significant uncertainties which often affect the determination of a mutually satisfactory contract completion may cause the estimates of amounts to be collected within specific years to become progressively less reliable. Consequently, the amendment as adopted requires the isolation of only the aggregate amount

Several commentators suggested that the amendment should be modified to provide for amounts retained by contractors pursuant to the provisions of subcontracts. The Commission believes that this is unnecessary because Rule 5-02.25 can be interpreted to require separate disclosure of significant amounts of retentions payable to subcontractors.

Paragraph (f). Numerous commentators pointed out that a literal interpretation of the proposed amendment would call for disclosures regarding all accrued receivables rather than just those related to long-term contracts and might also result in a duplication of disclosures made under paragraph (g). The Commission recognizes the validity of these comments and the amendment has been modified accordingly.

The amendment as adopted also calls for disclosure of the amounts of receivables not billed or billable that are expected to be collected after one year. The Commission believes that disclosure of the timing of expected collections provides investors with meaningful liquidity and risk information.

It should be noted that the amendment is not directed at items which are “unbilled” at the balance sheet date merely because the necessary paperwork has not been processed in accordance with the normal operation of a billing system. Such items would generally be considered “billable” for purposes of this Rule.

Paragraph (g). Many commentators argued that the proposed amendment was too broad since it would require the disclosure of amounts which could be determined with reasonable certainty under express contractual escalation or change order clauses and which would be virtually assured of realization. The Commission has concluded that amounts due under routine change orders and escalation features commonly found in the terms of contracts are typically not subject to such uncertainty that separate disclosure is required. On the other hand, it believes that disclosure is necessary when amounts are recorded which are not reasonably determinable under the specific terms of existing contracts. Accordingly, the text of this rule has been amended to require disclosure where the amounts included in receivables, whether billed or unbilled, are either claims or other similar items subject to uncertainty concerning their determination or ultimate realization.

Several commentators questioned the meaning of the term “components” as used in the requirement for footnote disclosure of the principal items comprising the aggregate of claims and other similar items subject to uncertainties. In response, the Commission has used the terms “nature and status” to more accurately reflect its intentions and has expanded the attached Exhibit to provide examples of disclosure envisioned by these terms.

Comments on Disclosure of Inventories—Rule 5-02.6

Paragraph (b). In response to numerous comments, this amendment has been modified in several significant ways. First, in recognition of the recently adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 2, the Commission has deleted the requirements for disclosure of the amounts of research and development costs incurred during the period or remaining in inventory. Compliance with that Statement will obviate the need for disclosure of these amounts. However, the amendment still contemplates a description of such costs being carried in inventory in compliance with the new Statement.
Second, the Commission recognizes that some registrants may find it impracticable to determine the actual amount of general and administrative costs remaining in inventory at the balance sheet dates. However, the Commission believes that registrants can provide reasonable estimates of such remaining costs determined, for example, on the assumption that costs related to a particular contract or program have been removed from inventory on a basis proportional to the totals of the various cost elements expected to be charged to cost of sales for that contract or program. The assumptions used to develop these estimates should be described in a note to the financial statements.

Third, the Commission expects that the description of the cost elements included in inventory will appropriately disclose the existence of items not typically included in inventoried costs in a usual manufacturing operation. Described items may include, for example, retained costs representing the excess of manufacturing or production costs over the amounts charged to costs of sales for delivered or in-process units, initial tooling and other deferred start-up costs, general and administrative costs, or research and development under contractual arrangements. In general, the Commission believes that the accounting treatment of such costs is sufficiently unique to warrant the disclosure of their existence and, to the extent noted below, their magnitude.

Paragraph (c). This paragraph contains the last sentence of Rule 5-02.6(b) as it existed prior to the amendments adopted in this release. However, the requirements of this paragraph may be amended by the proposal published in Securities Act Release No. 5427. Comments on that proposal are still being considered.

Paragraph (d). Numerous commentators pointed out that the proposed definition would include supply or service contracts expected to be in process for more than one year even though such contracts may not involve the unique risk and liquidity characteristics associated with long-term manufacturing and construction contracts or programs. The Commission believes that the proposed definition was susceptible to an overly broad interpretation. Consequently, the Commission has modified the definition to deal explicitly with all contracts or programs accounted for on either a percentage of completion or a completed contract basis provided that any such contract or program has associated with it material amounts of inventories or unbilled receivables and has been or is expected to be performed over a period of more than twelve months.

Paragraph (d)(i). Many commentators argued that the amounts reported under this proposed amendment would not be mutually exclusive from the amounts reported under subparagraph (iii). To eliminate this problem, the Commission has modified proposed subparagraphs (i) and (iii) and now deals with these matters in one subparagraph which requires disclosure of (1) the aggregate amount of (a) manufacturing or production costs which have been carried forward under a ‘learning curve’ concept and (b) any related costs which have been deferred for allocation to future production, and (2) the portion of such aggregate amount which would not be absorbed in cost of sales based on existing firm orders. The amendment also calls for the isolation of the cost elements included in the costs carried forward if it is practicable for the registrant to provide this detail. The Commission believes that these disclosures will provide investors with meaningful information concerning the nature of costs accumulated in inventories.

Paragraph (d)(ii). Many of the comments noted above under proposed Rule 5-02.3(g) were also directed to this amendment. The Commission has modified this subparagraph to reflect those comments. This amendment recognizes that certain registrants classify amounts representing claims or other similar items
subject to uncertainties as inventories rather than as receivables reportable under Rule 5-02.3(g). Regardless of where such amounts are classified, the Commission believes that material amounts must be disclosed together with an appropriate description of the nature and status of the principal items comprising such amounts. In this connection, the Commission has expanded the accompanying Exhibit to provide helpful examples of the type of disclosure envisioned by this Rule.

Paragraph (d)(v). Numerous commentators expressed the view that the concept of “title” is fraught with substantial difficulties of legal interpretation and that in any event it would be unduly burdensome to attempt such an analysis of the items included in inventory. The Commission accepts these comments and accordingly has deleted this proposal.

The subject rules, as amended herein, apply to disclosure in financial statements filed with the Commission. Registrants and their independent public accountants must make the determination as to what information regarding such matters is required to constitute satisfactory financial statement disclosure under generally accepted accounting principles.

B. AMENDMENTS

Rules 5-02.3 and 5-02.6 of Regulation S-X are amended as follows (amendments underlined)—

Rule 5-02.3. Accounts and notes receivable.—

(a) through (d) (No change)

(e) If receivables include amounts representing balances billed but not paid by customers under retainage provisions in contracts, state the amount thereof either in the balance sheet or in a note to the financial statements. In addition, state the amounts, if any expected to be collected after one year. If practicable, state by years when the amounts are expected to be collected.

(f) If receivables include amounts (other than amounts reportable under paragraph (g) below) representing the recognized sales value of performance under long-term contracts (see Rule 5-02.6(d)) and such amounts had not been billed and were not billable to customers at the date of the balance sheet, state separately in the balance sheet or in a note to the financial statements, the amount thereof and include a general description of the prerequisites for billing. In addition, state the amount, if any, expected to be collected after one year.

(g) If receivables include amounts under long-term contracts (see Rule 5-02.6(d)), whether billed or unbilled, representing claims or other similar items subject to uncertainty concerning their determination or ultimate realization, state separately in the balance sheet or in a note to the financial statements, the amount thereof and include a description of the nature and status of the principal items comprising such amount. In addition, state the amount, if any, expected to be collected after one year.

Rule 5-02.6 Inventories.—(a) State separately here, or in a note referred to herein, if practicable, the major classes of inventory such as (1) finished goods; (2) inventoried costs relating to long-term contracts or programs (see (d) below and Rule 3-11); (3) work in process (see Rule 3-11); (4) raw materials; and (5) supplies.

(b) The basis of determining the amounts shall be stated.

If ‘cost’ is used to determine any portion of the inventory amounts, describe the method of determining cost. This description shall include the nature of the cost elements included in inventory.
If "market" is used to determine any portion of the inventory amounts, describe the method of determining "market" if other than current replacement cost.

The method by which amounts are removed from inventory (e.g., "average cost," "first-in, first-out," "last-in, first-out," "estimated average cost per unit") shall be described. If the estimated average cost per unit is used as a basis to determine amounts removed from inventory under a total program or similar basis of accounting, the principal assumptions (including, where meaningful, the aggregate number of units expected to be delivered under the program, the number of units delivered to date and the number of units on order) shall be disclosed.

If any general and administrative costs are charged to inventory, state in a note to the financial statements the aggregate amount of the general and administrative costs incurred in each period and the actual or estimated amount remaining in inventory at the date of each balance sheet.

(c) If the LIFO inventory method is used, the excess of replacement or current cost over stated LIFO value shall, if material, be stated parenthetically or in a note to the financial statements. (Note: Paragraph (c) as proposed in Securities Act Release 5427 would modify this requirement. Comments on that proposal continue under consideration.)

(d) For purposes of Rules 5-02.3 and 5-02.6, long-term contracts or programs include (1) all contracts or programs for which gross profits are recognized on a percentage-of-completion method of accounting or any variant thereof (e.g., delivered unit, cost to cost, physical completion) and (2) any contracts or programs accounted for on a completed contract basis of accounting where, in either case, the contracts or programs have associated with them material amounts of inventories or unbilled receivables and where such contracts or programs have been or are expected to be performed over a period of more than twelve months. Contracts or programs of shorter duration may also be included, if deemed appropriate.

For all long-term contracts or programs, the following information, if applicable, shall be stated in a note to the financial statements:

(i) The aggregate amount of manufacturing or production costs and any related deferred costs (e.g., initial tooling costs) which exceeds the aggregate estimated cost of all in-process and delivered units on the basis of the estimated average cost of all units expected to be produced under long-term contracts and programs not yet complete, as well as that portion of such amount which would not be absorbed in cost of sales based on existing firm orders at the latest balance sheet date. In addition, if practicable, disclose the amount of deferred costs by type of cost (e.g., initial tooling, deferred production, etc.).

(ii) The aggregate amount representing claims or other similar items subject to uncertainty concerning their determination or ultimate realization, and include a description of the nature and status of the principal items comprising such aggregate amount.

(iii) The amount of progress payments netted against inventory at the date of the balance sheet.

* * * * *
Federal Government Contractors

The amendments to Regulation S-X have been adopted pursuant to authority conferred on the Commission by the Securities Act of 1933, particularly Sections 6, 7, 8, 10 and 19(a) thereof and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, particularly Sections 12, 13, 15(d) and 23(a) thereof.

The above amendments to Regulation S-X shall be applicable to financial statements for periods ending on or after December 20, 1974. Such disclosure is recommended but not required for financial statements for fiscal periods ending prior to December 20, 1974.

By the Commission.

George A. Fitzsimmons
Secretary

C. EXHIBIT

The following hypothetical example is furnished to illustrate the character and detail of the disclosures which might be furnished in response to Rules 5-02.3 and 5-02.6 of Regulation S-X as amended by the accompanying release. The illustration is provided to assist in understanding and evaluating the amendments.

* * * *

XYZ Company and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets
At December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1974</th>
<th>1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(000 omitted)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASSETS:
CURRENT ASSETS
Cash
$438
$627
Accounts receivable:
Trade and other receivables net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of $38,000 in 1974 and $36,000 in 1973
2,846
2,396
Long-term contracts and programs (notes 1 and 2)
18,985
19,036
Total accounts receivable
21,831
21,432
Inventories and costs relating to long-term contracts and programs in process net of progress payments (notes 1 and 3)
6,278
6,257
Prepaid expenses
46
27
Total current assets
$28,593
$28,343

Note 1—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Revenue Recognition. Sales of commercial products under long-term contracts and programs are recognized in the accounts as deliveries are made. The estimated sales value of performance under Government fixed-price and fixed-price incentive contracts in process is recognized under the percentage of completion method of accounting whereunder the estimated sales value is determined on the basis of physical completion to date (the total contract amount multiplied by
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percent of performance to date less sales value recognized in previous periods) and costs (including general and administrative, except as described below) are expensed as incurred. Sales under cost-reimbursement contracts are recorded as costs are incurred and include estimated earned fees in the proportion that costs incurred to date bear to total estimated costs. The fees under certain Government contracts may be increased or decreased in accordance with cost or performance incentive provisions which measure actual performance against established targets or other criteria. Such incentive fee awards or penalties are included in sales at the time the amounts can be determined reasonably.

Inventories. Inventories, other than inventoried costs relating to long-term contracts and programs, are stated at the lower of cost (principally first-in, first-out) or market. Inventoried costs relating to long-term contracts and programs are stated at the actual production cost, including factory overhead, initial tooling and other related non-recurring costs, incurred to date reduced by amounts identified with revenue recognized on units delivered or progress completed. General and administrative costs applicable to cost-plus Government contracts are also included in inventories. Inventoried costs relating to long-term contracts and programs are reduced by charging any amounts in excess of estimated realizable value to cost of sales. The costs attributed to units delivered under long-term commercial contracts and programs are based on the estimated average cost of all units expected to be produced and are determined under the learning curve concept which anticipates a predictable decrease in unit costs as tasks and production techniques become more efficient through repetition. In accordance with industry practice, inventories include amounts relating to contracts and programs having production cycles longer than one year and a portion thereof will not be realized within one year.

* * * *

Note 2—ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The following tabulation shows the component elements of accounts receivable from long-term contracts and programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1974</th>
<th>1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(000 omitted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Government:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts billed</td>
<td>$7,136</td>
<td>$6,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoverable costs and accrued profit on progress completed—not billed</td>
<td>4,173</td>
<td>3,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrecovered costs and estimated profits subject to future negotiation—not billed</td>
<td>1,468</td>
<td>1,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12,777</td>
<td>12,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Customers:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts billed</td>
<td>1,937</td>
<td>3,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoverable costs and accrued profit on units delivered—not billed</td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retainage, due upon completion of contracts</td>
<td>2,441</td>
<td>2,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrecovered costs and estimated profits subject to future negotiation—not billed</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$18,985</td>
<td>$19,036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The balances billed but not paid by customers pursuant to retainage provisions in construction contracts will be due upon completion of the contracts and acceptance by the owner. Based on the Company’s experience with similar contracts in recent years, the retention balances at December 31, 1974 are expected to be collected as follows: $270,000 in 1975, $845,000 in 1976 and the balance in 1977.

Recoverable costs and accrued profit not billed comprise principally amounts of revenue recognized on contracts for which billings had not been presented to the contract owners because the amounts were not billable at balance sheet date. It is anticipated such unbilled amounts receivable from the U.S. Government at December 31, 1974 will be billed over the next 60 days as units are delivered. The unbilled accounts receivable applicable to commercial customers are billable upon completion of performance tests which are expected to be completed in September 1975.

Unrecovered costs and estimated profits subject to future negotiation, the principal amount of which is expected to be billed and collected within one year, consist of the following elements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1974</th>
<th>1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(000 omitted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Government Contracts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess of estimated or proposed over provisional price</td>
<td>$190</td>
<td>$157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts claimed for incremental costs arising from customer occasioned contract delays</td>
<td>1,278</td>
<td>1,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,468</td>
<td>1,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Contracts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrecovered costs and estimated profit relating to work not specified in express contract provisions</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2,005</td>
<td>$2,628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 3—INVENTORIES

Inventories and inventoried costs relating to long-term contracts and programs are classified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(000 omitted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finished goods</td>
<td>$3,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventoried costs relating to long-term contracts and programs, net of amounts attributed to revenues recognized to date</td>
<td>2,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in process</td>
<td>738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw materials</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deduct progress payments related to long-term contracts and programs</td>
<td>1,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$6,278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The following tabulation shows the cost elements included in inventoried costs related to long-term contracts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>December 31, 1974 (000 omitted)</th>
<th>December 31, 1973 (000 omitted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production costs of goods currently in process</td>
<td>$1,184</td>
<td>$960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess of production cost of delivered units over the estimated average cost</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be produced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrecovered costs subject to future negotiation</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative costs</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial tooling and other non-recurring costs</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total inventoried costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,552</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,638</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inventoried costs relating to long-term contracts and programs includes unrecovered costs of $280,000 and $310,000 at December 31, 1974 and 1973, respectively, which are subject to future determination through negotiation or other procedures not complete at balance sheet dates. Of such amounts, $260,000 and $280,000 are in respect to contracts under which all goods have been delivered at December 31, 1974 and 1973, respectively. The unrecovered amount at December 31, 1973 consisted of three items, one of which was settled during 1974. The amount remaining at December 31, 1974 is represented principally by a claim asserted against a customer for amounts incurred as a result of faulty materials furnished by the customer which in turn caused delays in performance under the contract. In the opinion of management these costs will be recovered by contract modification or litigation. It is expected that the negotiations which are being conducted currently with the customer, will be successfully concluded during the next twelve months. If this expectation is not realized, the matter will be referred to the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals, with the consequence that settlement could be delayed for an indeterminate period.

The actual per unit production cost of the NX-4C aircraft produced during the most recent fiscal year was less than the estimated average per unit cost of all units expected to be produced under the program. Prior to 1974, the Company’s NX-4C commercial aircraft program was in the early high cost period. During the initial years of the program, the cost of units produced exceeded the sales price of the delivered units and the estimated average unit cost of all units to be produced under the program. At December 31, 1974, inventories included costs of $647,000 representing the excess of costs incurred over estimated average costs per aircraft for the 117 aircraft delivered through the year end. The estimated average unit cost is predicated on the assumption that 250 planes will be produced and that production costs (principally labor and materials) will decrease as the project matures and efficiencies associated with increased volume, improved production techniques and the performance of repetitive tasks (the learning curve concept) are realized. (Note: The amount by which the production costs of the equivalent finished units in process at the date of the latest balance sheet exceeds the cost of such units on the basis of the estimated average unit cost of all units expected to be produced under the program should be stated. Since, as stated above, the actual per unit production cost is currently
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less than the estimated average per unit cost of all units expected to be produced under the program, no such excess is assumed in this example.)

Recovery of the deferred production, initial tooling and related non-recurring costs is dependent on the number of aircraft ultimately sold and actual selling prices and production costs associated with future transactions. Sales significantly under estimates or costs significantly over estimates could result in the realization of substantial losses on the program in future years. Realization of approximately $421,000 of the gross commercial aircraft inventories at December 31, 1974 is dependent on receipt of future firm orders.

Based on studies made by and on behalf of the Company, management believes there exists for this aircraft a market for over 250 units, including deliveries to date, with production and deliveries continuing at a normal rate to at least 1980. At December 31, 1974, 117 aircraft had been delivered under the program, and the backlog included 64 firm unfilled orders and options for 43 units.

The aggregate amounts of general and administrative costs incurred during 1974 and 1973 were $2,251,000 and $2,238,000, respectively. As stated in Note 1, the Company allocates general and administrative costs to certain types of Government contracts. The amounts of general and administrative costs remaining in inventories at December 31, 1974 and 1973 are estimated at $260,000 and $270,000, respectively. Such estimates assume that costs have been removed from inventories on a basis proportional to the amounts of each cost element expected to be charged to cost of sales.

* * * * *
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NOTE

Statements of Position of the Accounting Standards Division present the conclusions of at least a majority of the Accounting Standards Executive Committee, which is the senior technical body of the AICPA authorized to speak for the Institute in the areas of financial accounting and reporting. Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, identifies AICPA Statements of Position as sources of established accounting principles that an AICPA member should consider if the accounting treatment of a transaction or event is not specified by a pronouncement covered by Rule 203 of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. In such circumstances, the accounting treatment specified by this Statement of Position should be used or the member should be prepared to justify a conclusion that another treatment better presents the substance of the transaction in the circumstances. However, an entity need not change an accounting treatment followed as of March 15, 1992 to the accounting treatment specified in this Statement of Position.
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Introduction

.01 This statement of position provides guidance on the application of generally accepted accounting principles in accounting for the performance of contracts for which specifications are provided by the customer for the construction of facilities or the production of goods or for the provision of related services. Changes in the business environment have increased significantly the variety and uses of those types of contracts and the types of business enterprises that use them. In the present business environment, diverse types of contracts, ranging from relatively simple to highly complex and from relatively short-to long-term, are widely used in many industries for construction, production, or provision of a broad range of goods and services. However, existing principles related to accounting for contracts were written in terms of long-term construction-type contracts, and they are not stated in sufficient detail for the scope of activities to which they presently are applied. Those activities range far beyond the traditional construction-type activity (the design and physical construction of facilities such as buildings, roads, dams, and bridges) to include, for example, the development and production of military and commercial aircraft, weapons delivery systems, space exploration hardware, and computer software. The accounting standards division believes that guidance is now needed in this area of accounting.

The Basic Accounting Issue

.02 The determination of the point or points at which revenue should be recognized as earned and costs should be recognized as expenses is a major accounting issue common to all business enterprises engaged in the performance of contracts of the types covered by this statement. Accounting for such contracts is essentially a process of measuring the results of relatively long-term events and allocating those results to relatively short-term accounting periods. This involves considerable use of estimates in determining revenues, costs, and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods. The process is complicated by the need to evaluate continually the uncertainties inherent in the performance of contracts and by the need to rely on estimates of revenues, costs, and the extent of progress toward completion.

Present Accounting Requirements and Practices

.03 The pervasive principle of realization and its exceptions and modifications are central factors underlying accounting for contracts. APB Statement 41 states:

Revenue is generally recognized when both of the following conditions are met: (1) the earnings process is complete or virtually complete, and (2) an exchange has taken place. [Paragraph 150]

---

1 Statement of Position 93-3, Rescission of Accounting Principles Board Statements, rescinds APB Statement No. 4. FASB Concepts Statement No. 5, Recognition and Measurement in Financial Statements of Business Enterprises, discusses matters similar to those in APB Statement No. 4. [Footnote added, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]
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Revenue is sometimes recognized on bases other than the realization rule. For example, on long-term construction contracts revenue may be recognized as construction progresses. This exception to the realization principle is based on the availability of evidence of the ultimate proceeds and the consensus that a better measure of periodic income results. [Paragraph 152]

The exception to the usual revenue realization rule for long-term construction-type contracts, for example, is justified in part because strict adherence to realization at the time of sale would produce results that are considered to be unreasonable. The judgment of the profession is that revenue should be recognized in this situation as construction progresses. [Paragraph 174]

.04 Accounting Research Bulletin No. 45 (ARB No. 45), Long-Term Construction-Type Contracts, issued by the AICPA Committee on Accounting Procedure in 1955, describes the two generally accepted methods of accounting for long-term construction-type contracts for financial reporting purposes:

- The percentage-of-completion method recognizes income as work on a contract progresses; recognition of revenues and profits generally is related to costs incurred in providing the services required under the contract.
- The completed-contract method recognizes income only when the contract is completed, or substantially so, and all costs and related revenues are reported as deferred items in the balance sheet until that time.

