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ENTITIES WITH OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES

WITH CONFORMING CHANGES AS OF MAY 1, 2007

This edition of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities, which was originally issued in 1986, has been modified by the AICPA staff to include certain changes necessary because of the issuance of authoritative pronouncements since the guide was originally issued. The changes made in the current year are identified in a schedule in Appendix F of the guide. The changes do not include all those that might be considered necessary if the guide were subjected to a comprehensive review and revision.
Notice to Readers

This AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide has been prepared by the AICPA Oil and Gas Committee to assist preparers of financial statements in preparing financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and to assist auditors in auditing and reporting on such financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS).

Descriptions of accounting principles and financial reporting practices in Audit and Accounting Guides are approved by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the members of the Accounting Standards Executive Committee, which is the senior technical body of the AICPA authorized to speak for the AICPA in the areas of financial accounting and reporting. Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 411), identifies AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides that have been cleared by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) as sources of accounting principles in category b of the hierarchy of GAAP that it establishes. This Audit and Accounting Guide has been cleared by the FASB. AICPA members should consider the accounting principles described in this Audit and Accounting Guide if the accounting treatment of a transaction or event is not specified by a pronouncement covered by Rule 203 of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. In such circumstances, the accounting treatments specified by this Audit and Accounting Guide should be used, or the member should be prepared to justify another treatment, as discussed in paragraph 7 of SAS No. 69.*

This AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, which contains auditing guidance, is an interpretive publication pursuant to SAS No. 95, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 150). Interpretive publications are recommendations on the application of SASs in specific circumstances, including engagements for entities in specialized industries. Interpretive publications are issued under the authority of the Auditing Standards Board (ASB). The members of the ASB have found this guide to be consistent with existing SASs.

* In April 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an exposure draft of a proposed statement, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, objectives of which include moving responsibility for the generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) hierarchy for nongovernmental entities from the AICPA Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, to FASB literature. Additionally, the proposed statement expands the sources of category (a) to include accounting principles that are issued after being subject to the FASB's due process (including, but not limited to, FASB Staff Positions and FASB Statement 133 Implementation Issues, which are currently not addressed in SAS No. 69.)

Among other matters, the proposed FASB statement would not carry forward the Rule 203 exception from paragraph 7 of SAS No. 69. Accordingly, the proposed FASB statement states that an enterprise shall not represent that its financial statements are presented in accordance with GAAP if its selection of accounting principles departs from the GAAP hierarchy set forth in this statement and that departure has a material impact on its financial statements.

In response to the proposed FASB Statement, in May 2005, the AICPA issued an exposure draft of a proposed SAS, Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69 for Nongovernmental Entities, which deletes the GAAP hierarchy for nongovernmental entities from SAS No. 69.

The final FASB statement and SAS on GAAP hierarchy will be issued concurrently and will have a uniform effective date. For more information please visit the FASB Web site at www.fasb.org and the AICPA Web site at www.aicpa.org.
An auditor should be aware of and consider interpretive publications applicable to his or her audit. Interpretative publications are not as authoritative as a pronouncement of the ASB; however, if an auditor does not apply the auditing guidance included in an applicable AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, the auditor should be prepared to explain how he or she complied with the SAS provisions addressed by such auditing guidance. The specific terms used to define professional requirements in the SASs are not intended to apply to interpretive publications since interpretive publications are not auditing standards. It is the ASB's intention to make conforming changes to the interpretive publications over the next several years to remove any language that would imply a professional requirement where none exists.

Public Accounting Firms Registered With the PCAOB

Subject to the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) oversight, Section 103 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Act) authorizes the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) to establish auditing and related attestation, quality control, ethics, and independence standards to be used by registered public accounting firms in the preparation and issuance of audit reports as required by the Act or the rules of the Commission. Accordingly, public accounting firms registered with the PCAOB are required to adhere to all PCAOB standards in the audits of issuers, as defined by the Act, and other entities when prescribed by the rules of the Commission.
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1 In December 2005, the Auditing Standards Board (ASB) issued SAS No. 102, Defining Professional Requirements in Statements on Auditing Standards (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 120), and the companion Statement for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) 13, Defining Professional Requirements in Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AT sec. 20). Those statements, which were effective upon issuance, define the terminology that the ASB will use going forward to describe the degree of responsibility that the requirements impose on the auditor or the practitioner in engagements performed for nonissuers. SASs and SSAEs will use the words must or is required to indicate an unconditional requirement, with which the auditor or practitioner is required to comply. SASs and SSAEs will use the word should to indicate a presumptively mandatory requirement. The auditor or practitioner is also required to comply with a presumptively mandatory requirement in all cases in which the circumstances exist to which the presumptively mandatory requirement applies; however, in rare circumstances, the auditor or practitioner may depart from a presumptively mandatory requirement provided the auditor or practitioner documents his or her justification for the departure and how the alternative procedures performed in the circumstances were sufficient to achieve the objectives of the presumptively mandatory requirement. If a SAS or SSAE provides that a procedure or action is one that the auditor should consider, the consideration of the procedure or action is presumptively required, whereas carrying out the procedure or action is not.
The AICPA gratefully acknowledges Thomas E. Smith, CPA for his assistance in reviewing the conforming changes for the May 2007 edition of this guide.
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This edition of the Audit and Accounting Guide Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities, has been modified by the AICPA staff to include certain changes necessary due to the issuance of authoritative pronouncements since the guide was originally issued. This guide reflects relevant guidance contained in the following authoritative pronouncements through May 1, 2007:

- FASB Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, and revised FASB statements issued from May 1, 2003 through May 1, 2007, including
  - FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment
- FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109
- Board Directed FASB Staff Positions issued through March 31, 2007
- FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) consensus positions adopted at meetings of the EITF held through March 2007
- Practice Bulletin No. 15, Accounting by the Issuer of Surplus Notes
- SAS No. 114, The Auditor’s Communication With Those Charged With Governance
- Statement of Position (SOP) 06–1, Reporting Pursuant to the Global Investment Performance Standards
- Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) No. 14, Compilation of Pro Forma Financial Information
- PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 4, Reporting on Whether a Previously Reported Material Weakness Continues to Exist

The changes made are identified in a schedule in Appendix F of the guide. The changes do not include all those that might be considered necessary if the guide were subjected to a comprehensive review and revision.
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Preface

This guide describes relevant matters unique to the oil and gas producing industry in order to assist the independent auditor in auditing and reporting on financial statements of entities performing these activities.

Generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS) and accounting principles are applicable in general to the oil and gas producing industry. The general application of those standards and principles is not discussed herein; rather, this guide focuses on the special problems inherent in auditing and reporting on the financial statements of an entity with oil and gas producing activities.

The guide concentrates on the domestic exploration and production activities of oil and gas companies and generally does not address the special problems related to other activities of integrated oil and gas companies or foreign activities. The guide also does not differentiate between onshore and offshore activities, because their financial accounting considerations are similar.

The guide provides information regarding statutory rules and regulations applicable to the industry. Also included are illustrations of the form and content of financial statements for entities with oil and gas producing activities. Rules and regulations, as well as applicable authoritative accounting and auditing pronouncements, are subject to change and revision. Therefore, the auditor should keep abreast of developments affecting these items.

The guide contains certain suggested auditing procedures, but detailed internal control questionnaires and audit programs are not included. The nature, timing, and extent of auditing procedures are a matter of professional judgment and will vary depending on the size, organizational structure, existing internal control, and other factors in a particular engagement.

The accounting principles described in this guide are limited to the successful efforts method specified by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 19, Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies, and the full cost method specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in Regulation S-X. This guide is intended only to provide an overview of the accounting principles and the current SEC regulations, but the Bibliography contains extensive references that include more in-depth discussions of accounting principles and SEC regulations. It should be recognized that hybrids of both of these methods are commonly referred to by those names and are often considered to be within the framework of GAAP for companies not reporting to the SEC. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 25, Suspension of Certain Accounting Requirements for Oil and Gas Producing Companies, suspended the effective date specified by FASB Statement No. 19 for requiring the successful efforts method of accounting. However, the FASB statement maintains that for purposes of applying paragraph 16 of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, the successful efforts method is preferable for accounting for oil and gas producing activities. As a consequence, no justification for a change to the successful efforts method is necessary nor is a preferability letter for such a change required by the SEC for its registrants. Any change to the full cost method must be justified as being preferable in the circumstances, and a preferability letter describing those circumstances must be filed with the SEC by registrants.
Effective Date

The provisions of this guide shall be effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 31, 1986.

Note: This guide has not been expanded to include other practices followed by some private companies.

Oil and Gas Committee

Auditing Guidance Included in This Guide

In March 2006, the Auditing Standards Board (ASB) issued Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs) No. 104–111, the risk assessment standards. Collectively, the risk assessment standards establish standards and provide guidance concerning the auditor's assessment of the risks of material misstatement (whether caused by fraud or error) in a nonissuer financial statement audit; design and performance of tailored audit procedures to address assessed risks; audit risk and materiality; planning and supervision; and audit evidence. The most significant changes to existing practice that the auditor will be required to perform are as follows:

- Obtain a more in-depth understanding of the audited entity and its environment, including its internal control;
- Perform a more rigorous assessment of the risks of where and how the financial statements could be materially misstated (defaulting to a maximum control risk is no longer permitted);
- Provide a linkage between the auditor's assessed risks and the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures performed in response to those risks.

The statements are effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2006. Early adoption is permitted. See Appendix E for a more detailed comparison between the risk assessment standards and the existing standards.

This guide has been conformed to the new risk assessment standards to indicate, at a minimum, where these standards need to be applied. Additional implementation guidance, specific to this industry, is being developed and will be incorporated in the 2008 edition.

For additional guidance on the risk assessment standards, please refer to the AICPA Audit Guide Assessing and Responding to Risk in a Financial Statement Audit and the AICPA Audit Risk Alert Understanding the New Auditing StandardsRelated to Risk Assessment.

References to Professional Standards

In citing the professional standards, references are made to the AICPA Professional Standards publication. In those sections of the guide where specific Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) auditing standards are referred to, references are made to the AICPA's PCAOB Standards and Related Rules publication. Please refer to Appendix D of this guide for a summary of major existing differences between AICPA standards and PCAOB standards. Additionally, when referencing professional standards, this guide cites section numbers and not the original statement number, as appropriate. For example, SAS No. 54 is referred to as AU section 317.
Publicly-held companies and other issuers (see definition below) are subject to the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Act) and related SEC regulations implementing the Act. Their outside auditors are also subject to the provisions of the Act and to the rules and standards issued by the PCAOB.

Presented below is a summary of certain key areas addressed by the Act, the SEC, and the PCAOB that are particularly relevant to the preparation and issuance of an issuer's financial statements and the preparation and issuance of an audit report on those financial statements. However, the provisions of the Act, the regulations of the SEC, and the rules and standards of the PCAOB are numerous and are not all addressed in this section or in this guide. Issuers and their auditors should understand the provisions of the Act, the SEC regulations implementing the Act, and the rules and standards of the PCAOB, as applicable to their circumstances.

**Definition of an Issuer**

The Act states that the term "issuer" means an issuer (as defined in section 3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78c)), the securities of which are registered under section 12 of that Act (15 U.S.C. 78l), or that is required to file reports under section 15(d) (15 U.S.C. 78o(d)), or that files or has filed a registration statement that has not yet become effective under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.), and that it has not withdrawn.

Issuers, as defined by the Act, and other entities when prescribed by the rules of the SEC (collectively referred to in this guide as issuers or issuer) and their public accounting firms (who must be registered with the PCAOB) are subject to the provisions of the Act, implementing SEC regulations, and the rules and standards of the PCAOB, as appropriate. Nonissuers are those entities not subject to the Act or the rules of the SEC.

**Guidance for Issuers**

**Management Assessment of Internal Control**

As directed by Section 404 of the Act, the SEC adopted final rules requiring companies subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, other than registered investment companies and certain other

---

*On May 23, 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) approved new interpretive guidance designed to help management of public companies strengthen internal control over financial reporting and enhance compliance under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The guidance, previously proposed as Release No. 33-8762, Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, provides, among other significant provisions, interpretive guidance for management regarding their evaluations of internal control over financial reporting and clarification regarding the auditor's reporting requirements pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Under the guidance, management can align the nature and extent of its evaluation procedures with those areas of financial reporting that pose the highest risks to reliable financial reporting. The SEC also approved rule amendments providing that a company that performs an evaluation in accordance with the new interpretive guidance also satisfies the annual evaluation required by Exchange Act (continued)
entities, to include in their annual reports a report of management on the
company's internal control over financial reporting. See the SEC Web site at

Companies that are accelerated filers, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-
2, are required to comply with these rules for fiscal years ending on or after
November 15, 2004. Foreign private issuers that are accelerated filers and that
file their annual reports on Form 20-F or 40-F must begin to comply with rules
for the first fiscal year ending on or after July 15, 2006. "Nonaccelerated filers"
and foreign private issuers that are not accelerated filers must begin to comply
with the rules for the first fiscal year ending on or after July 15, 2007. See the

The SEC rules clarify that management's assessment and report is limited to
internal control over financial reporting. The SEC's definition of internal con-
trol encompasses the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway
Commission (COSO) definition but the SEC does not mandate that the entity
use COSO as its criteria for judging effectiveness.

Under the SEC rules, the company's annual 10-K must include:

1. Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial
   Reporting
3. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The SEC rules also require management to evaluate any change in the entity's
internal control that occurred during a fiscal quarter and that has materially
affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the entity's internal control
over financial reporting.

Audit Committees and Corporate Governance

Section 301 of the Act establishes requirements related to the makeup and the
responsibilities of an issuer's audit committee. Among those requirements—

- Each member of the audit committee must be a member of the
  board of directors of the issuer, and otherwise be independent.
- The audit committee of an issuer is directly responsible for the
  appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of any reg-
  istered public accounting firm employed by that issuer.
- The audit committee shall establish procedures for the "receipt,
  retention, and treatment of complaints" received by the issuer re-
  garding accounting, internal controls, and auditing.

In April 2003, the SEC adopted a rule to direct the national securities exchanges
and national securities associations to prohibit the listing of any security of
an issuer that is not in compliance with the audit committee requirements
mandated by the Act.

(footnote continued)

Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15. Among other rule changes, the SEC also redefined the term material weak-
ness and revised the requirements regarding the auditor's attestation report on the effectiveness of
internal control over financial reporting to require the auditor to express an opinion directly on the
effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and not on management's evaluation process.
Readers should refer to the SEC Web site at www.sec.gov for more information.
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Disclosure of Audit Committee Financial Expert and Code of Ethics

In January 2003, the SEC adopted amendments requiring issuers, other than registered investment companies, to include two new types of disclosures in their annual reports filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These amendments conform to Sections 406 and 407 of the Act and relate to disclosures concerning the audit committee's financial expert and code of ethics relating to the companies' officers. An amendment specifies that these disclosures are only required for annual reports.

Certification of Disclosure in an Issuer's Quarterly and Annual Reports

Section 302 of the Act requires the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of each issuer to prepare a statement to accompany the audit report to certify the "appropriateness of the financial statements and disclosures contained in the periodic report, and that those financial statements and disclosures fairly present, in all material respects, the operations and financial condition of the issuer."

In August 2002, the SEC adopted final rules for Certification of Disclosure in Companies' Quarterly and Annual Reports in response to Section 302 of the Act. CEOs and CFOs are now required to certify the financial and other information contained in quarterly and annual reports.

Improper Influence on Conduct of Audits

Section 303 of the Act makes it unlawful for any officer or director of an issuer to take any action to fraudulently influence, coerce, manipulate, or mislead any auditor engaged in the performance of an audit for the purpose of rendering the financial statements materially misleading. In April 2003, the SEC adopted rules implementing these provisions of the Act.

Disclosures in Periodic Reports

Section 401(a) of the Act requires that each financial report of an issuer that is required to be prepared in accordance with GAAP shall "reflect all material correcting adjustments . . . that have been identified by a registered accounting firm. . . ." In addition, "each annual and quarterly financial report . . . shall disclose all material off-balance sheet transactions" and "other relationships" with "unconsolidated entities" that may have a material current or future effect on the financial condition of the issuer.

In January 2003, the SEC adopted rules that require disclosure of material off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements, obligations, and other relationships of the issuer with unconsolidated entities or other persons, that may have a material current or future effect on financial condition, changes in financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, capital resources, or significant components of revenues or expenses. The rules require an issuer to provide an explanation of its off-balance sheet arrangements in a separately captioned subsection of the Management's Discussion and Analysis section of an issuer's disclosure documents.
Guidance for Auditors

The Act mandates a number of requirements concerning auditors of issuers, including mandatory registration with the PCAOB, the setting of auditing standards, inspections, investigations, disciplinary proceedings, prohibited activities, partner rotation, and reports to audit committees, among others. Auditors of issuers should familiarize themselves with applicable provisions of the Act and the standards of the PCAOB. The PCAOB continues to establish rules and standards implementing provisions of the Act concerning the auditors of issuers.

Applicability and Integration of Generally Accepted Auditing Standards and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Standards

The Act authorizes the PCAOB to establish auditing and related attestation, quality control, ethics, and independence standards to be used by registered public accounting firms in the preparation and issuance of audit reports for entities subject to the Act or the rules of the SEC. Accordingly, public accounting firms registered with the PCAOB are required to adhere to all PCAOB standards in the audits of issuers, as defined by the Act, and other entities when prescribed by the rules of the SEC.

For those entities not subject to the Act or the rules of the SEC, the preparation and issuance of audit reports remain governed by GAAS as issued by the ASB.

Major Existing Differences Between GAAS and PCAOB Standards

The major differences between GAAS and PCAOB standards are described in both Part I of volume one of the AICPA Professional Standards and in Part I of the AICPA publication titled, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules.

Auditor Reports to Audit Committees

Section 204 of the Act requires the accounting firm to report to the issuer's audit committee all "critical accounting policies and practices to be used . . . all alternative treatments of financial information within [GAAP] that have been discussed with management . . . ramifications of the use of such alternative disclosures and treatments, and the treatment preferred" by the firm.

Other Requirements

The Act contains requirements in a number of other important areas, and the SEC has issued implementing regulations in certain of those areas as well. For example,

- The Act prohibits auditors from performing certain non-audit or non-attest services. The SEC adopted amendments to its existing

---

† On May 24, 2007, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) adopted Auditing Standard No. 5, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting That Is Integrated with An Audit of Financial Statements, to replace Auditing Standard No. 2, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction With an Audit of Financial Statements (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "standards"). Once the new standard is approved by the SEC, it will be effective for all audits of internal control for fiscal years ending on or after November 15, 2007. Earlier application will be permitted. Auditing Standard No. 5 is principles-based and is designed to increase the likelihood that material weaknesses in internal control will be found before they result in material misstatement of a company's financial statements, and, at the same time, eliminate procedures that are unnecessary. The final standard also focuses the auditor on the procedures necessary to perform a high quality audit that is tailored to the company's facts and circumstances. Readers should refer to the PCAOB Web site at www.pcaob.org for more information.
requirements regarding auditor independence to enhance the independence of accountants that audit and review financial statements and prepare attestation reports filed with the SEC. This rule conforms the SEC's regulations to Section 208(a) of the Act and, importantly, addresses the performance of non-audit services.

- The Act requires the lead audit or coordinating partner and the reviewing partner to rotate off of the audit every 5 years. (See SEC Releases 33-8183 and 33-8183A for SEC implementing rules.)
- The Act directs the PCAOB to require a second partner review and approval of audit reports (concurring review).
- The Act states that an accounting firm will not be able to provide audit services to an issuer if one of that issuer's top officials (CEO, Controller, CFO, Chief Accounting Officer, etc.) was employed by the firm and worked on the issuer's audit during the previous year.
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Chapter 1

Overview of the Oil and Gas Industry

The Industry's History

1.01 To gain an understanding of oil and gas producing activities, a brief review of the history of the industry and oil and gas accounting is helpful. The following discussion is intended to be basic in nature, but additional references are included in the Bibliography section. (The interested reader is urged to refer to other available sources.)

1.02 The first commercial oil-drilling venture was in 1859 near Titusville, Pennsylvania. A steam-powered, cable-tool drilling rig was used to drill a fifty-nine-foot well, which yielded five barrels of oil per day. This well set off a boom of sorts, and the cable-tool rig—which at that time was revolutionary—was used to drill other wells in the area. Oil soon sold for about ten cents a barrel because of the dramatic increase in supply.

1.03 In the 1850s and early 1860s, oil was chiefly used for lamp fuel. The Industrial Revolution and the Civil War greatly increased the uses of oil and therefore the demand—so much so that annual production in 1870 exceeded twenty-five million barrels. Early transportation of crude oil was cumbersome, however, requiring (1) wooden barrels (each with a capacity of forty-two gallons—the present measurement of a barrel of crude oil), (2) horse-drawn wagons, (3) river barges, and (4) the railroads. The first pipeline, completed in the 1860s, was made of wood and was less than one-thousand feet long.

1.04 One of the first to rise to power in this infant industry was John D. Rockefeller. In 1870, Rockefeller merged his firm with four others to form the Standard Oil Company. During the 1880s, Standard Oil controlled approximately 90 percent of the refining industry in the United States. Standard Oil's market dominance eventually led to its forced dissolution in 1911-1915 because of federal and state antitrust legislation that had been enacted as a response to its size.

1.05 The growing number of automobiles steadily increased the demand for oil. Because a domestic shortage was feared by the U.S. government, the industry was encouraged to increase foreign exploration. In the 1920s, exploration in the Middle East, South America, Africa, and the Far East had begun. However, the east Texas oil field discovery of 1930 ultimately created an oil surplus that caused companies to cut back foreign operations. During and after World War II, however, demand again increased, and enormous capital investments developed the Persian Gulf area. This period also saw an increased use of natural gas, facilitated by improved transportation systems, and the growth of the petrochemical industry (which produced plastics and synthetics).

1.06 The oil and gas industry has gone through many changes in the past twenty years. The Arab oil embargo of 1973 focused public attention and criticism on the industry, partly because of the embargo's effect on previously stable prices. (In 1973, before the embargo, the average barrel of crude oil sold for about three dollars.) Nearly half the oil used by the United States in 1977 was imported. In 1979, the government announced "phased decontrol" of oil prices on a schedule that would have freed all crude prices by October 1981.
however, in January 1981, all price controls on crude oil were immediately lifted. Natural gas prices continued to be subject to controls, as required by the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, but initial deregulations began January 1, 1985.

1.07 By the early 1980s, the price for a barrel of oil ranged from thirty to forty dollars (and sometimes higher), representing an approximate 1000-percent increase in less than ten years. In the mid-1980s, however, prices had declined in the face of a world oil surplus. The effects of these fluctuations were further complicated by U.S. government price controls that designated different grades of oil and created a complex pricing structure. As a result, producing companies grew increasingly reluctant to explore and drill. This reluctance may have stemmed from the fact that a barrel of domestically produced oil often had a sale price significantly less than the price of imported oil.

Types and Sizes of Companies in the Industry

1.08 Companies engaged in oil and gas exploration and production are characterized by a wide diversity in type and size; ultimately, most are primarily dependent on their success in exploring for and developing oil and gas reserves. Companies in the industry range from the largest corporations in the world to very small companies or proprietorships with limited sales and resources.

1.09 The organization of oil and gas companies varies depending on size and diversity of activities. Oil and gas producers are usually classified as independent or integrated companies. A fully integrated company produces oil and gas and also operates refineries, pipelines, and wholesale and retail outlets. Some companies are only partially integrated.

1.10 Independent exploration and production companies generally do not refine products or engage in marketing activities. They limit their activities to exploration, development, and production.

1.11 Discussions in this guide will concentrate on the oil gas exploration and production activities of both independent and integrated oil and gas operations. These activities include acquisition of mineral properties, exploration, drilling and development, and production.

Ownership Interests and Operations

1.12 The characteristics relatively unique to oil and gas operations are the normal existence of multiple ownerships of individual properties and the varying types of ownership interests. This variety of ownership interests has developed in response to the need to share risks, to take advantage of tax opportunities, and to raise the large amounts of capital necessary. The principal types of ownership or economic interests encountered in the industry will be discussed, but variations of these will be encountered because of the easily divisible nature of oil and gas operations.

Types of Interests

1.13 Mineral interest is the complete ownership of the minerals in place.

1.14 Royalty interest is the portion of the mineral interest retained by the lessor. This interest entitles the royalty interest owner to a fractional amount
of the production from the property, in kind or in value, less the applicable severance taxes. Occasionally, the royalty interest may bear certain specific costs.

1.15 Working interest (or operating interest) is the interest in the oil and gas in place that bears most or all of the cost of development and operation of the property. Mineral interest revenues minus the royalty interest equals the working interest share of revenues.

1.16 Overriding royalty is a royalty interest that is created out of the working interest. Its term is coextensive with that of the working interest from which it was created.

1.17 Net profits interest is an interest in production created from the working interest and measured by a certain percentage of the net profits (as defined in the contract) from the operation of the property.

1.18 Retained interest is an interest that arises when the working interest owner transfers the basic rights and responsibilities for developing and operating the property to another party and retains a special nonoperating interest created by the conveyance contract.

1.19 Carved-out interest is an interest created when the working interest owner retains the basic working interest but grants to another entity special nonoperating rights and obligations.

Joint Interest Operations

1.20 Operating Agreements. Joint interest (also referred to as "joint venture") operations result from an agreement among two or more working interest owners whereby one party is designated as the operator for the development and operation of the jointly owned property included in the joint venture. In joint interest operations, each working interest owner retains an undivided interest in the jointly operated property. This direct ownership is usually included in the financial statements of the investor through direct inclusion of its proportional share of the expenses, revenues, and assets. Joint interest operations are designed to accomplish the objectives of sharing risk, obtaining capital, maximizing efficiency of development and operations, and enhancing the recovery of reserves.

1.21 Joint interest operations are governed by complex operating agreements that set forth the rights, duties, and obligations of each party. A significant part of the agreement is the accounting procedure section, which establishes the basis for charges and credits to the operator and the nonoperating parties and provides for billings, advance of funds, payment schedules, audits, and other general provisions of the arrangement. The accounting provisions in joint operating agreements usually follow a model provision devised by the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societies (COPAS). Although the lease is usually considered the accounting unit, many costs cannot be directly identified with a particular lease. Such costs are usually categorized as indirect expenses and are recovered by allocating overhead to leases on some reasonable basis. These costs include service unit costs and certain types of overhead.

1.22 The operator bills the nonoperators (usually at the end of each month) for their share of the month's expenditures. The billing is referred to as a joint interest billing (JIB). The operator may also make a cash call at the beginning
of each month for the nonoperator's share of anticipated expenditures that will be incurred during the month. In some cases, the operator may also collect revenues from production of crude oil and other liquids and distribute the proceeds to the various ownership interests, although in many cases the purchaser will pay the various interests directly based on the division order. Normally, purchasers of natural gas remit revenues directly to working interest owners in accordance with purchaser agreements negotiated with each working interest owner.

1.23 Most large oil and gas companies, as well as many smaller companies, act as operators on a number of the oil and gas properties in which they have an interest. It should be recognized, however, that nearly all companies will be nonoperators with respect to a significant portion of their properties. In addition, the extent to which nonoperators take an active role in the operation of properties varies widely in practice. In many instances, the nonoperator maintains full accountability for activities on the properties, including advance authorization of capital expenditures through the authorization for expenditure (AFE) process and review and approval of revenue and expense transactions. In other instances, nonoperators may rely almost entirely on the operator for recording transactions and maintaining accountability and receive only a summary report of activity. The degree of actual involvement in practice may fall anywhere within this range.

1.24 Joint Interest Audits. The accounting procedure section of the operating agreement usually contains a provision that establishes the timing of the auditing of the operator's records by the nonoperating parties. Under some of the accounting procedures, the nonoperators may audit the operator's expenditures within two years after the end of the period to be audited. If such an option is not exercised, or if an exception is not granted in advance, the nonoperator would be precluded from conducting a subsequent audit and all transactions billed would be considered correct.

1.25 In some of the older agreements, provisions existed where the nonoperator was permitted much less time to conduct an audit (for example, a six-month constraint was not unusual).

1.26 Joint interest audits are normally conducted by the nonoperator's internal auditors or by independent auditors hired by the nonoperator. The purpose—and therefore the scope—of joint interest audits is significantly different from an audit of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Such audits are beyond the scope of this guide; however, the independent auditor should realize there are no generally recognized joint interest audit standards in existence. The quality of joint interest audits may vary significantly. (See the Bibliography for COPAS bulletins.)

1.27 Division Orders. Contractual agreements among the parties determine ownership interests, and rarely are two contracts exactly the same. In almost every case there will be at least two recipients of production proceeds: the working interest owner and the royalty owner. Thus, a division-of-interest
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order (or simply division order) is prepared to indicate the proper distribution of production proceeds.

1.28 A regular division order is an agreement between the purchaser of production and all the various owners of interests in the property. This agreement includes the following: (1) the legal description of the property; (2) the owners of interests in the property; (3) the interest owned by each; and (4) the terms of purchase, including provisions dealing with passage of title, price, measurement, production taxes, and related items. The operator of the property circulates the division order to the various owners of interest. Each owner, by signing the division order, does the following: represents ownership to be as stated; authorizes the purchaser to receive production from the property and to make payment to the owners in proportion to their respective interests; and agrees to all other provisions of the division order. Sometimes the operator receives the full payment from the purchaser and makes the distribution to the other owners.

1.29 In the event that an owner of interest is unknown or cannot be located and the signature cannot be secured on the division order, the revenue applicable to that interest is held in suspense. In a similar manner, revenue is held in suspense pending receipt of proof of title or title opinion, execution of the division order, or litigation to resolve a dispute over ownership of an interest.

Sources of Capital

1.30 Oil and gas producing companies require enormous amounts of capital, especially in their exploration and development activities. As in most industries, the traditional sources of capital are internal financing and equity and other forms of external financing. However, the various and sometimes unique adaptations in the oil and gas industry warrant discussion.

1.31 In the past, oil and gas companies, especially those that were large and financially strong, were able to fund a large amount of their exploration and development activities with internally generated funds. Increased competition among companies for exploration rights to undeveloped properties as well as rising acquisition and development costs have resulted in companies turning more frequently to other sources of funds.

Joint Interests

1.32 Companies often enter into arrangements with others as a means of raising or sharing capital. This can be done by creating joint ventures or partnerships, but is often accomplished by transferring a portion of the working interest to other parties, as discussed more thoroughly under "Conveyances" in paragraphs 2.133–2.142. Depending on the attractiveness of the property and the owner's willingness to dilute interest, a portion of the costs of a property may be financed in this manner. An example of a common deal at one time in the industry was a "third for a quarter," in which the purchaser agreed to assume a third of the costs in exchange for a fourth of the working interest in the property. Another example is a carried interest arrangement, in which one party agrees to develop and operate a property at its costs but maintains the right to recapture its costs or a defined greater amount from the proceeds of production.
Limited Partnerships*

1.33 It is common for oil and gas operators to organize limited partnerships. These partnerships are commonly called "oil and gas funds" or "oil and gas programs." Limited partnerships are organized by a sponsor who sells interests in the partnership to private investors and then acts as the general partner when the partnership has been organized. In the past limited partnerships were usually structured to maximize the tax deductions passed through to the limited partners. The limited partners are usually liable only for the amount of their contribution to the partnership. The general partner normally has unlimited liability for the debts and obligations above the limited partners' capital; however, the general partner has full control over the partnership's operations.

1.34 The partnerships typically are either drilling funds or production funds. Drilling funds are organized to finance exploration of new prospects, while production funds are invested only in properties known to contain oil or gas.

1.35 The limited partnership is governed by the partnership agreement, which explains the rights and obligations of the partners. The partnership agreement specifies the method of allocating revenues and expenses between the general and limited partner interests. The basic allocation methods are functional allocation, reversionary interest, promoted interest, and carried interest. The limited partner should look to the substance of the transaction for the proper accounting treatment. Methods for special allocation of profits and costs for tax purposes may be inappropriate for financial reporting purposes.

1.36 Functional allocation usually provides for the tax-deductible expenses to be paid with the limited partners' contribution and allocated to them. Capital expenditures such as leasehold costs and equipment are paid with the general partners' contribution. Revenue sharing is based on a predetermined percentage ratio between the general and limited partners. This method normally achieves the fastest deduction of costs for the limited partners.

1.37 Under a reversionary interest allocation, the limited partners' contribution is used to pay the largest percentage of the partnership expenses, and the limited partners receive a high percentage of the revenues until they recover their initial capital contributions. After the limited partners recover their initial investment, the allocation reverts to another percentage ratio assigning a larger portion of revenues and expenses to the general partner interest.

1.38 In a promoted interest program, the general partner pays a specified percentage of all costs and receives a disproportionately larger percentage of net revenues.

* Recently the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-5, "Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights," which addresses the accounting for general partners of all new limited partnerships formed and for existing limited partnerships for which the partnership agreements are modified.

In addition, the Financial Accounting Standards Board published FASB Staff Position (FSP) SOP 78-9-1, Interaction of AICPA Statement of Position 78-9 and EITF Issue No. 04-5, to amend AICPA Statement of Position (SOP) 78-9, Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Venture, so that its guidance is consistent with the consensus reached by the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force on EITF Issue No. 04-5.
1.39 In a carried interest program, the general partner pays a specified percentage of operating costs and receives a specified percentage (often larger) of revenues but does not bear any capital costs.

1.40 Aside from the differences in the equity section of the financial statements and the allocation of revenues and costs between the general and limited partners, which is dictated by the partnership agreement, the accounting for and the auditing of an oil and gas limited partnership are basically the same as for any other oil and gas producer. However, financial statements are often prepared on either the income tax or cash basis, except for those of public limited partnerships, which are required to be prepared on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles. This guide does not discuss the income tax or cash basis financial statements of limited partnerships—nor does it address financial accounting and auditing considerations that may be unique to limited partnerships.

Other Sources of Capital

1.41 Quite common are production payment transactions, whereby a lender advances funds to be repaid from future production. Short-term as well as long-term financing from banks often takes the form of production loans, secured by specific, producing mineral properties. The auditor should be aware of the potential implications of the different forms of financing.

Accounting for Oil and Gas Producing Activities

1.42 The two accounting methods followed by oil and gas producers are the successful efforts method and the full cost method. Successful efforts accounting essentially provides for capitalizing only those costs directly related to proved properties; it then amortizes those costs over the life of the properties.

1.43 Prior to the mid-1950s, most oil and gas companies used the successful efforts accounting method or some variation thereof. In the mid-1950s, a form of the full cost method of accounting was introduced.

1.44 The full cost concept became popular with small, newly formed companies. It allowed them to defer their early costs until successful exploration produced offsetting revenue. By 1970, almost half of the public oil and gas producing companies were using a form of the full cost method.

1.45 Full cost accounting generally provides for capitalizing (within a cost center) all costs incurred in exploring for, acquiring, and developing oil and gas reserves—regardless of whether or not the results of specific costs are successful. This method is based on the premise that the costs of unsuccessful exploration efforts are necessary for the discovery of reserves even though such expenditures are made with the knowledge that specific efforts may not actually locate any. Thus, all costs incurred in acquiring mineral rights, in drilling, and in exploration activities—along with all carrying costs of nonproducing properties in the cost center—are treated as the cost of reserves in that center. The costs capitalized in a cost center are then amortized and charged to expense while the mineral reserves in that cost center are produced.

1.46 Under the full cost method, the cost center is used to "pool" costs to be later matched with revenues generated from the cost center's operations. Under the broadest concept, the company's entire worldwide oil and gas operations would be treated as a single cost center. Most companies, however, consider the
continent or the individual country a cost center, and the SEC accounting rules specify the size of cost centers to be an individual country.

1.47 In 1969, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) published Accounting Research Study (ARS) No. 11, which called for the elimination of the full cost method and recommended that the successful efforts method be the only acceptable method. The Accounting Principles Board (APB) appointed a committee to develop an authoritative opinion on financial accounting and reporting for the oil and gas industry; however, the APB was terminated in 1973 before the committee completed its charge.

1.48 In December 1977, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Statement No. 19, Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies, as amended. The statement required a form of successful efforts accounting as the uniform method for all enterprises engaged in oil and gas producing activities.

1.49 In summary, successful efforts accounting as specified by FASB Statement No. 19 provides that—

1. Exploration costs, other than exploration drilling costs, including, geological and geophysical costs, costs of carrying and retaining undeveloped properties, and dry hole and bottom hole contributions should be charged to expense when incurred.

2. Acquisition costs should be capitalized initially; however, losses should be recognized if the values of unproved properties are determined to be impaired on the basis of a required periodic assessment.

3. The costs of drilling exploratory wells and exploratory-type stratigraphic test wells should be capitalized pending determination of whether the well has found proved reserves. The costs of unsuccessful exploratory wells should be charged to expense.

4. The costs of drilling development wells, including unsuccessful development wells, should be capitalized.

5. Production costs—together with the amortization of the capitalized acquisition, exploration, and development costs—should become the cost of oil and gas produced.

6. Capitalized costs are accumulated by cost centers, which provide a means whereby costs can be collected and amortized against related revenues. For amortization purposes, the cost center is the individual property or an aggregation of properties in the same field or reservoir.

7. Capitalized acquisition costs should be amortized on the unit-of-production method using total proved oil and gas reserves. Capitalized exploration and development costs should be amortized on the unit-of-production method using proved developed oil and gas reserves.

1.50 The SEC called for public hearings in August 1978 before adopting the statement as the authoritative standard of accounting and reporting for oil and gas producing companies filing reports with the SEC. Because of the strong opposition voiced at those hearings, the SEC issued Accounting Series Release AAG-OGP 1.47
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(ASR) No. 253, section 406, *Adoption of Requirements for Financial Accounting and Reporting Practices for Oil and Gas Producing Activities*. This ASR—

- Adopted the form of successful efforts accounting and the disclosures prescribed by FASB Statement No. 19.
- Indicated the SEC's intention to develop a form of the full cost accounting method as an alternative acceptable for SEC reporting purposes (ASR No. 258, section 406).
- Concluded that both the full cost and successful efforts methods of accounting, based on historical costs, fail to provide sufficient information on the financial position and operating results of oil and gas producing companies and, accordingly, that steps should be taken to develop an accounting method based on a valuation of proved oil and gas reserves. (The SEC later decided that the valuation accounting it proposed—reserve recognition accounting (RRA)—was no longer considered to be a potential method of accounting in the primary financial statements of oil and gas producers. The SEC also announced its support of an undertaking by the FASB to develop a comprehensive disclosure package for those engaged in oil and gas producing activities.)
- Adopted rules that require financial statement disclosure of certain financial and operating data regardless of the method of accounting followed.

In ASR Nos. 257 and 258, section 406, the SEC released its final rules for successful efforts and full cost accounting. At that point, companies under SEC jurisdiction could follow either the full cost method prescribed in ASR No. 258, section 406.01.c., or the successful efforts method prescribed in ASR No. 253, section 406.01.b., as modified by ASR No. 257, section 406—a method identical to that contained in FASB Statement No. 19.

1.51 In response to the SEC's issuance of ASR No. 253, section 406.01.b., the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 25, *Suspension of Certain Accounting Requirements for Oil and Gas Producing Companies*, as amended. This statement suspended, for an indefinite period of time, most of the provisions of FASB Statement No. 19. However, some provisions of FASB Statement No. 19, including deferred income taxes and some aspects of property conveyances and disclosure requirements, were retained and became effective. Thus, companies that report to the SEC may follow either the full cost accounting method prescribed by ASR No. 258, section 406.01.c., or the successful efforts method prescribed by ASR No. 253, section 406.01.b., as modified by ASR No. 257, section 406—a method identical to that contained in FASB Statement No. 19. For nonpublic companies there is no prescribed method of accounting for costs incurred in oil and gas exploration or for amortization of those capitalized costs.

1.52 In November 1982, the FASB issued Statement No. 69, *Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities*. This statement amended FASB

---

2 FASB Statement No. 25 also states that for purposes of applying paragraphs 12–14 of FASB Statement No. 154, successful efforts is the preferable method of accounting for oil and gas producing activities; therefore, no justification for a change to the successful efforts method is necessary—nor is a preferability letter for such a change required by the SEC for its registrants. Any change to the full cost method must be justified as being preferable in the circumstances, and a preferability letter describing those circumstances must be filed with the SEC for registrants. The SEC's position on preferability letters for accounting changes to or from the successful efforts or full cost methods is described in ASR No. 300, section 406.01.d.
Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities

Statement No. 19 by establishing disclosures about oil and gas producing activities to be made for publicly traded enterprises when presenting a complete set of annual financial statements. It also requires all entities (public and non-public) engaged in oil and gas producing activities to disclose in their financial statements the method of accounting for costs incurred in these activities and the manner of disposing of capitalized costs relating to those activities. The SEC, in Financial Reporting Release (FRR) No. 9, Supplemental Disclosures in Oil and Gas Producing Activities, section 406.02, generally adopted these disclosure standards. In summary, FASB Statement No. 69 provides for the following disclosures for public companies as supplementary information:

- Net quantities of proved reserves and proved developed reserves of oil (including condensate and natural gas liquids) and gas as of the beginning and end of the year, with details of changes in proved reserves during the year
- Capitalized costs relating to oil and gas producing activities and the related depreciation, depletion, amortization, and valuation allowances as of the end of the year
- Costs incurred in oil and gas property acquisition, exploration, and development activities during the year including asset retirement costs recorded as required by FASB Statement No. 143.
- Details of the results of operations for oil and gas producing activities during the year
- Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and gas reserve quantities as of the end of the year, with details of changes in the standardized measure during the year

FASB Statement No. 69, paragraph 30 provides that the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows should be based on the year end prices of oil and gas. Future price changes should be considered only to the extent provided by contractual arrangements in existence at year-end. The SEC position is that the prices used must be the prices for the physical production and should not be adjusted for hedges.

1.53 For purposes of this guide, "successful efforts" refers to the accounting method specified in FASB Statement No. 19 and "full cost" refers to the accounting method specified in Regulation S-X of the SEC. It should be recognized that hybrids of both of these methods are commonly referred to by those names and often are considered to be within the framework of generally accepted accounting principles for companies not reporting to the SEC.

---

1 In October 2006, the FASB issued FSP FAS 126-1, Applicability of Certain Disclosure and Interim Reporting Requirements for Obligors for Conduit Debt Securities, which clarifies the definition of a public entity in certain accounting standards to include entities that are conduit bond obligors for conduit debt securities that are traded in a public market. The FSP amends, among others, FASB Statements No. 69, Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities, footnote 2 to paragraph 1. The guidance in this FSP shall be applied prospectively in fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2006.

3 Supplementary information is considered to be outside the basic financial statements and is therefore not required to be audited. However, AU section 558, Required Supplementary Information (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1) and AU section 9558.01-.06, "Supplementary Oil and Gas Reserve Information" (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), describe the auditor's responsibility with regard to this information.
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1.54 In addition to accounting methods included within generally accepted accounting principles, income tax laws and regulations have a major effect on both the accounting and the economic decisions of oil and gas companies. There are many significant differences between the income tax and either of the principal accounting methods, including the ability to charge intangible drilling costs to expense for income tax purposes. The auditor should have an understanding of the more common differences, which are discussed in Chapter 3.

Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets

1.55 FASB Statement No. 144,6 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, establishes accounting standards for financial accounting and reporting for the impairment of long-lived assets and for long-lived assets to be disposed of. The statement requires that a long-lived asset (asset group) to be held and used by an entity be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Long-lived assets also include intangible assets that are amortizable. An impairment loss should be recognized only if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value. FASB Statement No. 144 applies to the impairment of proved properties accounted for in accordance with FASB Statement No. 19. (Note: The impairment of unproved properties is addressed within FASB Statement No. 19.) The carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset (asset group). Typically the evaluation of oil and gas producing properties is on a field-by-field basis or by logical grouping of assets if there is a significant shared infrastructure (for example, platform). The undiscounted future cash flows are to be based on total proved and risk-adjusted probable and possible reserves. That assessment shall be based on the carrying amount of the asset (asset group) at the date it is tested for recoverability.6 The impairment loss should be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) exceeds its fair value.

---

4 On November 29, 1999 the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 100, Restructuring and Impairment Charges, which readers should consider in preparing or auditing financial statements of SEC registrants. SAB No. 103, Update of Codification of Staff Accounting Bulletins, among other matters has updated SAB No. 100 to reflect the provisions of FASB Statement No. 144.

5 Auditors should be aware that the impairment provisions of FASB Statement No. 144 do not apply to unproved oil and gas properties that are being accounted for using the successful-efforts method of accounting. Also, companies using the full cost method should follow the accounting requirements prescribed by the SEC.

6 FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB Statement No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FASB Statement No. 157 amends some of the guidance currently presented in this chapter. This guide will be updated to reflect FASB Statement No. 157 closer to its effective date. FASB Statement No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. See the statement for transitional guidance. The disclosure requirements shall apply in the first interim period of the fiscal year in which this statement is initially applied.

6 Paragraph 10 of FASB Statement No. 144 provides that for purposes of recognition and measurement of an impairment loss "a long-lived asset or assets shall be grouped with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities." Paragraph 11 provides that in limited circumstances, "a long-lived asset may not have identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities and of other assets groups. In those circumstances, the asset group for the long-lived asset shall include all assets and liabilities of the entity."
1.56 When a long-lived asset (asset group) is tested for recoverability, it also may be necessary to review depreciation estimates and method as required by FASB Statement No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, or the amortization period as required by FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.\(^7\) Any revision to the remaining useful life of a long-lived asset resulting from that review also shall be considered in developing estimates of future cash flows used to test the asset (asset group) for recoverability. However, any change in the accounting method for the asset resulting from that review shall be made only after applying FASB Statement No. 144.

1.57 FASB Statement No. 144 did not change the rules for impairment of oil and gas properties by entities that apply the successful efforts method. In addition, the impairment provisions of FASB Statement No. 144 do not apply to oil and gas assets for entities that apply the full-cost method.

1.58 FASB Statement No. 144 (paragraph C25) amends FASB Statement No. 19 by adding a new paragraph dealing with impairment test for proved properties and capitalized exploration and development cost after paragraph 62. The paragraph reads as follows:

**Impairment Test for Proved Properties and Capitalized Exploration and Development Cost**

The provisions of FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, are applicable to the costs of an enterprise's wells and related equipment and facilities and the costs of the related proved properties. The impairment provisions relating to unproved properties referred to in paragraphs 12, 27–29,\(^8\) 31(b), 33, 40, 47(g), and 47(h) of this statement remain applicable to unproved properties.

1.59 FASB Statement No. 144 (paragraph 41) defines a component of an entity to encompass operations and cash flows that can be clearly distinguished, operationally and for financial reporting purposes, from the rest of the entity. The results of operations of a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale should be reported in discontinued operations in accordance with FASB Statement No. 144 paragraph 43 if both of the following conditions are met: (a) the operations and cash flows of the component entity have been (or will be) eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity as a result of the disposal transaction and (b) the entity will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the disposal transaction.

1.60 In a period in which a component of an entity either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale, the income statement of a business enterprise for current and prior periods should report the results of operations of the component, including any gain or loss recognized in accordance with paragraph 37 of FASB Statement No. 144, in discontinued operations. The results

---

\(^7\) Paragraphs 19–22 of FASB Statement No. 154 addresses the accounting for changes in estimates, including changes in the method of depreciation, amortization, and depletion. Paragraph 11 of FASB Statement No. 142 addresses the determination of the useful life of an intangible asset.

\(^8\) FASB Statement No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets—an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, amends paragraphs 27 and 29 of FASB Statement No. 144.
of operations of a component classified as held for sale should be reported in
discontinued operations in the period(s) in which they occur. The results of dis-
continued operations, less applicable income taxes (benefit), should be reported
as a separate component of income before extraordinary items and the cumu-
lative effect of accounting changes (if applicable). A gain or loss recognized on
the disposal should be disclosed either on the face of the income statement or
in the notes to the financial statements.

1.61 For entities that follow the successful efforts method of accounting,
many sales of oil and gas properties may meet the criteria for reporting as
discontinued operations. Generally, entities should assess these criteria for any
sale comprising a complete cost center for measuring impairment under FASB
Statement No. 144. For many entities, this will be at the well, lease or field
level.9

1.62 FASB Statement No. 19 paragraph 44|| is amended by FASB State-
ments No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets,
No. 145, Recission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB
Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections, and No. 153, Exchanges of Non-
monetary Assets—an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, by replacing it with
the following:

In a pooling of assets in a joint undertaking intended to find, develop,
or produce oil or gas from a particular property or group of properties,
gain or loss shall not be recognized at the time of conveyance.

1.63 FASB Statement No. 144 contains additional extensive requirements
about the recognition and measurement of an impairment loss, long-lived assets
to be disposed of by sale and other than by sale.

1.64 A long-lived asset is classified as held and used until (a) it ceases to
be used (abandoned), (b) it is exchanged, || (c) it is to be distributed to owners
in a spin-off, or (d) it is held for sale. An asset (group) is classified as held
for sale in the period in which all of the criteria in paragraph 30 of FASB
Statement No. 144 are met. If at any time afterwards the criteria are no longer
met, the asset (group) is reclassified as held and used.10 Further, if the criteria
in paragraph 30 are met after the date of the statement of financial position,

9 EITF Issue No. 03-13, "Applying the Conditions in Paragraph 42 of FASB Statement No. 144,
Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, in Determining Whether to Report
Discontinued Operations," addresses the appropriate level of reporting for discontinued operations
for oil and gas properties. Readers may refer to Appendix A, Example 4, of EITF Issue No. 03-13 for
an industry specific example of the application of the EITF consensus.

|| In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary
Assets—an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29. The FASB Statement amends APB Opinion No. 29,
Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions, to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges
of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary
assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance
if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange.
The FASB Statement requires that exchanges of productive assets be accounted for based on the fair
values of the assets involved, unless the exchange transaction does not have commercial substance.
The FASB Statement excludes from its scope transactions involving the exchange of a part of an
operating interest owned by one party for a part of an operating interest owned by another party that
are subject to paragraphs 44 and 47 (e) of FASB Statement No. 19.

10 A long-lived asset to be disposed of in an exchange measured based on the recorded amount
of the nonmonetary asset relinquished or to be distributed to owners in a spin-off is disposed of when
it is exchanged or distributed. In addition to any impairment losses required to be recognized while
the asset is classified as held or used, an impairment loss, if any, should also be recognized when the
asset is disposed of if the carrying amount of the asset (disposal group) exceeds its fair value.
but before the issuance of the financial statements, a long-lived asset (group) is classified as held and used in the financial statements when issued. A long-lived asset (group) that is held for sale is measured at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. The asset (group) should not be depreciated (amortized) while it is classified as held for sale. (However, interest and other expenses attributable to the liabilities of a group held for sale would continue to be accrued.) Paragraphs 41–48 of FASB Statement No. 144 prescribe the reporting and disclosure requirements for assets to be disposed of by sale or otherwise.

**Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

1.65 FASB Statement No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, addresses the financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets (not including financial assets) and supersedes APB Opinion No. 17, *Intangible Assets*. FASB Statement No. 142 does not change the accounting prescribed in FASB Statement No. 19. It addresses how intangible assets that are acquired individually or with a group of other assets (but not those acquired in a business combination) should be accounted for in financial statements upon their acquisition. The statement also addresses how goodwill and other intangible assets should be accounted for after they have been initially recognized in the financial statements.

1.66 Goodwill and all other intangible assets are not presumed to be wasting assets under FASB Statement No. 142. Instead, goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives are not to be amortized but rather are to be tested at least annually for impairment. Intangible assets that have finite useful lives are to continue to be amortized over their useful lives, but without the constraint of an arbitrary ceiling.

1.67 Specific guidance for testing goodwill for impairment is provided in FASB Statement No. 142. Goodwill is to be tested for impairment at least annually using a two-step process that begins with an estimation of the fair value \( \) of a reporting unit. The first step is a screen for potential impairment, and the second step measures the amount of impairment, if any. However, if certain criteria are met, the requirement to test goodwill for impairment annually can be satisfied without a remeasurement of the fair value of a reporting unit.

1.68 In addition, the statement provides specific guidance on testing intangible assets that will not be amortized for impairment and thus removes those intangible assets from the scope of other impairment guidance. Intangible assets that are not amortized are to be tested for impairment at least annually by comparing the fair values of those assets with their recorded amounts.

1.69 FASB Statement No. 142 requires disclosure of information about goodwill and other intangible assets in the years subsequent to their acquisition. Required disclosures include information about the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill from period to period (in the aggregate and by reportable segment), the carrying amount of intangible assets by major intangible asset class for those assets subject to amortization and for those not subject to amortization, and the estimated intangible asset amortization expense for the next five years.

\( \) See footnote \( \) in paragraph 1.55.

AAG-OGP 1.65
1.70 The FASB staff issued FSP FAS 142-2, "Application of FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, to Oil and Gas Companies." The purpose of this FSP is to address whether oil and gas drilling rights (mineral interests) that are held under lease or other contractual arrangement are intangible assets subject to the disclosure and classification provisions of FASB Statement No. 142. The FASB staff acknowledges that the accounting framework in FASB Statement No. 19 for oil- and gas-producing entities is based on the level of established reserves—not whether an asset is tangible or intangible. Accordingly, the FASB staff believes that the scope exception in paragraph 8(b) of FASB Statement No. 142 extends to its disclosure provisions for drilling and mineral rights of oil- and gas-producing entities. However, an entity is not precluded from providing information about its drilling and mineral rights in addition to the information required by FASB Statement No. 69, Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities.

Business Combinations

1.71 FASB Statement No. 141, Business Combinations, addresses financial accounting and reporting for business combinations and supersedes APB Opinion No. 16, Business Combinations, and FASB Statement No. 38, Accounting for Preacquisition Contingencies of Purchased Enterprises. All business combinations in the scope of the statement are to be accounted for using one method, the purchase method.

1.72 The statement requires that intangible assets be recognized as assets apart from goodwill if they meet one of two criteria, the contractual-legal criterion or the separability criterion. To assist in identifying acquired intangible assets, the statement also provides an illustrative list of intangible assets that meet either of those criteria.

1.73 FASB Statement No. 141 requires numerous disclosures including disclosure of the primary reasons for a business combination and the allocation of the purchase price paid to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed by major balance sheet caption. When the amounts of goodwill and intangible assets acquired are significant in relation to the purchase price paid, disclosure of other information about those assets is required, such as the amount of goodwill by reportable segment and the amount of the purchase price assigned to each major intangible asset class.

1.74 FASB Statement No. 141 contains extensive accounting guidance and requirements about business combinations including the application of the purchase method and accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets acquired.

---

* On April 30, 2004, the FASB issued FSP No. 141-1 and 142-1, Interaction of FASB Statements No. 141, Business Combinations, and No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, and EITF Issue No. 04-2, "Whether Mineral Rights Are Tangible or Intangible Assets." The FSP clarifies some inconsistent language between FASB Statements No. 141 and No. 142 and states that mineral rights should be accounted for consistent with their substance.
Chapter 2

Business Activities of the Oil and Gas Producing Industry

Acquisition of Mineral Properties

2.01 In the oil and gas industry, rights to drill wells and produce minerals found are generally obtained through leasing transactions. Although the operator may acquire the fee interest in the property (outright ownership of both minerals and surface), this is not customary today. The operator usually obtains a lease from a landowner, either through an in-house landman or from an independent lease broker. The landman or broker researches the public records to verify the legal owner of the mineral interest in the property and may obtain legal title opinions, although in many instances the title work will not be performed until shortly before drilling commences. The landman or broker then negotiates the lease terms with the landowner. Leases on state-owned properties are normally awarded through a bidding process, with leases granted to the highest bidder. Leases on federally owned properties located offshore or on known geological structures, as well as certain other properties, are also awarded by bidding. Leases on most federally owned properties located onshore are awarded through lease application systems with a standard fee.

2.02 As discussed under "Exploration" in paragraphs 2.35–2.50, exploration activities may take place prior to acquisition of the mineral rights.

The Lease

2.03 The most important and most commonly found provisions in oil and gas leases are outlined below, but it is important that oil and gas leases be read carefully by the auditor to obtain an understanding of the principal provisions. A standard lease agreement, prepared by the American Association of Petroleum Landmen, is often adapted to fit particular circumstances. Although the basic provisions in leases are similar, each lease may contain unique provisions. These basic provisions are discussed in the following paragraphs.

2.04 Lease Bonus. The lease bonus is the cash or other consideration paid to the lessor by the lessee in return for the lessor's granting the lessee rights to explore for minerals, drill wells, and extract any minerals found. The bonus is computed on a per-acre basis and may range from a few dollars per acre in wildcat locations to thousands of dollars per acre for locations near producing properties. In negotiated leases, the full amount of the bonus may not be specified in the lease agreement.

2.05 Primary Term. The maximum period of time allowed for the lessee to commence drilling a well is referred to as the "primary term," which is normally three to ten years.

2.06 Drilling Obligation. The lease generally stipulates that either drilling operations begin within a specified period (usually one year) or that the lessee make a specified payment (delay rental) to the lessor. In succeeding years, the same drilling obligation exists but can be deferred (and the lease retained) by making the specified payment; however, no provision is made for the extension of the lease by payment of rent beyond the primary term.
2.07 Delay Rentals. The payment made to defer drilling activities for an additional year is called a delay rental. The amount of the delay rental is normally much smaller than the lease bonus.

2.08 Royalty Provisions. The lessor retains a royalty interest in the minerals. This interest entitles the lessor to receive free and clear of all costs a specified portion of the oil and gas produced, or a specified portion of the value of such production, except for (1) the related state severance or production taxes, and (2) certain costs necessary to get the product into a salable condition.

2.09 Production Holds Lease. Once a successful well has been drilled and commercial production is begun, the lease usually remains in effect for as long as there is production without extended and indefinite interruption. If production ceases, the operator must act in good faith to resume the extraction of oil or gas within a reasonable time (specified in the lease contract).

2.10 Right to Assign Interest. The lease contract grants each party the right to assign, without approval of the other party, any part or all of its rights and obligations.

2.11 Fixed or Mandatory Rentals. The contract may provide for rental payments that cannot be avoided even though the property is abandoned or drilling has begun. In effect, these payments are deferred bonuses paid on an installment basis.

2.12 Shut-in Royalties. Most lease contracts provide for shut-in royalties, which represent payments by the operator to the royalty owner if a successful well has been drilled but production has not begun within a specified time. Shut-ins frequently occur on properties containing gas and may be caused by the absence of a market, a lack of transportation, the necessity to obtain permission from a governmental unit, or for other reasons. Shut-in payments may or may not be recoverable by the operator out of future amounts accruing to the royalty owner.

2.13 Offset Clause. A common provision called an offset clause requires an operator to drill such offset wells to prevent drainage of oil or gas to another tract that a prudent operator would drill under similar circumstances.

2.14 Compensatory Royalties. Payments known as compensatory royalties are made by oil companies to royalty owners as compensation for the latter's loss of income during periods when the company has not fulfilled its obligation to drill.

2.15 Guaranteed or Minimum Royalties. If leases are acquired on property having a high probability of being productive, the mineral owner may be able to negotiate a provision in the lease requiring the lessee to guarantee the mineral owner a specified minimum royalty payment each month or each year. If the royalty owner's share of net proceeds from production is less than the specified amount, the lessee must pay the difference. Guaranteed payments may be non-recoverable or may be recoverable out of future royalties accruing to the royalty owner.

2.16 Surface Damage. This provision is sometimes incorporated in a mineral lease to require a lessee to pay for any damages to the leasehold that occurs from drilling or operating the lease.
Contract Termination Costs

2.17 Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 146, 1 Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, addresses accounting for contract termination costs. Costs to terminate an operating lease (as defined by FASB Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases) or other contract are (a) costs to terminate the contract before the end of its term or (b) costs that will continue to be incurred under the contract for its remaining term without economic benefit to the entity, as stated in paragraph 14 of FASB Statement No. 146.

2.18 A liability for costs to terminate a contract before the end of its term should be recognized and measured at its fair value* when the entity terminates the contract in accordance with the contract terms. (For example, when the entity gives written notice to the counterparty within the notification period specified by the contract or if the entity has otherwise negotiated a termination with the counterparty.) The guidance in paragraph 6 of FASB Statement No. 146 should be applied in periods subsequent to that date. An example of this would be consolidation of operating locations where business activities are consolidated into a given location. The entity may incur employee relocation costs, operating lease terminations, sale of field location buildings, severance and employee related benefit costs.

2.19 A liability for costs that will continue to be incurred under a contract for its remaining term without economic benefit to the entity should be recognized and measured at its fair value when the entity ceases using the right conveyed by the contract. For example, the right to use a leased property or to receive future goods or services, referred to as the cease-use date. If the contract is an operating lease, the fair value of the liability at the cease-use date should be determined based on the remaining lease rentals, 2 reduced by estimated sublease rentals that could be reasonably obtained for the property, even if the entity does not intend to enter into a sublease. Remaining lease rentals should not be reduced to an amount less than zero. The guidance in paragraph

---

1 Auditors should be aware that FASB Statement No. 146 states that an exit or disposal activity is initiated when management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to an exit or disposal plan or otherwise disposed of a long-lived asset (disposal group) and, if the activity involves the termination of employees, the criteria for a plan of termination in paragraph 8 of FASB Statement No. 146 are met.

* FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB Statement No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FASB Statement No. 157 amends some of the guidance currently presented in this chapter. This guide will be updated to reflect FASB Statement No. 157 closer to its effective date. FASB Statement No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. See the statement for transitional guidance. The disclosure requirements apply in the first interim period of the fiscal year in which the statement is initially applied.

In February 2007, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, which creates a fair value option under which an entity may irrevocably elect fair value as the initial and subsequent measurement attribute for certain financial assets and financial liabilities on a contract-by-contract basis, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings as those changes occur. The statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins on or before November 15, 2007, provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157.

2 The remaining lease rentals should be adjusted for the effects of any prepaid or deferred items recognized under the lease.
6 of FASB Statement No. 146 should be applied for periods subsequent to the cease-use date. Example 4 of Appendix A, FASB Statement No. 146, illustrates costs to terminate an operating lease.

2.20 Disclosures. In accordance with paragraph 20 of FASB Statement No. 146, an entity should disclose the following information in the financial statements that include the period in which an exit or disposal activity is initiated and any subsequent period until the activity is completed:

a. A description of the exit or disposal activity, including the facts and circumstances leading to the expected activity and the expected completion date.

b. For each type of cost associated with the activity (for example, contract termination costs and other associated costs):

(1) The total amount expected to be incurred in connection with the activity, the amount incurred in the period, and the cumulative amount incurred to date.

(2) A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability balances showing separately the changes during the period attributable to costs incurred and charged to expense, costs paid or otherwise settled, and any adjustments to the liability with an explanation of the reason(s) therefore.

c. The line item(s) in the income statement in which the costs in (b) above are aggregated.

d. For each reportable segment, the total amount of costs expected to be incurred in connection with the activity, the amount incurred in the period, and the cumulative amount incurred to date, net of any adjustments to the liability with an explanation of the reason(s) therefore.

e. If a liability for a cost associated with the activity is not recognized because fair value cannot be reasonably estimated, that fact and the reasons therefore.

Other Considerations—Acquisition

2.21 Almost all transactions related to oil and gas activities have their foundations in the lease contract.

2.22 Oil and gas producers may also acquire interests in properties that have already been leased and perhaps drilled and developed by others. This is usually accomplished by assigning all the rights and obligations of the original lessee through a sale or by acquiring the operating interest subject to a nonoperating interest retained by the original lessee (sublease). Typically, the assignment contract specifies an agreed-on value of well and lease equipment, and the balance of purchase price is deemed to be applicable to the mineral rights obtained.

2.23 When a fee interest in property is acquired, the transaction is similar to a typical real estate transaction in that the acquirer may have all the interests in a property and not just mineral interests.

2.24 After mineral rights have been acquired through purchase or lease, several years may elapse before drilling begins. Economic or market conditions may delay development. During that period, the holder of the rights may be
required to pay ad valorem taxes and pay other carrying costs in addition to possible delay rentals or minimum royalty payments.

2.25 The company will usually maintain a prospect file or a lease file, or both, for each property. These files generally include, as a minimum, a copy of the lease, the survey or other legal description of the property, and the title opinions. As the prospect develops, the lease file will include additional documents such as AFEs, division orders, purchase contracts (if applicable), operating agreements, and producer-status certifications.

2.26 The lessee should keep abreast of the timing of delay rental payments and reassignment obligations. If delay rental payments are not made when due, the lease contract expires. It is important that lease rentals be paid on properties that the lessee does not wish to surrender. Customarily, the lessee may avoid all obligations and give up all rights and responsibilities by simply failing to pay rentals when due, or the lessee may terminate the contract at any other time by executing a formal lease surrender or a quit claim deed. In cases where the original lease has been assigned and an overriding royalty or other type of interest is retained, a reassignment clause may be executed. If so, the assignee would be required to notify the assignor in advance of an intent to permit the lease to lapse.

2.27 If the lessee wishes to retain a property whose primary term is about to expire but on which drilling has not yet begun, an extension of the original lease may be agreed to by both parties upon an additional payment by the lessee, or a top lease (a new lease contract on the same property) may be executed, usually involving an additional bonus payment by the lessee. A top lease may also be taken by a third party, in expectation of the expiration of the existing lease.

Accounting for Acquisition Costs

2.28 Successful Efforts. Under the successful efforts method, costs associated with the acquisition of leases are capitalized when incurred. These consist of costs incurred in obtaining a mineral interest in a property, such as the costs of lease bonuses and options to lease, brokers’ fees, recording fees, legal costs, and other similar costs in acquiring property interests.

2.29 Unproved properties are assessed periodically to determine whether they have been impaired under successful efforts accounting. A property may be considered impaired if, for example, a dry hole has been drilled on a portion of it or in close proximity, and the company has no intention of further drilling on the property. Also, as the expiration of the lease term approaches and the company has not begun drilling on the property or nearby properties, the possibility of partial or total impairment of the property may increase. Impairment on individually significant unproved properties is assessed on a property-by-property basis. If a property is found to be impaired, an impairment allowance is provided, and a loss is recognized in the income statement.

2.30 Unproved properties whose costs are individually insignificant may be amortized in the aggregate or by groups, on the basis of the experience of the company in similar situations and with the consideration of such factors as the primary lease terms, the average holding period of unproved properties, and the relative proportion of such properties on which proved reserves have been found in the past.
2.31 Properties are classified as unproved until proved reserves are discovered on the property. If a property being reclassified as proved has previously been impaired on an individual basis and a valuation allowance has been established, the net amount (acquisition cost minus valuation allowance) is reclassified. If a valuation allowance has been provided on the property on a group basis, the gross acquisition cost is reclassified as proved.

2.32 If an unproved property is surrendered or expires, the cost of the property is charged against the impairment allowance to the extent it has been provided. Any excess basis is charged to loss.

2.33 **Full Cost.** Under the full cost method, all costs associated with the acquisition of properties are capitalized within the appropriate cost center. Prior to 1983, all capitalized costs were included in the full cost pool and became part of the amortizable base; however, in certain circumstances, the cost of unusually significant investments in unproved properties and major development projects could be excluded from costs to be amortized. Effective with the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC's) adoption of Release No. FRR 14, *Oil and Gas Producers—Full Cost Accounting Practices; Amendment of Rules*, section 406.01.c.i., in 1983, all costs directly associated with the acquisition and evaluation of unproved properties may be excluded from the amortization computation until it is determined whether or not proved reserves can be assigned to the properties. The computation of depreciation, depletion, and amortization (DD&A) is further discussed under "Accounting for Production" in paragraphs 2.107–2.124.

2.34 Full cost accounting requires that properties excluded from the amortization computation be assessed at least annually to ascertain whether impairment has occurred. Unevaluated properties whose costs are individually significant are assessed individually. When it is not practicable to individually assess the amount of impairment of properties for which costs are not individually significant, such properties may be grouped for purposes of assessing impairment. The amount of impairment assessed is added to the costs to be amortized. Full cost accounting does not require the assessment of properties included in the amortization computation for impairment; rather, the cost pool in the aggregate is compared to the cost center ceiling. (The cost ceiling is further discussed under "Accounting for Production" in 2.107–2.124) Some companies not subject to SEC regulations follow other methods of computing the cost ceiling.

**Exploration**

2.35 The purpose of geological and geophysical exploration is to obtain information about subsurface geological conditions in an area that can be used in assessing the probability that oil or gas exists in commercial quantities. This involves first locating underground structures or stratigraphic variations that are conducive to the trapping of oil and gas, then carrying out detailed tests to see if drilling is justified.

**Origin and Accumulation of Oil and Gas**

2.36 Oil and gas are generally believed to have originated from organic matter in sedimentary rocks. Layer upon layer of sediment and animal and plant deposits were buried successively until the accumulation became thick, sometimes thousands of feet. Bacteria took oxygen from the trapped organic residues and gradually broke down the matter into substances rich in carbon.
and hydrogen. The weight of the overburden created high pressure and temperature, compacted and squeezed the sediment into hard shales, turned the organic material into oil and gas, and expelled the oil and gas from the shale into reservoir beds.

2.37 Oil and gas are usually not found where they were formed. Source rocks, in which the organic material was originally trapped, are fine-grained and relatively impervious. They rarely hold oil and gas in significant quantities. The oil and gas normally move from the source rock into more porous rocks, then migrate upward through the porous rocks until they reach a structural closure or an impermeable barrier caused by stratigraphic variations. These closures and barriers are called traps and are the cause for accumulation of oil and gas into a pool or field.

2.38 An oil or gas reservoir is often erroneously viewed as a large pool of liquid or gas beneath the earth, like a subterranean pond. In reality, a petroleum reservoir is porous rock capable of containing gas, oil, or water. The petroleum is accumulated in the small pore spaces of the rock. For an oil and gas pool to be formed, the following features must be present:

- There must have been an original source bed of organic material, subjected to the proper temperature and pressure over sufficient time.
- There must be a reservoir rock—a rock filled with pores so the oil or gas can collect (porosity).
- The rock’s pores must be interconnected so the oil or gas can move or migrate (permeability).
- There must be a trap that will cause the oil or gas to collect in a pool and prevent it from moving further upward.

Prospecting for Oil and Gas

2.39 At one time, prospecting for oil and gas merely involved visible sightings of surface accumulations. The primary exploration technique used in many areas was surface geological mapping to define the structural features expressed in the rock outcrops that indicated an oil and gas trap would be present in the subsurface. However, these obvious drilling sites were rapidly developed and subsurface geological and geophysical studies were needed to locate petroleum reservoirs. Several scientific methods were developed, including the seismic method, the magnetic method, and the gravity method. Surface geological studies, however, are still used to locate areas structurally favorable for oil and gas accumulations in new exploration areas. In addition, there have been numerous advances in the use of seismic data such as 3D and 4D. These advanced techniques provide higher resolution which can significantly reduce the risk of drilling dry holes.

2.40 Geological exploration activities include the following: (1) studying the structural configuration of exposed formations on the surface in order to secure information about the structure of parallel subsurface beds; (2) examining the surface for oil or gas seepages, or paraffin residue that indicates past seepage of hydrocarbons; and (3) examining subsurface strata through the use of samples taken by core drilling as well as measurements of certain physical properties of the sample rocks, such as resistivity and radioactivity. In addition, geologists and other scientists make many different tests of cuttings brought to the surface in the process of drilling wells.
2.41 Some large companies maintain exploration departments or establish exploration subsidiaries that own or lease geological and geophysical equipment and employ exploration crews and scientists. Most companies, both large and small, contract with exploration and oil industry service companies to carry out their exploration.

2.42 If an outside contractor is used, the contract normally contains detailed provisions about the following: (1) the area to be covered; (2) the nature of work to be performed; (3) the time period in which the exploration is to be carried out; (4) the nature of reports to be made; and (5) rules for insuring security of data, as well as other provisions.

2.43 When the operating company maintains its own exploration department, it is customary for costs of that department to be accumulated and allocated to exploration activities and projects. The allocation is based on standardized charges, such as cost per day for a crew, costs per shot-point for seismic work, hourly basis for engineers, and the like. Frequently, employment contracts with geologists or geophysicists call for the employee to receive ownership interests in leases acquired as the result of exploration.

2.44 For control purposes, exploration is undertaken on a project basis. A project area is usually the maximum size that can be efficiently explored under a coordinated exploration program. A preliminary reconnaissance of the project area using magnetometers, gravimeters, aerial photography, and surface geology seeks to define areas of interest for oil and gas accumulations that justify more intensive exploration through seismic shooting or core drilling. The detailed exploration is conducted to determine more specific prospective areas for evaluation with drilling and may be conducted either before or after acquiring the lease.

2.45 Once the seismic data have been analyzed and the leases acquired, the company determines the exact spot for drilling. Wells drilled in an unproved area are referred to as "exploratory" wells. The more risky exploratory wells are referred to as "wildcat" wells and are often drilled in areas that have not previously yielded commercial production.

Other Considerations—Exploration

2.46 Some exploration can be conducted without direct access to privately owned land surface; for example, photography and gravimetric and magnetic measurements can be conducted from planes or satellites, and studies of surface strata can be made from creek beds or river beds and from public roads and railroads cut through hills. However, to gain access to private land the operator must secure permission from landowners. This transaction may involve a "rights to explore only" contract, which permits the operator to conduct exploration on the property but does not provide for subsequently leasing acreage. Permission may also be granted through a "rights to explore with option to acquire acreage" contract. This agreement calls for the operator to make a payment at the time the contract is signed, and it gives the operator not only the right to explore but also the right to lease all or part of the acreage by paying a specified bonus per acre within the option period (often six months).

2.47 Holders of mineral properties may make cash contributions to other operators who are drilling wells on nearby properties in exchange for which the operator conducting drilling provides the contributor with geological data, including samples from the well being drilled. Sometimes the transaction involves
a "bottom hole contribution," calling for cash to be paid when the well has been drilled to a specific geological formation or to a specified depth. In other cases, the transaction involves a "dry hole contribution," which provides that the contribution is to be made only if the well being drilled does not produce commercial reserves. If the well is a producer, no contribution is made.

### Accounting for Exploration Costs

**2.48 Exploration costs incurred in the geological and geophysical activities are commonly referred to as "G&G costs." G&G costs include the following: costs of topographical, geological, and geophysical studies; rights of access to properties to conduct those studies; and salaries and other expenses of geologists, geophysical crews, or others conducting those studies. Also included in exploration costs are expenses of carrying and retaining undeveloped properties, dry hole and bottom hole contributions, costs of drilling and equipping exploratory wells, and costs of drilling exploratory-type stratigraphic test wells.**

**2.49 Successful Efforts.** Under the successful efforts method, G&G costs, costs of carrying and retaining undeveloped properties such as delayed rentals, ad valorem taxes on properties, legal costs for title defense, maintenance of land and lease records, and dry hole and bottom hole contributions are charged to expense as incurred. The costs of drilling exploratory and exploratory-type stratigraphic test wells are capitalized, pending determination of whether the well can produce proved reserves. If it is determined the well will not produce proved reserves, the capitalized costs, net of any salvage value, are charged to expense. See "Accounting for Drilling and Development Costs" in paragraphs 2.64–2.71.

**2.50 Full Cost.** Under the full cost method, all costs associated with the exploration of properties are capitalized within an appropriate cost center. These cost centers are established on a country-by-country basis. The costs become part of the full cost pool.

### Drilling and Development

**2.51** Although most wells drilled by oil and gas operators are intended to find oil and gas and to extract minerals, some wells are drilled solely to obtain geological information (stratigraphic test wells) or to facilitate production (gas or water injection wells). Wells drilled within the proved area of an oil or gas reservoir to the depth of a stratigraphic horizon known to be productive are classified as development wells; other wells drilled to find oil and gas are called exploratory wells.

**2.52** Various drilling methods exist. Rotary drilling is by far the most prevalent method. Rotary drilling, as the name implies, involves the application of a rotating motion to a drill bit to bore a hole into the earth. A drilling fluid ("mud") is continually circulated in the drilled hole to flush the cuttings from the hole as it is drilled.

**2.53** Although well bores are normally planned to be drilled vertically, it is sometimes necessary or advantageous to drill at an angle, especially in offshore operations. Directional drilling makes it possible to drill a number of wells using the same rig from the same surface location. Directional drilling has other applications. Wells may be drilled from the shoreline and deflected to reach a reservoir offshore. It is also used, among other things, for exploratory drilling to
locate the fault plane of a structure. Horizontal drilling is a type of directional drilling used where the departure of the wellbore from vertical exceeds about 80 degrees. Because a horizontal well typically penetrates a greater length of the reservoir, it can offer significant production improvement over a vertical well.

The Drilling Contract

2.54 Operators may carry out drilling activities using their own rigs, or they may hire independent drilling contractors to drill wells. The terms of drilling contracts vary widely, but most involve footage-rate contracts, day-rate contracts, turnkey contracts, or a combination of the three.

2.55 Under footage-rate contracts, the drilling contractor is paid a fixed amount per foot drilled to a specified depth or a number of feet below a geological formation. The drilling contractor provides the rig, the drilling crew, and certain materials and supplies. The operator may provide drilling mud and normally provides all well equipment. In a footage-rate contract, some of the risk of drilling is shifted from the operator to the drilling contractor. If the rig is idle through no fault of the driller, a daily or hourly charge generally is specified. If the rig can only drill a few feet per day because of hard rock or other problems, the drilling contractor bears the economic adversity.

2.56 Under day-rate contracts, the operator is charged a specified sum per day for the use of a drilling rig and drilling crew, which may vary depending on whether the rig is drilling or idle, the extent of equipment furnished, or other factors. The cost of a well bore hole is a function of the speed of the rig, the depth to be drilled, the geological formation encountered, and other drilling factors. Typically, under day-rate contracts, the drilling contractor furnishes the rig and crew, but the operator provides supplies, mud, and services.

2.57 Under a turnkey contract, the contractor guarantees to the operator a hole drilled to a specified depth. The drilling contractor bears most of the risk of adversity associated with drilling costs. Turnkey contracts usually specify completing a well to a certain point—such as to casing point, to completion, to tanks, or the like. The drilling contract specifies the point or points at which payment is to be made by the operator.

2.58 Frequently, an operator will assign an economic interest in the leasehold to another party in return for the latter's assumption of the cost of drilling a well. These drilling arrangements are discussed under "Conveyances" in paragraphs 2.136-2.147.

Completing or Plugging and Abandoning the Well

2.59 Once the well has been drilled to total depth, the operator evaluates the evidence to determine whether the costs of completion can be justified. This is often referred to as "casing point." Completion of the well does not necessarily mean the well will be profitable. Generally, the well will be completed if the expected revenues exceed the incremental completion costs and the expected operating expenses. Therefore, even though total costs, including drilling costs, may not be recovered, completion may be economically justified. It is common in the industry—particularly in promoted ventures—for costs to be shared in different percentages depending on whether they are incurred before casing point or after casing point. The determination of this cut-off point can be very important in the allocation.
2.60 In completing the well, casing is set and cemented into the hole, which seals off the producing formation. The most widely used method of completion is to perforate the casing with explosive charges that puncture through the casing and cement into the formation so the oil and gas can enter the well bore. Depending on the permeability of the formation, it may also be necessary to fracture or acidize the formation to obtain the desired flow of oil and gas. These are specialized services, generally performed by independent well service companies.

2.61 Completion of the well also involves the installation of equipment. The specific equipment required will depend on the nature of the well, whether oil or gas or both are produced, the availability of pipelines, and other factors.

Developing the Reservoir

2.62 Normally, a single well is not sufficient to complete the development of a reservoir. Additional wells usually increase the ultimate quantity of oil or gas to be extracted from the reservoir. They also affect the timing of the extraction and thus the present value of the income stream. While the presence of an oil and gas reservoir was established through the drilling of the discovery well, the property may or may not have sufficient potential reserves to warrant the further expenditures required for the complete development. Core samples, along with pressure tests, flow tests and rates, fluid analyses, and other geological data, are used in deciding whether to continue with development. Assuming that a successful discovery well has been drilled, drilling and development will continue until the boundaries of the reservoir are delineated.

The Regulatory Environment

2.63 Various state agencies issue regulations concerning well spacing limitations, rules regarding unitization of reservoirs, and allowable maximum production limits. Normally, the operator must obtain permits before exploration or drilling commences. Reports on well depths and results of drilling activities must be filed with the applicable agency. For example, if a well is determined to be dry or commercially unproductive, a plugging report is filed with the state agency. If a well is completed, various types of information, including production, must be filed on a regular basis with the appropriate state and federal agencies, including the Department of Energy (DOE), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and the Minerals Management Service.

Accounting for Drilling and Development Costs

2.64 Successful Efforts. Under the successful efforts method, all costs incurred while drilling an exploratory well are capitalized pending determination of whether the well has found proved reserves. If the well has not found proved reserves, the capitalized costs of drilling the well, net of any salvage value, are charged to expense.† If an exploratory well or exploratory-type stratigraphic test well is in progress at the end of a period and the well is determined not to have found proved reserves before the financial statements for that period are issued, the costs incurred through the end of the period, net of any salvage

† FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 19-1, Accounting for Suspended Well Costs, provides guidance on the accounting and disclosure for exploratory well costs and amends paragraphs 31–34 of FASB Statement No. 19. The guidance in the FSP applies to entities that use the successful efforts method of accounting. Readers should refer to the entire FSP at www.fasb.org for additional guidance.
value, are charged to expense for that period (FASB Interpretation No. 36, Accounting for Exploratory Wells in Progress at the End of a Period).

2.65 All drilling and completion costs that directly lead to the extraction and production of oil and gas reserves and all development dry holes are capitalized. Capitalized costs are accumulated by cost centers, which provide a means whereby costs can be collected and amortized against the revenues therefrom. For amortization purposes, the cost center is the individual property or an aggregation of properties in the same field or reservoir.

2.66 Because development dry holes are capitalized and exploratory dry holes are expensed, the distinction between them is extremely important and should be made by the company prior to drilling.

2.67 Full Cost. A company that employs the full cost method of accounting capitalizes all costs associated with the drilling and completion of a well, regardless of whether or not it results in the discovery of oil and gas reserves.

2.68 Interest Capitalization. Interest capitalization may be accounted for quite differently under the full cost and successful efforts methods. A significant difference may occur between these two methods when a company following the full cost method does not elect to exclude costs of unevaluated properties from costs to be amortized.

2.69 FASB Interpretation No. 33, Applying FASB Statement No. 34 to Oil and Gas Producing Operations Accounted for by the Full Cost Method, states that assets whose costs are being currently depreciated, depleted, or amortized are assets in use in the earnings activities of the enterprise and are not assets qualifying for capitalization of interest.

2.70 Under the successful efforts method, capitalized costs of each property represent the company's assets. When a property is ready for production to commence, the capitalized costs of that property are considered "in the earnings activities of the enterprise," and are no longer qualifying assets. Costs of successful and unsuccessful exploratory efforts, including related leasehold costs, incurred on a property are qualifying assets until the property is ready for production to commence.

2.71 Under both methods, capitalized interest is attached to the qualifying costs on which the interest was computed and amortized in the same manner as those costs.

Oil and Gas Reserves

2.72 The discovery of oil and gas reserves is the primary objective of exploration and development activities. In order to assure its long-term existence, an oil and gas producing company must continue to replace the reserves produced with newly discovered reserves.

2.73 Reserve determinations have a significant effect on a company's results of operations and financial position. For a company following the successful efforts method of accounting, exploratory wells discovering proved oil and gas reserves will be capitalized instead of being expensed. Additionally, for both the successful efforts and full cost methods of accounting, amortization of capitalized costs is computed by means of the unit-of-production method, based on proved reserves. Further, under the full cost method, there is a limitation on capitalized costs in each cost center based primarily on a calculation of future
net revenues from estimated production of proved oil and gas reserves. See "Full Cost Ceiling" in paragraph 2.119.

2.74 Reserves are classified as either proved or potential (potential reserves can be further categorized as probable and possible). Only proved reserves are used for accounting purposes.

**Proved Reserves**

2.75 Proved oil and gas reserves (as defined by the FASB and the SEC) are the estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions (prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made). Prices include consideration of fixed and determinable changes in existing prices provided only by contractual arrangements, but not on escalations based on future conditions.

2.76 Reservoirs are considered proved if economic producibility is supported by either actual production or a conclusive formation test. The area of a reservoir considered proved includes (1) that portion delineated by drilling and defined by gas-oil or oil-water contacts or both, if any, and (2) the immediately adjoining portions not yet drilled, but which can be reasonably judged as economically productive on the basis of available geological and engineering data. In the absence of information on fluid contacts, the lowest known structural occurrence of hydrocarbons controls the lower proved limit of the reservoir.

2.77 Reserves that can be produced economically through application of improved recovery techniques (such as fluid injection) are included in the proved classification when successful testing by a pilot project, or the operation of an installed program in the reservoir, provide support for the engineering analysis on which the project or program was based.

2.78 **Proved Developed Reserves.** Proved developed oil and gas reserves are reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. Additional oil and gas expected to be obtained through the application of fluid injection or other improved recovery techniques for supplementing the natural forces and mechanisms of primary recovery should be included as proved developed reserves only after testing by a pilot project or after the operation of an installed program has confirmed, through production response, that increased recovery will be achieved.