The units-of-delivery is a modification of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting for contracts.

- The units-of-delivery method recognizes as revenue the contract price of units of a basic production product delivered during a period and as the cost of earned revenue the costs allocable to the delivered units; costs allocable to undelivered units are reported in the balance sheet as inventory or work in progress. The method is used in circumstances in which an entity produces units of a basic product under production-type contracts in a continuous or sequential production process to buyers' specifications.

The use of either of the two generally accepted methods of accounting involves, to a greater or lesser extent, three key areas of estimates and uncertainties: (a) the extent of progress toward completion, (b) contract revenues, and (c) contract costs. Although the ultimate amount of contract revenue is often subject to numerous uncertainties, the accounting literature has given little attention to the difficulties of estimating contract revenue. [Revised, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]

.05 ARB No. 45, paragraph 15, describes the circumstances in which each method is preferable as follows:

The committee believes that in general when estimates of costs to complete and extent of progress toward completion of long-term contracts are reasonably dependable, the percentage-of-completion method is preferable. When lack of dependable estimates or inherent
hazards cause forecasts to be doubtful, the completed-contract method is preferable.

Both of the two generally accepted methods are widely used in practice. However, the two methods are frequently applied differently in similar circumstances. The division believes that the two methods should be used in specified circumstances and should not be used as acceptable alternatives for the same circumstances. Accordingly, identifying the circumstances in which either of the methods is preferable and the accounting that should be followed in the application of those methods are among the primary objectives of this statement of position. This statement provides guidance on the application of ARB No. 45 and does not amend that bulletin.

.06 In practice, methods are sometimes found that allocate contract costs and revenues to accounting periods on (a) the basis of cash receipts and payments or (b) the basis of contract billings and costs incurred. Those practices are not generally accepted methods of accounting for financial reporting purposes. However, those methods are appropriate for other purposes, such as the measurement of income for income tax purposes, for which the timing of cash transactions is a controlling factor. Recording the amounts billed or billable on a contract during a period as contract revenue of the period, and the costs incurred on the contract as expenses of the period, is not acceptable for financial reporting purposes because the amounts billed or billable on a contract during a period are determined by contract terms and do not necessarily measure performance on the contract. Only by coincidence might those unacceptable methods produce results that approximate the results of the generally accepted method of accounting for contracts that are appropriate in the circumstances.

Other Pronouncements and Regulations Affecting Contract Accounting

.07 Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, chapter 11, "Government Contracts," prescribes generally accepted principles in three areas of accounting for government contracts. Section A of that chapter deals with accounting problems arising under cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts. Section B deals with certain aspects of the accounting for government contracts and subcontracts that are subject to renegotiation. Section C deals with problems involved in accounting for certain terminated war and defense contracts. Those pronouncements govern accounting for contracts in the areas indicated.

.08 The pricing and costing of federal government contracts are governed by cost principles contained in procurement regulations such as the Federal Procurement Regulation (FPR) and the Defense Acquisition Regulation (DAR). Also, most major government contractors are subject to cost accounting standards issued by the Cost Accounting Standards Board (CASB). CASB standards apply to the cost accounting procedures that government contractors use to allocate costs to contracts; CASB standards are not intended for financial reporting.

.09 Accounting for contracts for income tax purposes is prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code and the related rules and regulations. The methods of accounting for contracts under those requirements are not limited to the two generally accepted methods for financial reporting. For numerous historical and practical reasons, tax accounting rules and regulations differ from
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generally accepted accounting principles. Numerous nonaccounting considerations are appropriate in determining income tax accounting. This statement deals exclusively with the application of generally accepted accounting principles to accounting for contracts in financial reporting. It does not apply to income tax accounting and is not intended to influence income tax accounting.

Need for Guidance

.10 Because of the complexities and uncertainties in accounting for contracts, the increased use of diverse types of contracts for the construction of facilities, the production of goods, or the provision of related services, and present conditions and practices in industries in which contracts are performed for those purposes, additional guidance on the application of generally accepted accounting principles is needed. This statement of position provides that guidance. Appendix A contains a schematic chart showing the organization of the statement.

Scope of Statement of Position

.11 This statement of position applies to accounting for performance of contracts for which specifications are provided by the customer for the construction of facilities or the production of goods or the provision of related services that are reported in financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Existing authoritative accounting literature uses the terms “long-term” and “construction-type” in identifying the types of contracts that are the primary focus of interest. The term “long-term” is not used in this statement of position as an identifying characteristic because other characteristics are considered more relevant for identifying the types of contracts covered. However, accounting for contracts by an entity that primarily has relatively short-term contracts is recommended in paragraph .31 of this statement. The scope of the statement is not limited to construction-type contracts.

Contracts Covered

.12 Contracts covered by this statement of position are binding agreements between buyers and sellers in which the seller agrees, for compensation, to perform a service to the buyer’s specifications. Contracts consist of legally enforceable agreements in any form and include amendments, revisions, and extensions of such agreements. Performance will often extend over long periods, and the seller’s right to receive payment depends on his performance in accordance with the agreement. The service may consist of designing, engineering, fabricating, constructing, or manufacturing related to the construction or the production of tangible assets. Contracts such as leases and real estate agreements, for which authoritative accounting literature provides special methods of accounting, are not covered by this statement.

1 This statement is not intended to apply to “service transactions” as defined in the FASB’s October 23, 1978 Invitation to Comment, Accounting for Certain Service Transactions. However, it applies to separate contracts to provide services essential to the construction or production of tangible property, such as design, engineering, procurement, and construction management (see paragraph .13 for examples).

2 Specifications imposed on the buyer by a third party (for example, a government or regulatory agency or a financial institution) or by conditions in the marketplace are deemed to be “buyer’s specifications.”
Contracts covered by this statement include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Contracts in the construction industry, such as those of general building, heavy earth moving, dredging, demolition, design-build contractors, and specialty contractors (for example, mechanical, electrical, or paving).
- Contracts to design and build ships and transport vessels.
- Contracts to design, develop, manufacture, or modify complex aerospace or electronic equipment to a buyer's specification or to provide services related to the performance of such contracts.
- Contracts for construction consulting service, such as under agency contracts or construction management agreements.
- Contracts for services performed by architects, engineers, or architectural or engineering design firms.

Contracts not covered by this statement include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Sales by a manufacturer of goods produced in a standard manufacturing operation, even if produced to buyers' specifications, and sold in the ordinary course of business through the manufacturer's regular marketing channels if such sales are normally recognized as revenue in accordance with the realization principle for sales of products and if their costs are accounted for in accordance with generally accepted principles of inventory costing.
- Sales or supply contracts to provide goods from inventory or from homogeneous continuing production over a period of time.
- Contracts included in a program and accounted for under the program method of accounting. For accounting purposes, a program consists of a specified number of units of a basic product expected to be produced over a long period in a continuing production effort under a series of existing and anticipated contracts.[3]
- Service contracts of health clubs, correspondence schools, and similar consumer-oriented organizations that provide their services to their clients over an extended period.
- Magazine subscriptions.
- Contracts of nonprofit organizations to provide benefits to their members over a period of time in return for membership dues.

Contracts covered by this statement may be classified into four broad types based on methods of pricing: (a) fixed-price or lump-sum contracts, (b) cost-type (including cost-plus) contracts, (c) time-and-material contracts, and (d) unit-price contracts. A fixed-price contract is an agreement to perform all acts under the contract for a stated price. A cost-type contract is an agreement to perform under a contract for a price determined on the basis of a defined relationship to the costs to be incurred, for example, the costs of all acts required plus a fee, which may be a fixed amount or a fixed percentage of the costs incurred. A time-and-material contract is an agreement to perform all acts

[3] [Footnote deleted, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]
required under the contract for a price based on fixed hourly rates for some measure of the labor hours required (for example, direct labor hours) and the cost of materials. A unit-price contract is an agreement to perform all acts required under the contract for a specified price for each unit of output. Each of the various types of contracts may have incentive, penalty, or other provisions that modify their basic pricing terms. The pricing features of the various types are discussed in greater detail in Appendix B.

Definition of a Contractor

.16 The term "contractor" as used in this statement refers to a person or entity that enters into a contract to construct facilities, produce goods, or render services to the specifications of a buyer either as a general or prime contractor, as a subcontractor to a general contractor, or as a construction manager.

Definition of a Profit Center

.17 For the purpose of this statement, a "profit center" is the unit for the accumulation of revenues and costs and the measurement of income. For business enterprises engaged in the performance of contracts, the profit center for accounting purposes is usually a single contract; but under some specified circumstances it may be a combination of two or more contracts, a segment of a contract or of a group of combined contracts. This statement of position provides guidance on the selection of the appropriate profit center. The accounting recommendations, usually stated in terms of a single contract, also apply to alternative profit centers in circumstances in which alternative centers are appropriate.

Application and Effect on Existing Audit Guides and SOPs

.18 This statement of position presents the division's recommendations on accounting for contracts (as specified in paragraphs .11 to .17) in all industries. The recommendations in this statement need not be applied to immaterial items. Two existing AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides, Construction Contractors and Federal Government Contractors, provide additional guidance on the application of generally accepted accounting principles to the construction industry and to federal government contracts, respectively. The recommendations in this statement take precedence in those areas. [Revised, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]

.19 The guidance on contract accounting and financial reporting in Federal Government Contractors is essentially consistent with the recommendations in this statement. Since the recommendations in this statement provide more comprehensive and explicit guidance on the application of generally accepted accounting principles to contract accounting than does the guide, Federal Government Contractors, the guide incorporates this statement as an appendix. The provisions of that guide should be interpreted and applied in the context of the recommendations in this statement. [Revised, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]

.20 This statement is not intended to supersede recommendations on accounting in other AICPA industry accounting or audit guides or in other statements of position.
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The Division’s Conclusions

Determining a Basic Accounting Policy for Contracts

.21 In accounting for contracts, the basic accounting policy decision is the choice between the two generally accepted methods: the percentage-of-completion method including units of delivery and the completed-contract method. The determination of which of the two methods is preferable should be based on a careful evaluation of circumstances because the two methods should not be acceptable alternatives for the same circumstances. The division’s recommendations on basic accounting policy are set forth in the sections on “The Percentage-of-Completion Method” and “The Completed-Contract Method,” which identify the circumstances appropriate to the methods, the bases of applying the methods, and the reasons for the recommendations. The recommendations apply to accounting for individual contracts and to accounting for other profit centers in accordance with the recommendations in the section on “Determining the Profit Center.” As a result of evaluating individual contracts and profit centers, a contractor should be able to establish a basic policy that should be followed in accounting for most of his contracts. In accordance with the requirements of APB Opinion No. 22, Disclosure of Accounting Policies, a contractor should disclose in the note to the financial statements the method or methods of determining earned revenue and the cost of earned revenue including the policies relating to combining and segmenting, if applicable. Appendix C contains a summary of the disclosure requirements in this statement.

The Percentage-of-Completion Method

.22 This section sets forth the recommended basis for using the percentage-of-completion method and the reasons for the recommendation. Under most contracts for construction of facilities, production of goods, or provision of related services to a buyer’s specifications, both the buyer and the seller (contractor) obtain enforceable rights. The legal right of the buyer to require specific performance of the contract means that the contractor has, in effect, agreed to sell his rights to work-in-progress as the work progresses. This view is consistent with the contractor’s legal rights; he typically has no ownership claim to the work-in-progress but has lien rights. Furthermore, the contractor has the right to require the buyer, under most financing arrangements, to make progress payments to support his ownership investment and to approve the facilities constructed (or goods produced or services performed) to date if they meet the contract requirements. The buyer’s right to take over the work-in-progress at his option (usually with a penalty) provides additional evidence to support that view. Accordingly, the business activity taking place supports the concept that in an economic sense performance is, in effect, a continuous sale (transfer of ownership rights) that occurs as the work progresses. Also under most contracts for the production of goods and the provision of related services that are accounted for on the basis of units delivered, both the contractor and the customer obtain enforceable rights as the goods are produced or the services are performed. As units are delivered, title to and the risk of loss on those units normally transfer to the customer, whose acceptance of the items indicates that they meet the contractual specifications. For such contracts, delivery and acceptance are objective measurements of the extent to which the contracts have been performed. The percentage-of-completion method recognizes the legal and economic results of contract performance on a timely basis. Financial statements
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based on the percentage-of-completion method present the economic substance of a company’s transactions and events more clearly and more timely than financial statements based on the completed-contract method, and they present more accurately the relationships between gross profit from contracts and related period costs. The percentage-of-completion method informs the users of the general purpose financial statements of the volume of economic activity of a company.

Circumstances Appropriate to the Method

.23 The use of the percentage-of-completion method depends on the ability to make reasonably dependable estimates. For the purposes of this statement, “the ability to make reasonably dependable estimates” relates to estimates of the extent of progress toward completion, contract revenues, and contract costs. The division believes that the percentage-of-completion method is preferable as an accounting policy in circumstances in which reasonably dependable estimates can be made and in which all the following conditions exist:

- Contracts executed by the parties normally include provisions that clearly specify the enforceable rights regarding goods or services to be provided and received by the parties, the consideration to be exchanged, and the manner and terms of settlement.
- The buyer can be expected to satisfy his obligations under the contract.
- The contractor can be expected to perform his contractual obligations.

.24 For entities engaged on a continuing basis in the production and delivery of goods or services under contractual arrangements and for whom contracting represents a significant part of their operations, the presumption is that they have the ability to make estimates that are sufficiently dependable to justify the use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. Persuasive evidence to the contrary is necessary to overcome that presumption. The ability to produce reasonably dependable estimates is an essential element of the contracting business. For a contract on which a loss is anticipated, generally accepted accounting principles require recognition of the entire anticipated loss as soon as the loss becomes evident. An entity without the ability to update and revise estimates continually with a degree of confidence could not meet that essential requirement of generally accepted accounting principles.

.25 Accordingly, the division believes that entities with significant contracting operations generally have the ability to produce reasonably dependable estimates and that for such entities the percentage-of-completion method of accounting is preferable in most circumstances. The method should be applied to individual contracts or profit centers, as appropriate.

a. Normally, a contractor will be able to estimate total contract revenue and total contract cost in single amounts. Those amounts should normally be used as the basis for accounting for contracts under the percentage-of-completion method.

---

4 The division recognizes that many contractors have informal estimating procedures that may result in poorly documented estimates and marginal quality field reporting and job costing systems. Those conditions may influence the ability of an entity to produce reasonably dependable estimates. However, procedures and systems should not influence the development of accounting principles and should be dealt with by management as internal control, financial reporting, and auditing concerns.
b. For some contracts, on which some level of profit is assured, a contractor may only be able to estimate total contract revenue and total contract cost in ranges of amounts. If, based on the information arising in estimating the ranges of amounts and all other pertinent data, the contractor can determine the amounts in the ranges that are most likely to occur; those amounts should be used in accounting for the contract under the percentage-of-completion method. If the most likely amounts cannot be determined, the lowest probable level of profit in the range should be used in accounting for the contract until the results can be estimated more precisely.

c. However, in some circumstances, estimating the final outcome may be impractical except to assure that no loss will be incurred. In those circumstances, a contractor should use a zero estimate of profit; equal amounts of revenue and cost should be recognized until results can be estimated more precisely. A contractor should use this basis only if the bases in (a) or (b) are clearly not appropriate. A change from a zero estimate of profit to a more precise estimate should be accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate.

An entity using the percentage-of-completion method as its basic accounting policy should use the completed-contract method for a single contract or a group of contracts for which reasonably dependable estimates cannot be made or for which inherent hazards make estimates doubtful. Such a departure from the basic policy should be disclosed.

Nature of Reasonable Estimates and Inherent Hazards

.26 In practice, contract revenues and costs are estimated in a wide variety of ways ranging from rudimentary procedures to complex methods and systems. Regardless of the techniques used, a contractor’s estimating procedures should provide reasonable assurance of a continuing ability to produce reasonably dependable estimates.5 Ability to estimate covers more than the estimating and documentation of contract revenues and costs; it covers a contractor’s entire contract administration and management control system. The ability to produce reasonably dependable estimates depends on all the procedures and personnel that provide financial or production information on the status of contracts. It encompasses systems and personnel not only of the accounting department but of all areas of the company that participate in production control, cost control, administrative control, or accountability for contracts. Previous reliability of a contractor’s estimating process is usually an indication of continuing reliability, particularly if the present circumstances are similar to those that prevailed in the past.

.27 Estimating is an integral part of contractors’ business activities, and there is a necessity to revise estimates on contracts continually as the work progresses. The fact that circumstances may necessitate frequent revision of estimates does not indicate that the estimates are unreliable for the purpose for which they are used. Although results may differ widely from original estimates because of the nature of the business, the contractor, in the conduct of his

5 The type of estimating procedures appropriate in a particular set of circumstances depends on a careful evaluation of the costs and benefits of developing the procedures. The ability to produce reasonably dependable estimates that would justify the use of the percentage-of-completion method as recommended in paragraph.25 does not depend on the elaborateness of the estimating procedures used.
business, may still find the estimates reasonably dependable. Despite these widely recognized conditions, a contractor’s estimates of total contract revenue and total contract costs should be regarded as reasonably dependable if the minimum total revenue and the maximum total cost can be estimated with a sufficient degree of confidence to justify the contractor’s bids on contracts.

.28 ARB No. 45 discourages the use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting in circumstances in which inherent hazards make estimates doubtful. “Inherent hazards” relate to contract conditions or external factors that raise questions about contract estimates and about the ability of either the contractor or the customer to perform his obligations under the contract. Inherent hazards that may cause contract estimates to be doubtful usually differ from inherent business risks. Business enterprises engaged in contracting, like all business enterprises, are exposed to numerous business risks that vary from contract to contract. The reliability of the estimating process in contract accounting does not depend on the absence of such risks. Assessing business risks is a function of users of financial statements.

.29 The present business environment and the refinement of the estimating process have produced conditions under which most business entities engaged in contracting can deal adequately with the normal, recurring business risks in estimating the outcome of contracts. The division believes that inherent hazards that make otherwise reasonably dependable contract estimates doubtful involve events and conditions that would not be considered in the ordinary preparation of contract estimates and that would not be expected to recur frequently, given the contractor’s normal business environment. Such hazards are unrelated to, or only incidentally related to, the contractor’s typical activities. Such hazards may relate, for example, to contracts whose validity is seriously in question (that is, which are less than fully enforceable), to contracts whose completion may be subject to the outcome of pending legislation or pending litigation, or to contracts exposed to the possibility of the condemnation or expropriation of the resulting properties. Reasonably dependable estimates cannot be produced for a contract with unrealistic or ill-defined terms or for a contract between unreliable parties. However, the conditions stated in paragraph .23 for the use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting, which apply to most bona fide contracts, make the existence of some uncertainties, including some of the type described in ARB No. 45, paragraph 15, unlikely for contracts that meet those conditions. Therefore, the division believes that there should be specific, persuasive evidence of such hazards to indicate that use of the percentage-of-completion method on one of the bases in paragraph .25 is not preferable.

The Completed-Contract Method

.30 This section sets forth the recommended basis for using the completed-contract method and the reasons for the recommendation. Under the completed-contract method, income is recognized only when a contract is completed or substantially completed. During the period of performance, billings and costs are accumulated on the balance sheet, but no profit or income is recorded before completion or substantial completion of the work. This method precludes reporting on the performance that is occurring under the enforceable rights of the contract as work progresses. Although the completed-contract method is based on results as finally determined rather than on estimates for unperformed work, which may involve unforeseen costs and possible losses, it does
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not reflect current performance when the period of a contract extends beyond one accounting period, and it therefore may result in irregular recognition of income. Financial statements based on this method may not show informative relationships between gross profit reported on contracts and related period costs.

Circumstances of Use

.31 The completed-contract method may be used as an entity's basic accounting policy in circumstances in which financial position and results of operations would not vary materially from those resulting from use of the percentage-of-completion method (for example, in circumstances in which an entity has primarily short-term contracts). Although this statement does not formally distinguish on the basis of length between long-term and short-term contracts, the basis for recording income on contracts of short duration poses relatively few problems. In accounting for such contracts, income ordinarily is recognized when performance is substantially completed and accepted. Under those circumstances, revenues and costs in the aggregate for all contracts would be expected to result in a matching of gross profit with period overhead or fixed costs similar to that achieved by use of the percentage-of-completion method. For example, the completed-contract method, as opposed to the percentage-of-completion method, would not usually produce a material difference in net income or financial position for a small plumbing contractor that performs primarily relatively short-term contracts during an accounting period; performance covers such a short span of time that the work is somewhat analogous to the manufacture of shelf production items for sale. An entity using the completed-contract method as its basic accounting policy should depart from that policy for a single contract or a group of contracts not having the features described in this paragraph and use the percentage-of-completion method on one of the bases described in paragraph .25. Such a departure should be disclosed.

.32 The completed-contract method is preferable in circumstances in which estimates cannot meet the criteria for reasonable dependability discussed in the section on the percentage-of-completion method or in which there are inherent hazards of the nature of those discussed in that section. An entity using the percentage-of-completion method as its basic accounting policy should depart from that policy and use the completed-contract method for a single contract or a group of contracts only in the circumstances described in paragraph .25.