2.79 **Proved Undeveloped Reserves.** Proved undeveloped oil and gas reserves are proved reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion. Reserves on undrilled acreage are limited to those drilling units that offset productive units and that are reasonably certain of production when drilled. Proved reserves for other undrilled units can be claimed only where it can be demonstrated with certainty that there is continuity of production from the existing productive formation. Under no circumstances should estimates for proved undeveloped reserves be attributable to any acreage for which an application of fluid injection or other improved recovery technique is contemplated, unless such techniques have been proved effective by actual tests in the area and in the same reservoir.
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2.80 Subdivisions. Although variations in terminology occur depending on the engineer responsible for the study, it is quite common to find reserve classifications further divided as follows:

- **Producing reserves**—Those reserves estimated to be recoverable from zones currently open and producing.
- **Shut-in reserves**—Those reserves estimated to be recovered from zones in which completions have been made in wells ready to produce and awaiting connection to delivery facilities.
- **Behind-pipe reserves**—Those reserves behind casing in producing wells.

**Potential Reserves**

2.81 Proved reserves have industry and regulatory definitions, but there are no such standards for potential reserves, which are often referred to as probable and possible. These reserve classifications are not subject to SEC disclosure. However, since potential reserves are commonly used terms in the industry, these definitions are offered as examples.

2.82 **Probable Reserves.** Probable reserves are those that are supported by favorable engineering and geological data but are subject to some element of risk, which prevents classification as proved reserves.

2.83 **Possible Reserves.** Possible reserves include speculative reserves where risk is relatively high. Usually, reserves to be included as possible are those that depend on some favorable development or event (such as creation of a unit to conduct fluid-injection operations or remedial work to correct a mechanical defect) that is not predictable with sufficient accuracy.

**Definitional Problems**

2.84 As indicated by the foregoing definitions, the classification of reserves is highly complex. Although the definition of proved reserves cited is from SEC regulations, it was derived directly from similar definitions developed by the Society of Petroleum Engineers of the American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers. The definition of probable and possible reserves can vary significantly from one engineer to another.

**Determination of Reserves**

2.85 Reserve estimates are prepared by persons such as petroleum reservoir engineers and geologists with the specialized knowledge and experience required to estimate oil and gas reserves. The engineers may be either employees of the company or independent reservoir engineers. Reserve studies may also be prepared using various assumptions, each for different purposes.

2.86 Reserve estimates or studies are used for a variety of purposes, including—

- A basis for financing or investment decisions.
- A basis for management's estimates of internally generated cash flow and as input for better operational decisions.
- A basis for computing the depreciation, depletion, and amortization rates used in the systematic allocation of capitalized costs to the production function.
Disclosure information about a producing company's resources, which is used in financial reporting to lenders, investors, analysts, and the SEC.

A basis for determining cost ceiling limitations.

2.87 The initial evaluation of a well or wells is made to determine whether sufficient reserves have been discovered to justify developing the property. This evaluation is usually prepared by employees of the company based on log and core data, drill stem tests, and other available information.

2.88 Oil and gas companies should revise reserve estimates whenever there is an indication of the need for revision, at least annually. The reserve estimates prepared for this purpose are usually made as of the company's year-end. In many cases the estimate is prepared by independent reservoir engineers.

2.89 *Preparation of Estimates.* The Society of Petroleum Engineers has adopted standards pertaining to the estimating and auditing of oil and gas reserve information by qualified engineers and geologists. A general understanding of the methods of, and limitations on, estimating proved reserves may be helpful to the auditor.

2.90 The following information may be used to develop reserve quantity information:

- Area and thickness of the productive zone
- Porosity of the reservoir rock
- Permeability of the reservoir rock to fluids
- Oil, gas, and water saturation
- Physical characteristics of oil and gas
- Depth to the producing formation
- Reservoir pressure and temperature
- Production history of the reservoir
- Ownership of the oil and gas property

2.91 Estimates of the reserve quantities that are economically recoverable also include consideration of estimated selling prices as well as development and production costs. The methods used to estimate recoverable reserves vary with the amount and nature of the above information that is available. After a discovery, volumetric calculations are frequently used to estimate the volume of oil and gas in-place. The in-place volume is then converted into recoverable reserves by use of an estimated recovery factor. This factor is initially based on experience in the area and the type of reservoir drive. As production data become available, it is possible to estimate reserves from reservoir performance as well as from volumetric calculations. The methods used for these combination-type procedures include material-balance calculations, decline curves, and rate cumulative curves.

2.92 *Precision of Estimates.* According to the Society of Petroleum Engineers, the reliability of reserve information is considerably affected by several factors. Initially, it should be noted that reserve information is imprecise.

---

because of the inherent uncertainties in, and the limited nature of, the data base upon which the estimating of reserve information is predicated. Moreover, the methods and data used in estimating reserve information are necessarily often indirect or analogical in character rather than direct or deductive. Furthermore, the persons estimating reserve information are required, in applying generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles, to make numerous judgments based solely on their educational background, their professional training, and their professional experience. The extent and significance of the judgments to be made are, in themselves, sufficient to render reserve information inherently imprecise.

2.93 Reports. The reserve estimation process culminates in the preparation of a reserve report or reserve study. The cover letter to a reserve report should indicate the level of responsibility assumed by the estimator. For example, some reports are based solely on information obtained from the client, without corroboration. Others are prepared based on an independent review of relevant data. The degree of responsibility assumed by the estimator may affect the extent to which the auditor can rely on the report. Generally, the study will contain a page for each reserve classification of each well. Summary pages are included for each reserve classification of each lease or field, and there usually is a summary page for the company total by each reserve classification. Each well page normally identifies the location, the operator, and the revenue and working interest attributable to the estimated interest. Exhibits 2-1, 2-2, and 2-3 are illustrations of a summary reserve report and a reserve report for an individual well.

2.94 The reserve study may present both net unrecovered reserve volume amounts and associated cash flow from production by year. Dollar values are generally attributed only to the subject producer's interest in projected annual production. Amounts presented for each remaining year of a property's economic life are—

- Production of gas and oil, unit prices, and gross revenues. Production prices are based on current prices, which include consideration of changes in existing prices provided by law, regulatory agencies, or contractual arrangements.
- Production expenses, including production taxes, operating expenses, WPT, and equipment and development costs. Production expenses generally do not include provisions for depletion, depreciation, or amortization. Further, reserve studies assume consumption of equipment during a property's producing life and do not ordinarily consider residual values of equipment or reclamation costs.
- Future net income (revenues less production expenses) or cash flow.
- Discounted (present) value of cash flow, generally computed at various rates.

Production

2.95 After the well is completed, the production phase begins. In the case of gas wells, the pressure in the reservoir is usually sufficient so that the gas expands into the well bore when the well is opened and flows to the surface. Oil
wells, however, may be flowing wells, or they may require mechanical equipment that provides artificial lift to raise the oil to the surface.

2.96 However the product is lifted from the well, fluids produced are directed to a central gathering point, often a tank battery. Some fields may be equipped with lease automatic custody transfer (LACT) units that automatically perform the following tasks: (1) measure the oil's temperature, gravity, and volume; (2) drain off basic sediment and water (BS&W); and (3) run the oil from the tank into the pipeline. The well area normally has all the equipment necessary to field-separate oil, gas, and water, as well as having adequate storage for the oil from the time it is produced until it is sold. Oil generally contains a certain amount of gas in solution, and usually some provision must be made to separate the gas from the oil before placing the oil in the storage tanks. The well fluids enter the oil and gas separator near the center, and the gas is removed from the top while the liquid (oil or water) is removed from the bottom.

2.97 At this point, the liquid is likely to contain a certain amount of water, which must be removed before the oil can be sold. For this purpose, it may be necessary to heat the liquid by passing it through a continuous type of heater. Generally, this is done in a "heater-treater," which heats the oil and water mixture, separating the water from the oil in a single operation.

2.98 The tanks in the tank battery that are used to store the oil vary in number and size, depending on the production of the lease and the frequency of the oil runs. Each tank has a strapping table that converts the feet and inches measurement of oil in the tank to barrels of oil. There is a drain at the bottom of the tank for draining the BS&W.

2.99 When the tank is full or at another predetermined time, the oil is "run," or delivered to a pipeline, tank car, or tank truck. The pipeline outlet valve on the tank is sealed with a metal seal while the tank is being filled from the well and is locked open when the tank is being emptied. This assures the pipeline company and the producer that only oil in a particular tank is entering the pipeline company's lines.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED 88 THS PRODUCTION</th>
<th>COMPANY NET PRODUCTION</th>
<th>AVERAGE PRICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td>463,782</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder</td>
<td>28,569</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>492,351</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-total...
 Remainder...
 Total...
 Future...
 Cumulative...
 Ultimate...
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### COMPANY FUTURE GROSS REVENUE (FGR)-MS$  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>From Oil/Cond</th>
<th>From Products</th>
<th>From Gas</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total (Oil/Cond)</th>
<th>Plant Prod./ Other</th>
<th>Gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>738.223</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1,289.113</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2,027.735</td>
<td>29.860</td>
<td>96.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>656.224</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>973.360</td>
<td>1,629.584</td>
<td>26.487</td>
<td>72.901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>561.905</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>730.980</td>
<td>1,212.769</td>
<td>23.450</td>
<td>54.733</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>518.905</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>550.749</td>
<td>1,069.654</td>
<td>20.890</td>
<td>41.224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>464.146</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>415.014</td>
<td>879.160</td>
<td>18.667</td>
<td>31.052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>417.348</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>313.527</td>
<td>730.875</td>
<td>16.770</td>
<td>23.448</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>374.138</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>235.606</td>
<td>609.744</td>
<td>15.023</td>
<td>17.610</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>337.138</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>177.563</td>
<td>514.790</td>
<td>13.029</td>
<td>13.270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>304.441</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>133.996</td>
<td>438.457</td>
<td>12.210</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>273.886</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>45.198</td>
<td>319.085</td>
<td>10.968</td>
<td>3.345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>246.567</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>247.726</td>
<td>9.883</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>224.373</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>225.428</td>
<td>8.975</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>204.438</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>205.399</td>
<td>8.178</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>186.966</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>187.875</td>
<td>7.480</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>170.314</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>171.115</td>
<td>6.813</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Total 5,699.325  0.000  4,870.052  0.000  10,569.377  229.159  0.000  364.348  9,975.970  
Remainder 345.550  0.000  1.624  0.000  346.974  13.814  0.000  0.065  333.065  
Total Future 6,044.675  0.000  4,871.676  0.000  10,916.351  242.973  0.000  364.413  10,308.964  

### DEDUCTIONS-MS$  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Operating Costs</th>
<th>Ad Valorem Taxes</th>
<th>Development Costs</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>67.928</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>115.328</td>
<td>1,785.977</td>
<td>1,785.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>56.228</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>103.328</td>
<td>1,426.569</td>
<td>2,321.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>46.886</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>94.086</td>
<td>1,140.501</td>
<td>3,464.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>9.213</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>86.613</td>
<td>920.926</td>
<td>5,272.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>33.214</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>80.614</td>
<td>748.827</td>
<td>6,022.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>28.435</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>75.835</td>
<td>614.822</td>
<td>6,637.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>24.401</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>71.801</td>
<td>505.309</td>
<td>7,142.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>21.157</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>68.557</td>
<td>419.435</td>
<td>7,562.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>47.400</td>
<td>18.472</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>65.872</td>
<td>350.355</td>
<td>7,912.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>32.073</td>
<td>14.811</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>46.884</td>
<td>257.890</td>
<td>8,170.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>12.386</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>33.986</td>
<td>203.831</td>
<td>8,374.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>11.271</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>32.871</td>
<td>183.539</td>
<td>8,557.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>10.270</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>31.870</td>
<td>165.313</td>
<td>8,723.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>9.394</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>30.994</td>
<td>149.366</td>
<td>8,872.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>8.556</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>30.156</td>
<td>134.155</td>
<td>9,006.774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Total 566.673  402.423  0.000  799.096  9,006.774  6,274.361  
Remainder 51.039  17.349  0.000  68.387  9,271.481  53.187  
Total Future 617.711  419.772  0.000  1,037.483  9,271.481  6,327.548  

Life of evaluation is:  
17.37 years
## Exhibit 2-2

### Oil Investors, Inc.

**Estimated Future Reserves and Income Attributable to Certain Interests**

**as of January 1, 2003**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LONG SHOT FIELD, HARRIS COUNTY, TX ACME PRODUCERS-OPERATOR EXAMPLE GAS WELL (FRIIO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### REVENUE INTEREST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Expense</th>
<th>Oil/Cond.</th>
<th>Plant Products</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>$/bbl</th>
<th>$/bbl</th>
<th>$/MCF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INITIAL</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
<td>0.80000000</td>
<td>0.80000000</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINAL</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
<td>0.80000000</td>
<td>0.80000000</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRODUCT PRICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plt.</th>
<th>Oil/Cond. Prod.</th>
<th>Gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$/bbl</td>
<td>$/bbl</td>
<td>$/MCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCOUNTED FUTURE NET INCOME

- **-MS COMPounded MONTHLY**
  - 5.00%: 3,777.797
  - 8.00%: 3,515.034
  - 10.00%: 3,357.423
  - 12.00%: 3,212.175
  - 15.00%: 3,014.781

### ESTIMATED 8/8 THS COMPANY PRODUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Wells</th>
<th>Oil/Cond. Products (Barrels)</th>
<th>Gas (MMCF)</th>
<th>Oil/Cond. Products (Barrels)</th>
<th>Sales Gas (MMCF)</th>
<th>Plant Prod (Barrels)</th>
<th>Oil/Cond. Prod. (Barrels)</th>
<th>Gas (MMCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,296</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>459.245</td>
<td>1.837</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>367.396</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,733</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>346.593</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>277.274</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,301</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>260.137</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>208.110</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>195.862</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156.690</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>147.468</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>117.975</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>111.294</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89.036</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83.533</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66.826</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62.893</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50.315</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47.354</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37.883</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.685</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.548</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Sub-Total**: 8,650 | 0 | 1,730.065 | 6,920 | 0 | 1,384.052 | 28.56 | 0.00 | 3.50 |
| **Remainder**: 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |

- **Total Future**: 8,650 | 0 | 1,730.065 | 6,920 | 0 | 1,384.052 | 28.56 | 0.00 | 3.50 |

### AVERAGE PRICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Oil/Cond. Prod. (Barrels)</th>
<th>Plant Prod (Barrels)</th>
<th>Gas (MMCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>367.396</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>277.274</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>208.110</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>156.690</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>117.975</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>89.036</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>66.826</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>50.315</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>37.883</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>12.548</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Cumulative**: 2,825 | 0 | 566.847 |
- **Ultimate**: 11,475 | 0 | 2,296.912

---
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### COMPANY FUTURE GROSS REVENUE (FGR—M$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>From Oil/Cond.</th>
<th>From Plant Products</th>
<th>From Gas</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>From Oil/Cond.</th>
<th>Production Taxes-M$</th>
<th>FGR After Production Taxes-M$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>52.464</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1,285.886</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1,338.350</td>
<td>2.413</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>96.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>39.595</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>970.460</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1,010.055</td>
<td>1.821</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>72.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>29.718</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>728.384</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>758.102</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>54.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>22.375</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>548.414</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>570.789</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>41.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>16.847</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>412.911</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>429.758</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>30.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>12.714</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>311.625</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>324.339</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>23.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>9.543</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>233.892</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>243.434</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>17.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>7.185</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>176.101</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>183.286</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>13.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5.410</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>132.590</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>137.999</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>9.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1.792</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>43.919</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>45.711</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total:** 197.643 | **Remainder:** 0.000 | **Total Future:** 197.643

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Operating Costs</th>
<th>Ad Valorem Taxes</th>
<th>Development Costs</th>
<th>Other Costs</th>
<th>Total Costs</th>
<th>Undiscounted Annual</th>
<th>Cumulative Discounted @ 10.00%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>33.459</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>59.259</td>
<td>1,180.237</td>
<td>1,180.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>25.251</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>51.051</td>
<td>884.398</td>
<td>2,064.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>18.953</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>44.753</td>
<td>657.354</td>
<td>2,721.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>14.270</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>40.070</td>
<td>488.550</td>
<td>3,210.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>10.744</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>36.544</td>
<td>361.470</td>
<td>3,572.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>8.108</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>33.908</td>
<td>266.474</td>
<td>3,838.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>6.086</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>31.886</td>
<td>193.586</td>
<td>4,032.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>4.582</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>30.382</td>
<td>139.366</td>
<td>4,171.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>3.450</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>29.250</td>
<td>98.556</td>
<td>4,269.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10.473</td>
<td>1.143</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11.615</td>
<td>30.719</td>
<td>4,300.701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total:** 242.673 | **Remainder:** 0.000 | **Total Future:** 242.673

Life of evaluation is: 9.41 years
Final Production rate: 3.042 mmcf/month

### DEDUCTIONS—M$ and FUTURE NET INCOME BEFORE TAXES—M$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Operating Costs</th>
<th>Ad Valorem Taxes</th>
<th>Development Costs</th>
<th>Other Costs</th>
<th>Total Costs</th>
<th>Undiscounted Annual</th>
<th>Cumulative Discounted @ 10.00%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>33.459</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>59.259</td>
<td>1,180.237</td>
<td>1,180.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>25.251</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>51.051</td>
<td>884.398</td>
<td>2,064.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>18.953</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>44.753</td>
<td>657.354</td>
<td>2,721.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>14.270</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>40.070</td>
<td>488.550</td>
<td>3,210.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>10.744</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>36.544</td>
<td>361.470</td>
<td>3,572.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>8.108</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>33.908</td>
<td>266.474</td>
<td>3,838.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>6.086</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>31.886</td>
<td>193.586</td>
<td>4,032.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>4.582</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>30.382</td>
<td>139.366</td>
<td>4,171.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>3.450</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>29.250</td>
<td>98.556</td>
<td>4,269.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10.473</td>
<td>1.143</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11.615</td>
<td>30.719</td>
<td>4,300.701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total:** 242.673 | **Remainder:** 0.000 | **Total Future:** 242.673

Life of evaluation is: 9.41 years
Final Production rate: 3.042 mmcf/month
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# Exhibit 2-3

**Oil Investors, Inc.**  
**Estimated Future Reserves and Income Attributable to Certain Interests**  
as of January 1, 2003

**ROADRUNNER FIELD, SAN JUAN COUNTY,**  
**UT COYOTE OIL COMPANY-OPERATOR**  
**EXAMPLE GAS WELL (9,500' SAND)**

### Estimated 8/8 Ths Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56,763</td>
<td>2.305</td>
<td>24,834</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51,011</td>
<td>2.071</td>
<td>22,317</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45,671</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>19,981</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41,076</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>17,971</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37,003</td>
<td>1.502</td>
<td>16,189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33,474</td>
<td>1.359</td>
<td>14,645</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30,161</td>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>13,196</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27,296</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td>11,942</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24,738</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>10,823</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22,509</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>9,848</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,397</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>8,924</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18,561</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>8,121</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16,912</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>7,399</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15,469</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>6,768</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14,089</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>6,164</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>455,131</td>
<td>0.18.78</td>
<td>199,120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.084</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder</td>
<td></td>
<td>28,569</td>
<td>0.1.160</td>
<td>12,499</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>483,701</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>211,619</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.592</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discounted Future Net Income

- **Initial**: 0.50000000
- **Final**: 0.50000000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>5.00% 3,755.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>8.00% 3,246.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>10.00% 2,970.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>12.00% 2,733.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>15.00% 2,437.527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AVERAGE PRICES

- **Plt. Oil/Cond Prod.** $27.63
- **Plt. Gas** $3.20

### Sub-Total

- **Cumulative** $107,940
- **Ultimate** $591,641

AAG-OGP 2.99
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>From Oil/Cond.</th>
<th>From Plant Products</th>
<th>From Gas</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Oil/Cond.</th>
<th>Prod./ Other</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Undiscounted</th>
<th>FGR AFTER PRODUCTION TAXES-$M$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>686.159</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.226</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>689.385</td>
<td>27.446</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>661.810</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>616.629</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.899</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>619.528</td>
<td>24.665</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>594.747</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>552.071</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.596</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>554.667</td>
<td>22.083</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>532.480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>496.530</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.335</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>498.864</td>
<td>19.861</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>478.910</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>447.299</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>449.403</td>
<td>17.892</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>431.427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>404.634</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.903</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>406.537</td>
<td>16.185</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>390.275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>364.596</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.714</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>366.309</td>
<td>14.584</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>351.657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>329.953</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.551</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>331.504</td>
<td>13.198</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>318.244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>299.031</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.406</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>300.438</td>
<td>11.961</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>288.420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>272.095</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>273.374</td>
<td>10.884</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>262.439</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>246.567</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>247.726</td>
<td>9.863</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>237.817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>224.373</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>225.428</td>
<td>8.975</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>216.411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>204.438</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>205.399</td>
<td>8.178</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>197.183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>186.996</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>187.875</td>
<td>7.480</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>180.360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>170.314</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>171.115</td>
<td>6.813</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>164.271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Total 5,501.683 0.000 25.870 0.000 5,527.552 220.067 0.000 1.035 5,306.450
Remainder 345.350 0.000 1.624 0.000 346.974 13.814 0.000 0.065 333.095
Total Future 5,847.032 0.000 27.494 0.000 5,874.526 233.881 0.000 1.100 5,639.545

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Operating Costs</th>
<th>Ad Valorem Taxes</th>
<th>Development Costs</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Undiscounted</th>
<th>Discounted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>34.469</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>56.069</td>
<td>605.740</td>
<td>577.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>30.976</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>52.576</td>
<td>542.171</td>
<td>467.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>27.733</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>49.333</td>
<td>483.147</td>
<td>377.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>24.943</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>46.543</td>
<td>432.366</td>
<td>305.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>22.470</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>44.070</td>
<td>387.356</td>
<td>247.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>20.327</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>41.927</td>
<td>348.348</td>
<td>201.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>18.315</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>39.915</td>
<td>311.742</td>
<td>163.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>16.575</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>38.175</td>
<td>280.069</td>
<td>132.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>15.022</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>36.622</td>
<td>251.798</td>
<td>108.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>13.669</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>35.269</td>
<td>227.170</td>
<td>88.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>12.386</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>33.986</td>
<td>203.831</td>
<td>71.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>11.271</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>32.871</td>
<td>183.539</td>
<td>58.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>10.270</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>31.870</td>
<td>165.313</td>
<td>47.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>9.394</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>30.994</td>
<td>149.366</td>
<td>38.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>21.600</td>
<td>8.556</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>30.156</td>
<td>134.115</td>
<td>31.651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Total 324.000 0.000 276.378 0.000 600.378 4,706.073 2,916.938
Remainder 51.039 0.000 17.349 0.000 68.387 264.707 53.187
Total Future 375.039 0.000 293.726 0.000 668.765 4,970.780 2,970.125

Life of evaluation is: 17.37 years
Final Production rate: 913 bbl/month

Business Activities of the Oil and Gas Producing Industry
2.100 The oil delivered is measured by gauging the height of oil in the tank before and after delivery. The oil is also tested at this time to determine its gravity or density, its temperature, and its BS&W content. Crude oil prices are posted at a standard base temperature of sixty degrees Fahrenheit, and the value of the crude oil varies with its density. Therefore, these measurements, which are made when measuring the tank's contents, are recorded on the run ticket and are used in converting to net barrels delivered. It is the responsibility of the lease operator to watch the gauging and testing of the oil done by the gauger and to be sure that the measurements are correct.

2.101 When gas is produced, it may be run directly into the gas pipeline after being measured by an "orifice meter." If the gas contains liquid condensates, it may be run through a processing facility to remove the liquids, which are similar to crude oil, before the gas is turned into the pipeline.

2.102 When an outsider purchases oil or gas, settlement is usually made monthly. The purchaser customarily withholds and remits to the state the production or severance taxes on all production. Production taxes or severance taxes may be based on the quantity of production, on the value of production, or on a combination of quantity and value.

Work-Overs

2.103 Occasionally, it is necessary to "work over" a well. Work-overs are remedial operations sometimes required to maintain maximum oil producing rates. For example, when a well begins to produce an excessive amount of salt water, a work-over rig—very similar to a drilling rig but somewhat smaller—is moved onto the well, and remedial operations are conducted.

2.104 As another example, where there is more than one producing interval in the well bore and a lower zone has been depleted, a plug-back to a higher zone is in order. The plug-back can be accomplished with a cement plug in the casing or with a bridge plug—a mechanical device that can be set in the casing to effectively seal off the casing below the point at which it is set.

Improved Recovery Methods

2.105 More than half of the oil originally in place in a reservoir may remain in the reservoir after the cessation of primary operations. To plan operations for maximum economic recovery, usually all wells are tested at regular intervals. Oil wells are tested for the oil producing rate, the gas/oil ratio, the gravity, the saltwater production, and the BS&W. Gas wells are tested to determine their gas producing rates (open-flow potential), the gas/liquid ratios, and the BTU (energy) content. When production rates from primary recovery methods are no longer satisfactory, secondary and enhanced oil recovery, or tertiary, techniques may be used to attain maximum production of the reserves.

Abandonment of Wells and Facilities

2.106 When oil and gas reserves are depleted or when production drops to the point that it is no longer economically feasible to produce, equipment is removed and operations are abandoned. Federal and state regulations and contractual obligations require that wells be plugged, all facilities and equipment removed, and the terrain restored to specified conditions.
Accounting for Production

2.107 Revenues, production costs and expenses, and income taxes are treated in the same manner under full cost and successful efforts accounting, except for DD&A and impairment costs.

2.108 Revenue. Most companies recognize revenue from oil produced at the point of sale—that is, when the oil is run from the tanks. Gas is not stored on the lease; thus, revenue is recognized at the point of production and sale because they are the same. However, some companies record revenue on a cash basis throughout the year, which will require an accrual adjustment at the end of the period under generally accepted accounting principles.

2.109 The company may record the revenue based on the remittance advice received from the purchaser. Generally, proceeds from production are received one to three months after the actual production has occurred. Thus, it may be necessary to estimate revenue, based on prior months’ production and current lease operations (for example, whether the well has been shut in for a work-over or maintenance), in order to prepare financial statements on a timely basis.

2.110‡ Inventory. Oil in the lease tanks at the end of the accounting period is usually ignored for financial reporting purposes and inventory is not recorded because the amount of such oil normally is immaterial to the financial statements.

2.111 When inventory of oil in lease tanks is recorded, valuation methods vary in practice.

2.112 Operating Expenses. Lease operating expenses are charged to expense; examples are pumpers’ wages, fuel or electricity for operating pumping equipment, subsurface maintenance, surface maintenance (such as lease roads and cutting of grass), insurance, ad valorem taxes, producing-well overhead, salt water disposal, fracturing, acidizing, and work-overs to maintain production. One exception to this occurs when a completion is made to a new zone, in which case that portion of the charges allocable to the completion may be accounted for as development or exploratory costs.

2.113 DD&A—Successful Efforts. DD&A of capitalized costs is recorded as the reservoir is produced and depleted. Under successful efforts accounting,
DD&A is based on the unit-of-production method for the following: (1) 
*acquisition costs* of proved properties on the basis of total estimated units of proved 
both developed and undeveloped) reserves and (2) all other costs on the basis 
of total estimated units of proved *developed* reserves. DD&A is computed using 
current-period production divided by beginning reserves (that is, reserves at 
the end of the period plus current-period production) either on a property-by-
property basis or on the basis of some reasonable aggregation of properties with 
a common geological structure or stratigraphic condition, such as a reservoir 
or field.

2.114 If "significant" development costs (such as an off-shore production 
platform) are incurred in connection with a planned group of development wells 
before all of the planned wells have been drilled, it is appropriate to exclude a 
portion of those development costs in determining the DD&A rate until the ad-
ditional development wells have been drilled. Similarly, the proved developed 
reserves that will be produced only after "significant" additional development 
costs are incurred (as in improved recovery) are excluded in computing the 
DD&A rate. Future development costs are not considered when computing the 
DD&A rate under successful efforts accounting. FASB Statement No. 143,* 
*Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations,* amends FASB Statement No. 19. 
FASB Statement No. 143 requires that obligations for dismantlement, restora-
tion, and abandonment costs shall be accounted for in accordance with the pro-
visions of FASB Statement No. 143. Estimated residual salvage values shall 
be taken into account in determining amortization and depreciation rates. A 
detailed discussion of the requirements of FASB Statement No. 143 is provided 
in paragraphs 2.125–2.135.

2.115 When a property contains both oil and gas reserves, the units of 
oil and gas used to compute amortization are converted to a common unit of 
measure on the basis of their relative energy content (see "DD&A—Full Cost" 
in paragraph 2.117) unless (1) the relative proportion of gas to oil is expected 
to continue throughout the life of the property, in which case DD&A may be 
computed on the basis of one of the two minerals only or unless (2) oil or gas 
clearly dominates both the reserves and current production, the DD&A rate 
may be computed on the basis of the dominant mineral only.

2.116 Impairment of proved properties is based on the guidance contained 
in FASB Statement No. 144. See impairment or disposal of long-lived assets at 
paragraphs 1.55–1.64.

2.117 *DD&A—Full Cost.* Full cost companies compute their DD&A of the 
full cost pool on a cost center basis using the depletion rate calculated on the 
unit-of-production method. The DD&A rate is computed on the basis of physical 
units, unless economic circumstances (related to the effects of regulated prices) 
indicate that use of the units-of-revenue method is a more appropriate basis of 
computing DD&A. If physical units are used in the computation, the oil and gas 
must be converted to a common unit of measure on the basis of their approxi-
mate relative energy content (generally, a ratio of six thousand cubic feet (mcf, 
or thousand cubic feet) of gas to one barrel of oil is used); also, the current-period 
production is divided by reserves at the beginning of the period (that is, reserves at 
the end of the period plus current-period production). If the units-of-revenue

---

* FASB Interpretation No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations—an in-
terpretation of FASB Statement No. 143,* clarifies the term conditional asset retirement obligation as 
used in FASB Statement No. 143.
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method is used, the DD&A rate is computed on the basis of current gross revenues divided by the sum of the following: (1) future gross revenues based on current prices (unless fixed and determinable changes in existing prices are provided by contract) from proved reserves and (2) current-period gross revenues. This DD&A rate is multiplied by the sum of (1) unamortized costs in the pool plus (2) estimated future expenditures based on current costs to be incurred in developing proved reserves (specified in the reserve report) plus (3) estimated dismantlement and abandonment costs net of salvage value. In 2004, the SEC issued SAB No. 106. SAB No. 106 provides guidance regarding the interaction of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, with the full cost accounting rules in Article 4-10 of Regulation S-X. FASB Statement No. 143 requires that upon initial recognition of an ARO, the associated asset retirement costs be included in the capitalized costs of the company. Future development expenditures will create an additional ARO when those activities are performed in the future, resulting in the capitalization of additional asset retirement costs once those future development costs are incurred. Upon adoption of FASB Statement No. 143, it remained unclear whether full cost companies should include in their costs to be amortized an amount for future asset retirement costs that are expected to result from future development activities. SAB No. 106 clarifies that companies should estimate the amount of dismantlement and abandonment costs that will be incurred as a result of future development activities of proved reserves and includes those amounts in the costs to be amortized.

2.118 Under certain circumstances prior to 1983, the cost of unusually significant investments in unproved properties and major development projects could be excluded from capitalized costs to be amortized. In September 1983, the SEC adopted Release No. FRR 14, section 406.01.c.i., which provided that the cost of all investments in unproved properties and major development projects expected to entail significant costs could be excluded from capitalized costs to be amortized, subject to the following conditions:

- The properties are to be assessed at least annually for impairment.
- Dry hole costs are included in the amortization base immediately.
- G&G costs that cannot be directly associated with specific unevaluated properties are to be included in the amortization base as incurred.

2.119 Full Cost Ceiling. A full cost company also determines if the value of proved reserves and other mineral assets in the cost center are adequate to recover the unamortized costs in the full cost pool. This test, referred to as the full cost ceiling test, is to be computed for each full cost center. Specifically, under SEC requirements as discussed in Rule 4-10(c)(4) of Regulation S-X, the net unamortized costs less related deferred income taxes should not exceed the following: (a) the present value of estimated future net revenues computed by applying current prices of oil and gas reserves (with consideration of price changes only to the extent provided by contractual arrangements and hedge adjusted prices4) to estimated future production of proved oil and gas reserves.

4 In May 2003, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 103, Update of Codification of Staff Accounting Bulletins. SAB No. 103 requires the computation of the limitation of capitalized costs to include the impact of cash flow hedges. The price used in the calculation should only include (continued)
as of the date of the latest balance sheet presented plus (b) the cost of unproved properties and major development projects not being amortized plus (c) the lower of cost or estimated fair value of unproved properties included in costs being amortized less (d) the income tax effects on the differences between the amount computed above and the tax basis of the properties involved. Any excess is charged to expense and separately disclosed during the year in which the excess occurs. Even if the cost ceiling subsequently increases, the write-off is not reinstated. However, events occurring subsequent to year-end can be considered in determining a write-down. For example, if additional reserves become proved on properties owned at year-end or price increases become known that were not fixed and determinable as of year-end, the resulting increases in present value can be considered in computing the cost ceiling.

2.120 SAB No. 106 provides guidance regarding the interaction of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, which states that a company must recognize a liability for an asset retirement obligation at fair value in the period in which the obligation is incurred, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The company also must initially capitalize the associated asset retirement costs by increasing long-lived oil and gas assets by the same amount as the liability. Any asset retirement costs capitalized pursuant to FASB Statement No. 143 are subject to the full cost ceiling limitation under Rule 4-10(c)(4) of Regulation S-X. If after adoption of FASB Statement No. 143, a company were to continue calculating the full cost ceiling by reducing expected future net revenues by the cash flows required to settle the ARO, then the effect would be to "double-count" such costs in the ceiling test. This would result as the assets that must be recovered would be increased while the future net revenues available to recover the assets would continue to be reduced by the amount of the ARO settlement cash flows. SAB No. 106 clarified that the estimated cash outflows associated with AROs that have been accrued on the balance sheet should be excluded from the estimated future net revenues that are used in the ceiling test.

2.121 SAB No. 106 also requires the company to provide certain disclosures in order to inform financial statement users on the interaction of FASB Statement No. 143 and the full cost rules. The disclosures in the financial statement notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis should explain in detail how the adoption of FASB Statement No. 143 impacts its accounting for oil and gas operations. This disclosure is expected to address each area of accounting that is impacted or expected to be impacted and should specifically address each way that the company's application of full cost accounting has changed as the hedge adjustment if the hedge instrument is qualified as a hedging instrument under FASB Statement No. 133, as amended. The use of hedge-adjusted prices should be consistently applied in all reporting periods, including periods in which the hedge-adjusted price is less than the current spot market price. Oil and gas producers whose computation of the ceiling limitation includes hedge-adjusted prices because of the use of cash flow hedges also should consider the disclosure requirements under SOP 94-6, Disclosure of Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties. Paragraph 14 of SOP 94-6 calls for disclosure when it is at least reasonably possible that the effects of cash flow hedges on capitalized costs on the reported balance sheet date will change in the near term due to one or more confirming events, such as potential future changes in commodity prices. In addition, the use of cash flow hedges in calculating the ceiling limitation may represent a type of critical accounting policy that oil and gas producers should consider disclosing consistently with cautionary advice provided in FR-60. Through this release, the SEC has encouraged companies to include, within their MD&A disclosures, full explanations, in plain English, of the judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of critical accounting policies, and the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions.
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a result of adoption of FASB Statement No. 143. These disclosures and discussions should include, but are not limited to, how the company's calculation of the ceiling test and depreciation, depletion, and amortization are affected by the adoption of FASB Statement No. 143.

2.122 It should be recognized that some companies not subject to SEC requirements follow other methods of computing the cost ceiling.

2.123 Abandonments. Under the successful efforts method, no gain or loss is recognized normally if only an individual well or a single item of equipment is abandoned as long as the well is part of a group of proved properties constituting an amortization base and the remaining properties continue to produce. The asset abandoned or retired is presumed to be fully amortized, and its cost is charged against the accumulated DD&A. Only when the last well or property ceases to produce and the entire property is abandoned does gain or loss become recognized. However, if a catastrophic event or other major abnormality results in partial abandonment or retirement of a proved property or wells or related facilities, a loss is recognized at the time of abandonment or retirement. FASB Statement No. 144 amends FASB Statement No. 19; see paragraph 1.62 for the changes related to proved and unproved properties.

2.124 Under full cost accounting, abandonment or retirement of proved properties, wells, and related facilities does not result in any gain or loss being recognized.

Asset Retirement Obligations#

2.125 FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs such as plug and abandonment and platform dismantlement. It applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and (or) the normal operation of a long-lived asset, except for certain obligations of lessees. As used in FASB Statement No. 143, a legal obligation is an obligation that a party is required to settle as a result of an existing or enacted law, statute, ordinance, or written or oral contract or by legal construction of a contract under the doctrine of a promissory estoppel.

2.126 FASB Statement No. 143 replaces paragraph 37 of FASB Statement No. 19 with the following:

Obligations for dismantlement, restoration, and abandonment costs should be accounted for in accordance with the provisions of FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. The estimated residual values should be taken into account in determining amortization and depreciation rates.

2.127 FASB Statement No. 143 requires that the fair value* of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. If a reasonable estimate of fair value cannot be made in the period the asset retirement obligation is incurred, the liability shall be recognized when a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made.

# See footnote # in paragraph 2.114.
* See footnote * in paragraph 2.18.
2.128 As stated in paragraph 11 of FASB Statement No. 143, upon initial recognition of a liability for an asset retirement obligation, an entity shall capitalize an asset retirement cost by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset by the same amount as the liability. An entity shall subsequently allocate that asset retirement cost to expense using a systematic and rational method over its useful life.

2.129 As stated in paragraph 13 of FASB Statement No. 143, in periods subsequent to initial measurement, an entity shall recognize period-to-period changes in the liability for an asset retirement obligation resulting from (a) the passage of time and (b) revisions to either the timing or the amount of the original estimate of undiscounted cash flows. An entity shall measure and incorporate changes due to the passage of time into the carrying amount of the liability before measuring changes resulting from a revision to either the timing or the amount of estimated cash flows.

2.130 An entity shall measure changes in the liability for an asset retirement obligation due to passage of time by applying an interest method of allocation to the amount of the liability at the beginning of the period, as stated in paragraph 14 of FASB Statement No. 143. The interest rate used to measure that change shall be the credit-adjusted risk-free rate that existed when the liability, or portion thereof, was initially measured. That amount, referred to as accretion expense, shall be recognized as an increase in the carrying amount of the liability and as an expense classified as an operating item in the statement of income.

2.131 Paragraph 15 of FASB Statement No. 143 requires changes resulting from revisions to the timing or the amount of the original estimate of undiscounted cash flows shall be recognized as an increase or a decrease in (a) the carrying amount of the liability for an asset retirement obligation and (b) the related asset retirement cost capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset.

2.132 Disclosures. In accordance with paragraph 22 of FASB Statement No. 143, an entity shall disclose the following information about its asset retirement obligations:

a. A general description of the asset retirement obligations and the associated long-lived assets.

b. The fair value of assets that are legally restricted for purposes of settling asset retirement obligations.

c. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending aggregate carrying amount of asset retirement obligations showing separately the changes attributable to (1) liabilities incurred in the current period, (2) liabilities settled in the current period, (3) accretion expense, and (4) revisions in estimated cash flows, whenever there is a significant change in one or more of those four components during the reporting period.

If the fair value of an asset retirement obligation cannot be reasonably estimated, that fact and the reasons therefore shall be disclosed.

2.133 Dismantlement and restoration costs were taken into account in determining amortization and depreciation rates under FASB Statement No. 19. Consequently, many entities recognized asset retirement obligations as a contra-asset. Under FASB Statement No. 143, those obligations are recognized
as a liability. Also, under FASB Statement No. 19 the obligation was recognized over the useful life of the related asset. FASB Statement No. 143 requires the obligation to be recognized when the liability is incurred.

2.134 Upon initial application of FASB Statement No. 143, the computed amounts of depletion and accretion expenses should be measured from the inception of each obligation; net of any retirement expense accrued under the previous method, and should be recognized as a change in accounting principle as described in FASB Statement No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections.

2.135 As a result of adopting FASB Statement No. 143 there has been an increase in the amounts of assets and liabilities reported by oil and gas producers. In addition, the combination of depletion and accretion expenses recognized under FASB Statement No. 143 may result in a greater portion of the total retirement expense being recognized in the later years of an oil or gas property's life, a period in which operating cash flows often decline rapidly.

Conveyances

2.136 The oil and gas industry is capital-intensive and usually associated with considerable risks. These characteristics, along with the wasting, nonre- generative nature of its most significant asset, require companies to continually expand their exploration efforts and capital commitments. Oil and gas companies desiring to spread the risks and to generate the funds necessary to explore and develop properties will often convey an economic interest in a property to another party in return for financing or other considerations. A conveyance is the assignment or transfer of mineral rights, usually a portion of the working interest, to another entity. A conveyance may involve a transfer of all or part of the rights and responsibilities of developing and operating a property.

Forms of Conveyances

2.137 Several types of economic interests are commonly associated with oil and gas properties. The "mineral interest" is the ownership of the right to explore for and produce the minerals underlying the surface of a property. An owner of the mineral interest would not necessarily own the surface rights. Most leasing transactions involve the lease of operating rights of the mineral interest to an oil company with the lessor retaining a royalty interest.

2.138 The working interest normally operates the property, paying most of the costs of exploration, development, and production. The working interest is also normally entitled to all the revenues generated by the property, net of any royalties or overriding royalties. The working interest can also assign a portion of its interest, thereby creating a joint working interest. This allows the original working interest to spread its risk and share the costs incurred.

2.139 Often, the working interest owner will carve out and convey to another entity a nonoperating interest. This interest may be an "overriding royalty interest," which is similar to a royalty interest except that it is created out of a working interest rather than out of the original mineral interest or a net operating interest.

2.140 Another interest created out of the working interest is the "production payment." Production payments are generally used to finance development of a property. The owner of a production payment is entitled to a specified share
of the production of a property until a designated amount of money or product is generated from the property. After the terms of the production payment are satisfied, the interest reverts to the working interest from which it was created.

2.141 In addition to the sale of royalty or working interests and production payments, common forms of conveyances include free-well agreements, carried interests, farm-outs, and unitizations.

2.142 Under a free-well agreement, the working interest owner assigns a share of the working interest or some type of nonoperating interest to another party in return for the drilling of one or more wells on the property by the other party. If oil or gas is found, the parties immediately begin sharing revenues and expenses in the proportions called for in the contract, but neither party recovers any part of costs before sharing begins.

2.143 In cases where the working interest owner transfers all or part of the operating rights to an assignee in return for the latter's assumption of all or part of the development, the transaction is referred to as a farm-out. The assignor usually retains an overriding royalty but may retain any type of interest.

2.144 Carried-interest arrangements can be categorized into two types:

1. Carried interest for production property life. In this arrangement, one party (the carried party) assigns an individual portion of a lease to another party (the carrying party) to develop and operate until all costs—perhaps plus an additional percentage of such costs—have been recovered out of production from the property. At pay-out, the carried party begins to receive its share of the proceeds in excess of its share of the costs.