.33 The use of the completed-contract method is recommended for the circumstances described in paragraphs .31 and .32. However, for circumstances in which there is an assurance that no loss will be incurred on a contract (for example, when the scope of the contract is ill-defined but the contractor is protected by a cost-plus contract or other contractual terms), the percentage-of-completion method based on a zero profit margin, rather than the completed-contract method, is recommended until more precise estimates can be made. The significant difference between the percentage-of-completion method applied on the basis of a zero profit margin and the completed-contract method relates to the effects on the income statement. Under the zero profit margin approach to applying the percentage-of-completion method, equal amounts of revenue and cost, measured on the basis of performance during the period, are presented in the income statement; whereas, under the completed-contract method, performance for a period is not reflected in the income statement, and no amount is presented in the income statement until the contract is completed. The zero
profit margin approach to applying the percentage-of-completion method gives users of general purpose financial statements an indication of the volume of a company's business and of the application of its economic resources.

Determining the Profit Center

.34 The basic presumption should be that each contract is the profit center for revenue recognition, cost accumulation, and income measurement. That presumption may be overcome only if a contract or a series of contracts meets the conditions described for combining or segmenting contracts. A group of contracts (combining), and a phase or segment of a single contract or of a group of contracts (segmenting) may be used as a profit center in some circumstances. Since there are numerous practical implications of combining and segmenting contracts, evaluation of the circumstances, contract terms, and management intent are essential in determining contracts that may be accounted for on those bases.

Combining Contracts

.35 A group of contracts may be so closely related that they are, in effect, parts of a single project with an overall profit margin, and accounting for the contracts individually may not be feasible or appropriate. Under those circumstances, consideration should be given to combining such contracts for profit recognition purposes. The presumption in combining contracts is that revenue and profit are earned, and should be reported, uniformly over the performance of the combined contracts. For example, a group of construction-type contracts may be negotiated as a package with the objective of achieving an overall profit margin, although the profit margins on the individual contracts may vary. In those circumstances, if the individual contracts are performed and reported in different periods and accounted for separately, the reported profit margins in those periods will differ from the profit margin contemplated in the negotiations for reasons other than differences in performance.

.36 Contracts may be combined for accounting purposes only if they meet the criteria in paragraphs .37 and .38.

.37 A group of contracts may be combined for accounting purposes if the contracts

a. Are negotiated as a package in the same economic environment with an overall profit margin objective. Contracts not executed at the same time may be considered to have been negotiated as a package in the same economic environment only if the time period between the commitments of the parties to the individual contracts is reasonably short. The longer the period between the commitments of the parties to the contracts, the more likely it is that the economic circumstances affecting the negotiations have changed.

b. Constitute in essence an agreement to do a single project. A project for this purpose consists of construction, or related service activity with different elements, phases, or units of output that are closely interrelated or interdependent in terms of their design, technology, and function or their ultimate purpose or use.

c. Require closely interrelated construction activities with substantial common costs that cannot be separately identified with, or reasonably allocated to, the elements, phases, or units of output.
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d. Are performed concurrently or in a continuous sequence under the same project management at the same location or at different locations in the same general vicinity.

e. Constitute in substance an agreement with a single customer. In assessing whether the contracts meet this criterion, the facts and circumstances relating to the other criteria should be considered. In some circumstances different divisions of the same entity would not constitute a single customer if, for example, the negotiations are conducted independently with the different divisions. On the other hand, two or more parties may constitute in substance a single customer if, for example, the negotiations are conducted jointly with the parties to do what in essence is a single project.

Contracts that meet all of these criteria may be combined for profit recognition and for determining the need for a provision for losses in accordance with ARB No. 45, paragraph 6. The criteria should be applied consistently to contracts with similar characteristics in similar circumstances.

.38 Production-type contracts that do not meet the criteria in paragraph .37 or segments of such contracts may be combined into groupings such as production lots or releases for the purpose of accumulating and allocating production costs to units produced or delivered on the basis of average unit costs in the following circumstances:

a. The contracts are with one or more customers for the production of substantially identical units of a basic item produced concurrently or sequentially.

b. Revenue on the contracts is recognized on the units-of-delivery basis of applying the percentage-of-completion method.

Segmenting a Contract

.39 A single contract or a group of contracts that otherwise meet the test for combining may include several elements or phases, each of which the contractor negotiated separately with the same customer and agreed to perform without regard to the performance of the others. If those activities are accounted for as a single profit center, the reported income may differ from that contemplated in the negotiations for reasons other than differences in performance. If the project is segmented, revenues can be assigned to the different elements or phases to achieve different rates of profitability based on the relative value of each element or phase to the estimated total contract revenue. A project, which may consist of a single contract or a group of contracts, with segments that have different rates of profitability may be segmented if it meets the criteria in paragraph .40, paragraph .41, or paragraph .42. The criteria for segmenting should be applied consistently to contracts with similar characteristics and in similar circumstances.

.40 A project may be segmented if all the following steps were taken and are documented and verifiable:

a. The contractor submitted bona fide proposals on the separate components of the project and on the entire project.

b. The customer had the right to accept the proposals on either basis.

[6] [Footnote deleted to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]
c. The aggregate amount of the proposals on the separate components approximated the amount of the proposal on the entire project.

.41 A project that does not meet the criteria in paragraph .40 may be segmented only if it meets all the following criteria:

a. The terms and scope of the contract or project clearly call for separable phases or elements.

b. The separable phases or elements of the project are often bid or negotiated separately.

c. The market assigns different gross profit rates to the segments because of factors such as different levels of risk or differences in the relationship of the supply and demand for the services provided in different segments.

d. The contractor has a significant history of providing similar services to other customers under separate contracts for each significant segment to which a profit margin higher than the overall profit margin on the profit is ascribed.7

e. The significant history with customers who have contracted for services separately is one that is relatively stable in terms of pricing policy rather than one unduly weighted by erratic pricing decisions (responding, for example, to extraordinary economic circumstances or to unique customer-contractor relationships).

f. The excess of the sum of the prices of the separate elements over the price of the total project is clearly attributable to cost savings incident to combined performance of the contract obligations (for example, cost savings in supervision, overhead, or equipment mobilization). Unless this condition is met, segmenting a contract with a price substantially less than the sum of the prices of the separate phases or elements would be inappropriate even if the other conditions are met. Acceptable price variations should be allocated to the separate phases or elements in proportion to the prices ascribed to each. In all other situations a substantial difference in price (whether more or less) between the separate elements and the price of the total project is evidence that the contractor has accepted different profit margins. Accordingly, segmenting is not appropriate, and the contracts should be the profit centers.

g. The similarity of services and prices in the contract segments and services and the prices of such services to other customers contracted separately should be documented and verifiable.

.42 A production-type contract that does not meet the criteria in paragraphs .40 or .41 may also be segmented and included in groupings such as production lots or releases for the purpose of accumulating and allocating production costs to units produced or delivered on the basis of average unit cost under the conditions specified in paragraph .38.

7 In applying the criterion in paragraph .41(d), values assignable to the segments should be on the basis of the contractor's normal historical prices and terms of such services to other customers. The division considered but rejected the concept of allowing a contractor to segment on the basis of prices charged by other contractors, since it does not follow that those prices could have been obtained by a contractor who has no history in the market.

AAG-FGC APP C
Measuring Progress on Contracts

This section describes methods of measuring the extent of progress toward completion under the percentage-of-completion method and sets forth criteria for selecting those methods and for determining when a contract is substantially completed. Meaningful measurement of the extent of progress toward completion is essential since this factor is used in determining the amounts of estimated contract revenue and estimated gross profit that will be recognized as earned in any given period.

Methods of Measuring Extent of Progress Toward Completion

In practice, a number of methods are used to measure the extent of progress toward completion. They include the cost-to-cost method, variations of the cost-to-cost method, efforts-expended methods, the units-of-delivery method, and the units-of-work-performed method. Those practices are intended to conform to ARB No. 45, paragraph 4. Some of the measures are sometimes made and certified by engineers or architects, but management should review and understand the procedures used by those professionals.

Some methods used in practice measure progress toward completion in terms of costs, some in terms of units of work, and some in terms of values added (the contract value of total work performed to date). All three of these measures of progress are acceptable in appropriate circumstances. The division concluded that other methods that achieve the objective of measuring extent of progress toward completion in terms of costs, units, or value added are also acceptable in appropriate circumstances. However, the method or methods selected should be applied consistently to all contracts having similar characteristics. The method or methods of measuring extent of progress toward completion should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. Examples of circumstances not appropriate to some methods are given within the discussion of input and output measures.

Input and Output Measures

The several approaches to measuring progress on a contract can be grouped into input and output measures. Input measures are made in terms of efforts devoted to a contract. They include the methods based on costs and on efforts expended. Output measures are made in terms of results achieved. They include methods based on units produced, units delivered, contract milestones, and value added. For contracts under which separate units of output are produced, progress can be measured on the basis of units of work completed. In other circumstances, progress may be measured, for example, on the basis of cubic yards of excavation for foundation contracts or on the basis of cubic yards of pavement laid for highway contracts.

---

8 ARB No. 45, paragraph 4, states:
The committee recommends that the recognized income [under the percentage-of-completion method] be that percentage of estimated total income, either:

(a) that incurred costs to date bear to estimated total costs after giving effect to estimates of costs to complete based upon most recent information, or

(b) that may be indicated by such other measure of progress toward completion as may be appropriate having due regard to work performed.

Costs as here used might exclude, especially during the early stages of a contract, all or a portion of the cost of such items as materials and subcontracts if it appears that such an exclusion would result in a more meaningful periodic allocation of income.
Both input and output measures have drawbacks in some circumstances. Input is used to measure progress toward completion indirectly, based on an established or assumed relationship between a unit of input and productivity. A significant drawback of input measures is that the relationship of the measures to productivity may not hold, because of inefficiencies or other factors. Output is used to measure results directly and is generally the best measure of progress toward completion in circumstances in which a reliable measure of output can be established. However, output measures often cannot be established, and input measures must then be used. The use of either type of measure requires the exercise of judgment and the careful tailoring of the measure to the circumstances.

The efforts-expended method is an input method based on a measure of the work, such as labor hours, labor dollars, machine hours, or material quantities. Under the labor-hours method, for example, extent of progress is measured by the ratio of hours performed to date to estimated total hours at completion. Estimated total labor hours should include (a) the estimated labor hours of the contractor and (b) the estimated labor hours of subcontractors engaged to perform work for the project, if labor hours of subcontractors are a significant element in the performance of the contract. A labor-hours method can measure the extent of progress in terms of efforts expended only if substantial efforts of subcontractors are included in the computation. If the contractor is unable to obtain reasonably dependable estimates of subcontractors’ labor hours at the beginning of the project and as work progresses, he should not use the labor-hours method.

The various forms of the efforts-expended method generally are based on the assumption that profits on contracts are derived from the contractor’s efforts in all phases of operations, such as designing, procurement, and management. Profit is not assumed to accrue merely as a result of the acquisition of material or other tangible items used in the performance of the contract or the awarding of subcontracts. As previously noted, a significant drawback of efforts-expended methods is that the efforts included in the measure may not all be productive.

Measuring progress toward completion based on the ratio of costs incurred to total estimated costs is also an input method. Some of the costs incurred, particularly in the early stages of the contract, should be disregarded in applying this method because they do not relate to contract performance. These include the costs of items such as uninstalled materials not specifically produced or fabricated for the project or of subcontracts that have not been performed. For example, for construction projects, the cost of materials not unique to the project that have been purchased or accumulated at job sites but that have not been physically installed do not relate to performance. The costs of such materials should be excluded from costs incurred for the purpose of measuring the extent of progress toward completion. Also, the cost of equipment purchased for use on a contract should be allocated over the period of its expected use unless title to the equipment is transferred to the customer by terms of the contract. For production-type contracts, the complement of expensive components (for example, computers, engines, radars, and complex “black boxes”) to

---

The cost of uninstalled materials specifically produced, fabricated, or constructed for a project should be included in the costs used to measure extent of progress. Such materials consist of items unique to a project that a manufacturer or supplier does not carry in inventory and that must be produced or altered to meet the specifications of the project.
be installed into the deliverable items may aggregate a significant portion of the total cost of the contract. In some circumstances, the costs incurred for such components, even though the components were specifically purchased for the project, should not be included in the measurement before the components are installed if inclusion would tend to overstate the percentage of completion otherwise determinable.

.51 The acceptability of the results of input or output measures deemed to be appropriate to the circumstances should be periodically reviewed and confirmed by alternative measures that involve observation and inspection. For example, the results provided by the measure used to determine the extent of progress may be compared to the results of calculations based on physical observations by engineers, architects, or similarly qualified personnel. That type of review provides assurance somewhat similar to that provided for perpetual inventory records by periodic physical inventory counts.

Completion Criteria Under the Completed-Contract Method

.52 As a general rule, a contract may be regarded as substantially completed if remaining costs and potential risks are insignificant in amount. The overriding objectives are to maintain consistency in determining when contracts are substantially completed and to avoid arbitrary acceleration or deferral of income. The specific criteria used to determine when a contract is substantially completed should be followed consistently and should be disclosed in the note to the financial statements on accounting policies. Circumstances to be considered in determining when a project is substantially completed include, for example, delivery of the product, acceptance by the customer, departure from the site, and compliance with performance specifications.

Income Determination—Revenue Elements

.53 Estimating the revenue on a contract is an involved process, which is affected by a variety of uncertainties that depend on the outcome of a series of future events. The estimates must be periodically revised throughout the life of the contract as events occur and as uncertainties are resolved.

.54 The major factors that must be considered in determining total estimated revenue include the basic contract price, contract options, change orders, claims, and contract provisions for penalties and incentive payments, including award fees and performance incentives. All those factors and other special contract provisions must be evaluated throughout the life of a contract in estimating total contract revenue to recognize revenues in the periods in which they are earned under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting.

Basic Contract Price—General

.55 The estimated revenue from a contract is the total amount that a contractor expects to realize from the contract. It is determined primarily by the terms of the contract and the basic contract price. Contract price may be relatively fixed or highly variable and subject to a great deal of uncertainty, depending on the type of contract involved. Appendix B describes basic contract types and major variations in the basic types. The total amount of revenue that ultimately will be realized on a contract is often subject to a variety of changing circumstances and accordingly may not be known with certainty until the parties to the contract have fully performed their obligations. Thus, the
determination of total estimated revenue requires careful consideration and the exercise of judgment in assessing the probabilities of future outcomes.

Although fixed-price contracts usually provide for a stated contract price, a specified scope of the work to be performed, and a specified performance schedule, they sometimes have adjustment schedules based on application of economic price adjustment (escalation), price redetermination, incentive, penalty, and other pricing provisions. Determining contract revenue under unit-price contracts generally involves the same factors as under fixed-price contracts. Determining contract revenue from a time-and-material contract requires a careful analysis of the contract, particularly if the contract includes guaranteed maximums or assigns markups to both labor and materials; and the determination involves consideration of some of the factors discussed below in regard to cost-type contracts.

**Basic Contract Price—Cost-Type Contracts**

Cost-type contracts have a variety of forms (see Appendix B). The various forms have differing contract terms that affect accounting, such as provisions for reimbursable costs (which are generally spelled out in the contract), overhead recovery percentages, and fees. A fee may be a fixed amount or a percentage of reimbursable costs or an amount based on performance criteria. Generally, percentage fees may be accrued as the related costs are incurred, since they are a percentage of costs incurred, and profits should therefore be recognized as costs are incurred. Cost-type contracts often include provisions for guaranteed maximum total reimbursable costs or target penalties and rewards relating to underruns and overruns of predetermined target prices, completion dates, plant capacity on completion of the project, or other criteria.

One problem peculiar to cost-type contracts involves the determination of the amounts of reimbursable costs that should be reflected as revenue. Under some contracts, particularly service-type contracts, a contractor acts solely in the capacity of an agent (construction manager) and has no risks associated with costs managed. This relationship may arise, for example, if an owner awards a construction management contract to one entity and a construction contract to another. If the contractor, serving as the construction manager, acts solely as an agent, his revenue should include only the fee and should exclude subcontracts negotiated or managed on behalf of the owner and materials purchased on behalf of the owner.

In other circumstances, a contractor acts as an ordinary principal under a cost-type contract. For example, the contractor may be responsible to employees for salaries and wages and to subcontractors and other creditors for materials and services, and he may have the discretionary responsibility to procure and manage the resources in performing the contract. The contractor should include in revenue all reimbursable costs for which he has risk or on which his fee was based at the time of bid or negotiation. In addition, revenue from overhead percentage recoveries and the earned fee should be included in revenue.

**Customer-Furnished Materials**

Another concern associated with measuring revenue relates to materials furnished by a customer or purchased by the contractor as an agent for

---

10 Cost-type government contracts with fees based on a percentage of cost are no longer granted under government regulations.
the customer. Often, particularly for large, complex projects, customers may be more capable of carrying out the procurement function or may have more leverage with suppliers than the contractor. In those circumstances, the contractor generally informs the customer of the nature, type, and characteristics or specifications of the materials required and may even purchase the required materials and pay for them, using customer purchase orders and checks drawn against the customer’s bank account. If the contractor is responsible for the nature, type, characteristics, or specifications of material that the customer furnishes or that the contractor purchases as an agent of the customer, or if the contractor is responsible for the ultimate acceptability of performance of the project based on such material, the value of those items should be included as contract price and reflected as revenue and costs in periodic reporting of operations. As a general rule, revenues and costs should include all items for which the contractor has an associated risk, including items on which his contractual fee was based.

Change Orders

.61 Change orders are modifications of an original contract that effectively change the provisions of the contract without adding new provisions. They may be initiated by either the contractor or the customer, and they include changes in specifications or design, method or manner of performance, facilities, equipment, materials, sites, and period for completion of the work. Many change orders are unpriced; that is, the work to be performed is defined, but the adjustment to the contract price is to be negotiated later. For some change orders, both scope and price may be unapproved or in dispute. Accounting for change orders depends on the underlying circumstances, which may differ for each change order depending on the customer, the contract, and the nature of the change. Change orders should therefore be evaluated according to their characteristics and the circumstances in which they occur. In some circumstances, change orders as a normal element of a contract may be numerous, and separate identification may be impractical. Such change orders may be evaluated statistically on a composite basis using historical results as modified by current conditions. If such change orders are considered by the parties to be a normal element within the original scope of the contract, no change in the contract price is required. Otherwise, the adjustment to the contract price may be routinely negotiated. Contract revenue and costs should be adjusted to reflect change orders approved by the customer and the contractor regarding both scope and price.

.62 Accounting for unpriced change orders depends on their characteristics and the circumstances in which they occur. Under the completed-contract method, costs attributable to unpriced change orders should be deferred as contract costs if it is probable that aggregate contract costs, including costs attributable to change orders, will be recovered from contract revenues. For all unpriced change orders, recovery should be deemed probable if the future event or events necessary for recovery are likely to occur. Some of the factors to consider in evaluating whether recovery is probable are the customer’s written approval of the scope of the change order, separate documentation for change order costs that are identifiable and reasonable, and the entity’s favorable experience in negotiating change orders, especially as it relates to the specific type of contract and change order being evaluated. The following guidelines should be followed in accounting for unpriced change orders under the percentage-of-completion method.
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a. Costs attributable to unpriced change orders should be treated as costs of contract performance in the period in which the costs are incurred if it is not probable that the costs will be recovered through a change in the contract price.

b. If it is probable that the costs will be recovered through a change in the contract price, the costs should be deferred (excluded from the cost of contract performance) until the parties have agreed on the change in contract price, or, alternatively, they should be treated as costs of contract performance in the period in which they are incurred, and contract revenue should be recognized to the extent of the costs incurred.

c. If it is probable that the contract price will be adjusted by an amount that exceeds the costs attributable to the change order and the amount of the excess can be reliably estimated, the original contract price should also be adjusted for that amount when the costs are recognized as costs of contract performance if its realization is probable. However, since the substantiation of the amount of future revenue is difficult, revenue in excess of the costs attributable to unpriced change orders should only be recorded in circumstances in which realization is assured beyond a reasonable doubt, such as circumstances in which an entity’s historical experience provides such assurance or in which an entity has received a bona fide pricing offer from a customer and records only the amount of the offer as revenue.

.63 If change orders are in dispute or are unapproved in regard to both scope and price, they should be evaluated as claims (see paragraphs .65–.67).

Contract Options and Additions

.64 An option or an addition to an existing contract should be treated as a separate contract in any of the following circumstances:

a. The product or service to be provided differs significantly from the product or service provided under the original contract.

b. The price of the new product or service is negotiated without regard to the original contract and involves different economic judgments.

c. The products or services to be provided under the exercised option or amendment are similar to those under the original contract, but the contract price and anticipated contract cost relationship are significantly different.

If an option or addition to an existing contract does not meet any of the above conditions, it may be combined with the original contract if it meets the criteria in paragraph .37 or .38. Exercised options or additions that do not meet the criteria for treatment as separate contracts or for combining with the original contracts should be treated as change orders on the original contracts.

Claims

.65 Claims are amounts in excess of the agreed contract price (or amounts not included in the original contract price) that a contractor seeks to collect from customers or others for customer-caused delays, errors in specifications and designs, contract terminations, change orders in dispute or unapproved as to both scope and price, or other causes of unanticipated additional costs.
Recognition of amounts of additional contract revenue relating to claims is appropriate only if it is probable that the claim will result in additional contract revenue and if the amount can be reliably estimated. Those two requirements are satisfied by the existence of all the following conditions:

a. The contract or other evidence provides a legal basis for the claim; or a legal opinion has been obtained, stating that under the circumstances there is a reasonable basis to support the claim.

b. Additional costs are caused by circumstances that were unforeseen at the contract date and are not the result of deficiencies in the contractor’s performance.

c. Costs associated with the claim are identifiable or otherwise determinable and are reasonable in view of the work performed.

d. The evidence supporting the claim is objective and verifiable, not based on management’s “feel” for the situation or on unsupported representations.