2. Carried interest for period of initial development. In this arrangement, the carried party begins to share in the revenues and expenses as soon as the carrying party recovers all costs incurred in connection with the drilling of the first well.

2.145 Another form of joint interest is a unitization. In unitization transactions owners of all interests in a geological structure agree to give up their shares in the individual properties, receiving in exchange a fractional share in the unitized properties. The interest received by each is usually in proportion to the estimated reserves contributed to the unit by that party. Properties may not be at the same stage of development, however. It may be necessary for some parties to contribute cash and others to receive cash to "equalize" the values given and received for equipment and drilling costs.

Accounting for Conveyances

2.146 Mineral property conveyances and related transactions may be classified according to their natures as sales, borrowings, exchanges of nonmonetary assets, poolings of interests in joint undertakings, or some combination thereof.

2.147 Because the forms of conveyances will vary widely, are generally complex, and often will not fit exactly within the accounting literature, a thorough understanding of the form is necessary to reach a proper conclusion. In addition, the auditor should be aware that the form of the conveyance may have significant tax consequences. Guidance on accounting for conveyances can be found in paragraphs 42-47 of FASB Statement No. 19, as amended by FASB Statement No. 144, for the successful efforts method and Regulation S-X, 4-10(c)(6) for the full cost method. Also the FASB's Derivatives Implementation
Group issued guidance in Statement 133 Implementation Issue B11,\textsuperscript{11} Embedded Derivatives: Volumetric Production Payments. That implementation issue provides guidance on volumetric production payments for which the quantity of the commodity that will be delivered is reliably determinable.\textsuperscript{11} FASB Statement No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets—an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, amends paragraph 4 of APB Opinion No. 29 to exclude the following transactions from being accounted for under the provisions of APB Opinion No. 29 as amended by FASB Statement No. 153: (1) a pooling of assets in a joint undertaking intended to find, develop, or produce oil or gas from a particular property or group of properties, as described in paragraph 44 of FASB Statement No. 19, Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies, as amended by FASB Statements Nos. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, No. 145, Recision of FASB Statements Nos. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections, and No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, and (2) the exchange of a part of an operating interest owned for a part of an operating interest owned by another party that is subject to paragraph 47(e) of FASB Statement No. 19.

Commodity Derivative Activities

2.148 Oil and gas producers may be involved in commodity derivative activities. Commodity derivative activities including swaps and option contracts linked to oil or natural gas as well as similar forward, future, and option positions, fall within the scope of FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended. With the adoption of FASB Statement No. 133, as amended, oil and gas producers are significantly impacted by the expanded scope of these standards. Physical contractual agreements put in place on a day-to-day basis are now within the scope of these new rules. The "normal purchase or normal sales" criteria have a great amount of subjectivity involved and potentially opens up such contracts to derivative accounting applications. The adoption of FASB Statement No. 133, as amended, requires a broader application of mark-to-market accounting based on changes in fair value.\textsuperscript{*} The decision to qualify for hedge accounting or to not designate

\textsuperscript{11} On February 16, 2006, Statement 133 Implementation Issue B11, Embedded Derivatives: Volumetric Production Payments, was revised to reflect the issuance of FASB Statement No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments. Further, the following statement was included in the body of the Implementation Issue: "Note that Statement 155 was issued in February 2006 and allows for a fair value election for hybrid financial instruments that otherwise would require bifurcation. However, Statement 155 does not apply to hybrid instruments that are not financial instruments, such as nonfinancial instruments that require volumetric production payments. Hybrid financial instruments that are elected to be accounted for in their entirety at fair value cannot be used as a hedging instrument in a Statement 133 hedging relationship." See footnote |||| to paragraph 2.149 for effective date.

\textsuperscript{11} In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets—an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29. The FASB Statement amends APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions, to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. The FASB Statement requires that exchanges of productive assets be accounted for based on the fair values of the assets involved, unless the exchange transaction does not have commercial substance. The FASB Statement also amends FASB Statements Nos. 19, No. 140, and No. 144.

\textsuperscript{*} See footnote * in paragraph 2.18.

\textsuperscript{5} Readers should refer to paragraphs 5.109–5.118 for guidance on auditing fair value measurements.
the use of derivative instruments as either fair value hedges or cash flow hedges is an elective process. For greater detail, refer to the respective Derivatives Implementation Group (DIG) issues for implementation guidance.

2.149 FASB Statement No. 133, as amended, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in host contracts, (collectively referred to as embedded derivatives) and for hedging activities. It requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those investments at fair value. If certain conditions are met, a derivative may be specifically designated as (a) a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of a recognized asset or liability or an unrecognized firm commitment, (b) a hedge of the exposure to variable cash flows of a forecasted transaction, or (c) a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation, an unrecognized firm commitment, an available-for-sale security, or a foreign-currency-denominated forecasted transaction. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative (that is, gains and losses) depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. FASB Statement No. 133 (paragraphs 44–47) also contains extensive disclosure requirements. Readers should refer to the full text of the Statement when considering accounting and reporting issues related to derivative instruments and hedging activities. The FASB has established the Derivatives Implementation Group (DIG) to assist the Board and its staff in providing implementation guidance regarding FASB Statement No. 133. Issues addressed by the DIG and the status of related guidance can be found at the FASB's Web site www.fasb.org. Certain decisions arising from the DIG process that required specific amendments to FASB Statement No. 133 were incorporated into FASB Statement No. 138.

2.150 In April 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Statement No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, to amend and clarify financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives) and for hedging activities under FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The changes in FASB Statement No. 149 improve financial reporting by requiring that contracts with comparable characteristics be accounted for similarly. In particular, the FASB Statement (1)
clarifies under what circumstances a contract with an initial net investment meets the characteristic of a derivative discussed in paragraph 6(b) of FASB Statement No. 133, (2) clarifies when a derivative contains a financing component, (3) amends the definition of an underlying to conform it to language used in FASB Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor’s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, and (4) amends certain other existing pronouncements.

2.151 FASB Statement No. 149 amends FASB Statement No. 133 for certain decisions made by the Board as part of the Derivatives Implementation Group (DIG) process. For those amendments that relate to FASB Statement No. 133 implementation guidance, the specific FASB Statement No. 133 Implementation Issue necessitating the amendment is identified. If the amendment relates to a cleared issue, the clearance date also is noted.

2.152 AU section 332, Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), provides guidance to auditors in planning and performing auditing procedures for assertions about derivative instruments, hedging activities, and investments in securities. In addition, the AICPA issued an Audit Guide Auditing Derivative Investments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities, as a companion guide to AU section 332.

2.153 Interpretation No. 1 in AU Section 332, "Auditing Investments in Securities Where a Readily Determinable Fair Value Does Not Exist" (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 9332) provides additional guidance for auditing securities, such as investments in hedge funds, for which a readily determinable fair value does not exist. The interpretation states that in circumstances in which the auditor determines that the nature and extent of auditing procedures should include verifying the existence and testing the measurement of investments in securities, simply receiving a confirmation from a third party, either in aggregate or on a security-by-security basis, does not in and of itself constitute adequate audit evidence with respect to the valuation assertion in AU section 332. In addition, receiving confirmation from a third party for investments in aggregate does not constitute adequate audit evidence with respect to the existence assertion under AU section 332. Receiving confirmation from a third party on a security-by-security basis, however, typically would constitute adequate audit evidence with respect to the existence assertion under AU section 332. In circumstances in which the auditor is unable to audit the existence or measurement of interests in investments in securities at the financial statement date, the auditor should consider whether that scope limitation requires the auditor to either qualify his or her opinion or to disclaim an opinion, as discussed in AU section 508.22-.26.
Chapter 3

**Tax Considerations**

3.01 Taxes represent one of the major costs affecting oil and gas producing companies. A general understanding of the principal types of taxes and their impact on the industry is essential to planning and performing an audit of an oil and gas company's financial statements.

3.02 The discussion in this chapter is intended to be only an overview. Tax laws are subject to continuous change as a result of legislation, regulatory action, and judicial interpretation.

Income Taxes

3.03 In general, income taxes affect oil and gas operations in the same manner as they do other companies. However, the income tax provisions related to oil and gas are among the most complicated. Tax considerations affect the economics of many transactions in the industry to such an extent that they may become one of the determining factors in making decisions. This economic effect and the impact on financial reporting means that the auditor should have an understanding of some of the principal income tax considerations.

Intangible Drilling and Development Costs

3.04 Intangible drilling and development costs (IDC) represent costs that in themselves have no salvage value and are incurred incident to, and are necessary for, the drilling of wells and the preparation of wells for the production of oil and gas (for example, items such as a drilling contractor's footage or daily rate charges, mud and chemicals, perforating, electric logging, and cementing qualify for treatment as IDC). Items such as casing and tubing do not qualify; however, the related cost of installation does. Costs applicable to line pipe, storage tanks, and comparable costs, including installation costs, are not considered IDC (not related to the drilling and preparation of wells for production), but these costs are treated as part of the cost of tangible property.

3.05 A taxpayer may elect to deduct domestic IDC by claiming a deduction for such costs on the tax return for the first taxable year during which the taxpayer incurred or paid such costs. A failure to deduct such costs is deemed an election to capitalize and deplete IDC. Such election is binding on the taxpayer for subsequent years. Only 70 percent of domestic IDC expenditures of an integrated oil company may be deducted in the year paid or incurred. The remaining 30 percent must be deducted ratably over 60 months beginning with the month such costs were paid or incurred. Generally, any IDC incurred outside of the United States must be capitalized and amortized ratably over a 10 year period or capitalized to the depletable base of the property and then depleted.

3.06 An individual owning a working interest (other than through a limited business interest) in a property may elect to capitalize IDC and amortize it over a 60-month period. If an individual is allocated IDC deductions through a limited partnership, such costs are also subject to an election to be amortized ratably over a 60-month period. These elections are in addition to that applicable to deducting IDC. An individual may be inclined to make such

* Readers should refer to currently enacted provisions of the Internal Revenue Code for changes that have been made subsequent to the publication of this guide.
elections for various reasons (for example, to avoid alternative minimum tax payments).

**Depletion**

3.07 Producers of oil and gas are entitled to a deduction for depletion to recover capitalized leasehold costs. The costs to be recovered through depletion represent those (a) that must be capitalized in connection with acquisition of the taxpayer's interest in the property and (b) that are not recoverable through depreciation (including capitalized IDC). Such costs may represent bonuses paid to a lessor, amounts paid for a royalty interest, G&G costs previously required to be capitalized, and other types of expenditures related to acquisition of the interest.

3.08 The auditor should be aware of the importance attached, for tax purposes, to associating the producer with the holding of an economic interest. The holder of the economic interest in the property is the party who may be entitled to the deduction for depletion. An economic interest in the property can be held by a taxpayer as the result of a direct interest held in the minerals through a lease, through an assignment from the original lessee (or previous assignees of the original lessee), or through another contractual arrangement (such as certain net profits interest arrangements). Under IRS rules, the holder of the interest must have the right to share in proceeds from the sale of the reserves rather than a right to receive compensation for services rendered.

3.09 Depletion deductions methodologies include cost and percentage depletion. All taxpayers are entitled to cost depletion deductions. Deductions for percentage depletion are covered by specific exceptions to a general rule that such deductions are normally not allowable with respect to oil and gas production. The allowable deduction for depletion is the higher of percentage or cost depletion, determined on an individual property basis. Percentage depletion in excess of the tax-cost basis in a property is a permanent difference in determining the provision for income taxes.

3.10 Percentage depletion is available to certain taxpayers under an exemption applicable to specified domestic gas wells and another exemption applicable to independent producers and royalty owners. Generally, integrated oil companies that refine 75,000 or more barrels of oil on any one day during the taxable year do not qualify for the percentage depletion methodology. An independent producer is defined as one who does not directly, or through a related party, engage in certain specified retailing or refining activities involving oil and gas or products derived therefrom. An independent producer's eligibility for percentage depletion deductions is generally limited to 1,000 barrels per day of production. Independent producer status can result in significant benefits with respect to income taxes from the ability to fully deduct IDCs and from eligibility for deducting percentage depletion.

**Conveyances**

3.11 As discussed in Chapter 2, conveyances in the oil and gas industry take a wide variety of forms. In many of these transactions, the income tax treatment varies significantly from the accounting treatment. Because of the effect on the financial statements and the economic impact, conveyances should be carefully reviewed and the terms and provisions analyzed to determine the appropriate tax treatment.
Common Temporary Differences

3.12 In addition to temporary differences related to IDC deductions and depletion provisions, other common temporary differences may be encountered, depending on the method of accounting used for financial statement purposes. Exhibit 3-1 summarizes the most common temporary differences.

Exhibit 3-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporary Difference</th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
<th>Income Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prospecting Costs (Pre-acquisition exploration costs)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G&amp;G costs</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exploration Costs (Postacquisition)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying costs of undeveloped properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay rentals</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad valorem taxes</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal costs of title defense</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct costs of maintaining land and lease records</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs to prepare well location for drilling exploratory wells and intangible drilling costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proved reserves are found</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No proved reserves are found</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry hole contribution</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom hole contribution</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>Capitalize(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDC (Development Wells)</strong></td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>Capitalize</td>
<td>Optional(4) (usually expense)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disposition of Capitalized Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depletion</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>Expense(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Common Temporary Differences—continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporary Difference</th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
<th>Income Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abandonments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A property that is a portion of an amortization base becomes worthless</td>
<td>No loss recognized</td>
<td>No loss recognized</td>
<td>Loss recognized(^6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book provision for abandonments</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Nondeductible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization base becomes worthless</td>
<td>Loss recognized</td>
<td>Loss recognized</td>
<td>Loss recognized(^6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment valuation allowances for unproved properties</td>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Nondeductible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conveyances and Related Transactions</strong></td>
<td>(^7)</td>
<td>(^7)</td>
<td>(^7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sale of Part of an Interest Owned</strong></td>
<td>(^7)</td>
<td>(^7)</td>
<td>(^7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantial uncertainty exists concerning recovery of costs applicable to retained interest or seller has substantial obligation for future performance</td>
<td>No gain recognized, loss recognized</td>
<td>No gain or loss recognized</td>
<td>Gain or loss recognized(^8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES**

\(^1\) G&G costs incurred in tax years beginning after August 8, 2005, are generally capitalized and recovered over 24 months unless the taxpayer is a "major integrated oil company." Previously, such G&G was generally capitalized if such costs would be associated with the acquisition of a property; otherwise they were deducted.

\(^2\) Delay rentals and ad valorem taxes on undeveloped properties that the taxpayer does not intend to develop may be able to be deducted; otherwise, they are capitalized.

\(^3\) Income tax treatment is unsettled. IRS position is that dry hole and bottom hole contributions should be capitalized (Rev. Rul. 80-153). Many taxpayers continue to contend that all dry hole contributions should be expensed, as should bottom hole contributions if dry.

\(^4\) Must make a one time election to deduct IDCs in order to deduct. Thereafter, independents can deduct 100 percent of IDCs and integrateds can deduct 70 percent and amortize the remaining over 60 months. An annual election is available to all taxpayers to capitalize a portion of deductible IDCs and recover them over 60 months.

\(^5\) The difference between tax depletion and book depletion may be a temporary difference. "Tax preference" depletion (depletion in excess of basis) is a permanent difference.

\(^6\) Loss is recognized only if total property is abandoned; no deduction is taken for partial abandonments.
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(7) Conveyances and related transactions may cause temporary differences. Such transactions should be investigated on an individual basis to determine any differences between book and tax accounting. Consider special tax treatment of carried interests, earned acreage, (Rev. Rul. 77-176), farmouts, tax partnerships, and the like.

(8) Conveyances of an interest where conveyer retains an overriding royalty, net profits interest, or other interest should be separately identified because tax treatment is different than when an outright sale occurs.

Ad Valorem and Severance Taxes

3.13 Ad valorem and severance taxes are assessed by state and local taxing authorities. Again, a detailed coverage of ad valorem and severance taxes is not within the scope of the guide. However, the following points are worth mentioning.

- Severance and ad valorem taxes are deductible for income tax purposes. Both must be allocated to the appropriate property when calculating "net income from the property" applicable to determining limitations for percentage depletion and WPT.
- Severance and ad valorem taxes are normally applicable at the revenue-interest level (as opposed to lease operating expenses applicable at the working-interest level).

3.14 Tax reporting requirements for severance and ad valorem taxes will vary depending on the applicable state or local statutes and regulations.

Enhanced Oil Recovery Credit (Section 43)

3.15 For tax years after December 31, 1990 a credit is available for qualifying costs paid or incurred as part of an enhanced oil recovery (EOR) project. The base for the credit is qualified enhanced oil recovery costs. Qualified costs must be related to a certified qualified EOR project. Only domestic costs qualify. To qualify the project must involve the application of various gases, liquids or other matters specified by Department of Energy regulations. To claim the credit the taxpayer must own an operating mineral interest in the property. Three types of cost qualify for this credit: IDC, tangible and tertiary injectant costs. Generally, the credit is 15 percent of the qualified costs multiplied by a factor that is based on price of oil and inflation. The basis of the assets that qualify for the credit must be reduced by the amount of the credit claimed on such assets. The EOR credit is subject to a phase out based on the inflation-adjusted price of crude oil such that the credit was not applicable for calendar year taxpayers in 2006.

Credit for Production of Oil and Gas From Marginal Wells (Jobs Creation Act of 2004)

3.16 For tax years beginning after December 31, 2004 a credit for production of crude oil or qualified natural gas from marginal wells is allowed as part of the general business credit. The credit is the sum of (1) $3.00 per barrel for the production of crude oil and (2) $.50 per 1,000 cubic feet of qualified natural gas production. The maximum amount of production on which credit can be
claimed is 1,095 barrels or barrel equivalents. Unused credits can be carried back five years. This credit is subject to a phase out based on the inflation-adjusted price of crude oil such that the credit was not applicable for calendar year taxpayers in 2006.

**Deduction for Income Attributable to Domestic Production Activities**

3.17 For tax years beginning after December 31, 2004, a deduction related to domestic production activities is allowed for qualified production activities income, including that generated from the extraction of crude oil or natural gas within the United States. The deduction is equal to 9 percent of the lesser of qualified production activities income or taxable income for the year when fully phased in for tax years beginning after 2009. In addition, the deduction allowed generally cannot exceed 50 percent of the amount of W-2 wages paid by the taxpayer during the year. This deduction is treated as a permanent difference in the generally accepted accounting principles calculation of income taxes.
Chapter 4

Internal Control Considerations *, †

4.01 Internal control of a company engaged in oil and gas exploration and production activities may be simple or it may be very complex. The nature of a particular company's internal control is influenced by the size of the company, the degree of geographic dispersion of its operations, its types of operations (for example, operator versus nonoperator), governmental requirements, and management's information needs.

4.02 In general, internal control for oil and gas producing activities is not different from that of other types of enterprises. AU section 314, Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), establishes standards and provides guidance on the independent auditor's consideration of an entity's internal control in an audit of financial statements. It defines internal control, describes the objectives and components of internal control and explains how an auditor should obtain an understanding of internal control to assess the risks of material misstatement and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures. The auditor should obtain a sufficient understanding by performing risk assessment procedures to evaluate the design of controls relevant to an audit of financial statements and determine whether they have been implemented. Auditors of issuers are also required to attest to and report on management's assessment of the company's internal control over financial reporting in conjunction with their audit of the company's financial statements. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Auditing Standard No. 2, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction With an Audit of Financial Statements (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards"), establishes requirements and provides directions that apply when an auditor is engaged to audit both a company's financial statements and management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Most of the business functions of companies engaged in oil and gas exploration and production activities are similar to the corresponding functions found in other types of businesses. However, certain business functions of the exploration, development, and production activities are unique. Internal control considerations for

* Refer to the Preface of this guide for important information about the applicability of the professional standards to audits of issuers and non-issuers (see definitions in the Preface). As applicable, this chapter contains dual referencing to both the AICPA and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's professional standards.
† Statement on Auditing Standard (SAS) No. 112, Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit, which replaces SAS No. 60, Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in an Audit (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 325), establishes standards and provides guidance on the auditor's responsibilities for identifying, evaluating, and communicating matters related to an entity's internal control over financial reporting identified in an audit of financial statements. SAS No. 112 is effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 2006.

SAS No. 114, The Auditor's Communication With Those Charged With Governance, which supersedes SAS No. 61, Communication With Audit Committees (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 380), is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2006. The SAS uses the term those charged with governance to refer to those with responsibility for overseeing the strategic direction of the entity and obligations related to the accountability of the entity, including overseeing the entity's financial reporting process and internal control over financial reporting.
some examples of these types of functions are discussed below. Controls discussed are not always present, nor are they required for the auditor to perform the audit of financial statements.

4.03 Management of an issuer is required by Section 404(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to assess the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting and to include in the company's annual report to shareholders management's conclusion as a result of that assessment about whether the company's internal control is effective. This chapter is not intended to provide guidance to management of public oil and gas producing entities on how to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Nor is this chapter intended to help auditors perform an audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2. The "SEC Requirements for Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" section in this chapter provides some general information on internal control reporting requirements established by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

4.04 When performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with PCAOB standards, the auditor should refer to Appendix B, "Additional Performance Requirements and Directions; Extent-of-Testing Examples," of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2 for discussion of considerations when a company has multiple locations or business units.

**Lease Records**

4.05 Accurate records of nonproducing and producing properties and the related financial obligations should be maintained. For example, failure to pay delay rental payments on time can result in the loss of a valuable asset. Also, ownership interests in oil and gas properties are often complex and may change on the occurrence of certain events. Generally, a company maintains master files of lease records that contain all essential ownership and financial obligation information. Controls for this function would normally cover authorization of updates of those master files, integrity of processing master file transactions, periodic substantiation of master file contents, and prevention of unauthorized access to or alteration of data.

**Division-of-Interest File Maintenance**

4.06 The revenue from oil and gas producing properties is generally divided among multiple royalty and working interest owners. The operator with responsibility for remitting the revenues to the various interest owners should have reasonable assurance that all remittances are accurately computed. Typically, information about the ownership of revenue interests will be maintained in division-of-interest master files. The controls should provide for accurate and timely updating of the information, as well as prevention of unauthorized access to or alteration of the data. Division orders should be reviewed or adequately tested by individuals who do not have control over the properties.

**Joint Interest Billing**

4.07 Many oil and gas exploration activities are conducted jointly by two or more participants. Generally, accounting responsibility for a project is contractually defined. The operations and allocations are governed by an
operating agreement. A company conducting joint operations should have controls giving reasonable assurance that all costs attributable to joint operations are identified and recorded, that the proper participant accounts are charged, that amounts due from participants are collected, and that accurate and timely statements of account are provided to the co-owners. The importance and difficulty of administering joint interest operations are increased because such operations often involve special cost allocations, carried interest arrangements, and other complexities. Most joint interest agreements also provide the non-operating party with the right to perform (or to have performed) joint interest audits of the operator.

**Revenue and Revenue Payables**

4.08 A company may receive oil and gas revenues from properties for which it is the operator as well as from properties operated by others. Controls should provide reasonable assurance that the company receives all production revenues to which it is entitled. Such controls may involve the following: periodic calibration and inspection of meters, manually gauging or witnessing the gauging of production tanks, and period-to-period comparison of production volumes. In addition, settlement reports should be reconciled to the production data regularly. Prices should be monitored to ensure that maximum allowable prices are received. For revenues received on behalf of other co-owners, the amounts to be remitted must be accurately computed based on division-of-interest file information. Provisions for royalties payable should be consistent with the basic lease or royalty agreements and any questionable areas related to the computation of royalties due may be referred to legal counsel for interpretation. Detailed trial balances of royalties in suspense should be reviewed on a regular basis, and investigations of significant balances and fluctuations should be made by an employee with no conflicting duties.

**Property Accounting**

4.09 The tax and financial reporting requirements of accounting for oil and gas properties are unique and complex. Generally, the cost, expense, and revenue information is accumulated at the individual lease or well level regardless of the accounting method used. Subsidiary property records should be routinely reconciled to the general ledger. Controls should provide for (1) the proper capitalization or expensing of exploration costs, (2) computation of depletion for both tax and financial reporting purposes, and (3) identification of amounts recorded for oil and gas properties that are not realizable. In addition, property records should have sufficient detail of ownership, status (abandonments, leases held for sale, operations), assigned equipment, and so on. This requires coordinating the land department, the legal department, and the accounting department. Controls should be established (1) for review of joint interest billings (JIBs) and comparison against the appropriate AFEs, (2) for review of AFEs for credits due when a project is completed, and (3) for consideration of joint interest audits on a timely basis. There should be a proper segregation of duties between those responsible for preparing an economic assessment of the value of proved or unproved properties and those who have the authority to acquire or dispose of the properties. Controls should also provide for a routine review of potential impairment.

4.10 Controls should be established to determine that property transactions are properly authorized—including the selection of properties, the amount...
of expenditures, the location and types of resources to explore and develop, and the levels and timing of production and inventory maintained. The timing and terms of sales or other dispositions of property should also be properly authorized.

Physical Security

4.11 The substantial investment in physical assets and the ready marketability of equipment and inventory require appropriate controls over access. Also, many sites are in rather remote areas and may be unattended for long periods of time. The construction of physical barriers and restricting access should be considered, along with detection and prevention devices. In addition, there should be specific responsibility for physical custody of assets and signature access (requisition authority).

Authorization for Expenditure

4.12 An AFE, which is a procedure for documenting authorization of large expenditures, usually contains a description of the project, a listing of budgeted expenditures, and appropriate approvals. An AFE should be required for acquisition of each major fixed asset. They are normally required for all costs incurred in acquiring leases, drilling and equipping oil and gas properties, purchasing drilling equipment and service units, constructing buildings, and other major projects. The company should have established controls to follow up on variances between actual expenditures and the amounts in the AFEs.

Cost Accruals

4.13 Operators should have controls to provide reasonable assurance that accruals are made for exploration and development costs incurred. Normally, such accruals are based on field reports (such as daily drilling reports) of estimated completion percentages of AFEs in progress. Controls should also be established to assure that estimated production expenses are accrued if significant. Nonoperating interest owners should similarly accrue payables to operators for their share of expenditures incurred. This may require controls for confirmation with the operator on properties where activities are in progress.

Government Requirements

4.14 Oil and gas producing activities are subject to numerous federal and state regulations. Noncompliance with these regulations can result in legal actions—fines, assessments, and other potential liabilities. In addition, there are certain tax regulations, such as the ad valorem taxes, and statutory depletion allowances, at both the federal and state levels. Controls should be established and competent personnel should be employed to monitor and comply with the various governmental requirements.

Related Parties

4.15 The industry's unique financing arrangements, royalty relationships, management fees, and tax partnerships—among other arrangements—tend to be conducive to related party transactions. Controls should be established to accumulate the necessary information for disclosure requirements of FASB Statement No. 57, Related Party Disclosures.
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Nonoperated Interests

4.16 Internal control for nonoperated interests should include many of the functions described in the earlier sections of this chapter. Certain other controls may also be necessary because of limited access to the operations:

- Controls should be established to provide reasonable assurance that reports of drilling activity, production, capital projects, lease renewals, and so forth, are received in a timely fashion and are reviewed by responsible employees.
- Production revenues should be reviewed against historical records and compared with estimates. Any unusual fluctuations should be investigated and appropriately resolved.
- Consideration should be given to periodically obtaining independent evaluations of significant nonoperated properties.
- Controls should be established to assure that the need for joint interest audits is given appropriate consideration within the necessary time limits.

SEC Requirements for Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting‡

4.17 As directed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) adopted final rules requiring companies subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, other than registered investment companies, to include in their annual reports a report of management on the company's internal control over financial reporting. See the SEC Web site at www.sec.gov/rules/final/33-8238.htm for the full text of the regulation.

4.18 The SEC rules clarify that management's assessment and report is limited to internal control over financial reporting. Management is not required to consider other aspects of control, such as controls pertaining to operating efficiency. The SEC's definition of internal control encompasses the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) definition but the SEC does not mandate that the entity use COSO as its criteria for judging effectiveness.

‡ A company that is an "accelerated filer" as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (generally, a U.S. company that has equity market capitalization over $75 million and has filed at least one annual report with the commission), must begin to comply with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules regarding Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act for its first fiscal year ending on or after Nov. 15, 2004. Foreign private issuers that are accelerated filers and that file their annual reports on Form 20-F or 40-F must begin to comply with rules for the first fiscal year ending on or after July 15, 2006. "Nonaccelerated filers" and foreign private issuers that are not accelerated filers must begin to comply with the rules for the first fiscal year ending on or after July 15, 2007. See the SEC Web site at www.sec.gov/rules/final/33-8545.htm for further information.
Chapter 5

Auditing*

Overview

5.01 In accordance with AU section 150, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), an independent auditor plans, conducts, and reports the results of an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS). Auditing standards provide a measure of audit quality and the objectives to be achieved in an audit. This chapter provides guidance, primarily on the application of the standards of fieldwork. Specifically, this chapter provides guidance on the risk assessment process (which includes, among other things, obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control) and general auditing considerations for companies with oil and gas producing activities.

5.02 Financial accounting for oil and gas producing activities is unique in many areas and consequently presents problems for the auditor, who must determine whether the financial statements are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. This chapter is intended to identify these special accounting areas and provide general guidance on the most effective way of auditing them. Auditors should use professional judgment in applying this guidance to develop the specific audit procedures that will meet their particular needs.

Planning and Other Auditing Considerations

5.03 The objective of an audit of the financial statements of a company with oil and gas producing activities is to express an opinion on whether its financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, and in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) or an other comprehensive basis of accounting. To accomplish that objective, the independent auditor's responsibility is to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance (a high, but not absolute, level of assurance) that material misstatements, whether caused by errors or fraud, are detected. This section addresses general planning considerations and other auditing considerations relevant to entities with oil and gas producing activities.

Audit Focus

5.04 In audits of the financial statements of most oil and gas producing activities the primary focus is on the company's properties. Evaluating the accumulation and recovery of costs associated with the properties is central to the audit process and to determining whether the financial statements are presented in conformity with GAAP.

Audit Planning

5.05 The first standard of field work states, "The auditor must adequately plan the work and must properly supervise any assistants." AU section 311,
Planning and Supervision (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), establishes requirements and provides guidance on the considerations and activities applicable to planning and supervision of an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, including appointment of the independent auditor; preliminary engagement activities; establishing an understanding with the client; preparing a detailed, written audit plan; determining the extent of involvement of professionals with specialized skills; and communicating with those charged with governance and management. Audit planning also involves developing an overall audit strategy for the expected conduct, organization, and staffing of the audit. The nature, timing, and extent of planning vary with the size and complexity of the entity, and with the auditor's experience with the entity and understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control.

5.06 AU section 311.03 states that the auditor must plan the audit so that it is responsive to the assessment of the risks of material misstatement based on the auditor's understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control. Planning is not a discrete phase of the audit, but rather an iterative process that begins with engagement acceptance and continues throughout the audit as the auditor performs audit procedures and accumulates sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support the audit opinion.

Considerations for Integrated Audits

When performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) standards (subsequently referred to as integrated audit), the auditor should refer to paragraph 39 of Auditing Standard No. 2, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction With an Audit of Financial Statements (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards") regarding planning considerations in addition to the planning considerations discussed in AU section 311, Planning and Supervision (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules).

Audit Risk

5.07 AU section 312, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), states that audit risk is a function of the risk that the financial statements prepared by management are materially misstated and the risk that the auditor will not detect such material misstatement. The auditor should consider audit risk in relation to the relevant assertions related to individual account balances, classes of transactions, and disclosures and at the overall financial statement level.

5.08 At the account balance, class of transactions, relevant assertion, or disclosure level, audit risk consists of (a) the risks of material misstatement (consisting of inherent risk and control risk) and (b) the detection risk. AU section 312.23 states that auditors should assess the risk of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level as a basis to design and perform further audit procedures (tests of controls or substantive procedures). Defaulting to a maximum inherent or control risk assessment is not permitted.

5.09 In considering audit risk at the overall financial statement level, the auditor should consider risks of material misstatement that relate pervasively

---

1 This assessment may be in qualitative terms such as high, medium, low or in quantitative terms such as percentages.
to the financial statements taken as a whole and potentially affect many relevant assertions. Risks of this nature often relate to the entity's control environment and are not necessarily identifiable with specific relevant assertions at the class of transactions, account balance, or disclosure level. Such risks may be especially relevant to the auditor's consideration of the risks of material misstatement arising from fraud, for example, through management override of internal control.

**Planning Materiality**

5.10 The auditor's consideration of materiality is a matter of professional judgment and is influenced by the auditor's perception of the needs of users of financial statements. Materiality judgments are made in light of surrounding circumstances and necessarily involve both quantitative and qualitative considerations.

5.11 In accordance with AU section 312.27, the auditor should determine a materiality level for the financial statements taken as a whole when establishing the overall audit strategy for the audit. The auditor often may apply a percentage to net income as a step in determining materiality for the financial statements taken as a whole.

*Considerations for Integrated Audits*

When performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, refer to paragraphs 22–23 of Auditing Standard No. 2 regarding materiality considerations.

**Tolerable Misstatement**

5.12 The initial determination of materiality is made for the financial statement taken as a whole. However, the auditor should allow for the possibility that some misstatements of lesser amounts than the materiality levels could, in the aggregate, result in a material misstatement of the financial statements. To do so, the auditor should determine one or more levels of tolerable misstatement. AU section 312.34 defines tolerable misstatement (or tolerable error) as the maximum error in a population (for example, the class of transactions or account balance) that the auditor is willing to accept. Such levels of tolerable misstatement are normally lower than the materiality levels.

**Qualitative Aspects of Materiality**

5.13 As indicated above, judgments about materiality include both quantitative and qualitative information. As a result of the interaction of quantitative and qualitative considerations in materiality judgments, misstatements of relatively small amounts that come to the auditor's attention could have a material effect on the financial statements. For example, an illegal payment of an otherwise immaterial amount could be material if there is a reasonable possibility that it could lead to a material contingent liability or a material loss of revenue.

5.14 Qualitative considerations also influence the auditor in reaching a conclusion about whether misstatements are material. Paragraph .60 of AU section 312 provides qualitative factors that the auditor may consider relevant in determining whether misstatements are material.
Use of Specialists

5.15 The nature of the oil and gas industry often requires the use of specialists such as reservoir engineers and geologists. Such specialists may be employees of the company or they may be independent consultants or contractors. AU section 336, *Using the Work of a Specialist* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1), provides guidance to the auditor who does use the work of a specialist in performing an audit. In addition, AU section 9558.01-.06, *Required Supplementary Information: Auditing Interpretations of Section 558, "Supplementary Oil and Gas Reserve Information"* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1), describes standards prepared by the Society of Petroleum Engineers for qualifications of a reserve estimator.

5.16 The auditor should make an early assessment of the extent of use of specialists and the timing considerations thereof, including the need for involving independent consultants. The auditor may consider outlining those needs in an audit engagement letter.

5.17 The extent to which an independent outside specialist is used may depend on whether a cost ceiling limitation problem is considered likely to exist. (See discussion under "Accounting for Production" in paragraphs 2.107-2.124.) This is a judgmental area; however, auditors should consider the advisability of requesting the involvement of an independent outside specialist when it appears the costs are approaching or may exceed the cost ceiling limitation.

Scope of the Engagement

5.18 AU section 311 states the auditor should establish an understanding with the entity regarding the services to be performed for each engagement. This understanding should be documented through a written communication with the entity in the form of an engagement letter. The understanding should include the objectives of the engagement, the responsibilities of management and the auditor, and any limitations of the engagement. This understanding with the client should include, among other things, management's responsibility for determining the appropriate disposition of financial statement misstatements aggregated by the auditor. AU section 311 also identifies specific matters that generally would be addressed in the understanding with the client, and other contractual matters an auditor might wish to include in the understanding. *Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 10, as amended, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification*, Chapter 1, "Attest Engagements," paragraph 1.46, *Establishing an Understanding With the Client*, sets forth these same requirements for attestation engagements.

Use of Assertions in Obtaining Audit Evidence

5.19 Paragraphs .14-.19 of AU section 326, *Audit Evidence* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1), discuss the use of assertions in obtaining audit evidence. In representing that the financial statements are fairly presented in accordance with GAAP, management implicitly or explicitly makes assertions regarding the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of information in the financial statements and related disclosures. Assertions used by the auditor fall into the following categories.
## Categories of Assertions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Assertions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Classes of Transactions and Events During the Period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence/Existence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights and Obligations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy/Valuation and Allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-off</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
5.20 The auditor should use relevant assertions for classes of transactions, account balances, and presentation and disclosures in sufficient detail to form a basis for the assessment of risks of material misstatement and the design and performance of further audit procedures. The auditor should use relevant assertions in assessing risks by considering the different types of potential misstatements that may occur, and then designing further audit procedures that are responsive to the assessed risks.

Understanding the Entity, Its Environment, and Its Internal Control

5.21 AU section 314, Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), establishes standards and provides guidance about implementing the second standard of field work, as follows:

"The auditor must obtain a sufficient understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements whether due to error or fraud, and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures."

5.22 Obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, is a continuous, dynamic process of gathering, updating, and analyzing information throughout the audit. Throughout this process, the auditor should also consider the guidance in AU section 316, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1). See paragraphs 5.62–5.85 for additional guidance pertaining to AU section 316.

5.23 This section addresses the unique aspects of entities with oil and gas producing activities that may be helpful in developing the required understanding of the entity, its environment, and its internal control.
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Risk Assessment Procedures

5.24 As described in AU section 326, audit procedures performed to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, to assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement and relevant assertion levels are referred to as risk assessment procedures. AU section 326.21 states that the auditor must perform risk assessment procedures to provide a satisfactory basis for the assessment of risks at the financial statement and relevant assertion levels. Risk assessment procedures by themselves do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base the audit opinion and must be supplemented by further audit procedures in the form of tests of controls, when relevant or necessary, and substantive procedures.

5.25 In accordance with AU section 314.06, the auditor should perform the following risk assessment procedures to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control:

- Inquiries of management and others within the entity
- Analytical procedures
- Observation and inspection

See paragraphs .06–.13 of AU section 314 for additional guidance on risk assessment procedures.

Discussion Among the Audit Team

5.26 In obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, AU section 314 states that there should be discussion among the audit team. In accordance with paragraph .14 of AU section 314, the members of the audit team, including the auditor with final responsibility for the audit, should discuss the susceptibility of the entity's financial statements to material misstatements. This discussion could be held concurrently with the discussion among the audit team that is specified by AU section 316 to discuss the susceptibility of the entity's financial statements to fraud.

Understanding of the Entity and Its Environment

5.27 AU section 314 requires auditors to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control. In accordance with AU section 314.04, the auditor should use professional judgment to determine the extent of the understanding required of the entity and its environment, including its internal control. The auditor's primary consideration is whether the understanding that has been obtained is sufficient (1) to assess risks of material misstatement of the financial statements and (2) to design and perform further audit procedures (tests of controls and substantive tests).

5.28 The auditor's understanding of the entity and its environment consists of an understanding of the following aspects:

- Industry, regulatory, and other external factors
- Nature of the entity
- Objectives and strategies and the related business risks that may result in a material misstatement of the financial statements
- Measurement and review of the entity's financial performance
- Internal control, which includes the selection and application of accounting policies (see section below for further discussion)
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Refer to Appendix A of AU section 314 for examples of matters that the auditor may consider in obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment relating to categories (a)-(d) above. Examples of matters an auditor may consider when obtaining an understanding of the nature of an entity with oil and gas producing activities are discussed below.† Chapters 1–4 of this guide provide additional information about the oil and gas industry that may be helpful in obtaining an understanding of the entity and environment.

Nature of Operations

5.29 It is important for the auditor to consider the company's method of operation when obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment. Responsibilities associated with property operation will vary widely. Among matters to be considered would be the extent of operating responsibilities, the use of partnerships or joint ventures, and related party transactions.

5.30 Operator or Nonoperator. A distinction can be drawn between audit procedures designed to be used in the audit of the financial statements of a producer acting as an operator of properties and the audit procedures used in the audit of the financial statements of a company acting solely as a nonoperator to joint operating agreements. Some of the factors to consider are—

- The terms of the operating agreement concerning the duties and responsibilities of the operator and the rights and obligations of the nonoperators.
- Whether the operator's controls provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the provisions of the operating agreement, provide proper and prompt billing of costs and expenses to nonoperators, and provide distribution of revenues to royalty interest owners and nonoperator working interest holders.
- Whether the nonoperator's controls provide reasonable assurance of proper accounting for costs and expenses. Another factor to consider is that billings received from the operator are properly supported and in compliance with the terms of the operating agreement.
- Whether or not joint interest audits are periodically performed.

5.31 Nonoperators generally require significantly less accounting and operations personnel than would an operator. The operator will have the responsibility for paying all costs of the development and the operation of the property, properly billing such costs to the nonoperators, and often collecting and distributing revenues. On the other hand, the nonoperator pays and collects only its share of the costs and revenues (generally no more often than once a month).

5.32 Use of Partnerships. The use of partnerships for financing purposes usually adds significant complications to the accounting and auditing of an oil and gas company. Many companies create limited partnerships by selling limited partner interests in public or private offerings. Often, the limited partnership agreements require audits of the partnership. The auditor should be

† In addition to the nature of the entity, the auditor’s understanding of the entity and its environment also consists of industry, regulatory, and other external factors; objectives and strategies and related business risks; and measurement and review of the entity's financial performance. Examples of these aspects as they relate to oil and gas entities will be incorporated in a future edition of this guide.
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mindful that a lower materiality factor may be more appropriate for testing partnership transactions than for testing transactions of the sponsoring company, which may also be audited.

5.33 In addition, the terms of the partnership agreement would dictate the allocation of costs and revenues to the limited and general partners and often would require a determination of the status of individual properties or groups of properties within the partnership. Therefore, the auditor should be familiar with the significant provisions of the partnership agreement and, where applicable, the audit procedures should reflect these considerations.

5.34 Related Party Transactions. The nature of oil and gas operations tends to result in a greater frequency and significance of related party transactions than would occur in many other industries. This is largely because of the readily divisible nature of property ownership, but these transactions also occur from dealings with limited partnerships, joint ventures, and the like. Commonly encountered related-party transactions include—

- Employee interest in properties, particularly through incentive plans that enable key employees to earn an interest in successful prospects.
- Participation in properties with directors. Particularly in smaller companies, a frequent source of prospects may be directors who are themselves independent operators in the industry.
- Transactions with limited partnerships, including handling property transactions and allocating costs. Limited partnerships often involve conflicts of interest, in which decisions may benefit or adversely affect either the company or the limited partners.

5.35 In planning the audit, consideration should be given to determining that information necessary for related party disclosures is available and procedures for testing the related accounts should be designed to comply with AU section 334 (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1).