If the foregoing requirements are met, revenue from a claim should be recorded only to the extent that contract costs relating to the claim have been incurred. The amounts recorded, if material, should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. Costs attributable to claims should be treated as costs of contract performance as incurred.

However, a practice such as recording revenues from claims only when the amounts have been received or awarded may be used. If that practice is followed, the amounts should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

If the requirements in paragraph .65 are not met or if those requirements are met but the claim exceeds the recorded contract costs, a contingent asset should be disclosed in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5, paragraph 17.

**Income Determination—Cost Elements**

Contract costs must be identified, estimated, and accumulated with a reasonable degree of accuracy in determining income earned. At any time during the life of a contract, total estimated contract cost consists of two components: costs incurred to date and estimated cost to complete the contract. A company should be able to determine costs incurred on a contract with a relatively high degree of precision, depending on the adequacy and effectiveness of its cost accounting system. The procedures or systems used in accounting for costs vary from relatively simple, manual procedures that produce relatively modest amounts of detailed analysis to sophisticated, computer-based systems that produce a great deal of detailed analysis. Despite the diversity of systems and procedures, however, an objective of each system or of each set of procedures should be to accumulate costs properly and consistently by contract with a sufficient degree of accuracy to assure a basis for the satisfactory measurement of earnings.

**Contract Costs**

Contract costs are accumulated in the same manner as inventory costs and are charged to operations as the related revenue from contracts is recognized. Contract costs generally include all direct costs, such as materials, direct labor, and subcontractors, and indirect costs identifiable with or allocable to the contracts. However, practice varies for certain types of indirect costs considered
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allocable to contracts, for example, support costs (such as central preparation and processing of job payrolls, billing and collection costs, and bidding and estimating costs).

.70 Authoritative accounting pronouncements require costs to be considered period costs if they cannot be clearly related to production, either directly or by an allocation based on their discernible future benefits.

.71 Income is recognized over the term of the contract under the percentage-of-completion method or is recognized as units are delivered under the units-of-delivery modification and is deferred until performance is substantially complete under the completed-contract method. None of the characteristics peculiar to those methods, however, require accounting for contract costs to deviate in principle from the basic framework established in existing authoritative literature applicable to inventories or business enterprises in general.

.72 A contracting entity should apply the following general principles in accounting for costs of construction-type and those production-type contracts covered by this statement. The principles are consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for inventory and production costs in other areas, and their application requires the exercise of judgment.

a. All direct costs, such as material, labor, and subcontracting costs, should be included in contract costs.

b. Indirect costs allocable to contracts include the costs of indirect labor, contract supervision, tools and equipment, supplies, quality control and inspection, insurance, repairs and maintenance, depreciation and amortization, and, in some circumstances, support costs, such as central preparation and processing of payrolls. For government contractors, other types of costs that are allowable or allocable under pertinent government contract regulations may be allocated to contracts as indirect costs if otherwise allowable under GAAP.11 Methods of allocating indirect costs should be systematic and rational. They include, for example, allocations based on direct labor costs, direct labor hours, or a combination of direct labor and material costs. The appropriateness of allocations of indirect costs and of the methods of allocation depend on the circumstances and involve judgment.

c. General and administrative costs ordinarily should be charged to expense as incurred but may be accounted for as contract

---

11 The AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Federal Government Contractors states, “Practice varies among government contractors concerning the extent to which costs are included in inventory. Some contractors include in inventory all direct costs and only certain indirect costs. . . . Other contractors record as inventory all costs identified with the contract, including an allocation of general and administrative . . . expenses.” The guide points out that many accountants believe that the practice of allocating general and administrative expenses to contract costs, which is permitted under the completed-contract method by ARB No. 45, paragraph 10, may appropriately be extended to government contracts because they believe that “costs incurred pursuant to a government contract are associated directly with the contract’s revenue, and both should be recognized in the same period.” [Footnote revised, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]
Statement of Position 81-1

costs under the completed-contract method of accounting\textsuperscript{12} or, in some circumstances, as indirect contract costs by government contractors.\textsuperscript{13}

d. Selling costs should be excluded from contract costs and charged to expense as incurred unless they meet the criteria for precontract costs in paragraph .75.

e. Costs under cost-type contracts should be charged to contract costs in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the same manner as costs under other types of contracts because unrealistic profit margins may result in circumstances in which reimbursable cost accumulations omit substantial contract costs (with a resulting larger fee) or include substantial unallocable general and administrative costs (with a resulting smaller fee).

f. In computing estimated gross profit or providing for losses on contracts, estimates of cost to complete should reflect all of the types of costs included in contract costs.

g. Inventoriable costs should not be carried at amounts that when added to the estimated cost to complete are greater than the estimated realizable value of the related contracts.

Interest costs should be accounted for in accordance with FASB Statement No. 34, Capitalization of Interest Cost.

Precontract Costs

.73 In practice, costs are deferred in anticipation of future contract sales in a variety of circumstances. The costs may consist of (a) costs incurred in anticipation of a specific contract that will result in no future benefit unless the contract is obtained (such as the costs of mobilization, engineering, architectural, or other services incurred on the basis of commitments or other indications of interest in negotiating a contract), (b) costs incurred for assets to be used in connection with specific anticipated contracts (for example, costs for the purchase of production equipment, materials, or supplies), (c) costs incurred to acquire or produce goods in excess of the amounts required under a contract in anticipation of future orders for the same item, and (d) learning, start-up, or mobilization costs incurred for anticipated but unidentified contracts.

.74 Learning or start-up costs are sometimes incurred in connection with the performance of a contract or a group of contracts. In some circumstances, follow-on or future contracts for the same goods or services are anticipated. Such costs usually consist of labor, overhead, rework, or other special costs that must be incurred to complete the existing contract or contracts in progress and are distinguished from research and development costs.\textsuperscript{14} A direct relationship between such costs and the anticipated future contracts is often difficult to

\textsuperscript{12} Paragraph 10 of ARB No. 45, Long-Term Construction-Type Contracts, states: When the completed-contract method is used, it may be appropriate to allocate general and administrative expenses to contract costs rather than to periodic income. This may result in a better matching of costs and revenues than would result from treating such expenses as period cost, particularly in years when no contracts were completed.

\textsuperscript{13} See the discussion of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Federal Government Contractors, in footnote 11. [Footnote revised, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]

\textsuperscript{14} FASB Statement No. 2, Accounting for Research and Development Costs, requires that research and development costs be charged to expense when incurred.
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establish, and the receipt of future contracts often cannot reasonably be anticipated.

The division recommends the following accounting for precontract costs:

a. Costs that are incurred for a specific anticipated contract and that will result in no future benefits unless the contract is obtained should not be included in contract costs or inventory before the receipt of the contract. However, such costs may be otherwise deferred, subject to evaluation of their probable recoverability, but only if the costs can be directly associated with a specific anticipated contract and if their recoverability from that contract is probable.‡

b. Costs incurred for assets, such as costs for the purchase of materials, production equipment, or supplies, that are expected to be used in connection with anticipated contracts may be deferred outside the contract cost or inventory classification if their recovery from future contract revenue or from other dispositions of the assets is probable.

c. Costs incurred to acquire or produce goods in excess of the amounts required for an existing contract in anticipation of future orders for the same items may be treated as inventory if their recovery is probable.

d. Learning or start-up costs incurred in connection with existing contracts and in anticipation of follow-on or future contracts for the same goods or services should be charged to existing contracts.\[15\]

e. Costs appropriately deferred in anticipation of a contract should be included in contract costs on the receipt of the anticipated contract.

f. Costs related to anticipated contracts that are charged to expenses as incurred because their recovery is not considered probable should not be reinstated by a credit to income on the subsequent receipt of the contract.

Cost Adjustments Arising From Back Charges

Back charges are billings for work performed or costs incurred by one party that, in accordance with the agreement, should have been performed or incurred by the party to whom billed. These frequently are disputed items. For example, owners bill back charges to general contractors, and general contractors bill back charges to subcontractors. Examples of back charges include charges for cleanup work and charges for a subcontractor’s use of a general contractor’s equipment.

A common practice is to net back charges in the estimating process. The division recommends the following procedures in accounting for back charges:

- Back charges to others should be recorded as receivables and, to the extent considered collectible, should be applied to reduce contract costs. However, if the billed party disputes the propriety or

---

‡ SOP 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities, amends this SOP by requiring precontract costs that are start-up activities to be expensed as incurred if they are determined to be within the scope of SOP 98-5. [Footnote revised, July 2002, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of Statement of Position 98-5.]

\[15\] [Footnote deleted, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]
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amount of the charge, the back charge is in effect a claim, and the
criteria for recording claims apply.
- Back charges from others should be recorded as payables and as
additional contract costs to the extent that it is probable that the
amounts will be paid.

Estimated Cost to Complete

The estimated cost to complete, the other component of total estimated
contract cost, is a significant variable in the process of determining income
earned and is thus a significant factor in accounting for contracts. The latest
estimate may be determined in a variety of ways and may be the same as the
original estimate. Practices in estimating total contract costs vary, and guidance
is needed in this area because of the impact of those practices on accounting.
The following practices should be followed:

a. Systematic and consistent procedures that are correlated with the
cost accounting system should be used to provide a basis for peri-
odically comparing actual and estimated costs.

b. In estimating total contract costs, the quantities and prices of all
significant elements of cost should be identified.

c. The estimating procedures should provide that estimated cost to
complete includes the same elements of cost that are included in
actual accumulated costs; also, those elements should reflect ex-
pected price increases.

d. The effects of future wage and price escalations should be taken
into account in cost estimates, especially when the contract perfor-
mance will be carried out over a significant period of time. Escala-
tion provisions should not be blanket overall provisions but should
cover labor, materials, and indirect costs based on percentages or
amounts that take into consideration experience and other perti-
nent data.

e. Estimates of cost to complete should be reviewed periodically and
revised as appropriate to reflect new information.

Computation of Income Earned for a Period Under the
Percentage-of-Completion Method

Total estimated gross profit on a contract, the difference between total
estimated contract revenue and total estimated contract cost, must be deter-
mined before the amount earned on the contract for a period can be determined.
The portion of total revenue earned or the total amount of gross profit earned
to date is determined by the measurement of the extent of progress toward
completion using one of the methods discussed in paragraphs .44 to .51 of this
statement. The computation of income earned for a period involves a determi-
nation of the portion of total estimated contract revenue that has been earned to
date (earned revenue) and the portion of total estimated contract cost related to
that revenue (cost of earned revenue). Two different approaches to determining
earned revenue and cost of earned revenue are widely used in practice. Either
of the alternative approaches may be used on a consistent basis.

16 The use of Alternative A in the discussion and in the presentation of some of the provisions of
this statement is for convenience and consistency and is not intended to imply that Alternative A is
the preferred approach.
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**Alternative A**

.80 The advocates of this method believe that the portion of total estimated contract revenue earned to date should be determined by the measurement of the extent of progress toward completion and that, in accordance with the matching concept, the measurement of extent of progress toward completion should also be used to allocate a portion of total estimated contract cost to the revenue recognized for the period. They believe that this procedure results in reporting earned revenue, cost of earned revenue, and gross profit consistent with the measurement of contract performance. Moreover, they believe that, if there are no changes in estimates during the performance of a contract, the procedure also results in a consistent gross profit percentage from period to period. However, they recognize that a consistent gross profit percentage is rarely obtained in practice because of the need to be responsive in the accounting process to changes in estimates of contract revenues, costs, earned revenue, and gross profits. In accordance with this procedure, earned revenue, cost of earned revenue, and gross profit should be determined as follows:

a. **Earned Revenue** to date should be computed by multiplying total estimated contract revenue by the percentage of completion (as determined by one of the acceptable methods of measuring the extent of progress toward completion). The excess of the amount over the earned revenue reported in prior periods is the earned revenue that should be recognized in the income statement for the current period.

b. **Cost of Earned Revenue** for the period should be computed in a similar manner. Cost of earned revenue to date should be computed by multiplying total estimated contract cost by the percentage of completion on the contract. The excess of that amount over the cost of earned revenue reported in prior periods is the cost of earned revenue that should be recognized in the income statement for the current period. The difference between total cost incurred to date and cost of earned revenue to date should be reported on the balance sheet.

c. **Gross Profit** on a contract for a period is the excess of earned revenue over the cost of earned revenue.

**Alternative B**

.81 The advocates of this method believe that the measurement of the extent of progress toward completion should be used to determine the amount of gross profit earned to date and that the earned revenue to date is the sum of the total cost incurred on the contract and the amount of gross profit earned. They believe that the cost of work performed on a contract for a period, including materials, labor, subcontractors, and other costs, should be the cost of earned revenue for the period. They believe that the amount of costs incurred can be objectively determined, does not depend on estimates, and should be the amount that enters into the accounting determination of income earned. They recognize that, under the procedure that they advocate, gross profit percentages will vary from period to period unless the cost-to-cost method is used to measure the extent of progress toward completion. However, they believe that varying profit percentages are consistent with the existing authoritative literature when costs incurred do not provide an appropriate measure of the extent of progress toward completion. In accordance with Alternative B, earned revenue, cost of earned revenue, and gross profit are determined as follows:
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a. Earned Revenue is the amount of gross profit earned on a contract for a period plus the costs incurred on the contract during the period.

b. Cost of Earned Revenue is the cost incurred during the period, excluding the cost of materials not unique to a contract that have not been used for the contract and costs incurred for subcontracted work that is still to be performed.

c. Gross Profit earned on a contract should be computed by multiplying the total estimated gross profit on the contract by the percentage of completion (as determined by one of the acceptable methods of measuring extent of progress toward completion). The excess of that amount over the amount of gross profit reported in prior periods is the earned gross profit that should be recognized in the income statement for the current period.

Revised Estimates

.82 Adjustments to the original estimates of the total contract revenue, total contract cost, or extent of progress toward completion are often required as work progresses under the contract and as experience is gained, even though the scope of the work required under the contract may not change. The nature of accounting for contracts is such that refinements of the estimating process for changing conditions and new developments are continuous and characteristic of the process. Additional information that enhances and refines the estimating process is often obtained after the balance sheet date but before the issuance of the financial statements; such information should result in an adjustment of the unissued financial statements. Events occurring after the date of the financial statements that are outside the normal exposure and risk aspects of the contract should not be considered refinements of the estimating process of the prior year but should be disclosed as subsequent events.

.83 Revisions in revenue, cost, and profit estimates or in measurements of the extent of progress toward completion are changes in accounting estimates and, as such, should be accounted for in accordance with FASB Statement No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections—a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. A change in accounting estimate shall be accounted for in (a) the period of change if the change affects that period only or (b) the period of change and future periods if the change affects both. A change in accounting estimate shall not be accounted for by restating or retrospectively adjusting amounts reported in financial statements of prior periods or by reporting pro forma amounts for prior periods. FASB Statement No. 154 carries forward the following two alternative methods of accounting for changes in accounting estimates identified in APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes:

- Cumulative Catch-up. Account for the change in estimate in the period of change so that the balance sheet at the end of the period of change and the accounting in subsequent periods are as they would have been if the revised estimate had been the original estimate.

[17] [Footnote deleted, June 2007, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]
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- Reallocation. Account for the effect of the change ratably over the period of change in estimate and subsequent periods.

Although both methods are used in practice to account for changes in estimates of total revenue, total costs, or extent of progress under the percentage-of-completion method, the cumulative catch-up method is more widely used. Accordingly, to narrow the areas of differences in practice, such changes should be accounted for by the cumulative catch-up method. [Paragraph revised, June 2007, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of FASB Statement No. 154.]

.84 Although estimating is a continuous and normal process for contractors, FASB Statement No. 154, paragraph 22 requires disclosure of the effect of significant revisions if the effect is material[18] The effect on income from continuing operations, net income (or other appropriate captions of changes in the applicable net assets or performance indicator), and any related per-share amounts of the current period shall be disclosed for a change in estimate that affects several future periods. If a change in estimate does not have a material effect in the period of change but is reasonably certain to have a material effect in later periods, a description of that change in estimate shall be disclosed whenever the financial statements of the period of change are presented. [Paragraph revised, June 2007, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of FASB Statement No. 154.]

Provisions for Anticipated Losses on Contracts

.85 When the current estimates of total contract revenue and contract cost indicate a loss, a provision for the entire loss on the contract should be made. Provisions for losses should be made in the period in which they become evident under either the percentage-of-completion method or the completed-contract method. If a group of contracts are combined based on the criteria in paragraph .37 or .38, they should be treated as a unit in determining the necessity for a provision for a loss. If contracts are segmented based on the criteria in paragraph .40, .41, or .42 of this statement, the individual segments should be considered separately in determining the need for a provision for a loss.

.86 Losses on cost-type contracts, although less frequent, may arise if, for example, a contract provides for guaranteed maximum reimbursable costs or target penalties. In recognizing losses for accounting purposes, the contractor’s normal cost accounting methods should be used in determining the total cost overrun on the contract, and losses should include provisions for performance penalties.

.87 The costs used in arriving at the estimated loss on a contract should include all costs of the type allocable to contracts under paragraph .72 of this statement. Other factors that should be considered in arriving at the projected loss on a contract include target penalties and rewards, nonreimbursable costs on cost-plus contracts, change orders, and potential price redeterminations. In circumstances in which general and administrative expenses are treated as contract costs under the completed-contract method of accounting, the estimated loss should include the same types of general and administrative expenses.

[18] [Footnote deleted, June 2007, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]
Statement of Position 81-1

.88 The provision for loss arises because estimated cost for the contract exceeds estimated revenue. Consequently, the provision for loss should be accounted for in the income statement as an additional contract cost rather than as a reduction of contract revenue, which is a function of contract price, not cost. Unless the provision is material in amount or unusual or infrequent in nature, the provision should be included in contract cost and need not be shown separately in the income statement. If it is shown separately, it should be shown as a component of the cost included in the computation of gross profit.

.89 Provisions for losses on contracts should be shown separately as liabilities on the balance sheet, if significant, except in circumstances in which related costs are accumulated on the balance sheet, in which case the provisions may be deducted from the related accumulated costs. In a classified balance sheet, a provision shown as a liability should be shown as a current liability.

Transition

.90 An accounting change from the completed-contract method or from the percentage-of-completion method to conform to the recommendations of this statement of position should be made retrospectively by restating the financial statements of prior periods. The restatement should be made on the basis of current information if historical information is not available. If the information for restatement of prior periods is not available on either a historical or current basis, financial statements and summaries should be restated for as many consecutive prior periods preceding the transition date of this statement as is practicable, and the cumulative effect on the retained earnings at the beginning of the earliest period restated (or at the beginning of the period in which the statement is first applied if it is not practicable to restate any prior periods) should be included in determining net income for that period (see paragraphs 8 and 9 of FASB Statement No. 154). [Paragraph revised, June 2007, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of FASB Statement No. 154.]

.91 Accounting changes to conform to the recommendations of this statement of position, other than those stated in paragraph .90, should be made prospectively for contracting transactions, new contracts, and contract revisions entered into on or after the effective date of this statement. The division recommends the application of the provisions of this statement for fiscal years, and interim periods in such fiscal years, beginning after June 30, 1981. The division encourages earlier application of this statement, including retroactive application to all contracts regardless of when they were entered into. Disclosures should be made in the financial statements in the period of change in accordance with paragraph 17 of FASB Statement No. 154. [Paragraph revised, June 2007, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of FASB Statement No. 154.]
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Types of Contracts

Four basic types of contracts are distinguished on the basis of their pricing arrangements in paragraph .15 of this statement: (a) fixed-price or lump-sum contracts, (b) time-and-material contracts, (c) cost-type (including cost-plus) contracts, and (d) unit-price contracts. This appendix describes the basic types of contracts in greater detail and briefly describes common variations of each basic type.

Fixed-Price or Lump-Sum Contracts

A fixed-price or lump-sum contract is a contract in which the price is not usually subject to adjustment because of costs incurred by the contractor. Common variations of fixed-price contracts are:

1. Firm fixed-price contract—A contract in which the price is not subject to any adjustment by reason of the cost experience of the contractor or his performance under the contract.

2. Fixed-price contract with economic price adjustment—A contract which provides for upward or downward revision of contract price upon the occurrence of specifically defined contingencies, such as increases or decreases in material prices or labor wage rates.

3. Fixed-price contract providing for prospective periodic redetermination of price—A contract which provides a firm fixed-price for an initial number of unit deliveries or for an initial period of performance and for prospective price redeterminations either upward or downward at stated intervals during the remaining period of performance under the contract.

4. Fixed-price contract providing for retroactive redetermination of price—A contract which provides for a ceiling price and retroactive price redetermination (within the ceiling price) after the completion of the contract, based on costs incurred, with consideration being given to management ingenuity and effectiveness during performance.

5. Fixed-price contract providing for firm target cost incentives—A contract which provides at the outset for a firm target cost, a firm target profit, a price ceiling (but not a profit ceiling or floor), and a formula (based on the relationship which final negotiated total cost bears to total target cost) for establishing final profit and price.

6. Fixed-price contract providing for successive target cost incentives—A contract which provides at the outset for an initial target cost, an initial target profit, a price ceiling, a formula for subsequently fixing the firm target profit (within a ceiling and a floor established along with the formula, at the outset), and a production point at which the formula will be applied.

7. Fixed-price contract providing for performance incentives—A contract which incorporates an incentive to the contractor to surpass stated performance targets by providing for increases in the profit
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to the extent that such targets are surpassed and for decreases to
the extent that such targets are not met.

8. Fixed-price level-of-effort term contract—A contract which usually
calls for investigation or study in a specific research and develop-
ment area. It obligates the contractor to devote a specified level of
effort over a stated period of time for a fixed dollar amount.¹

Time-and-Material Contracts

Time-and-material contracts are contracts that generally provide for payments
to the contractor on the basis of direct labor hours at fixed hourly rates (that
cover the cost of direct labor and indirect expenses and profit) and cost of mate-
rials or other specified costs. Common variations of time and material contracts
are:

1. Time at marked-up rate.
2. Time at marked-up rate, material at cost.
3. Time and material at marked-up rates.
4. Guaranteed maximum cost—labor only or labor and material.

Cost-Type Contracts

Cost-type contracts provide for reimbursement of allowable or otherwise de-
dined costs incurred plus a fee that represents profit. Cost-type contracts usually
only require that the contractor use his best efforts to accomplish the scope of
the work within some specified time and some stated dollar limitation. Common
variations of cost-plus contracts are

1. Cost-sharing contract—A contract under which the contractor is
reimbursed only for an agreed portion of costs and under which no
provision is made for a fee.
2. Cost-without-fee contract—A contract under which the contractor
is reimbursed for costs with no provision for a fee.
3. Cost-plus-fixed-fee contract—A contract under which the contractor
is reimbursed for costs plus the provision for a fixed fee.
4. Cost-plus-award-fee contract—A contract under which the contrac-
tor is reimbursed for costs plus a fee consisting of two parts: (a)
a fixed amount which does not vary with performance and (b) an
award amount based on performance in areas such as quality, time-
liness, ingenuity, and cost-effectiveness. The amount of award fee
is based upon a subjective evaluation by the government of the
contractor’s performance judged in light of criteria set forth in the
contract.
5. Cost-plus-incentive-fee contract (Incentive based on cost)—A con-
tract under which the contractor is reimbursed for costs plus a fee
which is adjusted by formula in accordance with the relationship
which total allowable costs bear to target cost. At the outset there
is negotiated a target cost, a target fee, a minimum and maximum
fee, and the adjustment formula.

¹ AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Federal Government Contractors, chapter 1. [Footnote
revised, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative
literature.]
6. Cost-plus-incentive-fee contract (Incentive based on performance)—A contract under which a contractor is reimbursed for costs plus an incentive to surpass stated performance targets by providing for increases in the fee to the extent that such targets are surpassed and for decreases to the extent that such targets are not met.  

Unit-Price Contracts

Unit-price contracts are contracts under which the contractor is paid a specified amount for every unit of work performed. A unit-price contract is essentially a fixed-price contract with the only variable being units of work performed. Variations in unit-price contracts include the same type of variations as fixed-price contracts. A unit-price contract is normally awarded on the basis of a total price that is the sum of the product of the specified units and unit prices. The method of determining total contract price may give rise to unbalanced unit prices because units to be delivered early in the contract may be assigned higher unit prices than those to be delivered as the work under the contract progresses.

\footnote{AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Federal Government Contractors, chapter 1. [Footnote revised, April 1996, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]}
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Summary of Disclosure Recommendations in Statement of Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOP Par.</th>
<th>Nature of Disclosure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.21</td>
<td>Accounting policy—methods of reporting revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.45</td>
<td>Method or methods of measuring extent of progress toward completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.52</td>
<td>Criteria for determining substantial completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.65-.67</td>
<td>Information on revenue and costs arising from claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.84</td>
<td>Effects of changes in estimates on contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.90-.91</td>
<td>Effects of accounting changes to conform to SOP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Schedule of Changes Made to Statement of Position 81-1,
Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain
Production-Type Contracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Deleted &quot;Audits of&quot; in all references to all applicable Guide titles.</td>
<td>May, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix Title</td>
<td>Footnote * added.</td>
<td>May, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Readers</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of SAS No. 69.</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph .03</td>
<td>Note reference to supersession of APB Statement No. 4 added.</td>
<td>May, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph .04</td>
<td>Reference to Industry Audit Guide Audits of Government Contractors,</td>
<td>October, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>deleted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph .14</td>
<td>Footnote deleted.</td>
<td>October, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs .18</td>
<td>References to Industry Audit Guide Audits of Government Contractors,</td>
<td>October, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and .19</td>
<td>have been changed to Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Federal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government Contractors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph .38</td>
<td>Footnote deleted.</td>
<td>October, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph .72</td>
<td>References in footnotes 11 and 13 to Industry Audit Guide Audits of</td>
<td>October, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government Contractors, have been changed to Audit and Accounting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 75</td>
<td>Footnote deleted.</td>
<td>October, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 75(a)</td>
<td>Footnote added to reflect the issuance of SOP 98-5.</td>
<td>June, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 83,</td>
<td>Revisited to reflect the issuance of FASB Statement No. 154.</td>
<td>June, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84, 90, and 91</td>
<td>References in footnotes 1 and 2 to Industry Audit Guide Audits of</td>
<td>October, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government Contractors, have been changed to Audit and Accounting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix B</td>
<td>References in footnotes 1 and 2 delete Guide section numbers and, in</td>
<td>May, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>their place, insert Guide section titles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix D

Cost Accounting Standards Summary (Incorporated Into FAR Part 30)

Disclosure Statement (Form No. CASB 1)

A written, complete, accurate, and current description of cost accounting practices and procedures is required upon award of a single CAS-covered contract exceeding $50 million or when awards of CAS-covered contracts and subcontracts exceed $50 million in a contractor’s prior fiscal year.

401—Consistency in Estimating, Accumulating and Reporting Costs

Cost accounting practices used to estimate costs shall be consistent with cost accounting practices used to accumulate and report contract costs.

402—Consistency in Allocating Costs Incurred for the Same Purpose

Each type of cost shall be allocated only once and on only one basis to any contract or other cost objective.

403—Allocation of Home Office Expenses to Segments

Home office expenses shall be allocated directly to segments to the maximum extent practicable. Expenses not directly allocated shall be grouped in homogeneous expense pools to the extent practical and allocated to segments based on a measurable causal or beneficial relationship. Residual expenses shall be allocated to all segments on a base representative of total activity (three factor formula, if significant).

404—Capitalization of Tangible Assets

Capitalization policies must be established and must satisfy certain criteria; such as minimum service life not to exceed two years and minimum acquisition cost not to exceed $5,000. Tangible capital assets acquired in a business combination shall be accounted for at their preacquisition net book values for contract costing purposes. Except in limited circumstances, no step up or step down from those preacquisition values is permitted.

405—Accounting for Unallowable Costs

Unallowable costs shall be identified in the accounts and, if expressly or agreed upon as unallowable, shall be excluded from cost billings, claims and proposals related to government contracts.

406—Cost Accounting Period

The cost accounting period shall be the contractor’s fiscal year except under specified circumstances. The same period will be used for accumulation of base amounts as used for expense pools.

407—Use of Standard Costs for Direct Material and Direct Labor

Standard costs may be used for estimating, accumulating, and reporting costs of direct material and direct labor only when standard costs are entered into the books of account; accounted for, together with related variances, at the production unit level; and based on consistently followed written policy.
408—Accounting for Costs of Compensated Personal Absence

Costs shall be accrued in the period of qualifying services, unless obligation is not established or the amount cannot be estimated, and allocated pro rata on an annual basis to final cost objectives.

409—Depreciation of Tangible Capital Assets

Depreciation of tangible capital assets shall reflect the pattern of consumption of services over the life of the asset. Supporting records shall be maintained to show age and past utilization experience. Gain or loss on disposition shall be assigned to the period of disposition.

410—Allocation of Business Unit G&A Expenses to Final Cost Objectives

Cost of overall management and administration shall be grouped in a separate pool and allocated to final cost objectives by means of a cost-input base representing the best measure of total activity of the business unit. Criteria is specified for selecting either a (1) total cost input, (2) value added cost input, or (3) single element cost input base.

411—Accounting for Acquisition Cost of Material

There shall be consistently applied written statements of accounting policies and practices for accumulating and allocating the costs of materials to cost objectives.

412—Composition and Measurement of Pension Cost

In determining and measuring pension cost for defined benefit pension plans, the actuarial cost methods used shall measure separately (1) the normal cost, (2) a part of any unfunded actuarial liability, (3) an interest equivalent on the unamortized portion of any unfunded actuarial liability, and (4) an adjustment for any actuarial gains and losses. Each actuarial assumption used to measure pension cost shall be separately identified and the amount of pension cost computed for a cost accounting period is generally assignable only to that period.

413—Adjustment and Allocation of Pension Cost

Actuarial gains and losses shall be calculated annually and shall be assigned to the cost accounting period for which the actuarial valuation is made and subsequent periods. The actuarial valuation of pension fund assets shall be determined under a method that considers unrealized appreciation and depreciation of pension fund assets.

414—Cost of Money as an Element of the Cost of Facilities Capital

A cost of money rate, to be periodically determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, shall be applied to facilities capital allocated in accordance with specific criteria in order to measure and allocate cost of capital as an element of contract cost.

415—Accounting for Cost of Deferred Compensation

Cost shall be assigned to the period in which the obligation to compensate the employee is incurred. The amount shall be the present value of the future benefit to be paid.

416—Accounting for Insurance Costs

Insurance cost assigned to the cost accounting period is the projected average loss for that period plus administration expenses. The projected average loss can be represented either by the premium paid for purchased insurance or by a
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charge for self-insurance. Allocation of insurance costs to cost objectives shall reflect beneficial or causal relationships.

417—Cost of Money as an Element of the Cost of Capital Assets Under Construction

An investment amount for each asset under construction shall be determined each accounting period. The cost-of-money rate used is the one determined by the Secretary of the Treasury. The calculated cost of money shall be included in the capitalized acquisition cost of the assets being constructed.

418—Allocation of Direct and Indirect Costs

A business unit shall have a written statement of accounting policies and practices for classifying costs as direct or indirect that shall be consistently applied. Indirect costs shall be accumulated in homogeneous pools and shall be allocated based on beneficial and causal relationships.

419—Not used.

420—Accounting for IR&D and B&P Costs

IR&D and B&P costs shall be accumulated by project using the same criteria for direct and indirect cost application as for direct contract activity. Projects pooled at the home office level shall be allocated to segments using the CAS 403 residual expense allocation base; projects pooled at the business unit level are to be allocated using the CAS 410 base.

Note: This very abbreviated paraphrasing of the cost accounting standards is provided as a quick reference to the subject as a whole. Refer to the complete standards to assure appropriate implementation of the applicable requirements.
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Statement of Position 98-5

Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities

April 3, 1998

Issued by the
Accounting Standards Executive Committee
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NOTE

Statements of Position on accounting issues present the conclusions of at least two-thirds of the Accounting Standards Executive Committee, which is the senior technical body of the Institute authorized to speak for the Institute in the areas of financial accounting and reporting. Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, identifies AICPA Statements of Position that have been cleared by the Financial Accounting Standards Board as sources of established accounting principles in category b of the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles that it establishes. AICPA members should consider the accounting principles in this Statement of Position if a different accounting treatment of a transaction or event is not specified by a pronouncement covered by Rule 203 of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. In such circumstances, the accounting treatment specified by the Statement of Position should be used, or the member should be prepared to justify a conclusion that another treatment better presents the substance of the transaction in the circumstances.
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Summary

This Statement of Position (SOP) provides guidance on the financial reporting of start-up costs and organization costs. It requires costs of start-up activities and organization costs to be expensed as incurred.

The SOP broadly defines start-up activities and provides examples to help entities determine what costs are and are not within the scope of this SOP.

This SOP applies to all nongovernmental entities and, except as stated in the last paragraph, is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1998. Earlier application is encouraged in fiscal years for which annual financial statements previously have not been issued.

Except for certain entities noted in the last paragraph, initial application of this SOP should be reported as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, as described in Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes. When adopting this SOP, entities are not required to report the pro forma effects of retroactive application.

Entities that report substantially all investments at market value or fair value, issue and redeem shares, units, or ownership interests at net asset value, and have sold their shares, units, or ownership interests to independent third parties before the later of June 30, 1998, or the date that the SOP is issued should adopt the SOP prospectively.

* See the Transition section of the Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors, for information on FASB Statement No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections—a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3, which supersedes APB Opinion No. 20, and is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. [Footnote added, May 2005, to reflect the 2005 conforming changes made to the Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors. Footnote revised, May 2006, to reflect the 2006 conforming changes made to the Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors.]
Foreword

The accounting guidance contained in this document has been cleared by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). The procedure for clearing accounting guidance in documents issued by the Accounting Standards Executive Committee (AcSEC) involves the FASB reviewing and discussing in public board meetings (1) a prospectus for a project to develop a document, (2) a proposed exposure draft that has been approved by at least ten of AcSEC’s fifteen members, and (3) a proposed final document that has been approved by at least ten of AcSEC’s fifteen members. The document is cleared if at least five of the seven FASB members do not object to AcSEC undertaking the project, issuing the proposed exposure draft or, after considering the input received by AcSEC as a result of the issuance of the exposure draft, issuing the final document.

The criteria applied by the FASB in their review of proposed projects and proposed documents include the following.

1. The proposal does not conflict with current or proposed accounting requirements, unless it is a limited circumstance, usually in specialized industry accounting, and the proposal adequately justifies the departure.
2. The proposal will result in an improvement in practice.
3. The AICPA demonstrates the need for the proposal.
4. The benefits of the proposal are expected to exceed the costs of applying it.

In many situations, prior to clearance, the FASB will propose suggestions, many of which are included in the documents.
Introduction and Background

.01 The Accounting Standards Executive Committee (AcSEC) had on its agenda a series of projects on reporting the costs of activities that are undertaken to create future economic benefits.

.02 The first phase of AcSEC’s series of projects resulted in its issuance of Statement of Position (SOP) 93-7, Reporting on Advertising Costs (ACC sec. 10,590). It was AcSEC’s intention to use SOP 93-7 (ACC sec. 10,590) as a guide in developing guidance for reporting costs of other kinds of activities undertaken to create future economic benefits. This SOP on start-up costs is the next phase.

.03 A review of a number of public-company financial statement disclosures indicates that some entities capitalize start-up costs whereas others expense start-up costs as they are incurred. In addition, entities that capitalize start-up costs use diverse amortization periods. These diverse practices exist within and across industries. AcSEC believes this SOP will significantly reduce these diversities in financial reporting.

.04 AcSEC issued an exposure draft of a proposed SOP, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities, on April 22, 1997. AcSEC received more than eighty comment letters in response to the exposure draft.

Scope

.05 For purposes of this SOP, start-up activities are defined broadly as those one-time activities related to opening a new facility, introducing a new product or service, conducting business in a new territory, conducting business with a new class of customer1 or beneficiary, initiating a new process in an existing facility, or commencing some new operation. Start-up activities include activities related to organizing a new entity (commonly referred to as organization costs). This SOP provides guidance on accounting for the costs of start-up activities.

.06 In practice, various terms are used to refer to start-up costs, such as preopening costs, preoperating costs, organization costs and start-up costs. For purposes of this SOP, these costs are referred to as start-up costs.

Note: As noted in subsequent paragraphs, the accounting for certain costs incurred in conjunction with start-up activities are not covered by this SOP. An entity should not infer that costs outside the scope of this SOP should be capitalized. Such costs should not be capitalized unless they qualify for capitalization under other generally accepted accounting principles.

.07 For purposes of this SOP, activities related to routine, ongoing efforts to refine, enrich, or otherwise improve upon the qualities of an existing product,

1 This SOP does not address the financial reporting of costs incurred related to ongoing customer acquisition, such as policy acquisition costs in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 60, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises, and loan origination costs in FASB Statement No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases. The SOP addresses the more substantive one-time efforts to establish business with an entirely new class of customers (for example, a manufacturer who does all of its business with retailers attempts to sell merchandise directly to the public).
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service, process, or facility are not start-up activities and are not within the scope of this SOP. In addition, activities related to a merger or acquisition and to ongoing customer acquisition are not start-up activities.

.08 Certain costs that may be incurred in conjunction with start-up activities are not subject to the provisions of this SOP. Such costs should be accounted for in accordance with other existing authoritative accounting literature. For example, the following costs are outside the scope of this SOP:

- Costs of acquiring or constructing long-lived assets and getting them ready for their intended uses (However, the costs of using long-lived assets that are allocated to start-up activities [for example, depreciation of computers] are within the scope of this SOP.)
- Costs of acquiring or producing inventory
- Costs of acquiring intangible assets (However, the costs of using intangible assets that are allocated to start-up activities [for example, amortization of a purchased patent] are within the scope of this SOP.)
- Costs related to internally developed assets (for example, internal-use computer software costs) (However, the costs of using those assets that are allocated to start-up activities are within the scope of this SOP.)
- Costs that are within the scope of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 2, Accounting for Research and Development Costs, and FASB Statement No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation
- Costs of fund raising incurred by not-for-profit organizations
- Costs of raising capital
- Costs of advertising
- Costs incurred in connection with existing contracts as stated in paragraph 75d of SOP 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts (ACC sec. 10,330.75d)

.09 Illustrations 1 through 3 in the Appendix [paragraph .44] provide examples of costs that are and are not within the scope of this SOP.

.10 This SOP applies to all nongovernmental entities (including not-for-profit organizations) and it applies to development-stage entities as well as established operating entities.

.11 This SOP amends the following AICPA SOPs and Audit and Accounting Guides that address start-up costs:

a. SOP 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts, paragraph 75a (ACC sec. 10,330.75a)

2 Costs addressed in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 97-13, Accounting for Costs Incurred in Connection with a Consulting Contract or an Internal Project That Combines Business Process Reengineering and Information Technology Transformation, are outside the scope of this SOP.

3 See footnote 1.
Statement of Position 98-5

b. SOP 88-1, Accounting for Developmental and Preoperating Costs, Purchases and Exchanges of Take-off and Landing Slots, and Airframe Modifications, paragraphs 23 and 25 (ACC sec. 10,430.23 and .25)
c. Industry Audit Guide Audits of Airlines, paragraphs 3.115 and 3.117
d. Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Casinos, paragraph 2.06
e. Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors, paragraph 2.14a
f. Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Federal Government Contractors, paragraph 3.09
g. Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Investment Companies, paragraphs 5.14, 8.10, 8.16, 8.17, and appendix K

Conclusions

Accounting for Start-Up Costs

.12 Costs of start-up activities, including organization costs, should be expensed as incurred.

Amendments to Other Guidance

.13 This SOP amends SOP 81-1 (ACC sec. 10,330) by requiring precontract costs that are start-up costs to be expensed as incurred. The following sentence is added to the end of paragraph 75a (ACC sec. 10,330.75a):

Those costs should be expensed as they are incurred if they are within the scope of SOP 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities.

.14 This SOP amends SOP 88-1 (ACC sec. 10,430) by requiring preoperating costs to be expensed as incurred rather than capitalized. Paragraph 23 (ACC sec. 10,430.23) is amended as follows:

Preoperating costs related to the integration of new types of aircraft should be expensed as incurred.

In addition, paragraph 25 (ACC sec. 10,430.25) is deleted.

.15 This SOP amends the Industry Audit Guide Audits of Airlines by requiring preoperating costs to be expensed as incurred rather than capitalized. Paragraph 3.115 is amended as follows:

Preoperating costs related to the integration of new types of aircraft should be expensed as incurred.

In addition, paragraph 3.117 is deleted.

.16 This SOP amends the Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Casinos by requiring preopening costs to be expensed as incurred. Paragraph 2.06 is amended to include the following at the end of the first sentence:

Preopening costs, however, should be charged to expense as incurred.

.17 This SOP amends the Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors by requiring precontract costs that are start-up costs to be expensed as incurred. The following sentence is added to the end of paragraph 2.14a:

Those costs should be expensed as they are incurred if they are within the scope of SOP 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities.
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.18 Paragraph 3.09 of the Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Federal Government Contractors refers to paragraph 75 of SOP 81-1 (ACC sec. 10,330.75) as the applicable guidance for accounting for precontract costs. This SOP amends paragraph 3.09 of the Guide as follows:

Precontract costs should be accounted for in conformity with paragraph 75 of SOP 81-1, as amended by SOP 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities.

.19 This SOP amends the Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Investment Companies by requiring organization costs to be expensed as they are incurred. The last two sentences of paragraph 8.10 are deleted and replaced by the following:

Organization costs should be expensed as they are incurred. Entities should adopt the transition provisions of paragraphs 22 and 23 of SOP 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities.

In addition, paragraphs 8.16 and 8.17 are deleted, and the following footnote is added after the words deferred organization expense in paragraph 5.14 and in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities in Appendix K (SOP 93-4, Foreign Currency Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation for Investment Companies [ACC sec. 10,570]).

Organization costs should be expensed as they are incurred. Entities should adopt the transition provisions of paragraphs 22 and 23 of SOP 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities.

.20 The following sentence is added to the accounting policies footnote for organization costs in the illustrative financial statements in paragraph 9.10 of the Audit and Accounting Guide Guide for Prospective Financial Information:

(Note: SOP 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities, requires that organization costs be expensed as they are incurred.)

Effective Date and Transition

.21 Except for certain entities noted in paragraph .23, this SOP is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1998. Earlier application is encouraged in fiscal years for which annual financial statements have not been issued. Restatement of previously issued financial statements is not permitted.

.22 Except for certain entities noted in paragraph .23, initial application of this SOP should be reported as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, as described in Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes. When adopting this SOP, entities are not required to report the pro forma effects of retroactive application. Entities are required to disclose the effect of adopting this SOP on income before extraordinary items and on net income (and on the related per share amounts) in the period of the change.

* See the Transition section of the Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors, for information on FASB Statement No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections—a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3, which supersedes APB Opinion No. 20, and is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. [Footnote added, May 2005, to reflect the 2005 conforming changes made to the Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors. Footnote revised, May 2006, to reflect the 2006 conforming changes made to the Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors.]
Entities that meet all of the following conditions should not report the effect of initial application of this SOP as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle: (a) the entities’ specialized accounting practices include accounting for substantially all investments at market value or fair value; (b) the entities’ shares, units, or ownership interests are issued and redeemed at net asset value; and (c) the entities’ shares, units, or ownership interests are sold to independent third parties (for example, parties other than founders, sponsors, and investment advisors) before the later of June 30, 1998, or the date that the SOP is issued. Capitalized costs incurred by these entities prior to initial application of this SOP should not be adjusted to the amounts that would have been expensed as incurred had this SOP been in effect when those costs were incurred. These entities should apply the SOP prospectively for all costs of start-up activities and organization costs incurred at the later of June 30, 1998, or the date that the SOP is issued. For these entities, costs previously deferred that continue to be reported as assets should continue to be amortized over the remaining life of the original amortization period used by the entity, or a shorter period if the expected period of benefit is reduced. The unamortized balance of deferred start-up costs or organization costs and the remaining amortization period should be disclosed.