5.36 Other Considerations. Other items related to property operations that may require consideration in the planning of specific audit procedures include the following:

- Long-term sales contracts
- Drilling contracts
- Take-or-pay contracts
- Production payments
- Farm-outs and carried interests
- Leases, particularly expiration provisions
- Timing of drilling activities and evaluation of unproved properties
- Production-balancing contracts
- Division orders
- Regulatory agreements

5.37 The procedures used by the auditor during an audit of an oil and gas producing company's financial statements may be greatly affected by the
geographical areas in which the company operates. For example, offshore operations and operations in foreign countries may require the auditor to consider—

- Different types of property costs associated with offshore as opposed to onshore operations.
- Regional pricing differences and the availability of markets.
- Various environmental and other regulatory implications.
- Production-sharing contracts with foreign governments.
- Tax implications of foreign operations.
- Disclosure requirements of foreign operations.

5.38 Identifying specified personnel, and their geographic location, having the responsibility for specific functions related to accounting, internal control, and financial reporting is an integral part of planning the audit.

5.39 Field Operation Accounting Personnel. Field operations may be conducted in a manner whereby the accounting data for investments in, and operations of, oil and gas properties are processed in the company's home office. On the other hand, certain functions may be performed in district or field offices. The auditor identifies the personnel, and their location, responsible for specific items, such as—

- Preparing and approving AFEs and subsequently reconciling actual costs with estimates.
- Measuring and reporting units of production.
- Pricing production.
- Approving expenses and allocations to specific properties.
- Joint interest billing and revenue sharing.
- Handling warehouse receipts and issuing materials.
- Complying with regulations.

5.40 Geological, Geophysical, and Engineering Personnel. Financial statements and reports to management for companies with oil and gas producing activities require that certain data be made available that call for the input of personnel other than accounting department personnel. This information includes—

- Status of wells and well classification (that is, exploratory versus developmental).
- Reserve data about units, production curves, future development and operating costs, and the like.
- Production data analyses of pricing, number of units, conversion factors, and so on.
- AFE data—for example, identification of capital versus expense workovers.
- Value information and exploration plans for measuring impairment and cost ceiling.

5.41 Land Department Personnel. These personnel may assist in providing information regarding property identification, ownership data, transfers from undeveloped properties to producing leaseholds or abandonments, or current status of contractual obligations that are applicable to leasehold rights (such as delay rental payments, drilling obligations, and payout status). When making
the inquiries referred to above, the auditor should also plan to identify the procedures used by the company's personnel in accumulating, processing, and validating the data involved.

**Understanding of Internal Control**

5.42 AU section 314 states that the auditor should obtain an understanding of the five components of internal control sufficient to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements whether due to error or fraud, and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures. The auditor should obtain a sufficient understanding by performing risk assessment procedures to:

- a. Evaluate the design of controls relevant to an audit of financial statements.
- b. Determine whether they have been implemented.

5.43 The auditor should use the understanding to:

- Identify types of potential misstatements.
- Consider factors that affect the risks of material misstatement.
- Design tests of controls, when applicable, and substantive procedures.

5.44 Obtaining an understanding of controls should be distinguished from testing the operating effectiveness of controls. The objective of obtaining an understanding of controls is to evaluate the design of controls and determine whether they have been implemented for the purpose of assessing the risks of material misstatement. In contrast, the objective of testing the operating effectiveness of controls is to determine whether the controls, as designed, prevent or detect a material misstatement.

5.45 AU section 314.41 defines internal control as "a process—effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel—designed to provide reasonable assurance about the achievement of the entity's objectives with regard to reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations." Internal control consists of five interrelated components:

- a. The control environment
- b. Risk assessment
- c. Information and communication systems
- d. Control activities
- e. Monitoring

Refer to paragraphs .40-.101 of AU section 314 for a detailed discussion of the internal control components.

5.46 Refer to Chapter 4, "Internal Control Considerations," for additional discussion of internal controls.

**Assessment of Risks of Material Misstatement and the Design of Further Audit Procedures**

5.47 As discussed above, risk assessment procedures allow the auditor to gather the information necessary to obtain an understanding of the entity and
its environment, including its internal control. This knowledge provides a basis for assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements. These risk assessments are then used to design further audit procedures, such as tests of controls, substantive tests, or both. This section provides guidance on assessing the risks of material misstatement and how to design further audit procedures that effectively respond to those risks.

Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement

5.48 AU section 314.102 states that the auditor should identify and assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and at the relevant assertion level related to classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures. For this purpose, the auditor should:

a. Identify risks throughout the process of obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including relevant controls that relate to the risks, and considering the classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures in the financial statements.

b. Relate the identified risks to what can go wrong at the relevant assertion level.

c. Consider whether the risks are of a magnitude that could result in a material misstatement of the financial statements.

d. Consider the likelihood that the risks could result in a material misstatement of the financial statements.

5.49 The auditor should use information gathered by performing risk assessment procedures, including the audit evidence obtained in evaluating the design of controls and determining whether they have been implemented, as audit evidence to support the risk assessment. The auditor should use the assessment of the risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level as the basis to determine the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures to be performed.

Identification of Significant Risks

5.50 As part of the assessment of the risks of material misstatement, the auditor should determine which of the risks identified are, in the auditor's judgment, risks that require special audit consideration (such risks are defined as significant risks). One or more significant risks normally arise on most audits. In exercising this judgment, the auditor should consider inherent risk to determine whether the nature of the risk, the likely magnitude of the potential misstatement including the possibility that the risk may give rise to multiple misstatements, and the likelihood of the risk occurring are such that they require special audit consideration. Refer to paragraphs .45 and .53 of AU section 318, Performing Audit Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks and Evaluating the Audit Evidence Obtained (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), for further audit procedures pertaining to significant risks.

Designing and Performing Further Audit Procedures

5.51 AU section 318 provides guidance about implementing the third standard of field work, as follows:

"The auditor must obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence by performing audit procedures to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit."
5.52 To reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level, the auditor (1) should determine overall responses to address the assessed risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and (2) should design and perform further audit procedures whose nature, timing, and extent are responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level. The purpose is to provide a clear linkage between the nature, timing, and extent of the auditor's further audit procedures and the assessed risks. The overall responses and the nature, timing, and extent of the further audit procedures to be performed are matters for the professional judgment of the auditor and should be based on the auditor's assessment of the risks of material misstatement.

**Overall Responses**

5.53 The auditor's overall responses to address the assessed risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level may include emphasizing to the audit team the need to maintain professional skepticism in gathering and evaluating audit evidence, assigning more experienced staff or those with specialized skills or using specialists, providing more supervision, or incorporating additional elements of unpredictability in the selection of further audit procedures to be performed. Additionally, the auditor may make general changes to the nature, timing, or extent of further audit procedures as an overall response, for example, performing substantive procedures at period end instead of at an interim date.

**Further Audit Procedures**

5.54 Further audit procedures provide important audit evidence to support an audit opinion. These procedures consist of tests of controls and substantive tests. The nature, timing, and extent of the further audit procedures to be performed by the auditor should be based on the auditor's assessment of risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level.

5.55 In some cases, an auditor may determine that performing only substantive procedures is appropriate. However, the auditor often will determine that a combined audit approach using both tests of the operating effectiveness of controls and substantive procedures is an effective audit approach.

5.56 The auditor should perform tests of controls when the auditor's risk assessment includes an expectation of the operating effectiveness of controls or when substantive procedures alone do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence at the relevant assertion level.

5.57 Regardless of the audit approach selected, the auditor should design and perform substantive procedures for all relevant assertions related to each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure.

5.58 The auditor's substantive procedures should include the following audit procedures related to the financial statement reporting process:

- Agreeing the financial statements, including their accompanying notes, to the underlying accounting records; and
- Examining material journal entries and other adjustments made during the course of preparing the financial statements.

The nature and extent of the auditor's examination of journal entries and other adjustments depends on the nature and complexity of the entity's financial reporting system and the associated risks of material misstatement.
5.59 Refer to the section entitled "Unique Audit Procedures for Oil and Gas Producing Entities" in the later part of this chapter for specific guidance on the more significant auditing procedures that the independent auditor may consider in the audits of companies with oil and gas producing activities.

**Evaluating Misstatements**

5.60 Based on the results of substantive procedures, the auditor may identify misstatements in accounts or notes to the financial statements. AU section 312.42 states that auditors must accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that the auditor believes are trivial and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. AU section 312 further states that auditors must consider the effects, both individually and in the aggregate, of misstatements (known and likely) that are not corrected by the entity. This consideration includes, among other things, the effect of misstatements related to prior periods.

5.61 For detailed guidance on evaluating audit findings and audit evidence, refer to AU section 312 and AU section 326, respectively.

**Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit**

5.62 AU section 316 is the primary source of authoritative guidance about an auditor's responsibilities concerning the consideration of fraud in a financial statement audit. AU section 316 establishes standards and provides guidance to auditors in fulfilling their responsibility to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether caused by error or fraud as stated in AU section 110.02, *Responsibilities and Functions of the Independent Auditor* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1). When performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with PCAOB standards, auditors should follow the requirements of paragraphs 24–26 of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2 regarding fraud considerations, in addition to the guidance contained in AU section 316 (*Conforming Amendments to PCAOB Interim Standards Resulting from the Adoption of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2*).

5.63 There are two types of misstatements relevant to the auditor's consideration of fraud in a financial statement audit:

- Misstatements arising from fraudulent financial reporting.
- Misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets.

5.64 Three conditions generally are present when fraud occurs. First, management or other employees have an *incentive* or are under *pressure*, which provides a reason to commit fraud. Second, circumstances exist—for example, the absence of controls, ineffective controls, or the ability of management to override controls—that provide an *opportunity* for a fraud to be perpetrated. Third, those involved are able to *rationalize* committing a fraudulent act.

**The Importance of Exercising Professional Skepticism**

5.65 Because of the characteristics of fraud, the auditor's exercise of professional skepticism is important when considering the risk of material misstatement due to fraud. Professional skepticism is an attitude that includes
a questioning mind and a critical assessment of audit evidence. The auditor should conduct the engagement with a mindset that recognizes the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could be present, regardless of any past experience with the entity and regardless of the auditor's belief about management's honesty and integrity. Furthermore, professional skepticism requires an ongoing questioning of whether the information and evidence obtained suggests that a material misstatement due to fraud has occurred.

Discussion Among Engagement Personnel Regarding the Risks of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

5.66 Members of the audit team should discuss the potential for material misstatement due to fraud in accordance with the requirements of AU section 316.14-.18. The discussion among the audit team members about the susceptibility of the entity's financial statements to material misstatement due to fraud should include a consideration of the known external and internal factors affecting the entity that might (a) create incentives/pressures for management and others to commit fraud, (b) provide the opportunity for fraud to be perpetrated, and (c) indicate a culture or environment that enables management to rationalize committing fraud. Communication among the audit team members about the risks of material misstatement due to fraud also should continue throughout the audit.

5.67 Listed below are some examples of possible fraud risk factors that may exist in the oil and gas producing activities industry: Please note that this list is not inclusive of all potential fraud risk factors. Other examples of such risk factors can be found in Appendix A of AU section 316.

Part 1: Fraudulent Financial Reporting

A. Incentives/Pressures

1. External company performance pressures including analysts expectations on earnings, oil and gas reserve replacement, production, stock price, etc. as well as pressures to remain comparable to the competition, etc.

2. Individual incentives including promotions, advancement and compensation contingent on performance related to financial targets such as cash flow, profitability, reserve replacement, production increases, etc.

3. Debt or equity financing needed to complete a major acquisition, exploratory or development project.

4. Recurring increases in production costs unrelated to revenue increases.

5. Marginal ability to meet debt covenants.

6. Personal guarantees of debt by key management, those charged with governance, or other similar parties.

2 The brainstorming session to discuss the entity's susceptibility to material misstatements due to fraud could be held concurrently with the brainstorming session required under AU section 314, Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), to discuss the potential of the risk of material misstatement.
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B. Opportunities

1. Manipulation or bias of significant estimates to manage earnings.
   - Oil and gas revenue and expense accruals.
   - Carrying value of assets and estimated recoverable oil and gas reserve quantities.
   - Collectibility of receivables.
   - For full cost companies, capitalization of internal costs directly identified with acquisition, exploration, and development activities.
   - Contingent liabilities.

2. Intentional misapplication of GAAP.

3. Lack of transparency or intentional omission of material disclosures.

4. Significant related-party transactions not in the ordinary course of business or with related entities not audited or audited by another firm.

5. Significant operations located or conducted across international borders.

6. Domination of management by a single person or small group without compensating controls.

7. Those charged with governance or committee oversight over financial reporting process and internal controls are ineffective.

8. Overly complex joint operating agreement or other arrangements.

C. Attitudes/Rationalizations

1. Management displaying a significant disregard for regulatory authorities.
   a. Environmental crime, and violating occupational health and safety (OSHA) laws.

2. Recurring attempts by management to justify inappropriate accounting on the basis of materiality.

Part 2: Misappropriations of Assets

A. Incentives/Pressures

1. Adverse relationships between the entity and employees with access to cash or other assets susceptible to theft may motivate those employees to misappropriate those assets. For example, adverse relationships may be created by the following:
   a. Sabotage and espionage by employees, competitors, or others.
   b. Nepotism and other related party transactions.

2. Compensation or bonus structures tied to financial performance within an organization may result in an incentive to misappropriate assets.
B. Opportunities

1. Inadequate internal control over assets may increase the susceptibility of misappropriation of those assets. For example, misappropriation of assets may occur because there is the following:

   a. Inadequate segregation of duties or independent checks.

      (1) Accounts payable and payroll fraud, such as phony suppliers or ghost employees.

      (2) Revenue settlement process and the division of interest files resulting in improper revenue recognition and payout.

      (3) Secret commissions, bid rigging, kickbacks, and the like.

   b. Inadequate physical safeguards over assets including:

      (1) Materials, supplies and drilling equipment in inventory.

      (2) Proprietary seismic data.

   c. Inadequate system of approval and authorization of transactions.

      (1) Outsider fraud, such as inflated supplier invoices or product substitution, con schemes, copyright piracy, patent infringement, and the like.

C. Attitudes/Rationalizations

1. Employees may have individual financial problems that pressure them to misappropriate assets for personal gain.

2. Employees may rationalize an action if they believe they are not compensated appropriately or have been treated unfairly.

3. Compensation plans may be structured in a manner that implies certain actions are appropriate or justified.

4. The tone set by senior management may inappropriately convey that personal use of assets or other actions are acceptable.

Obtaining the Information Needed to Identify the Risks of Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

5.68 AU section 314 establishes requirements and provides guidance about how the auditor obtains an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control for the purpose of assessing the risk of material misstatement. In performing that work, information may come to the auditor's attention that should be considered in identifying risks of material
misstatement due to fraud. As part of this work, the auditor should perform the following procedures to obtain information that is used (as described in AU section 316.35-.42) to identify the risks of material misstatement due to fraud:

a. Make inquiries of management and others within the entity to obtain their views about the risks of fraud and how they are addressed. (See AU section 316.20-.27.)

b. Consider any unusual or unexpected relationships that have been identified in performing analytical procedures in planning the audit. (See AU section 316.28-.30.)

c. Consider whether one or more fraud risk factors exist. (See AU section 316.31-.33, the Appendix** to AU sec. 316 and paragraph 5.67 in this guide.)

d. Consider other information that may be helpful in the identification of risks of material misstatement due to fraud. (See AU section 316.34.)

5.69 In planning the audit, the auditor also should perform analytical procedures relating to revenue with the objective of identifying unusual or unexpected relationships involving revenue accounts that may indicate a material misstatement due to fraudulent financial reporting. For example, in the oil and gas producing activities industry, the following unusual or unexpected relationships may indicate a material misstatement due to fraud:

1. Relationship of taxes withheld to oil sales and lease operating expenses, prior year refund experience, changes in the company's status or types of wells, and fluctuations in production volumes.

2. Reasonableness of prices and volumes underlying recorded revenues relative to actual market prices and historical production and current well performance.

3. Unusual ownership or cost/risk sharing arrangements.


5.70 Considering Fraud Risk Factors. As indicated in paragraph 5.68, item c above, the auditor may identify events or conditions that indicate incentives/pressures to perpetrate fraud, opportunities to carry out the fraud, or attitudes/rationalizations to justify a fraudulent action. Such events or conditions are referred to as "fraud risk factors." Fraud risk factors do not necessarily indicate the existence of fraud; however, they often are present in circumstances where fraud exists.

5.71 AU section 316 provides fraud risk factor examples that have been written to apply to most enterprises. Paragraph 5.67 of this chapter contains a list of fraud risk factors specific to the oil and gas producing industry. Remember that fraud risk factors are only one of several sources of information an auditor considers when identifying and assessing risk of material misstatement due to fraud.
Identifying Risks That May Result in a Material Misstatement Due to Fraud

5.72 In identifying risks of material misstatement due to fraud, it is helpful for the auditor to consider the information that has been gathered in accordance with the requirements of AU section 316.19–.34. The auditor’s identification of fraud risks may be influenced by characteristics such as the size, complexity, and ownership attributes of the entity. In addition, the auditor should evaluate whether identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud can be related to specific financial-statement account balances or classes of transactions and related assertions, or whether they relate more pervasively to the financial statements as a whole. Certain accounts, classes of transactions, and assertions that have high inherent risk because they involve a high degree of management judgment and subjectivity also may present risks of material misstatement due to fraud because they are susceptible to manipulation by management. Such items in the oil and gas industry may include:

- Property costs (proven and unproven)
- Costs withheld from amortization (full cost)
- Interest capitalization
- Internal capitalization of direct costs (full cost)
- Conveyances
- Abandonment costs
- Dry hole costs
- Wells in progress
- Depletion, depreciation, and amortization
- Capital cost limitations
- Joint interest payables
- Revenue distribution
- Borrowings from production purchasers
- Unapplied advances
- Production taxes payable

A Presumption That Improper Revenue Recognition Is a Fraud Risk

5.73 Material misstatements due to fraudulent financial reporting often result from an overstatement of revenues (for example, through premature revenue recognition or recording fictitious revenues) or an understatement of revenues (for example, through improperly shifting revenues to a later period). Therefore, the auditor should ordinarily presume that there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition (see AU section 316.41).

A Consideration of the Risk of Management Override of Controls

5.74 Even if specific risks of material misstatement due to fraud are not identified by the auditor, there is a possibility that management override of

---

3 AU section 314 requires the auditor to identify and assess the risk of material misstatement at the financial statement level and at the relevant assertion level related to classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures. See AU section 314.102.
controls could occur, and accordingly, the auditor should address that risk (see AU section 316.57) apart from any conclusions regarding the existence of more specifically identifiable risks. Specifically, the procedures described in AU section 316.58–67 should be performed to further address the risk of management override of controls. These procedures include (1) examining journal entries and other adjustments for evidence of possible material misstatement due to fraud, (2) reviewing accounting estimates for biases that could result in material misstatement due to fraud, and (3) evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions.

**Key Estimates**

1. Estimates impacted by oil and gas reserve determinations:
   a. Depreciation, depletion and amortization
   b. Future development costs
   c. Future abandonment costs
   d. Properties withheld from amortization (full cost)
   e. Impairment/full cost ceiling limitation
2. Capital accruals
3. Lease operating expense accruals
4. Oil and gas revenue accruals
5. Unreceived production revenues and related production taxes

**Assessing the Identified Risks After Taking Into Account an Evaluation of the Entity's Programs and Controls That Address the Risks**

5.75 Auditors should comply with the requirements of AU section 316.43–45 concerning an entity's programs and controls that address identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud. Some examples of programs and controls in the oil and gas industry include the following:

1. A corporate mission statement and strong code of ethics with strict sanctions for any breach (for example, dismissal and prosecution for fraud).
2. Good employee relations (for example, fair compensation, counseling) and fair performance appraisal and review system.
3. Employee screening and testing before hiring.
4. Management acting as a good role model.
5. Strong physical controls, particularly with respect to the company's precious inventory or proprietary seismic or drilling information (for example, physical access restrictions (such as locks, alarms, security), surveillance, periodic and surprise counts).
7. Mandatory annual vacations.
8. Accounting reconciliations, especially inventory.
9. Customer account statements, or confirmations, or both.
10. No management override of controls.
11. Supervisor awareness of fraud and the possibility of fraud.
12. Supervisory review and approval.
13. Supervisory performance, or independent checks, or both.
15. A strong internal audit function, and periodic external audits.

5.76 The auditor should consider whether such programs and controls mitigate the identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud or whether specific control deficiencies exacerbate the risks. After the auditor has evaluated whether the entity's programs and controls have been suitably designed and placed in operation, the auditor should assess these risks taking into account that evaluation. This assessment should be considered when developing the auditor's response to the identified risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

Responding to the Results of the Assessment

5.77 AU section 316.46-.67 provides requirements and guidance about an auditor's response to the results of the assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud. The auditor responds to risks of material misstatement due to fraud in the following three ways:

a. A response that has an overall effect on how the audit is conducted—that is, a response involving more general considerations apart from the specific procedures otherwise planned (see AU section 316.50).

b. A response to identified risks involving the nature, timing, and extent of the auditing procedures to be performed (see AU section 316.51-.56).

c. A response involving the performance of certain procedures to further address the risk of material misstatement due to fraud involving management override of controls, given the unpredictable ways in which such override could occur (see AU section 316.57-.67 and paragraph 5.74.)

Evaluating Audit Evidence

5.78 AU section 316.68-.78 provides requirements and guidance for evaluating audit evidence. The auditor should evaluate whether analytical procedures that were performed as substantive tests or in the overall review stage of the audit indicate a previously unrecognized risk of material misstatement due to fraud. The auditor also should consider whether responses to inquiries throughout the audit about analytical relationships have been vague or implausible, or have produced evidence that is inconsistent with other audit evidence accumulated during the audit.

5.79 At or near the completion of fieldwork, the auditor should evaluate whether the accumulated results of auditing procedures and other observations affect the assessment of the risks of material misstatement due to fraud made earlier in the audit. As part of this evaluation, the auditor with final responsibility for the audit should ascertain that there has been appropriate

---

4 AU section 318, Performing Audit Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks and Evaluating the Audit Evidence Obtained (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), requires the auditor to determine overall responses and design and perform further audit procedures to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the financial statement and relevant assertion levels in a financial statement audit. See paragraphs .04 and .07 of AU section 318.
communication with the other audit team members throughout the audit regarding information or conditions indicative of risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

**Responding to Misstatements That May Be the Result of Fraud**

5.80 When audit test results identify misstatements in the financial statements, the auditor should consider whether such misstatements may be indicative of fraud. See AU section 316.75–.78 for requirements and guidance about an auditor's response to misstatements that may be the result of fraud. If the auditor believes that misstatements are or may be the result of fraud, but the effect of the misstatements is not material to the financial statements, the auditor nevertheless should evaluate the implications, especially those dealing with the organizational position of the person(s) involved.

5.81 If the auditor believes that the misstatement is or may be the result of fraud, and either has determined that the effect could be material to the financial statements or has been unable to evaluate whether the effect is material, the auditor should:

a. Attempt to obtain additional audit evidence to determine whether material fraud has occurred or is likely to have occurred and, if so, its effect on the financial statements and the auditor's report thereon.5

b. Consider the implications for other aspects of the audit (see AU section 316.76).

c. Discuss the matter and the approach for further investigation with an appropriate level of management that is at least one level above those involved, and with senior management and the audit committee.6

d. If appropriate, suggest that the client consult with legal counsel.

5.82 The auditor's consideration of the risks of material misstatement and the results of audit tests may indicate such a significant risk of material misstatement due to fraud that the auditor should consider withdrawing from the engagement and communicating the reasons for withdrawal to the audit committee or others with equivalent authority and responsibility. The auditor may wish to consult with legal counsel when considering withdrawal from an engagement.

**Communicating About Possible Fraud to Management, the Audit Committee, and Others**

5.83 Whenever the auditor has determined that there is evidence that fraud may exist, that matter should be brought to the attention of an appropriate level of management. See AU section 316.79–.82, for further requirements and guidance about communications with management, the audit committee, and others.

---


6 If the auditor believes senior management may be involved, discussion of the matter directly with the audit committee may be appropriate.
Documenting the Auditor's Consideration of Fraud

5.84 AU section 316.83 requires certain items and events to be documented by the auditor. Auditors should comply with those requirements.

Practical Guidance

5.85 The AICPA Practice Aid, *Fraud Detection in a GAAS Audit—Revised Edition*, provides a wealth of information and help on complying with the provisions of AU section 316. This Practice Aid is an other auditing publication as defined in AU section 150. Other auditing publications have no authoritative status; however, they may help the auditor understand and apply other sections of the AICPA *Professional Standards*.

Additional Audit Considerations

Audit Documentation—Audits Conducted in Accordance With GAAS

5.86 The auditor must prepare audit documentation in connection with each engagement in sufficient detail to provide a clear understanding of the work performed (including the nature, timing, extent, and results of audit procedures performed), the audit evidence obtained and its source, and the conclusion reached. Audit documentation:

a. Provide the principal support for the representation in the auditor's report that the auditor performed the audit in accordance with generally acceptable auditing standards.

b. Provides that principal support for the opinion expressed regarding the financial information or the assertion to the effect that an opinion cannot be expressed.

5.87 Audit documentation is an essential element of audit quality. Although documentation alone does not guarantee audit quality, the process of preparing sufficient and appropriate documentation contributes to the quality of an audit.

5.88 Audit documentation is the record of audit procedures performed, relevant audit evidence obtained, and conclusions the auditor reached. Audit documentation, also known as working papers or workpapers, may be recorded on paper or on electronic or other media. When transferring or copying paper documentation to another media, the auditor should apply procedures to generate a copy that is faithful in form and content to the original paper document.

5.89 Audit documentation includes, for example audit programs, analyses, issues memoranda, summaries of significant findings or issues, letters of confirmation and representation, checklists, abstracts or copies of important documents, correspondence (including e-mail) concerning significant findings or issues, and schedules of the work the auditor performed. Abstracts or copies of the entity's records (for example, significant and specific contracts and agreements) should be included as part of the audit documentation if they are needed.

---

7 SAS No. 103, *Audit Documentation* (AICPA *Professional Standards*, vol. 1, AU section 339), is effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 2006.

8 There may be legal, regulatory, or other reasons to retain the original paper document.

9 See AU section 311.05 for guidance regarding preparation of audit programs.
to enable an experienced auditor to understand the work performed and conclusions reached. The audit documentation for a specific engagement is assembled in an audit file.

5.90 AU section 339, Audit Documentation (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), provides guidance on the form, content, and extent of audit documentation. It also discusses how to document significant finding or issues. This SAS requires the identification of the preparer and reviewer of the audit work. In addition, it provides guidance on audit documentation of specific items tested, documentation when there is a departure from a SAS, revisions to audit documentation made after the date of the auditor's report, and the ownership and confidentiality of audit documentation. See AU section 339 for specific guidance.

Audit Documentation—Audits Conducted in Accordance With PCAOB Standards

5.91 (This section pertains to audits of issuers only.) For audits conducted in accordance with PCAOB standards, PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 3, Audit Documentation (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards") establishes general requirements for documentation the auditor should prepare and retain in connection with engagements conducted pursuant to PCAOB standards. Audit documentation is the written record of the basis for the auditor's conclusions that provides the support for the auditor's representations, whether those representations are contained in the auditor's report or otherwise. Audit documentation facilitates the planning, performance, and supervision of the engagement, and is the basis for the review of the quality of the work because it provides the reviewer with written documentation of the evidence supporting the auditor's significant conclusions. Among other things, audit documentation includes records of the planning and performance of the work, the procedures performed, evidence obtained, and conclusions reached by the auditor.

5.92 The auditor must prepare audit documentation in connection with each engagement conducted pursuant to the standards of the PCAOB. Audit documentation should be prepared in sufficient detail to provide a clear understanding of its purpose, source, and the conclusions reached. Also, the documentation should be appropriately organized to provide a clear link to the significant findings or issues. Examples of audit documentation include memoranda, confirmations, correspondence, schedules, audit programs, and letters of representation. Audit documentation may be in the form of paper, electronic files, or other media.

5.93 PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 3 provides additional guidance on documentation of specific matters, and retention of and subsequent changes to audit documentation. See PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 3 for further guidance.

5.94 PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2 requires that in addition to the documentation requirements of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 3, the auditor should document certain items related to their audit of internal control over financial reporting.

‡ PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 3, Audit Documentation (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards") supersedes SAS No. 96, Audit Documentation (this applies to audits of issuers only).
Tax and Other Regulatory Matters

5.95 Various tax and other regulatory matters can have a significant impact on an oil and gas company's financial statements. The auditor should make inquiries about the status of federal and state income tax matters and severance and property-tax reporting matters. The auditor should also review the determination of the producer's status as an independent producer because of the substantial impact such a determination can have on income tax liabilities. (See discussion under "Depletion" in paragraphs 3.07–3.10.) In addition, certain inquiries concerning taxes should be made. These include—

- Status of depository or withholding requirements and compliance with applicable reporting requirements.
- Procedures used to test the accuracy of amounts withheld or deposited and to compute amounts refundable.
- The company's reporting responsibility because of its role as general or managing partner in existing partnerships.

Other regulatory matters include—

- Pricing procedures used in, and personnel responsible for, compliance with applicable statutes and regulations.
- Reporting to state regulatory authorities (which states are involved, what reports must be filed, what procedures are used to accumulate applicable data, and so on).
- Reporting to the SEC (current status of filings, identification of data, responsible personnel, and the like).

Unique Audit Procedures for Oil and Gas Producing Entities

5.96 This section identifies and discusses certain audit considerations of some of the business functions and accounts unique to oil and gas producing companies. The procedures selected to achieve the particular audit objectives should be adapted to the specific circumstances of the company. In accordance with AU section 318.07, the nature, timing, and extent of these procedures should be based on the auditor's assessment of the risks of material misstatements at the assertion level. The areas discussed include property, receivables, payables, expenses, revenues, and other considerations.

Property

5.97 The tests of property accounts of companies with oil and gas producing activities require careful consideration by the auditor. Property generally represents the largest item in the balance sheet and is often the most difficult to test. Reliance is often placed on estimates by the company's operations department and management assertions. Auditors may refer to AU section 342, Auditing Accounting Estimates (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), for additional guidance. Following are several areas that deserve special attention in the tests of oil and gas property accounts:

- Property costs
- Interest capitalization
- Materials and supplies
Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities

- Timing of drilling activities and evaluation of unproved properties
- Conveyances
- Abandonment costs
- Dry hole costs
- Wells in progress
- Depletion, depreciation, and amortization
- Capital cost limitations

5.98 Property Costs. Joint interest owners share in acquisition, exploration, development, and production costs in accordance with the cost-sharing provisions of the joint operating agreement. Carried and reversionary interest provisions (among many other similar arrangements) often cause the sharing of costs to be different from the permanent lease ownership. The auditor should be familiar with the cost-sharing provisions of each property selected for testing in order to effectively audit property costs.

5.99 For cost-control purposes, an AFE is prepared for most exploratory and development drilling activities and major projects undertaken by joint interests. The AFE gives the operator approval to incur specified dollar amounts in accomplishing agreed-upon tasks. The auditor may compare actual costs incurred by the operator with AFE amounts for evidence of unauthorized or excessive expenditures. Indications of potential charges or credits from joint interest audits impending or in progress should be evaluated in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.

5.100 Depreciation of support equipment and facilities used in oil and gas producing activities is properly accounted for as exploration, development, or production costs, depending on the activity with which the support equipment or facilities are involved. The auditor should consider appropriate audit procedures to determine that depreciation of support equipment is properly allocated based on the nature of the activity.

5.101 A standard procedure in auditing property accounts of any commercial enterprise is testing physical existence. Physical examination of many types of oil and gas property is sometimes impractical and often alternative procedures are performed. For instance, producing wells are frequently too widely dispersed and too numerous to be examined. Alternately, the auditor may examine production records maintained by the operations department to determine that production proceeds were being received on the property as of the end of the period. Likewise, leasehold rights are intangible, and ownership is evidenced only through a lease or assignment document. Absolute verification of the company's ownership in a lease would require a title search—a time-consuming and expensive process. For this reason, the auditor may test ownership by examining a lease agreement and lease file. Additionally, examination of a delay rental payment is further evidence of the company's retention of its interest in the lease. The auditor may also obtain signed representations that the subject lease was not sold, assigned, or otherwise disposed of during the period.

5.102 Interest Capitalization. In determining whether capitalized interest is properly accounted for, the auditor should check that the qualifying assets have not previously entered the earnings activities of the company and determine that interest capitalized is properly computed.
5.103 Materials and Supplies. Operators of oil and gas properties often hold or have materials and supplies (production equipment inventory) stored by independent storage yards for use in future drilling activities and operations. Frequently, equipment will be transferred to a property in which the operator has an interest. The operator charges the joint account for the equipment and bills nonoperating interest owners for their share of the equipment pursuant to the joint operating agreement. Likewise, equipment is often transferred back to a storage yard upon the abandonment of a well. The operator issues credit to the nonoperating interest owners for their share of the condition value attached to the equipment as dictated by the accounting procedures supplement to the joint operating agreement. The auditor should consider procedures to identify equipment movements and test the propriety of the accounting treatment for such movements. Depending on the extent of controls in effect, the auditor may confirm the existence of equipment with independent yards or may consider it necessary to observe the taking of a physical inventory. The auditor should determine that materials and supplies are not carried at amounts in excess of the amounts recoverable in the normal course of business through use by the operator or recovery through operating agreements; the auditor should also review for obsolescence. When materials and supplies are held for sale, the usual lower-of-cost-or-market test should be applied.

5.104 Conveyances. Oil and gas property conveyances can take a variety of forms, each of which may be unique. The auditor should evaluate the accounting treatment of conveyance transactions in accordance with the conveyance provisions of FASB Statement No. 19, Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies, and Regulation S-X. The auditor should also be aware of the considerable differences between the financial accounting and income tax treatments of conveyances in the review of the company’s income tax accrual.

5.105 Abandonment Costs. Accounting for abandoned wells and leases is discussed under "Production" in paragraphs 2.95-2.135. For abandoned, unproved leases that are not expiring under their own terms, the auditor may obtain representations\(^\text{10}\) from the company (1) that it does not intend to promote, develop, or sell the lease, or to pay future delay rentals when they come due and (2) that it has all necessary approvals. For leases that expire under their own terms or because of failure to perform drilling obligations, the auditor should consider appropriate procedures to determine that the company no longer has an interest in the lease and that the company has properly approved and recorded the abandonment. When wells are abandoned, the operator is required to file a plugging report with the appropriate state governmental agency. The auditor may examine the plugging report to substantiate the abandonment.

---

\(^\text{10}\) AU section 333, Management Representations, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), establishes a requirement that an auditor, performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, obtain written representations from management for all financial statements and periods covered by the auditor’s report. AU section 333 also provides guidance concerning the representations to be obtained, along with an illustrative management representation letter.

When performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with PCAOB standards, the auditor should refer to paragraphs 142–144 of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction With an Audit of Financial Statements (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards"), for additional required written representations to be obtained from management. See the PCAOB Web site at www.pcaobus.org for information about the effective date of these conforming amendments.
of the well and, where applicable, determine that proper credit was granted to joint owners for salvageable lease and well equipment.

5.106 **Dry Hole Costs.** Under the successful efforts method of accounting, dry hole costs of an exploratory well are expensed when a determination is made that the well has no proved reserves. The auditor can usually substantiate the success or failure of a drilling effort by examining drilling reports from the drilling company (or operator for nonoperating interest owners). If drilling reports are unavailable, the auditor can examine a plugging report filed by the operator as support of the unsuccessful outcome of a well.

5.107 **Wells in Progress.** The accounting treatment for costs associated with exploratory wells in progress at the end of a reporting period is unique only under the successful efforts method. Costs of an exploratory well that has not found proved reserves should be expensed. The auditor uses all information available in evaluating the status of an exploratory well as of the report date. Occasionally, a well is drilled and it cannot be immediately determined whether the property has proved reserves. This often happens because the property appears marginally economical or a major capital expenditure is required before production can begin. Usually, if a decision about the economic viability of a well cannot be made within one year, the well would be considered impaired and the costs charged to expense. A well requiring a major capital expenditure is carried as an asset only if the well has a sufficient quantity of reserves to justify its completion. If the drilling of additional wells is necessary to determine if reserves are sufficient, the company decides whether it is warranted to incur the additional capital expenditures. In addition, the drilling of the wells must have commenced or be firmly planned in the future in order to be carried as an asset. The auditor evaluates all available information to determine if capitalization of costs is proper under the above criteria.

5.108 **Depletion, Depreciation, and Amortization.** The methods used in computing DD&A under the full cost and successful efforts methods are discussed under "Production" in paragraphs 2.95–2.135. The key to auditing DD&A is to substantiate the DD&A rate and the DD&A cost base to which the rate is applied. In testing the DD&A rate, the auditor should inquire about the methods and bases used in the reserve study and their consistency with other available information. Current-year production quantities may be tested in conjunction with the testing of oil and gas production revenues. The cost base to which the DD&A rate is applied pertains to each cost center under the full cost method and on a property-by-property or an aggregation-of-properties basis under the successful efforts method. The auditor should test the cost base used in the computation and determine if all costs excluded from the cost base are properly excludable. The full cost method requires including estimated future development costs in the company's cost base. The auditor should review the company's estimated future development costs; determine if they are reasonable, given estimated future development activity; and compare them to the reserve report. The auditor should also determine whether these costs are based on current costs.

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 19–1, Accounting for Suspended Well Costs, provides guidance on the accounting for exploratory well costs and amends paragraphs 31–34 of FASB Statement No. 19. The guidance in the FSP applies to entities that use the successful efforts method of accounting. The FSP should be applied to the first reporting period beginning after April 4, 2005. Readers should refer to the FSP for transition guidance.
5.109 Unproved properties are periodically assessed to determine if they have been impaired. (Accounting for impairment of unproved property costs is discussed under "Acquisition of Mineral Properties" in paragraphs 2.01–2.34.) The auditor should review the company's procedures in providing for impairment and evaluate the adequacy of the provision. In evaluating the adequacy of the impairment provision, the auditor should use such information as the company's drilling plans, dry holes drilled in areas near the company's leases, and lease expiration dates, because it is more likely that impairment exists on leases whose expiration dates are approaching. The auditor should be aware that top leases may be impaired by drilling activities of the original lessee, whether successful or not.

5.110 Capital Cost Limitations. The full cost method prescribes a ceiling test for capitalized costs. The auditor should review the components of the cost ceiling computation to determine that they are computed in accordance with the prescribed guidelines. The rationale behind the ceiling test is that oil and gas property costs should be recoverable from the underlying assets. Therefore, any capitalized costs—net of accumulated DD&A and related deferred income taxes—in excess of the ceiling are written off to expense. In those situations where costs approach or exceed the ceiling, it may be advisable to consider consultation with independent outside specialists.

5.111 Impairment of proved properties for a successful efforts company is based on the provisions of FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. (See paragraph 1.55 of this guide for further information.) The auditor should consider audit procedures to be applied for testing impairment of long-lived assets.

Receivables

5.112 The general approach in auditing receivables from oil and gas producing activities is in many respects similar to that followed in auditing receivables of commercial enterprises. The confirmation of accounts receivable is a useful procedure for most accounts; however, special consideration should be given to the performance of additional or alternative audit procedures in the following areas:

- Joint interest billings (JIBs)
- Joint interest credits
- Oil and gas sales
- Production imbalances
- Cash calls
- Collectibility

5.113 Joint Interest Billings. The operator can normally confirm from non-operating interest owners the JIB balance as of the audit date; however, the validity of joint interest receivables is often dependent solely on the operator's accuracy in preparing the underlying JIBs. Accordingly, the auditor of the operator should consider procedures to test (a) the validity and accuracy of the charges supporting the JIB statements and (b) the percentages charged

* On November 29, 1999 the SEC issued SAB No. 100, Restructuring and Impairment Charges, which readers should consider in preparing or auditing financial statements of SEC registrants. SAB No. 103, Update of Codification of Staff Accounting Bulletins, among other matters has updated SAB No. 100 to reflect the provisions of FASB Statement No. 144.
to nonoperating interest owners. At the audit date the property operator may have incurred obligations on behalf of the joint owners that have not been billed. These unbilled obligations also represent JIB receivables that—except for the omission of confirmation testing—should be considered for testing by the auditor.

5.114 Joint Interest Credits. Nonoperating parties normally have the right to audit the accounts and records of the operator relating to the joint account. These audits often result in credits being granted to nonoperating parties. The nonoperator's auditor should determine whether the nonoperator has recorded accounts receivable for credits granted and evaluate possible credits from audits in progress or impending in accordance with the gain-contingency provisions of FASB Statement No. 5. These procedures also apply to the audit of accounts payable of an operating company where the operator is subject to issuance of potential joint interest credits.

5.115 Oil and Gas Sales. Oil and gas production sales are generally recorded from run tickets or remittance advices received from purchasers of the production. Remittance advices are usually received from one to three months after the purchaser takes control of the production. Oil and gas revenue transactions may be recorded on a cash basis; however, the company should accrue estimated unreceived production revenues and related production taxes at the financial statement date. Such estimates consider production volumes, revenue interests, sales price histories, and appropriate deductions. The auditor should test the accrual through appropriate means: for example, verifying production quantities used in the estimate to independent production records (or run tickets, if available), comparing revenue interest or royalty interest percentages with appropriate division orders, and substantiating the reasonableness of sales prices and tax withholdings used in the accrual.

5.116 Production Imbalances. Oil and gas production from a property is usually sold to purchasers for the benefit of all joint owners of that property. The purchasers then usually remit the sales proceeds to joint owners in accordance with the distribution provisions of the division order covering the property. Most standard joint operating agreements allow joint owners the option of taking their share of production in kind rather than having it sold to purchasers on their behalf. Where revenue interest owners take their share of production in kind, it is likely that the owners have taken more (overlift) or less (underlift) production than they are entitled to as of the audit date. The auditor should review the company's entitlement computation and consider confirmation procedures to substantiate any production imbalance receivables or payables recorded at the audit date.

5.117 Cash Calls. Under the provisions of most joint operating agreements the operator of a property can require nonoperating interest owners to advance their share of the estimated cash outlay for the succeeding month's drilling activities and producing-property operations of the joint account. In these cases, the operator is entitled to these advances upon proper notification to the nonoperating interest owners. Where applicable, the auditor should consider confirming cash calls receivable with nonoperating interest owners; the auditor should also review the operator's computations supporting the cash call to determine if the amounts requested approximate anticipated expenditures for operations in the following month.
5.118 Collectibility. Collectibility of joint interest accounts receivable in the oil and gas industry traditionally has not been a problem because of the remedies available to operators in the event of nonpayment or default. Operators have a preferred lien on the ownership interest of nonoperating parties. Under the provisions of the standard operating agreement, the operator can collect from oil and gas purchasers the proceeds accruing to the interest of the delinquent party up to the amount owed. Where it appears that an operator will have to collect amounts due in this manner, the auditor should determine that the delinquent party’s share of future proceeds will cover the uncollected balance and the appropriate balance sheet classification. Disputes can arise over joint interest ownership percentages in oil and gas production and requested natural gas pricing classifications can be disallowed by the FERC. The auditor should inquire of company management whether such disputes or potential disallowances exist and perform appropriate audit procedures to determine that the effect of any such disputes is properly reflected or disclosed in the financial statements. Collectibility is a more serious problem when wells are plugged and abandoned or are only marginally economical, particularly if one or more of the nonoperators has financial difficulties. The operator may have the right under the operating agreement to rebill all operating interest owners for their proportionate share of the unpaid costs.