Except for those entities noted in paragraph .23, initial application of this SOP should be as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the SOP is first adopted.

The provisions of this Statement need not be applied to immaterial items.

Basis for Conclusions

Scope

AcSEC based its broad definition of start-up activities on the definition used in the 1973 FASB Discussion Memorandum (DM), Accounting for Research and Development Costs. That DM defines start-up costs as "those unusual one-time costs incurred in putting a new plant into operation, opening a new sales outlet, initiating a new process in an existing plant, or otherwise commencing some new operation."

Some respondents to the exposure draft indicated that the definition of start-up activities is imprecise and leads to confusion about what differentiates start-up costs from certain other costs, such as costs incurred to get a long-lived asset ready for its intended use.

AcSEC believes it is not possible to develop a detailed, all-inclusive definition of start-up activities and start-up costs. AcSEC believes the broad definition of start-up activities together with the identification of certain costs that are not start-up costs and the examples provided in the Appendix (paragraph .44) help the reader understand the kinds of activities and costs that may be involved in a start-up situation. Regardless, AcSEC believes that costs previously capitalized as either start-up costs or organization costs should now be expensed as they are incurred.

AcSEC understands that entities may engage in start-up activities to generate revenue or increase efficiencies; AcSEC believes that it is unnecessary
to distinguish between the objectives for undertaking start-up activities for purposes of this SOP.

.29 AcSEC recognizes that some entities use the terms start-up, preopening, preoperating, and organization interchangeably and that these terms are used inconsistently in practice. AcSEC believes that it is unnecessary to define the terms individually for purposes of this SOP.

.30 AcSEC also recognizes that some entities differentiate between preopening/preoperating costs and start-up costs as follows:

a. Preopening/preoperating costs are incurred before the commencement of operations or production.

b. Start-up costs are incurred after operations have begun, but before normal productive capacity is reached.

AcSEC believes that this distinction is not made consistently in practice. AcSEC also believes that the guidance in this SOP should be followed regardless of the terms used to describe the activities included in the scope.

.31 AcSEC decided that it was not necessary to develop boundaries for when the start-up period begins and ends. The definition of start-up activities is based on the nature of the activities and not the time period in which they occur. AcSEC believes that costs previously capitalized by entities as start-up costs will be expensed as incurred as start-up costs or some other costs, such as general and administrative.

.32 It is not uncommon for start-up activities to occur simultaneously with other activities, such as the acquisition or development of assets. Paragraph .08 provides examples of costs excluded from the scope of this SOP. AcSEC did not attempt to provide an all-inclusive detailed list of such costs because entities have different accounting policies for the kinds of costs capitalized under existing generally accepted accounting principles (for example, property, plant, and equipment). AcSEC believes entities are best capable of identifying those costs.

.33 This SOP applies to start-up activities of development stage entities as well as established operating entities, as those terms are discussed in FASB Statement No. 7, Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises. Paragraph 10 of FASB Statement No. 7 states, "Generally accepted accounting principles that apply to established operating enterprises shall govern the recognition of revenue by a development stage enterprise and shall determine whether a cost incurred by a development stage enterprise is to be charged to expense when incurred or is to be capitalized or deferred." This SOP sets forth the generally accepted accounting principles for costs of start-up activities and thus applies to both kinds of entities.

.34 A majority of respondents to the exposure draft did not address issues related to organization costs. The majority of those who did address these issues believes that organization costs should not be included in the scope of the SOP. One reason proposed to exclude organization costs from the scope of this SOP was to avoid unnecessary bookkeeping resulting from book/tax differences. AcSEC concluded that organization costs are similar to start-up costs and that it could not justify excluding organization costs from the scope of the SOP. Further, if organization costs were excluded from the scope of the SOP, AcSEC believes that it would have needed to define organization costs to help entities distinguish between start-up and organization costs. AcSEC’s definition of organization costs would have been narrower than that contained in
the Internal Revenue Code. Therefore, AcSEC concluded that temporary tax differences would result for some entities whether AcSEC included or excluded organization costs from the scope of the document.

**Accounting for Start-Up Costs**

.35 About half of the respondents to the exposure draft believe that start-up costs should be reported as assets. AcSEC considered requiring capitalization and amortization of the costs of start-up activities, including organization costs. AcSEC believes that entities incur costs related to start-up and organization activities with an expectation that there will be future benefits. However, AcSEC believes that this is also often the case with other costs, such as costs related to research and development activities.

.36 Paragraph 86 of FASB Concepts Statement No. 5, Recognition and Measurement in Financial Statements of Business Enterprises, states, "Consumption of economic benefits during a period may be recognized either directly or by relating it to revenues recognized during the period: ..." Paragraph 148 of FASB Concepts Statement No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states, "Other costs are also recognized as expenses in the period in which they are incurred because the period to which they otherwise relate is indeterminable or not worth the effort to determine."

.37 Some AcSEC members believe that start-up costs may meet the definition of an asset. However, they note that some items that meet the definition of an asset are not recognized as assets because of uncertainty. Paragraph 175 of FASB Concepts Statement No. 6 states, "... business enterprises engage in research and development activities, advertise, develop markets, open new branches or divisions, and the like, and spend significant funds to do so. The uncertainty is not about the intent to increase future economic benefits but about whether and, if so, to what extent they succeeded in doing so. Certain expenditures for research and development, advertising, training, start-up and preoperating activities, development stage enterprises, relocation or rearrangement, and goodwill are examples of the kinds of items for which assessments of future economic benefits may be especially uncertain."

.38 Paragraph 24 of APB Opinion 17† states, "Costs of developing, maintaining, or restoring intangible assets which are not specifically identifiable, have indeterminate lives, or are inherent in a continuing business and related to an enterprise as a whole—such as goodwill—should be deducted from income when incurred." Start-up costs as defined in this SOP meet all three conditions: they are not specifically identifiable, have indeterminate lives, and are inherent in a continuing business and related to an enterprise as a whole.

.39 AcSEC decided that the SOP should not amend paragraph 75d of SOP 81-1 (ACC sec. 10,330.75d). AcSEC believes that start-up costs incurred in connection with existing contracts are contract costs related to a specific source of revenue that should be subject to the accounting prescribed in SOP 81-1 (ACC sec. 10,330). Further, AcSEC decided that start-up costs incurred in connection with existing contracts and in anticipation of follow-on or future contracts for the same goods and services should also be accounted for as contract costs.

† APB Opinion No. 17, Intangible Assets, was superseded by FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. [Footnote added, February 2008, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of recent authoritative literature.]
within the existing contract because those costs are expected to be recovered. AcSEC also believes that it is impracticable to bifurcate incremental learning curve or start-up costs that may be incurred under existing contracts in anticipation of follow-on or future contracts.

Disclosure and Transition

.40 AcSEC considered requiring entities to disclose start-up costs incurred in an accounting period and total start-up costs expected to be incurred over the life of a project. AcSEC decided that, for many entities, the costs of recordkeeping to identify separately start-up costs incurred in an accounting period likely would outweigh the related benefits of disclosing those costs to users of financial statements. AcSEC also believes that it cannot provide an all-inclusive definition of start-up costs, which would ensure comparability between entities. In addition, AcSEC believes that, if an entity discloses total start-up costs expected to be incurred, it is likely to do so outside the financial statements (for example, in Management’s Discussion and Analysis for a public company).

.41 Some entities currently report certain costs, such as depreciation incurred in conjunction with start-up activities, as start-up costs. Other entities currently report those costs under captions such as “depreciation.” This SOP does not require entities to report those costs as start-up costs.

.42 AcSEC decided that entities that report substantially all investments at market value or fair value, issue and redeem shares, units, or ownership interests at net asset value, and have sold their shares, units, or ownership interests to independent third parties before the later of June 30, 1998, or the date that the SOP is issued should adopt the SOP prospectively. Examples of such entities include open-end mutual funds, regardless of their load features, because open-end mutual funds issue and redeem shares at net asset value (however, closed-end funds would not be examples because those funds may trade at a premium or discount in relation to net asset value). Before operations begin, these entities often incur start-up or organization costs. The expectation is that all shareholders will bear the costs as amortization gradually decreases asset value. Alternatively, the sponsors could pay the start-up or organization costs and get reimbursed through fees charged to the entity that would be borne by the shareholders. AcSEC believes that existing shareholders would experience negative economic consequences if previously capitalized costs were required to be expensed immediately, thereby causing an immediate decrease in net asset value per share. AcSEC believes that it has made a practical decision to ensure that the adoption of the SOP does not cause economic harm to existing shareholders in entities that report substantially all investments at market value or fair value and issue and redeem shares, units, or ownership interests at net asset value.

Other Authoritative Literature

.43 AcSEC considered the following other authoritative literature in its deliberations of financial reporting of start-up costs. However, the guidance in the following literature is not affected by the provisions of this SOP: (a) FASB Statement No. 19, Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies, and the related AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities; (b) FASB Statement No. 34, Capitalization of Interest Cost; (c) FASB Statement No. 50, Financial
Reporting in the Record and Music Industry; (d) FASB Statement No. 51, Financial Reporting by Cable Television Companies; (e) FASB Statement No. 53, Financial Reporting by Producers and Distributors of Motion Picture Films; (f) FASB Statement No. 60, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises; (g) FASB Statement No. 67, Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects; and (h) FASB Statement No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases.
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Illustrations

The Illustrations provide examples that should not be interpreted to be all-inclusive. Accounting for certain costs incurred in conjunction with start-up activities are not covered by this SOP. An entity should not infer that costs outside the scope of this SOP should be capitalized. Such costs should not be capitalized unless they qualify for capitalization under other generally accepted accounting principles.

Illustration 1

Scenario—A major U.S. beverage company (the Company) begins construction of a new plant in China. This represents the Company’s initial entry into the Chinese market. As part of the overall strategy, the Company plans to introduce into China, on a locally produced basis, the Company’s major U.S. beverage brands. Following are some of the costs that might be incurred in conjunction with start-up activities that are subject to the provisions of this SOP:

• Travel costs, employee salary-related costs, and consulting costs related to feasibility studies, accounting, legal, tax, and governmental affairs
• Training of local employees related to production, maintenance, computer systems, engineering, finance, and operations
• Recruiting, organization, and training related to establishing a distribution network
• Nonrecurring operating losses
• Depreciation, if any, of new computer data terminals and other communication devices

The following costs incurred in conjunction with start-up activities are outside the scope of this SOP (as noted in paragraphs .07 and .08):

• Costs of long-lived asset additions, such as the new plant, production equipment, and packaging lines
• Internal-use computer software systems development costs
• Costs that are capitalizable as inventory
• Deferred financing costs
Illustration 2

Scenario—A retail chain is constructing and opening two new stores. One will open in a territory in which the entity already has three stores operating. The other will open in a territory new to the entity. (Costs related to both openings are treated the same for purposes of this SOP.) All of the stores provide the same products and services. Following are some of the costs that might be incurred in conjunction with start-up activities that are subject to the provisions of this SOP:

- Salary-related expenses for new employees
- Salary-related expenses for the management store opening team
- Training costs and meals for newly hired employees
- Hotel charges, meals, and transportation for the opening team
- Security, property taxes, insurance, and utilities costs incurred after construction is completed
- Depreciation, if any, of new computer data terminals and other communication devices
- Nonrecurring operating losses

The following costs incurred in conjunction with start-up activities are outside the scope of this SOP (as noted in paragraphs .07 and .08):

- Store advertising costs
- Coupon giveaways
- Costs of uniforms
- Costs of furniture and cash registers
- Costs to obtain licenses, if any
- Security, property taxes, insurance, and utilities costs related to construction activities
- Deferred financing costs
Illustration 3

Scenario—A not-for-profit organization that has provided meals to the homeless is opening a shelter to house the homeless. The organization will rent the facility. This will be the organization’s first shelter and it will conduct a fund-raising campaign to raise money to start up the shelter. The organization will lease space for the shelter and will incur capital expenditures for leasehold improvements and furniture. The organization expects that it will require three months to set up the space for the shelter. The organization will hire a security firm to secure the premises during the three-month period in which the shelter is built. Following are some of the costs that might be incurred in conjunction with start-up activities that are subject to the provisions of this SOP:

- Employee salary-related costs related to needs and feasibility studies
- Staff recruiting and training
- Rent, security, insurance, and utilities
- Consultant fees for developing policies and procedures for operating the shelter
- Amortization and depreciation, if any, of leasehold improvements and furniture
- Costs of social workers

The following costs incurred in conjunction with start-up activities are outside the scope of this SOP (as noted in paragraphs .07 and .08):

- Costs of fund-raising
- Costs of leasehold improvements and furniture
- Architect fees for the leasehold improvements
- Advertising costs to publicize the shelter
Statement of Position 98-5

Accounting Standards Executive Committee (1997–1998)

MARK M. BIELSTEIN JAMES P. MCCOMB
JAMES L. BROWN CHARLES L. MCDONALD
JOSEPH H. CAPPALONGA ROGER H. MOLVAR
ROBERT O. DALE DAVID M. MORRIS
JOSEPH F. GRAZIANO BENJAMIN S. NEUHAUSEN
JAMES F. HARRINGTON MARK V. SEVER
JAMES W. LEDWITH

Start-Up Costs Task Force

CHARLES L. MCDONALD, Chair GEORGE C. SCHLEIER
ROBERT U. BOEHMAN TED SHAPIRO
JOHN F. KELLY LOUIS ULLMAN
GORDON E. SCHERER

AICPA Staff

ELIZABETH A. FENDER DANIEL J. NOLL
Director Technical Manager
Accounting Standards Accounting Standards

The task force and staff gratefully acknowledge the contributions made to the development of this Statement of Position by Kevin G. Varty.
Information Sources

Appendix F

*Information Sources*

Further information on matters addressed in this Guide is available from, among others, organizations listed in the table that follows.
### Information Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Internet Web Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **American Institute of Certified Public Accountants** | AICPA Member Service Center  
220 Leigh Farm Road  
Durham, NC 27707  
(888) 777-7077  
The AICPA’s Accounting and Auditing Technical Hotline can be reached at (877) 242-7212.  
The AICPA offers a number of continuing professional education (CPE) courses that are valuable to CPAs working in public practice and industry. Visit www.cpa2biz.com for a complete list of CPE courses. | www.aicpa.org                  |
| **Cost Accounting Standards Board**         | 725 17th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20503  
(202) 395-3080  
(202) 395-3888 (f) | www.whitehouse.gov/omb/contact.html |
| **Defense Contract Audit Agency**           | 8725 John J. Kingman Road  
Suite 2135  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6219  
(703) 767-1066 | www.dcaa.mil                    |
| **Federal Acquisition Regulation**          | Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR)—a uniform acquisition regulation for the Federal Government | www.arnet.gov/far                |
| **Federal Business Opportunities**          | Business opportunities for government buyers and vendors | www.fedbizopps.gov               |
| **Information Sources** | **Financial Accounting Standards Board** | Order Department  
P.O. Box 5116  
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116  
(203) 847-0700, ext. 10  
(800) 738-0659  
*Action Alert Telephone Line*  
(203) 847-0700, ext. 444 | [www.fasb.org](http://www.fasb.org) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Management System Inventory</strong></td>
<td>Information systems supporting financial and nonfinancial federal government functions</td>
<td><a href="http://www.fido.gov/fmsi/">www.fido.gov/fmsi/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government-wide Accounting Project Group</strong></td>
<td>This project (for the Financial Management Service Department of the Department of the Treasury) examines processes to recommend changes to FMS regarding how it can produce more timely, accurate financial reports and reduce the burden on the government regarding reporting and reconciliation.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.fms.treas.gov/gwa">www.fms.treas.gov/gwa</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IG Net</strong></td>
<td>Federal Inspectors General</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ignet.gov">www.ignet.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</strong></td>
<td>A private sector, not-for-profit corporation, created by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to oversee the auditors of public companies in order to protect the interests of investors and further the public interest in the preparation of informative, fair, and independent audit reports.</td>
<td><a href="http://pcaobus.org/">pcaobus.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Court of Federal Claims</strong></td>
<td>Court authorized to adjudicate certain contract disputes</td>
<td><a href="http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/">www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **U.S. Department of Defense** | Order Desk  
1000 Defense Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301-1000  
(703) 487-4028  
(703) 487-4650 (f) | [Fed World](http://www.defenselink.mil)  
[Defense Acquisition Deskbook](http://akss.dau.mil/jsp/default.jsp)  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Internet Web Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. General Accounting Office</td>
<td>GAO examines the use of public funds, evaluates federal programs and activities, and provides analyses, options, recommendations, and other assistance to help the Congress make effective oversight, policy, and funding decisions.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.gao.gov">www.gao.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| U.S. Government Printing Office      | *Superintendent of Documents*  
U.S. Government Printing Office  
Washington, DC 20401-0001  
(202) 512-1800 (202) 512-2250 (f)  
*U.S. Government Printing Office’s*  
The Federal Bulletin Board  
Includes *Federal Register* notices and the Code of Federal Regulations. Users are usually expected to open a deposit account.  
User assistance line: (202) 512-1530  
(202) 512-1387 (modem)                                                                                          | www.gpoaccess.gov/                |
| U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission | *Publication Unit*  
450 Fifth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20549-0001  
(202) 942-4046  
*SEC Public Reference Room*  
(202) 942-8079  
*Information Line*  
(202) 942-8088, ext. 3  
(202) 942-7114 (tty)                                                                                      | www.sec.gov                       |
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Appendix G

Major Existing Differences Between AICPA Standards and PCAOB Standards

At the time of this writing, the following major differences existed between AICPA standards and final Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) standards approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC):

- **Risk Assessment Standards.** In March 2006, the Auditing Standards Board (ASB) issued eight Statements on Auditing Standards (SAS) Nos. 104–111, collectively referred to as the risk assessment standards. These standards are applicable to nonissuers and are effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2006. These standards provide extensive guidance concerning the auditor’s assessment of the risks of material misstatement in a financial statement audit, and the design and performance of audit procedures whose nature, timing, and extent are responsive to the assessed risks. Additionally, the SASs establish standards and provide guidance on planning and supervision, the nature of audit evidence, and evaluating whether the audit evidence obtained affords a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit. SAS Nos. 104–111 make significant changes to numerous AU sections in the auditing literature. These standards and their changes do not apply to audits conducted in accordance with PCAOB standards.

- **Audit of Internal Control.** In connection with the requirement of section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act that an issuer’s independent auditor attest to and report on management’s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control, PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5, *An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting That is Integrated With an Audit of Financial Statements* (AICPA, *PCAOB Standards and Related Rules*, Rules of the Board, "Standards"), establishes requirements and provides direction that apply when an auditor is engaged to audit the internal control over financial reporting and to perform that audit in conjunction with the audit of an issuer’s financial statements. There were also several conforming amendments to PCAOB Auditing Standards resulting from the adoption of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5.
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independence standards, a registered public accounting firm shall comply with the more restrictive requirement.

- **Independence Matters.** The PCAOB has adopted ethics and independence rules concerning independence, tax services, and contingent fees. See PCAOB Rules 3501, 3502, 3520, 3521, 3522, 3523, and 3524.

- **Audit Committee Preapproval of Nonaudit Services.** Rule 3525 requires registered public accounting firms who are performing a nonaudit service related to internal control over financial reporting to (1) describe to the audit committee of the issuer the scope of the service, (2) discuss with the audit committee the potential effects of the service on independence, and (3) document the substance of these discussions.

- **Concurring Partner.** Rule 3400T requires the establishment of policies and procedures for a concurring review (generally the SEC Practice Section [SECPS] membership rule).¹

- **Communication of Firm Policy.** Rule 3400T requires registered firms to communicate through a written statement to all professional firm personnel the broad principles that influence the firm’s quality control and operating policies and procedures on, at a minimum, matters that relate to the recommendation and approval of accounting principles, present and potential client relationships, and the types of services provided, and inform professional firm personnel periodically that compliance with those principles is mandatory (generally the SECPs membership rule).

- **Affiliated Firms.** Rule 3400T requires registered firms that are part of an international association to seek adoption of policies and procedures by the international organization or individual foreign associated firms consistent with PCAOB standards.

- **Partner Rotation.** Rule 3600T requires compliance with the SEC’s independence rules which include partner rotation.

- **Continuing Professional Education (CPE) Requirements.** Rule 3400T requires registered accounting firms to ensure that all of their professionals participate in at least 20 hours of qualifying CPE every year (generally the SECPs membership rule).

Please note that in the time since publication, these differences might have been eliminated and others might have arisen.