Payables

5.119 Liabilities related to oil and gas producing activities are in many ways similar to those of a typical commercial enterprise. Accordingly, procedures in these areas are not necessarily unique; however, certain liabilities deserve special attention because of their peculiarity to the oil and gas industry. Many of these liabilities arise from the various everyday activities and transactions between operators and nonoperators of joint properties. The following are some of the more unusual areas:

- Joint interest payables
- Revenue distribution
- Borrowings from production purchasers
- Unapplied advances
- Production taxes payable

5.120 Joint Interest Payables. JIBs sent to nonoperating interest owners from operators generally provide very little detail about the timing of exploration, development, and production expenditures incurred by the operator. Therefore, these JIBs may not be useful when nonoperating parties accrue accounts payable as of the audit date. Nonoperating interest owners accrue these expenditures based on the best available information from the company’s operations department (or from the operator if more accessible). Usually, such information can be adequately estimated from a schedule of AFEs, which details all open AFEs, AFE costs, the company’s working interest in the related properties, and the completion percentage of each AFE. The auditor should consider appropriate audit procedures to substantiate the completeness of the schedule of open AFEs and review the AFE data contained in the schedule with operations personnel for reasonableness.

5.121 Revenue Distribution. Production revenues generated from a property are distributed by purchasers in accordance with the provisions of the division order executed by the joint owners of the property. Joint owners often
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collect production proceeds on behalf of other joint or royalty owners and make appropriate disbursements to them on a periodic basis. At the audit date, a proper cutoff is important. The party designated to collect such proceeds accrues accounts receivable (revenues net of tax withholdings) with an offset to a payable-to-royalty-owner's account. Depending on taxing jurisdiction regulations or contractual agreements, the responsibility for payment of severance or production taxes may lie with the purchaser, the operator, or the working interest owners individually. Occasionally, proceeds collected are in dispute and are recorded in a suspense account. This type of liability is not relieved until the dispute is resolved. The auditor should consider appropriate audit procedures to identify those properties on which the company collects revenues on behalf of royalty and other joint owners. Special attention should be given to suspense payables, as they may accumulate over extended periods of time before the underlying disputes are resolved.

5.122 Under the terms of many lease agreements, lessors are entitled to shut-in well payments, mandatory or minimum royalty payments, and payments of a similar nature. As of the balance sheet date, lessees accrue such mandatory payments to lessors. The auditor should identify potential obligations and determine their proper treatment in the financial statements by interviewing operations personnel and performing audit procedures.

5.123 Borrowings From Production Purchasers. Enterprises seeking sources of oil and gas supplies sometimes advance cash to property owners to finance exploration or development. The auditor may confirm borrowings and thus be satisfied that the terms of the borrowing arrangement have been complied with. The auditor should consider tests to determine the substance of the transactions involving advances from purchasers because they sometimes take the form of mineral sales whose treatment is addressed under "Conveyances" in paragraphs 2.136–2.147.

5.124 Unapplied Advances. As discussed previously, property operators may call nonoperating parties for cash advances to cover the estimated expenditures to be incurred in the following month's operations. As the operator incurs expenditures on behalf of nonoperating interest owners, their share of the expenditures is applied against advances received. Unapplied advances as of the financial statement date are liabilities to nonoperating interest owners and may be confirmed by the auditor. Joint interest owners will usually be able to confirm only the advances made to the operator, less reductions for their share of expenditures as represented on JIBs received from the operator. The validity of JIBs depends on the operator's accuracy in preparing them. The nonoperator's auditor should consider procedures to test the reasonableness of the JIB statements.

5.125 Production Taxes Payable. Production taxes are payable to state or other governmental agencies by either the purchaser or producer as determined by state or other governmental agencies. Where the producer is liable for the taxes, the operator usually pays production taxes on behalf of all joint interest owners. The auditor should determine that the operator has properly recorded the liability for state taxes and test the propriety of recorded production taxes payable.

Expenses

5.126 This section deals with expenditures and other charges (a) that are classified as expenses under both the successful efforts and full cost methods
and (b) that are unique to the oil and gas industry. This section does not deal with expenses arising from the amortization or write-off of assets such as abandonment expenses, depletion and depreciation expense, amortization expense, and the like. (These expenses are dealt with under "Property" in paragraphs 5.97–5.111.) Two types of expenses that should be given special consideration are (a) work-over expense, and (b) district and warehousing expenses and administrative overhead.

5.127 Work-Over Expense. AFEs may be prepared for well work-overs where charges are expected to exceed a minimum amount. The auditor should determine the nature of well work-overs and then test the propriety of the company's classification of the work-over charges as capital or expense items. In addition, actual charges should be compared with the AFE (where an AFE has been prepared), and a determination and evaluation should be made of any apparent excessive or unauthorized charges.

5.128 Overhead. The operator of a property is usually entitled to be paid by the joint venture for certain overhead charges as compensation for administrative, supervisory, office service, and warehousing costs. The accounting procedures supplement to the joint operating agreement specifies the types and often the amount of charges that can be allocated to the joint account for such overhead. The nonoperator's auditor should consider procedures (1) to test the reasonableness of the allocated charges under the accounting procedures supplement and (2) to test that the company was charged for its proper share of the expenses.

Revenues

5.129 Revenues from oil and gas producing activities are typically of two types: production revenues and property conveyances. The following are items that may be considered by the auditor in conducting an audit of these revenues:

- Sharing-in and accountability for oil and gas sales
- Pricing regulations and contractual agreements (including settlement of hedging contracts)
- Property conveyances
- Revenue accumulation
- Take-or-pay contracts

5.130 Sharing-in and Accountability for Oil and Gas Sales. Oil and gas sales may be recorded from purchase remittance advices received from oil and gas purchasers. The auditor should consider tests to determine if production quantities on which sales proceeds were received agree to independent production records that have been maintained by the operations department to substantiate that the company is receiving its proper share of revenues generated from a property or properties. The sharing of oil and gas production revenues by joint owners can be affected by a number of different arrangements. For instance, many joint interest drilling ventures call for joint interest owners to drill a free well or incur a higher percentage of the drilling costs (carried interest) than their permanent ownership interest in the property in return for contributions (for example, leasehold and exploration expenses) by other joint interest owners in the venture. Often these joint interest owners are entitled to all or to a proportionately higher interest in generated production revenues until they recover a specified amount of costs. When these costs are recovered, their revenue interest reverts to their permanent interest in the property.
5.131 Another common arrangement occurs when a joint interest owner declines participation (nonconsents) in drilling, deepening, completing, plugging back, or reworking a well. The consenting parties must then incur proportionately higher costs to perform the specified task and, accordingly, are entitled to all of the nonconsenting parties' interest in generated revenues until they recover a predetermined percentage of their actual costs incurred. This percentage is commonly in excess of 100 percent of actual costs to compensate the consenting parties for their risk in the venture.

5.132 Various other such arrangements exist that can alter the sharing of revenues. The auditor should consider examining division orders and other substantive evidence to test the propriety of the company's revenues. Testing oil and gas revenues can often be accomplished in conjunction with tests of oil and gas properties by determining that revenue recorded, if any, is reasonable in relation to the status of the property, the engineering reports, and the historical records.

5.133 Pricing Regulations and Contractual Agreements. Oil and gas producing activities are subject to complex pricing and tax regulations governing oil and gas sales. In testing oil and gas revenue, the auditor should consider procedures to determine if the company is receiving maximum allowable prices (in some cases the market will not bear the maximum allowable price) or prices in excess of existing price ceilings, which may require future refunds. In first-year audits, compliance procedures should be considered to determine if potential refunds exist from excessive prices received from prior year oil and gas sales.

5.134 Natural gas producers may contract with purchasers to sell certain quantities of their production at specified prices. The auditor should consider testing the prices received for natural gas to determine (a) if they agree with the terms of related contracts and (b) if they comply with applicable regulations.

5.135 Property Conveyances. Accounting for oil and gas property conveyances is complex and should be reviewed by the auditor to determine if they are recorded in accordance with their underlying substance and applicable accounting pronouncements. From a revenue standpoint, the primary concern in testing conveyances is to determine that the company is immediately recognizing or deferring income, as appropriate. Although the accounting treatments are complex, audit procedures necessary to test conveyance transactions are not particularly unusual and will not be discussed further here. The auditor should be alert for future obligations that often accompany conveyance transactions and that may affect the accounting treatment and the possible need for footnote disclosure. It is important that the auditor obtain an understanding of the economics of the transaction to properly evaluate the accounting treatment.

5.136 Revenue Accumulation. Oil and gas producing companies should accumulate revenue and expense data on a property-by-property basis. Financial data on a detail property basis are needed for several reasons, including royalty payments, percentage depletion computations, income tax obligations, and internal decision-making concerning the economics of individual properties. Since the auditor is concerned with this same data for auditing purposes, tests to determine that detail property data are properly accumulated should be considered. The auditor may then be able to rely on this financial data in other related audit areas.

5.137 Take-or-Pay Contracts. Sometimes gas producers and purchasers execute agreements whereby a purchaser agrees to take or pay for a minimum
quantity of gas per year. Usually, any amount paid in excess of the price of gas taken is recoverable from future purchases in excess of minimum quantities. If the purchaser is not allowed to make up deficiencies, it is appropriate for the producer to record revenues to the extent of the minimum contracted quantity, assuming payment has been received or is reasonably assured. If deficiencies can be made up, receipts in excess of actual sales should be recorded as deferred revenues until production is actually taken or the right to make up deficiencies expires. The auditor should consider examining such contracts to determine the propriety of the accounting treatment and to identify possible contingencies. In addition, these contracts may impose an obligation on the producer to furnish a minimum amount of product. To the extent such product cannot be produced from the property, the producer may have a contingent liability to obtain the product from third parties. The auditor should evaluate such contingencies for possible losses or disclosure.

**Auditing Fair Value Measurements**

5.138 AU section 328, Auditing Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1), addresses audit considerations relating to the measurement and disclosure of assets, liabilities, and specific components of equity presented or disclosed at fair value in financial statements. Fair value measurements of assets, liabilities, and components of equity may arise from both the initial recording of transactions and later changes in value. Interpretation No. 1 in AU section 328, "Auditing Interests in Trusts Held by a Third-Party Trustee and Reported at Fair Value" (AICPA, Professional Standards, vol. 1, AU sec. 9328), provides additional guidance for auditing interests in trusts held by a third-party trustee. It states that in circumstances in which the auditor determines that the nature and extent of auditing procedures should include verifying the existence and testing the measurement of investments held by a trust, simply receiving a confirmation from the trustee, either in aggregate or on an investment-by-investment basis, does not in and of itself constitute adequate audit evidence with respect to the requirements for auditing the fair value of the interest in the trust under AU section 328. In addition, receiving confirmation from the trustee for investments in aggregate does not constitute adequate audit evidence with respect to the existence assertion. Receiving confirmation from the trustee on an investment-by-investment basis, however, typically would constitute adequate audit evidence with respect to the existence assertion. In circumstances in which the auditor is unable to audit the existence or measurement of interests in trusts at the financial statement date, the auditor should consider whether that scope limitation requires the auditor to either qualify his or her opinion or to disclaim an opinion, as discussed in AU section 508, paragraphs .22-.26.

5.139 The auditor should obtain sufficient competent audit evidence to provide reasonable assurance that the fair value measurements and disclosures are in conformity with GAAP. GAAP requires that certain items be measured

** In February 2007, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities—Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, which creates a fair value option under which an entity may irrevocably elect fair value as the initial and subsequent measurement attribute for certain financial assets and financial liabilities on a contract-by-contract basis, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings as those changes occur. The statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins on or before November 15, 2007, provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements.
at fair value. FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 7, *Using Cash Flow Information and Present Value in Accounting Measurements*, defines the fair value of an asset (liability) as "the amount at which that asset (or liability) could be bought (or incurred) or sold (or settled) in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than in forced or liquidation sale." Although GAAP may not prescribe the method for measuring the fair value of an item, it expresses a preference for the use of observable market prices to make that determination. In the absence of observable market prices, GAAP requires fair value to be based on the best information available in the circumstances.

5.140 Management is responsible for making the fair value measurements and disclosures included in the financial statements. As part of fulfilling its responsibility, management needs to establish an accounting and financial reporting process for determining the fair value measurements and disclosures, select appropriate valuation methods, identify and adequately support any significant assumptions used, prepare the valuation, and ensure that the presentation and disclosure of the fair value measurements are in accordance with GAAP.

5.141 Fair value measurements for which observable market prices are not available are inherently imprecise. That is because, among other things, those fair value measurements may be based on assumptions about future conditions, transactions, or events whose outcome is uncertain and will therefore be subject to change over time. The auditor is not responsible for predicting future conditions, transactions, or events that, had they been known at the time of the audit, may have had a significant effect on management's actions or management's assumptions underlying the fair value measurements and disclosures.

5.142 Assumptions used in fair value measurements are similar in nature to those required when developing other accounting estimates. However, if observable market prices are not available, GAAP requires that valuation methods incorporate assumptions that marketplace participants would use in their estimates of fair value whenever that information is available without undue cost or effort. If information about market assumptions is not available, an entity may use its own assumptions as long as there are no contrary data indicating that market place participants would use different assumptions. These concepts generally are not relevant for accounting estimates made under measurement bases other than fair value. AU section 342 provides guidance on auditing accounting estimates in general. AU section 328 addresses considerations similar to those in AU section 342 as well as others in the specific context of fair value measurements and disclosures in accordance with GAAP.

5.143 The auditor should obtain an understanding of the entity's process for determining fair value measurements and disclosures and of the relevant controls sufficient to develop an effective audit approach. AU section 328.12

---

†† FASB Statement No. 157, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB Statement No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FASB Statement No. 157 amends some of the guidance currently presented in this chapter. This guide will be updated to reflect FASB Statement No. 157 closer to its effective date. FASB Statement No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. See the statement for transitional guidance. The disclosure requirements shall apply in the first interim period of the fiscal year in which this statement is initially applied.
gives the auditor examples he or she should consider when obtaining an understanding of the entity's process.

5.144 AU section 328.20-.22 discuss whether the auditor should engage a specialist and use the work of that specialist as evidential matter in performing substantive tests to evaluate material financial statement assertions. The auditor may have the necessary skill and knowledge to plan and perform audit procedures related to fair values or may decide to use the work of a specialist. If the use of such a specialist is planned, the auditor should consider the guidance in AU section 336, *Using the Work of a Specialist* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1).

5.145 As stated in AU section 328.23, based on the auditor's assessment of the risks of material misstatement, the auditor should test the entity's fair value measurements and disclosures. Substantive tests of the fair value measurements may involve (a) testing, management's significant assumptions, the valuation model, and the underlying data (see AU sec. 328.26-.39), (b) developing independent fair value estimates for corroborative purposes (see AU sec. 328.40), or (c) reviewing subsequent events and transactions (see AU sec. 328.41-.42).

5.146 The auditor uses both the understanding of management's process for determining fair value measurements and his or her assessment of the risks of material misstatement to determine the nature, timing, and extent of the audit procedures. The following is an example the auditor may consider in the development of audit procedures. In some situations in the oil and gas industry, additional procedures, such as the inspection of an asset by the auditor, may be necessary to obtain sufficient competent audit evidence about the appropriateness of a fair value measurement. For example, inspection of the asset may be necessary to obtain information about the current physical condition of the asset relevant to its fair value, or inspection of a security may reveal a restriction on its marketability that may affect its value.

5.147 Auditors should refer to AU section 328.43-.46 for disclosures about fair values. AU section 328.49 discusses additional management representations about fair value measurements and disclosures the auditor may wish to include.

Other Audit Considerations

5.148 Other considerations that the auditor should address in auditing oil and gas companies include nonoperators, joint ventures and partnerships, and reserve quantity and value disclosures.

5.149 *Nonoperators.* A company with direct investments in oil and gas producing activities should maintain its own controls and accountability for nonoperators' properties. However, in some instances, particularly when the nonoperator is a passive investor with little or no industry experience, the company may not have the personnel or procedures to provide adequate oversight over costs and revenues related to nonoperated properties. In these instances, it may be necessary for the auditor to extend the audit tests to achieve the necessary level of assurance with respect to the recorded amounts.

5.150 The auditor may, in rare instances, encounter situations in which the nonoperator does not have sufficient documentation to establish the reasonableness of recorded amounts with respect to oil and gas producing activities. Normally, sufficient documentation can be requested from the operator to
provide the support for the recorded amounts or to enable the necessary adjustments to be made. As an alternative, it may be more efficient for the auditor to visit the operator and examine directly the accounting records related to the specific properties. Examples of some of the audit procedures that can be performed through requesting additional documentation or visiting the operators' office are—

- Examining third-party charges to support JIBs or revenue distributions to the nonoperator.
- Examining land department records to ensure timely payments of delay rentals and timely receipt of title opinions and curatives.
- Reviewing operating agreements to ensure that overhead and similar charges are in compliance with those documents.
- Reviewing division orders and comparing with operators' disbursements of revenues to the various interest owners to determine that revenues from production have been properly allocated and remitted to the royalty and working interest owners.

5.151 Joint Ventures. The unincorporated joint venture is the most prevalent type of joint interest arrangement used by companies to share the risk of exploring for and developing oil and gas properties. An interpretation of APB Opinion No. 18, *The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock*, states that pro rata consolidation of the assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses of unincorporated joint ventures is often used where it is established industry practice, as is the case in the oil and gas industry. The auditor should review and understand the structure of unincorporated joint ventures to determine if the company accounts for its investment in such joint ventures properly.

5.152 Reserve Quantity and Value Disclosures. Public companies with oil and gas producing activities are required by the SEC and the FASB to present certain supplementary reserve quantity and reserve value information outside of the basic financial statements. Although this supplementary information is not required to be audited, it is required to be disclosed by FASB Statement No. 69, *Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities*. The contents of the supplementary reserve quantity and reserve value disclosure information are defined in FASB Statement No. 69. The auditor is required by AU section 558, *Required Supplementary Information* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1), and AU section 9558.01-.06, "Supplementary Oil and Gas Reserve Information," to perform certain procedures with respect to the reserve information. AU section 558.09 states that an auditor may issue a report providing an opinion, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, on supplementary information and other information that has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of those basic financial statements.

5.153 The auditor's objectives in applying procedures to the supplementary disclosures are threefold:

1. To determine that the supplementary information prepared by the company is in conformity with prescribed guidelines and is presented in a manner consistent with prior year presentations
2. To determine that reserve quantity estimates are prepared by persons with appropriate qualifications
3. To determine that the reserve information is consistent with the information in the underlying financial statements
5.154 To meet these objectives, the auditor should apply the procedures specified in AU section 558 and AU section 9558 cited above. Performing those limited procedures, along with any additional procedures the auditor considers necessary, should give the auditor an adequate basis in determining whether the reserve quantity and reserve value information is presented in accordance with prescribed guidelines. However, an additional consideration may be appropriate. Independent reservoir engineers often use and rely on information, without corroboration, provided by the company in formulating their reserve quantity information. This information includes listings of the company's properties, the company's ownership interest in the properties, production data, prices, and so on. The auditor should consider appropriate tests to determine if the information provided to the reservoir engineer is complete. The auditor need not refer to the supplementary information in the auditor's report on the financial statements because the supplementary information is unaudited. However, the following deficiencies require the auditor to expand the auditor's report on the financial statements:

- Supplementary information is omitted.
- Supplementary information departs materially from generally accepted accounting principles.
- The auditor is unable to complete the prescribed procedures because of the unavailability of necessary information.

5.155 The auditor evaluates the reasonableness of the supplementary information based on the performance of the limited procedures and determines whether an appropriate expansion of the report is needed.

Attest Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Emissions Information

5.156 U.S. companies with operations in countries that have ratified the Kyoto Protocol may have to meet emission reduction targets in those countries once the Kyoto Protocol becomes effective. Consideration of alternative strategies and related costs will enable those companies to find the lowest-cost alternative before triggering the imposition of requirements and any related fines. Emissions trading is considered to be an effective, cost-efficient way to meet limits imposed by regulators, especially toward the end of a compliance period.

5.157 In addition, there is a sense among many companies that even though they will not be subject to the Kyoto Protocol in the United States, at some point a regulatory framework that places a limit on greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions may be adopted. These companies take the view that it would be wise to start planning and preparing for a "carbon-constrained" future and eventually take advantage of the potential opportunities that GHG emissions trading presents.

5.158 In September 2003 the Auditing Standards Board issued Statement of Position (SOP) 03-2, Attest Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Emissions Information (AICPA, Technical Practice Aids, AUD sec. 14,400), which among other matters provides guidance to practitioners for engagements to examine and report on a schedule or an assertion relating to information about a GHG emission reduction in connection with (a) the recording of the reduction with a registry or (b) a trade of that reduction or credit.

5.159 Such examination engagements should be performed pursuant to Chapter 1, "Attest Engagements," of Statement on Standards for Attestation
5.160 Before accepting the engagement, the practitioner should consider guidance on engagement acceptance within Chapter 1 of SSAE No. 10, as amended. Also, paragraph 17 of SOP 03-2 provides examples of specific matters that should be considered such as independence of the practitioner, the practitioner's level of knowledge of the subject matter, use of a specialist, the existence of suitable criteria, materiality, any changes in the client's method of measuring GHG emissions, and the availability of baseline data.

Examination Engagement: GHG Emission Reduction Information

5.161 The practitioner's objective is to express an opinion about whether:

a. The entity's GHG emission reduction information related to a specific project or on an entity-wide basis is presented, in all material respects, in conformity with the criteria selected by management; or

b. The responsible party's written assertion about the GHG emission reduction information related to a specific project or on an entity-wide basis is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria selected by management.

Written Assertion by the Responsible Party

5.162 A written assertion may be presented to a practitioner in a number of ways, such as in a narrative description, within a schedule, or as part of a representation letter appropriately identifying what is being presented and the point in time or period of time covered. An example of a written assertion on a GHG emission reduction project follows: "XYZ Company reduced GHG emissions in connection with project ABC by 50,000 tons of CO2 equivalents for the year ended December 31, 20XX, based on [identify criteria selected by management]."

5.163 An example of a GHG emission reduction project that relates to the oil and gas industry is the reduction in venting or flaring on offshore oil production platforms (installation of zero flare systems; rapid response to unplanned events).

5.164 In planning the examination engagement practitioners should refer to paragraphs 55–63 of SOP 03-2.

5.165 The SOP also addresses consideration of subsequent events, adequacy of disclosure, representation letters (including an illustrative representation letter in Appendix C), reporting, and attest documentation. Appendixes D and E include illustrative examination reports on GHG emissions information and GHG emission reduction information for general use.
Appendix A

Illustrative Financial Statements and Supplemental Information

The following financial statements illustrate oil and gas disclosures and highlight financial reporting differences between the successful efforts and the full cost methods of accounting. These statements do not represent a typical set of financial statements—nor are they necessarily complete because more or less detail in the financial statements or in the notes may be appropriate, depending on the circumstances. Footnote references are included to facilitate the locating of descriptive disclosures. Blanks in the financial statements indicate captions that are not applicable to the accounting method indicated. There is no intended correlation between the amounts in the successful efforts financial statements and the amounts in the full cost financial statements. The financial statements include certain disclosures that are required only for public companies. The notes to the financial statements are representative of the basic type of disclosure for an entity with oil and gas producing activities. Additional disclosures, such as information concerning subsequent events, pension plans, and postretirement benefits other than pensions, post employment benefits, lease commitments, accounting changes, share-based compensation, off-balance-sheet risks, concentrations of credit risk,¹ and other matters not unique to entities with oil and gas producing activities may be required by generally accepted accounting principles.

These illustrative financial statements do not and are not intended to include items that should be accounted for under the requirements of FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Practitioners should refer to FASB Statement No. 133 for guidance on reporting derivative instruments and hedging activities.

¹ In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Statement No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans. FASB Statement No. 158 amends FASB Statement Nos. 87, 88, 106, and 132. The statement improves financial reporting by requiring an employer to recognize the over-funded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity. The statement provides different effective dates for the recognition and related disclosure provisions and for the required change to a fiscal year-end measurement date. Also, the effective date of the recognition and disclosure provisions differs for an employer that is an issuer of publicly traded equity securities from one that is not. Readers should refer to the complete statement at www.fasb.org for applicability.

¹ See FSP SOP 94-6-1, Terms of Loan Products That May Give Rise to a Concentration of Credit Risk, for additional guidance.
The Stockholders and Board of Directors
XYZ Oil Company

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of XYZ Oil Company as of December 31, 20X6, and the related consolidated statements of income and retained earnings and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America.2,3 Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. [Optional: An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.]4 An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of XYZ Oil Company as of [at] December 31, 20X6, and the consolidated results of its operations and

---

2 For audits conducted in accordance with Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) standards, PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 1, Reference in Auditors' Reports to the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards") replaces this sentence with the following sentence, "We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States)." On May 14, 2004, the Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) issued an interpretive release to help with the implementation of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 1. The staff of the PCAOB published a series of questions and answers ("Q&As") on the PCAOB's Auditing Standard No. 1. See the PCAOB Web site at www.pcaobus.org for more information.

3 AU section 9508.89-.92, "Reference to PCAOB Standards in an Audit Report of a Nonissuer," provides reporting guidance for audits of nonissuers. Interpretation No. 18 provides guidance on the appropriate referencing of PCAOB auditing standards in audit reports when an auditor is engaged to perform the audit in accordance with both GAAS and PCAOB auditing standards. The ASB also has undertaken a project to determine what amendments, if any, should be made to AU section 508. See the AICPA Web site at www.aicpa.org/members/div/auditstd/index.htm for more information.

4 This optional wording may be added in accordance with AU section 9508.85-.88, "Clarification in the Audit Report of the Extent of Testing of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Accordance With Generally Accepted Auditing Standards," which provides reporting guidance for audits of nonissuers. Interpretation No. 17 addresses how auditors may expand their independent audit report to explain that their consideration of internal control was sufficient to provide the auditor sufficient understanding to plan the audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of tests to be performed, but was not sufficient to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control. If this optional language is added, then the remainder of the paragraph should read as follows:

"An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion."
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its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

City, State  
February 18, 20X6

Additional Guidance When Performing Integrated Audits of Financial Statements and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

When performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB, the auditor may choose to issue a combined report or separate reports on the company's financial statements and on internal control over financial reporting. Refer to paragraphs 162–199 of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2, Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (AICPA, PCAOB Standards and Related Rules, Rules of the Board, "Standards") for direction about reporting on internal control over financial reporting. In addition, see Appendix A, "Illustrative Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting," of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2 for illustrative combined audit report and examples of separate reports. (Conforming Amendments to PCAOB Interim Standards Resulting from the Adoption of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2.)

If the auditor issues separate reports on the company's financial statements and on internal control over financial reporting, the following paragraph should be added to the auditor's report on the company's financial statements:

"We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of X Company's internal control over financial reporting as of [date of report, which should be the same as the date of the report on the financial statements] expressed [include nature of opinions]."

When performing an integrated audit of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB, the auditor's reports on the company's financial statements and on internal control over financial reporting should be dated the same date. Refer to paragraphs 171–172 of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2 for direction about the report date in an audit of internal control over financial reporting (Conforming Amendments to PCAOB Interim Standards Resulting from the Adoption of PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2)."

See the PCAOB Web site at www.pcaobus.org for information about the effective dates of the conforming amendments.

* See footnote* in this paragraph.
### XYZ OIL COMPANY
#### Consolidated Balance Sheet
#### December 31, 20X6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliated partnerships</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and supplies</td>
<td>500(a)</td>
<td>500(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas leases held for resale</td>
<td>800(b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oil and gas properties, using successful efforts/full cost accounting</strong>&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt; (Notes 5, 6, and 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proved properties</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unproved properties</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells and related equipment and facilities</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support equipment and facilities</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling in progress</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and supplies</td>
<td>500(a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties being amortized</td>
<td></td>
<td>40,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties not subject to amortization</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization, and impairment</strong></td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>50,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net oil and gas properties</td>
<td>20,200</td>
<td>39,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation of $300</td>
<td>700(e)</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas leases held for resale</td>
<td>1,500(b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total other assets</strong></td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$47,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>6</sup> FSP FAS 19-1, *Accounting for Suspended Well Costs*, requires certain disclosures for exploratory well costs applicable to enterprises that use the successful efforts method of accounting.

---

*AAG-OGP APP A*
### Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of long-term debt (Note 7)</td>
<td>$ 700</td>
<td>$ 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>3,850</td>
<td>3,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue distribution</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling advances (Note 8)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>7,100</td>
<td>7,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt (Note 7)</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>6,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liability, net (Note 9)</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred credit (Note 5)</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset retirement obligation liability (Note 2)</strong></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shareholders' equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock, par value $1 per share; 10,000 shares authorized; 1,000 shares outstanding</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional paid-in capital</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>8,300</td>
<td>22,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total shareholders' equity</strong></td>
<td>11,300</td>
<td>25,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$47,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

(a) Tubular goods inventories, as well as inventories of other oil field materials and supplies, may be classified as current assets or as oil and gas properties, depending on the intended use of the material.

(b) Oil and gas leases held for resale may be classified as current assets or as noncurrent assets. The criteria for classification of these leases are the same as for any other asset (for example, whether the leases will be sold for cash or contributed as an investment in an oil and gas limited partnership).

(c) In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) AUG AIR-1, Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities, which is effective for the company on January 1, 2007. The FSP prohibits companies from recognizing planned major maintenance cost by accruing a liability over several reporting periods before the maintenance is performed or over interim-reporting periods within the annual period in which the cost is expected to be incurred. The FSP permits three alternative methods of accounting for planned major maintenance activities: direct expense method, built-in overhaul method, and the deferral method.
### XYZ OIL COMPANY

**Consolidated Statement of Income**

Year Ended December 31, 20X6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue and other income items</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas sales(^{(c)})</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management fees, net of related expenses of $200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of oil and gas leases(^{(a)})</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on sale of oil and gas properties (Note 5)</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenues and other income items</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,400</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease operating</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production tax</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation, depletion, and amortization(^{(b)})</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of oil and gas leases sold(^{(a)})</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative (Note 3)</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>1,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,100</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before provision for income taxes</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>6,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income taxes (Note 9)</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>2,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net income</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 3,250</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 3,950</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

---

\(^{(a)}\) Some companies report the gain or loss from sales of oil and gas leases held for resale rather than the sales price and related cost.

\(^{(b)}\) If a write-down of oil and gas properties was recorded as a result of impairment or a capitalized cost ceiling limitation, the write-down may be reported as a separate expense item or included with depreciation, depletion, and amortization expense and separately disclosed.

\(^{(c)}\) In September 2006, the FASB ratified the EITF consensus on Issue No.06-3, "How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation)." EITF Issue No. 06-3 should be applied to financial reports for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application is permitted. The EITF requires that a company disclose its policy for recording taxes assessed by a governmental authority on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer. In addition, for any such taxes that are reported on a gross basis, a company is required to disclose the amounts of those taxes.

---

\(^{7}\) FASB Statement No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components. The Statement requires that all items that are required to be recognized under accounting standards as components of comprehensive income be reported in a financial statement that is displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements. The Statement does not require a specific format for that financial statement but requires that an enterprise display an amount representing total comprehensive income for the period in that financial statement. The Statement does not apply to an enterprise that has no items of other comprehensive income in any period presented.
XYZ OIL COMPANY
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows
Year Ended December 31, 20X6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 3,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile net income to operating cash flow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation, depletion, and amortization</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on sale of oil and gas properties</td>
<td>(2,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in receivables</td>
<td>(1,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in materials and supplies</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in oil and gas leases held for resale</td>
<td>(200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in current portion of long-term debt</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in accounts payable</td>
<td>1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in drilling advances</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases in accrued expenses</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in income taxes payable</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>$ 3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sale of oil and gas properties</td>
<td>5,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of oil and gas leases held for resale</td>
<td>(500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditures for property and equipment</td>
<td>(8,600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used for investing activities</td>
<td>(3,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from additions to long-term debt</td>
<td>4,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to reduce long-term debt and other financing obligations</td>
<td>(4,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by financing activities</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at end of year</td>
<td>$ 1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid during the year for income taxes</td>
<td>$ 1,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid during the year for interest</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.
XYZ OIL COMPANY
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Year Ended December 31, 20X6

1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

The Company is engaged primarily in the acquisition, development, production, exploration for, and the sale of, oil, gas and natural gas liquids. The Company sells its oil and gas products primarily to domestic pipelines and refineries.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of XYZ Oil Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, and its proportionate share of the assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses of all affiliated oil and gas partnerships for which the Company is the general partner.(a) All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Materials and Supplies

Inventories, consisting primarily of tubular goods and oil field materials and supplies, are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined by the average cost method.

Oil and Gas Properties

(successful efforts)

The Company uses the successful efforts method of accounting for oil and gas producing activities. Costs to acquire mineral interests in oil and gas properties, to drill and equip exploratory wells that find proved reserves, to drill and equip development wells and related asset retirement costs are capitalized. Costs to drill exploratory wells that do not find proved reserves, geological and geophysical costs, and costs of carrying and retaining unproved properties are expensed.

Unproved oil and gas properties that are individually significant are periodically assessed for impairment of value, and a loss is recognized at the time of

---

(a) On April 4, 2005, the FASB adopted FSP FAS No. 19-1, that amends FASB Statement No. 19, Financial Accounting and Reporting by Oil and Gas Producing Companies, to permit the continued capitalization of exploratory well costs beyond one year if the well found a sufficient quantity of reserves to justify its completion as a producing well and the entity is making sufficient progress assessing the reserves and the economic and operating viability of the project.
impairment by providing an impairment allowance. Other unproved properties are amortized based on the Company's experience of successful drilling and average holding period. Capitalized costs of producing oil and gas properties, after considering estimated residual salvage values, are depreciated and depleted by the unit-of-production method. Support equipment and other property and equipment are depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

On the sale or retirement of a complete unit of a proved property, the cost and related accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization are eliminated from the property accounts, and the resultant gain or loss is recognized. On the retirement or sale of a partial unit of proved property, the cost is charged to accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization with a resulting gain or loss recognized in income.

On the sale of an entire interest in an unproved property for cash or cash equivalent, gain or loss on the sale is recognized, taking into consideration the amount of any recorded impairment if the property had been assessed individually. If a partial interest in an unproved property is sold, the amount received is treated as a reduction of the cost of the interest retained.

The Company follows the full cost method of accounting for oil and gas properties. Accordingly, all costs associated with acquisition, exploration, development of oil and gas reserves, including directly related overhead costs and related asset retirement costs, are capitalized.

All capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, including the estimated future costs to develop proved reserves, are amortized on the unit-of-production method using estimates of proved reserves. Investments in unproved properties and major development projects are not amortized until proved reserves associated with the projects can be determined or until impairment occurs. If the results of an assessment indicate that the properties are impaired, the amount of the impairment is added to the capitalized costs to be amortized.

In addition, the capitalized costs are subject to a "ceiling test," which basically limits such costs to the aggregate of the "estimated present value," discounted at a 10-percent interest rate of future net revenues from proved reserves, based on current economic and operating conditions, plus the lower of cost or fair market value of unproved properties.

Sales of proved and unproved properties are accounted for as adjustments of capitalized costs with no gain or loss recognized, unless such adjustments would significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proved reserves of oil and gas, in which case the gain or loss is recognized in income.

Abandonments of properties are accounted for as adjustments of capitalized costs with no loss recognized.

FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, amends FASB Statement No. 19. FASB Statement No. 143 requires that obligations for dismantlement, restoration, and abandonment costs shall be accounted for in accordance with the provisions of FASB Statement No. 143. Estimated residual values shall be taken into account in determining amortization and depreciation rates. A detailed discussion of the requirements of FASB Statement No. 143 is provided in paragraphs 2.125–2.135.
Oil and Gas Leases Held for Resale

(successful efforts)

The Company has acquired certain oil and gas leases for the purpose of contributing the leases to affiliated oil and gas partnerships or for the purpose of selling the leases to industry partners for cash consideration. Such leases held for resale are periodically reviewed to determine if they have been impaired. If impairment exists, a loss is recognized by providing an impairment allowance. Abandonments of oil and gas leases held for resale are charged to expense. With respect to leases transferred to affiliated oil and gas partnerships, the determination of recovery of total costs is made on a partnership-by-partnership basis.

Capitalized Interest

(successful efforts)

The Company capitalizes interest ($800 in 20X6) on expenditures for significant exploration and development projects while activities are in progress to bring the assets to their intended use.

(full cost)

The Company capitalizes interest ($600 in 20X6) on expenditures made in connection with exploration and development projects that are not subject to current amortization. Interest is capitalized only for the period that activities are in progress to bring these projects to their intended use.

Management Fees

(successful efforts)

In connection with the sponsorship of oil and gas partnerships, the Company receives a management fee of 3 percent from partnership subscriptions, which is credited to income as earned.

(full cost)

In connection with the sponsorship of oil and gas partnerships, the Company receives a management fee of 3 percent from partnership subscriptions. Any excess of this management fee over the related costs of registration and sale of the partnership interests is credited to oil and gas properties as a component of the full cost pool.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash in banks and certificates of deposit that mature within three months of the date of purchase.

Long-Lived Assets

Long lived assets to be held and used or disposed of other than by sale are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. When required, impairment losses on assets to be held and used or disposed of other than by sale are recognized based on the fair value of the asset. Long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale are reported at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.
Income Taxes

Provisions for income taxes are based on taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred taxes on temporary differences between the amount of taxable income and pretax financial income and between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are included in the financial statements at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be realized or settled as prescribed in FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes.

2—Asset Retirement Obligations

XYZ Oil Company accounts for its asset retirement obligations in accordance with FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. This Statement requires that the fair value of an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The present value of the estimated asset retirement costs is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. For XYZ Oil Company, asset retirement obligations primarily relate to the abandonment of oil and gas producing facilities.

While certain assets such as refineries, crude oil and product pipelines and marketing assets have retirement obligations covered by FASB Statement No. 143, those obligations have not been recognized since the fair value cannot be estimated due to the uncertainty of the settlement date of the obligation.

Effective January 1, 2003, XYZ Oil Company adopted FASB Statement No. 143, as required. The cumulative effect on net income of adopting FASB Statement No. 143 was a net favorable effect of approximately $X million. At the time of adoption, total assets increased approximately $XXX million, and total liabilities increased approximately $XXX million. The amounts recognized upon adoption are based upon numerous estimates and assumptions, including future retirement costs, future recoverable quantities of oil and gas, future inflation rates and the credit-adjusted risk-free interest rate.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty In Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. The interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Under FASB Interpretation No. 48, a company will recognize a tax benefit in the financial statements for an uncertain tax position only if management's assessment is that its position is "more likely than not" (a greater than 50 percent likelihood) to be upheld upon examination based only on the technical merits of the tax position. Under the transition guidance for implementing FASB Interpretation No. 48, any required cumulative-effect adjustment will be recorded to retained earnings as of January 1, 2007. FASB Interpretation No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application of the provisions of the interpretation is encouraged if the enterprise has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, in the period the interpretation is adopted. In May 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 48-1, Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48, which provides guidance on how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. Readers should refer to the FSP for the effective date and transition guidance.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, effective for the fiscal year end December 31, 2005. FASB Interpretation No. 47 clarifies the definition and treatment of conditional asset retirement obligations as discussed in FASB No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. A conditional asset retirement obligation is defined as an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are
FASB Statement No. 143 requires depreciation of the capitalized asset retirement cost and accretion of the asset retirement obligation over time. The depreciation will generally be determined on a units-of-production basis, while the accretion to be recognized will escalate over the life of the producing assets, typically as production declines. The following table indicates the changes to the company's before-tax asset retirement obligations in 20X6:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at Jan. 1</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities incurred</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities settled</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion expense</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions in estimated cash flows</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3—Affiliated Oil and Gas Partnerships

The Company generally acquires, explores, and operates oil and gas properties for its own account; however, since 19X0 the Company has sponsored the formation of limited partnerships for the purpose of conducting oil and gas exploration, development, and production activities on certain oil and gas properties. The Company serves as general partner for these partnerships and, as such, has full and exclusive discretion in the management and control of the partnerships. The partnership agreements generally provide that the limited partners pay 99 percent of the cost of acquiring and operating the partnership properties, and of drilling, equipping, completing, and operating the partnership properties while the Company pays the remaining 1 percent of such costs. Revenues from partnership oil and gas properties are allocated 99 percent to the limited partners and 1 percent to the Company, until such time as the limited partners have recovered their investment in the partnership. Thereafter, partnership revenues are allocated 85 percent to the limited partners and 15 percent to the Company.

The Company is periodically reimbursed by the partnerships for certain overhead costs incurred on their behalf. In 20X6 these reimbursements totaled $750 and are reflected as a reduction in general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. (e)

4—Related Party Transactions

The Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company owns a 25-percent interest in a drilling contractor and a 10-percent interest in an oil field tool-rental company that provide services to the Company. Before engaging these companies to perform services, the Company obtains competitive bids from independent companies offering similar services. During 20X6 the Company or

---

(footnote continued)

dependent on future events that may be outside the control of the company. FASB Interpretation No. 47 states that a company must record a liability when incurred for conditional asset retirement obligations if the fair value of the obligation is reasonably estimable.

11 In June 2005, the FASB ratified the consensus in Emerging Issue Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-5, "Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights." EITF Issue No. 04-5 states that the general partner in a limited partnership is presumed to control the partnership and must consolidate the entity on its financial statements. The presumption of control and consolidation requirement may be overcome if the limited partners have substantive participating rights or have the ability to effectively liquidate the partnership.
its affiliated oil and gas partnerships paid $1,000 and $150 to each company, respectively, for services performed.

During 20X6 the Company purchased oil and gas leases from the president of the Company for an aggregate purchase price of $100. The prices paid for the leases represented market prices for similar leases in the areas.

5—Sale of Interests in Oil and Gas Properties

(successful efforts)

In 20X6, the Company completed the sale of the following oil and gas properties, which were not carried as oil and gas leases held for resale.

In February 20X6, the Company sold its entire interest in the ABC field, a proved property, for $3,000. The Company recorded a gain from this transaction of $2,000.

In July 20X6, the Company sold a partial interest in the DEF prospect, a block of unproved acreage, for $1,600. The Company's cost in the prospect totaled $200; however, since the Company anticipates incurring over $2,000 in exploration and development costs relating to the interest retained in the prospect, the Company has recorded a deferred credit of $1,400. As exploration and development costs are incurred on this prospect, they will be charged against the deferred credit.