---

¹ Firms that were not members of the AICPA's Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Practice Section (SECPs) as of April 16, 2003 do not have to comply with this requirement.
Appendix H

Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards to Previous Standards

This appendix discusses the key provisions of each of the risk assessment related Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs) and provides a summary of how each of the SASs differs, if at all, from the previous AICPA generally accepted audit standards.
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**SAS No. 104, Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, Codification of Auditing Standards and Procedures (“Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work”)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 104 defines <em>reasonable assurance</em> as a “high level of assurance.”</td>
<td>• SAS No. 104 clarifies the meaning of <em>reasonable assurance.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards

SAS No. 105, Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 95, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 105 expands the scope of the understanding that the auditor must</td>
<td>• Previous guidance considered the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obtain in the second standard of field work from “internal control” to “the</td>
<td>understanding of the entity to be a part of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entity and its environment, including its internal control.”</td>
<td>audit planning, and emphasized that the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The quality and depth of the understanding to be obtained is emphasized by</td>
<td>understanding of internal control also was</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amending its purpose from “planning the audit” to “assessing the risks of</td>
<td>primarily part of audit planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>material misstatement of the financial statements whether due to error or</td>
<td>• By stating that the purpose of your</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fraud and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit</td>
<td>understanding of the entity and its</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>procedures.”</td>
<td>internal control is part of assessing the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Previous guidance considered the understanding of the entity to be a part</td>
<td>risks of material misstatement, SAS No. 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of audit planning, and emphasized that the understanding of internal control</td>
<td>essentially considers this understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>also was primarily part of audit planning.</td>
<td>to provide audit evidence that ultimately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 105 emphasizes the link between understanding the entity, assessing</td>
<td>supports your opinion on the financial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>risks, and the design of further audit procedures. It is anticipated that the</td>
<td>statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“generic” audit programs will not be an appropriate response for all</td>
<td>• The term further audit procedures, which</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>engagements because risks vary between entities.</td>
<td>consists of test of controls and substantive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The term further audit procedures, which consists of test of controls and</td>
<td>tests, replaces the term tests to be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>substantive tests, replaces the term tests to be performed in recognition</td>
<td>performed in recognition that risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that risk assessment procedures are also performed.</td>
<td>assessment procedures are also performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The term audit evidence replaces the term evidential matter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**SAS No. 106, Audit Evidence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 defines <em>audit evidence</em> as &quot;all the information used by the auditor in arriving at the conclusions on which the audit opinion is based.&quot;</td>
<td>• Previous guidance did not define audit evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 defines <em>relevant assertions</em> as those assertions that have a meaningful bearing on whether the account is fairly stated.</td>
<td>• The term <em>relevant assertions</em> is new, and it is used repeatedly throughout SAS No. 106.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 identifies &quot;risk assessment procedures&quot; as audit procedures performed on all audits to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, to assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement and relevant assertion levels.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 106 introduces the concept of risk assessment procedures, which are necessary to provide a basis for assessing the risks of material misstatement. The results of risk assessment procedures, along with the results of further audit procedures, provide audit evidence that ultimately supports the auditor’s opinion on the financial statements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • SAS No. 106 provides that evidence obtained by performing risk assessment procedures, as well as that obtained by performing tests of controls and substantive procedures, is part of the evidence the auditor obtains to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit opinion, although such evidence is not sufficient in and of itself to support the audit opinion. | • Risk assessment procedures include:  
  — Inquiries of management and others within the entity  
  — Analytical procedures  
  — Observation and inspection |
| • SAS No. 106 describes the types of audit procedures that the auditor may use alone or in combination as risk assessment procedures, tests of controls, or substantive procedures, depending on the context in which they are applied by the auditor. | • Inquiry alone is not sufficient to evaluate the design of internal control and to determine whether it has been implemented. |
| • SAS No. 106 includes guidance on the uses and limitations of inquiry as an audit procedure. |                                                                                 |
### SAS No. 107, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit

**Key Provisions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The auditor must consider audit risk and must determine a materiality level for the financial statements taken as a whole for the purpose of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Determining the extent and nature of risk assessment procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Identifying and assessing the risk of material misstatement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Determining the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Evaluating whether the financial statements taken as a whole are presented fairly, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Combined assessment of inherent and control risks is termed the risk of material misstatement. |

| Previous guidance said that auditors “should consider” audit risk and materiality for certain specified purposes. SAS No. 107 states that the auditor “must” consider. |
| New guidance explicitly states that audit risk and materiality are used to identify and assess the risk of material misstatement. |

| The auditor should assess the risk of material misstatement as a basis for further audit procedures. Although that risk assessment is a judgment rather than a precise measurement of risk, the auditor should have an appropriate basis for that assessment. |
| Assessed risks and the basis for those assessments should be documented. |

| SAS No. 107 consistently uses the term risk of material misstatement, which often is described as a combined assessment of inherent and control risk. However, auditors may make separate assessment of inherent risk and control risks. |

| SAS No. 107 states that the auditor should have and document an appropriate basis for the audit approach. |
| These two provisions of the risk assessment standards effectively eliminate the ability of the auditor to assess control risk “at the maximum” without having a basis for that assessment. In other words, it is no longer acceptable to “default” to maximum control risk. |
Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • The auditor must accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that the auditor believes are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. | • SAS No. 107 provides additional guidance on communicating misstatements to management.  
• The concept of not accumulating misstatements below a certain threshold is included in the previous standards, but SAS No. 107 provides additional specific guidance on how to determine this threshold. |
| • The auditor should request management to respond appropriately when misstatements (known or likely) are identified during the audit. | • SAS No. 107 provides specific guidance regarding the appropriate auditor’s responses to the types of misstatements (known or likely) identified by the auditor. |
## SAS No. 108, Planning and Supervision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAS No. 108 provides guidance on:</td>
<td>• Much of the guidance provided in SAS No. 108 has been consolidated from several existing standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Appointment of the independent auditor.</td>
<td>• However, SAS No. 108 provides new guidance on preliminary engagement activities, including the development of an overall audit strategy and an audit plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Establishing an understanding with the auditee.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preliminary engagement activities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The overall audit strategy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The audit plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Determining the extent of involvement of professionals possessing specialized skills.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Using a professional possessing IT skills to understand the effect of IT on the audit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Additional considerations in initial audit engagements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Supervision of assistants.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

— The overall audit strategy is what previously was commonly referred to as the audit approach. It is a broad approach to how the audit will be conducted, considering factors such as the scope of the engagement, deadlines for performing the audit and issuing the report, and recent financial reporting developments.

— The audit plan is more detailed than the audit strategy and is commonly referred to as the audit program. The audit plan describes in detail the nature, timing, and extent of risk assessment and further audit procedures you perform in an audit.

SAS No. 108 states that you should establish a written understanding with your auditee regarding the services to be performed for each engagement.
SAS No. 109, *Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 describes audit procedures that the auditor should perform to obtain the understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control.</td>
<td>• The auditor should perform &quot;risk assessment procedures&quot; to gather information and gain an understanding of the entity and its environment. These procedures include inquiries, observation, inspection, and analytical procedures. Previous standards did not describe the procedures that should be performed to gain an understanding of the auditee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The audit team should discuss the susceptibility of the entity's financial statements to material misstatement.</td>
<td>• Previous standards did not require a &quot;brainstorming&quot; session to discuss the risks of material misstatements. SAS No. 109 requires such a brainstorming session, which is similar to (and may be performed together with) the brainstorming session to discuss fraud.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The purpose of obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, is to identify and assess &quot;the risks of material misstatement&quot; and design and perform further audit procedures responsive to the assessed risks.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 109 directly links the understanding of the entity and its internal control with the assessment of risk and design of further audit procedures. Thus, the understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, provides the audit evidence necessary to support the auditor's assessment of risk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 states the auditor should assess the risks of material misstatement at both the financial statement and relevant assertion levels.</td>
<td>• The previous standard included the concept of assessing risk at the financial statement level, but SAS No. 109 provides expanded and more explicit guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• SAS No. 109 also directs the auditor to determine how risks at the financial statement level may result in risks at the assertion level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 provides directions on how to evaluate the design of the entity's controls and determine whether the controls are adequate and have been implemented.</td>
<td>• Under the previous standard, the primary purpose of gaining an understanding of internal control was to plan the audit. Under SAS No. 109, your understanding of internal control is used to assess risks. Thus, the understanding of internal control provides audit evidence that ultimately supports the auditor’s opinion on the financial statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The previous standard directs the auditor to obtain an understanding of internal control as part of obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment. SAS No. 109 requires auditors to evaluate the design of controls and determine whether they have been implemented. Evaluating the design of a control involves considering whether the control, individually or in combination with other controls, is capable of effectively preventing or detecting and correcting material misstatements. It is anticipated that this phase of the audit will require more work than simply gaining understanding of internal control.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • SAS No. 109 directs the auditor to consider whether any of the assessed risks are significant risks that require special audit consideration or risks for which substantive procedures alone do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence. | • Previous standard did not include the concept of "significant risks."  
  • Significant risks exist on most engagements.  
  • The auditor should gain an understanding of internal control and also perform substantive procedures for all identified significant risks. Substantive analytical procedures alone are not sufficient to test significant risks. |
| • SAS No. 109 provides extensive guidance on the matters that should be documented. | • The guidance provided by SAS No. 109 relating to documentation is significantly greater than that provided by previous standards. |
# Key Provisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 110 provides guidance on determining overall responses to address the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and the nature of those responses.</td>
<td>• The concept of addressing the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and developing an appropriate overall response is similar to the requirement in previous standards relating to the consideration of audit risk at the financial statement level. However, that guidance was placed in the context of audit planning. SAS No. 110 “repositions” your consideration of risk at the financial statement level so you make this assessment as a result of and in conjunction with your performance of risk assessment procedures. In some cases, this assessment may not be able to be made during audit planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Further audit procedures, which may include tests of controls, or substantive procedures should be responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level.</td>
<td>• Although the previous standards included the concept that audit procedures should be responsive to assessed risks, this idea was embedded in the discussion of the audit risk model. The SASs repeatedly emphasize the need to provide a clear linkage between your understanding of the entity, your risk assessments, and the design of further audit procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 110 requires you to consider how your assessment of risks at the financial statement level affects individual financial statement assertions, so you may design and perform tailored further audit procedures (substantive tests or tests of controls).</td>
<td>• SAS No. 110 requires you to document the linkage between assessed risks and further audit procedures, which was not a requirement under the previous standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 110 provides guidance on matters the auditor should consider in determining the nature, timing, and extent of such audit procedures.</td>
<td>• The new guidance on determining the nature, timing, and extent of tests of controls and substantive tests has been expanded greatly and addresses issues that previously were not included in the authoritative literature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 110 states that the nature of further audit procedures is of most importance in responding to your assessed risks of material misstatement. That is, increasing the extent of your audit procedures will not compensate for procedures that do not address the specifically identified risks of misstatement.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 110 states that you should perform certain substantive procedures on all engagements. These procedures include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Performing substantive tests for all relevant assertions related to each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure regardless of the assessment of the risks of material misstatements.</td>
<td>— Agreeing the financial statements, including their accompanying notes, to the underlying accounting records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Examining material journal entries and other adjustments made during the course of preparing the financial statements.</td>
<td>— Examining material journal entries and other adjustments made during the course of preparing the financial statements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Key Provisions

- SAS No. 111 provides guidance relating to the auditor’s judgment about establishing tolerable misstatement for a specific audit procedure and on the application of sampling to tests of controls.

### How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards

- SAS No. 111 provides enhanced guidance on tolerable misstatement. In general, tolerable misstatement in an account should be less than materiality to allow for aggregation in final assessment.
- Ordinarily sample sizes for nonstatistical samples are comparable to sample sizes for an efficient and effectively designed statistical sample with the same sampling parameters.
Appendix I

Schedule of Changes Made to the Text From the Previous Edition

As of May 1, 2008

This schedule of changes identifies areas in the text and footnotes of this guide that have changed since the previous edition. Entries in the table of this appendix reflect current numbering, lettering (including that in appendix names), and character designations that resulted from the renumbering or reordering that occurred in the updating of this guide.

Terms Used to Define Professional Requirements

The 2008 editions of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides, including this guide, have been updated to conform with AU section 120, Defining Professional Requirements in Statements on Auditing Standards, AT section 20, Defining Professional Requirements in Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), and AR section 20, Defining Professional Requirements in Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 2), in which professional requirements are categorized as either unconditional requirements or presumptively mandatory requirements, each of which is associated with specific wording such as must or is required or should. These standards distinguish professional requirements set forth in the standards from explanatory material contained in the standards, the latter of which requires only the auditor, practitioner, or accountant's “attention and understanding.” Whether the auditor, practitioner, or accountant performs the suggested procedures or actions in the engagement (as stated in the explanatory material) depends on the exercise of professional judgment in the circumstances consistent with the objective of the standard.

Because interpretive publications (including AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides, for example) are recommendations, the publications cannot establish requirements. Paragraph .06 of AU section 150, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), states, “The auditor should be aware of and consider interpretive publications applicable to his or her audit. If the auditor does not apply the auditing guidance included in an interpretive publication, the auditor should be prepared to explain how he or she complied with the SAS provisions addressed by such auditing guidance.”

An interpretive publication, such as this guide, should state the requirement of the standard, and then give recommendations on the application of the requirement in the specific circumstances. The terms must, is required, or should may be used in an interpretive publication only when it is clear that the requirement originated in a standard. Otherwise, the user may be uncertain whether a requirement or a recommendation is intended. The following conventions were used to conform the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides to these standards, which define professional requirements:

- Terms to replace the use of must, should, and is required consist only of those explanatory material terms included in AU section 120, AT section 20, and AR section 20: could, may, and might, and these variations of those terms: could consider, may consider, and might consider.
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• When referring guide users to interpretative publications, which consist of interpretations of the Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs), appendixes to the SASs, auditing guidance in AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides, and AICPA auditing Statements of Position (SOPs), or to nonauthoritative knowledge sources, if an auditor can perform an adequate risk assessment without the recommended knowledge, explanatory material terms are used; if not, should or should consider is used.

• Specific auditing procedures generally are explanatory in nature (the standards generally do not include specific audit procedures). As such, explanatory material terms (could, may, might, could consider, may consider, or might consider) are used, unless the specific audit procedure is the established way or only way of achieving a generally accepted auditing standard objective for this industry, in which case should is used.

• If the recommendation is that the auditor consult or familiarize himself or herself with other sources of information, such as Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulations, income tax laws, and industry developments including regulatory, economic, and legislative developments, then the following considerations were used in developing which terms to use in the guides:
  — If the purpose of the recommendation is for the auditor, practitioner, or accountant to develop the required understanding of the entity and its environment for risk assessment purposes, and an auditor can perform an adequate risk assessment without the recommended knowledge, explanatory material terms are used within the recommendation; if not, should or must is used depending upon the associated standard requirement.
  — If the purpose of the recommendation is for the auditor, practitioner, or accountant to perform the engagement in accordance with AICPA Professional Standards, and the knowledge is available only from the source cited (such as SEC regulations, income tax law, and the like), then should is used. If the knowledge is available from other sources as well, explanatory material terms are used.

• The guides contain guidance for management, which includes best practices for the industry. Because the recommendations are best practices, the terms ordinarily should or generally should are used.
### Schedule of Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Readers and Preface</td>
<td>Updated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 1.02, 1.04, and 1.06–08</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the appropriate use of terms used to define the professional requirements of auditors, practitioners, and accountants in AU section 120, AT section 20, and AR section 20 of AICPA Professional Standards. Subsequently, these changes are referred to as “revised to define professional requirements” in the Schedule of Changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 1.15</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 1.18–20 and 1.22</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 1.26–34</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 1.35</td>
<td>Revised for clarification; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 1.36</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 1.40</td>
<td>Revised for clarification; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 1.41 and 1.43</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 1.46</td>
<td>Revised for clarification; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 1.48 and 1.50</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 1.52</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 1.54, 1.57, 1.59–60, and 1.64–65</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.04</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.16</td>
<td>Updated to reflect current CAS thresholds; footnote * deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.17</td>
<td>Revised for clarification; footnote † deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 2.18, 2.20–21, and 2.23</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading before paragraph 2.26 and paragraph 2.26</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.33</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.39</td>
<td>Revised for clarification; revised to define professional requirements; footnote ‡ deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 2.40, 2.42, 2.46, 2.49, and 2.51</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 2.53</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 2.54–2.56 and 2.59</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.60</td>
<td>Revised for clarification; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 2.63</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.70</td>
<td>Revised for clarification; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.71</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading before paragraph 2.79 and paragraph 2.79</td>
<td>Added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 3.10, 3.16, footnote 1 in paragraph 3.21, and paragraph 3.91</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former footnote 6 to heading before paragraph 3.96</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 3.96</td>
<td>Revised for clarification; footnote 6 added to reflect the issuance of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position (FSP) FAS 158-1; footnote * added to reflect the issuance of proposed FSP FAS 132(R)-a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 3.97</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of FASB Statement No. 158.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 3.99</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 3.99</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements; footnote * added to reflect the issuance of FASB Statement No. 141(R).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 3.100</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote † to chapter 4 title</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote 1 in paragraph 4.01</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.08</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Auditing Standard No. 5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.09</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements; former footnote 2 deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.20, 4.24, and 4.27</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.29</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.31 and 4.33–.34</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.37</td>
<td>Revised for clarification and to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.40</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the further integration of SAS Nos. 104–111, collectively known as the risk assessment standards; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.42</td>
<td>Revised for clarification and to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.44</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.47</td>
<td>Revised for clarification and to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.49 and 4.51</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.52</td>
<td>Revised for clarification and to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.56–.57, 4.61, 4.64, 4.70–.71, and 4.73</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading before paragraph 4.74</td>
<td>Added footnote 3 to reflect the issuance of nonauthoritative Technical Questions and Answers (TIS) sections 8200.05–.09.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.76</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.79</td>
<td>Revised for clarification and to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.80</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 4.81</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.84</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the further integration of SAS Nos. 104–111, collectively known as the risk assessment standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.95</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements; footnote † deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.96</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.98–.99 and 4.101–.103</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 4.99</td>
<td>Integrated into paragraph 4.96.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 4.107</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.104</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading before paragraph 4.109</td>
<td>Footnote † added to reflect proposed PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.109</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 4.117</td>
<td>Integrated into paragraph 4.112.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.114</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote ‡ to heading before paragraph 4.116</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.116</td>
<td>Revised for clarification and to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.117–.118</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading before paragraph 4.119</td>
<td>Added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.121</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.122</td>
<td>Revised for clarification and to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.123</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.125 and heading before paragraph 4.125</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.128</td>
<td>Revised for clarification and to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5; revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.136</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footnote 6 to heading before paragraph 4.139</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements; revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.139 and 4.143</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote 8 to heading before paragraph 4.145</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements; revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.149–.150</td>
<td>Revised due to the issuance of SAS No. 114.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.152</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.226</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 4.232 and 4.236–238</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 4.243</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix D</td>
<td>Revised to define professional requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix F</td>
<td>Revised to make current.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix G</td>
<td>Updated to reflect the issuance of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 5 and Rule 3525.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix H</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glossary

**accumulating costs.** Collecting cost data in an organized manner, such as through a system of accounts. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)

**actual cost.** An amount determined on the basis of cost incurred as distinguished from forecasted cost. Includes standard cost adjusted for applicable variance. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)

**administrative contracting officer (ACO).** Specialized contracting officer responsible for contract administration. (FAR 2.101)

**advance agreement.** An agreement between a contractor and the government pertaining to the treatment of cost items. Negotiated before the incurrence of the cost covered by the agreement. (FAR 31.109)

**advance payment.** Monetary advance made by the government to a contractor before, but in anticipation of, contract performance. Special accountability and controls are required. (FAR 32.4)

**allocable cost.** A cost assignable or chargeable to one or more cost objectives in accordance with the relative benefits received or other equitable relationship, giving consideration to applicable regulatory cost accounting principles and standards.

**allocate.** To assign an item of cost, or a group of items of cost, to one or more cost objectives. This term includes both direct assignment of cost and the reassignment of a share from an indirect cost pool. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)

**allowable cost.** Any cost that contract terms and applicable regulations permit to be included in prices, cost reimbursements, or settlements under the contract to which it is allocable.

**Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals (ASBCA).** The board authorized to consider and determine appeals by contractors from decisions of DoD contracting officers on disputed questions. (DFARS appendix A)

**Basic ordering agreement (BOA).** A written instrument of understanding between a contractor and a procuring agency describing the supplies or services the contractor will provide and the method for determining the price to be paid. The agreement sets forth the terms and conditions of delivery and the procuring activities that may issue purchase orders pursuant to the basic agreement. Each order incorporates, by reference, the provisions of BOA and becomes a binding contract.

**bid and proposal (B&P) cost.** The cost incurred in preparing, submitting, or supporting any bid or proposal neither sponsored by a grant nor required in the performance of a contract and, therefore, an indirect cost. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.205-18)

**bid protest.** An unsuccessful bidder’s protest against the award of a government contract.

**blanket purchase agreement (BPA).** A simplified method of filling anticipated repetitive needs for supplies or services by establishing “charge accounts” with qualified sources of supply. Each order cannot exceed the dollar limitation for simplified acquisition and does not justify sole source
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purchasing. The provisions of the BPA become a binding contract. (See FAR 13.303-1.)

boards of contract appeals (BCA). Quasijudicial administrative boards established by the various government procuring agencies to hear and decide government contract disputes. Also a legal citation to BCA decisions published by Commerce Clearing House, Inc. (FAR 33.203)

business unit. Any segment of an organization or an entire business organization not divided into segments. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 2.101)

certified cost or pricing data. All facts that, as of the date of agreement on the price of a contract or the price of a contract modification, or, if applicable consistent with subsection (e) (1) (b), another date agreed upon by the parties, prudent buyers and sellers would reasonably expect to affect price negotiations significantly. Such term does not include information that is judgmental but does include the factual information from which a judgment was derived.

change order. A written order signed by the contracting officer, directing the contractor to make changes that the “changes” clause of the contract authorizes the contracting officer to make without the consent of the contractor.

changes clause. A contract clause authorizing the contracting officer to revise the general scope of the contract. (FAR 52.243)

Cognizant Federal Agency Official (CFAO). The contracting officer assigned by the cognizant Federal Agency to administer CAS.

commercial item. Any item, other than real property, that is of a type customarily used for nongovernmental purposes and that (1) has been sold, leased, or licensed to the general public; or, (2) has been offered for sale, lease, or license to the general public.

compensated personal absence. Any absence from work for reasons such as illness, vacation, holidays, jury duty, military training, or personal activities, for which an employer pays compensation directly to an employee in accordance with a plan or custom of the employer. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)

competitive negotiation. A type of procurement that (1) is initiated by a request for proposal that sets out the government’s requirements and the criteria for evaluation of offers, (2) contemplates the submission of timely proposals by the maximum number of possible offerors, (3) usually provides discussion with those offerors found to be within the competitive range, and (4) concludes with the award of a contract to the one offeror whose offer, price, and other factors are deemed to be most advantageous to the government.

completed-contract method. This method of accounting defers recognition of revenues while a contract is in progress. On completion or substantial completion of a contract, aggregate revenues and costs associated with the contract are recognized.

constructive change order. A constructive change results from any act or failure to act by the government or its authorized employees that (1) has the effect of requiring the contractor to perform additional work or incur added costs and (2) is not included in a formal change order.
contingency. An existing condition, situation, or set of circumstances involving uncertainty about possible gain or loss to an enterprise that will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. Resolution of the uncertainty may confirm the acquisition of an asset or the reduction of a liability or the loss or impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability.

contract. A mutually binding legal relationship obligating the seller to furnish supplies or services (including construction) and the buyer to pay for them. (FAR 2.101)

contract administration. The function of dealing with the contractor during contract performance rather than the proposal and negotiation stages leading to a contract award. Performed by government organizations such as Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA); Air Force Contract Administration Division (AFCAD); and Navy Supervisors of Shipbuilding, Conversion, and Repair (SUPSHIPS).

contract auditor. Professional auditor representing the government who is responsible for, among other things, examining or reviewing the incurred and estimated costs of contractors.

contract modification. Any unilateral or bilateral written alteration in the specification, delivery point, rate of delivery, contract period, price, quantity, or other provision of an existing contract, accomplished in accordance with a contract clause—for example, change order, notice of termination, supplemental agreement, and exercise of a contract option.

contract pricing proposal. The instrument required of an offeror for the submission or identification of cost or pricing data when required by FAR 15.403. Instructions for preparing this proposal when cost or pricing data is required are set forth in FR Table 15-2.

contracting officer (CO). An employee of a government procuring agency with authority to legally bind the government in contract matters (FAR 2.101).

cost accounting. A system of accounting analysis and reporting on costs of production of goods or services, or of operation of programs, activities, functions, or organizational units. The system may embrace cost estimating, determination of cost standards based on engineering data, and comparison of actual and standard costs for the purpose of aiding cost control.

cost accounting standards (CAS). The rules promulgated by the Cost Accounting Standards Board for estimating and accumulating and reporting costs under negotiated government contracts and subcontracts.


cost analysis. The review and evaluation of a contractor’s cost and pricing data and the judgment used in projecting from the data to the estimated costs. The analysis is employed to form an opinion on the degree to which the contractor’s proposed costs represent what contract performance should cost, assuming reasonable economy and efficiency.
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**Cost Estimating.** The process of forecasting the cost of a future event, based on available information.

**Cost Input.** For contract costing purposes, the cost, except G&A expenses, allocable to the production of goods and services during a cost accounting period. (CAS 9903.301)

**Cost Objective.** A function, organizational subdivision, contract, or other work unit for which management desires cost data and for which provision is made to accumulate and measure the cost of processes, products, jobs, capitalized projects (that is, self-constructed assets), and so on. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)

**Cost or Pricing Data.** All facts as of the date of contract price agreement that prudent buyers and sellers would reasonably expect to affect price negotiations significantly. (FAR 2.101)

**Cost-Plus-Award-Fee Contract (CPAF).** A cost-reimbursement contract that provides for a fee consisting of (a) a base amount (which may be zero) fixed at inception of the contract and (b) an award amount, based upon a judgmental evaluation by the Government, sufficient to provide motivation for excellence in contract performance.

**Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee Contract (CPFF).** A cost-reimbursement contract that provides for payment to the contractor of a negotiated fee that is fixed at the inception of the contract. The fixed fee does not vary with actual cost, but may be adjusted as a result of changes in the work to be performed under the contract.

**Cost-Plus-Incentive-Fee (CPIF).** A cost-reimbursement contract that provides for an initially negotiated fee to be adjusted later by a formula based on the relationship of total allowable costs to total target costs.

**Cost Principles.** Any of the numerous sets of regulations that establish rules and policies relating to the general treatment of costs, particularly the allowability of costs. Although there are many different sets of special cost principles, the ones of paramount importance in the government contracting environment are those found in the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), formerly in DAR section XV and FPR part 1–15. The FAR cost principles and CAS represent the primary criteria for the government’s determination of acceptable contract costs, whether actual or estimated.

**Cost/Schedule Control System (C/SCS).** Many major contracts contain clauses requiring an approved C/SCS for performance measurement. The primary purposes of C/SCS are to obtain continuing visibility of expected final costs to the government, and to identify deviations from planned objectives in a timely manner to permit tradeoffs between cost, schedule, and technical aspects.

**Cost/Schedule Control System Criteria (C/SCSC).** U.S. Department of Defense criteria for evaluating contractors’ cost/schedule control systems required by contract.

**Cost-Type Contract.** Basic category of government contract in which the pricing arrangement involves the government’s payment of allowable costs incurred by the contractor during performance. (FAR 16.301)
costs incurred. Costs identified through the use of the accrual method of accounting and reporting. Generally include direct labor, materials, and services identified with and necessary for the performance of a contract as well as indirect costs, recorded in the contractor’s books, that are allocated and allowable under applicable procurement regulations.

debarment. Action taken to exclude a contractor from government contracting and government-approved subcontracting for a specified period. (FAR 9.4)

defective cost or pricing data. Certified cost or pricing data subsequently found not to be accurate, complete, or current as of the effective date of the certificate, thus entitling the government to an adjustment of the negotiated price, including profit or fee, to exclude any significant sum by which price was increased because of the defective data.

Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA). Separate and independent entity within the DoD providing contract cost audits and financial advisory services to DoD components and other departments on a reimbursable basis.


delay or disruption costs. Costs incurred by the contractor resulting principally from a delay or disruption of work. The work may be that of the contractor or the subcontractor. Examples of such costs include loss of labor efficiency, loss of learning, idle labor or equipment, ripout and rework, and cost escalation during the period of delay.

direct cost. Any cost that is identified specifically with a particular final cost objective. Direct costs are not limited to items that are incorporated in the end product as material or labor. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 2.101)

directly associated cost. Any cost that is generated solely as a result of the incurrence of another cost, and which would not have been incurred had not the other cost been incurred. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)

disapproved (unallowable) cost. Under the provisions of any pertinent law, regulation, or contract, any cost that cannot be included in prices, cost reimbursements, or settlements under a government contract to which it is allocable.

disbursing officer. A government representative responsible for paying amounts due to contractors and for collecting debts owed by contractors.

disclosure statement (Form CASB-DS-1 and CASB-DS-2). Designed to meet the Cost Accounting Standards requirements of P.L. 100-679. Persons or firms required to complete and submit the statement describe their contract cost accounting practices by providing data responsive to the law’s requirements. The disclosure statement is required for all contractors who (1) together with their subsidiaries, received net awards of negotiated prime contracts and subcontracts subject to CAS totaling more than $50 million in their most recent cost accounting period or (2) received a single national defense contract award subject to CAS for $50 million or more. (CAS 9903.202)

discovery. The process of obtaining information concerning an opposing litigant’s case by means of depositions, interrogatories, requests for
admissions, and requests for production. Used in board-of-contract appeals cases as well as in judicial proceedings.

disputes procedures. The administrative procedure of a government procuring agency, generally prescribed by the contract’s “disputes” clause, for processing a contract dispute. It usually involves a decision by the contracting officer that may be appealed to a contract appeals board or to the U.S. Claims Court.

entitlement. An employee’s right, whether conditional or unconditional, to receive a determinable amount of compensated personal absence, or pay in lieu thereof.

equitable adjustment. An adjustment in the contract price generally prescribed by a contract clause to compensate a contractor under particular conditions described in the clause—for example, the “changes” clause provides for equitable adjustments to contractors who perform changed work.

estoppel. A bar or impediment to asserting or denying a fact because of prior contrary words or acts. May be a significant factor in contract disputes.

expressly unallowable cost. A particular item or type of cost that, under the express provisions of an applicable law, regulation, or contract, is specifically named and stated to be unallowable for reimbursement. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)

Federal Acquisition Reform Act of 1996 (FARA). FARA, Public Law 104-106 (which, along with the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996 (Public Law 105-85) is now referred to as the Clinger-Cohen Act). FARA, among other things, significantly broadened the use of price-based acquisition rather than cost-based acquisition and streamlined the procurement of commercial items. These statutes substantially reduced the volume of federal contracts subject to TINA, thus freeing many contractors from the requirement of submitting cost or pricing data.

Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (FASA). FASA, Public Law 103-355, was designed to revise the acquisition laws of the Federal government. FASA made a number of significant changes in the Federal procurement system, including an increased emphasis on the use of commercial methods for procuring goods and services and a decrease in the use of cost-type contracting, thereby reducing situations requiring the submission of cost or pricing data.

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). The primary regulation used by federal agencies in the acquisition of supplies and services.

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Supplement. A particular agency’s supplemental acquisition regulation.

final cost objective. A cost objective that has allocated to it both direct and indirect costs. In the contractor’s accumulation system, it is one of the final cost accumulation points. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)

firm fixed-price contract (FFP). A contract under which the contractor is paid a predetermined fixed amount for a specified scope of work and has full responsibility for the performance costs and resulting profit or loss. This contract type is used primarily when (a) the scope of work is known with relative certainty and (b) a fair and reasonable price can be established
based either on adequate price competition or on a reasonable price comparison with prior purchases or available cost or pricing data that permits realistic estimates of the probable costs of performance.

**fixed-price contract.** Basic category of government contracts with a firm price set at the time of contract award.

**formal change order.** A formal change results when (under the terms of the “changes” clause) a written order is issued by the contracting officer or the authorized representative.

**Government Accountability Office (GAO).** Government agency headed by the U.S. Comptroller General and charged with the responsibility for settling and adjusting claims by and against the government. In the government contract costs area, the GAO renders advance opinions for government disbursement officers and audits their accounts. A function of the legislative branch of the U.S. Government.

**general and administrative (G&A) expense.** Any management, financial, and other expense incurred by or allocated to a business unit for the general management and administration of the business unit as a whole. G&A expense does not include those management expenses whose beneficial or causal relationship to cost objectives can be more directly measured by other than a cost-input base representing the total activity of a business unit during a cost accounting period. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 2.101)

**General Services Administration (GSA).** The government agency responsible for publishing the Federal Acquisition Regulation and general regulations for management of government supplies and property.

**government-owned, contractor-operated (GOCO) facilities.** Property owned by the government that is operated by a contractor on a cost-plus-fee basis. (FAR 45.3) Examples include munitions plants, airfields and cafeterias.

**GSA Federal Supply Schedule (FSS).** Provides Federal agencies with a simplified process for obtaining commercial supplies and services in varying quantities while obtaining volume discounts. Indefinite-delivery contracts are awarded using competitive procedures to firms.

**home office.** An office responsible for directing or managing two or more, but not necessarily all, segments of an organization. It usually performs managerial, supervisory, or administrative functions, and may also perform service functions in support of the operations of the various segments. An organization that has intermediate levels, such as groups, may have several home offices reporting to a common home office; an intermediate organization may be both a segment and a home office. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 2.101)

**improvement curve.** See learning curve.

**independent research and development (IR&D) cost.** The cost of effort neither sponsored by a grant nor required in the performance of a contract and falling within any of the following three areas: (1) basic and applied research, (2) development, and (3) systems and other concept formulation studies. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 31.001)
indirect cost. Any cost not directly identified with a single final cost objective, but identified with two or more final cost objectives or with at least one intermediate cost objective. (CAS 9903.301)

indirect cost pool. A grouping of incurred costs identified with two or more objectives but not identified specifically with any final cost objective. FAR regulations contain a similar definition for indirect cost pools. (CAS 9903.301)

Inspector General (IG). An oversight organization within each government department, created by the Inspector General Act of 1978, and responsible for contract audits, internal audits, inspections, and criminal and civil investigations regarding compliance with laws affecting the respective department’s responsibilities and activities.

invitation for bid (IFB). Government request for price from contractors for items to be procured under formally advertised procurement procedures.

learning curve. A tool of calculation used primarily to project resource requirements, in terms such as direct manufacturing labor hours or the quantity of material required for a production run. Used interchangeably with the term improvement curve. The concept of a learning curve was adopted from the observation that individuals who perform repetitive tasks exhibit a rate of improvement because of increased manual dexterity, ongoing refinements in the manufacturing process, and the like.

letter contract. A written preliminary contractual instrument that authorizes the immediate commencement of activity under its terms and conditions, pending the definition of a fixed-price or cost-reimbursement contract for the work to be done. It must specify the maximum liability of the government and be superseded by a definitive contract within a specified time. Not used except when a written determination is made that no other type of contract is suitable.

motivational budget. A managerial goal-setting technique intended to motivate performance. In this budgeting technique, management establishes tight budget targets for subordinate operating elements by holding back a part of the resources that are expected to be available and/or required.

national defense. Any activity related to programs for military or atomic energy production or construction, military assistance to any foreign nation, stockpiling, or space. (FAR 2.101)

negotiated contract. A contract awarded using other than sealed bidding procedures. (FAR 15.000)

Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP). Government agency responsible for providing overall direction to the procurement process and formulating procurement policy.

operating budget. A document that contains cost estimates of a contractor's planned performance (generally by month and year) for the ensuing one or two-year periods.

percentage-of-completion method. An accounting method that recognizes contract revenues and income on work as a contract progresses. It provides for recognition on a periodic basis rather than on a completed-contract basis.
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**precontract costs.** Costs incurred before the effective date of a contract directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the contract award when such incurrence is necessary to comply with the proposed contract delivery schedule.

**preproduction costs.** Costs incurred before the time that production under the contract begins. Examples may include engineering, employee training, purchasing, and plant conversion.

**price analysis.** The process of examining and evaluating a prospective price without evaluation of the separate cost elements and proposed profit of the offeror whose price is being evaluated.

**procurement contracting officer (PCO).** A contracting officer assigned the responsibility for contract formulation vis-à-vis contract administration and termination settlement. (FAR 2.101)

**production scheduling and control.** The contractor’s system for planning, scheduling, and controlling manufacturing operations and coordinating the material, labor, and facilities required.

**profit.** The extent to which contract revenue exceeds contract costs. In government use of the term, “costs” are the amounts deemed allowable under terms of the contract and applicable regulations. Contractors’ use of the term includes all allocable costs, whether or not allowable.

**profit-analysis factors.** The factors normally used by contracting officers in establishing the profit objective. They include contractor effort, contract-cost risk, federal socioeconomic programs, capital investments, cost control, past accomplishments, and recognition of independent development effort. (FAR 15.404-4)

**profit center.** The smallest organizational segment of a company charged by management with profit and loss responsibilities. (FAR 31.001)

**profit objective.** The part of the contract price negotiation objective that the negotiator allots to profit as contrasted with estimated allowable costs. The government and contractor may differ on estimated costs and, therefore, have different profit objectives at a given contract price.

**progress payment.** A payment made as work progresses under a contract on the basis of percentage-of-completion or at a particular stage of completion.

**proposal.** Any offer or other submission from a prospective contractor used as a basis for pricing a contract, contract modification, or termination settlement, or for securing payments thereunder. (FAR 31.001)

**protest.** A formal complaint by a prospective contractor that certain procurement actions violate the applicable rules (see also bid protest).

**questioned costs.** Those amounts on which contract audit action has been completed and that are not considered acceptable by the governmental auditor as contract costs.

**reasonable cost.** A cost not generally exceeding what would be incurred by an ordinarily prudent person in the conduct of competitive business. (FAR 31.201-3)

**request for equitable adjustment (REA).** A proposal submitted to reflect the effect of contract changes.
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**request for proposals (RFP).** A document used in negotiated acquisitions to communicate Government requirements to prospective contractors and to solicit proposals. (FAR 15.203)

**request for quotation (RFQ).** A solicitation document used in planning negotiated procurements. There may be no intention to award a contract on the basis of the solicitation. Responses are not offers and may not be accepted by the government to create a contract. (FAR 53.301-18)

**research and development (R&D).** For financial statement purposes, may be sponsored by a grant or contract (direct cost) or may be IR&D either funded in whole, part, or not at all by the government.

**sealed bidding.** The contracting method using well-publicized invitations for bids, submission of sealed bids, public opening of bids at a designated time, and award to the responsible bidder with the bid most advantageous to the government, considering only price. (FAR 14.101)

**segment.** A division, product department, plant, or other subdivision of an organization reporting directly to a home office, usually identified with responsibility for profit or producing a product or service. The term includes government-owned, contractor-operated (GOCO) facilities, joint ventures, and subsidiaries (domestic and foreign) in which the organization has a majority ownership. The term also includes those enterprises in which the organization has less than a majority of ownership, but over which it exercises significant influence. (CAS 9903.301 and FAR 2.101)

**should-cost team reviews.** A method of contract cost analysis that employs an integrated team of government procurement, contract administration, contract audit, and engineering representatives to conduct a coordinated, in-depth cost analysis at the contractor’s plant. It is a specialized approach to the establishment of a fair and reasonable price based on what a contract (normally a major production contract) should cost in the environment and under the conditions predicted for contract performance. (FAR 15.407-4)

**standard form (SF).** A set of standard contract provisions, or other standard procurement documents, issued by the General Services Administration for use by all government agencies.

**suspense account (suspense amount).** A device used to record an amount, the disposition of which awaits the occurrence of some future event(s). It permits the equitable transition to a new cost accounting standard by a contractor who used a different cost accounting practice before becoming subject to the standard. For examples, see CAS 408, accounting for compensated personal absence; and CAS 410, allocation of business unit G&A expenses to final cost objectives.

**suspension.** Action taken to disqualify a contractor temporarily from government contracting and government-approved subcontracting. (FAR 9.4)

**termination contracting officer (TCO).** Specialized contracting officer responsible for supervising contract settlement after termination. (FAR 2.101)

**termination for convenience.** A contract termination ordered at the government’s discretion for which the government provides equitable compensation to the contractor.
termination for default. A contract termination resulting from failure of the contractor to perform in accordance with the terms of the contract. May cause severe financial penalties to the contractor.

Truth-In-Negotiations Act (Public Law 87-653). This act requires the submission (either actually or by specific identification in writing) of cost or pricing data and certification of their accuracy, completeness, and currency for the award of any negotiated contract expected to exceed $550,000. Certain exceptions apply that are tied to adequate price competition or other conditions reflecting a competitive marketplace.

two-step sealed bidding. A special procurement method. Under step one, technical proposals (without prices) are submitted in response to government performance specifications. Under step two, those whose technical proposals were acceptable submit sealed bids.

United States Court of Federal Claims. The Federal court authorized to adjudicate certain contract disputes, including appeals from decisions of boards of contract appeal (www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/).

unsupported costs. Those amounts for which the contractor does not furnish sufficient evidential matter to enable the DCAA auditor to reach a definitive conclusion regarding allowable costs.
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This bibliography contains selected works that the members of the committee believe readers of this guide will find useful in gaining a basic understanding of government contracting, for keeping abreast of current developments in the area, and for researching problems confronted by government contractors (and their independent accountants).

Books


Presents detailed coverage of the laws and regulations with which government contractors are confronted. All facets of the accounting issues facing government contractors are reviewed, from the proposal phase through the contract settlement.


Analysis for implementing and complying with cost accounting standards for federal government procurement contracts. This book was first published in 1981 and is updated annually.


This book covers all aspects of government contract accounting with particular emphasis placed on the FAR. This book is updated annually.


Focuses on the accounting regulations of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and the Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) Board. It includes discussion of significant boards of contract appeals and federal court decisions involving accounting issues. Also included is coverage of the relationship of financial accounting and cost accounting to the FAR cost principles and the CAS. The book is published in loose-leaf form for future updating.


Presents a detailed review of the existing procurement regulations, concentrating on FAR cost principles and the related CAS. Particular attention is devoted to precedent boards of contract appeals and federal court cases. The book is published in loose-leaf form to permit periodic updates.


Reviews the laws and regulations affecting government contractors, with particular emphasis on accounting regulations—for example, FAR cost principles and CAS. The book contains a detailed table of contents, topical index, and multiple appendixes.
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Periodicals

A weekly publication that reports on current developments in the government contracting environment. The topics covered range from legislative actions by the Congress and reports of congressional committees and independent commissions to actions by administrative agencies, such as revision of regulations. Also reported are major boards of contract appeals decisions, Comptroller General decisions, and federal court decisions.

A biweekly publication that reports the latest changes in laws and agency regulations, as well as important decisions of the boards of contract appeals, the Comptroller General, and the federal courts. The series is indexed semiannually to facilitate the researching of topics.

Manuals and Series

This manual was written by the DoD for the guidance of DoD personnel engaged in the analysis and negotiation of contract pricing. It covers topics that relate accounting to the pricing, administration, and settlement of contracts. Although originally published by the U.S. Government Printing Office, it is currently available through Commerce Clearing House, Inc.

This series is composed of bound volumes of all decisions of the boards of contract appeals. Currently, the number of volumes published each year depends on the quantity of decisions rendered.

The regulations of all federal agencies are annually compiled in the CFR. The following titles are of interest to individuals involved in government contracting:

- Title 4, chapter III—Cost Accounting Standards Board
- Title 32, chapter I—Defense Acquisition Regulation
- Title 41, subtitle A—Federal Procurement Regulation
- Title 48—Federal Acquisition Regulation (including all Agency Supplements)

The entire CFR or individual volumes can be purchased from the U.S. Government Printing Office.

Cost Accounting Standards Guide. Chicago, IL: Commerce Clearing House, Inc.
A single-volume loose-leaf series that is updated periodically as government agencies' actions and boards of contract appeals and federal court decisions warrant. It contains the text of all CAS Board pronouncements, commentary thereon, related agency regulations, and citation of significant boards-of-contract appeals and court cases.

AAG-FGC BIB

This manual contains comprehensive coverage of all areas of the contractor-auditor interface. Because the manual is primarily intended for guidance to DCAA personnel, it provides useful insights into approaches to and positions on many contract audit and accounting issues. This manual is updated semi-annually.


A loose-leaf series that is updated weekly. It includes the text of all current regulations, interpretive discussion thereof, and citations to precedent boards-of-contract appeals and to Comptroller-General and federal court decisions. The *Reporter* is extensively indexed.
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