In December 20X6, the Company sold a partial interest in the GHI prospect, a block of unproved acreage, for $1,000. The net book value of these properties totaled $1,500 at the time of the sale; consequently, the entire sales proceeds have been recorded as a reduction of the Company's cost of the properties in the GHI area.

(full cost)

In 20X6, the Company completed the sale of the following oil and gas properties.

In February 20X6, the Company sold its entire interest in the ABC field, a proved property, for $3,000. Since the sale of this property did not significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and oil and gas reserves, the entire proceeds were credited to the full cost pool.

In July 20X6, the Company sold a partial interest in the DEF prospect, an unproved property, for $1,600, which was credited to the full cost pool.

In December 20X6, the Company sold a partial interest in the GHI prospect, an unproved property, for $1,000, which was credited to the full cost pool.

During 20X6, the Company sold several unproved leases for $1,000, which was credited to the full cost pool.

6—Oil and Gas Properties Not Subject to Amortization

(full cost)

The Company is currently participating in oil and gas exploration and development activities on an offshore block of acreage in the Gulf of Mexico. At December 31, 20X6, a determination cannot be made about the extent of additional oil reserves that should be classified as proved reserves as a result of this project. Consequently, the associated property costs and exploration costs have been excluded in computing amortization of the full cost pool. The Company will begin to amortize these costs when the project is evaluated, which is currently
estimated to be 20X7. In addition, the cost of certain oil and gas leases that the Company has acquired for the purpose of contributing to affiliated oil and gas partnerships or of selling to third parties has been excluded in computing amortization of the full cost pool.

Costs excluded from amortization consist of the following at December 31, 20X6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Acquisition Costs</th>
<th>Exploration Costs</th>
<th>Development Costs</th>
<th>Capitalized Interest Cost</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20X5</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$3,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20X6</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>5,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$3,700</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$9,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7—Long-Term Debt

At December 31, 20X6, long-term debt and production payments consist of the following items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revolving credit agreement</td>
<td>$6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production payment</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less amounts due in one year</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>$6,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 20X6, the Company renegotiated its $25,000 revolving credit agreement with a group of banks. Indebtedness under the agreement bears interest at 5 percent above a bank's prime lending rate (12 percent at December 31, 20X6) and is repayable in quarterly installments of $350, beginning September 30, 20X7. This line of credit is secured by certain producing oil and gas properties located in Texas and New Mexico. At December 31, 20X6, the unused available line of credit was $18,800.

In November 20X6, the Company received a production payment of $1,200 relating to certain oil and gas properties in Utah that are presently shut in. The Company is obligated to repay this advance plus interest at the rate of 15 percent per annum from 80 percent of the revenues received through oil and gas production from these properties.

The Company's aggregate long-term debt and production payments are estimated to be repayable annually in the following schedule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20X7</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20X8</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20X9</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20X0</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20X1</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8—Drilling Advances

During 20X6 the Company received drilling advances from joint interest owners with a remaining balance of $900 at December 31, 20X6. These advances will be applied toward the payment of drilling costs to be incurred in 20X7.
The provision (benefit) for income taxes includes income taxes currently payable and those deferred because of temporary differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities. The provision (benefit) for income taxes at December 31, 20X6 consists of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal income taxes:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>$1,125</td>
<td>$1,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,525</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,975</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                     |                    |           |
| **State income taxes:** |                |           |
| Current             | 175                | 175       |
| Deferred            | 50                 | 200       |
| **Total**           | **225**            | **375**   |

Under FASB Statement No. 109, deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the company's deferred tax assets (liabilities) as of December 31, 20X6 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noncurrent deferred tax assets (liabilities)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration and development costs capitalized for financial purposes, expensed for tax purposes</td>
<td>($1,200)</td>
<td>($4,300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration costs capitalized for tax purposes, expensed for financial purposes</td>
<td>(300)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest capitalized for financial purposes, expensed for tax purposes</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain recognized on sales of oil and gas properties for tax purposes, not reported as a gain for financial purposes</td>
<td>(700)</td>
<td>(1,350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess amortization of oil and gas properties for financial purposes over tax purposes</td>
<td>(700)</td>
<td>(1,150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred noncurrent tax liability, net</td>
<td>(<strong>$2,500</strong>)</td>
<td>(<strong>$6,500</strong>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

12 Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 109, deferred taxes shall be determined separately for each tax-paying component (an individual entity or group of entities that is consolidated for tax purposes) in each tax jurisdiction. The objective is to measure a deferred tax liability or asset using the enacted tax rate(s) expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax liability or asset is expected to be settled or realized.
The reconciliation of income tax computed at statutory rates to income tax expense is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statutory rate</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess statutory depletion</td>
<td>(10.0)</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum tax on tax preference depletion and capital gains</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective tax rate</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10—Commitments and Contingencies

As general partner in certain oil and gas limited partnerships, the Company is contingently liable for the repayment of loans made to the partnerships. At December 31, 20X6, the outstanding balance of these loans, which are secured by the partnerships' oil and gas properties, is $5,000. The Company believes that the partnerships' assets will be sufficient to satisfy these obligations without loss to the Company.

The Company is committed to purchase up to $1,000 in limited partnership interests of a certain oil and gas limited partnership, if tendered by the limited partners. During 20X6 no such interests were tendered and no purchases were made.

11—Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company values its financial instruments as required by FASB Statement No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Values of Financial Instruments.\(^1\)\(^3\) The carrying amounts of cash, short-term debt and long-term variable-rate debt approximate fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of its long-term, fixed-rate debt generally using discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's current borrowing rates for similar types of debt. The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments generally approximate their fair values at December 31, 20X6.\(^1\)

---

\(^{13}\) FASB Statement No. 126, Exemption from Certain Required Disclosures about Financial Instruments for Certain Nonpublic Entities—an amendment to FASB Statement No. 107, as amended by FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, amends FASB Statement No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to make the disclosures about fair value of financial instruments prescribed in FASB Statement No. 107 optional for entities that meet all of the following criteria:

\(a.\) The entity is a nonpublic entity.
\(b.\) The entity's total assets are less than $100 million on the date of the financial statements.
\(c.\) The entity has no instrument that, in whole or in part, is accounted for as a derivative instrument under FASB Statement No. 133 other than commitments related to the origination of mortgage loans to be held for sale during the reporting period.

\(^1\) In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No.157, Fair Value Measurements, which will become effective for the company on January 1, 2008. The statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB Statement No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but would apply to assets and liabilities that are required to be recorded at fair value under other accounting standards. Practitioners should be alert to the new measurement and disclosure requirement in 2008.
12—Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Note: FASB Statement No. 144 requires certain disclosures if an impairment loss is recognized for assets to be held and used. An example of such a disclosure is shown below:

Recently adopted environmental legislation in a jurisdiction where the Company has undertaken major exploration and development activities has placed significant restrictions on the use of certain drilling equipment used by the Company. This circumstance has called into question the recoverability of the carrying amounts of these assets. As a result, pursuant to FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, an impairment loss of $X,XXX has been recognized for this equipment and included in income from continuing operations before income taxes under the caption "Exploration." In calculating the impairment loss, fair value was determined by reviewing quoted market prices for current sales of similar equipment.

(a) It is also acceptable to account for investments in oil and gas partnerships using the equity method of accounting. In addition, the reader may wish to consider the applicability of EITF Issue No. 00-1, "Investor Balance Sheet and Income Statement Display under the Equity Method for Investments in Certain Partnerships and Other Ventures."

(b) In some cases it may be more appropriate to depreciate natural gas cycling and processing plants by the unit-of-production method; therefore, the costs of such plants may be included in the full cost pool.

(c) It is also acceptable, if economic circumstances (related to the effects of regulated prices) indicate, to use units of revenue as a basis for computing amortization.

(d) Prior to 1983 and the adoption of SEC Release FR-14, section 406.01.c.i., only unusually significant investments in unproven properties and major development projects were eligible for exclusion from amortization.

(e) In certain circumstances, it may be required to record reimbursements as revenues. Companies should consider the guidance in EITF No. 99-19, "Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal Versus Net as an Agent," and EITF No. 01-14, "Income Statement Characterization of Reimbursements Received for "Out-of-Pocket" Expenses Incurred," to determine the appropriate treatment.

(f) For guidance on the balance sheet classification of maturities of nonrecourse production payments, see ARB No. 43, Chapter 3A, Current Assets and Current Liabilities, paragraph 8.

(g) If the Company has any unusually significant commitments for exploration and development costs, those commitments should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.
XYZ OIL COMPANY
Supplemental Information (Unaudited)(a)
Year Ended December 31, 20X6

### Capitalized Costs Relating to Oil and Gas Producing Activities at December 31, 20X6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful Efforts</th>
<th>Full Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unproved oil and gas properties</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$16,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proved oil and gas properties</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support equipment and facilities</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>25,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>50,300</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization, and impairment:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net capitalized costs</td>
<td>$20,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Costs Incurred in Oil and Gas Producing Activities for the Year Ended December 31, 20X6(b)

Property acquisition costs:

- Proved: $600
- Unproved: $1,500

Exploration costs:

5,000

Development costs:

1,500

Amortization rate per equivalent barrel of production:

3.13

### Results of Operations for Oil and Gas Producing Activities for the Year Ended December 31, 20X6(b)

- Oil and gas sales: $14,000
- Gain on sale of oil and gas properties: 2,000
- Gain on sale of oil and gas leases: 400
- Production costs: (2,000)
- Exploration expenses: (5,000)
- Depreciation, depletion, and amortization: (1,400)
- Income tax expense: (2,880)

Results of operations for oil and gas producing activities (excluding corporate overhead and financing costs):

- $5,120

Reserve Information(b)

The following estimates of proved and proved developed reserve quantities and related standardized measure of discounted net cash flow are estimates only, and do not purport to reflect realizable values or fair market values of the Company's reserves. The Company emphasizes that reserve estimates are inherently imprecise and that estimates of new discoveries are more imprecise than those of producing oil and gas properties. Accordingly, these estimates are expected to change as future information becomes available. All of the Company's reserves are located in the United States.
Proved reserves are estimated reserves of crude oil (including condensate and natural gas liquids) and natural gas that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved developed reserves are those expected to be recovered through existing wells, equipment, and operating methods.

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows is computed by applying year-end prices of oil and gas (with consideration of price changes only to the extent provided by contractual arrangements) to the estimated future production of proved oil and gas reserves, less estimated future expenditures (based on year-end costs) to be incurred in developing and producing the proved reserves, less estimated future income tax expenses (based on year-end statutory tax rates, with consideration of future tax rates already legislated) to be incurred on pretax net cash flows less tax basis of the properties and available credits, and assuming continuation of existing economic conditions. The estimated future net cash flows are then discounted using a rate of 10 percent a year to reflect the estimated timing of the future cash flows.
### Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Oil (Bbls)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Gas (Mcf)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proved developed and undeveloped reserves</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions of previous estimates</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(2,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved recovery</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of minerals in place</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensions and discoveries</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>(325)</td>
<td>(1,400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales of minerals in place</td>
<td>(375)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of year</strong></td>
<td>6,880</td>
<td>18,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Proved developed reserves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Oil</strong></th>
<th><strong>Gas</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of year</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows at December 31, 20X6(b)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future cash inflows</td>
<td>$210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future production costs</td>
<td>(40,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future development costs</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future income tax expenses</td>
<td>(70,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future net cash flows**

10% annual discount for estimated timing of cash flows: (12,000)

**Standardized measures of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and gas reserves**: $78,000

The following reconciles the change in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flow during 20X6.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales of oil and gas produced, net of production costs</td>
<td>(12,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net changes in prices and production costs</td>
<td>(3,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensions, discoveries, and improved recovery, less related costs</td>
<td>29,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development costs incurred during the year which were previously estimated</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change in estimated future development costs</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions of previous quantity estimates</td>
<td>(4,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change from purchases and sales of minerals in place</td>
<td>(5,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion of discount</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change in income taxes</td>
<td>(3,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of year</strong></td>
<td>$78,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) If XYZ Oil Company had an investment in an enterprise that was accounted for on the equity method, the Company's share of the investee's net capitalized costs, costs incurred, results of operations for producing activities, reserve quantities, and standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows would be required to be disclosed separately.

(b) These disclosures are presented assuming that XYZ Oil Company has operations in only one reportable geographic area. If operations are conducted in two or more reportable geographic areas, this information would be required to be reported in total and by geographic area.
Appendix B

Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserve Information by the Society of Petroleum Engineers of AIME

The standards included in this appendix relating to auditing of oil and gas reserve information are applicable to petroleum engineers and not to certified public accountants performing audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards.
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Article I—The Basis and Purpose of Developing Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Reserve Information

1.1 The Nature and Purpose of Estimating and Auditing Reserve Information. Estimates of Reserve Information are made by or for Entities as a part of their normal business practices. Such Reserve Information typically may include, among other things, estimates of (i) the proved reserves, (ii) the future producing rates from such proved reserves, (iii) the future net revenue from such proved reserves, and (iv) the present value of such future net revenue. The exact type and extent of Reserve Information must necessarily take into account the purpose for which such Reserve Information is being prepared and, correspondingly, statutory and regulatory provisions, if any, that are applicable to such intended use of the Reserve Information.

1.2 Estimating and Auditing Reserve Information in Accordance With Generally Accepted Engineering and Evaluation Principles. The estimating and auditing of Reserve Information is predicated upon certain historically developed principles of petroleum engineering and evaluation, which are in turn based on principles of physical science, mathematics and economics. Although these generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles are predicated on established scientific concepts, the application of such principles involves extensive judgments and is subject to changes in (i) existing knowledge and technology, (ii) economic conditions, (iii) applicable statutory and regulatory provisions, and (iv) the purposes for which the Reserve Information is to be used.

1.3 The Inherently Imprecise Nature of Reserve Information. The reliability of Reserve Information is considerably affected by several factors. Initially, it should be noted that Reserve Information is imprecise due to the inherent uncertainties in, and the limited nature of, the data base upon which the estimating and auditing of Reserve Information is predicated. Moreover, the methods and data used in estimating Reserve Information are often necessarily indirect or analogical in character rather than direct or deductive. Furthermore, the persons estimating and auditing Reserve Information are required, in applying generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles, to make numerous judgments based upon their educational background, professional training and professional experience. The extent and significance of the judgments to be made are, in themselves, sufficient to render Reserve Information inherently imprecise.

1.4 The Need for Standards Governing the Estimating and Auditing of Reserve Information. The Society of Petroleum Engineers, a constituent society of the American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers (the "society"), has determined that the society should adopt these Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserve Information (the "standards") are not intended to bind the members of the Society of Petroleum Engineers (the "society") or anyone else, and the society imposes no sanctions for the nonuse of these standards. Each person estimating and auditing oil and gas Reserve Information is encouraged to exercise his or her own judgment concerning the matters set forth in these standards. The society welcomes comments and suggested changes in regard to these standards.

2 Definitions are set forth in Section 2.2 for certain of the terms that are not textually defined in these standards.
Gas Reserve Information (the "standards"). The adoption of these standards by the society fulfills at least three useful objectives.

First, although some users of reserve information are cognizant of the general principles that are applied to data bases in determining reserve information, the judgments required in estimating and auditing reserve information and the inherently imprecise nature of reserve information, it has become increasingly apparent in recent years that many users of reserve information do not fully understand such matters. The adoption, publication and distribution of these standards should enable users of reserve information to understand these matters more fully and therefore avoid placing undue reliance on reserve information.

Secondly, the wider dissemination of reserve information through public financial reporting, such as that required by various governmental authorities, makes it imperative that the users of reserve information have a general understanding of the methods of, and limitations on, estimating and auditing reserve information.

Thirdly, as reserve information proliferates in terms of the types of information available and the broader dissemination thereof, it becomes increasingly important that reserve information be estimated and audited on a consistent basis. Compliance with these standards is a method of facilitating evaluation and comparisons of reserve information by the users thereof.

In order to accomplish the three above-discussed objectives, the society has included in these standards (i) definitions of selected terms pertaining to the estimation and evaluation of reserve information, (ii) qualifications for persons estimating and auditing reserve information, (iii) standards of independence and objectivity for such persons, (iv) standards for estimating proved reserves and other reserve information, and (v) standards for auditing proved reserves and other reserve information. Although these standards are predicated on generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles, it may in the future become necessary, for the reasons set forth in Section 1.2, to clarify or amend certain of these standards. Consequently, the society may, in appropriate future circumstances, determine to amend these standards or publish clarifying statements.

### Article II—Definitions of Selected Terms

#### 2.1 Applicability of Definitions

In preparing a report or opinion, persons estimating and auditing Reserve Information shall ascribe, to proved reserves and other significant terms used therein, the definitions promulgated by the society or such other definitions as he or she may reasonably consider appropriate in accordance with generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles; provided, however, that (i) such report or opinion should define, or make reference to a definition of, each significant term that is used therein and (ii) the definitions used in any report or opinion must be consistent with statutory and regulatory provisions, if any, that apply to such report or opinion in accordance with its intended use.

#### 2.2 Defined Terms

The definitions set forth in this Section 2.2 are applicable for all purposes of these standards:

(a) Entity. An Entity is a corporation, joint venture, partnership, trust, individual or other person engaged in (i) the exploration for, or production of, oil
and gas; (ii) the acquisition of properties or interests therein for the purpose
of conducting such exploration or production; or (iii) the ownership of prop­
erties or interests therein with respect to which such exploration or production
is being, or will be, conducted.

(b) Reserve Estimator. A Reserve Estimator is a person who is designated
to be in responsible charge for estimating and evaluating proved reserves and
other Reserve Information. A Reserve Estimator either may personally make
the estimates and evaluations of Reserve Information or may supervise and
approve the estimation and evaluation thereof by others.

(c) Reserve Auditor. A Reserve Auditor is a person who is designated to be
in responsible charge for the conduct of an audit with respect to Reserve Infor­
mation estimated by others. A Reserve Auditor either may personally conduct
an audit of Reserve Information or may supervise and approve the conduct of
an audit thereof by others.

(d) Reserve Information. Reserve Information consists of various estimates
pertaining to the extent and value of oil and gas properties. Reserve Information
may, but will not necessarily, include estimates of (i) proved reserves, (ii) the
future production rates from such proved reserves, (iii) the future net revenue
from such proved reserves, and (iv) the present value of such future net revenue.

**Article III—Professional Qualifications of Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors**

3.1 The Importance of Professionally Qualified Reserve Estima­
tors and Reserve Auditors. Reserve Information is prepared and audited,
respectively, by Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors, who are often as­sisted by other professionals and by paraprofessionals and clerical personnel.
Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors may be (i) employees of an Entity
itself or (ii) stockholders, proprietors, partners or employees of an independent
firm of petroleum consultants with which an arrangement has been made for
the estimating or auditing of Reserve Information. Irrespective of the nature of
their employment, however, Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors must (i)
examine the data base necessary to estimate or audit Reserve Information; (ii)
perform such tests and consider such matters as may be necessary to evaluate
the sufficiency of the data base; and (iii) make such calculations and estima­
tions, and apply such tests and standards, as may be necessary to estimate or
audit proved reserves and other Reserve Information. For the reasons discussed
in Section 1.3, the proper determination of these matters is highly dependent
upon the numerous judgments Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors are
required to make based upon their educational background, professional train­
ing and professional experience. Consequently, in order to assure that Reserve
Information will be as reliable as possible given the limitations inherent in the
estimating and auditing process, it is essential that those in responsible charge
for estimating and auditing Reserve Information have adequate professional
qualifications such as those set forth in this Article III.

3.2 Professional Qualifications of Reserve Estimators. A Reserve
Estimator shall be considered professionally qualified in such capacity if he or
she has sufficient educational background, professional training and profes­sional experience to enable him or her to exercise prudent professional judg­
ment and to be in responsible charge in connection with the estimating of
proved reserves and other Reserve Information. The determination of whether a
Reserve Estimator is professionally qualified should be made on an individual-by-individual basis. A Reserve Estimator would normally be considered to be qualified if he or she (i) has a minimum of three years' practical experience in petroleum engineering or petroleum production geology, with at least one year of such experience being in the estimation and evaluation of Reserve Information; and (ii) either (A) has obtained, from a college or university of recognized stature, a bachelor's or advanced degree in petroleum engineering, geology or other discipline of engineering or physical science or (B) has received, and is maintaining in good standing, a registered or certified professional engineer's license or a registered or certified professional geologist's license, or the equivalent thereof, from an appropriate governmental authority or professional organization.

3.3 Professional Qualifications of Reserve Auditors. A Reserve Auditor shall be considered professionally qualified in such capacity if he or she has sufficient educational background, professional training and professional experience to enable him or her to exercise prudent professional judgment while acting in responsible charge for the conduct of an audit of Reserve Information estimated by others. The determination of whether a Reserve Auditor is professionally qualified should be made on an individual-by-individual basis. A Reserve Auditor would normally be considered to be qualified if he or she (i) has a minimum of ten years' practical experience in petroleum engineering or petroleum production geology, with at least five years of such experience being in the estimation and evaluation of Reserve Information; and (ii) either (A) has obtained, from a college or university of recognized stature, a bachelor's or advanced degree in petroleum engineering, geology or other discipline of engineering or physical science or (B) has received, and is maintaining in good standing, a registered or certified professional engineer's license or a registered or certified professional geologist's license, or the equivalent thereof, from an appropriate governmental authority or professional organization.

Article IV—Standards of Independence, Objectivity and Confidentiality for Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors

4.1 The Importance of Independent or Objective Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors. In order that users of Reserve Information may be assured that the Reserve Information was estimated or audited in an unbiased and objective manner, it is important that Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors maintain, respectively, the levels of independence and objectivity set forth in this Article IV. The determination of the independence and objectivity of Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors should be made on a case-by-case basis. To facilitate such determination, the society has adopted (i) standards of independence for consulting Reserve Estimators and consulting Reserve Auditors and (ii) standards of objectivity for Reserve Auditors internally employed by Entities to which the Reserve Information relates. To the extent that the applicable standards of independence and objectivity set forth in this Article IV are not met by Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors in estimating and auditing Reserve Information, such lack of conformity with this Article IV shall be set forth in any report or opinion relating to Reserve Information which purports to have been estimated or audited in accordance with these standards.
4.2 Requirement of Independence for Consulting Reserve Estimators and Consulting Reserve Auditors. Consulting Reserve Estimators and consulting Reserve Auditors, or any firm of petroleum consultants of which such individuals are stockholders, proprietors, partners or employees, should be independent from any Entity with respect to which such Reserve Estimators, Reserve Auditors or consulting firm estimate or audit Reserve Information which purports to have been estimated or audited in accordance with these standards.

4.3 Standards of Independence for Consulting Reserve Estimators and Consulting Reserve Auditors. Consulting Reserve Estimators and consulting Reserve Auditors, and any firm of petroleum consultants of which such individuals are stockholders, proprietors, partners or employees, would not normally be considered independent with respect to an Entity if, during the term of their professional engagement, such Reserve Estimators, Reserve Auditors or consulting firm

(a) Investments. Either owned or acquired, or were committed to acquire, directly or indirectly, any material financial interest in (i) such Entity or any corporation or other person affiliated therewith or (ii) any property with respect to which Reserve Information is to be estimated or audited;

(b) Joint Business Venture. Either owned or acquired, or were committed to acquire, directly or indirectly, any material joint business investment with such Entity or any officer, director, principal stockholder or other person affiliated therewith;

(c) Borrowings. Were indebted to such Entity or any officer, director, principal stockholder or other person affiliated therewith; provided, however, that retainers, advances against work-in-process and trade accounts payable arising from the purchase of goods and services in the ordinary course of business shall not constitute indebtedness within the meaning of this Section 4.3(c);

(d) Guarantees of Borrowings. Were indebted to any individual, corporation or other person under circumstances where the payment of such indebtedness was guaranteed by such Entity or any officer, director, principal stockholder or other person affiliated therewith;

(e) Loans to Clients. Extended credit to (i) such Entity or any officer, director, principal stockholder or other person affiliated therewith or (ii) any person having a material interest in any property with respect to which Reserve Information was estimated or audited; provided, however, that trade accounts receivable arising in the ordinary course of business from the performance of petroleum engineering and related services shall not constitute the extension of credit within the meaning of this Section 4.3(e);

(f) Guarantees for Clients. Guaranteed any indebtedness (i) owed by such Entity or any officer, director, principal stockholder or other person affiliated

---

For purposes of this Section 4.3, the term "affiliated" shall, with respect to an Entity, describe the relationship of a person to such Entity under circumstances in which such person, directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by or is under common control with such Entity; provided, however, that commercial banks and other bona fide financial institutions shall not be considered to be affiliated with the Entity to which the Reserve Information relates unless such banks or institutions actively participate in the management of the properties of such Entity.

Unless the context requires otherwise, the term "material" shall, for purposes of this Section 4.3, be interpreted with reference to the net worth of the consulting Reserve Estimators or the consulting Reserve Auditors, or any firm of petroleum consultants of which such individuals are stockholders, proprietors, partners or employees.
therewith or (ii) payable to any individual, corporation, entity or other person having a material interest in the Reserve Information pertaining to such Entity;

(g) **Purchases and Sales of Assets.** Purchased any material asset from, or sold any material asset to, such Entity or any officer, director, principal stockholder or other person affiliated therewith;

(h) **Certain Relationships With Client.** Were directly or indirectly connected with such Entity as a promoter, underwriter, officer, director or principal stockholder, or in any capacity equivalent thereto, or were otherwise not separate and independent from the operating and investment decision-making process of such Entity;

(i) **Trusts and Estates.** Were trustees of any trust, or executors or administrators of any estate, if such trust or estate had any direct or indirect interest material to it in such Entity or in any property with respect to which Reserve Information was estimated or audited; or

(j) **Contingent Fee.** Were engaged by such Entity to estimate or audit Reserve Information pursuant to any agreement, arrangement or understanding whereby the remuneration or fee paid by such Entity was contingent upon, or related to, the results or conclusions reached in estimating or auditing such Reserve Information.

The independence of consulting Reserve Estimators and consulting Reserve Auditors, and the independence of any firm of petroleum consultants of which such individuals are stockholders, proprietors, partners or employees, shall not be considered impaired merely because other petroleum engineering and related services were performed (i) for such Entity or any officer, director, principal stockholder or other person affiliated therewith or (ii) in regard to any property with respect to which Reserve Information was estimated or audited; provided, however, such other services must have been of a type normally rendered by the petroleum engineering profession.

4.4 Requirement of Objectivity for Reserve Auditors Internally Employed by Entities. Reserve Auditors who are internally employed by an Entity should be objective with respect to such Entity if such Reserve Auditors audit Reserve Information relating to such Entity which purports to have been estimated or audited in accordance with these standards.

4.5 Standards of Objectivity for Reserve Auditors Internally Employed by Entities. Reserve Auditors internally employed by an Entity would normally be considered to be in a position of objectivity with respect to such Entity if, during the time period in which Reserve Information was audited, such Reserve Auditors

(a) **Accountability to Management.** Were assigned to a staff group which was (i) accountable to upper level management of such Entity and (ii) separate and independent from the operating and investment decision-making process of such Entity; and

(b) **Freedom to Report Irregularities.** Were granted complete and unrestricted freedom to report, to the principal executives and board of directors of such entity, any substantive or procedural irregularities of which such Reserve Auditors became aware during their audit of Reserve Information pertaining to such Entity.

4.6 Requirement of Confidentiality. Reserve Estimators and Reserve Auditors, and any firm of petroleum consultants of which such individuals are
stockholders, proprietors, partners or employees should retain in strictest confidence Reserve Information and other data and information furnished by, or pertaining to, an Entity, and such Reserve Information, data and information should not be disclosed to others without the prior consent of such Entity.

Article V—Standards for Estimating Proved Reserves and Other Reserve Information

5.1 General Considerations in Estimating Reserve Information. Reserve Information may be estimated through the use of generally accepted geologic and engineering methods that are consistent with both these standards and any statutory and regulatory provisions which are applicable to such Reserve Information in accordance with its intended use. In estimating Reserve Information for a property or group of properties, Reserve Estimators will determine the geologic and engineering methods to be used in estimating Reserve Information by considering (i) the sufficiency and reliability of the data base; (ii) the stage of development; (iii) the performance history; (iv) their experience with respect to such property or group of properties, and with respect to similar properties; and (v) the significance of such property or group of properties to the aggregate oil and gas properties and interests being estimated or evaluated. The report as to Reserve Information should set forth information regarding the manner in which, and the assumptions pursuant to which, such report was prepared. Such disclosure should include, where appropriate, definitions of the significant terms used in such report, the geologic and engineering methods and measurement base used in preparing the Reserve Information and the source of the data used with regard to ownership interests, oil and gas production and other performance data, costs of operation and development, product prices, and agreements relating to current and future operations and sales of production.

5.2 Adequacy of Data Base in Estimating Reserve Information. The sufficiency and reliability of the data base is of primary importance in the estimation of proved reserves and other Reserve Information. The type and extent of the data required will necessarily vary in accordance with the methods employed to estimate proved reserves and other Reserve Information. In this regard, information must be available with respect to each property or group of properties as to operating interests, expense interests and revenue interests and future changes in any of such interests that, based on current circumstances, are expected to occur. Additionally, if future net revenue from proved reserves, or the present value of such future net revenue, is to be estimated, the data base should include, with respect to each property or group of properties, costs of operation and development, if available, product prices and a description of any agreements relating to current and future operations and sales of production.

5.3 Estimating Proved Reserves. The acceptable methods for estimating proved reserves include (i) the volumetric method; (ii) evaluation of the performance history, which evaluation may include an analysis and projection of producing ranges, reservoir pressures, oil-water ratios, gas-oil ratios and gas-liquid ratios; (iii) development of a mathematical model through consideration of material balance and computer simulation techniques; (iv) analogy to other reservoirs if geographic location, formation characteristics or similar factors render such analogy appropriate. In estimating proved reserves, Reserve
Estimators should utilize the particular methods, and the number of methods, which in their professional judgment are most appropriate given (i) the geographic location, formation characteristics and nature of the property or group of properties with respect to which proved reserves are being estimated; (ii) the amount and quality of available data; and (iii) the significance of such property or group of properties in relation to the oil and gas properties with respect to which proved reserves are being estimated.

5.4 Estimating Proved Reserves by the Volumetric Method. Estimating proved reserves in accordance with the volumetric method involves estimation of oil in place based upon review and analysis of such documents and information as (i) ownership and development maps; (ii) geologic maps; (iii) electric logs and formation tests; (iv) relevant reservoir and core data; and (v) information regarding the completion of oil and gas wells and any production performance thereof. An appropriate estimated recovery factor is applied to the resulting oil in place figure in order to derive estimated proved reserves.

5.5 Estimating Proved Reserves by Analyzing Performance Data. For reservoirs with respect to which performance has disclosed reliable production trends, proved reserves may be estimated by analysis of performance histories and projections of such trends. These estimates may be primarily predicated on an analysis of the rates of decline in production and on appropriate considerations of other performance parameters such as reservoir pressures, oil-water ratios, gas-oil ratios and gas-liquid ratios.

5.6 Estimating Proved Reserves by Using Mathematical Models. Proved reserves and future production performance can be estimated through a combination of detailed geologic and reservoir engineering studies and mathematical or computer simulation models. The validity of the mathematical simulation models is enhanced by the degree to which the calculated history matches the performance history. Where performance history is unavailable, special consideration should be given to determining the sensitivity of the calculated ultimate recoveries to the data that is the most uncertain. After making such sensitivity determination, the proved ultimate recovery should be based on the selection of the most likely data encompassed within the ranges of their uncertainty.

5.7 Estimating Proved Reserves by Analogy to Comparable Reservoirs. If performance trends have not been established with respect to oil and gas production, future production rates and proved reserves may be estimated by analogy to reservoirs in the same geographic area having similar characteristics and established performance trends. Where appropriate, proved reserves may be estimated using multiples of current rates of production.

5.8 Estimated Future Rates of Production. Future rates of oil and gas production may be estimated by extrapolating production trends where such have been established. If production trends have not been established, future rates of production may be estimated by analogy to the respective rates of production of reservoirs in the same geographic area having similar geologic features, reservoir rock and fluid characteristics. If there is not available either (i) production trends from the property or group of properties with respect to which proved reserves are being estimated or (ii) rates of production from similar reservoirs, the estimation of future rates of production may be predicated on an assumed future decline rate that takes into proper consideration the cumulative oil and gas production that is estimated to occur prior to the
predicted decline in such production in relation to the estimated ultimate production. Reservoir simulation is also an accepted method of estimating future rates of production. Irrespective of the method used, however, proper consideration should be given to (i) the producing capacities of the wells; (ii) the number of wells to be drilled in the future, together with the proposed times when such are to be drilled and the structural positions of such wells; (iii) the energy inherent in, or introduced to, the reservoir; (iv) the estimated ultimate recovery; (v) future remedial work to be performed; (vi) the scheduling of future well abandonments; (vii) normal downtime which may be anticipated; and (viii) artificial restriction of future producing rates that is attributable to statutory and regulatory provisions, purchaser proration and other factors.

5.9 Estimating Reserve Information Other Than Proved Reserves and Future Rates of Production. A Reserve Estimator often estimates Reserve Information other than proved reserves and future rates of production in order to make his or her report more useful. Proved reserves net to the interests appraised are estimated using the Entity's net interest in the property or group of properties, or in the production therefrom, with respect to which proved reserves were estimated. The nature of the net interest of the Entity may be established or affected by any number of arrangements which the Reserve Estimator must take into account. Estimated future revenues are calculated from the estimated future rates of production by applying the appropriate sales prices furnished by the Entity or by using such other data as may be required by statutory and regulatory provisions that are applicable to such report in accordance with its intended use. Where appropriate, the Reserve Estimator deducts from such future revenues items such as (i) any existing production or severance taxes, (ii) taxes levied against property or production, (iii) estimates of future operating costs and (iv) estimates of any future development, equipment or other significant capital expenditures required for the production of the proved reserves. Such deductions normally include various overhead and management charges. For some purposes, it is desirable to subtract income taxes and other governmental levies in estimating future net revenues.

In estimating future net revenues, the Reserve Estimator should consider, where appropriate, any likely changes (i) from historical operating costs, (ii) from current estimates of future capital expenditures and (iii) in other factors which may affect estimated limits of economic production.

Article VI—Standards for Auditing Proved Reserves and Other Reserve Information

6.1 The Concept of Auditing Proved Reserves and Other Reserve Information. An audit is an examination of Reserve Information that is conducted for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether such Reserve Information, in the aggregate, is reasonable and has been estimated and presented in conformity with generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles.

As discussed in Section 1.3, the estimation of proved reserves and other Reserve Information is an imprecise science due to the many unknown geologic and reservoir factors that can only be estimated through sampling techniques. Since proved reserves are therefore only estimates, such cannot be audited for the purpose of verifying exactness. Instead, Reserve Information is audited for
the purpose of reviewing in sufficient detail the policies, procedures and methods used by an Entity in estimating its Reserve Information so that the Reserve Auditors may express an opinion as to whether, in the aggregate, the Reserve Information furnished by such Entity is reasonable and has been estimated and presented in conformity with generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles.

The methods and procedures used by an Entity, and the Reserve Information it furnishes, must be reviewed in sufficient detail to permit the Reserve Auditor, in his or her professional judgment, to express an opinion as to the reasonableness of such Entity's Reserve Information. In some cases the auditing procedure may require independent estimates of Reserve Information for particular properties. The desirability of such reestimation will be determined by the Reserve Auditor exercising his or her professional judgment in arriving at an opinion as to the reasonableness of the Entity's Reserve Information.

6.2 Limitations on Responsibility of Reserve Auditors. Since the primary responsibility for estimating and presenting Reserve Information pertaining to an Entity is with the management of such Entity, the responsibility of Reserve Auditors is necessarily limited to any opinion they express with respect to such Reserve Information. In discharging such responsibility, Reserve Auditors may accept, generally without independent verification, information and data furnished by the Entity with respect to ownership interests, oil and gas production, historical costs of operation and development, product prices, agreements relating to current and future operations and sales of production, and other specified matters. If during the course of the audit, however, questions arise as to the accuracy or sufficiency of any information or data furnished by the Entity, the Reserve Auditor should not rely on such information or data unless such questions are resolved or the information or data is independently verified. If Reserve Information is used for financial accounting purposes, certain basic data would ordinarily be tested by an Entity's independent public accountants in connection with their examination of the Entity's financial statements. Such basic data would include information such as the property interests owned by the Entity, historical production data and the prices, costs and discount factors used in valuations of proved reserves. Reserve Auditors should, however, review estimates of major expenditures for development and equipment and any major differences between historical operating costs and estimated future operating costs.

6.3 Understanding Among an Entity, Its Independent Public Accountants and the Reserve Auditors. An understanding should exist among an Entity, its independent public accountants and the Reserve Auditors with respect to the nature of the work to be performed by the Reserve Auditors. Irrespective of whether the Reserve Auditors are consultants or internally employed by the Entity, the understanding between the Entity and the Reserve Auditors should include at least the following:

(a) Availability of Reserve Information. The Entity will provide the Reserve Auditors with (i) all Reserve Information prepared by such Entity, (ii) access to all basic data and documentation pertaining to the oil and gas properties of such Entity, and (iii) access to all personnel of such Entity who might have information relevant to the audit of such Reserve Information.

(b) Performance of Audit. The Reserve Auditors will (i) study and evaluate the methods and procedures used by the Entity in estimating and documenting its Reserve Information; (ii) review the reserve definitions and classifications
used by such Entity; (iii) test and evaluate the Reserve Information of such Entity to the extent considered necessary by the Reserve Auditors; and (iv) express an opinion as to the reasonableness, in the aggregate, of such Entity's Reserve Information.

(c) Availability of Audit Report to Independent Public Accountants. The Reserve Auditors will (i) permit their audit report to be provided to the independent public accountants of the Entity for use in their examination of its financial statements and (ii) be available to discuss their audit report with such independent public accountants.

(d) Coordination Between Reserve Auditors and Independent Public Accountants. The Reserve Auditors and the Entity's independent public accountants will coordinate their efforts and agree on the records and data of the Entity to be reviewed by each.

In the case of an audit to be conducted by consulting Reserve Auditors, it is preferable that such understanding be documented, such as through an engagement letter between the Entity and the consulting Reserve Auditors.

6.4 Procedures for Auditing Reserve Information. Irrespective of whether the Reserve Information pertaining to an Entity is being audited by consulting Reserve Auditors or Reserve Auditors internally employed by such Entity, the audit should be conducted in accordance with the following procedures:

(a) Proper Planning and Supervision. The audit should be adequately planned and assistants, if any, should be properly supervised.

(b) Early Appointment of Reserve Auditors. Where appropriate, early appointment of Reserve Auditors is advantageous to both the Entity and the Reserve Auditors. Early appointment enables the Reserve Auditors to plan their work so that it may be done expeditiously and to determine the extent to which such can be completed prior to the balance sheet date. Preliminary work by the Reserve Auditors benefits the Entity by facilitating the efficient and expeditious completion of the audit of such Entity's Reserve Information.

(c) Disclosure of the Possibility of a Qualified Audit Opinion. Before accepting an engagement, Reserve Auditors should ascertain whether circumstances are likely to permit an unqualified opinion with respect to an Entity's Reserve Information and, if such will not, they should discuss with such Entity (i) the possible necessity of their rendering a qualified opinion and (ii) the possible remedies to the circumstances giving rise to the potential qualification of such opinion.

(d) Interim Audit Procedures. Many audit tests can be conducted at almost any time during the year. In the course of interim work, the Reserve Auditors make tests of the Entity's methods, procedures and controls to determine the extent to which such are reliable. It is acceptable practice for the Reserve Auditors to complete substantial parts of an audit examination at interim dates.

When a significant part of an audit is completed during the year and the Entity's methods, procedures and controls are found to be effective, the year-end audit procedure may primarily consist of an evaluation of the impact of new data. The Reserve Auditors must nevertheless be satisfied that the procedures and controls are still effective at the year-end and that new discoveries, recent oil and gas production and other recent information and data have been taken into account. Reserve Auditors would not be required to retest the data base
pertaining to an Entity's properties and interests unless their inquiries and observations indicate that conditions have changed significantly.

(e) General Matters to Be Reviewed With Respect to Reserve Information. An audit of the Reserve Information pertaining to an Entity should include a review of (i) the policies, procedures, documentation and guidelines of such Entity with respect to the estimation, review and approval of its Reserve Information; (ii) the qualifications of Reserve Estimators internally employed by such Entity; (iii) ratios of such Entity's proved reserves to annual production for, respectively, oil, gas and natural gas liquids; (iv) historical reserve and revision trends with respect to the oil and gas properties and interests of such Entity; (v) the ranking by size of properties or groups of properties with respect to estimates of proved reserves or the future net revenue from such proved reserves; (vi) the percentages of proved reserves estimated by each of the various methods set forth in Section 5.3 for estimating proved reserves; and (vii) the significant changes occurring in such Entity's proved reserves, other than from production, during the year with respect to which the audit is being prepared.

(f) Evaluation of Internal Policies, Procedures and Documentation. Reserve Auditors should review and evaluate the internal policies, procedures and documentation of an Entity to establish a basis for reliance thereon in determining the nature, extent and timing of the audit tests to be applied in the examination of such Entity's Reserve Information and other data and matters. The internal policies, procedures and documentation to be reviewed with respect to an Entity should include (i) reserve definitions and classifications used by such Entity; (ii) such Entity's policies pertaining to, and management involvement in, the review and approval of Reserve Information and changes therein; (iii) the frequency with which such Entity reviews existing Reserve Information; (iv) the form, content and documentation of the Reserve Information of such Entity, together with such Entity's internal distribution thereof; and (v) the flow of data to and from such Entity's reserve inventory system.

(g) Testing for Compliance. Reserve Auditors should conduct tests and spot checks to confirm that (i) there is adherence on the part of an Entity's internal Reserve Estimators and other employees to the policies and procedures established by such Entity; and (ii) the data flowing into the reserve inventory system of such Entity is complete and consistent with other available records.

(h) Substantive Testing. In conducting substantive tests, Reserve Auditors should give priority to each property or group of properties of an Entity having (i) a large reserve value in relation to the aggregate properties of such Entity; (ii) a relatively large reserve value and major changes during the audit year in the Reserve Information pertaining to such property or group of properties; and (iii) a relatively large reserve value and a high degree of uncertainty in the Reserve Information pertaining thereto. The amount of substantive testing performed with respect to particular Reserve Information of an Entity should depend on the assessment of (i) the general degree of uncertainty with respect to such Reserve Information, (ii) the evaluation of the internal policies, procedures and documentation of such Entity and (iii) the results of the compliance testing with respect to such Entity. Such substantive testing could therefore appropriately range from a limited number of tests to the complete estimation of Reserve Information with respect to a majority of an Entity's reserves.

6.5 Records and Documentation With Respect to Audit. Reserve Auditors should document, and maintain records with respect to, each audit of the Reserve Information of an Entity. Such documentation and records should
include, among other things, a description of (i) the Reserve Information audited: (ii) the review and evaluation of such Entity's policies, procedures and documentation; (iii) the compliance testing performed with respect to such Entity; and (iv) the substantive tests performed in the course of such audit.

6.6 Forms of Unqualified Audit Opinions. Acceptable forms of unqualified audit opinions for consulting Reserve Auditors and Reserve Auditors internally employed by Entities are attached to these standards as, respectively, Exhibits "A" and "B."
Exhibit "A-1"—Illustrative Unqualified Audit Opinion of Consulting Reserve Auditor

[Date]

Entity
[Address]
Independent Public Accountants of Entity
[Address]

Gentlemen:

At your request, we have examined the estimates as of [dates] set forth in the accompanying table with respect to (i) the proved reserves of Entity, (ii) changes in such proved reserves during the period indicated, (iii) the future net revenue from such proved reserves, and (iv) the present value of such future net revenue. Our examination included such tests and procedures as we considered necessary under the circumstances to render the opinion set forth herein.

[A detailed description of the audit should be set forth.]

We are independent with respect to Entity as provided in the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserve Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers.

It should be understood that our above-described audit does not constitute a complete reserve study of the oil and gas properties of Entity. In the conduct of our report, we have not independently verified the accuracy and completeness of information and data furnished by Entity with respect to ownership interests, oil and gas production, historical costs of operation and development, product prices, agreements relating to current and future operations and sales of production, and [specify other information, data and matters upon which reliance was placed]. We have, however, specifically identified to you the information and data upon which we so relied so that you may subject such to those procedures that you consider necessary. Furthermore, if, in the course of our examination, something came to our attention which brought into question the validity or sufficiency of any of such information or data, we did not rely on such information or data until we had satisfactorily resolved our questions relating thereto or independently verified such information or data.

Please be advised that, based upon the foregoing, in our opinion the above-described estimates of Entity's proved reserves and other Reserve Information are, in the aggregate, reasonable and have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles as set forth in the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserve Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers.

[Insert, where appropriate and to the extent warranted by the Reserve Auditor's examination, whether the Reserve Information is in conformity with specified governmental regulations.]

[Optional: This letter is solely for the information of Entity and for the information and assistance of its independent public accountants in connection

---

4 If a Reserve Auditor is unable to give an unqualified opinion as to an Entity's Reserve Information, the Reserve Auditor should set forth in his or her opinion the nature and extent of the qualifications to such opinion and the reasons therefor.
with their review of, and report upon, the financial statements of Entity. This letter should not be used, circulated or quoted for any other purpose without the express written consent of the undersigned or except as required by law.

Very truly yours,
RESERVE AUDITOR
By ________
Exhibit “B-1”—Illustrative Unqualified Audit Opinion of Reserve Auditor Internally Employed by an Entity

[Date]

Entity
[Address]
Independent Public Accountants of Entity
[Address]

Gentlemen:

I have examined the estimates as of [dates] set forth in the accompanying table with respect to (i) the proved reserves of Entity, (ii) changes in such proved reserves during the period indicated, (iii) the future net revenue from such proved reserves, and (iv) the present value of such future net revenue. My examination included such tests and procedures as I considered necessary under the circumstances to render the opinion set forth herein.

[A detailed description of the audit tests and procedures may be set forth.]

I meet the requirements of objectivity for Reserve Auditors internally employed by Entities as set forth in the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserve Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers.

It should be understood that my above-described audit does not constitute a complete reserve study of the oil and gas properties of Entity. In the conduct of my report, I have not independently verified the accuracy and completeness of information and data furnished by other employees of Entity with respect to ownership interests, oil and gas production, historical costs of operation and development, development, product prices, agreements relating to current and future operations and sales of production, and [specify other information, data and matters upon which reliance was placed]. I have, however, specifically identified to you the information and data upon which I so relied so that you may subject such to those procedures that you consider necessary. Furthermore, if, in the course of my examination, something came to my attention which brought into question the validity or sufficiency of any of such information or data, I did not rely on such information or data until I had satisfactorily resolved my questions relating thereto or independently verified such information or data.

Please be advised that, based upon the foregoing, in my opinion the above-described estimates of Entity's proved reserves and other Reserve Information are, in the aggregate, reasonable and have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles as set forth in the Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserve Information promulgated by the Society of Petroleum Engineers.

[Insert, where appropriate and to the extent warranted by the Reserve Auditor's examination, whether the Reserve Information is in conformity with specified governmental regulations.]

Very truly yours
RESERVE AUDITOR
By _______

5 If a Reserve Auditor is unable to give an unqualified opinion as to an Entity's Reserve Information, the Reserve Auditor should set forth in his or her opinion the nature and extent of the qualifications to such opinion and the reasons therefor.
Appendix C

Information Sources

Further information on matters addressed in this guide is available through various publications and services listed in the table that follows. Many non-government and some government publications and services involve a charge or membership requirement.

Fax services allow users to follow voice cues and request that selected documents be sent by fax machine. Some fax services require the user to call from the handset of the fax machine, others allow the user to call from any phone. Most fax services offer an index document, which lists titles and other information describing available documents.

Electronic bulletin board services allow users to read, copy, and exchange information electronically. Most are available using a modem and standard communications software. Some bulletin board services are also available using one or more Internet protocols.

Recorded announcements allow users to listen to announcements about a variety of recent or scheduled actions or meetings.

All telephone numbers listed are voice lines, unless otherwise designated as fax (f) or data (d) lines. Required modem speeds, expressed in bauds per second (bps), are listed for data lines.
## Information Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Fax Services</th>
<th>Internet Web Site</th>
<th>Recorded Announcements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) | Order Department  
220 Leigh Farm Road  
Durham, NC 27707-8110  
(888) 777-7077  
Copies of AICPA publications referred to in this document may be obtained by calling the AICPA Order Department  
(888) 777-7077 | 24-Hour Fax Hotline  
(201) 938-3787 | www.aicpa.org |  |
| Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) | Order Department  
401 Merritt 7  
P.O. Box 5116  
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116  
(800) 748-0659  
Copies of printed and bound FASB publications referred to in this document may be obtained directly from the FASB by calling the FASB Order Department. FASB Statements also are available as downloadable PDF documents at no charge. | 24 Hour Access  
(203) 847-0700  
menu item 14 | www.fasb.org | Action Alert Telephone Line  
(203) 847-0700 (ext. 389) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Fax Services</th>
<th>Internet Web Site</th>
<th>Recorded Announcements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission</td>
<td>Publications Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.sec.gov">www.sec.gov</a></td>
<td>Information Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SEC)</td>
<td>100 F Street, NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(202) 942-8088 (202) 942-7114 (tty)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, DC 20549</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(202) 942-4040</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEC Public Reference Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(202) 551-8090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(800) SEC-0330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Petroleum Accounting</td>
<td>University of North Texas</td>
<td>Fax (940) 369-8839</td>
<td><a href="http://www.unt.edu/ipa">www.unt.edu/ipa</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 305460</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Denton, Texas 76203-5460</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(940) 565-3170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Petroleum Institute</td>
<td>Order Department</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.api.org">www.api.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>220 Leigh Farm Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Durham, NC 27707-8110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(888) 777-7077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Copies of AICPA publications referred to in this document may be obtained by calling the AICPA Order Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(888) 777-7077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Technology Institute</td>
<td>1700 South Mount</td>
<td>Fax (847) 768-0501</td>
<td><a href="http://www.gri.org">www.gri.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prospect Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Des Plaines, IL 60018-1804</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(847) 768-0500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D

Major Existing Differences Between AICPA Standards and PCAOB Standards

At the time of this writing, the following major differences existed between AICPA standards and final PCAOB standards approved by the SEC:

- **Risk Assessment Standards.** In March 2006, the ASB issued eight Statements on Auditing Standards (SASs), Nos. 104–111, collectively referred to as the risk assessment standards. These standards are applicable to nonissuers and are effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2006. These standards provide extensive guidance concerning the auditor's assessment of the risks of material misstatement in a financial statement audit and the design and performance of audit procedures whose nature, timing, and extent are responsive to the assessed risks. Additionally, the SASs establish standards and provide guidance on planning and supervision, the nature of audit evidence, and evaluating whether the audit evidence obtained affords a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit. SAS Nos. 104–111 makes significant changes to numerous AU sections in the auditing literature. These standards and their changes do not apply to audits conducted in accordance with PCAOB standards.

- **Audit of Internal Control.** In connection with the requirement of Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act that an issuer's independent auditor attest to and report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control, PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2, *An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction With an Audit of Financial Statements*, establishes requirements and provides direction that apply when an auditor is engaged to audit the internal control over financial reporting and to perform that audit in conjunction with the audit of an issuer's financial statements. PCAOB conforming amendments related to PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2 supersedes SAS No. 60, *Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Noted in Audit*, and AT section 501, *Reporting on an Entity's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*. Note that SAS No. 112, *Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit* (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1, AU sec. 325), issued in May 2006, superseded SAS No. 60 (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, vol. 1, AU sec. 325A).

- **Independence Matters.** Rule 3600T requires compliance with Standards No. 1, No. 2, and 3, and Interpretations 99-1, 00-1, and 00-2 of the Independence Standards Board. Also, to the extent that a provision of the SEC's independence rules or policies are more restrictive—or less restrictive—than the PCAOB's interim independence standards, a registered public accounting firm shall comply with the more restrictive requirement.
• **Independence Matters.** The PCAOB has adopted ethics and independence rules concerning independence, tax services, and contingent fees. See PCAOB Rules 3501, 3502, 3520, 3521, 3522, 3523, and 3524.

• **Concurring Partner.** Rule 3400T requires the establishment of policies and procedures for a concurring review [generally the SEC Practice Section (SECPS) membership rule].

• **Communication of Firm Policy.** Rule 3400T requires registered firms to communicate through a written statement to all professional firm personnel the broad principles that influence the firm's quality control and operating policies and procedures on, at a minimum, matters that relate to the recommendation and approval of accounting principles, present and potential client relationships and the types of services provided, and inform professional firm personnel periodically that compliance with those principles is mandatory (generally the SECPS membership rule).

• **Affiliated Firms.** Rule 3400T requires registered firms that are part of an international association to seek adoption of policies and procedures by the international organization or individual foreign associated firms consistent with PCAOB standards.

• **Partner Rotation.** Rule 3600T requires compliance with the SEC's independence rules which include partner rotation.

• **Continuing Professional Education (CPE) Requirements.** Rule 3400T requires registered accounting firms to ensure that all of their professionals participate in at least 20 hours of qualifying CPE every year (generally the SECPS membership rule).

Please note that in the time since publication, these differences might have been eliminated and others might have arisen.

---

1 Firms that were not members of the AICPA's SECPS as of April 16, 2003 do not have to comply with this requirement.
Appendix E

Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards to Previous Standards

This appendix discusses the key provisions of each of the audit risk SASs and provides a summary of how each of the SASs differs, if at all, from the previous AICPA generally accepted audit standards.

SAS No. 104, Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1, Codification of Auditing Standards and Procedures ("Due Professional Care in the Performance of Work")

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 104 defines reasonable assurance as a &quot;high level of assurance.&quot;</td>
<td>• SAS No. 104 clarifies the meaning of reasonable assurance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAS No. 105, Amendment to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 95, Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 105 expands the scope of the understanding that the auditor must obtain in the second standard of field work from &quot;internal control&quot; to &quot;the entity and its environment, including its internal control.&quot;</td>
<td>• Previous guidance considered the understanding of the entity to be a part of audit planning, and emphasized that the understanding of internal control also was primarily part of audit planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The quality and depth of the understanding to be obtained is emphasized by amending its purpose from &quot;planning the audit&quot; to &quot;assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements whether due to error or fraud and to design the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures.&quot;</td>
<td>• By stating that the purpose of your understanding of the entity and its internal control is part of assessing the risks of material misstatement, SAS No. 105 essentially considers this understanding to provide audit evidence that ultimately supports your opinion on the financial statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• SAS No. 105 emphasizes the link between understanding the entity, assessing risks, and the design of further audit procedures. It is anticipated that &quot;generic&quot; audit programs will not be an appropriate response for all engagements because risks vary between entities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The term further audit procedures, which consists of test of controls and substantive tests, replaces the term tests to be performed in recognition that risk assessment procedures are also performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The term audit evidence replaces the term evidential matter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SAS No. 106, Audit Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Key Provisions</strong></th>
<th><strong>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 defines <em>audit evidence</em> as &quot;all the information used by the auditor in arriving at the conclusions on which the audit opinion is based.&quot;</td>
<td>• Previous guidance did not define audit evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 also describes basic concepts of audit evidence.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 106 also describes basic concepts of audit evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The term <em>sufficient, appropriate audit evidence</em>, defined in SAS No. 106, replaces the term <em>sufficient, competent evidence.</em></td>
<td>• The term <em>sufficient, appropriate audit evidence</em>, defined in SAS No. 106, replaces the term <em>sufficient, competent evidence.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 recategorizes assertions by classes of transactions, account balances, and presentation and disclosure; expands the guidance related to presentation and disclosure; and describes how the auditor uses relevant assertions to assess risk and design audit procedures.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 106 recategorizes assertions to add clarity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Assertion relating to presentation and disclosure</em> has been expanded and includes a new assertion that information in disclosures should be &quot;expressed clearly&quot; (understandability).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 defines <em>relevant assertions</em> as those assertions that have a meaningful bearing on whether the account is fairly stated.</td>
<td>• The term <em>relevant assertions</em> is new, and it is used repeatedly throughout SAS No. 106.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 provides additional guidance on the reliability of various kinds of audit evidence.</td>
<td>• The previous standard included a discussion of the competence of evidential matter and how different types of audit evidence may provide more or less valid evidence. SAS No. 106 expands on this guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 106 identifies &quot;risk assessment procedures&quot; as audit procedures performed on all audits to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, to assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement and relevant assertion levels.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 106 introduces the concept of risk assessment procedures, which are necessary to provide a basis for assessing the risks of material misstatement. The results of risk assessment procedures, along with the results of further audit procedures, provide audit evidence that ultimately supports the auditor's opinion on the financial statements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(continued)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • SAS No. 106 provides that evidence obtained by performing risk assessment procedures, as well as that obtained by performing tests of controls and substantive procedures, is part of the evidence the auditor obtains to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit opinion, although such evidence is not sufficient in and of itself to support the audit opinion. | • Risk assessment procedures include:  
  — Inquiries of management and others within the entity  
  — Analytical procedures  
  — Observation and inspection |
| • SAS No. 106 describes the types of audit procedures that the auditor may use alone or in combination as risk assessment procedures, tests of controls, or substantive procedures, depending on the context in which they are applied by the auditor. | • Inquiry alone is not sufficient to evaluate the design of internal control and to determine whether it has been implemented. |
| • SAS No. 106 includes guidance on the uses and limitations of inquiry as an audit procedure. | |
### SAS No. 107, Audit Risk and Materiality in Conducting an Audit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The auditor must consider audit risk and must determine a materiality level for the financial statements taken as a whole for the purpose of:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Determining the extent and nature of risk assessment procedures.</td>
<td>• Previous guidance said that auditors &quot;should consider&quot; audit risk and materiality for certain specified purposes. SAS No. 107 states that the auditor &quot;must&quot; consider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Identifying and assessing the risk of material misstatement.</td>
<td>• New guidance explicitly states that audit risk and materiality are used to identify and assess the risk of material misstatement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Determining the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Evaluating whether the financial statements taken as a whole are presented fairly, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Combined assessment of inherent and control risks is termed the risk of material misstatement.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 107 consistently uses the term <em>risk of material misstatement</em>, which often is described as a combined assessment of inherent and control risk. However, auditors may make separate assessment of inherent risk and control risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The auditor should assess the risk of material misstatement as a basis for further audit procedures. Although that risk assessment is a judgment rather than a precise measurement of risk, the auditor should have an appropriate basis for that assessment.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 107 states that the auditor should have and document an appropriate basis for the audit approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assessed risks and the basis for those assessments should be documented.</td>
<td>• These two provisions of the risk assessment standards effectively eliminate the ability of the auditor to assess control risk &quot;at the maximum&quot; without having a basis for that assessment. In other words, you can no longer &quot;default&quot; to maximum control risk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Key Provisions</strong></th>
<th><strong>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The auditor must accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that the auditor believes are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 107 provides additional guidance on communicating misstatements to management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The concept of not accumulating misstatements below a certain threshold is included in the previous standards, but SAS No. 107 provides additional specific guidance on how to determine this threshold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The auditor should request management to respond appropriately when misstatements (known or likely) are identified during the audit.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 107 provides specific guidance regarding the appropriate auditor's responses to the types of misstatements (known or likely) identified by the auditor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards

**SAS No. 108, Planning and Supervision**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAS No. 108 provides guidance on:</td>
<td>• Much of the guidance provided in SAS No. 108 has been consolidated from several existing standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Appointment of the independent auditor.</td>
<td>• However, SAS No. 108 provides new guidance on preliminary engagement activities, including the development of an overall audit strategy and an audit plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Establishing an understanding with the client.</td>
<td>— The overall audit strategy is what previously was commonly referred to as the audit approach. It is a broad approach to how the audit will be conducted, considering factors such as the scope of the engagement, deadlines for performing the audit and issuing the report, and recent financial reporting developments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preliminary engagement activities.</td>
<td>— The audit plan is more detailed than the audit strategy and is commonly referred to as the audit program. The audit plan describes in detail the nature, timing, and extent of risk assessment and further audit procedures you perform in an audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The overall audit strategy.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 108 states that you should establish a written understanding with your audittee regarding the services to be performed for each engagement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The audit plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Determining the extent of involvement of professionals possessing specialized skills.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Using a professional possessing information technology (IT) skills to understand the effect of IT on the audit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Additional considerations in initial audit engagements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Supervision of assistants.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SAS No. 109, Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 describes audit procedures that the auditor should perform to obtain the understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control.</td>
<td>• The auditor should perform &quot;risk assessment procedures&quot; to gather information and gain an understanding of the entity and its environment. These procedures include inquiries, observation, inspection, and analytical procedures. Previous standards did not describe the procedures that should be performed to gain an understanding of the client.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The audit team should discuss the susceptibility of the entity's financial statements to material misstatement.</td>
<td>• Information about the entity may be provided by a variety of sources, including knowledge about the entity gathered in previous audits (provided certain conditions are met), and the results of auditee acceptance and continuance procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The purpose of obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, is to identify and assess &quot;the risks of material misstatement&quot; and design and perform further audit procedures responsive to the assessed risks.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 109 also directs the auditor to perform a variety of risk assessment procedures, and it describes the limitations of inquiry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 states the auditor should assess the risks of material misstatement at both the financial statement and relevant assertion levels.</td>
<td>• Previous standards did not require a &quot;brainstorming&quot; session to discuss the risks of material misstatements. SAS No. 109 requires such a brainstorming session, which is similar to (and may be performed together with) the brainstorming session to discuss fraud.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 directly links the understanding of the entity and its internal control with the assessment of risk and design of further audit procedures. Thus, the understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, provides the audit evidence necessary to support the auditor's assessment of risk.</td>
<td>• The previous standard included the concept of assessing risk at the financial statement level, but SAS No. 109 provides expanded and more explicit guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Provisions</strong></td>
<td><strong>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 also directs the auditor to determine how risks at the financial statement level may result in risks at the assertion level.</td>
<td>• Under the previous standard, the primary purpose of gaining an understanding of internal control was to plan the audit. Under SAS No. 109, your understanding of internal control is used to assess risks. Thus, the understanding of internal control provides audit evidence that ultimately supports the auditor's opinion on the financial statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 provides directions on how to evaluate the design of the entity's controls and determine whether the controls are adequate and have been implemented.</td>
<td>• The previous standard directs the auditor to obtain an understanding of internal control as part of obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment. SAS No. 109 requires auditors to evaluate the design of controls and determine whether they have been implemented. Evaluating the design of a control involves considering whether the control, individually or in combination with other controls, is capable of effectively preventing or detecting and correcting material misstatements. It is anticipated that this phase of the audit will require more work than simply gaining understanding of internal control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 directs the auditor to consider whether any of the assessed risks are significant risks that require special audit consideration or risks for which substantive procedures alone do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence.</td>
<td>• Previous standard did not include the concept of &quot;significant risks.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Significant risks exist on most engagements.</td>
<td>• The auditor should gain an understanding of internal control and also perform substantive procedures for all identified significant risks. Substantive analytical procedures alone are not sufficient to test significant risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 109 provides extensive guidance on the matters that should be documented.</td>
<td>• The guidance provided by SAS No. 109 relating to documentation is significantly greater than that provided by previous standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SAS No. 110, Performing Audit Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks and Evaluating the Audit Evidence Obtained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 110 provides guidance on determining overall responses to address the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and the nature of those responses.</td>
<td>• The concept of addressing the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and developing an appropriate overall response is similar to the requirement in previous standards relating to the consideration of audit risk at the financial statement level. However, that guidance was placed in the context of audit planning. SAS No. 110 &quot;repositions&quot; your consideration of risk at the financial statement level so you make this assessment as a result of and in conjunction with your performance of risk assessment procedures. In some cases, this assessment may not be able to be made during audit planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Further audit procedures, which may include tests of controls or substantive procedures, should be responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level.</td>
<td>• The list of possible overall responses to the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level also has been expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Although the previous standards included the concept that audit procedures should be responsive to assessed risks, this idea was embedded in the discussion of the audit risk model. The SASs repeatedly emphasize the need to provide a clear linkage between your understanding of the entity, your risk assessments, and the design of further audit procedures.</td>
<td>• SAS No. 110 requires you to document the linkage between assessed risks and further audit procedures, which was not a requirement under the previous standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SAS No. 110 provides guidance on matters the auditor should consider in determining the nature, timing, and extent of such audit procedures.</td>
<td>• The new guidance on determining the nature, timing, and extent of tests of controls and substantive tests has been expanded greatly and addresses issues that previously were not included in the authoritative literature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• SAS No. 110 states that the nature of further audit procedures is of most importance in responding to your assessed risks of material misstatement. That is, increasing the extent of your audit procedures will not compensate for procedures that do not address the specifically identified risks of misstatement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• SAS No. 110 states that you should perform certain substantive procedures on all engagements. These procedures include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— Performing substantive tests for all relevant assertions related to each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure regardless of the assessment of the risks of material misstatements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— Agreeing the financial statements, including their accompanying notes, to the underlying accounting records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— Examining material journal entries and other adjustments made during the course of preparing the financial statements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Key Provisions
- SAS No. 111 provides guidance relating to the auditor's judgment about establishing tolerable misstatement for a specific audit procedure and on the application of sampling to tests of controls.

### How the SAS Differs From Previous Standards
- SAS No. 111 provides enhanced guidance on tolerable misstatement. In general, tolerable misstatement in an account should be less than materiality to allow for aggregation in final assessment.
- Ordinarily sample sizes for non-statistical samples are comparable to sample sizes for an efficient and effectively designed statistical sample with the same sampling parameters.
Appendix F

Schedule of Changes Made to Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities

As of May 2007

This schedule of changes lists areas in the text and footnotes of the Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities Audit and Accounting Guide that have been changed from the previous edition. Entries in the following table reflect current numbering, lettering (including that in appendix names), and character designations that resulted from the renumbering/reordering that occurred in the updating of this guide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Removed dual references to the AICPA Professional Standards literature and the AICPA PCAOB Standards and Related Rules literature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Readers</td>
<td>Added footnote †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preface</td>
<td>Footnote * and footnote † added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preface</td>
<td>Revised to reflect SAS Nos. 104–111, the &quot;risk assessment standards.&quot; Revised to reflect references to Professional Standards. Revised to reflect revisions to filing deadlines for issuers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>footnote † to paragraph 1.48</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote 2 to paragraph 1.51</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote 3 added to paragraph 1.52</td>
<td>Added to reflect the issuance of FSP FAS 126-1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 1.56 and footnote 7</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote † to paragraph 2.110</td>
<td>Revised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote ** to paragraph 2.125</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 2.134</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 3.05, 3.06, and 3.07</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 3.08</td>
<td>Added for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 3.09</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 3.09, 3.10, and Exhibit 3-1 of paragraph 3.12</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 3.15 and heading</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraphs 3.15 and 3.16</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 3.17 and heading</td>
<td>Added for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote † to Chapter 4 title</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of SAS No. 114.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 4</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of SAS Nos. 104–111, the &quot;risk assessment standards&quot;. This guide has been conformed to the new risk assessment standards to indicate, at a minimum, where these standards need to be applied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of SAS Nos. 104–111, the &quot;risk assessment standards&quot;. This guide has been conformed to the new risk assessment standards to indicate, at a minimum, where these standards need to be applied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraph 5.03</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former paragraphs 5.05 through 5.11</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 5.67</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of SAS No. 114.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote 3 to sub heading of paragraph 5.72</td>
<td>Added to reflect the issuance of SAS No. 113.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote 4 to sub heading of paragraph 5.77</td>
<td>Added to reflect the issuance of SAS No. 113.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote 7 to sub heading of paragraph 5.86</td>
<td>Added for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 5.96 heading</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph 5.97</td>
<td>Revised for clarification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote ## to paragraph 5.99</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote ** to paragraph 5.138</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of FASB Statement No. 159.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnote †† to paragraph 5.139</td>
<td>Revised to reflect the issuance of FASB Statement No. 157.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix A</td>
<td>Footnote * updated to clarify guidance; Exhibit A-1, revised to reflect the issuance of FSP AUG AIR-1; Exhibit A-2, revised to reflect the issuance of EITF 06-3; Note 1, footnote 8 added to clarify guidance; Footnote † updated; Note 2, footnote 10 added to clarify guidance; Note 3, footnote 11 added to clarify guidance; Note 11, footnote ‡ added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Appendix D</td>
<td>Deleted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix D</td>
<td>Added &quot;Major Existing Differences Between AICPA Standards and PCAOB Standards&quot; to clarify guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix E</td>
<td>Added &quot;Comparison of Key Provisions of the Risk Assessment Standards to Previous Standards&quot; to clarify guidance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glossary

AFE. Authorization for expenditure.

barrel. A standard measurement in the oil industry. One barrel equals 42 U.S. gallons. On the average, 7.33 barrels of crude oil weigh one metric ton; 7.5 barrels weigh one long ton; and 6.65 barrels weigh one short ton.

bottom hole contribution. A defined cash contribution by a noninterest owner to the working interest owners upon the drilling of a well, regardless of the outcome, to a specific geological formation or to a specified depth.

carried interest. An arrangement in which one party agrees to develop and operate a property at its cost but with the right to recapture its costs or a defined greater amount from the proceeds of production.

casing. Heavy steel pipe that lines the hole of a well. Initially, casing is used near the surface and is cemented into place to guide the drill pipe. Later, if oil or gas is found, production casing is set near the bottom of the hole. Surface casings protect any fresh water supplies from contamination during drilling operations. Lower casings keep loose earth, rock, salt water, and other material out of the well, protect the producing reservoir, and serve as conduits for the tubing that brings oil and gas to the surface.

casing point. The point at which the operator decides whether or not it will be profitable enough to set production casing and complete the well.

completion. The process of attempting to bring an oil or gas well into production. The process begins only after the well has reached the depth where oil or gas is thought to exist and generally involves cleaning out the material the drill bit has ground up. Casing is run to protect the producing formation. Completion also may include perforating the casing so the oil or gas can flow into the well. Sometimes the flow rate can be improved by an acid treatment or by fracturing the oil formation to open channels for the oil to flow into the well.

condensate. A mixture of liquid hydrocarbons at atmospheric (surface) conditions that occur as a vapor in underground gas reservoirs. The liquids (condensate) are separated from the gas in field separators or gas processing plants. These liquids generally include propane, butane, and heavier hydrocarbons used in making gasoline.

condition value. The application of a percentage of replacement cost for new materials to used equipment at the time when taken out of service.

coring. A technique for cutting samples of subsurface rocks as a well is being drilled. A hollow bit or cutting tool at the bottom of the drill pipe cuts a cylindrical length of rock, or core, as the drill pipe rotates. The core is pushed up into a hollow tube, or core barrel, attached to the bit. The core barrel is brought to the surface and the core sample removed for study. The average core is about 30 feet long.

crude oil. Liquid petroleum that has not been refined. Sour crude oils have relatively large amounts of sulfur (1 percent or more). Sweet crudes have less sulfur and are more valuable. Most U.S. crudes tend to be sweet, while Middle East crudes tend to be sour. Crude oil is generally sold on a volume basis. The volume is corrected for any basic sediment and water (BS&W) present and adjusted to the standard base temperature of 60 degrees Fahrenheit. Light crude oils have a lower specific gravity than do heavy crudes, which may be thick and viscous.
DD&A. Depreciation, depletion, and amortization.

delay rental. Payments to the lessor for the privilege of delaying drilling on a lease for a period of time, usually one year.

development well. A well drilled within the proved area of an oil or gas reservoir to a depth of a stratigraphic horizon known to be productive.

division order. A legal document signed by each owner of a revenue interest specifying the percent ownership of each owner.

dry hole. A well that either finds no oil or gas or finds too little to make it financially worthwhile to produce.

dry hole contribution. A defined cash contribution by a noninterest owner to the working interest owners, payable only if the well is unsuccessful.

exploratory well. A well drilled to find and produce oil or gas in an unproved area, to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be productive of oil or gas in another reservoir, or to extend a known reservoir. Generally, an exploratory well is any well that is not a development well, a service well, or a stratigraphic test well.

farm-out. A sharing of oil or gas exploration activities and costs. A company with the right to explore more potential acreage than it can or wishes to handle may invite others to explore portions of the tract in return for a share of whatever oil or gas is found.

financial asset. Cash, evidence of an ownership interest in an entity, or a contract that conveys to a second entity a contractual right (a) to receive cash or another financial instrument from a first entity or (b) to exchange other financial instruments on potentially favorable terms with the first entity.

fracturing. A method of increasing the flow of oil or gas into a well. Production of individual wells often decreases because the underground formation is not sufficiently permeable to allow the oil to move freely toward the well.

free wells. An assignment of an individual fraction of the working interest to a second party in consideration for an undertaking by the second party to drill and equip a well at no cost to the first party.

G&G. Geological and geophysical.

goodwill. The excess if the cost of an acquired entity over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The amount recognized as goodwill includes acquired intangible assets that do not meet the criteria in FASB Statement No. 141, Business Combinations, for recognition as an asset apart from goodwill.

improved recovery. "Man made" methods as opposed to "natural" methods of increasing the flow of oil or gas from underground reservoirs.

injection well. A well that is used to pump water, gas, or chemicals into the underground reservoir of a producing field. The object is to maintain the pressure needed to drive oil and gas to the surface or to sweep more oil out of the reservoir. Sometimes the salt water produced with oil is pumped back into the reservoir. This serves two purposes: It helps to extend the life of the oil field, and it gets rid of a potential pollutant.
**Intangible assets.** Assets (not including financial assets) that lack physical substance.

**Intangible drilling costs (IDC).** Expenses for labor, fuel, repair, hauling, rig rental, and supplies used in the drilling of a well. These expenses differ from the cost of "tangibles," which include anything that has inherent salvage value.

**Joint interest billings (JIB).** The process of the operator's billing costs of joint exploration, development, and operations to the various working interest owners.

**Joint interests.** Ownership of individual fractions or percentages of the working interests held by two or more parties.

**Lease bonus.** The initial consideration paid by the lessee to the lessor to acquire the mineral rights.

**LOE.** Lease operating expenses.

**mcf.** Thousand cubic feet. The standard volume measure of natural gas at a standard pressure and temperature.

**Natural gas.** Consists largely of the hydrocarbon methane. It is found in underground formations either by itself or with crude oil. It is the cleanest burning of all fossil fuels. Once virtually a waste product, natural gas provides about one-third of the total energy used in the United States.

**Net profits interest.** An interest that entitles the owner to a specified share of net profits from production of hydrocarbons.

**Overriding royalty.** An interest in production similar to a royalty. It differs from a royalty, however, in that it is created out of the working interest.

**Payout.** The defined point in many drilling arrangements and partnerships at which one party has recovered its costs and revenue sharing may change.

**Percentage depletion.** A provision of the U.S. income tax law that applies to producers of some seventy-five minerals, including some oil and gas producers. The U.S. income tax law allows a mineral producer a percentage depletion deduction based on the gross income from mineral properties.

**Pooled interests.** The combination of two or more working and nonoperating interests in several properties to form a new economic unit.

**Posted prices.** In the petroleum industry, the "price lists" posted for various types of crude by the buyer in the United States, and the seller in foreign countries.

**Production payments.** A nonoperating interest payable from a specific portion of production expressed either as a certain amount of money (with or without interest) or a certain number of units of hydrocarbons.

**Proved developed reserves.** Reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods.

**Proved reserves.** The estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economics and operating conditions.
proven undeveloped reserves. Proved reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion.

recompletions. Work-overs that entail completion of the well in a productive structure, either shallower or deeper, that has not previously been produced through the well.

reserves. Defined as proved, probable, and possible and as developed or undeveloped.

reservoir. An underground formation where oil or gas has accumulated. The formation consists of porous rock that holds droplets of oil and gas. If the rock pores are interconnected to allow oil or gas to move through it, it is called permeable rock.

revenue interest. The interest of each owner of an economic interest in production of hydrocarbons from a specified property. The revenue interest normally differs from the percentage working interest because of nonworking interests in each property.

reversionary interest. A revenue interest that increases upon the attainment of certain specified objectives, often at payout.

royalty. The right to a share of production retained by the lessor free and clear of exploration, development, and operating costs.

stratigraphic test well. A drilling effort, geologically directed, to obtain information pertaining to a specific geologic condition. Such wells are customarily drilled without the intention of being completed for production.

tangible equipment. Equipment such as casing, tubing, pumps, tanks, and other equipment installed on a well.

top leasing. The practice of obtaining a new lease on a property prior to the expiration of the existing lease. The new lease becomes effective at the expiration of the old lease.

windfall profit taxes (WPT). The WPT is an excise tax assessed on the removal of domestic crude oil. WPT liabilities are limited by a statutory provision based on defined net income from a property. For WPT purposes, "domestic crude oil" is divided into two principal categories, exempt and taxable. Exempt is defined by law and includes oil applicable to certain governmental and charitable entities, certain "front-end incentive oil," exempt stripper well oil, exempt royalty oil, and exempt Indian oil. WPT was repealed August 23, 1988.

work-over. Major remedial operations required to maintain or increase production rates. See recompletions.
Bibliography

Books—Accounting and Reporting


A research study sponsored by the Accounting Principles Board in its effort to develop oil and gas accounting standards.


Discusses aspects that the investor, sponsor, and accountant involved in a drilling fund must consider, with an emphasis on tax aspects.

———Brock, Horace R.; Jones, Donald M.; and Klingstedt, John P. *Accounting for Oil and Gas Producing Companies, Part 1: Exploration, Acquisition, Development and Production*. Denton, Texas: Professional Development Institute, North Texas State University, 1981.

Serves as a practical reference guide on financial accounting and reporting for oil and gas producing companies. Covers the following: the economic aspects of the industry; company organization; general principles of oil and gas accounting; accounting for expenditures incurred in exploration, leasing, and development activities; revenue accounting; and accounting for lifting costs. Emphasizes the successful efforts method of accounting.

*Accounting for Oil and Gas Producing Companies, Part 2: Amortization, Full Costing and Disclosures*. Denton, Texas: Professional Development Institute, North Texas State University, 1982.

A continuation of Part 1 above. Topics covered include the following: depreciation, depletion, and amortization; the full cost method; sales and subleases; production payments; poolings of capital; deferred income taxes; supplemental disclosures; joint operations; gas production.

Burke, Kenneth M., and Durand, Francis L. *Oil and Gas Limited Partnerships, Accounting, Reporting and Taxation*. Denton, Texas: Professional Development Institute, North Texas State University, 1984.

Topics include federal income tax, windfall profit tax, and accounting and reporting matters. Illustrates several different types of limited partnerships. Exhibits include detailed computations of taxable income distribution, investor cash flow, depreciation, depletion and amortization, windfall profit tax, allowable depletion, tax liability, and deferred taxes.


Two-volume publication covering oil and gas taxation. Revised annually.


Articles are grouped in five subject areas of interest to the petroleum industry. Areas covered are the following: full cost, successful efforts, and discovery value; FASB and SEC releases; empirical studies; profitability in the oil industry; and accounting for inflation.

AAG-OGP BIB

Provides a review of the basic successful efforts and full cost rules. It is written from the perspective of a nonaccountant, although it provides the general rules for practicing accountants. Also included are sample annual reports and the complete successful efforts and full cost rules.


Reference guide for the explanation of standards and regulations promulgated by the FASB and SEC. Contains numerous practical examples. Successful efforts and full cost methods are both discussed with overview of development of current standards and regulations.


Provides a general introduction to the various accounting practices followed by companies engaged in oil and gas exploration and production in the United Kingdom. While describing various alternative accounting practices followed in the industry, the book also serves as a practical handbook for those in financial management and provides the theoretical background required by those involved in the financial reporting of the industry.


A general reference book on oil and gas accounting. Accounting principles for exploration, development, and production are covered. In addition, the book includes chapters on tank car operations, pipeline operations, marine operations, crude oil purchasing and storage, refining operations, petrochemical operations, and marketing operations.


A research study sponsored by the API on methods to compute value of oil and gas reserves.

**Books—General**


A good description of physical activities in selecting drilling sites, carrying on drilling activities, completing wells, and performing special drilling operations. Includes a description of drilling rig components.


Coverage includes not only petroleum industry terms but abbreviations used in drilling reports and abbreviations used for scientific and engineering terms.
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Designed to serve as a basic guide on the practical aspects of the petroleum industry. Gives a basic discussion of the petroleum industry from geology and reservoirs through exploration, drilling, production, pipelining, refining, and marketing.


Principally discusses the equipment and methods used to solve problems encountered in offshore operations.


Designed for the person unfamiliar with production practices. Gives an elementary understanding of the day-to-day workings of an oil and gas field.


Scope includes equipment and procedures used in oil well service. Specific chapters include well completion, remedial well work, well cleanout and work-over, well stimulation and analysis, planning, and economics. Contains glossary of terms used.


Comprehensive listing of oil and gas terms with short, concise definitions that often include references to statutes, cases, books, and law review articles. Revised annually.

Books—Taxation


A general coverage of oil and gas taxation. Revised annually.


A general coverage of oil and gas taxation. Revised frequently.

COPAS Bulletins

COPAS Bulletins are issued by the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societies. The bulletins provide accounting guidance in matters related to joint operations. They may be purchased from Kraftbilt Products, P.O. Box 800, Tulsa, Okla. 74101.

COPAS Interpretations

Interpretations of portions of COPAS Bulletins that are subject to debate.
Periodicals

Journal of Extractive Industries Accounting. Denton, Texas: Professional Development Institute, North Texas State University.

Published three times a year. Articles deal primarily with financial accounting and reporting problems in the petroleum industry.

Oil and Gas Tax Quarterly. New York: Matthew Bender & Co., Inc.

Published quarterly. Most articles are related to oil and gas taxation, with a few articles on financial accounting and reporting in the petroleum industry.
AICPA RESOURCE: Accounting & Auditing Literature

The AICPA has created a unique online research tool by combining the power and speed of the Web with comprehensive accounting and auditing standards. AICPA RESOURCE includes the AICPA, FASB and GASB libraries. You'll find subscriptions to these titles:

- AICPA Professional Standards
- AICPA Technical Practice Aids
- AICPA's Accounting Trends & Techniques
- AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides
- AICPA Audit Risk Alerts
- AICPA Financial Statement Preparation Manual
- AICPA Audit & Accounting Manual
- FASB Original Pronouncements
- FASB Current Text
- EITF Abstracts
- FASB Implementation Guides
- FASB’s Comprehensive Topical Index
- GASB Original Pronouncements
- Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards
- GASB Implementation Guides
- GASB’s Comprehensive Topical Index

Search for pertinent information from both databases by keyword and get the results ranked by relevancy. Print out important AICPA RESOURCE segments and integrate the literature into your engagements and financial statements. Available from anywhere you have Internet access, this comprehensive reference library is packed with the A & A guidance you need — and use — the most. All libraries are updated with the latest standards and conforming changes.

AICPA, FASB and GASB Libraries, one-year individual online subscription
No. WGLBY12
AICPA Member $1,520.00
Nonmember $1,900.00

AICPA and FASB Libraries, one-year individual online subscription
No. WFLBY12
AICPA Member $1,195.00
Nonmember $1,493.75

AICPA Library, one-year individual online subscription
No. WALBY12
AICPA Member $699.00
Nonmember $873.75

AICPA RESOURCE offers many additional subscription options — log onto www.cpa2biz.com/AICPAresource for details.
For additional copies of the Audit and Accounting Guide Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities log onto the AICPA Store at www.cpa2biz.com – or to automatically receive an annual update immediately upon its release – call 1-888-777-7077.

Additional Publications

Audit Risk Alert
This Alert will help you plan and perform your audits by identifying the significant business risks that may result in the material misstatement of your client’s financial statements. The AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff has reviewed the auditing guidance in this Alert (defined as Other Publications by SAS No. 95) and is presumed to be appropriate. This document has not been approved, disapproved, or otherwise acted on by a senior technical committee of the AICPA. (022338)

Audit and Accounting Guides – 2007 Industry Guides
With conforming changes as of May 1, 2007.
• Agricultural Producers and Agricultural Cooperatives (012687)
• Brokers and Dealers in Securities (012707)
• Casinos (012717)
• Common Interest Realty Associations (012577)
• Entities With Oil and Gas Producing Activities (012657)
• Construction Contractors (012587)
• Depository and Lending Institutions: Banks and Savings Institutions, Credit Unions, Finance Companies, and Mortgage Companies (012737)
• Employee Benefit Plans (as of March 1, 2007) (012597)
• Federal Government Contractors (012607)
• Government Auditing Standards and Circular A-133 Audits (012747)
• Health Care Organizations (012617)
• Investment Companies (012627)
• Life & Health Insurance Entities (012637)
• Property and Liability Insurance Cos. (2006) (012676)
• Not-for-Profit Organizations (012647)
• State and Local Governments (012667)

Audit and Accounting Guides – General Guides
• Analytical Procedures (012557)
• Audit Sampling (012537)
• Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities (012527)
• Auditing Revenue in Certain Industries (012517)
• Personal Financial Statements (012757)
• Prospective Financial Information (012727)
• Service Organizations: Applying SAS No. 70, as Amended (012777)
• Use of Real Estate Appraisal Information (1997) (013159)

To order log on to the AICPA Store at www.cpa2biz.com
Call the AICPA at 1-888-777-7077 or fax to 1-800-362-5066